

## **HNPCC, molecular and clinical dilemmas** Wagner, A.

## **Citation**

Wagner, A. (2005, April 27). *HNPCC, molecular and clinical dilemmas*. Macula, Boskoop. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/2719



**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

2.3.

A 10-Mb Paracentric Inversion of Chromosome arm 2p Inactivates MSH2 and is Responsible for Hereditary Non Polyposis Colorectal Cancer in a North-American Kindred

Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2002:35;49-57

Molecular Studies 83

# A 10-Mb Paracentric Inversion of Chromosome Arm 2p Inactivates MSH2 and Is Responsible for Hereditary Nonpolyposis Colorectal Cancer in a **North-American Kindred**

Anja Wagner, <sup>1,2</sup> Heleen van der Klift, <sup>1</sup> Patrick Franken, 1 Juul Wijnen, 1 Cor Breukel, <sup>1</sup> Vladimir Bezrookove, 3 Ron Smits, Yulia Kinarsky, Alicia Barrows, Barbara Franklin, Jane Lynch, Henry Lynch, and Riccardo Fodde<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MGC-Department of Human and Clinical Genetics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Genetics, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Creighton University, Omaha, Nebraska

Genomic deletions of the MSH2 gene area frequent cause of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC), a common hereditary predisposition to the development of tumors in several organs including the gastrointestinal and urinary tracts and endometrium. The mutation spectrum at the MSH2 gene is extremely heterogeneous because it includes nonsense and missense point mutations, small insertions and deletions leading to frameshifts, and larger genomic deletions, the latter representing approximately 25% of the total mutation burden. Here, we report the identification and molecular characterization of the first paracentric inversion of the MSH2 locus known to cause HNPCC. Southern blot analysis and inverse PCR showed that the centromeric and telomeric breakpoints of the paracentric inversion map within intron7 and toa contig 10 Mb 3' of MSH2, respectively. Pathogenicity of the paracentric inversion was demonstrated by conversion analysis. The patient's lymphocytes were employed to generate somatic cell hybrids to analyze the expression of the inverted MSH2 allele in an Msh2-deficient rodent cellular background. The inversion was shown to abolish MSH2 expression by both northern and western analysis. This study confirms that Southern blot analysis still representsa useful and informative tool to screen for and identify complex genomic rearrangements in HNPCC. Moreover, monoallelic expression analysis represents an attractive approach to demonstrate pathogenicity of unusual mutations in autosomal dominant hereditary conditions. 2002 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC) or Lynch syndrome is acommon autosomal dominant cancer syndrome caused by germline mutations in MSH2 and MSH6 on chromosome 2, MLH1 on chromosome 3, and PMS2 on chromosome7 (Fishel et al., 1993; Bronner et al., 1994; Nicolaides et al., 1994; Akiyama et al., 1997; Miyaki et al., 1997). These genes are responsible for DNA mismatch repair (MMR) and are homologs of the Escherichia coli MutS and MutL MMR genes. Carriers of a germline mutation in one of these genes are at increased risk of developing colorectal, endometrial, and ovarian cancer, transitional cell carcinoma of the ureters and renal pelvis, gastric and small bowel cancer, brain tumors, and sebaceous skin cancer (Lynch, 1999). Clinically, HNPCC is defined by the Amsterdam criteria: at least three cases of pathologically verified colorectal, endometrial, transitional cell, or small bowel cancer should be present within two generations, one of which was diagnosed under the age of 50. Moreover, one patient must bea first-degree relative of the others and familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) has to be excluded (Vasen et al., 1999).

In the vast majority of HNPCC families, germline mutations are found in MSH2 and MLH1 (Peltomaki and Vasen, 1997). These mutations are predominantly single nucleotide substitutions or small insertions or deletions. However, larger genomic deletions have also been recognized asa frequent cause of HNPCC (Wijnen et al., 1998a). Here, we describe the first HNPCC family caused by an inversion within the short arm of chromosome 2 disrupting the *MSH2* gene.

Supported by: Dutch Cancer Society (KWF).

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Dr. Riccardo Fodde, Leiden University Consequence to: D. Riccial Center, MGC-Department of Human and Clinical Genetics, Wassenaarseweg 72, 2333 AL Leiden, The Netherlands.<br>E-mail: r.fodde@lumc.nl

Received 13 November 2001; Accepted 12Februar y 2002 DOI 10.1002/gcc.10094



cancer;U,urinarytractcancer;Ki,kidneycancer;SmB,smallbowelcancer;Sk,skincancer;Cx,cervical<br>cancer;Abd,abdominalcancer.Theindexpatient,III:5,isindicatedwithanarrow.

## **MATERIALSANDMETHODS**

## $The Family$

ThefamilydescribedhereinisCaucasianandis partofthecohortofNorth-AmericanHNPCCfamiliescollectedbyDr.HenryLynch.Thekindred fullycomplywiththeclinicalAmsterdamcriteria forHNPCC(Fig.1).Theindexpatientisa77year-oldmale(III:5),whohadamalignantrectal polypatage41,atransitionalcellcarcinomaofthe leftkidneyat65,andasmallbowelcarcinomaat 73.Attheageof71,hewasalsodiagnosedwith severalsebaceousadenomasandsquamouscellcarcinomas.Oneoftheindex'sthreedaughtersdevelopedanendometroidcarcinomaoftheovaryatage 41(IV:4).Hismotherwasdiagnosedwithcervical canceranddiedofanabdominalcarcinomaat52 yearsofage(II:5).Herbrotherhadrectalcancerat 34anddiedat36(II:4).Oneofhersistersdevelopedtwocolonadenocarcinomasat52and69 years,respectively,andakidneyadenocarcinoma

at65(II:2).Shediedat72yearsofage.Herson presentedwithadenocarcinomasofthecolonatage 52and67, respectively (III:1). Furthermore, hewas diagnosedwithtransitionalcellcarcinomaofthe rightureterandbladderat69and73years.Atthe ageof76,hedevelopedanadenocarcinomaofthe cecumanddiedofcardiacdiseaseat77.Twoofhis daughters(fromdifferentmarriages)alsopresented withHNPCC-relatedtumors(IV:1andIV:3).There wasnoevidenceofmiscarriagesinthisfamily.

#### DenaturingGradientGelElectrophoresis(DGGE)

Mutationanalysisofthe MSH2, MLH1, and MSH6 geneswasperformedbyDGGEaspreviouslydescribed(Wijnenetal.,1998b,1999).

#### **SouthernBlotAnalysis**

 $DNA was extracted from peripheralbloodlympho$ cytes.Conformingtoourpreviouslyestablishedprotocol(Wijnenetal.,1998a),Southernblotanalysisof



Figure2.Southernblotanalysisoftheindexpatientandotheraffectedandnonaffectedrelativesfrom<br>theHNPCCfamily. Xbal( **A**)and Bspl( **B**)digestshybridizedwiththe3aprobe(encompassing MSH2 i<br>7–12).Lane1:indexpatient(III:5) MSH<sub>2</sub> exons 7:normalcontrol.Mindicatesthemolecularweightmarkers.Themolecularweightofthespeci <br>bandsisindicated. *Hin*cII( **C**)digestsfromanormalcontrol(lane1)andfromindividualIII:5(lane2)were fic MSH2 hybridizedwiththe3aprobe

MSH2 wasperformedwith XbaI, EcoRI, HindIII, and  $Nsl$ genomicDNAdigestsfollowedbyhybridization with three MSH2 probes: probe5, encompassing exons1-7;probe3a,forexons7-12;andprobe3b,for exons10-16(seealsoFig.2).Inaddition,digestion withtherestrictionendonucleases *Bsp* 1407I, *Hin*cII, and *Bcl*Iwasperformed.Thelatterfilterswerehybridizedwithseveralexon-specific MSH2 probes, includingexons6to9. MLH1 and MSH6 Southern analysiswasperformedwith XbaI, ApaI, HindIII, and  $Nsl$ genomicDNAdigestsfollowedbyhybridization withtwo MLH1 probes(encompassing exons1-12 andexons11-19)andtwo MSH6 probes(encompassingexons1-4andexons5-10)(Wijnenetal.,1998a).

## **CytogeneticandFluorescenceInSitu HybridizationAnalyses**

Prometaphaseandmetaphasechromosomes wereobtainedfromEBV-transformedlymphoblas-

ticcellsaccordingtostandardprotocols(Dauwerse etal.,1992).Karyotypingwasperformedusing GTG-banding(Seabright,1971)andmulticolorfluorescenceinsituhybridization(MFISH).For MFISH,theCOBRA(forCOmbinedBinaryRAtio)labelingtechniquewithp/qarmdistinctionwas employedaspreviouslydescribed(Tankeetal., 1999; Wiegantetal., 2000).

#### InversePolymeraseChainReaction(IPCR)

IPCRwasperformedaspreviouslydescribed (Foddeetal.,1990)(  $\mu$ gsampleof genomicDNAextractedfromlympoblastoidcells wasdigestedwith HincII.ThedigestedDNAwas extractedbyphenol-chloroform,ethanol-precipitated,dilutedtoaconcentrationof1  $\mu$ g/mlin ligationbuffer(Fermentas,St.Leon-Rot,Germany),andligatedovernightat16°Cwith1U/  $\mu$ l ligase(Fermentas).



Figure3.Cloningandcharacteriza-<br>tionofthe3 / (telomeric)invers telomeric)inversion breakpointbyinverse-PCR. A: Thein-<br>verse-PCRprocedure.GenomicDNA from the indexpatient (III:5) was digestedby Hincll.Thelatterresultinan<br>aberrant4.0-kbfragmentencompassing MSH2 exon8andthe3 / breakpointas<br>indicated.ThedigestedDNAwasligatedasdescribedunderMaterialsand Methods.TheligatedDNAwasem-<br>ployedinaPCRreactionwithprimer setsFI/R | andF2/R2.indicatedbyarrows.Inbothcases.onlyDNAfrom carriersoftheparacentricinversionre- $\label{thm:1} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \textbf{subted} \textbf{in} \textbf{the} \textbf{expected} \textbf{in} \textbf{verse-PCR} \\ \textbf{products}.\textbf{The} \textbf{latter} \textbf{enc} \textbf{om} \textbf{pass} \textbf{the} \textbf{5} \end{minipage}$ endof MSH2 intron8, theoriginal Hincillsite, the inversion breakpoint,<br>and the 3 ' end of MSH2 intron7. **B:** Boththesenseandantisensestrandsof (telothesequencesaroundthe3 meric)inversionbreakpointaredepicted.Thealignmentshows(fromtop<br>tobottom)thewild-type MSH2 intron The Thursday of the Theorem of the Theorem of the Thursday of The Thursday of the Theorem of the Theorem of the Theorem of the Theorem of the sequenceofthecontigAC010878,lo-<br>cated10Mb3 / (telomeric)to MSH2. Theshadedboxesindicatethehomologiesamongthethreealignedse-<br>quences.Theorientationsofboththe centromereandtelomererelativeto<br>thereportedsequencesareindicated. Thesequenceof MSH2 intron7isdepictedinthereversedirectionrelative<br>totheothertwosequences.

 $^\prime$ end Aforwardprimer(F1)wasdesignedatthe3 '-AGAAACAACTTTAGATATGG $of exon 8(5)$ 3'), whereas the reverse primer (R1) was developed 3' of the *Bcl*Isiteat position 13,392 within intron7 (5'-AGACTTTGTAAAGCTACATTC-3')(Fig.

3). High-fidelityPCR(RocheMolecularDiagnosticSystems,Alameda,CA)wasperformedwith theseprimers.TheIPCRproductwasanalyzedby agarosegelelectrophoresis.Anapproximately 2.0-kbbandwasobservedintheindexDNAbut

notinthenormalcontrol. This bandwasexcised andpurifiedusinganucleotrapkit(Machery-Nagel, Du'ren, Germany). The purified productwas clonedbyTOPO-cloning(Invitrogen,LaJolla, CA)andsequencedusingthesameF1andR1 primers.Basedonthenewlyobtainedsequences, newprimersweredesigned, that is, a forward primer(F2)inintron8( '-TCAAGCTATCCTC-CGGCCTC-3')andareverseprimer(R2)inintron 7(5 '-GGATGCAGTGAACAGGGAAC-3')(Fig. 3). The IPCR procedure was then repeated with F2andR2,andthepatient-specificPCRproduct wassequenced.

Thissequencealsoenabledustodevelopadditionalprimersaroundthe5 ' (centromeric)breakpoint(Fig.4):aforwardprimer( '-TGCTGA-CATGGCCCATTCCTAC-3')withinthecontig AC010878,andareverseprimer(  $'$ . TCCC. AGTTTAACTCTAGCAG-3')inintron7.PCR amplificationwiththeseprimersresultedinafragmentofapproximately900bpintheindexpatient (III:5)andintwootheraffectedindividuals(III:1 andIV:4)butnotinanormalcontrol(seealsoFig. 4C). Thisfragmentwasagainexcisedandpurified bythenucleotrapprocedureandsequencedusing thePCRforwardandreverseprimers.

## **MonoallelicExpressionAnalysis**

 $T$ oallowRNAandproteinexpressionanalysisof the MSH2 allelecarryingtheinversion, somaticcell hybridscontainingthewildtypeandrearranged chromosome2pfromtheindexpatientweregeneratedina *Msh2*-deficientmurinebackground (GMPConversionTechnologies, Waltham, MA) (Papadopoulosetal.,1995;Yanetal.,2000).SomaticcellhybridsweregenotypedbyCArepeat markersaroundthe MSH2 geneonchromosome arm2pandselectedformonoallelicexpression analysis.TotalRNAandproteinsampleswereisolatedfromthedifferenthybridcelllines, and northernandwesternblotanalyseswerecarriedout accordingtoconventionalprocedures.Probe3b (MSH2 exons10-16)wasemployedforthenorthernanalysis, whereas arabbit polyclonal antibody raisedagainstaminoacids402-737ofhuman MSH2wasusedforthewesternanalysis(Smitset al.,2000).

## **RESULTSANDDISCUSSION**

#### Southern**Analysis**

DGGEanalysisof MSH2, MLH1, and MSH6 did notrevealanymutationintheindexpatient. Therefore, Southernblotanalysiswasperformed.

 $MLH1$  and  $MSH6$  hybridizationsdidnotshowany aberrantfragments.Afterhybridizationwiththe MSH2 5' probe(encompassingexons1-7),anaberrantbandofapproximately30kbwasseeninthe XbaIdigest(notshown).Hybridizationwiththe MSH2 3aprobe(exons7–12)revealedtwoaberrant fragmentsof29and18kb,respectively(Fig.2A). Basedonthedecreasedintensityofthewild-type 26-kbfragmentencompassing MSH2 exons7 and 8, we assumed that the genomic rearrangement involvedthissegmentofthegene.Hybridization withexon7-andexon8-specificprobesindicated thatthe29-kbbandcontainedexon7,whereasthe 18-kbfragmentencompassedexon8of MSH2. Thiswasconfirmedbydigestionwith *sp*1407I:in additiontothewildtype20-kbfragmentcontainingexons7and8,hybridizationwithboththe 3'a-specificand the exon 7-and exon 8-specific probesrevealedtwofragmentsof29and16kb, encompassingexon7andexon8,respectively(Fig. 2B). These results are indicative of a complex rearrangementencompassingbothexon7andexon8  $int$ hemutatedallele. Thenormal intensity of all otherdigestionfragmentssuggestedthatnoother  $MSH2$  exonwasinvolved in the rearrangement (datanotshown). Thisalsoindicated that the genomicrearrangementwaslikelytobeatranslocationorinversionwithabreakpointinintron7. Theaberrantrestrictionsiteclosesttothebreakpointappeared to bea *Hincles* Hinterst *Hincles* ition 11,738inintron7(Fig.2C). *B* Bc/Idigestionshowed noaberrantfragmentafterhybridizationwithan exon8-specificprobe,indicatingthatthebreakpointhadtomap5  $'$  tothe  $Bc/I$ sitelocatedat position13,392withinintron7.Giventhatnoother aberrantfragmentswerefoundafterhybridization withanyoftheother MSH2 probes, theother breakpointhadtomapoutside the *MSH2* gene. AdditionalSouthernblotanalysisofindividual III1,IV4,andseveralunaffectedrelativesshowed co-segregationoftherearrangementwiththedis-

#### CytogeneticandFISHAnalyses

easeinthisfamily(notshown).

KaryotypeanalysisoftheindexpatientbyGTGbandingandPQ-COBRA-FISHdidnotshoweitheranyapparenttranslocationoraninversionconcerningchromosome2.Thisindicatedthatthe allegedgenomicrearrangementhadtobeeithera smallinter-orintrachromosomaltranslocation.ora paracentricinversion(datanotshown).

**WAGNERETAL.** 



Figure4.Cloningandcharacterizationofthe5 ' (centromeric) inversionbreakpoint. A: Schemeofthewild-typeandmutated<br>alleles.Onlythepartofthechromosome2regioninvolvedinthe  $MSH2$ and contribution of the paracentricinversion<br>paracentricinversionisshown.Shadedandblackboxesindicate<br>7,8,and9ofthe MSH2 gene,respectively.Onlythe exons paracentrichversionssnown.snagedandblackboxesinicizeexons<br>7,8,and9ofthe MSH2 gene,respectively.Onlythe Hinclland<br>restrictionsitesandthe5 (eentromeric).and3 (telomeric)bre<br>pointsaredepictedforthesakeofclarity.AC010878isthec Hinclland Bcll (telomeric)breaksequencebothbreakpoints(FI/R1,F2/R2,F3/R3)areindicatedby<br>arrows. **B:** Boththesenseandantisensestrandsofthesequences aroundthe5 / (centromeric)inversionbreakpointaredepicted.The

### **IPCR**

Todiscriminateamongtheabovepossibilities, wesetouttocharacterizetheintron7breakpoint byIPCR(Fig.3A).BasedontheSouthernblot

alignmentshows(fromtoptobottom)thewild-type MSH2 intron7 arguence, the 5 ' breakpoint obtained by PCR with primers F3 and R3,<br>and these quence, the 5 ' breakpoint obtained by PCR with primers F3 and R3, (telomeric) to MSH2. Theshadedboxesindicatethehomologiesamongthethree alignedsequences. Theorientations of both the centromereand telomererelativetothereportedsequencesareindicated.Thesequenceof theAC010878contigisdepictedinthereverseorientationrelativeto theothertwosequences. C: 5' Breakpoint-specificPCRresultsobtheomericus conduction of the state of the production of the conduction in a medicing in the nonaffected (IV:4,III:5,III:1) and nonaffected (IV:5) individuals.

analysis, *Hin*cIIdigestionresultsina4-kbfragment encompassing exon8andthebreakpoint of the genomicrearrangementwithinintron7(Fig.2C). TheIPCRprocedureenabledustoobtainanap-

54

proximately2-kbPCRproductcontainingthe5 endofintron8,the *Hin*cIIsiteusedfordigestion andligation,60basepairsofanunknownsequence, the break point, and the 3  $'$  endofint ron 7 (Fig.3B).TheIPCRproductwasnotobserved whengenomicDNAfromahealthyindividualwas subjectedtotheprocedure.ByuseoftheBasic LocalAlignmentSearchTool(BLAST)database oftheNationalCenterofBiotechnologyInformation,aperfectmatchwasfoundbetweentheunknownsequenceandacontig(AC010878)located  $10Mh3$  $'$  of *MSH2*. Thelatterfindingindicated thatthegenomicrearrangementinthiskindredis likelytobea10-Mbinversionofexons8to16of the MSH2 gene(Fig.4A).Totestthishypothesis, PCRprimers(F3andR3)weredesignedwithin AC010878andin MSH2 intron7,toallowamplificationandsequencingofthe5 ' (centromeric) breakpointofthe10-Mbinversion(Fig.4A).The approximately900-bpPCRproductobtainedusing theseprimersencompassessequencesderived fromAC010878(inthereversedirectionrelativeto thesequencefound in the 3<sup>th</sup> telomeric break point sequence), the 5 ' centromeric breakpoint, and 5 intron7sequences(again,inthereverseorientationrelativetothe3 / telomerichreakpointsequence)(Fig.4B).Moreover,thecombinationof theseprimersresultedinthe900-bpproductonly whenDNAfromtheindexpatientortheothertwo availableaffectedindividuals(III:1andIV:4inFig. 1)fromtheaffectedkindredwereemployed(Fig. 4C). This proved that the rearrangement in this familywasindeedaparacentricinversionofabout 10Mb,includingexons8to1  $MSH2$  gene.

Genomicrearrangementswerepreviouslydescribedin MSH2, aswellasinothercancer-susceptibilitygeneslike APC,MLH1,BRCA1,TP53,NF2, and STK11 (vanderLuijtetal.,1995;Petrij-Bosch etal.,1997;Jenneetal.,1998;Slebosetal.,1998; Wijnenetal.,1998a;Pugetetal.,1999;Legoixet al.,2000;Chanetal.,2001).Theserearrangements mayresultfromnonhomologousbreakageandreunioneventsbutalsofromhomologousrecombinationbetweenrepetitivesequencesdispersed throughoutthegenome,likeAlurepeats(Nystrom-Lahtietal.,1995;Mauillonetal.,1996;Slebosetal.,1998).Also,genomicinversionshave beendescribedinhemophiliaresultingfromunequalalignmentsbetweensmall-sequencehomologies(Lakichetal.,1993).Computeranalysis (BLAST)ofintron7ofthe MSH2 generevealed manyrepetitivesequences, among which were Alu andsimple-sequencerepeats(notshown).During oursearchformutationsinHNPCCfamilies,we

identifiedfourgenomicdeletions of MSH2 with a breakpointwithinintron7(unpublishedobservations).However,becausethedeletionbreakpoints inthesefamilies, including theonestudied here, appeartobelocatedatdifferentsitesalongintron 7, noactual recombination hotspotcan bepinpointed.WedidnotfindAlurepeatsatorinthe flankingregionsofthebreakpointsoftheinversion reportedhere.Hence,anonhomologousbreakage andreunionrecombinationeventisthemostlikely causeofthegenomicrearrangementobservedin thisfamily.Notably,duringtherecombinationprocess,ninebasepairswerelostfromintron7, whereasanadditionalsevenbasepairswerefound atthe5 ' (centromeric)breakpoint(Figs.3Band 4B). The latter does not result from a PCR artifact, giventhatsequenceanalysisofthe5 'breakpointof theaffectedindividualsIII:1andIV:4confirmed thepresenceofthe9-bpdeletionandthe7-bp insertion(datanotshown).Toelucidatetherecombinationmechanismunderlyingtheparacentricinversion.wesearchedtheentireintron7and AC010878sequencesforthepresenceofthe7-bp insertion.Becauseofthepresenceoftwodinucleotiderepeatswithintheseshortsequences,several hitswereobtainedbyBLASTinbothgenomic sequences. The latter does not allow us to infer the exactrecombinationmechanismleadingtothe presentrearrangement.

### **MonoallelicExpressionAnalysis**

Toconfirmthepathogenicityofthenewlycharacterizedgenomicrearrangement, MSH2 expressionwasanalyzedbynorthernandwesternblotsof somaticcellhybridscontainingthewild-typeand inversionchromosomes2fromtheindexpatient (GMPConversionTechnologies)(Papadopouloset al.,1995;Yanetal.,2000).Themurinecellline  $emplovedfor this aim was$  $Msh2$ -deficientandwas thereforeparticularlysuitedtoanalyzeexpression ofthehuman MSH2 genewithouttheinterference ofthehighlyhomologousandubiquitouslyexpressedmousehomolog.Neither MSH2 mRNA norMSH2proteinwasdetectableinthehybrid containingtherearrangedchromosomearm2p, whereasthefull-lengthallelewasobservedinthe hybridcontainingthewild-typeallele(Fig.5). Hence, the paracentric chromosome arm2pinversionrepresentsatruenullallelebecauseitdisrupts the *MSH2* geneandits expression.

Inconclusion, we reportan ovel 10-Mb paracentricinversionofchromosome2responsiblefor  $HNPCC$ inaNorthAmericanfamily.Inagreement withpreviousreports(Wijnenetal.,1998a),this



Figure5.Northernandwesternanalysisofsomaticcellhybrids containingthewild-typeandinversionchromosome2p.Somaticcell hybridsweregeneratedbyGMPconversiontechnologyfromanEBV-<br>lymphoblastoidcelllinederivedfromtheindexpatient.Individualhy- $\frac{1}{2}$ <sub>brid</sub> sweres creened by CA repeat markers flankingthe MSH2 geneon the state centers of the parameters of the manifold control of the chromosome arm 2p, to discriminate between those carrying the wild-type(wt)andinversion(inv)allele.Oneofeachwasemployedfor expressionanalysisbynorthern( A)andwestern( B)blot.Probe3b (MSH2 exons10 -16) wasemployedforthenorthernanalysistogether

studyconfirmsthatthe MSH2 geneissusceptible togenomicrearrangementssuchasdeletionsand inversions.Inviewofthelatter,Southernblot analysisstillrepresentsausefulandinformative tooltoidentifyandcharacterizedisease-causing  $complex genomic rearrangement in HNPCG fam$ ilies, and to facilitate presymptomatic diagnosis andpatientcare.Moreover,thegenerationofsomaticcellhybridscontainingtheallegedmutant chromosomearm2palleleina  $Msh2$ -deficientmurinecellularbackgroundgreatlyfacilitatestheanalysisofitspathogenicity.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

WethankProf.B.VogelsteinandProf.R.D. KolodnerforprovidinguswiththePACclones encompassing MSH2.

#### **REFERENCES**

- AkiyamaY,SatoH,YamadaT,NagasakiH,TsuchiyaA,AbeR, YuasaY.1997.Germ-linemutationofthehMSH6/GTBPgenein anatypicalhereditarynonpolyposiscolorectalcancerkindred.
- CancerRes57:3920–3923.<br>BronnerCE,BakerSM,MorrisonPT,WarrenG,SmithLG,Lescoe MK,KaneM,EarabinoC,LipfordJ,LindblomA.1994.Mutation<br>intheDNAmismatchrepairgenehomologuehMLH1isassociatedwithhereditarynon-polyposiscoloncancer.Nature368:258-261.
- ChanTL, YuenST, HoJWC, ChanASY, KwanK, ChungLP, Lam PWY,TseCW,LeungSY.2001.Anovelgermline1.8-kbdele-tionof hMLH1 mimickingalternativesplicing:afoundermutation<br>intheChinesepopulation.Oncogene20:2976–2981.
- DauwerseJG,WiegantJ,RaapAK,BreuningMH,vanOmmenGJ.<br>1992.Multiplecolorsbyfluorescenceinsituhybridizationusing ratio-labelledDNAprobescreateamolecularkaryotype.Hum<br>MolGenet1:593–598.

withan HPRT controlprobe, whereas arabbit polyclonal antibody :\*2;.-\*0\*26;<\*5267\*,2-;
 F7/1=5\*6 %?\*;=;.-/7:<1. ?.;<.:6\*6\*4A;2;%52<;.<\*4 C5G 26-2,\*<.;\*57=;.,.44=4\*: lysateemployedascontrolforthedetectionofthemouseMsh2 protein.Therecipientmousecelllineemployedtogeneratethesomatic<br>cellhybridsis Msh2<sup>-/-</sup>.Therefore,the100-kDabandcorrespondingto thehumanMSH2proteinisdetectableonlyinthehybridcontainingthe wild-type *MSH2* allele.

- FishelR,LescoeMK,RaoMR,CopelandNG,JenkinsNA,Garber J,KaneM,KolodnerR.1993.Thehumanmutatorgenehomolog  $MSH2 and its association with hereditary nonpoly posis colon$ cancer.Cell75:1027-1038.
- FoddeR,LosekootM,CasulaL,BerniniLF.1990.Nucleotide sequenceoftheBelgianGgamma + (Agammadeltabeta)(<br>thalassemiadeletionbreakpointsuggestsacommonmechanism +(Agammadeltabeta)0foranumberofsuchrecombinationevents.Genomics8:732-735.
- $I$ enne $DE$ .ReinmannH.NezuI.FriedelW.LoffS.JeschkeR. MullerO,BackW,ZimmerM.1998.Peutz-Jegherssyndromeis causedbymutationsinanovelserinethreoninekinase.Nat Genet18:38-43.
- LakichD, Kazazian HH, Antonarakis SE, Gitschier J. 1993. InversionsdisruptingfactorVIIIgeneareacommoncauseofsevere<br>haemophiliaA.NatGenet5:236–241.
- LegoixP,DerSarkissianH,CazesL,GiraudS,SorF,RuleauGA, LenoirG,ThomasG,Zucman-RossiJ.2000.MolecularchracterizationofgermlineNF2generearrangements.Genomics65:62-
- 66.<br>LynchHT.1999.Hereditarynonpolyposiscolorectalcancer (HNPCC).CytogenetCellGenet86:130-135.
- MauillonJL, MichelP, LimacherJM, LatoucheJB, DechelotteP,  $Charbonnier F, Martin C, Moreau V, Metayer J, Paullot B, Fre$ bourgT.1996.IdentificationofnovelgermlinehMLH1mutationsincludinga22kbAlu-mediateddeletioninpatientswith familialcolorectalcancer.CancerRes56:5728-5733.
- MiyakiM, KonishiM, TanakaK, Kikuchi-YanoshitaR, MuraokaM, YasunoM,IgariT,KoikeM,ChibaM,MoriT.1997.Germline  $m$ utationof $\overline{\text{MSH}}$ 6asthecauseofhereditarynonpolyposiscolorectalcancer.NatGenet17:271-272.
- NicolaidesNC,PapadopoulosN,LiuB,WeiYF,CarterKC,Ruben<br>SM,RosenCA,HaseltineWA,FleischmannRD,FraserCM. 1994.MutationsoftwoPMShomologuesinhereditarynonpol-
- yposiscoloncancer.Nature371:75–80.<br>Nystrom-LahtiM,KristoP,NicolaidesNC,ChangSY,Aaltonen .<br>LA,MoisioAL,JarvinenHJ,MecklinJP,KinzlerKW,Vogelstein B.1995.FoundingmutationsandAlu-mediatedrecombinationin<br>hereditarycoloncancer.NatMed1:1203–1206.
- PapadopoulosN, LeachFS, KinzlerKW, Vogelstein B.1995. Monoallelicmutationanalysisforidentifyinggermlinemutations.Nat Genet11:100-102.
- PeltomakiP,VasenHF.1997.Mutationspredisposingtohereditary  $nonpolvpos is color ectal cancer: data base and results of a collabo$ mapporyposisestoreedineernalidioalsedinareschessingosialesinare

taryNonpolyposisColorectalCancer.Gastroenterology113:1146–<br>1158.

- Petrij-BoschA,PeelenT,vanVlietM,vanEijkR,OlmerR,<br>DrusedauM,HogervorstFB,HagemanS,ArtsPJ,Ligtenberg<br>MJ,Meijers-HeijboerH,KlijnJG,VasenHF,CornelisseCJ,van't VeerLJ,BakkerE,vanOmmenGJ,DevileeP.1997.BRCA1<br>genomicdeletionsaremajorfoundermutationsinDutchbreast
- cancerpatients.NatGenet17:341–345.<br>PugetN,SinilnikovaOM,Stoppa-LyonnetD,AudoynaudC,Pages S,LynchHT,GoldgarD,LenoirGM,MazoyerS.1999.An<br>Alu-mediated6-kbduplicationintheBRCA1gene:anew<br>foundermutation.AmJHumGenet64:300–302.
- SeabrightM.1971.Arapidbandingtechniqueforhumanchromosomes.Lancet2:971-972.
- SlebosRJ, ResnickMA, TaylorJA. 1998. Inactivation of the p53 tumorsuppressorgeneviaanovelAlurearrangement.CancerRes<br>58:5333–5336.
- SmitsR,HoflandN,EdelmannW,GeugienM,Jagmohan-Changur<br>S,AlbuquerqueC,BreukelC,KucherlapatiR,KielmanMF,<br>FoddeR.2000.SomaticApemutationsareselectedupontheir capacitytoinactivatethebeta-catenindownregulatingactivity.<br>GenesChromosomesCancer29:229–239.
- TankeHJ,WiegantJ,vanGijlswijkRP,BezrookoveV,PattenierH,<br>HeetebrijRJ,TalmanEG,RaapAK,VrolijkJ.1999.Newstrategy<br>formulti-colourfluorescenceinsituhybridisation:COBRA:
- COmbinedBinaryRAtiolabelling.EurJHumGenet7.:2–11.<br>vanderLuijtRB,TopsCM,KhanPM,vanderKliftHM,Breukel<br>C,vanLeeuwen-CornelisseIS,DauwerseHG,BeverstockGC,<br>vanNoortE,SnelP,SlorsFJM,VasenHFA,FoddeR.1995.  ${Molecular, cyto genetic, and phenotypic studies of a constraint to nal.}$
- reciprocaltranslocationt(5;10)(q22;q25)responsibleforfamilial<br>adenomatouspolyposisinaDutchpedigree.GenesChromosomesCancer13:192-202.
- VasenHF, WatsonP, MecklinJP, LynchHT. 1999. Newclinical criteriaforhereditarynonpolyposiscolorectalcancer(HNPCC, Lynchsyndrome)proposedbytheInternationalCollaborative<br>GrouponHNPCC.Gastroenterology116:1453–1456.
- WiegantJ,BezrookoveV,RosenbergC,TankeHJ,RaapAK,Zhang<br>H,BittnerM,TrentJM,MeltzerP.2000.Differentiallypainting humanchromosomearmswithcombinedbinaryratio-labeling fluorescenceinsituhybridization.GenomeRes10:861-865.
- WijnenJ,vanderKliftH,VasenH,KhanPM,MenkoF,TopsC,<br>MeijersHeijboerH,LindhoutD,MollerP,FoddeR.1998a.<br>MSH2genomicdeletionsareafrequentcauseofHNPCC.Nat
- WijnenJ,VasenH,MeeraKhanP,ZwindermanAH,vanderKlift<br>
H,MulderA,TopsC,MøllerP,FoddeR.1998b.Clinicalfindings<br>
withimplicationsforgenetictestinginfamilieswithclustering<br>
olorectalcancer.NEnglJMed339:511–518.<br>
WijnenJ,deLeeuwW,
- StormorkenA,Meijers-HeijboerH,LindhoutD,MenkoF,Vos-<br>senS,Mo ¨sleinG,TopsC,Bro = ¨cker-VriendsA,WuY,HofstraR,<br>SijmonsR,CornelisseG,MorreauH,FoddeR.1999.Familial endometrialcancerinfemalecarriersof MSH6 germlinemutations.NatGenet23:142-144.
- YanH,PapadopoulosN,MarraG,PerreraC,JiricnyJ,BolandCR,<br>LynchHT,ChadwickRB,delaChapelleA,BergK,Eshleman<br>JR,YuanW,MarkowitzS,LakenSJ,LengauerC,KinzlerKW, VogelsteinB.2000.Conversionofdiploidytohaploidy.Nature 403:723-724.