

Matrix metalloproteinases in gastric inflammation and cancer : clinical relevance and prognostic impact Kubben, F.J.G.M.

Citation

Kubben, F. J. G. M. (2007, September 27). *Matrix metalloproteinases in gastric inflammation and cancer : clinical relevance and prognostic impact*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/12356

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

CHAPTER 8

Summarizing discussion

Introduction

The studies presented and discussed in this thesis focus on the clinical relevance of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) in gastric inflammation and cancer. Because *Helicobacter pylori* gastritis is associated with gastric cancer [1], studies on the involvement of the gelatinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 in *H. pylori-*induced gastritis are described, including the influence of eradication therapy. Next, studies regarding the clinical impact of MMPs, tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) and neutrophil-gelatinase associated lipocalin (NGAL) in gastric cancer are addressed. As single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within MMP and TIMP genes may be associated with disease susceptibility and altered antigen expression, subsequently the genotype distribution of SNPs of MMPs and TIMPs in gastric cancer was studied, and their relation with established clinicopathological parameters including survival. Finally, the concept of MMP inhibition as a potential treatment modality for gastric inflammation and cancer is discussed.

Matrix metalloproteinases in gastrointestinal inflammation and carcinogenesis

A brief review about the role and function of MMPs, TIMPs and lipocalins in gastrointestinal inflammation and carcinogenesis is provided in **chapter 1**. MMPs are a family of zinc-dependent proteinases that play an important role in destruction and repair of the extracellular matrix and basement membranes in various physiological and pathological processes including gastrointestinal inflammation and carcinogenesis. Depending on their structure and substrate preference, the MMP family is divided into collagenases, stromelysins, matrilysins, gelatinases, elastases and membranetype MT-MMPs [2]. The studies in this thesis focus on MMP-2, MMP-7, MMP-8 and MMP-9 because of their presumed clinical relevance in gastric cancer. Gelatinase-A (MMP-2) and gelatinase-B (MMP-9) are gelatinases that can specifically degrade basement membrane type IV and gelatins. MMP-2 is predominantly produced by stromal cells, whereas MMP-9 is predominantly secreted by inflammatory cells, especially neutrophils [3]. Neutrophil collagenase (MMP-8) is one of the collagenases that is synthesized exclusively by neutrophils before emigration from the bone marrow into the peripheral circulation. Matrilysin (MMP-7) is predominantly expressed by epithelial or tumour cells and can activate pro-MMP-9 [4, 5]. TIMPs are naturally occurring tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases that can form inhibitory complexes with most MMPs. TIMP-1 predominantly binds to pro-MMP-9 and TIMP-2 to pro-MMP-2 [6]. Lipocalins are a group of small extracellular proteins that are involved in various biological processes including the regulation of cell homeostasis, the modulation of the immune response and, as carrier proteins, act in the clearance of endogenous and exogenous substances. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL, lipocalin-2) is stored in secondary granules of neutrophils and can form heterodimers with neutrophil gelatinase-B (MMP-9) [7].

The gelatinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 in *Helicobacter pylori-***induced gastritis**

The results of an investigation whether gastric mucosal MMP-2 and MMP-9 levels were affected by *H. pylori* infection in 45 patients with *H. pylori*-induced gastritis compared to 27 *H. pylori* negative control patients are presented in **chapter 2**. In patients with *H. pylori-induced gastritis, significantly increased MMP-9 levels in both* antrum and corpus mucosa were found, with a good correlation with the severity of the mucosal inflammation. The increase of MMP-9 in the corpus mucosa of patients with antral gastritis only was intermediate to that of *H. pylori* negative control patients and of patients with pangastritis. Immunohistochemically, MMP-9 was predominantly observed in inflammatory and stromal cells, and in zymogen-producing chief cells of corpus mucosa. In contrast, MMP-2 levels were almost unaltered when compared with *H. pylori* negative patients.

As *H. pylori-*induced gastritis is associated with gastric malignancy and *H. pylori*induced gastritis and gastric carcinoma are accompanied by alterations in the MMP levels, subsequently a study was performed to investigate whether *H. pylori-affected* gastric mucosal MMP-2 and MMP-9 levels were reversible after successful eradication therapy (**chapter 3**). Therefore, 58 patients with *H. pylori-*induced gastritis were treated for 14 days with a combination regimen of acid inhibitory therapy and antibiotics. Latent, active and total MMP-9 levels decreased consistently and significantly by successful *H. pylori* eradication, in antrum as well as corpus mucosa, compared with those prior to treatment, irrespective of the therapy regimen used. When treatment failed, however, the elevated levels remained unchanged. MMP-2 levels did not show major alterations after *H. pylori* eradication therapy. In the antrum of gastritis patients, approximately three-fold higher MMP-9 levels were found compared with the corresponding corpus. As MMP-9 is predominantly secreted by inflammatory cells, this finding is consistent with the observation that the active inflammatory reaction, i.e. the number of infiltrating neutrophils and macrophages, in the antrum is similarly more intense compared with the corpus mucosa. The more severe antral inflammation is probably caused by a slow pyloro-cardial progression of gastritis because of a less dense *H. pylori* colonization of the corpus due to local acid production. *H. pylori-* induced gastritis is accompanied by enhanced levels of mucosal cytokines, e.g. TNF-α and IL-8, that induce migration and activation of inflammatory cells and which are also capable of inducing the production of MMP-9 and, to a lesser extent, that of MMP-2 [8, 9]. Activation of the MMP-2 encoding gene by TNF-α and IL-8 is prevented, however, by the absence of an AP-1 binding site [2]. In summary, by successful *H. pylori* eradication, active and chronic inflammation decreased significantly in both antrum and corpus, accompanied by a considerable and significant decrease of latent, active and total MMP-9, particularly in the antrum.

The gelatinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 in gastric cancer

In **chapter 4 and 5**, two studies are presented regarding the presence of several MMPs and TIMPs in gastric cancer and their relationship with clinicopathological parameters, including survival. In the initial pioneer study, as reported in **chapter 4**, we assessed the levels of the gelatinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 in 50 gastric carcinomas and corresponding normal mucosa using quantitative gelatin zymography. In a majority of the gastric carcinomas the MMP-2 and MMP-9 levels were significantly enhanced compared with corresponding gastric mucosa, irrespective of the activity state of the enzymes. No relation was found with histopathological carcinoma classifications according to Laurén, the WHO and the TNM system. According to Cox's multivariate proportional hazards analyses, high MMP-2 and MMP-9 levels were of prognostic significance for a poor overall survival of the patients, independent of the major clinicopathological parameters.

MMPs and TIMPs in gastric cancer

In **chapter 5**, the results of a more comprehensive study are presented and discussed. The gelatinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 were assessed with new techniques in an expanded group of 81 gastric cancer patients, and MMP-7 and MMP-8 as well as TIMP-1 and TIMP-2 were included for comparison. Significantly enhanced levels of all MMPs measured and TIMP-1 were found in tumour tissue compared to normal gastric mucosa. Protein levels of MMP-7, MMP-8 and MMP-9 and the TIMPs showed some correlations with TNM stage, WHO and Laurén classification, but were not related with survival. An enhanced tumour MMP-2 level did not show a significant correlation with any of the clinicopathological parameters, but was confirmed to be an independent prognostic factor in gastric cancer. The consistent prognostic relevance of MMP-2 was underlined by the fact that both the old group of patients (*n* =50), described in

chapter 4, and the more recent group of patients (*n* =31) were independently subdivided based on a low or high MMP-2 antigen content of the carcinoma, using the same cut off value. Several immunohistochemical, zymographic and mRNA studies underscore the importance of MMP-2 as a prognostic indicator for gastric carcinoma patients [10-12]. In contrast to our initial study, high MMP-9 levels did not show a significant correlation with survival nor did the ratio MMP-9/TIMP-1, possibly related to the relatively small number of patients in the intial study and/or the relatively high MMP-9 levels in early gastric carcinomas.

NGAL in gastric cancer

Next to MMP-2 and MMP-9, the zymograms analysed revealed extra bands that are most likely heterodimers of MMP-9 with neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL). The results of an additional analysis to the presence of MMP-9/NGAL complexes in gastric cancer tissue and their possible clinical relevance are described in **chapter 6**. NGAL and MMP-9/NGAL complexes were determined in tissue homogenates from the same 81 gastric cancer patients analyzed in chapter 5 using specific ELISAs and bioactivity assays (BIA). NGAL and MMP-9/NGAL levels were significantly enhanced in gastric carcinomas compared to corresponding normal gastric mucosa. High levels of MMP-9/NGAL complexes in gastric tumours were significantly associated with worse survival in Cox's univariate and multivariate analysis, whereas the levels of NGAL and MMP-9 were not indicative for survival. Not just the enhanced presence, but more the activation state of the proteinase seems therefore crucial for prognosis. Immunohistochemically, MMP-9 as well as NGAL in gastric cancers were mainly present in either the neutrophils or the epithelial cells, depending on the individual cancer and on the location within the tumour. Immunofluorescence double-staining indicated that, although MMP-9 and NGAL were in general present in close proximity, overlap of MMP-9 and NGAL immunoreactivity, presumably indicating complex formation, was limited and mainly restricted to extracellular areas. The prognostic value of MMP-9/ NGAL complexes is in accordance with the postulated role of NGAL in the protection of secreted MMP-9 against autolysis, hence contributing to an enhanced pool of potentially active MMP-9, a proteolytic enzyme associated with angiogenesis and tumour growth [5].

MMP and TIMP gene polymorphisms in gastric cancer

A study regarding the genotype distribution and allele frequencies of SNPs of MMP-2, MMP-7, MMP-8 and MMP-9 and TIMP-1 and TIMP-2 in 79 Caucasian gastric cancer patients in relation to tumour progression, patient survival and tissue antigen expression, is reported in **chapter 7**. The genotype distribution and allele frequencies were similar in gastric cancer patients and controls, except for MMP- $7_{.181A>6}$. In addition, the genotype distribution of MMP-7-181A>G was associated with *H. pylori* status and tumour-related survival of the patients. Single-nucleotide polymorphism TIMP- $2_{303C>T}$ correlated significantly with the WHO classification and also strongly with tumourrelated survival. SNPs of MMP-2, MMP-8, MMP-9 and TIMP-1 were not associated with tumour-related survival. Only the gene promoter MMP- $2_{.1306C>II}$ polymorphism correlated significantly with the protein level within the tumours. First-order dendrogram cluster analysis combined with Cox analysis identified the MMP-7 $_{.1814\text{N}}$ and TIMP-2 $_{303\text{C}}$ polymorphism combination to have a major impact on patients survival outcome.

Therapeutic MMP inhibition

Because overexpression of MMPs in different inflammatory and malignant gastrointestinal diseases facilitates angiogenesis and carcinogenesis, the correction of unbalanced MMP levels would be a straightforward target of treatment. A simple approach to achieve this would be the elimination or inhibition of a causative agent responsible for chronic inflammation and unbalanced expression of MMPs, for example *H. pylori* eradication in *H. pylori-*induced gastritis or altered intestinal flora in pouchitis. After successful *H. pylori* eradication indeed an improvement and normalization of the chronic inflammatory tissue response in the stomach was observed that was accompanied by a significant decrease of MMP-9 levels and almost unchanged MMP-2 levels. Many altered mucosal parameters that have been associated with gastric cancer and its prognosis, like growth factors and cytokines [13-15], plasminogen activators [16, 17] and superoxide dismutases [18, 19] show a reversal after successful *H. pylori* eradication. However, as only a minority of the patients with *H. pylori* gastritis develops gastric cancer on long-term, and since inflammation and genetic diversity might play an important role in cancer susceptibility [20, 21], the question remains whether eradication therapy will result in a decline of gastric cancer incidence. Another example is the decrease of enhanced MMP-1 and MMP-2 levels in patients with pouchitis that were treated with metronidazole [22].

If a causative agent cannot be removed, like in unsuccessful elimination of *H. pylori,* chronic inflammatory diseases and non-resectable cancer, inhibition of MMPs seems a

logical approach. Much effort therefore has been invested in search and development of synthetic MMP inhibitors. The currently known MMP inhibitors are divided into four classes: 1) Tissue Inhibitors of MetalloProteinases (TIMPs); 2) Tetracyclin-derivatives; 3) Peptide-based synthetic MMP inhibitors; and 4) Non-peptidic MMP inhibitors. Synthetic TIMPs are not suitable for oral administration due to their low molecular weight [23]. Tetracyclines have been shown to inhibit MMPs [24, 25] and especially minocycline appeared to be effective in rheumatoid arthritis [26, 27]. Peptidic MMP inhibitors, like batimastat and marimastat, have been developed that mimicked part of the peptide sequence surrounding the point in the collagen molecule first cleaved by interstitial collagenase allowing the inhibitor to fit tightly within the active site of the MMP. The zinc atom in this active site is subsequently chelated through a zinc-binding group [28]. A number of non-peptidic inhibitors like prinomastat has been developed with some efficacy in experimental cancer models [29]. The results of animal studies suggest a potential role for MMP inhibitors in chronic inflammatory diseases including pulmonary emphysema, multiple sclerosis, bacterial meningitis, graft-versus-host disease and colitis [30]. For example, in experimental models of colitis several MMP inhibitors induced decreased MMP-9 levels accompanied by decreased inflammatory scores [31-33]. Unfortunately, MMP inhibitors have not been proven successful in clinical trials for use in most chronic inflammatory diseases or cancer [34-40]. The only example of a clinically available MMP inhibitor for use in chronic inflammation is periostat, which is FDA-approved for use in periodontitis [41]. The only MMP inhibitor with some clinical efficacy in malignancy appeared to be marimastat, that showed a non-significant survival benefit in patients with non-resectable gastric cancer and a significant survival benefit in a sub-group of patients previously treated with chemotherapy (2-year survival of 5% in the placebo group and 18% in the treatment group, respectively)[40]. In higher dosages the use of marimastat was limited because of musculoskeletal side-effects like arthralgia, tendinitis and myalgia [42].

Perspectives

The studies in this thesis describe the clinical impact of several MMPs and TIMPs in *H. pylori-*induced gastritis and gastric cancer. MMP-2, MMP-7, MMP-8 and MMP-9, NGAL, MMP-9/NGAL and TIMP-1 were significantly increased in tumour tissue of gastric cancer patients compared to normal gastric mucosa whereas only enhanced levels of MMP-2 and MMP-9/NGAL complexes were independently related to worse prognosis. Several studies support the finding that MMP-2 is associated with tumour progression and prognosis in gastric cancer [10-12]. Overexpression of individual MMPs is frequently accompanied by a corresponding increased expression of TIMPs, as has been shown for MMP-9 and TIMP-1 in lung cancer patients [43]. The question remains whether enhanced MMP and TIMP levels in gastric cancer result in more functional activity of the enzymes during cancer progression or are merely a sign of deregulated expression [for review see 5].

Preclinical studies have demonstrated that MMP-9 plays an important role in tumourinduced angiogenesis with tumour-associated inflammatory and stromal cells to be the main source of the proteinase. MMP-9-mediated release of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and recruitment of pericytes to the angiogenic vasculature have been postulated as major processes involved in host MMP-9 stimulated angiogenesis. Paradoxically, MMP-9 as well as other MMPs, including MMP-2, MMP-3, MMP-7 and MMP-13, are able to inhibit angiogenesis by proteolytic generation of endogenous inhibitors derived from extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins and non-matrix derived extracellular proteins. These endogenous inhibitors include tumstatin derived from the NC1 domain of type IV collagen, endostatin derived from type XVIII collagen and angiostatin generated from plasminogen. Both pro- and anti-angiogenic properties of MMP-7 have been reported in preclinical studies, as well as the ability to modify the function of proteins that are involved in tumour proliferation, apoptosis and invasion, such as epidermal growth factor (EGF) and tumour-necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) [5].

The lack of correlation between MMP-8 and outcome might be due to the antimetastatic properties of MMP-8, as, for example, human breast carcinoma cells with metastatic potential had dramatically reduced expression of MMP-8 compared to non-metastatic cells [44].

The failure of broad-spectrum MMP inhibitors to improve survival in clinical cancer studies appears to be related to the more complex role of different MMPs in different stages of carcinogenesis than initially thought [45, 46] and has raised the necessity to select appropriate MMPs as drug targets [47]. Because of the enhanced expression in various human tumours, the association with invasiveness and the ability to degrade type IV collagen, MMP-2 is considered a potential target for inhibition. In experimental models it has been shown that MMP-2 is indeed associated with angiogenesis, tumour growth and metastasis [47]. Our results regarding the enhanced MMP-2 levels in gastric tumours, their independent correlation with prognosis and the correlation between the MMP-2_{-1306C>T} polymorphism and tumour MMP-2 levels further support the view that MMP-2 is a potential drug target. Based on animal studies, MMP-7 has also been proposed as an anticancer drug target [47]. This is further substantiated by our finding of enhanced gastric tumour levels of MMP-7, the correlation with tumour stage and the correlation of the MMP-7_{-181A>G} polymorphism with prognosis. MMPs -3 and -8 should not be inhibited because of their essential role in homeostasis and are therefore considered anti-targets. MMP-9 has pro-tumourigenic effects early in the malignant process stimulating angiogenesis but has anti-tumourigenic properties in advanced disease, which makes it a difficult drug target. MMP-1, MMP-2, MMP-3, MMP-9, MMP-13 and MMP-14 are involved in cleavage and inactivation of CXCL12, a chemokine that attracts metastasizing cells, and inhibition of these MMPs might even stimulate metastasis [47, 48]. To understand the pleiotropic roles of MMPs in cancer *in vivo* and to select appropriate target MMPs, it is necessary to fully elucidate the MMP substrate degradome. This will facilitate the development of effective MMP inhibitors directed against target MMPs and avoiding anti-target MMPs [49]. An example of a selective MMP inhibitor is Ro-28-2653, an inhibitor with high selectivity for MMP-2, MMP-9 and membrane-type 1 (MT1)-MMP [50], that has recently been shown to decrease livermetastasis in an animal model of pancreatic cancer [51]. However, it remains a challenge to develop effective MMP inhibitors due to redundancy and similarities in MMP active sites. The clinical trials performed until now, have been carried out in advanced stages of aggressive cancers. A possible application is the use of MMP inhibitors earlier in the disease process in benign tumors and in secondary prevention of cancer, for example by chemoprevention of colorectal cancer in highrisk groups [52]. In experimental models, MMP inhibitors were effective in reducing metastatic cell growth and the metastasis-associated bone remodeling [53, 54]. One remaining therapeutic opportunity may therefore be the inhibition of matrix degradation in advanced cancers in order to limit bone metastases. Other techniques under investigation are RNA silencing technology for downregulation of endogenous MMP expression [55] and liposomal drug targeting against MT-MMP [56].

Conclusion

MMPs have important functions in normal physiology as well as in inflammatory processes and carcinogenesis, with distinct patterns of expression at different times and sites of progression. MMPs are associated with prognosis and are independent prognostic factors in gastrointestinal malignancies including gastric cancer. The concept that inhibition of matrix degradation could improve survival in gastrointestinal malignancy could not be substantiated until now, due to dose-limiting toxicity, the advanced stage of the cancer in the patients treated and the lack of evidence that inhibition of matrix degradation will result in inhibition of disease progression and improved survival. Assessment of MMP profiles at time of diagnosis by measurement of MMP protein levels and determination of selected SNPs of MMPs to select patients who would potentially benefit from (neo-)adjuvant therapy in gastrointestinal cancer has not been investigated until now, but deserves more attention [57]. The development of semi-selective MMP inhibitors aimed at target MMPs without musculoskeletal side effects in therapeutic dosages is another promising field of interest that is being explored now. In addition, MMP inhibitors should be investigated for their use earlier in the malignant process and for use in combination with other therapeutic modalities [58].

References

- 1. Parsonnet J, Friedman GD, Vandersteen DP, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the risk of gastric carcinoma. N Engl J Med 1991; 325: 1127-31
- 2. Parsons SL, Watson SA, Brown PD, Collins HM and Steele RJ. Matrix Metalloproteinases. Br J Surg 1997; 84: 160-6
- 3. Pender SLF and MacDonald TT. Matrix metalloproteinases and the gut new roles for old enzymes. Current opinion in pharmacology 2004; 4: 546-50
- 4. Medina C and Radomski MW. Role of matrix metalloproteinases in intestinal inflammation. JPET 2006; 318: 933-8
- 5. Deryugina EI and Quigley JP. Matrix metalloproteinases and tumor metastasis. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2006; 25: 9-34
- 6. Lambert E, Dassé E, Haye B and Petitfrère E. TIMPs as multifacial proteins. Crit Rev Oncol/ Hematol 2004; 49: 187-98
- 7. Borregaard N and Cowland B. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, a siderophorebinding eukaryotic protein. BioMetals 2006; 19: 211-5
- 8. Crabtree JE, Shallcross TM, Heatly RV and Wyatt JI. Mucosal tumour necrosis factor alpha and interleukin-6 in patients with *Helicobacter pylor*i associated gastritis. Gut 1991; 2: 1473-7
- 9. Crabtree JE, Covacci A, Farmery ASM, Xiang Z, Tompkins DS, Perry S, Lindley IJ and Rappuoli R. *Helicobacter pylori* induced interleukin-8 expression in gastric epithelial cells is associated with CagA positive phenotype. J Clin Path 1995; 48: 41-5
- 10. Allgayer H, Babic R, Beyer BC, Grutzner KU, Tarabichi A, Schildberg FW and Heiss MM. Prognostic relevance ofMMP-2 (72-kDa collagenase IV) in gastric cancer. Oncology 1998; 55: 152-60
- 11. Mönig SP, Baldus SE, Hennecken JK, Spiecker DB, Grass G, Schneider PM, Thiele J, Dienes HP and Holscher AH. Expression of MMP-2 is associated with progression and lymph node metastasis of gastric carcinoma. Histopathology 2001; 39: 597-602
- 12. Kabashima A, Maehara Y, Kakeji Y, Baba H, Koga T, Sugimachi K. Clinicopathological features and overexpression of matrix metalloproteinases in intramucosal gastric carcinoma with lymph node metastasis. Clin Cancer Res 2000; 6: 3581-4
- 13. Fox JG and Wang TC. Inflammation, atrophy, and gastric cancer. J Clin Invest 2007; 117: 60-9
- 14. Basset C, Holton J, Gatta L, Ricci C, Bernabucci V, Liuzzi G and Vaira D. *Helicobacter pylori* infection: anything new should we know? Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2004; 20 (Suppl 2): 31-41
- 15. Tummala S, Keates S and Kelly CP. Update on the immunologic basis of *Helicobacter pylori* gastritis. Current opinion in gastroenterology 2004; 20: 592-7
- **Chapter 8**
	- 16. Götz JM, Ravensbergen JW, Verspaget HW, Biemond I, Sier CFM, Offerhaus GJA, Lamers CBHW and Veenendaal RA. The effect of treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection on gastric mucosal plasminogen activators. Fibrinolysis 1996; 10 (Suppl. 2): 85-9
	- 17. Ganesh S, Sier CFM, Heerding MM, van Krieken JHJM, Griffioen G, Welvaart K, van de Velde CJH, Verheijen JH, Lamers CBHW and Verspaget HW. Prognostic value of the plasminogen activation system in patients with gastric carcinoma. Cancer 1996; 77: 1035-43
	- 18. Götz JM, Thio JL, Verspaget HW, Offerhaus GJA, Biemond I, Lamers CBHW and Veenendaal RA. Treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection favourably affects gastric mucosal superoxide dismutases. Gut 1997; 40: 591-6
	- 19. Janssen AML, Bosman CB, van Duijn W, Oostendorp-van de Ruit MM, Kubben FJGM, Grif oen G, Lamers CBHW, van Krieken JHJM, van de Velde CJ and Verspaget HW. Superoxide dismutases in gastric and esophageal cancer and the prognostic impact in gastric cancer. Clinical Cancer Research 2000; 6: 3183-92
	- 20. Ito M, Tanaka S, Kamada T, Haruma K and Chayama K. Causal role of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and eradication therapy in gastric carcinogenesis. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12: 10-6
	- 21. Peek RM and Crabtree JE. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and gastric neoplasia. J Pathol 2006; 208: 233-48
	- 22. Stallmach A, Chan CC, Ecker KW, Feifel G, Herbst H, Schuppan D and Zeitz M. Comparable expression of matrix metalloproteinases 1 and 2 in pouchitis and ulcerative colitis. Gut 2000; 47: 415-22
	- 23. Wojtowicz-Praga SM, Dickson RB and Hawkins MJ. Matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors. Invest New Drugs 1997; 15: 61-75
	- 24. Golub LM, Ramamurthy NS, McNamara TF, Gomes B, Wolff M, Cianco A, Kapoor A, Zambon J, Ciancio S, Schneir M and Perry H. Tetracyclines inhibit tissue collagenase activity. J Peridont Res 1984; 19: 651-695
	- 25. Greenwald RA, Golub LM, Lavietes B, Ramamurthy NS, Gruber B, Laskin RS and McNemara TF. Tetracyclines inhibit human synovial collagenase in vivo and in vitro. J Rheum 1987; 14: 28-32
	- 26. Kloppenburg M, Breedveld FC, Terwiel JP, Mallee C and Dijkmans BAC. Minocycline in active rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1994; 37: 629-636
	- 27. O'Dell JR, Haire CE, Palmer W, Drymalski W, Wees S, Blakely K, Churchill M, Eckhoff PJ, Weaver A, Doud D, Eriskon N, Dietz F, Olson R, Maloley P, Klassen LW and Moore GF. Treatment of early rheumatoid arthritis with mincycline or placebo: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum 1997; 40: 842-848
	- 28. Beckett RP, Davidson AH, Drummond AH, Huxley P and Whittaker M. Recent advances in matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor research. Drug Dev Today 1996; 1: 16-26
	- 29. Liu J, Tsao MS, Pagura M, Shalinksy DR, Khoka R, Fata J and Johnston MR. Early combined treatment with carboplatin and the MMP inhibitor, prinomastat, prolongs survival and reduces systemic metastasis in an aggressive orthotopic lung cancer model. Lung cancer 2003; 42: 335-344
	- 30. Brinckerhoff CE, Matrisian LM. Matrix metalloproteinases: a tail of a frog that became a prince. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2002; 3: 207-14
	- 31. Sykes AP, Bhogal R, Brampton C, Chander C, Whelan C, Parsons ME and Bird J. The effect of an inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinases on colonic inflammation in a trinitroben-

zenesulphonic acid rat model of inflammatory bowel disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1999; 13: 1535-42

- 32. Di Sebastiano P, di Mola FF, Artese L, Rossi C, Mascetta G, Pernthaler H and Innocenti P. Beneficial effects of Batimastat (BB-94), a matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor, in rat experimental colitis. Digestion 2001; 63: 234-39
- 33. Medina C, Videla S, Radomski A, Radomski M, Antolin M, Guarner F, Vilaseca J, Salas A and Malagelada JR. Therapeutic effect of phenantroline in two rat models of inflammatory bowel disease. Scand J Gastroenterol 2001; 36: 1314-19
- 34. Shepherd FA, Giaccone G, Seymour L, Debruyne C, Bezjak A, Hirsh V, et al. Prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of marimastat after response to first-line chemotherapy in patients with small-lung cancer: a trial of the National Cancer Institute of Canada-Clinical Trials Group and the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20: 4434-4439
- 35. Smylie M, Mercier R, Aboulafia D, Tucker R, Bonomi P, Collier M, et al. Phase III study of the matrix metalloprotease (MMP) inhibitor prinomastat in patients having advanced non-small lung cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 2001; 20: 307a
- 36. Bissett D, O'Byrne K, von Pawel J, Mercier R, Price A, Nicolson M, et al. Phase III study of the matrix metalloprotease inhibitor prinomastat in combination with gemcitabine and cisplatin in non-small cell lung cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 2002; 21: 296a
- 37. Bramhall S, Rosemurgy A, Brown PD, Bowry C and Buckels JAC. Marimastat as first-line therapy for patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer: a randomized trial. J Clin Oncol 2001; 19: 3447-3455
- 38. Bramhall SR, Schulz J, Nemunaitis J, Brown PD, Baillet M and Buckels JAA. A double-blind placebo-controlled, randomized study comparing gemcitabine and marimastat with gemcitabine and placebo as first line therapy in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. Br J Cancer 2002; 87: 161-167
- 39. Moore M, Hamm J, Eisenberg P, Dagenais M, Hagan K, Fields A, et al. A comparison between gemcitabine (GEM) and the matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) inhibitor BAY12- 9566 in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 2000; 19: 240a
- 40. Bramhall SR, Hallissey MT, Whiting J, Scholefield J, Tierney G, Stuart RC, Hawkins RE, Mc-Culloch P, Maughan T, Brown PD, Baillet M and Fielding JWL. Marimastat as maintenance therapy for patients with advanced gastric cancer: a randomised trial. Br J Cancer 2002; 86: 1864-1870
- 41. Turk B. Targeting proteases: successes, failures and future prospects. Nature Rev Drug Discov 2006; 5: 785-99
- 42. Nemunaitis J, Poole C, Primrose J, Rosemurgy A, Malfetano J. Brown PD et al. Combined analysis of studies of the effects of the matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor marimastat on serum tumour markers in advanced cancer: Selection of a biologically active and tolerable dose for longer-term studies. Clin Canc Res 1998; 4: 1101-11
- 43. Jumper C, Cobos E and Lox C. Determination of the serum matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) and tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase-1 (TIMP-1) in patients with either advanced small-cell lung cancer or non-small-cell lung cancer prior to treatment. Respir Med 2004; 98: 173-7
- 44. Agarwal D, Goodison S, Nicholson B, Tarin D, Urquidi V. Expression of matrix metalloproteinase 8 (MMP-8) and tyrosinase-related protein-1 (TYRP-1) correlates with the absence

144 Chapter 8

of metastasis in an isogenic human breast cancer model. Differentiation 2003; 71: 114-25

- 45. Sparano JA, Gray R, Giantino B, O'Dwyer P and Comis RL. Evaluating antiangiogenesis agents in the clinic: the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group portfolio of clinical trials. Clinical Cancer Research 2004; 10: 1206-1211
- 46. Coussens LM, Fingleton B, Matrisian LM. Matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors and cancer: trials and tribulations. Science 2002; 295: 2387-92
- 47. Overall CM and Kleifeld O. Tumour microenvironment-opinion: Validating matrix metalloproteinases as drug targets and anti-targets for cancer therapy. Nature Rev Cancer 2006; 6: 227-39
- 48. Overall CM and Kleifeld O. Towards third generation matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors for cancer therapy. Br J Cancer 2006; 94: 941-6
- 49. Overall CM and Dean RA. Degradomics: systems biology of the protease web. Pleiotropic roles of MMPs in cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2006; 25: 69-75
- 50. Maquoi E, Sounni NE, Devy L, Olivier F, Frankenne F, Krell HW, Grams F, Foidart JM, Noel A. Anti-invasive, antitumoral, and antiangiogenic efficacy of a pyrimidine-2,4,6-trione derivative, an orally active and selective matrix metalloproteinases inhibitor. Clin Cancer Res 2004; 10: 4038-47
- 51. Kilian M, Gregor JI, Heukamp I, Hanel M, Ahlgrimm M, Schimke I, Kristiansen G, Ommer A, Walz MK, Jacobi CA, Wenger FA. Matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor RO 28-2653 decreases liver metastasis by reduction of MMP-2 and MMP-9 concentration in BOP-induced ductal pancreatic cancer in Syrian Hamsters: inhibition of matrix metalloproteinases in pancreatic cancer. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids 2006; 75: 429-34
- 52. Viner JL, Umar A and Hawk ET. Chemoprevention of colorectal cancer: problems, progress, and prospects. Gastroenterol Clin North Am. 2002; 4: 971-999
- 53. Nemeth JA, Harb JF, Barroso U, He Z, Grignon DJ and Cher ML. Severe combined immunodeficient-hu model of human prostate cancer metastasis to human bone. Cancer Res 1999; 59: 1987-1993
- 54. Waltham M, Tester A, Ruangpanit N, Bills M, Shalinsky DR and Thompson EW. Prinomastat inhibits primary tumor growth and retards osteolytic disease in xenograft models of breast cancer metastasis. In: 23rd Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium, San Antonio, TX, 2000
- 55. Ueda J, Kajita M, Suenaga N, Fujii K and Seiki M. Sequence-specific silencing of MT1-MMP expression suppresses tumor cell migration and invasion: importance of MT1-MMP as a therapeutic target for invasive tumors. Oncogene 2003; 22: 8716-8722
- 56. Kondo M, Asai T, Katanasaka Y, Sadzuka Y, Tsukada H, Ogino K, Taki T, Baba K and Oku N. Anti-neovascular therapy by liposomal drug targeted to membrane type-1 matrix metalloproteinase. Int J Cancer 2004; 108: 301-306
- 57. Cunningham D, Allum WH, Stenning SP, Thompson JN, van de Velde CJ, Nicolson M, Scarffe JH, Lofts FJ, Falk SJ, Iveson TJ, Smith DB, Langley RE, Verema M, Weeden S, Chua YJ, MAGIC trial participants. Perioperative chemotherapy versus surgery alone for respectable gastroesophageal cancer. N Engl J Med 2006; 355: 11-20
- 58. Matrisian LM, Sledge GW and Mohla S. Extracellular proteolysis and cancer: meeting summary and future directions. Cancer Res 2003; 63: 6105-6109