Universiteit Leiden
The Netherlands

Molecular mechanisms of epithelial host defense in the airways

Vos, J.B.

Citation
Vos, J. B. (2007, January 11). *Molecular mechanisms of epithelial host defense in the airways*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/9749

Version:	Corrected Publisher's Version
License:	Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/9749

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

CHAPTER 6

A MOLECULAR SIGNATURE OF EPITHELIAL HOST DEFENSE: COMPARATIVE GENE EXPRESSION ANALYSIS OF CULTURED BRONCHIAL EPITHELIAL CELLS AND KERATINOCYTES

Joost B. Vos [1], Nicole A. Datson [4], Antoine H.C. van Kampen [3], Angela C. Luyf [3], Renate M. Verhoosel [1], Patrick L. Zeeuwen [2], Diana Olthuis [2], Klaus F. Rabe [1], Joost Schalkwijk [2], Pieter S. Hiemstra [1]

[1] Department of Pulmonology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

[2] Laboratory of Skin Biology and Experimental Dermatology, Nijmegen Center for Molecular Life Sciences, Radboud University Medical Center Nijmegen, The Netherlands

[3] Bioinformatics Laboratory, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[4] Department of Medical Pharmacology, Leiden Amsterdam Center for Drug Research, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

BMC Genomics, 2006 Jan 18;7(1):9

ABSTRACT

Background: Epithelia are barrier-forming tissues that protect the organism against external noxious stimuli. Despite the similarity in function of epithelia, to date only few common protective mechanisms that are employed by these tissues have been systematically studied. Comparative analysis of genome-wide expression profiles generated by means of SAGE is a powerful approach to yield further insight into epithelial host defense mechanisms. We performed an extensive comparative analysis of SAGE data sets of two different types of epithelial cells, namely bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes, in which the response to pro-inflammatory cytokines was assessed. These data sets were used to elucidate a common denominator in epithelial host defense.

Results: Bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes were found to have a high degree of overlap in gene expression. Using an *in silico* approach, an epithelial-specific molecular signature of gene expression was identified in bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes comprising of family members of keratins, small proline-rich proteins and proteinase inhibitors. Whereas some of the identified genes were known to be involved in inflammation, the majority of the signature represented genes that were previously not associated with host defense. Using polymerase chain reaction, presence of expression of selected tissue-specific genes could be validated.

Conclusion: Our comparative analysis of gene transcription reveals that bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes both express a subset of genes which are likely to be essential in epithelial barrier formation in these cell types. The expression of these genes is specific for bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes and is not seen in non-epithelial cells. We show that bronchial epithelial cells, similar to keratinocytes, express components that are able to form a cross-linked protein envelope that may contribute to an effective barrier against noxious stimuli and pathogens.

BACKGROUND

Epithelial tissues in the mammalian airways and skin are among the largest organs and form the interface between the internal milieu of the host and the outside world. They not only protect the host against invading pathogens but also provide an effective barrier to noxious external (chemical and physical) stimuli and dehydration [1,2]. The effectiveness of the epithelial barrier is demonstrated by the rare incidence of severe infections to the lung or skin in healthy individuals. It has become clear that epithelia also play an active role in innate and adaptive immunity [3,4]. Epithelial tissues display three main mechanisms to protect the organism from infection. First, epithelial cells form an impermeable physical barrier which both prevents pathogen entry and minimizes dehydration. Second, epithelial cells are capable of producing defense molecules such as antimicrobial peptides and proteinase inhibitors. Finally, epithelial cells are able to produce signaling molecules such as cytokines and chemokines. These molecules may attract or activate cells of the innate and adaptive immune system [5,6]. Interaction between cells of the immune system is mediated by adhesion molecules and cytokine receptors [7,8] that are present on epithelial cells.

Host defense mechanisms in epithelial cells are coordinated by a complex program of gene expression. Very powerful and sophisticated laboratory techniques such as SAGE [9] and DNA microarrays [10] have been developed to assess the expression of thousands of genes at the mRNA level in a single experiment. To delineate the barrier function of epithelial cells, the transcriptional change induced by pro-inflammatory cytokines was recently assessed by means of SAGE in two well-established culture models of epithelial inflammation using subcultures of primary bronchial epithelial cells [11] and primary keratinocytes [12]. These independent studies showed a marked overlap in gene families expressed in response to pro-inflammatory cytokines in both cell types. Upon cytokine exposure, in particular genes associated with cytoskeletal architecture and epidermal barrier function such as keratins, S100 calcium-binding proteins and various antimicrobial proteinase inhibitors were differentially expressed. These studies indicate that bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes might respond similarly to external influences to ultimately provide effective host protection. This is especially of interest because the epithelia of the skin and conducting airways are markedly different in morphology. The potential functional resemblance of these types of epithelia is also demonstrated by comparative analysis of genetic studies in patients with asthma and atopic dermatitis showing that similar patterns of gene expression may contribute to susceptibility to these diseases [13]. This prompted us to a conduct a comparative analysis of gene expression in culture models of epithelial inflammation. The aim was to test the hypothesis whether bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes employ similar mechanisms for providing effective host defense at these epithelia.

Therefore, in the present study SAGE data sets derived from bronchial epithelial cells [11] and keratinocytes [12] that were exposed to pro-inflammatory cytokines were compared to identify a common denominator in host defense in the different types of epithelial cells. SAGE libraries of resting and IL-1β/TNFα-exposed primary bronchial epithelial cells (~28,000 tags in each library) were compared to SAGE libraries of resting and TNFα-exposed human primary keratinocytes (~13,000 tags in each library). The *in silico* method "tissue preferential expression" [14] was used for the recognition of putative cell-specific gene expression in these SAGE libraries. To verify the *in silico* prediction analysis of tissue specific gene expression, polymerase chain reaction was performed on seven target genes that were identified by the Tissue Preferential Expression (TPE) algorithm in a panel of nine different cell types. We have identified and validated a signature of specific gene expression for bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes. The majority of genes in this signature was previously not associated with host defense or inflammation. These results indicate that epithelia of the airways and skin exploit unified host defense strategies to protect the host, despite their morphological differences.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experimental SAGE data

SAGE libraries that were compared in this study were derived from two models of epithelial inflammation in which primary bronchial epithelial cells and primary keratinocytes were used. The bronchial epithelial cells were exposed for 6 hours to a mixture of IL-1β and TNFα [11] whereas the keratinocytes were exposed for 48 hours to TNFα [12]. All libraries were constructed using *Nla*III as anchoring enzyme and BsmFI as tagging enzyme according to the protocol described previously [9]. The data discussed in this publication have been previously deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/; [32]) and are accessible through GEO accession numbers GSM37337 (PBEC_unstimulated), GSM37339 (PBEC_IL-1beta/TNFalpha), GSM1121 (NormCultKC_Diff) and GSM1122 (TNF_AlphaCultKC). Each of the PBEC libraries contained approximately 28.000 tags whereas the KC libraries contained approximately 13.000 tags per library. Statistical analyses were performed on the non-normalized libraries within tissues only using the SAGE2000 v4.13. Tags occurring only once were discarded from the SAGE libraries for further analysis.

For tag mapping, the libraries were compared with NCBI's "reliable Unigene cluster to SAGE tag map" (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/sage; [33]) and with SAGEgenie of the Cancer Genome Anatomy Project (http://cgap.nci.nih.gov/SAGE; [34]). Both maps were based on Unigene build#171. Additionally, to enhance the reliability of tag identity we included the virtual tag classification as used in SAGEgenie to assess the location of each

tag within the corresponding transcript. Reliable tags can be discriminated from tags that are not isolated from the 3'-end such as internally primed transcripts and tags derived from internal *Nla*III restriction sites [34,35]. In the data set comparisons, only tags were included that were derived from the most 3' restriction site of *Nla*III, tags that matched to undefined 3'-end transcripts and tags for which no additional information was available. The last category may contain tags that correspond to novel transcripts.

TPE analysis

Epithelial-specific gene expression in PBEC and KC was identified using the Tissue Preferential Expression (TPE) algorithm [14]. The calculated Tissue Preferential Expression (TPE) value is based both on the presence of a particular tags and its level of expression in the SAGE library of interest in comparison to a panel of reference SAGE libraries derived from a range of different whole tissues. To allow calculation of TPE values, each of the PBEC and KC SAGE libraries as well as the reference libraries were normalized to an equal number of tags per library. TPE values were determined for each tag in the individual PBEC and KC libraries by selecting each of the libraries as library of interest before applying the TPE algorithm. After calculation, the TPE values were ranked according to their value. Large positive TPE values represent tissue-specific genes that are overexpressed in the PBEC and/or KC libraries. Tags with TPE values of <4 were excluded from further analysis since these tags occur very frequently in other cell types as well. The threshold value for the TPE analysis that is indicative for tissue-specific expression was chosen very high to prevent possible false positives. Tags with a corresponding TPE value of ≥9 is indicative for tissue preferential expression in the epithelial cells used in this analysis.

Cell culture

Primary Bronchial Epithelial Cells (PBEC) and Primary Keratinocytes (KC): Subcultures of human primary bronchial epithelial cells and human primary keratinocytes were derived and cultured as described previously [36,37].

A549 and NCI-H292 cells: the lung derived epithelial cell lines A549 (CCL-185, American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD, USA) and NCI-H292 (CRL-1848, American Type Culture Collection) were cultured according to the supplier's recommendation. Prior to stimulation, cells were cultured overnight in serum free medium.

Human airway smooth muscle cells (HASM): Human airway smooth muscle cells (HASM) from two donors were purchased from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA) and were cultured as described previously [38].

Human mast cells (HMC-1):HMC-1 were kindly provided by J.H. Butterfield [39] and were cultured in IMDM medium containing 25 mM Hepes, 2 mM L-glutamine, 20 U/ml penicillin, 20 µg/ml streptomycin (all from Bio Whittaker, Walkersville, MD), 5 µg/ml apo-transferrin, 0,36% β-mercaptoethanol and 10% heat-inactivated Fetal Calf Serum (FCS; Gibco-

BRL/Life Technologies, Breda, The Netherlands). Prior to stimulation, cells were cultured overnight in serum free medium (same as above, without heat-inactivated FCS).

Human lung fibroblasts (HFL-1): HFL-1 (CCL-153, American Type Culture Collection) were cultured according to the supplier's recommendations. Prior to stimulation, cells were cultured overnight in serum free medium.

Monocytes: CD14-purified monocytes were kindly provided by the department of Nephrology (Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands), and were resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20 U/ml penicillin, 20 µg/ml streptomycin, 2 mM glutamine (all from Bio Whittaker) and 10% heat-inactivated FCS and were seeded in 6-wells plates to allow adherence. After 2 hours, the medium was replaced by serum free medium (same as above, without heat-inactivated FCS) for overnight incubation prior to stimulation.

Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC): HUVEC were kindly provided by the department of Nephrology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands. All cell cultures were performed at 37°C, 5% CO_2 and 95% relative humidity.

Stimulation of cell cultures

All cell types, except for the keratinocytes, were stimulated for 6 hours with either medium alone, a mixture of the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β (20 ng/ml; PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ) and TNFα (20 ng/ml; PeproTech). Keratinocytes were stimulated with TNFα (25 ng/ml) for 48 hours as described previously [12].

Reverse Transcription PCR

RT-PCR was used to verify preferential expression of genes identified in the TPE analysis. Total RNA from the nine different cell cultures was extracted using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen Benelux BV, Venlo, The Netherlands) and on-column DNA digestion was performed with DNase I (Qiagen), all according to the manufacturer's instructions. Single-stranded cDNA was synthesized of total RNA using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase primed with Oligo-dT (both from Invitrogen/Life Technologies, Breda, The Netherlands) in the presence of a RNase inhibitor (RNaseOUT; Invitrogen/Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Gene-specific primers were designed for keratin 6A (KRT6A), small proline-rich protein and 1B (SPRR1B), the calcium-binding protein S100A2, IL-1 family member 9 (IL-1F9), calmodulin-like 5 (CALML5) and β-actin (ACTB) as internal control ***(Table 1)***. Primers for the small proline-rich protein 1A and 2A [40] were kindly provided by Claude Backendorf. Primers were synthesized by Isogen (Maarssen, The Netherlands). All PCR reactions were carried out according to the following PCR conditions: initial denaturation of 3 minutes at 95°C, then 35 cycles of 15 seconds denaturing at 95°C, 15 seconds primer annealing, 30 seconds elongation at 72°C, and a final extension of 3 minutes at 72°C in the last cycle. For β-actin, the cycle number was limited

Table 1: Primer sequences and conditions for RT-PCR. Gene sequences used for primer design were retrieved from the Ensembl website (http://www.ensembl.org). Primer sequences, annealing temperature and $MgCl_2$ concentration used in PCR reactions are listed.

Target	Ensembl ID	*Sense*	*Antisense*	Annealing T (°C)	MgCl2 (mM)
KRT6A	ENSG00000074729	5′-CTG AGG CTG AGT CCT GGT AC-3′	5′-GTT CTT GGC ATC CTT GAG G-3′	56	2.5
SPRR1A	ENSG00000169474	5′-ACA CAG CCC ATT CTG CTC CG-3′	5′-TGC AAA GGA GCG ATT ATG ATT-3′	52	2
SPRR1B	ENSG00000169469	5′-AGA CCA AGC AGA AGT AAT GTG-3′	5′-AGA CCT TCA GCT TCA TTC AGA G-3′	61	4
SPRR2A	ENSG00000163212	5′-TGG TAC CTG AGC ACT GAT CTG CC-3′	5′-CCA AAT ATC CTT ATC CTT TCT TGG-3′	58	2
IL1F9	ENSG00000136688	5′-TGG GAA TCC AGA ATC CAG-3′	5′-TTG GCA CGG TAG AAA AGG-3′	61	3.5
S100A2	ENSG00000160675	5′-CAA GAG GGC GAC AAG TTC-3′	5′-GCC CAT CAG CTT CTT CAG-3′	59	3
CALML5	ENSG00000178372	5′-GGT TGA CAC GGA TGG AAA CG-3′	5′-AAC CTC GGA GAT GAG TTT CCT TAG-3′	60	3
ACTB	ENSG00000075624	5′-AAG GAA GGC TGG AAG AGT GC -3′	5′-CTA CAA TGA GCT GCG TGT GG -3′	56	2

to 25. PCR products were visualized on 1% agarose gels using ethidium bromide. Both the reverse transcription and PCR reactions were performed on a Biometra T-Gradient thermocycler (Biometra GmbH, Goettingen, Germany).

RESULTS

Transcriptional overlap between PBEC and KC and epithelial-specific gene expression upon cytokine exposure was characterized. By comparing the four SAGE libraries of primary bronchial epithelial cells (PBEC) and keratinocytes (KC), an overlap in tags of approximately 80% was observed indicating a high similarity in the repertoire of genes expressed by these types of epithelial cells. Although remarkable commonalities were found in gene families found to be expressed by PBEC and KC, the repertoire of transcribed family members differed among the two cell types ***(Table 2)***. To extract a pattern of genes that is specifically expressed in epithelial cells that could likely be involved in epithelial host defense we explored which of the genes are preferentially expressed by PBEC and KC using the TPE algorithm. The scatter plot in ***Figure 1*** displays the individual tags observed in the cytokine-exposed PBEC and KC libraries. Each dot represents a single tag with the corresponding TPE values for PBEC and KC. In this analysis, four groups of tags were identified: epithelial non-specific tags *(i)*, tags preferentially expressed by

Table 2: Inventory of gene families and their members expressed by PBEC and/or KC. Expression of these gene families was observed in both PBEC and KC, whereas these cells differ in the expression pattern of the individual family members. From left to right the SAGE tag sequence, SAGE tag counts as observed in the SAGE libraries (unstimulated PBEC, IL1β/TNFα-stimulated PBEC, unstimulated KC and TNFα-stimulated KC), HUGO approved gene symbol and gene description and the calculated Tissue Preferential Expression Values (unstimulated PBEC, IL1β/TNFα-stimulated PBEC, unstimulated KC and TNFα-stimulated KC) are indicated. No TPE values could be calculated for those tags that were absent in one or more libraries and are indicated by (*) in the table. [#] Part of the SAGE tag counts have been previously published in [11,12]; all tag counts are available online through the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/geo/) with GEO accessions as listed in the methods.

	SAGE Tag count[#]						Tissue Preferential Expression values			
SAGE Tag Sequence	**PBEC CTRL**	**PBEC IL1β/ TNFα**	**KC CTRL**	**KC TNFα**	**Symbol**	**Description**	**PBEC CTRL**	**PBEC IL1β/ TNFα**	**KC CTRL**	**KC TNFα**
Keratins										
ACATTTCAAA	0	0	145	127	KRT1	keratin 1	*	*	13,4	12,9
GCCCCTGCTG	96	180	108	115	KRT5	keratin 5	10,2	10,9	11,4	11,6
AAAGCACAAG	267	252	136	235	KRT6A	keratin 6A	12,0	11,9	12,3	12,6
CGAATGTCCT	21	60	84	97	KRT6B	keratin 6B	9,7	10,7	12,2	12,3
GATGTGCACG	12	26	419	514	KRT14	keratin 14	8,6	9,2	13,5	13,5
CAGCTGTCCC	21	25	26	29	KRT16	keratin 16	9,9	10,0	11,1	11,3
CTTCCTTGCC	181	216	431	380	KRT17	keratin 17	9,8	10,0	12,7	12,4
GACATCAAGT	20	48	0	0	KRT19	keratin 19	5,6	6,5	*	*
Small proline-rich proteins										
CTGTCACCCT	9	25	126	75	SPRR1A	small proline-rich protein 1A	10,3	11,1	13,8	12,9
CCCTTGAGGA	27	56	264	176	SPRR1B	small proline-rich protein 1B	10,1	10,8	13,5	12,8
ATGATCCCTG	3	12	2	0	SPRR2A	small proline-rich protein 2A	9,1	9,9	10,4	*
TTTCCTGCTC	91	75	0	2	SPRR3	small proline-rich protein 3	9,1	8,9	*	6,3
Calcium binding proteins										
GATCTCTTGG	123	170	103	113	S100A2	S100 calcium binding protein A2	8,5	9,0	9,0	9,0
CCCCCTGGAT	61	133	82	32	S100A6	S100 calcium binding protein A6	<4	4,1	<4	<4

TACCTGCAGA	139	214	28	77	S100A8	S100 calcium binding protein A8	5,8	6,4	4,2	5,5
GTGGCCACGG	121	419	77	156	S100A9	S100 calcium binding protein A9	5,6	7,3	6,2	7,2
AGCAGATCAG	114	86	35	70	S100A10	S100 calcium binding protein A10	<4	<4	<4	<4
CAGGCCCCAC	15	29	9	11	S100A11	S100 calcium binding protein A11	<4	<4	<4	<4
TGGGGAGAGG	53	51	30	32	S100A14	S100 calcium binding protein A14	7,7	7,7	8,7	8,7
AGCAGGAGCA	26	54	40	18	S100A16	S100 calcium binding protein A16	4,3	5,3	5,2	4,2
ATCCGCGAGG	0	0	30	29	CALML5	calmodulin-like 5	*	*	12,0	11,6
Annexins										
AGAAAGATGT	102	62	7	7	ANXA1	annexin A1	4,5	<4	<4	<4
CTTCCAGCTA	16	43	77	109	ANXA2	annexin A2	<4	<4	4,5	5,0
AAGGGCGCGG	4	8	12	0	ANXA3	annexin A3	<4	4,2	4,7	*
TTGTTATTGC	5	0	2	5	ANXA7	annexin A7	<4	*	<4	<4
CCCTCAGCAC	3	2	0	14	ANXA8	annexin A8	7,9	7,6	*	9,9
Proteinase inhibitors										
ATCCTTGCTG	94	85	150	91	CSTA	cystatin A	8,7	8,6	10,6	9,9
ATGAGCTGAC	64	135	37	38	CSTB	cystatin B	5,0	6,1	4,1	4,1
GTGGAGGGCA	2	2	12	27	CST6	cystatin E/M	4,7	4,7	6,6	7,3
CATTGTAAAT	16	12	26	14	SERPINB5	serine proteinase inhibitor, member 5	9,6	9,4	11,3	10,4
TTGAATCCCC	30	80	56	50	PI3	elafin, protease inhibitor 3, (SKALP)	8,0	9,0	9,9	9,6
TGTGGGAAAT	18	40	101	124	SLPI	secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor	5,3	6,3	7,7	8,0



Figure 1: TPE scatter plot of SAGE tags of PBEC and KC libraries after cytokine exposure. Reliable 3'-end tags with TPE>4 and tag frequency of ≥2 in at least one library were plotted. Tags with corresponding TPE values ≥9 in both libraries were considered to be potential epithelial cell-specific tags as indicated by the threshold lines in the figure. A similar plot was obtained when TPE values of tags from the resting libraries were plotted.

either PBEC *(ii)* or KC *(iii)* and tags that were preferentially expressed by both PBEC and KC *(iv)*. The expression of the 30 tags observed in the latter group represents putative epithelial-specific genes because a TPE score ≥9 was observed in both PBEC and KC ***(Table 3)***. Almost half of these tags corresponded to genes encoding for keratins, small proline-rich proteins, kallikreins and proteinase inhibitors ***(Table 3)***. Interestingly, the expression of a large proportion of these genes was found to be affected by cytokine exposure in PBEC or KC (or both) as observed in the initial SAGE studies (as indicated by underlined tag numbers in ***Table 3***). A similar picture in preferential tag expression was obtained when using the libraries of resting PBEC and KC since the majority of genes do not show an on/off expression profile upon stimulation with cytokines (data not shown).

To validate this *in silico* TPE prediction analysis, expression of seven putative epithelial-specific genes by PBEC and KC was assessed by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in nine different cell types. Each cell type in the panel was exposed to medium alone or to IL-1β/TNFα. KC were exposed to medium or TNFα alone to maintain comparability with the original SAGE experiment. In concordance with the SAGE data and TPE analysis, expression for SPRR2A was only observed in PBEC. On the other hand, CALML5 was expected to be expressed by KC alone. However, PBEC were shown to be positive for this transcript as well and weak expression was observed in NCI-H292 cells.



Figure 2: PCR verification of 7 potential preferentially expressed tags identified using the TPE algorithm. The expression of these seven genes was assessed both under resting conditions and after cytokine exposure in all cell types. On the right, the TPE values of the gene are listed for both PBEC and KC after cytokine exposure. Tags for which no TPE value could be calculated because of absence of the tag in the particular library is indicated by "not available" (N/A). The predicted preferential expression could be verified for all genes. The expression of six of these genes seems to be selective for epithelial cells only. Whereas SPRR1B is preferentially expressed by epithelial cells, moderate to low levels of expression were also detected in other cell types as well. SPRR2A is preferentially expressed by PBEC only. No tags for SPRR2A were found in the KC libraries after TNFα exposure whereas expression for CALML5 was observed by RT-PCR in both PBEC and KC, while no tags for this gene were found in PBEC libraries.

As demonstrated by the TPE analysis KRT6A, SPRR1A, SPRR1B, IL-1F9, S100A2 all showed TPE values of ≥9 in both PBEC and KC libraries. The RT-PCR results in ***Figure 2*** demonstrates that preferential expression of SPRR1B was found in PBEC, KC and NCI-H292 cells, whereas moderate to weak expression was also detected in fibroblasts, HUVEC, HASM and monocytes. Expression of KRT6A is restricted to PBEC, KC and the bronchial epithelial cell line NCI-H292, whereas expression of this transcript was negative in all other cell types. Transcription of SPRR1A, IL-1F9 and S100A2 was only detected in primary cultures of PBEC and KC and was completely absent in all other cell types.

DISCUSSION

Epithelial cells fulfill an eminent barrier function against external influences. A molecular signature of epithelial host defense was delineated by comparing genome-wide SAGE expression profiles derived from bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes that were exposed to pro-inflammatory cytokines. Comparative genomics approaches have the potential to gain additional insight into a biological process at the mRNA expression level by integrating and combining data obtained from similar model systems with over-

Table 3: 30 Epithelial-specific genes as identified by the TPE analysis. Putative epithelial-specific tags as presented in pane iv of the scatter plot in Figure 1 as identified by the TPE analysis are listed in table 3. From left to right are indicated the SAGE tag sequence, the normalized SAGE tag counts (unstimulated PBEC, IL1β/TNFα-stimulated PBEC, unstimulated KC and TNFα-stimulated KC), HUGO approved gene symbol and gene description and the putative involvement in epithelial barrier formation. Underlined tag counts indicate statistical significant difference in expression in the original SAGE data sets of PBEC (unstimulated vs. IL1β/TNFα) or KC (unstimulated vs. TNFα). [#] Part of the SAGE tag counts have been previously published in [11,12]; all tag counts are available online through the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/geo/) with GEO accessions as listed in the methods.

	SAGE Tag count[#]						
SAGE Tag Sequence	**PBEC CTRL**	**PBEC IL1β/ TNFα**	**KC CTRL**	**KC TNFα**	**Symbol**	**Description**	**Barrier formation**
AAAGCACAAG	267	252	136	235	KRT6A	keratin 6A	***yes***
CTTCCTTGCC	181	216	431	380	KRT17	keratin 17	***yes***
GCCCCTGCTG	96	180	108	115	KRT5	keratin 5	***yes***
TAAACCTGCT	40	68	758	439	LGALS7	lectin, galactoside-binding, soluble, 7 (galectin 7)	
TTGAATCCCC	30	80	56	50	PI3	protease inhibitor 3, skin-derived (SKALP), Elafin	***yes***
CCCTTGAGGA	27	56	264	176	SPRR1B	small proline-rich protein 1B (cornifin)	***yes***
CGAATGTCCT	21	60	84	97	KRT6B	keratin 6B	***yes***
CAGCTGTCCC	21	25	26	29	KRT16	keratin 16	***yes***
AGCTTCTACC	17	22	14	25	HCG9	HLA complex group 9	
CATTGTAAAT	16	12	26	14	SERPINB5	serine (or cysteine) proteinase inhibitor, clade B (ovalbumin), member 5	
GATGTGCACG	12	26	419	514	KRT14	keratin 14	***yes***
CTGTCACCCT	9	25	126	75	SPRR1A	Small proline-rich protein 1A	***yes***
CCCTGTTGAT	8	8	7	18	KLK7	kallikrein 7 (chymotryptic, stratum corneum)	
GGCTTCTAAC	4	16	35	38	SPRR2B	small proline-rich protein 2B	***yes***
GAAGCACAAG	4	13	9	18		Transcribed sequences	

CCAGCGCCAA	3	16	16	14	C4.4A	GPI-anchored metastasis-associated protein homolog	
GCTTCCTCGG	2	11	2	5	RHCG	Rhesus blood group, C glycoprotein	
TCTCTTGGGG	2	4	0	2	FLJ11036	hypothetical protein FLJ11036	
AAAGCACAAT	1	1	0	5	TRA1	tumor rejection antigen (gp96) 1	
TCCTGGATCA	1	1	0	2	KLK10	kallikrein 10	
ATCCCTTGCT	1	2	9	2		Transcribed sequences	
AGAGCACAAG	1	1	2	5		Transcribed sequences	
CTTGCCTTGC	0	2	0	2	ZDHHC9	zinc finger, DHHC domain containing 9	
ACCTCCACTG	0	2	54	34	UNQ467	KIPV467	
CTGCTCAATG	0	3	9	11	TGM1	transglutaminase 1	***yes***
TTCCCTTACC	0	2	5	16	SPRL6A	small proline rich-like 6A	***yes***
ACCTGGAGGG	0	12	28	23	PCBP1	poly(rC) binding protein 1	
GGGCCACGGC	0	1	7	14	MMP11	matrix metalloproteinase 11 (stromelysin 3)	
ACTAGCACAG	0	7	0	2	IL1F9	interleukin 1 family, member 9	
TAGACCTGCT	0	3	2	2		CDNA FLJ32217 fis, clone PLACE6003771	

lapping biological functions. In particular the SAGE platform is excellent for performing comparative genomic studies since this technology yields digital, scalable expression data and no complex mathematical normalization methods are required for comparison of multiple data sets. With available computational tools SAGE provides a solid basis for comparative expression analysis. Although the source SAGE studies used in the present analysis were not initially intended for comparative genomic research, remarkable commonalities in epithelial-specific gene expression were found.

Cell-specific tag expression in SAGE libraries can be identified using the "Tissue Preferential Expression" (TPE) algorithm. This method was previously used to identify preferential expression of SAGE tags that did not match to any known sequence in order to find novel genes that may serve as specific markers for disease [14,15]. In the current study, we applied this method to identify gene expression patterns in bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes that might be characteristic for epithelial barrier function under inflammatory conditions. A subset of tags appeared to be specifically expressed in both bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes (pane iv in ***Figure 1***) and these 30 epithelial-specific tags are contained in ***Table 3***. The TPE threshold was chosen very high to limit the rate of false positive.

To verify predicted cell type-specific gene transcription, validation was performed by means of RT-PCR on selected epithelial-specific genes. To demonstrate the predictive power of the TPE analysis also two genes were included that were selectively expressed in either PBEC (SPRR2A) or KC (CALML5). The PCR setup was as such to detect true presence or absence of the selected validation genes and was not intended to be quantitative. Some of the validation genes that were negative in the preceding SAGE analysis appeared to be expressed in the cell types of interest as determined by RT-PCR. This discrepancy can be explained by the difference in detection sensitivity between the techniques for assessing gene expression: RT-PCR is far more sensitive than SAGE in detecting low abundant gene expression. To establish bronchial epithelial cell-specific gene expression of selected genes, a number of cell types was chosen that are normally present in the airways or lungs and included both inflammatory and resident cells of the lungs. The different cell types were subjected to exposure of IL-1β/TNFα in analogy with the initial PBEC SAGE study. The airway- and lung-derived H292 and A549 cell lines served as additional control for the primary bronchial epithelial cells because these cell lines are frequently used to study epithelial cell function. The observed correlation between the preferential expression predicted by the TPE algorithm and our experimental RT-PCR data **(*Figure 2*)** demonstrates the feasibility and usefulness of *in silico* analysis of tissue preferential expression as also demonstrated by others[14,15]. Therefore, we conclude that the 30 tags identified by the TPE algorithm provide the basis for a molecular signature in epithelial host defense of bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes.

Among these 30, the identity of 6 tags remained unknown since these tags neither matched any genetic database nor corresponded to non-characterized transcribed sequences and thus, might represent novel transcripts. All other tags corresponded to known genes. Two of the 30 tags were directly associated with immunity: the novel IL-1 family member IL-1F9 and a novel HLA member HCG9. The function of these recently discovered genes remains to be elucidated, but it is intriguing that these genes may possibly fulfill specific tasks in epithelial cells. Migration and repair are key processes in maintaining tissue integrity and effective barrier function. Two tags matched to genes that are associated with migration (C4.4A; [16]) and re-epithelization (LGALS7; [17]). However, the majority of tags of the molecular signature corresponded to genes that encode for structural components of the cytoskeletal architecture (keratins, small proline-rich proteins, elafin) or for proteins that are involved in the assembly/disassembly (*i.e.* transglutaminase 1, kallikreins and matrixmetalloproteinases) of the cornified cell envelope in keratinocytes (reviewed in [18]).

The observation that not only keratinocytes but also bronchial epithelial cells express the components that may form a cross-linked envelope in this cell type is relevant to our understanding of host defense at the epithelial surface of the airways. Whereas the existence and function of the cornified envelope in keratinocytes has been well documented, only few studies provide evidence of such a cross-linked envelope in bronchial epithelial cells. In these studies it was suggested that the expression of *e.g.* small proline-rich proteins is associated with squamous differentiation[19] or epithelial repair [20]. In contrast, low expression of small proline-rich proteins has been described as a characteristic of squamous cell carcinoma [21] whereas high levels of SPRR1B were found to enhance G0-arrest resulting in growth arrest [22]. The different building blocks of the cross-linked or cornified envelope (including keratins, small proline-rich proteins and elafin) are linked by transglutaminase 1. Except for other transglutaminases no other enzymes have been described to perform this particular function (reviewed in [23]). In addition to elafin (SKALP/PI3) the proteinase inhibitors cystatin B (CSTB) and serine proteinase inhibitor B5 (SERPINB5) protect against exogenous proteinases and endogenous proteinases such as kallikreins (KLK7, KLK10) and metalloproteinases. Kallikreins may degrade the cross-linked/cornified envelope in the process of desquamation [24]. Metalloproteinases (*e.g.* MMP11) have been described to be involved in matrix remodeling [25]. A delicate balance between proteinases and their inhibitors is essential in maintaining an effective and efficient epithelial physical barrier [26].

Additional support for a possible existence of a cross-linked/cornified envelope not only in keratinocytes but also in bronchial epithelial cells, is provided by abundant transcription of numerous genes that are known to be involved including S100 calcium-binding proteins [27], annexins [27] and cystatins [28,29] **(*Table 2*)**. Interestingly, both the families of small proline-rich proteins and S100 calcium-binding proteins are encoded in

the epidermal differentiation complex (EDC) located in chromosomal region 1q21-q24 [30,31]. Proteins encoded by genes in this region share significant sequence similarities, in particular in the glutamine- and lysine-rich regions that are involved in the cross-linking by transglutaminases [23]. This indicates that these two types of epithelial cells not only share structural characteristics, but also may share functional characteristics.

A disadvantage of the present study might be the differences in type of cytokine-exposure and duration of the treatment. As shown in ***Table 2***, opposite directional changes in expression in gene families between PBEC and KC were observed. These differences could be explained either by dissimilarities in the initial model systems or by the inherent morphological differences between PBEC and KC. However, despite these contrasts PBEC and KC not only showed commonalities in overall expression profiles but also in epithelial-specific gene expression as identified by the TPE algorithm. Notably, in the TPE algorithm both the occurrence and the frequency of tags are of importance. However, the more unique a tag is to a particular tissue, the less important is its level of expression allowing cell-specific expression to be predicted largely independently from transcriptional levels ***(Table 2)***. We, therefore, are confident that the signature of epithelial host defense that was extracted is representative for bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes.

Computational subtraction methods such as the Tissue Preferential Expression algorithm allow functional clustering of genes derived from genome-wide expression profiles without having full knowledge of the repertoire of genes involved in biological processes of interest. Genome-wide expression profiles are often very large and complex data sets. The TPE algorithm provides a reliable way to accelerate defining future research directions based on available genome-wide expression data. Although the identified molecular signature of host defense is characteristic for bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes it would be of great interest to study whether this gene expression pattern is also applicable to other types of epithelial cells as well thereby greatly enhancing our understanding of epithelial defense strategies.

CONCLUSION

In summary, our comprehensive comparison of overlapping genes across bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes provides novel insights in epithelial host defense strategies, in particular of the airway epithelium. Combining *in silico* and experimental approaches is very valuable in accelerating the interpretation of genomics data and defining follow-up research. We identified an expression signature of genes that were specifically expressed by bronchial epithelial cells and keratinocytes. These genes are likely to play an eminent role in epithelial host defense. Based on the present findings we

propose that formation of a cross-linked protein envelope by bronchial epithelial cells is an effective host defense strategy of the mucosal epithelium in the human airways. This function would be analogous to the host defense function of cornified keratinocytes. Finally, a better understanding of unified host defense strategies in different epithelia may lead to the identification of novel therapeutic targets for epithelial inflammatory disorders such as asthma and atopic dermatitis.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

JBV was primarily responsible for study design and coordination, building comparative SAGE expression databases, performing computational analyses and drafting the manuscript. NAD and PSH participated in study design, coordination and manuscript editing. AHvK and ACL advised in study design and performed the TPE computational analyses. DO, JS and PLZ provided the keratinocyte SAGE data, supplied samples and reagents and assisted in editing the manuscript. RMV performed cell culture experiments, PCR analyses and provided comments and discussion. KFR provided useful discussion and manuscript editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Marianne van Sterkenburg (Department of Pulmonology, LUMC, Leiden, The Netherlands) for technical support, Melinda Oroszlàn for providing the HUVEC, Sandra van der Kooij (Department of Nephrology, LUMC, Leiden, The Netherlands) for providing the CD14+ Monocytes and René Lutter (Department of Pulmonology, AMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) for providing the HMC-1 mast cells. We also would like to thank Claude Backendorf (Department of Molecular Genetics, Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Leiden, The Netherlands) for providing the SPRR1A and 2A primers and for critically reading the manuscript. This research was supported by grant 902-11-092 and 903-42-085 from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO)

REFERENCES

1. Presland R.B. & Jurevic R.J. (2002) Making sense of the epithelial barrier: what molecular biology and genetics tell us about the functions of oral mucosal and epidermal tissues. *J Dent.Educ.* **66**, 564-574.
2. Thompson A.B., Robbins R.A., Romberger D.J., Sisson J.H., Spurzem J.R., Teschler H., & Rennard S.I. (1995) Immunological functions of the pulmonary epithelium. *Eur.Respir.J* **8**, 127-149.
3. Bals R. & Hiemstra P.S. (2004) Innate immunity in the lung: how epithelial cells fight against respiratory pathogens. *Eur.Respir.J.* **23**, 327-333.
4. Diamond G., Legarda D., & Ryan L.K. (2000) The innate immune response of the respiratory epithelium. *Immunol.Rev.* **173**, 27-38.
5. Takizawa H. (1998) Airway epithelial cells as regulators of airway inflammation (Review). *Int.J Mol. Med* **1**, 367-378.
6. Laberge S. & El Bassam S. (2004) Cytokines, structural cells of the lungs and airway inflammation. *Paediatr.Respir.Rev.* **5 Suppl A**, S41-S45.
7. Pilewski J.M. & Albelda S.M. (1993) Adhesion molecules in the lung. An overview. *Am.Rev.Respir. Dis.* **148**, S31-S37.
8. Barker J.N., Mitra R.S., Griffiths C.E., Dixit V.M., & Nickoloff B.J. (1991) Keratinocytes as initiators of inflammation. *Lancet* **337**, 211-214.
9. Velculescu V.E., Zhang L., Vogelstein B., & Kinzler K.W. (1995) Serial analysis of gene expression. *Science* **270**, 484-487.
10. Schena M., Shalon D., Davis R.W., & Brown P.O. (1995) Quantitative Monitoring of Gene-Expression Patterns with A Complementary-Dna Microarray. *Science* **270**, 467-470.
11. Vos J.B., van Sterkenburg M.A., Rabe K.F., Schalkwijk J., Hiemstra P.S., & Datson N.A. (2005) Transcriptional response of bronchial epithelial cells to Pseudomonas aeruginosa: identification of early mediators of host defense. *Physiol Genomics* **21**, 324-336.
12. Jansen B.J., van Ruissen F., de Jongh G., Zeeuwen P.L., & Schalkwijk J. (2001) Serial analysis of gene expression in differentiated cultures of human epidermal keratinocytes. *J.Invest Dermatol.* **116**, 12-22.
13. Cookson W. (2004) The immunogenetics of asthma and eczema: a new focus on the epithelium. *Nat.Rev.Immunol.* **4**, 978-988.
14. Moreno J.C., Pauws E., Van Kampen A.H., Jedlickova M., de Vijlder J.J., & Ris-Stalpers C. (2001) Cloning of tissue-specific genes using serial analysis of gene expression and a novel computational substraction approach. *Genomics* **75**, 70-76.
15. Pauws E., Veenboer G.J., Smit J.W., de Vijlder J.J., Morreau H., & Ris-Stalpers C. (2004) Genes differentially expressed in thyroid carcinoma identified by comparison of SAGE expression profiles. *FASEB J.* **18**, 560-561.
16. Hansen L.V., Gardsvoll H., Nielsen B.S., Lund L.R., Dano K., Jensen O.N., & Ploug M. (2004) Structural analysis and tissue localization of human C4.4A: a protein homologue of the urokinase receptor. *Biochem.J* **380**, 845-857.
17. Cao Z., Said N., Wu H.K., Kuwabara I., Liu F.T., & Panjwani N. (2003) Galectin-7 as a potential mediator of corneal epithelial cell migration. *Arch.Ophthalmol.* **121**, 82-86.

18. Nemes Z. & Steinert P.M. (1999) Bricks and mortar of the epidermal barrier. *Exp.Mol.Med.* **31**, 5-19.

19. An G., Huang T.H., Tesfaigzi J., Garcia-Heras J., Ledbetter D.H., Carlson D.M., & Wu R. (1992) An unusual expression of a squamous cell marker, small proline-rich protein gene, in tracheobronchial epithelium: differential regulation and gene mapping. *Am.J Respir.Cell Mol.Biol.* **7**, 104-111.

20. Tesfaigzi J., Th'ng J., Hotchkiss J.A., Harkema J.R., & Wright P.S. (1996) A small proline-rich protein, SPRR1, is upregulated early during tobacco smoke-induced squamous metaplasia in rat nasal epithelia. *Am.J Respir.Cell Mol.Biol.* **14**, 478-486.

21. Luo A., Kong J., Hu G., Liew C.C., Xiong M., Wang X., Ji J., Wang T., Zhi H., Wu M., & Liu Z. (2004) Discovery of Ca2+-relevant and differentiation-associated genes downregulated in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma using cDNA microarray. *Oncogene* **23**, 1291-1299.

22. Tesfaigzi Y., Wright P.S., & Belinsky S.A. (2003) SPRR1B overexpression enhances entry of cells into the G0 phase of the cell cycle. *Am.J.Physiol Lung Cell Mol.Physiol* **285**, L889-L898.

23. Kalinin A.E., Kajava A.V., & Steinert P.M. (2002) Epithelial barrier function: assembly and structural features of the cornified cell envelope. *Bioessays* **24**, 789-800.

24. Egelrud T. (1993) Purification and preliminary characterization of stratum corneum chymotryptic enzyme: a proteinase that may be involved in desquamation. *J Invest Dermatol.* **101**, 200-204.

25. Matrisian L.M. (1990) Metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in matrix remodeling. *Trends Genet.* **6**, 121-125.

26. Zeeuwen P.L. (2004) Epidermal differentiation: The role of proteases and their inhibitors. *Eur.J.Cell Biol.* **83**, 761-773.

27. Robinson N.A., Lapic S., Welter J.F., & Eckert R.L. (1997) S100A11, S100A10, annexin I, desmosomal proteins, small proline-rich proteins, plasminogen activator inhibitor-2, and involucrin are components of the cornified envelope of cultured human epidermal keratinocytes. *J.Biol.Chem.* **272**, 12035-12046.

28. Abrahamson M., Alvarez-Fernandez M., & Nathanson C.M. (2003) Cystatins. *Biochem.Soc.Symp.* 179-199.

29. Zettergren J.G., Peterson L.L., & Wuepper K.D. (1984) Keratolinin: the soluble substrate of epidermal transglutaminase from human and bovine tissue. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A* **81**, 238-242.

30. Gibbs S., Fijneman R., Wiegant J., van Kessel A.G., van de P.P., & Backendorf C. (1993) Molecular characterization and evolution of the SPRR family of keratinocyte differentiation markers encoding small proline-rich proteins. *Genomics* **16**, 630-637.

31. Mischke D., Korge B.P., Marenholz I., Volz A., & Ziegler A. (1996) Genes encoding structural proteins of epidermal cornification and S100 calcium-binding proteins form a gene complex ("epidermal differentiation complex") on human chromosome 1q21. *J.Invest Dermatol.* **106**, 989-992.

32. Edgar R., Domrachev M., & Lash A.E. (2002) Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridization array data repository. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**, 207-210.

33. Lash A.E., Tolstoshev C.M., Wagner L., Schuler G.D., Strausberg R.L., Riggins G.J., & Altschul S.F. (2000) SAGEmap: a public gene expression resource. *Genome Res.* **10**, 1051-1060.

34. Boon K., Osorio E.C., Greenhut S.F., Schaefer C.F., Shoemaker J., Polyak K., Morin P.J., Buetow K.H., Strausberg R.L., De Souza S.J., & Riggins G.J. (2002) An anatomy of normal and malignant gene expression. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A* **99**, 11287-11292.

35. Liang P. (2002) SAGEgenie: a suite with panoramic view of gene expression. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. U.S.A* **99**, 11547-11548.

36. van Wetering S., van der Linden A.C., van Sterkenburg M.A., de Boer W.I., Kuijpers A.L., Schalkwijk J., & Hiemstra P.S. (2000) Regulation of SLPI and elafin release from bronchial epithelial cells by neutrophil defensins. *Am.J.Physiol Lung Cell Mol.Physiol* **278**, L51-L58.

37. van Ruissen F., de Jongh G.J., Zeeuwen P.L., Van Erp P.E., Madsen P., & Schalkwijk J. (1996) Induction of normal and psoriatic phenotypes in submerged keratinocyte cultures. *J.Cell Physiol* **168**, 442-452.

38. Zuyderduyn S., Hiemstra P.S., & Rabe K.F. (2004) TGF-beta differentially regulates TH2 cytokine-induced eotaxin and eotaxin-3 release by human airway smooth muscle cells. *J Allergy Clin.Immunol.* **114**, 791-798.

39. Butterfield J.H. & Weiler D.A. (1989) In vitro sensitivity of immature human mast cells to chemotherapeutic agents. *Int.Arch.Allergy Appl.Immunol.* **89**, 297-300.

40. Cabral A., Voskamp P., Cleton-Jansen A.M., South A., Nizetic D., & Backendorf C. (2001) Structural organization and regulation of the small proline-rich family of cornified envelope precursors suggest a role in adaptive barrier function. *J.Biol.Chem.* **276**, 19231-19237.