



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Politics and democracy in microstates. A comparative analysis of the effects of size on contestation and inclusiveness

Veenendaal, W.P.

Citation

Veenendaal, W. P. (2013, April 10). *Politics and democracy in microstates. A comparative analysis of the effects of size on contestation and inclusiveness*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/20735>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/20735>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/20735> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Veenendaal, Wouter Pieter

Title: Politics and democracy in microstates : a comparative analysis of the effects of size on contestation and inclusiveness

Issue Date: 2013-04-10

Politics and Democracy in Microstates

A Comparative Analysis of the Effects of Size on
Contestation and Inclusiveness

Cover

Front (top): Chelbacheb (Rock Islands), Koror State – Republic of Palau

Front (bottom): Anse Source d'Argent, La Digue – Republic of Seychelles

Back (top): Friars Bay, St. Kitts – Federation of St. Kitts and Nevis

Back (bottom): La Rocca or Guaita, San Marino Città – Republic of San Marino

Cover Design

Marjolein de Jongh, 2013

Print

Wöhrmann Print Service

© 2013 Wouter Veenendaal. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission in writing from the proprietor.

© 2013 Wouter Veenendaal. Alle rechten voorbehouden. Niets uit deze uitgave mag worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen, of op enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de rechthebbende.

Politics and Democracy in Microstates

A Comparative Analysis of the Effects of Size on
Contestation and Inclusiveness

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 10 april 2013
klokke 16.15 uur
door

Wouter Pieter Veenendaal

geboren te Amsterdam in 1986

Promotiecommissie:

Promotor:

Prof. dr. I.C. van Biezen

Co-Promotor:

Dr. J.G. Erk

Overige Leden:

Prof. dr. P. Kopecký	Universiteit Leiden
Prof. dr. G.J. Oostindie	Universiteit Leiden
Prof. A.J. Payne, PhD	The University of Sheffield

Acknowledgements

As a senior scholar in the field once told me, being a microstate researcher takes you to places most people can only dream about. The obvious downside of this is that it arouses the jealousy of colleagues, friends, and family members, who accused me of first having selected my cases for field research, and then fitting these into an appropriate research design. However, although it is true that I have very much enjoyed San Marino's food, St. Kitts and Nevis' rum cocktails, Seychelles' beaches, and Palau's diving and snorkeling sites, during field research I actually had to work much harder than at home.

I owe the fact that I managed to obtain the information I needed within the short period of time that was spent in each of the four microstates first and foremost to my interview respondents, who were with few exceptions very eager and enthusiastic to discuss the details and peculiarities of their countries' political systems with me. In this sense, a great advantage of the smallness of the microstates that I studied is the great accessibility of even the most high-ranking officials, whom I could invite for interviews while encountering them in pubs, supermarkets, petrol stations, or even on the beach.

In addition to the respondents themselves, I am particularly indebted to a small number of local people who greatly assisted me in planning my interviews, and who I can now proudly call my friends. In particular, I would like to mention Valentina Rossi, Jeffrey Zani, and Marianna Bucci in San Marino; Natasha Leader, Virginia Browne and Kate Orchard in St. Kitts and Nevis; Franky Baccus, Chantal Poonoosamy, Dedrey Serret, and the University of Seychelles students in Seychelles; and Sunny Ngirmang and Lydia Ngirablosch in Palau. My field research has been facilitated financially through sponsorship by the Leiden University Fund / van Walsem and the Netherlands Institute of Government.

Of course, the completion of this dissertation could not have been achieved without the support and encouragement that I received from my supervisors and colleagues. I would particularly like to thank my *promotores* – Ingrid van Biezen and Jan Erk – for not only providing me with the necessary guidance, comments, and suggestions that helped me to improve my work, but also for the more personal and practical discussions that greatly increased my work pleasure and significantly eased the challenge of finishing this book. My gratitude also goes to my fellow PhD-students, and in the first place to Fransje Molenaar and Cynthia van Vonno, with whom I was happy to share an office for three years. In addition, I would like to thank my colleagues Cris Boonen, Bart-

Jan Heine, Jessica Kroezen, Maudy Lohaus, Tom Louwerse, Honorata Mazepus, Tim Mickler, Lotte Melenhorst, Daniela Piccio, Benjamin Pohl, Joeri Veen, and Kavita Ziemann for their suggestions and comments during PhD-seminars and discussions, and for being such nice colleagues in general. In particular I would like to thank my *paranimfen*, Rosalie Belder and Jannine van de Maat, for their friendship and company during my studies.

I am aware of the fact that I could not even have started this PhD-project - and much less finish it - without the rock-solid support and enduring encouragements of my family and my closest friends. I want to thank my parents and my sister Anne for providing me with a foundation on which I could always fall back if necessary. My parents have always stimulated me to take full advantage of my capacities, and without this lasting encouragement I would certainly not have made it to this point. Most of my fellow PhD-students will agree that the pursuit of a doctoral degree is a process that is marked by alternating periods of good and bad fortune. The possibility to share these experiences with someone who is able to put problems into perspective is every day of invaluable significance. With this in mind, I would like to end by thanking Renée for her ongoing support and love.

Contents

Acknowledgements	v
List of Tables.....	ix
List of Figures.....	xi
 Chapter 1: Introduction	 1
1.1. What this Dissertation is About	1
1.2. The Exclusion of Microstates in the Broader Academic Literature	2
1.3. Statistics on Democracy in Microstates	5
1.4. Research Question	6
1.5. Scientific and Societal Relevance of the Study	7
1.6. Outline of the Dissertation	9
 Chapter 2: The Theoretical Debate on Size and Democracy	 11
2.1. Introduction: the Influence of Geographical Factors on Politics	11
2.2. Small is Good: from Plato to Rousseau	13
2.3. Small is Bad: Nationalism and the Founding Fathers	18
2.4. Small is Vulnerable: the Post-War Perspective	22
2.5. Small is Personal: Sociological Consequences of Smallness	26
2.6. Small is Democratic: Optimism Rediscovered	32
2.7. Conclusion: the Expectations that Follow from the Literature	40
 Chapter 3: The Academic Literature on Microstates	 43
3.1. Introduction: the Polis Revisited: the Re-Emergence of Microstates ..	43
3.2. Explaining Democracy in Microstates: Spurious Correlations	47
3.3. Contestation in Microstates: Political Alternatives and Opposition ...	52
3.4. Contestation in Microstates: the Horizontal Balance of Power	57
3.5. Inclusiveness in Microstates: Relations between Citizens and Politicians	61
3.6. Inclusiveness in Microstates: the Characteristics of Participation	65
3.7. Conclusion: Connecting the Theoretical and Case Study-Literatures on Size	69
 Chapter 4: Concepts, Methods, and Case Selection	 72
4.1. Introduction: the Need for a New Approach	72
4.2. Concepts: Defining and Operationalizing Size	73
4.3. Concepts: Defining and Operationalizing Democracy	78
4.4. The Theoretical Model: Expectations	89
4.5. Methodological Approach: Comparative, Small-N Research	91
4.6. Within-Case Analysis, Cross-Case Analysis, and Case Selection	93
4.7. Conclusion: Summary Remarks and Structure of the Analytical Chapters.....	101
 Chapter 5: The Republic of San Marino	 104
5.1. Introduction: the Ancient Land of Freedom	104
5.2. Political History and Democratization of San Marino	106

5.3. Explaining Democracy in San Marino	114
5.4. Political Institutions of San Marino	116
5.5. Size and Democracy in San Marino	120
5.6. Discussion and Conclusion	139
Chapter 6: The Federation of St. Kitts and Nevis	143
6.1. Introduction: the Eastern Caribbean Political Context	143
6.2. Political History and Democratization of St.Kitts and Nevis	146
6.3. Explaining Democracy in St. Kitts and Nevis	152
6.4. Political Institutions of St. Kitts and Nevis	155
6.5. The Influence of Size on Democracy in St. Kitts and Nevis	158
6.6. Discussion and Conclusion	180
Chapter 7: The Republic of Seychelles	183
7.1. Introduction: Lingering Vestiges of Authoritarianism in a Tourist Paradise	183
7.2. Political History and Democratization of Seychelles	185
7.3. Explaining Democracy in Seychelles	191
7.4. Political Institutions of Seychelles	194
7.5. The Influence of Size on Democracy in Seychelles	197
7.6. Discussion and Conclusion	219
Chapter 8: The Republic of Palau.....	222
8.1. Introduction: the Pacific, an Ocean of Democracy	222
8.2. Political History and Democratization of Palau	225
8.3. Explaining Democracy in Palau	231
8.4. Political Institutions of Palau	234
8.5. The Influence of Size on Democracy in Palau	239
8.6. Discussion and Conclusion	261
Chapter 9: Conclusion	264
9.1. The Four Microstates: Similarities and Differences	264
9.2. Recapitulation of the Findings	265
9.3. Implications of the Findings for the Debate on Size and Democracy	271
9.4. Scientific and Societal Relevance of the Findings	274
9.5. Avenues for Future Research	276
References	281
Appendix A: List of Interviews.....	299
Appendix B: Question Lists for the Interviews	304
Dutch Summary	308
Curriculum Vitae	315

List of Tables

1.1	Freedom House Rankings (2011) and the Population Size of Countries	.6
2.1	The Effects of Size According to the Classic Literature	17
2.2	The Effects of Size According to the Late 18 th and 19 th -Century Literature	21
2.3	The Effects of Size According to the Post-War Literature	26
2.4	The Effects of Size According to the Sociological Literature	31
2.5	The Effects of Size According to the Size & Democracy Literature	39
2.6	The Expected Consequences of Size for Democratic Development	41
3.1	List of Microstates by Population Size, Area Size, and Decolonization	45
3.2	Citizen-MP Ratios in the Twenty-One Microstates	69
4.1	List of Small Countries by Population, Area, and Regime Type	77
4.2	Dimensions, Sub-Dimensions, and Indicators of Democracy	88
4.3	Theoretical Model and Expectations of this Study	91
4.4	Overview of Microstate-Scoring on Background Variables for Case Selection	103
5.1	Vote Percentages and Seats of Sammarinese Parties at General Elections	111
5.2	Composition of Sammarinese Postwar-Governments	112
5.3	Voter Turnout at Sammarinese Parliamentary Elections	136
5.4	Voter Turnout at Sammarinese Referendums	137
5.5	Candidates with Highest Number of Preferential Votes in 2008 Elections	138
5.6	San Marino's Scoring on the Indicators of Contestation and Inclusiveness	140
6.1	Vote Percentages and Seats of Kittitian-Nevisian Parties at Elections	151
6.2	Composition of Federal Governments of St. Kitts and Nevis since 1952	152
6.3	Vote Differences between Parties on the District Level in 2010 Elections	177
6.4	Voter Turnout in Kittitian-Nevisian Federal Elections	178
6.5	Voter Turnout in the OECS-Countries: the Five Most Recent Elections	179
6.6	St. Kitts-Nevis' Scores on the Indicators of Contestation and Inclusiveness	182
7.1	Vote Percentage and Seats of Seychellois Parties at Legislative Elections	189
7.2	Vote Percentages of Candidates in Seychellois Presidential Elections	190
7.3	Composition of Seychellois Governments since 1970	191
7.4	Voter Turnout in Seychellois Parliamentary and Presidential Elections	215

7.5	Vote-Differences on the District Level in the 2011-Presidential Election	217
7.6	Seychelles' Scoring on the Indicators of Contestation and Inclusiveness	220
8.1	The History of Palau: Four Colonial Administrations	228
8.2	Presidential Election Results in Palau	231
8.3	The Sixteen States of Palau and their Governments	238
8.4	Voter Turnout at Palauan Elections.....	257
8.5	Results of the 2008 House of Delegates Elections in Palau	258
8.6	Referendums in the Republic of Palau	260
8.7	Palau's Scoring on the Indicators of Contestation and Inclusiveness ...	262
9.1	Theoretical Model and Expectations of this Study	267
9.2	Scoring of the Four Microstates on the Indicators of Democracy	279

List of Figures

5.1. Location and Map of San Marino	104
6.1. Location and Map of St. Kitts and Nevis	143
7.1. Location and Map of Seychelles	183
8.1. Location and Map of Palau	222