



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

European Union representation at the United Nations towards more coherence after the Treaty of Lisbon

Jin, X.

Citation

Jin, X. (2014, January 9). *European Union representation at the United Nations towards more coherence after the Treaty of Lisbon*. Smart Printing Solutions. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/22977>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/22977>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/22977> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Jin, Xi

Title: European Union representation at the United Nations : towards more coherence after the treaty of Lisbon

Issue Date: 2014-01-09

European Union Representation at the United Nations: Towards More Coherence after the Treaty of Lisbon

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 9 januari 2014
klokke 13:45 uur

door
Xi JIN
geboren te Weinan (China)
in 1985

Promotiecommissie

Promotor: Prof. dr. Madeleine O. Hosli

Overige Leden: Prof. dr. Sieglinde Gstöhl (College of Europe)
Prof. dr. Sophie Vanhoonacker (Maastricht University)
Prof. dr. Isabelle Duyvesteyn
Dr. Daniela Stockmann

Printed by Smart Printing Solutions

Cover Design: Xi JIN and Jinhua WU

Table of Contents

List of Tables and Figures	v
Abbreviations and Acronyms	vi
Acknowledgements	viii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 An Overview of the EU's Presence at the United Nations	3
1.2 Conceptual and Methodological Considerations	5
1.3 Structure of the Dissertation	12
Chapter 2 Literature Review.....	14
Chapter 3 Theoretical Framework: Principal-Agent Theory	18
3.1 Central Arguments of Principal-Agent Theory	18
3.2 Principal-Agent Theory and EU Representation in International Organizations	22
3.3 Principal-Agent Theory and New Institutionalism.....	33
Chapter 4 EU Representation at the UN: Principal-Agent Explanation	37
4.1 The EU in the Context of the UN General Assembly	37
4.1.1 The UN General Assembly at a Glance	37
4.1.2 Developments of EU representation at the UN General Assembly	39
4.2 EU Representation at the UN Security Council	59
4.2.1 UN Security Council Structure, Working Methods and Procedure	59
4.2.2 EU Representation in the Context of the UN Security Council.....	62
4.2.3 EU Coordination on UN Matters: From Brussels to New York.....	66
4.3 UN Security Council Reform: Toward A Single EU Representation?	71
Chapter 5 Quantitative Analysis of EU Voting Behaviour at the UN	78
5.1 Overall Voting Coherence of the EU at the UNGA	78
5.1.1 Data Description and Analytical Model.....	78
5.1.2 Hypotheses Testing and Evaluation	79
5.2 EU Voting Behaviour at the UNSC: Descriptive Statistics.....	93
Chapter 6 Case Studies: EU Representation in the Iraq and Libya Crises.....	97
6.1 A Discussion of Case Selection	98
6.2 The EU and the Iraq Crisis	100
6.2.1 Background	101
6.2.2 Horizontal Coherence: EU-level Response to the Crisis	105
6.2.3 Vertical Coherence: Divergent Reactions from EU Member States.....	110
6.2.4 An Assessment of EU Representation Coherence in the Iraq Crisis	125

6.3 The EU and the Libya Crisis	128
6.3.1 Background	129
6.3.2 Horizontal Coherence: EU-level Response to the Libya Crisis	130
6.3.3 Vertical Coherence: Divergent Reactions from EU Member States.....	134
6.3.4 An Assessment of EU Representation Coherence in the Libya Crisis	156
6.4 A Cross-Case Comparison.....	160
Chapter 7 Conclusions	162
7.1 Revisiting the Main Findings	163
7.2 Recommendations: Towards a More Coherent EU?	166
7.3 Contributions, Limitations and Future Prospects	168
References	170
Summary.....	189
Samenvatting	192
Curriculum Vitae	193

List of Tables and Figures

Table 1: EU External Representation in Different Categories of Competences after Lisbon.....	24
Table 2: EU Representation in International Organizations in the Post-Lisbon Period.....	31
Table 3: EU Member States Elected to the UN Security Council (1993-2012).....	62
Table 4: EU Voting Cohesion (48 th – 65 th Session)	81
Table 5: Keywords for Issue Area Classification.....	87
Table 6: Multiple Comparisons of Voting Cohesion of Regional Organizations	93
Figure 1: EU Voting Cohesion in the UNGA (48 th – 67 th Session)	80
Figure 2: Ratios of Abstentions of the EU and the UN (48 th -67 th Session).....	82
Figure 3: Voting Cohesion of the EU and the UNGA as a Whole (48 th -67 th Session)	84
Figure 4: Confidence Intervals of Cohesion Difference Pre and Post-Lisbon	85
Figure 5: EU Voting Cohesion across Issue Areas at the UNGA (48 th -67 th Session).....	88

Abbreviations and Acronyms

AI	Agreement Index
Arab League	League of Arab States
ASEAN	Association of Southeast Asian Nations
AU	African Union
AWACS	Airborne Warning and Control System
CARICOM	Caribbean Community
CDU	Christian Democratic Union
CFSP	Common Foreign and Security Policy
CIS	Commonwealth of Independent States
CONOPS	Concept of Operations
CONUN	United Nations Working Party
COREPER	Committee of Permanent Representatives
CPA	Coalition Provisional Authority
CSDP	Common Security and Defence Policy
DG RELEX	Directorate-General for External Relations
DGs	Directorates-Generals
DPR	Deputy Permanent Representative
DRC	Democratic Republic of Congo
ECB	European Central Bank
ECHO	Humanitarian Aid and Civil Protection Department of the European Commission
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ECOSOC	UN Economic and Social Council
ECOWAS	Economic Community of West African States
EDA	European Defence Agency
EEA	European Economic Area
EEAS	European External Action Service
EEG	Group of Eastern European States
EES	European Security Strategy
EFTA	European Free Trade Association
ENP	European Neighborhood Policy
EP	European Parliament
EPC	European Political Cooperation
EU	European Union
EUFOR	European Union Force
EUSR	European Union Special Representative
FAC	Foreign Affairs Council
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FDP	Free Democratic Party
GAC	General Affairs Council
GAFS	Group of African States
GASS	Group of Asian States
GRULAC	Group of Latin American and Caribbean States
HoM	Head of Mission

HR	High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy
HR/SG	High Representative for the Common Foreign and Security Policy/ Secretary-General of the Council of the European Union
ICC	International Criminal Court
ILC	International Law Commission
ILO	International Labour Organization
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IOs	International Organizations
LIA	Libyan Investment Authority
MEP	Member of the European Parliament
MFA _s	Ministries of Foreign Affairs
NAC	North Atlantic Council
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NSC	New Strategic Concept
NTC	National Transitional Council (of Libya)
OCHA	UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs
ODS	Official Document System of the United Nations
OLS	Ordinary Least Squares
OSCE	Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe
OUP	Operation United Protector
PESCO	Permanent Structured Cooperation
PoCo	Political Committee
PSC	Political and Security Committee
QMV	Qualified Majority Voting
R2P	Responsibility to Protect
SAP	Stabilisation and Association Process
SD	Standard Deviation
SPD	Social Democratic Party
ToL	Treaty of Lisbon
UfC	Union for Consensus
UK	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
UN	United Nations
UNBISnet	UN Bibliographic Information Centre
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNGA	United Nations General Assembly
UNSC	United Nations Security Council
US	United States of America
WEOG	Group of Western European and Other States
WHO	World Health Organization
WMD	Weapons of Mass Destruction
WTO	World Trade Organization

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank a number of individuals that have offered their inputs to this research. First and foremost, I would like to acknowledge the unselfish guidance and tireless assistance from my first supervisor Prof. Madeleine O. Hosli. Her constructive suggestions on the structure and substance of this dissertation have been proven very useful. I am also grateful to her unwavering encouragement throughout the whole period of my research, without which the completion of this dissertation would be unthinkable.

Equal gratitude must go to Dr. Daniela Stockmann, who has not only provided valuable insights on the methodology in the early stages of my study, but also offered me a research-assistant job, through which my statistical analysis skills have moved up another notch.

This current project has benefited from the “Decision Making in the European Union Before and After Lisbon” (DEUBAL) programme. Thanks to Prof. Hosli, I was able to present my preliminary findings in the DEUBAL workshops. I shall thank all of the participants of those meetings for their friendly criticism and helpful feedback.

Finally my warmest gratitude goes to my parents for their financial backing of my research, and more importantly, for their persistent love and support that has sustained me through the most difficult moments.