Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/20185</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Pronk-Tiethoff, Saskia Elisabeth Title: The Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic : origin and accentuation Issue Date: 2012-11-28

The Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic Origin and accentuation

Saskia Pronk-Tiethoff

The Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic Origin and accentuation

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van

de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,

op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. P.F. van der Heijden,

volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties

te verdedigen op woensdag 28 november 2012

klokke 16.15 uur

door

Saskia Elisabeth Pronk-Tiethoff

geboren te 's-Gravenhage

in 1981

Promotores:	Prof. dr. F.H.H. Kortlandt Prof. dr. A.M. Lubotsky
Promotiecommissie:	Prof. dr. R.H. Bremmer Prof. dr. G. Holzer (Universität Wien) Prof. dr. A. Quak Prof. dr. J. Schaeken

Copyright © 2012, Saskia Pronk-Tiethoff. Alle rechten voorbehouden.

Voor Thera, in herinnering

Table of contents

Preface 1		
Li	ist of abbreviations	3
	Abbreviations of languages	3
	Linguistic abbreviations	4
	Symbols	5
1	Introduction	7
	1.1 Aim and structure	7
	1.2 Linguistic sources and terminology	11
	1.2.1 Germanic: introduction and linguistic sources	11
	1.2.1.1 General	11
	1.2.1.2 East Germanic	11
	1.2.1.3 West Germanic	13
	1.2.1.4 North Germanic	16
	1.2.2 Slavic: introduction and linguistic sources	16
	1.2.2.1 Proto-Slavic	16
	1.2.2.2 East Slavic	17
	1.2.2.3 West Slavic	17
	1.2.2.4 South Slavic	18
	1.2.3 Slavic accentuation	19
	1.3Monographs on Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic	19
	1.3.1 Stender-Petersen (1927)	19
	1.3.2 Kiparsky (1934)	20
	1.3.3 Reception of Kiparsky (1934)	23
	1.3.3.1 Review by Stender-Petersen (1936)	23
	1.3.3.2 Holzer (1990)	25
	1.3.4 Martynov (1963)	25
	1.3.5 Gołąb (1991)	28
2	The Proto-Slavic prosodic system	31
	2.1 Introduction	31
	2.2 $AP(a)$	31
	2.3 $AP(b)$	33
	2.3.1 Dybo's law	33
	2.3.2 Stang's law	33
	2.3.3 The <i>volja</i> -type	35
	2.4 $AP(c)$	35
	2.5 $AP(d)$?	36
3	Research history on the accentuation of Germanic loanwords	~
	in Proto-Slavic	37
	3.1 Meillet (1909), Lehr-Spławiński (1929)	37

The	origin of the loanwords	199
1211		187
6.3		0
	6 6	169
6.2	· ·	-
	ç	163
	-	163
-		146
		142
5.4		121
		95
		73
5.1		73
		73
		71
		70
		70
		69
		69
4.6	•	
		68
		65
	*	62
4.3	-	60
	• •	60
		56 60
		55 56
-		50
-		49 50
-		49 40
•	•	49
		45
		44
		43
		42
	,	42
		41
		40
		40
		39
		39
3.2	Stender-Petersen (1927), Kiparsky (1934)	38
	3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.4 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4 Lang 4.1 4.1.1 4.1.2 4.1.3 4.1.4 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6.1 4.6.2 4.6.3 4.7 Germ 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 Word 6.1 6.2 6.3	 3.3 Kuryłowicz (1951, 1952) 3.3.1 "Période 1" 3.3.2 "Période 2" 3.3.3 "Période 3" 3.3.4 Discussion of Kuryłowicz's theory 3.4 Reception of Kuryłowicz (1951, 1952) 3.4.1 Kiparsky (1958) 3.4.2 Martynov (1963) 3.4.3 Gołąb (1991) 3.4.4 Matasović (2000) Language contact between Proto-Slavic and Germanic tribes 4.1 The Germanic and Slavic homelands 4.1.1 The Proto-Germanic homeland 4.1.2 The Gothic homeland 4.1.3 The Huns and the Avars 4.1.4 The Proto-Slavic homeland 4.2 Slavic expansion towards the Roman Empire 4.3 Slavic expansion towards the west and the later Frankish Empire 4.4 Proto-Slavic loanwords in Germanic 4.5 Conclusion 4.6 Excursus I: Loanwords from and into Latin and early Romance 4.6.1 Latin loanwords in Germanic 4.6.2 Germanic loanwords in Germanic 4.6.3 Latin loanwords in Froto-Slavic Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Loanwords with AP (a) 5.3 Loanwords with AP (b) and a heavy syllabic nucleus 5.4 Loanwords with AP (c) 5.6 Loanwords of Latin or Germanic origin 6.2 Words of indeterminable origin: inherited, borrowed from Germanic or from another language

	7.1 Intro	oduction	199
	7.2 Phot	nological adaptation of the loanwords	203
	7.2.1 In	dications about the donor language	203
	7.2.1.1	The development of PGmc. $*\bar{e}_{1}$	205
	7.2.1.2	The raising of PGmc. * <i>e</i> in Gothic	205
	7.2.1.3	The umlaut in (North and) West Germanic	206
	7.2.1.4	Rhotacism of $*z$ to r in (North and) West Germanic	208
	7.2.1.5	Geminates in Germanic	209
	7.2.1.6	Fricatives in Germanic: Grimm's law and Verner's law	209
	7.2.1.7	The Germanic fricatives in the loanwords	211
	7.2.1.8	The High German consonant shift	212
	7.2.1.9	Loanwords that ultimately derive from Latin	214
	7.2.2 In	dications concerning the dating of the borrowings	215
	7.2.2.1	Diphthongs in Germanic and Proto-Slavic	215
	7.2.2.2	1 0	217
	7.2.2.3	Palatalizations of velar consonants in Proto-Slavic	218
		phological adaptation of the loanwords	219
	15	troduction	219
		ermanic prefixes and suffixes	219
		ender change of Germanic neuter nouns	221
	731	ne feminine \bar{u} -stems in Proto-Slavic	225
		antic layering of the loanwords	228
	, ,	arlier research	228
	, ,	ne semantic classification	233
		1. Power and warfare	233
	7.4.2.2	2. Skills and mental concepts	234
	7.4.2.3		234
		4. Trade	234
		5. Christian terminology	236
	7.4.2.6	e i	236
	7.4.2.7		237
_		ne origin of the loanwords in the semantic categories	237
8		ogical analysis of the material	239
		mary and introduction	239
		entation of the material according to their Germanic	
	origi		240
	U	sussion of the material	242
			242
		P (b) with a light syllabic nucleus	245
		P (a) and (b) with a heavy syllabic nucleus	246
	8.3.3.1		247
	8.3.3.2	West Germanic roots ending in a voiceless stop	251

	8.3.4	Remaining words and exceptions	254
9	Sum	mary	257
10	Bibli	ography	261
10	D. 1	Bibliographic abbreviations	261
10	0.2	References	261
11	11 Samenvatting 275		
12	12Curriculum vitae279		

PREFACE

Vsaka beseda [postane] kulturnozgodovinski dokument šele takrat, ko je zadovoljivo in vsestransko preiskana [...]. (ESSlov. 1: vii) France Bezlaj

Trance Deziaj

The subject of Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic has enjoyed great scholarly interest over the past two centuries, not only from Slavists, but also from Germanicists and scholars from adjoining fields. Apart from the monographs that were published on the area under discussion in the course of the 20th century (Mladenov 1908, Stender-Petersen 1927, Kiparsky 1934 and Martynov 1963), scholars have touched upon the subject in numerous handbooks and articles.

Research into the Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic has been complicated by the fact that every scholar works with his own corpus of loanwords, and it is often not made clear how the corpus was compiled. The absence of a defined, indisputable corpus of loanwords makes it difficult to investigate phonological, morphological or accentological developments or the semantic layering of the loanwords. When I started my research on the accentuation of the Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic, I felt it therefore necessary to review all (or almost all) possible Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic. The aim of this work had become twofold. On the one hand, this dissertation intends to provide an updated overview of the words that are to be regarded as Proto-Slavic loanwords from Germanic. On the other hand, it investigates the loanwords from the viewpoint of their accentuation in Proto-Slavic. In the field of Slavic accentology, much progress has been made since Christian Stang published Slavonic accentuation in 1957, but the accentuation of the Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic has not thoroughly and conclusively been investigated since the late 1950's. The present work aims to fill this gap and investigates the accentuation of the Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic within the framework set by the works of Leiden Slavists and Indo-Europeanists.

It is thanks to the inspiring classes of Willem Vermeer and Jos Schaeken of the Department of Slavic Languages and Cultures at Leiden University that my interest in Slavic historical linguistics was awakened. When I attended Willem Vermeer's fascinating, but extremely complicated lectures on Balto-Slavic historical accentology, I could not have imagined that I would ever write on an accentological subject myself.

The basis for this dissertation was laid in the master thesis that I wrote at the Department of Comparative Indo-European Linguistics at Leiden University, and my dissertation has benefited from the comments and suggestions by Frits Kortlandt, Guus Kroonen and Luzius Thöny on this master thesis. I am grateful to my supervisors, Sasha Lubotsky and Frits Kortlandt, not only for their supervision and commitment, but also for stimulating me to take up writing this dissertation in the first place.

I wrote my dissertation as an external PhD candidate of the *Leiden University Centre for Linguistics* and I thank the LUCL for the assistance and incidental financial support I received. I would not have been able to devote myself fulltime to the writing of this dissertation without the generous financial support of the *Hrvatska zaklada za znanost*. When I moved to Croatia in December 2009, I was warmly welcomed at the *Institut za hrvatski jezik i jezikoslovlje* and my gratitude therefore goes to my colleagues at the Institute, in particular to Dunja Brozović Rončević, and my (former) roommates Ivana Klinčić, Vladimira Rezo, Mirna Furdek and Ana Ostroški Anić. At the *Staroslavenski institut* in Zagreb, I received help in identifying a number of Croatian and Serbian Church Slavic forms. I spent many agreeable hours with my friend and colleague Maja Rupnik Matasović, talking not only about the way the originally Latin loanwords ended up in Proto-Slavic, but about many non-dissertation related subjects as well.

This book is dedicated to the memory of my brave best friend Thera Giezen, who always stood behind me with her wonderful friendship; she is very much missed. My parents and brother Maurits have supported me along the way with their love and enthusiasm. Most of all, I am grateful to my dear family, Tijmen, Cathelijne and Jacob, who gave me the confidence to finish this book and always made it a pleasure to go home in the evening and give the fascinating questions regarding the Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic a rest.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATIONS OF LANGUAGES

Alb.	Albanian	Kash.	Kashubian	
Arm.	Armenian	Lang.	Langobardic (Lombardic)	
Av.	Avestan	Lat.	Latin	
Bav.	Bavarian German	Latv.	Latvian	
Bg.	Bulgarian	LS	Lower Sorbian	
BSI.	Balto-Slavic	M-	Middle	
Čak.	Čakavian	MBg.	Middle Bulgarian	
Cr.	Croatian	MDu.	Middle Dutch	
Cr.CS	Croatian Church Slavic	MHG	Middle High German	
Crn.	Montenegrin	MLG	Middle Low German	
CS	Church Slavic	MW	Middle Welsh	
Cz.	Czech	Ν	Norse	
Dan.	Danish	NFri.	(New) Frisian	
Du.	Dutch	NWGmc.	Northwest Germanic	
E	English	O-	Old	
EGmc.	East Germanic	OCS	Old Church Slavic	
Fin.	Finnish	OCr.	Old Croatian	
Fr.	French	OCz.	Old Czech	
G	German	ODu.	Old Dutch	
Gaul.	Gaulish	OE	Old English	
Goth.	Gothic	OFr.	Old French	
Gr.	Ancient Greek	OFri.	Old Frisian	
Hg.	Hungarian	OHG	Old High German	
Hitt.	Hittite	OIr.	Old Irish	
Hsch.	Hesychius	OLF	Old Low Franconian	
Ic.	Icelandic	OLG	Old Low German	
Ir.	Irish	ON	Old Norse	
It.	Italian	OP	Old Polish	
Kajk.	Kajkavian	OPr.	Old Prussian	

OR	Old Russian	S/Cr.	Serbian/Croatian (cf. §1.2.2.4)
OS	Old Saxon	SCS	Serbian Church Slavic
OW	Old Welsh	Skt.	Sanskrit
Р	Polish	Slk.	Slovak
P-	Proto-	Slnc.	Slovincian
PCelt.	Proto-Celtic	Slov.	Slovene
PGmc.	Proto-Germanic	Sp.	Spanish
Phryg.	Phrygian	Supr.	Codex Suprasliensis
PIE	Proto-Indo-European	Sw.	Swedish
Plb.	Polabian	Toch. A/B	Tocharian A/B
Prt.	Portugese	Ukr.	Ukrainian
PSI.	Proto-Slavic	US	Upper Sorbian
R	Russian	VLat.	Vulgar Latin
RCS	Russian Church Slavic	W	Welsh
Rom.	Romance	WGmc.	West Germanic
S	Serbian		

LINGUISTIC ABBREVIATIONS

1/2/3	1st /2nd /3rd person	L	locative
А	accusative	lit.	literally
adj.	adjective	m.	masculine
adv.	adverb	Ν	nominative
AP	accent paradigm	n.	neuter
arch.	archaic	obs.	obsolete
D	dative	pej.	pejorative
dial.	dialectal	pl.	plural
f.	feminine	sg.	singular
G	genitive	V	vocative
Ι	instrumental		

Symbols

*	reconstructed form
<	developed from
>	developed into
С	consonant
Н	laryngeal
Ν	nasal
R	resonant
V	vowel