



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **On the dynamic interplay between perception and action - a connectionist perspective**

Haazebroek, P.

### **Citation**

Haazebroek, P. (2013, December 11). *On the dynamic interplay between perception and action - a connectionist perspective*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/22849>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/22849>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/22849> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

**Author:** Haazebroek, Pascal

**Title:** On the dynamic interplay between perception and action : a connectionist perspective

**Issue Date:** 2013-12-11

# References

- Ach, N. (1910). *Über den Willensakt und das Temperament*. Leipzig: Quelle & Meyer.
- Anderson, J. R. (1992). Automaticity and the ACT theory. *The American Journal of Psychology*, *105*, 165-180.
- Anderson, J. R. (1993). *Rules of the mind*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Ansorge, U., & Wühr, P. (2004). A response-discrimination account of the Simon effect. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *30*, 365–377.
- Bargh J. A. (1989). Conditional automaticity: varieties of automatic influence in social perception and cognition. In: J.S. Uleman & J.A. Bargh (Eds.), *Unintended thought* (pp. 3–51). New York: Guilford Press.
- Bargh, J. A., & Gollwitzer, P. (1994). Environmental control of goal-directed action: Automatic and strategic contingencies between situations and behavior. *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation*, *41*, 71–124.
- Barsalou, L. W. (1999). Perceptual symbol systems. *Behavior and Brain Sciences*, *22*, 577–660.
- Barsalou, L. W. (2008). Grounded cognition. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *59*, 617–645.
- Baseski, E., Pugeault, N., Kalkan, S., Bodenhausen, L., Piater, J.H., Krüger, N. (2010). Using multi-modal 3D contours and their relations for vision and robotics. *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation*, *2*, 850-864.
- Beckers, T., De Houwer, J., & Eelen, P. (2002). Automatic integration of non-perceptual action effect features: The case of the associative affective Simon effect. *Psychological Research*, *66*, 166–173.
- Botvinick, M. M., Braver, T. S., Barch, D. M., Carter, C. S., & Cohen, J. D. (2001). Conflict monitoring and cognitive control. *Psychological Review*, *108*, 624–652.
- Botvinick, M. M., Buxbaum, L. J., Bylsma, L., & Jax, S. A. (2009). Toward an integrated account of object and action selection: A computational analysis and empirical findings from reaching-to-grasp and tool use. *Neuropsychologia*, *47*, 671 - 683.
- Braitenberg, V., & Schüz, A. (1991). *Anatomy of the cortex: Statistics and geometry*. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.
- Brooks, R. A. (1986). A robust layered control system for a mobile robot. *Journal of Robotics and Automation*, *2*, 14-23.
- Brooks, R. A. (1991). Intelligence without representation. *Artificial Intelligence*, *47*, 139-159.
- Butz, M. V. & Pezzulo, G. (2008). Benefits of Anticipations in Cognitive Agents. In G. Pezzulo et al. (Eds.): *The Challenge of Anticipation, A Unifying Framework for the Analysis and Design of Artificial Cognitive Systems*, pp. 45-62.
- Byrne, M. D. (2003). Cognitive architecture. In J. Jacko & A. Sears (Eds.), *The human-computer interaction handbook: Fundamentals, evolving technologies and emerging applications* (pp. 97–117). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Card, S. K., Newell, A. & Moran, T. P. (1983). *The psychology of human-computer interaction*. Hillsdale, N.J: Erlbaum Associates.

- Cohen, J. D., Dunbar, K., & McClelland, J. L. (1990). On the control of automatic processes: A parallel distributed processing account of the Stroop effect. *Psychological Review*, *97*, 332–361.
- Cohen, J. D., Servan-Schreiber, D., & McClelland, J. L. (1992). A parallel distributed processing approach to automaticity. *American Journal of Psychology*, *105*, 239–269.
- Cohen, A., & Shoup, R. (1997). Perceptual dimensional constraints in response selection processes. *Cognitive Psychology*, *32*, 128–181.
- Cos-Aguilera, I., Canamero, L., & Hayes, G. (2004). Using a SOFM to learn object affordances. *Workshop of Physical Agents (WAF)*.
- Cowey, A. (1985). Aspects of cortical organization related to selective attention and selective impairments of visual perception: A tutorial review. In M. I. Posner & O. S. M. Marin (Eds.), *Attention and performance XI* (pp. 41–62). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Deco, G., & Rolls, E. T. (2004). A neurodynamical cortical model of visual attention and invariant object recognition. *Vision Research*, *44*, 621–642.
- Dehaene, S., Sergent, C., & Changeux, J.-P. (2003). A neuronal network model linking subjective reports and objective physiological data during conscious perception. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *100*, 8520–8525.
- De Jong, R., Liang, C.-C., & Lauber, E. (1994). Conditional and unconditional automaticity: A dual-process model of effects of spatial stimulus-response correspondence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *20*, 731–750.
- Detry, R., & Piater, J. (2011). Continuous surface-point distributions for 3D object pose estimation and recognition. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, *6494*, 572–585.
- DeYoe, E. A., & Van Essen, D. C. (1988). Concurrent processing streams in monkey visual cortex. *Trends in Neuroscience*, *11*, 219–226.
- Donders, F. C. (1868). Over de snelheid van psychische processen. *Onderzoekingen, gedaan in het fysiologisch laboratorium der Utrechtsche hoogeschool*, *2*, reeks, *2*, 92–120.
- Duncan, J., Humphreys, G., & Ward, R. (1997). Competitive brain activity in visual attention. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *7*, 255–261.
- Duncan, J., & Owen, A. M. (2000). Common regions of the human frontal lobe recruited by diverse cognitive demands. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *23*, 475–483.
- Eenshuistra, R. M., Weidema, M. A., & Hommel, B. (2004). Development of the acquisition and control of action-effect associations. *Acta psychologica*, *115*, 185–209.
- Elsner, B., & Hommel, B. (2001). Effect anticipation and action control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *27*, 229–240.
- Elsner, B., Hommel, B., Mentschel, C., Drzezga, A., Prinz, W., Conrad, B., et al. (2002). Linking actions and their perceivable consequences in the human brain. *Neuroimage*, *17*, 364–372.

- Eriksen B.A., & Eriksen C.W. (1974). Effects of noise letters upon the identification of a target letter in a non-search task. *Perception and Psychophysics*, *16*, 143–149
- Estes, Z., Verges, M., & Barsalou, L. W. (2008). Head up, foot down: object words orient attention to the objects' typical location. *Psychological Science*, *19*, 93–97.
- Fagioli, S., Hommel, B., & Schubotz, R. I. (2007). Intentional control of attention: Action planning primes action-related stimulus dimensions. *Psychological Research*, *71*, 22–29.
- Fischer, M. H., Castel, A. D., Dodd, M. D., & Pratt, J. (2003). Perceiving numbers causes spatial shifts of attention. *Nature Neuroscience*, *6*, 555–556.
- Fodor, J. (1983). *The modularity of mind*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press
- Gibson, J. J. (1979). *The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception*. Houghton Mifflin.
- Glenberg, A.M. (1997). What memory is for. *Behavioral and Brain Science*, *20*, 1–55.
- Glenberg A.M., & Kaschak M.P. (2002). Grounding language in action. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *9*, 558–565.
- Glover, S. (2004). Separate visual representations in the planning and control of action. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *27*, 3–24.
- Grossberg, S., & Grunewald, A. (1997). Cortical Synchronization and Perceptual Framing. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *9*, 117–132.
- Grossberg, S., & Somers, D. (1991). Synchronized oscillations during cooperative feature linking in a cortical model of visual perception. *Neural Networks*, *4*, 453–466.
- Guiard, Y. (1983). The lateral coding of rotations: a study of the Simon effect with wheel-rotation responses. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, *15*, 331–342.
- Haazebroek, P., & Hommel, B. (2009a). Anticipative control of voluntary action: Towards a computational model. *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, *5499*, 31–47.
- Haazebroek, P., & Hommel, B. (2009b). Towards a computational model of perception and action in human computer interaction. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, *5620*, 247–256.
- Haazebroek, P., Raffone, A., & Hommel, B. HiTEC: *A Connectionist Model of the Interaction between Perception and Action Planning*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Haazebroek, P., van Dantzig, S., & Hommel, B. (2009). Towards a computational account of context mediated affective stimulus-response translation. *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*. Austin, TX: Cognitive Science Society.
- Haazebroek, P., van Dantzig, S., & Hommel, B. (2011a). A computational model of perception and action for cognitive robotics. *Cognitive Processing*, *12*, 355–365
- Haazebroek, P., van Dantzig, S., & Hommel, B. (2011b). Interaction between Task Oriented and Affective Information Processing in Cognitive Robotics. *Lecture Notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences, Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering*, *59*, 34–41.

- Haazebroek, P., van Dantzig, S., & Hommel, B. (2013). How task goals mediate the interplay between perception and action. *Frontiers in Psychology, 4*, 247.
- Harless, E. (1861). Der Apparat des Willens. *Zeitschrift fuer Philosophie und philosophische Kritik, 38*, 50–73.
- Hasbroucq, T., & Guiard, Y. (1991). Stimulus-response compatibility and the Simon effect: Toward a conceptual clarification. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 17*, 246–266.
- Heider, F. (1926/1959). Thing and medium. *Psychological Issues, 1959, Monograph 3* (original work published 1926).
- Heider, F. (1930/1959). The function of the perceptual system. *Psychological Issues, 1959, Monograph, 371–394*. (original work published 1930).
- Hommel, B. (1993). Inverting the Simon effect by intention: Determinants of direction and extent of effects of irrelevant spatial information. *Psychological Research, 55*, 270–279.
- Hommel, B. (1996). No prevalence of right-left over above-below spatial codes. *Perception & Psychophysics, 58*, 102–110.
- Hommel, B. (1997). Interactions between stimulus-stimulus congruence and stimulus-response compatibility. *Psychological Research, 59*, 248–260.
- Hommel, B. (2000a). The prepared reflex: Automaticity and control in stimulus-response translation. In S. Monsell & J. Driver (Eds.), *Control of cognitive processes: Attention and performance* (Vol. XVIII, pp. 247–273). Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Hommel, B. (2000b). Intentional control of automatic stimulus-response translation. In Y. Rossetti & A. Revonsuo (Eds.), *Interaction between dissociable conscious and nonconscious processes* (pp. 223–244). Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Hommel, B. (2004). Event files: Feature binding in and across perception and action. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 8*, 494–500.
- Hommel, B. (2009). Action control according to TEC (theory of event coding). *Psychological Research, 73*, 512–526.
- Hommel, B. (2010). Grounding attention in action control: The intentional control of selection. In B.J. Bruya (ed.), *Effortless attention: A new perspective in the cognitive science of attention and action* (pp. 121-140). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Hommel, B. (2011). The Simon task as tool and heuristic. *Acta Psychologica, 136*, 189–202.
- Hommel, B. (2013). Ideomotor action control: On the perceptual grounding of voluntary actions and agents. In W. Prinz, M. Beisert & A. Herwig (Eds.), *Action science: Foundations of an emerging discipline* (pp. 113-136). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press
- Hommel, B., & Elsner, B. (2009). Acquisition, representation, and control of action. In E. Morsella, J. A. Bargh, & P. M. Gollwitzer (Eds.), *Oxford handbook of human action* (pp. 371–398). New York: Oxford University Press.

- Hommel, B., Müsseler, J., Aschersleben, G., & Prinz, W. (2001). The theory of event coding (TEC): A framework for perception and action planning. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *24*, 849–937.
- Hommel, B., & Prinz, W. (1997). Theoretical issues in stimulus-response compatibility: An editor's introduction. In B. Hommel & W. Prinz (Eds.), *Theoretical issues in stimulus-response compatibility* (pp. 3–8). Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Hebb, D.O. (1949). *The Organization of Behavior*. New York: Wiley & Sons.
- James, W. (1890). *The principles of psychology* (Vol. 2). New York: Dover Publications.
- Kaschak, M. P., Madden, C. J., Theriault, D. J., Yaxley, R. H., Aveyard, M. E., Blanchard, A. A., & Zwaan, R. A. (2005). Perception of motion affects language processing. *Cognition*, *94*, B79–B89.
- Keele, S. W. (1968). Movement control in skilled motor performance. *Psychological Bulletin*, *70*, 387–403.
- Keysers, C., & Perrett, D. I. (2004). Demystifying social cognition: A Hebbian perspective. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *8*, 501–507.
- Kieras, D. E., & Meyer, D. E. (1997). An overview of the EPIC architecture for cognition and performance with application to human-computer interaction. *Human-Computer Interaction*, *12*, 391–438.
- Kirlik, A. (2007). Conceptual and Technical Issues in Extending Computational Cognitive Modeling to Aviation. *Proceedings of Human-Computer Interaction International*, 872–881.
- Kohonen, T. (1982). Self-organized formation of topologically correct feature maps. *Biological Cybernetics*, *43*, 59–69.
- Kornblum, S., Hasbroucq, T., & Osman, A. (1990). Dimensional overlap : Cognitive Basis for stimulus-response compatibility – A model and taxonomy. *Psychological Review*, *97*, 253–270.
- Kornblum, S., Stevens, G. T., Whipple, A., & Requin, J. (1999). The Effects of Irrelevant Stimuli 1: The time course of stimulus-stimulus and stimulus-response consistency Effects with Stroop-like stimuli, Simon-like tasks, and their factorial combinations. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *25*, 688–714.
- Kraft, D., Baseski, E., Popovic, M., Batog, A.M., Kjær-Nielsen, A., Krüger, N. et al. (2008). Exploration and Planning in a Three-Level Cognitive Architecture. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cognitive Systems (CogSys 2008)*, Karlsruhe.
- Kray, J., Eenshuistra, R.M., Kerstner, H., Weidema, M., & Hommel, B. (2006). Language and action control: the acquisition of action goals in early childhood. *Psychological Science*, *17*, 737–741.
- Kunde, W., Koch, I., & Hoffmann, J. (2004). Anticipated action effects affect the selection, initiation, and execution of actions. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *57*, 87–106.



- Lakens, D. (2012). Polarity correspondence in metaphor congruency effects: structural overlap predicts categorization times for bi-polar concepts presented in vertical space. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition*, *38*, 726–723.
- Lamme, V. A. F., & Roelfsema, P. R. (2000). The distinct modes of vision offered by feedforward and recurrent processing. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *23*, 571–579.
- LeDoux, J. (1996). *The emotional brain: The mysterious underpinnings of emotional life*. New York: Touchstone.
- Logan, G. D. (1988). Toward an instance theory of automatization. *Psychological Review*, *95*, 492–527.
- Logan, G. D., & Bundesen, C. (2004). Very clever homunculus: compound stimulus strategies for the explicit task-cuing procedure. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *11*, 832–840.
- Logan, G. D., & Crump, M.J.C. (2010). Cognitive illusions of authorship reveal hierarchical error detection in skilled typists. *Science*, *330*, 683–686.
- Lotze, R. H. (1852). *Medicinische Psychologie oder die Physiologie der Seele*. Leipzig: Weidmann'sche Buchhandlung.
- MacLeod, C. M. (1991). Half a century of research on the Stroop effect: An integrative review. *Psychological Bulletin*, *109*, 163–203.
- McCulloch, W. S., & Pitts, W. (1943). A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. *The Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics*, *5*, 115–133.
- Meier, B. P., and Robinson, M. D. (2004). Why the sunny side is up: Associations between affect and vertical position. *Psychological Science*, *15*, 243–247.
- Meier, B. P., Hauser, D. J., Robinson, M. D., Friesen, C. K., & Schjeldahl, K. (2007). What's "up" with god? vertical space as a representation of the divine. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *93*, 699–710.
- Melcher, T., Weidema, M., Eenshuistra, R. M., Hommel, B., & Gruber, O. (2008). The neural substrate of the ideomotor principle: an event-related fMRI analysis. *NeuroImage*, *39*, 1274–1288.
- Memelink, J., & Hommel, B. (2005). Attention, instruction, and response representation. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, *17*, 674–685.
- Memelink, J., & Hommel, B. (2013). Intentional weighting: A basic principle in cognitive control. *Psychological Research*, *77*, 249–259.
- Meteyard, L., Bahrami, B., & Vigliocco, G. (2007). Motion Detection and Motion Words: Language Affects Low-Level Visual Perception. *Psychological Science*, *18*, 1007–1013.
- Meteyard, L., Zokaei, N., Bahrami, B., & Vigliocco, G. (2008). Visual motion interferes with lexical decision on motion words. *Current Biology*, *18*, 732–733.
- Miller, E. K., & Cohen, J. D. (2001). An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *24*, 167–202.
- Milner, A. D. & Goodale, M. A. (1995). *The visual brain in action*. Oxford University Press.

- Minsky, M. L., & Papert, S. A. (1969). *Perceptrons*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Monsell, S. (1996). Control of mental processes. In V. Bruce (Ed.), *Unsolved Mysteries of the Mind: Tutorial Essays in Cognition* (pp. 93–148). Erlbaum.
- Moors, A., & De Houwer, J. (2006). Automaticity: a theoretical and conceptual analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, *132*, 297–326.
- Murre, J. M. J., Phaf, R. H., & Wolters, G. (1992). CALM: categorizing and learning module, *Neural Networks*, *5*, 55–82.
- Müsseler, J., & Hommel, B. (1997). Blindness to response-compatible stimuli. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *23*, 861–872.
- Neisser, U. (1967). *Cognitive psychology*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Neisser, U. (1992). Distinct systems for “where” and “what”: Reconciling the ecological and representational views of perception. *Paper presented at the Fourth Annual Convention of the American Psychological Society*, San Diego, CA.
- Newell, A. (1990). *Unified theories of cognition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Nicoletti, R., & Umiltà, C. (1984). Right–left prevalence in spatial compatibility. *Perception and Psychophysics*, *35*, 333–343.
- Nicoletti, R., & Umiltà, C. (1985). Responding with hand and foot: The right/left prevalence in spatial compatibility is still present. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *38*, 211–216.
- Nicoletti, R., Umiltà, C., Tressoldi, E. P., & Marzi, C. A. (1988). Why are left–right spatial codes easier to form than above–below ones? *Perception & Psychophysics*, *43*, 287–292.
- Nilsson, N.J. (1984), *Shakey the Robot*, SRI. Technical Note no. 323, SRI, Menlo Park, California.
- Norman, D. (1988). *The Design of Everyday Things*. New York: Basic Books.
- Nuxoll, A., & Laird, J. E. (2004). A cognitive model of episodic memory integrated with a general cognitive architecture. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cognitive Modeling*.
- O’Regan, J. K., & Noë, A. (2001). A sensorimotor account of vision and visual consciousness. *Behavioral and brain sciences*, *24*, 939–972.
- Oriet, C., Stevanovski, B., & Jolicoeur, P. (2001). Theory of event coding: Interesting, but underspecified. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *24*, 897–898.
- Pecher, D., & Boot, I. (2011). Numbers in space: Differences between concrete and abstract situations. *Frontiers in Cognition*, *2*:121.
- Pecher, D., & Zwaan, R.A. (2005). *Grounding Cognition: The Role of Perception and Action in Memory, Language, and Thinking*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Phaf, R. H., Van der Heijden, A. H. C., & Hudson, P. T. (1990). SLAM: a connectionist model for attention in visual selection tasks. *Cognitive Psychology*, *22*, 273–341.
- Pinker, S. (1997). *How the mind works*. New York: Norton.
- Pitts, W., & McCulloch, W. S. (1947). How we know universals the perception of auditory and visual forms. *The bulletin of mathematical biophysics*, *9*, 127–147.

- Prablanc, C., & Pélisson, D. (1990). Gaze saccade orienting and hand pointing are locked to their goal by quick internal loops. In *Attention and Performance. XIII. Motor Representation and Control*, edited by M. Jeannerod. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, 653–676.
- Prinz, J. (2006). Is the mind really modular? In R. Stainten (Ed.), *Contemporary debates in cognitive science*, New York: Blackwell, 22–36.
- Prinz, W. (1990). A common coding approach to perception and action. In O. Neumann & W. Prinz (Eds.), *Relationships between perception and action* (pp. 167–201). Berlin: Springer.
- Prinz, W. (1992). Why don't we perceive our brain states? *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 4, 1–20.
- Prinz, W., & Hommel, B. (2002). *Common mechanisms in perception and action: Attention and performance XIV*. Oxford: Oxford Press.
- Proctor, R. W. (2010). Playing the Simon game: Use of the Simon task for investigating human information processing. *Acta Psychologica*, 136, 182–188.
- Proctor, R. W., & Cho, Y. S. (2006). Polarity correspondence: A general principle for performance of speeded binary classification tasks. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132, 416–442.
- Proctor, R. W., & Lu, C. H. (1999). Processing irrelevant location information: Practice and transfer effects in choice-reaction tasks. *Memory and Cognition*, 27, 63–77.
- Proctor, R. W., & Vu, K.-P. L. (2006). *Stimulus-Response Compatibility Principles: Data, Theory, and Application*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Proctor, R. W., Vu, K.-P. L., & Nicoletti, R. (2003). Does right–left prevalence occur for the Simon effect? *Perception and Psychophysics*, 65, 1318–1329.
- Raffone, A., and Pantani, M. (2010). A global workspace model for phenomenal and access consciousness. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 19, 580–596.
- Riggio, L., Gawryszewski, L. D. G., & Umiltà, C. (1986). What is crossed in crossed-hand effects? *Acta Psychologica*, 62, 89–100.
- Rizzolatti, G., & Craighero, L. (2004). The mirror-neuron system. *Annual review of neuroscience*, 27, 169–92.
- Rosenblatt, F. (1961). Principles of neurodynamics. perceptrons and the theory of brain mechanisms, *Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory Report VG 1196 G-8*, Buffalo, NY.
- Rubichi, S., Gherri, E., Nicoletti, R., & Umiltà, C. (2005). Modulation of the vertical Simon effect in two-dimensional tasks: The effect of learning. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 17, 686–694.
- Rumelhart, D. E., Hinton, G. E., & McClelland, J. L. (1986). A General Framework for Parallel Distributed Processing. In D. E. Rumelhart & J. L. McClelland (Eds.), *Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of Cognition* (pp. 45–76). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Santiago, J., Ouellet, M., Román, A., & Valenzuela, J. (2012). Attentional factors in conceptual congruency. *Cognitive Science*, 36, 1051–1077.

- Schmidt, R. A. (1975). A schema theory of discrete motor skill learning. *Psychological Review*, *82*, 225-260.
- Schubert, T. W. (2005). Your highness: vertical positions as perceptual symbols of power. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *89*, 1-21.
- Schütz-Bosbach, S., & Prinz, W. (2007). Prospective coding in event representation. *Cognitive processing*, *8*, 93-102.
- Šetić, M., & Domijan, D. (2007). The influence of vertical spatial orientation on property verification. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, *22*, 297-312.
- Shanahan, M. P. (1998). Reinventing Shakey. *Working Notes of the 1998 AAAI Fall Symposium on Cognitive Robotics*, 12-135.
- Simon, J. R., & Rudell, A. P. (1967). Auditory S-R compatibility: the effect of an irrelevant cue on information processing. *The Journal of Applied psychology*, *51*, 300-304.
- Sternberg, S. (1969) The discovery of processing stages: Extensions of Donders' method. In W. G. Koster (Ed.), *Attention and performance II*. *Acta Psychologica*, *30*, 276-315.
- Stoet, G., & Hommel, B. (2002). Interaction between feature binding in perception and action. In W. Prinz & B. Hommel (Eds.), *Common mechanisms in perception and action: Attention and performance XIV*. Oxford: Oxford Press.
- Stock, A., & Stock, C. (2004). A short history of ideo-motor action. *Psychological Research*, *68*, 176-188.
- Stroop, J. R. (1935). Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *28*, 643-662.
- Tagliabue, M., Zorzi, M., Umiltà, C., & Bassignani, F. (2000). The role of LTM links and STM links in the Simon effect. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *26*, 648-670.
- Taylor, W.K. (1956). Electrical Simulation of Some Nervous System Functional Activities. In C. Cherry (Ed.) *Information Theory* (pp.314-28). London: Butterworths.
- Taylor, L. J., & Zwaan, R. A. (2009). Action in cognition: The case of language. *Language and Cognition*, *1*, 45-58.
- Tononi, G., Sporns, O., & Edelman, G. M. (1992). Reentry and the problem of integrating multiple cortical areas: Simulation of dynamic integration in the visual system. *Cerebral Cortex*, *2*, 310-335.
- Treisman, A. (1996). The binding problem. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *6*, 171-178.
- Tubau, E., Hommel, B., & López-Moliner, J. (2007). Modes of executive control in sequence learning: From stimulus-based to plan-based control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *136*, 43-63.
- Umiltà, C., & Zorzi, M. (1997). Commentary on Barber and O'Leary: Learning and attention in S-R compatibility. In B. Hommel & W. Prinz (Eds.), *Theoretical issues in stimulus response compatibility* (pp. 173-178). Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Valle-Inclán, F., & Redondo, M. (1998). On the automaticity of ipsilateral response activation in the Simon effect. *Psychophysiology*, *35*, 366-371.

- van Dantzig, S., Raffone, A., & Hommel, B. (2011). Acquiring contextualized concepts: A connectionist approach. *Cognitive Science*, *35*, 1162-1189.
- van Steenbergen, H., Band, G. P. H., & Hommel, B. (2009). Reward counteracts conflict adaptation: Evidence for a role of affect in executive control. *Psychological Science*, *20*, 1473-1477.
- Wallace, R. A. (1971). S-R compatibility and the idea of a response code. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *88*, 354-360.
- Wang, D.-Y., D., Proctor, R. W., & Pick, D. F. (2007). Coding controlled and triggered cursor movements as action effects: Influences on the auditory Simon effect for wheel-rotation responses. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception*, *33*, 657-669.
- Ward, R. (1999). Interactions between perception and action systems: a model for selective action. In G. W. Humphreys, J. Duncan, & A. Treisman (Eds.), *Attention, space and action: studies in cognitive neuroscience*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ward, R. (2002). Coordination and integration in perception and action. In W. Prinz & B. Hommel (Eds.), *Common mechanisms in perception and action: Attention and performance XIV*. Oxford: Oxford Press.
- Waszak, F., Hommel, B., & Allport, A. (2003). Task-switching and long-term priming: Role of episodic stimulus-task bindings in task-shift costs. *Cognitive Psychology*, *46*, 361-413.
- Watson, J. B. (1913). Psychology as the behaviorist views it. *Psychological Review*, *20*, 158-177.
- Wickens, J., Hyland, B., & Anson, G. (1994). Cortical cell assemblies: A possible mechanism for motor programs. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, *26*, 66-82.
- Wilson, M. (2002). Six Views of Embodied Cognition. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *9*, 625-636.
- Wilson, H., & Cowan, J. (1972). Excitatory and inhibitory interactions in localized populations of model neurons. *Biophysical Journal*, *12*, 1-24.
- Wolpert, D. M., & Ghahramani, Z. (2000). Computational principles of movement neuroscience. *Nature Neuroscience*, *3*, 1212-1217.
- Wykowska, A., Schubö, A., & Hommel, B. (2009). How you move is what you see: Action planning biases selection in visual search. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *35*, 1755-1769.
- Yamaguchi, M., & Proctor, R. W. (2011). The Simon task with multi-component responses: Two loci of response-effect compatibility. *Psychological Research*, *75*, 214-226.
- Yamaguchi, M., & Proctor, R. W. (2012). Multidimensional vector model of stimulus-response compatibility. *Psychological Review*, *119*, 272-303.
- Young, M. P. (1995). Open questions about the neural mechanisms of visual pattern recognition. In M. S. Gazzaniga (Ed.), *The Cognitive Neurosciences*. Cambridge, MA: Bradford.

## References

- Zanolie, K., van Dantzig, S., Boot, I., Wijnen, J., Schubert, T. W., Giessner, S. R., & Pecher, D. (2012). Mighty Metaphors: Behavioral and ERP Evidence That Power Shifts Attention on a Vertical Dimension. *Brain & Cognition*, 78, 50–58.
- Zorzi, M., & Umiltà, C. (1995). A computational model of the Simon effect. *Psychological Research*, 58, 193–205.
- Zwaan, R. A., & Taylor, L. J. (2006). Seeing, acting, understanding: motor resonance in language comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 135, 1–11.



