



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Egypt in material and mind : the use and perception of Aegyptiaca in Roman domestic contexts of Pompeii**

Mol, E.M.

### **Citation**

Mol, E. M. (2015, May 27). *Egypt in material and mind : the use and perception of Aegyptiaca in Roman domestic contexts of Pompeii*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/33706>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/33706>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/33706> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Mol, Eva Martine

**Title:** Egypt in material and mind : the use and perception of Aegyptiaca in Roman domestic contexts of Pompeii

**Issue Date:** 2015-05-27

# Egypt in material and mind

The use and perception of Aegyptiaca in Roman  
domestic contexts of Pompeii

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van

de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,

op gezag van Rector Magnificus Prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,

volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties

te verdedigen op woensdag 27 mei 2015

klokke 10.00 uur

door

Eva Martine Mol

geboren op 25 november 1984

te Meppel

Promotor: Prof.Dr. R.A.H. Corbey  
Begeleider en Co-promotor: Dr. Miguel John Versluys

Faculteit der Archeologie, Universiteit Leiden  
Klassieke en Mediterrane Archeologie

# CONTENTS

ENGLISH AND DUTCH SUMMARY	IX
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	XIII
CURRICULUM VITAE	XIV
LIST OF FIGURES	XVI
LIST OF TABLES	XIX
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION, STUDYING AEGYPTIACA IN ROMAN DOMESTIC CONTEXTS	1
CHAPTER 2: HISTORIOGRAPHICAL ANALYSIS AND VISUALRECEPTION HISTORY OF AEGYPTIACA: FROM <i>ARTEFACTION</i> TO PERCEPTION	13
<b>2.1 Introduction</b>	13
<b>2.2 Historical context: tracing Egypt outside Egypt</b>	14
2.2.1 Aegyptiaca outside Egypt, mapping issues concerning Interpretation and classifications of exotic objects	14
2.2.2 Aegyptiaca within Roman-Italian contexts	25
<b>2.3 Traditional Aegyptiaca studies</b>	30
2.3.1 Aegyptiaca as a cultic expression and the division between Egyptian and Egyptianising artefacts	31
2.3.2 Egyptomania	34
<b>2.4 Recent approaches to Aegyptiaca</b>	38
2.4.1 Aegyptiaca within wider cultural frameworks	38
2.4.2 Romanisation, globalization, and connectivity studies	41
2.4.3 Incorporating Egypt into the history of Rome	44

<b>2.5 Perception of the Roman, the Egyptian, and of material culture</b>	48
2.5.1 Visual reception history of Egypt and the role of Aegyptiaca	48
2.5.2 Enframing Egypt	56
2.5.3 Aegyptiaca as a category of material culture	57
<b>2.6 Conclusion: from <i>artefaction</i> to studying perception in context</b>	59

CHAPTER 3: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND METHODOLOGY	63
<b>3.1 Introduction</b>	63
<b>3.2 Theoretical framework</b>	64
3.2.1 Initial observations and theoretical foundations	64
3.2.2 Perception	66
3.2.3 Materiality and perception	68
3.2.4 Environment and context	72
3.2.5 Epistemology	79
3.2.6 Theoretical synthesis	81
<b>3.3 Rethinking objects</b>	82
<b>3.4 Rethinking concepts</b>	87
<b>3.5 Approach: deconstructing and replacing Egypt in Roman Pompeii</b>	96
<b>3.6 Methodology I: Deconstructing ‘Aegyptiaca’</b>	97
3.6.1 Associations between objects and concepts	97
3.6.2 Deconstruction	97
3.6.3 Relationality	98
<b>3.7 Methodology II: Place-making</b>	100
3.7.1 Dwelling	101
3.7.2 Place-making as a methodology of dwelling	102
3.7.3 Methods of place-making	103
<b>3.8 Conclusion</b>	108

CHAPTER 4: EXPLORING AEGYPTIACA	110
<b>4.1 Introduction</b>	110
4.1.1 Deconstructing Aegyptiaca, the concept of Egypt in networks of being and becoming	110
4.1.2 Studying proximate relations of artefacts: a initial exploration of Aegyptiaca relations	114
4.1.3 The network of Aegyptiaca	116
4.1.4 Research objectives	122
<b>4.2. Representations of ‘Egyptian’ deities</b>	124
4.2.1 Introduction	124
4.2.2 Egyptian deities	126
4.2.3 Isis versus Venus	132
4.2.4 Mithras	147
4.2.5 Icons and idols	149
<b>4.3 Statuettes of Egyptian deities in the context of domestic religion</b>	152
4.3.1 Introduction	152
4.3.2 Statuettes and Roman domestic worship	153
4.3.3 Isis and domestic religion in Pompeii	155
4.3.4 Comparison I: form and function in a wider perspective: Isis from a global viewpoint	161
4.3.5 Comparison II: Isis and Isis-Fortuna: Isis from a local viewpoint	169
4.3.6 Comparison III: contextual analysis of the diversity of domestic religious practices and preferences	176
4.3.7 Conclusion	181
<b>4.4 Bes and Ptah-Pataikos in networks of being and becoming</b>	182
4.4.1 Introduction	182
4.4.2 Bes and Ptah-Pataikos and the Isis cult	186
4.4.3 Subject: the idea of Bes and its perceptual networks	190
4.4.4 Form: cultural transmission	191

4.4.5 Object: materialisations of Bes	193
4.4.6 Further down the network of perception: blue-glazed figurines	197
4.4.7 Context: locations of Bes	210
4.4.8 Perception and use: the integration of Bes in Pompeii	212
4.4.9 Conclusion	217
<b>4.5 Egypt as style: ‘Foreign’ objects and images in Pompeii</b>	219
4.5.1 Introduction	219
4.5.2 Imports and locally crafted Aegyptiaca in an Egyptian style	224
4.5.3 Egyptian styled wall painting	230
4.5.4 The riddle of the sphinx	242
4.5.5 Conclusion	254
<b>4.6 Disentangling Nilotic scenes</b>	256
4.6.1 Introduction	256
4.6.2 Previous research on Nilotic scenes	261
4.6.3 Iconography	263
4.6.4 Style	283
4.6.5 Contexts and spatial distribution	287
4.6.6 Nilotic scenes, a Roman view of Egypt?	290
4.6.7 Conclusion	291
<b>4.7. Conclusion, the dynamics of material culture and the concepts of Egypt</b>	294
4.7.1 Introduction	294
4.7.2 The category of ‘Aegyptiaca’	294
4.7.3 Associative networks	297
4.7.4 Cultural embeddedness	299
4.7.5 The agency of Egypt?	301
4.7.6 Narrative and style	303
4.7.7 Choice	307



CHAPTER 5: DOMESTIC CONTEXTS, CASES OF EGYPT	309
<b>5.1 From household archaeology to place-making</b>	309
5.1.1 Introduction	309
5.1.2 Roman households	313
5.1.3 Place-making	321
5.1.4 The amalgamation of materiality and psychology in the home: the threshold as an example of place-making	324
5.1.5 Research objectives	331
<b>5.2 Case study I: the Casa degli Amorini Dorati</b>	332
5.2.1. Introduction	332
5.2.2 History of the house	335
5.2.3 Description and discussion of the Egyptian-connected objects from the Casa degli Amorini Dorati	336
5.2.4 Description and discussion of the house and the remaining finds in relation to the Egyptian shrine	341
5.2.5 Place-making in the house: configuration, visibility and movement	347
5.2.6 Place-making in the house: pattern language	358
5.2.7 Place-making in the house: object analysis	373
5.2.8 The shrine in context	384
5.2.9 Conclusion	390
<b>5.3 Case study II: the Casa di Octavius Quartio</b>	392
5.3.1 Introduction	392
5.3.2 Description and discussion of the Egypt-connected finds from the Casa di Octavius Quartio	396
5.3.3 History and discussion of the rooms and remaining finds of the house	408
5.3.4 Place-making in the house: configuration, visibility, and movement	415

5.3.5 Place-making in the house: pattern language	426
5.3.6 Place-making in the house: object analysis	434
5.3.7 Discussion: Egypt as exotic decorum?	442
5.3.8 Conclusion	445
<b>5.4 Conclusion to chapter 5: the social significance of Egypt as object and idea in Pompeian houses</b>	446
5.4.1 Introduction	446
5.4.2 Houses and households in Pompeii	448
5.4.3 The experience of Egyptian objects in context; cult and exoticism revisited	387
5.4.4 The agencies of Egypt from a domestic perspective	454
5.4.5 On place-making	457
5.4.6 Conclusion	461
<b>CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION, THE INTEGRATION AND AGENCY OF EGYPT IN ROMAN POMPEII</b>	462
APPENDIX A: LIST OF AEGYPTIACA FROM POMPEII	475
APPENDIX B: DISTRIBUTION MAPS	480
BIBLIOGRAPHY	483