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## Chapter 1

General Introduction

## ABOUT THIS THESIS

This thesis focuses on a new approach in drug discovery, the so-called drug-target residence time. Next to more traditional drug discovery efforts, which are based on structure-affinity relationships, this thesis describes the use of an additional parameter - the structure-kinetic relationships. Knowledge of this additional parameter at the early stages of drug discovery may help the pharmaceutical industry to generate better drug candidates. Additionally, it could save big expenses in the later stages of drug discovery by minimizing the attrition rate of drug candidates due to efficacy problems. In more detail, this thesis focuses on the synthesis of new high affinity and long residence time small molecule antagonists for the chemokine receptor CCR2 - a potential target for the treatment of various inflammatory diseases.

## THE BEGINNINGS OF DRUG DISCOVERY

Already in the early days of human civilization men used different plants as healing agents. These early drugs were most probably discovered through trial and error experimentation by observing the reactions of human and animal as a result of consumption of such products. Folk medicines derived from these "clinical trials" were the only available treatments until recent times. Scientific techniques in drug discovery started to emerge only in the late 1800s. Oswald Schmiedeberg was one of the first scientists to describe the correlation between the chemical structure of substances and their effectiveness. ${ }^{\underline{1}}$ It was an early attempt to describe structure-activity relationships (SAR). At the beginning of the 1900s chemical methods were developed to produce synthetic drugs, marking the beginnings of pharmaceutical industry.

## DRUG DISCOVERY AND DEVELOPMENT

With the increasing knowledge and understanding of diseases, scientists started to hypothesize that modulation of a specific biological target may have therapeutic value in the treatment of a disease. This was the beginning of a paradigm shift in drug discovery from
"trial and error experiments" to "rational drug design". In rational drug design, the biological target must fulfill two criteria. First, modulation of a given biological target must show evidence of therapeutic value. Second, the target must be "druggable", i.e. the target is able to bind to a small molecule and the small molecule can modulate the target's activity. When a suitable target is identified, the search for small molecules that bind to the target can begin. In pharmaceutical industry such small molecules ('hit' compounds) usually are found by screening libraries of potential drug compounds in high-throughput screening assays of the desired targets. Another option is to perform a virtual screening of libraries, if the structure of the target is available. Binding affinity is the main criterion in these screenings. Afterwards, the affinity of the initial hits is improved through further synthetic efforts and structureaffinity relationships are generated, a concept that was already used in the $19^{\text {th }}$ century. After this 'lead' identification comes the lead optimization process in which a drug candidate is generated. Ideally, the drug candidate should not only have good binding affinity for the target, but also be "drug-like". It should possess properties that are predicted to lead to adequate chemical and metabolic stability, oral bioavailability and minimal toxicity, which in turn would result in a safe and efficacious drug. However, these characteristics are still difficult to optimize using rational drug design techniques. So, often scientists are forced to return to the "trial and error" experimentation in the late stages of the drug development process. A survey of phase II clinical trials in 2008-2010 done by Thomson Reuters ${ }^{\underline{2}}$ revealed that less than a fifth (18\%) of phase II clinical trials were successful. Half of the reported failures were due to insufficient efficacy and another fifth due to safety concerns (Figure 1). This indicates that more predictive models in earlier stages of drug development are still needed.


Figure 1. Phase II failures: 2008 - 2010. The failures are divided according to failure reasons. Adopted from Arrowsmith, 2011.르․

## RESIDENCE TIME IN DRUG DISCOVERY

As mentioned above, in current drug discovery the lead compounds are selected based on their affinity towards the desired target. Usually, affinity is determined using e.g., a radioligand displacement assay, which is an in vitro experiment based on binding equilibrium between a known radioligand and the compound in question. However, in the human body the concentrations of the drug and the endogenous competitor are constantly changing. Therefore, affinity that has been determined in vitro under equilibrium conditions does not necessarily translate in in vivo efficacy. To tackle the efficacy issue we need to improve and optimize the drug candidates on additional parameters. The past few years witnessed an increased interest in a new parameter, the so called drug-target residence time. More and more evidence suggests that drug efficacy may be associated with the time the drug remains on its target. ${ }^{3}{ }^{4}$ Copeland et $\mathrm{al}^{5}$ already demonstrated that the drug-target residence time is, in some cases, a better predictor of in vivo efficacy than the binding affinity. However, it depends on the physiological context and the nature of drug-target interactions whether a long or short residence time is needed to yield a better drug. Thus the additional knowledge of drug-target residence time already at the early stage of drug development could help to
address the afore mentioned efficacy problem, thus potentially decreasing the attrition rate of drug candidates in the more expensive phase II clinical trials.

## CHEMOKINES, CHEMOKINE RECEPTORS

Chemokines (chemoattractant cytokines) are a family of small and structurally similar proteins of 70-120 amino acids ( $\mathrm{MW}=8-14 \mathrm{kDa}$ ). ${ }^{6-8}$ They were first discovered in $1977^{9}$ and initially identified as controllers of immune cell migration. ${ }^{[ }$Chemokines are split into two main categories according to their biological actions - the inflammatory chemokines and homeostatic chemokines. ${ }^{10}$ The inflammatory chemokines are released under pathological conditions. The concentration gradient of chemokines recruits the immune cells towards the site of inflammation. Homeostatic chemokines are continuously produced and are involved in housekeeping functions such as recruiting leukocytes during hematopoiesis.

The chemokine receptors are members of the $G$ protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) superfamily. This protein family has proven to be one of the most fruitful target classes for therapeutics. It constitutes a class of membrane-bound proteins, with a conserved transmembrane heptahelical fold, which respond to a whole spectrum of different endogenous and synthetic agonist ligands ranging from light and cations, biogenic amines, fragrances, pheromones and lipids to peptides and globular proteins. The whole GPCR family comprises three major classes, termed A, B and C. The chemokine receptors belong to class A GPCRs, also known as rhodopsin-like receptors (after the visual pigment) whose members form the largest group of GPCRs. The axis of chemokines and chemokine receptors mediates a vast number of physiological effects making the receptors of great interest as possible drug targets. However, the development of chemokine receptor antagonists has been very challenging. Only two compounds have successfully completed phase III clinical trials and are now marketed: maraviroc, a CCR5 antagonist for the treatment of HIV/AIDS, and plerixafor, a CXCR4 antagonist for the mobilization of stem cells prior to collection and subsequent transplantation after chemotherapy.

## CHEMOKINE RECEPTOR 2 AND THE RATIONALE FOR TARGETING IT IN DISEASE

In the last decade there has been profound interest in the chemokine receptor 2 (CCR2) and its endogenous ligand CCL2 (also known as MCP-1). CCR2 is the only characterized high affinity receptor for CCL2, although CCR2 can be activated by other chemokines (e.g. CCL7, CCL8 and CCL13), ${ }^{11}$ albeit with lower affinity. Studies of CCR2- and CCL2-deficient animals have revealed the critical role of this axis in monocyte recruitment in a number of inflammatory conditions such as delayed-type hypersensitivity reactions, antigen-induced granuloma formation, non-infectious peritonitis and also in infectious diseases. ${ }^{12,}{ }^{13}$ Next to monocytes, CCR2 is located also on basophils, activated T cells, NK cells, and dendritic cells. ${ }^{11}$, 14. $\underline{15}$ However, most of the interest in CCR2 antagonism was due to the intention to inhibit monocytes/macrophages and their products, which are thought to be involved in damaging effects of inflammation in a number of disease states (e. g. rheumatoid arthritis (RA), multiple sclerosis (MS), atherosclerosis). $\frac{16-18}{}$ Early studies showed TAK-779 (Figure 2), a small molecule CCR2 antagonist, inhibited collagen-induced arthritis. ${ }^{19}$ Additionally, use of the CCR2 antagonist INCB3344 (Figure 2) showed improvement in adjuvant-induced arthritis in rats. ${ }^{20}$ Recently Lebre et al. ${ }^{21}$ described that blockade of CCR2 with an antibody prevented monocyte chemotaxis when it was induced by CCL2, however, it failed when chemotaxis was induced with synovial fluid, suggesting that CCR2 is the wrong target for the treatment of RA. Also in the case of MS, blockade of CCR2 drew a lot of enthusiasm. The preclinical data supported the role of the CCR2/CCL2 axis in a mouse model of MS with experimental autoimmune encephalitis. ${ }^{17}$ However, CCR2 antagonist MK-0812 (Figure 2), which worked in the murine model, failed in a phase II clinical trial due to lack of efficacy.


TAK-779


INCB3344
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Figure 2. CCR2 antagonists.
Additionally, humanized anti-CCR2 antibody (MLN-1202) did not show efficacy in clinical trials of rheumatoid arthritis or multiple sclerosis. ${ }^{22}$ Another victim of the efficacy problem was AZD-2423 (a potent, orally bioavailable, non-competitive, negative allosteric modulator of the CCR2 chemokine receptor) which failed in a clinical trial of posttraumatic neuralgia. ${ }^{23}$ However, many other preclinical studies indicated promise in asthma, ${ }^{\underline{24}}$ metabolic disease, ${ }^{25}$
 is warranted.

## AIM AND CONTENT

In the previous sections, a short history on the evolution of the drug discovery process was presented with a further emphasis on the more recent challenges in the development of efficacious treatments for CCR2-related diseases. Modern drug discovery has evolved tremendously to understand the molecular causes of different diseases and how these can be treated. However, the $21^{\text {st }}$ century drug discovery of new treatments is still mostly based on the principles suggested in the $19^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ century, for example the strict focus on target affinity. This is a very important parameter indeed as a drug can be effective only when it is bound to its target. It is, however, not the only criterion to yield a successful drug. Several CCR2 antagonists, all with high binding affinity, were discussed as examples of failures due to efficacy issues. These are only a few out of many failures that we cannot afford to have,
especially in the late and costly clinical stages of the drug discovery process. We made a plea to consider at least one other parameter, i.e. residence time. Thus, the aim of this thesis is to develop a path towards high affinity and long residence time (RT) CCR2 antagonists in the very early stages of drug discovery, thus helping to proceed in the next phases of drug development with potentially better drug candidates.

In chapter 2 we review the literature on a chemical substructure that proved to be important in our work. It is the indane ring system and we analyzed its properties, preparation, and presence in ligands for GPCRs. We then describe our subsequent discovery of new indanecontaining CCR2 antagonists (chapter 3). The additional knowledge of the binding kinetics of several known CCR2 antagonists allowed us to reevaluate and choose a structure for further optimizations that other researchers had abandoned due to it having only modest binding affinity. The decision to determine in parallel both affinity and RT yielded the discovery of new high affinity and long residence time CCR2 antagonists. Furthermore (chapter 4), the indane containing CCR2 antagonists went into another cycle of optimization based on affinity and RT and yielded compounds with even longer RT.

Chapter 5 describes the structure-kinetic relationship for CCR2 receptor antagonists based on derivatives of MK-0483, a CCR2 antagonist from Merck, Inc. with slow binding kinetics. The structure of MK-0483 was altered step by step to reveal the crucial structural components that yield the long RT for the CCR2 receptor.

Chapter 6 describes our medicinal chemistry efforts to explore chemical space even more and develop CCR2 antagonists based on a new piperidinediamide scaffold. Series of new structures were synthesized to evaluate SAR of the substituents on the N -piperidinediamide moiety revealing the specificity of substituents and spacer types needed for affinity in the case of this scaffold.

Finally, a general discussion of the results of this thesis and a future outlook of CCR2 antagonists as potential treatments is presented, concluding this thesis.
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#### Abstract

The indane ( 2,3 -dihydro- 1 H -indene) ring system is an attractive scaffold for biologically active compounds due to the combination of aromatic and aliphatic properties fused together in one rigid system. This bicyclic structure provides a wide range of possibilities to incorporate specific substituents in different directionalities, thus being an attractive scaffold for medicinal chemists. Notably, many indane-based compounds are being used in the clinic to treat various diseases, such as indinavir, an HIV-1 protease inhibitor, indantadol, a potent MAO-inhibitor, the amine uptake inhibitor indatraline, and the ultra-long-acting $\beta$ adrenoceptor agonist indacaterol. Given the diversity of targets these drugs act on, one could argue that the indane ring system is a privileged substructure, just like indole, the nitrogen atom containing unsaturated version of it. In the present review the synthetic and medicinal chemistry of the indane ring system is described. In more detail, it contains a comprehensive overview of compounds bearing the indane substructure with $G$ protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) activity, with particular emphasis on their structure-activity relationships (SAR).


## INTRODUCTION

In the 1920's many compounds containing the 1,3-diketo-group or the enolic form of it were discovered to bear physiological activity, and combining this group with a phenol in the early 1930's resulted in a series of indan-1,3-diones showing bacteriostatic activity. ${ }^{\underline{1}}$ The compounds inhibited the proliferation of gram-positive bacteria and are very early representatives of pharmacologically active molecules based on the 2,3 -dihydro- 1 H -indene structure 1 (also known as indane), which is also commonly found in indanones (such as indan-1-one 2) or indandiones (such as indan-1,3-dione 3) (Figure 1).


Indane, 1


Indan-1-one, 2


3D view of indane, 1


Indan-1,3-dione, 3

Figure 1. Chemical structures of indane ring system 1, indan-1-one 2, indan-1,3-dione 3.
The indane ring system can be regarded as a fusion product of aromatic benzene and the aliphatic cyclopentane ring in one. This combination provides a broad diversity of chemical entities with indane as a core structure. Many different synthetic methods for the synthesis and diversification of indanes have been reported, which will be described below. Additionally, the ring system can accommodate substituents in six different directions on its aliphatic part and an additional four on the aromatic ring (see Figure 1, 3D view of indane) to add desired properties to such a molecule.

The indane substructure occurs in many natural products like pterosins, ${ }^{\underline{2}}$ or more hydrogenated forms of it in ionophores such as indanomycin, $\frac{3}{}$ and stawamycin. ${ }^{4}$ This structural motif is also present in many marketed drugs, such as indinavir 4, ${ }^{5}$ an HIV-1 protease inhibitor, indantadol 5, $\frac{6}{}$ a potent MAO-inhibitor, the amine uptake inhibitor indatraline $6, \underline{?}$ the anti-inflammatory clidinac 7 , antiarrhythmic agent indecainide $\mathbf{8}, \frac{8}{-}$ diuretic indacrinone $\mathbf{9}^{9}$ and the anticoagulant hedulin $\mathbf{1 0}^{10}$ (Figure 2). Given the big diversity of targets these drugs act on, one could argue that the indane ring system is a "privileged" substructure, just like indole, a nitrogen atom containing unsaturated version of it. ${ }^{11}$ The activity of indane derivatives in biological systems and the wide variety of their actions make them an interesting moiety for medicinal chemistry.
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Figure 2. Examples of marketed drugs containing the indane ring system.
In this review, we aim to present the key chemical and pharmacological information on the indane ring system. In more detail, we will look at the activity of indanes on targets that have considerable importance in drug development - G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). The report is divided in the following sections: first the preservation and occurrence of the indane scaffold will be shown by discussing some of the natural products that contain this moiety. Additionally, synthetic routes to indanes are described as well as the possibilities of
incorporating the indane system into a compound's chemical structure. Finally, an overview is given of compounds bearing the indane substructure with GPCR activity and inferences are made regarding their structure-activity relationships (SAR). This review excludes unsaturated indanes and polycyclic ring systems containing indane (e.g., 9H-fluorene, 1,2dihydroacenaphthylene, indacenes and hydrogenated forms of these). SciFinder and Reaxys databases were used for a literature search based on the indane substructure. To retrieve the relevant literature on indanes with GPCR activity the following terms were used in different combinations as additional filters in the SciFinder database: target, protein, G protein-coupled receptor.

## NATURAL OCCURRENCE OF INDANES

The indane scaffold is present across a wide range of species. One of the largest resources are Pteridophyta (ferns). Ferns produce more than 60 different structures that contain the indanone 2 scaffold, called pterosins. The structures and biological properties of these pterosins were first reviewed by Syrchina and Semenov. ${ }^{12}$ The first identified pterosin is pterosin B 11 (Figure 3) with antimicrobial properties. Other compounds from the pterosin family have also been reported to have biological activity such as cytotoxicity against cancer cell lines ${ }^{13}$ and antidiabetic activity. ${ }^{14}$ Some of the pterosins have been used for centuries in oriental medicine like onitin 12. Ho et al. ${ }^{15}$ showed that onitin possesses smooth-muscle relaxant properties, suggested to take place through inhibition of a serotonin 5-HT receptor. More than a decade later Sheridan et al. ${ }^{16}$ proposed that pterosin Z 13 (the dehydroxylated form of onitin) is acting through the inhibition of extracellular calcium influx through calcium channels or by interference with the calcium/calmodulin cascade of reactions within the cell.


Pterosin B, 11


Onitin, 12


Pterosin Z, 13

Figure 3. Indane derivatives found in Pteridophyta.

The indane system is also found in the stem bark of Vatica pauciflora as part of resveratrol oligomers (pauciflorol D and pauciflorol F) ${ }^{17}$ where it is formed by polymerization of resveratrol. ${ }^{18}$ Recently a new indanone containing compound has been isolated from the roots of Uvaria afzelii, named afzeliindanone 14 (Figure 4). ${ }^{19}$ Another source of naturally occurring indanes are fungi and bacteria. For example, the fomajorins D 15 and S 16 were isolated from the fungus Heterobasidion annosum. Tripartin 17 containing a dichlorinated methyl group and inhibiting histone H3 lysine 9 demethylase (KDM4) was isolated from a culture broth of a Streptomyces species associated with larvae of the dung beetle Copris tripartitus. ${ }^{20}$


Azeliindanone, 14


Fomajorin D, 15


Fomajorin S, 16


Tripartin, 17

Figure 4. Indane derivatives found in Uvaria afzelii (afzeliindanone 14), Heterobasidion annosum (fomajorins D 15 and S 16), a culture broth of a Streptomyces species (tripartin 17).

## SYNTHESIS OF INDANE RING SYSTEM

As mentioned above the indane ring system is present in nature, but before it was identified in natural products, several synthetic routes had already been developed to produce indanebased structures. The first synthesis of an indandione, i.e. 3, was described by Wislicenus in $1888{ }^{\underline{21}}$ and soon after the synthesis of indanone $\mathbf{2}$ by Gabriel and Hausmann ${ }^{22}$ and indane 1 by Kramer and Spilker ${ }^{23}$ was reported. However, nowadays more recent synthetic approaches are used to obtain indane compounds. The methods described below show different routes how to synthesize, modify and incorporate various substituents on the desired location of the indane ring system.

The unsubstituted indane can be acquired using a palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction with B-alkyl-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (Scheme 1) as reported by Miyaura et al. ${ }^{\underline{24}} \mathrm{~A}$ more general method for the formation of different cyclic systems including indane (Scheme 2) was developed by Katritzky et al. ${ }^{25}$

Scheme 1.

a) $9-\mathrm{BBN}, \mathrm{THF}, 0 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; b) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (dppf), NaOH 3 equiv.

These authors used (benzotriazol-1-yl)-methanes to act as 1,1-dipole synthon equivalents. With this method 1-monosubstituted or 1,1-disubstituted indanes can be synthesized. However, a significant formation of alkenes was observed during five-membered ring annulations.

Scheme 2.

a) i) n-BuLi,1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-benzotriazole, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; ii) rt, overnight;
b) i) $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}, 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$; ii) $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$;
c) n -BuLi, $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Bul},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$

Bailey and Longstaff ${ }^{26}$ reported another method to arrive at the indane ring system and simultaneously incorporated a variety of different electrophiles selectively on the 4 position via an organolithium intermediate (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3.


| $\mathrm{E}^{+}$ | E |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | H |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ | D |
| $\mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ | Br |
| $\mathrm{ClCO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NCHO}$ | CHO |
| PhCHO | $\mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{OH})$ |

a) t-BuLi 3.2 equiv., n-pentane $-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4: 1),-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$; b) THF, warm, $\mathrm{E}^{+}$

Indanes with substitution on the 5 position are usually acquired either via a direct halogenation (used in the synthesis of mGluR2 modulators) ${ }^{27}$ (Scheme 4a) or via deoxygenation of 5-substituted indanones (Scheme 4b). ${ }^{\underline{28}}$ Mathison et al. ${ }^{\underline{29}}$ developed another method (Scheme 5) which allows to introduce an aldehyde group directly on the indane ring where it can be modified further on. However, this method yields a mixture of 4- and 5substituted indanes in a 1:4 ratio.

Scheme 4.
a)

a) $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{~min}$, yield $33 \%$
b)

b) i) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} * \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, reflux, 1.5 h , ii) $195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$

Scheme 5.

a) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, yield: $84 \%$ (1:4 ratio)

The indanone structure $\mathbf{2}$ is much more versatile as a building block in medicinal chemistry. The ketone functional group can be directly used as the reactive center for different modifications or coupling reactions. A typical method for indan-1-one synthesis is based on intramolecular Friedel-Crafts acylation of phenylpropanoic acids. In this approach substituents on the propyl chain are translated into the corresponding 2- or 3-substituents on the indan-1-one. Recently Kangani and Day ${ }^{30}$ reported conditions in which indan-1-ones are formed in high yields and short reaction times at room temperature in the presence of cyanuric chloride/pyridine/ $\mathrm{AICl}_{3}$ (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6.

a) Cyanuric chloride, $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{rt}, 25 \mathrm{~min}$

Very recently, Wang et al. ${ }^{31}$ described selective syntheses of indan-1-ones and indan-2-ones from 2-ethynylbenzyl ethers with suitable catalysts and solvents (Scheme 7). Using [tris(pentafluorophenyl)phosphine]gold hexafluoroantimonate $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PAuSbF}_{6}\right]$ in nitromethane $\left(\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}\right)$ preferably yielded indan-1-ones whereas [(ortho-biphenyl)di(tertbutyl)phosphine]gold triflimide $\left[(t-B u)_{2}(o \text {-biphenyl)PAuNTf }]_{2}\right.$ in dichloroethane tended to form indan-2-one derivatives.

## Scheme 7.



Another method worth mentioning is how to incorporate substituents on 3 position of already made indan-1-ones. Azemi et al. described that 3-(t-butyldimethylsilyloxy)indene (generated from indanone) can be selectively deprotonated with lithium diisopropylamide and then reacted with an alkylating agent. ${ }^{32}$ The silyl ether can be removed by quenching it with acid (Scheme 8). This approach was used by Vilums et al. ${ }^{33}$ to generate the desired indanones as building blocks for CCR2 antagonists.

Scheme 8.

a) TBDMS-CI, DBU, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-r.t.;
b) i) LDA, $1 \mathrm{~h},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}->-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C},->-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, corresponding alkyliodide; ii) 12 M HCl .

Incorporation of substituents on the phenyl ring of the indane system is usually done via Friedel-Crafts acylation; however, it can result in mixtures of regioisomers.

## INDANES AND G PROTEIN-COUPLED RECEPTORS

In a recent review Garland ${ }^{34}$ discusses that $26 \%$ ( 437 of 1663) of marketed drugs that are listed in DrugBank are targeting G protein-coupled receptors. However, GPCRs or GPCR
related (e.g., receptor-activity modifying proteins or RAMPs) targets make only 7\% (109 of 1479) of all the drugged targets. Apparently, GPCRs are privileged targets. GPCRs are very similar in overall structure, but the binding sites are tailored to accommodate their individual endogenous ligands, and, additionally, synthetic ligands, giving rise to ligand diversity. Ligands containing the indane substructure are among the ones with highest affinities for GPCRs and these are the subject of this review.

## TARGETS IN DISEASES OF THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM (CNS)

## $\alpha_{2}$-Adrenoceptor

Alpha-2 adrenergic receptors ( $\alpha_{2}$-adrenoceptors) are involved in several central and peripheral nervous system processes, such as alertness, heart rate regulation, vasomotor control and nociceptive processing. Recent studies suggest that $\alpha_{2}$-adrenoceptors are targets for the treatment of L-dopa-induced dyskinesia in Parkinson's disease. For example, fipamezole 18 (Figure 5) can be co-administered with the anti-parkinsonian drug L-dopa. ${ }^{35}$ Fipamezole reduces the L-dopa-induced dyskinesia without affecting any other parkinsonian action of the drug; it even elongates the duration of L-dopa's action. It has high affinity for the human $\alpha_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=9.2 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, $\alpha_{2 \mathrm{~B}}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=17 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, and $\alpha_{2 \mathrm{C}}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=55 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ receptors, with lower affinity for the GPCRs histamine $H_{1}$ and $H_{3}$ and the non-GPCR serotonin transporter. ${ }^{36}$ Its non-fluorinated analogue atipamezole 19, which is used as an agent in reversing medetomidine-induced sedation-analgesia in veterinary practice, has an approximately 4fold higher affinity for the $\alpha_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=2.2 \mathrm{nM}\right), \alpha_{2 \mathrm{~B}}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=3.9 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, and $\alpha_{2 \mathrm{C}}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=12 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ receptors.
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Figure 5. $\alpha_{2}$ adrenoceptor ligands.
Another structurally similar $\alpha_{2}$ adrenoceptor antagonist was designed to combine the properties of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with the monoamine uptake inhibition properties of napamezole $\mathbf{2 0}$, thereby creating anti-depressant effects. ${ }^{37}$ Compound $\mathbf{2 1}$ had the best balance between affinity for $\alpha_{2}$ adrenoceptors and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor properties. The change from indane to indene affected only the $\alpha_{2}$ adrenoceptors while a further change to benzofuran solely affected the serotonin reuptake inhibition. Additionally, the indane system displayed a much higher affinity (at least 8-fold) for the $\alpha_{2}$ adrenoceptor compared to other bicyclic systems such as dihydronaphtalene, naphthalene and tetralin containing the same 4(5)imidazoline substituent.

In contrast to these antagonists, fadolmidine $\mathbf{2 2}$ is an agonist of $\alpha_{2}$ adrenoceptors ${ }^{38}$ being developed for post-operative pain treatment (currently phase IIb). ${ }^{39}$ Structurally, this agonist is very similar to the antagonists with the indane system as a core. The main difference is the position of the imidazole/imidazoline on the cyclopentane ring. The affinity of the compound is similar to that of the antagonists, with $2.5 \mathrm{nM}, 0.6 \mathrm{nM}$ and 0.3 nM for the human $\alpha_{2 A}, \alpha_{2 B}$ and $\alpha_{2 c}$ receptors, respectively. Fadolmidine is also a full agonist for $\alpha_{1 A}$ and $\alpha_{1 B}$ adrenoreceptors with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 22 nM and 3.4 nM , respectively. 40

Thus, the indane system seems to be a preferred structure for $\alpha_{2}$ adrenoceptor affinity and the location of imidazole/imidazoline rings determines the agonistic/antagonistic activity of the structures.

## Dopamine Receptors

Central dopaminergic receptors are involved in many CNS diseases such as schizophrenia, depression and Parkinson's disease. The development of drugs targeting this receptor-type has therefore been a goal for several decades.

One of the earlier investigations was performed by Hacksell et al. ${ }^{41}$ identifying 4-hydroxy-2(dipropylamino)indan 23 (Figure 6) as a potent dopamine receptor agonist. When its biochemical and behavioral effects in rats and emesis in dogs were measured, this compound was found to have similar potency compared to the known highly active dopamine agonist apomorphine, but it was considerably less potent than its tetralin analogue. In the indane series, potency was significantly reduced when the hydroxyl group was placed at the 6position, or when a methyl-spacer was positioned between the indane and amine.

Another agonist, RDS-127 24, was identified to preferentially activate dopamine autoreceptors as opposed to the post-synaptic dopamine receptors $\left(D_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{42}$ It was found to have a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ of 10 nM when incubated with rat striatal membrane preparations, and was shown to be more potent than its tetralin analogue. Its structure is based on the core of 23, only the 7-hydroxy substituent was replaced by a methoxy group and a 4-methoxygroup was added. This compound also has some serotonin (agonist) receptor activities.
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Figure 6. Dopamine receptor ligands.
When the methoxy groups were moved from the 4,7- to 5,6-positions the compound behaved as a postsynaptic dopamine receptor antagonist (PNU 99194) 25. ${ }^{43}$ This compound selectively binds to the $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptor and thereby predominantly has an effect on locomotor activity. Its $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value for this receptor is $78 \mathrm{nM}, 20$ fold lower than for the $D_{2}$ receptor ( 1572 nM ). ${ }^{44}$

A slightly bulkier molecule, tefludazine 26, was found in a 1-piperazino-3-phenylindane series as a dopamine antagonist with high affinity. ${ }^{45}$ These compounds have methyl phenidate and amphetamine antagonist activity and induce catalepsy in rats, indicating their antipsychotic activity, through $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ antagonism. Tefludazine has a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ of 8.8 nM in rat striatal membranes, a binding affinity slightly higher than that of chlorpromazine, a known antipsychotic. Bogeso et al. showed that substituents such as chlorine, methyl or $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ and to a lesser extent fluorine at the indane 6-position are crucial for affinity. A variety of substituents on the piperazine moiety is tolerated, but the hydroxyethyl showed the best activity. The 4fluoro substitution on the phenyl ring is important for the in vivo activity, most probably due
to increased metabolic stability. None of the cis-isomers were active dopamine antagonists, and only trans-isomers bound with high affinity to the dopamine receptor. At the same time it was found that many of the compounds in this series were potent dopamine uptake inhibitors and had high affinity for the $5 \mathrm{HT}_{2}$ receptor as an antagonist $\mathbf{2 6}$ with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ of 8.6 nM while having only sub-micromolar affinity for the $\alpha_{1}$-adrenoceptor $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=670 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. ${ }^{46}$

Another series from the same research group showed high affinity for the $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ receptors, next to $5 \mathrm{HT}_{2}$ and $\alpha$-adrenoceptors. ${ }^{47}$ In this series the trans-isomers were the active species. It was concluded that for the chiral center at the amine, one enantiomer contains the receptor blocking activity, whereas the other enantiomer is active as dopamine/norepinephrine uptake inhibitor. One of the most potent compounds in this series (27) was identified as a potential antipsychotic agent. $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ affinity were highest for small substituents on the 6-position of the indane moiety and much higher compared to 4-, 5- or 7substituted structures. In addition, compounds with 6-fluoro and 6-chloro substituents preferred the $D_{1}$ receptor, whereas $6-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $6-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ favored the $D_{2}$ receptor. Exploration of the piperazine ring revealed that its optimal substituent is $3-\mathrm{Me}$. However, to avoid creating an additional chiral center, the 3 position was dimethylated, which yielded an increase in selectivity for the $D_{1}$ receptor. Formation of spirocycles at this position gave similar affinities, but a loss of selectivity for $D_{1} / D_{2}$. Larger substituents on the nitrogen atom such as i-propyl, hydroxy-ethyl and propyl did not markedly affect affinity for the dopamine receptors, in accordance with the tefludazine series. Finally, substitutions at the indane 3 position should be trans- oriented bulky groups, with the highest $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ affinity for the phenyl, 4fluorophenyl and 3-thienyl moieties. Compound 27 had a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 0.84 nM and 7.1 nM for the $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ receptors, respectively. Affinities for the $5 \mathrm{HT}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ (antagonist), $5 \mathrm{HT}_{2 \mathrm{C}}$ and $\alpha_{1}$ adrenoceptors were also high with $1.9 \mathrm{nM}, 0.28 \mathrm{nM}$ and 25 nM , respectively.

In summary, a typical high affinity dopamine receptor ligand based on the indane scaffold contains an amine on the pentane ring and on the phenyl ring an electron donating group (EDG) - in the case of agonists $\mathbf{2 3}, \mathbf{2 4}$, and an electron withdrawing group (EWG) - in the case
of antagonists 26, 27. The optimal distance between the two appears to be four carbon atoms.

## Serotonin Receptors

The serotonin receptor family (5HT receptor) is involved in a wide range of CNS processes and is a target for several diseases including anxiety, depression, psychosis and cognitive impairment. Therefore, investigations to develop ligands for these receptors have produced a plethora of compounds with affinity for one or several of the subtypes $5 \mathrm{HT}_{1}$ through $5 \mathrm{HT}_{7}$.

Millan et al. ${ }^{48}$ reported $\mathrm{S} 15535 \mathbf{2 8}$ (Figure 7) as a highly selective $5 \mathrm{HT}_{1 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor ligand which acts as weak partial agonist with high affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=0.8 \mathrm{nM}$ ). However, this compound was rapidly metabolized in vivo and more stable compounds were searched for. A decade later Peglion et al. ${ }^{49}$ described 2-(arylcycloalkylamine)-indan-1-ols as ligands of the $5 \mathrm{HT}_{1 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor for treatment of anxiety. Additional substituents on the indane system improved selectivity and oral bioavailability while keeping the high affinity. Substitutions at the 6 position of the indane yielded the highest affinity. With a methoxy group a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 0.45 nM was obtained, two- to threefold better than $6-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ or $6-\mathrm{F}$, or the $5-\mathrm{OMe}$ analogue. However, the $5-\mathrm{OMe}$ compound 29 ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1 \mathrm{nM}$ ) was selected for further investigations due to its better metabolic bioavailability. In the case of substituents on the 2 position a 4 -substituted-piperidin-4-ol was deleterious for the affinity. However, piperazine or piperidine moieties substituted with benzodioxane or benzopyran maintained the high affinity for the receptor. Similar to dopamine receptor ligands 26 and 27 the trans-isomers were most active on the $5 \mathrm{HT}_{1 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor.
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Figure 7. Serotonin receptor ligands.
YM992 30 (Figure 7) was developed as a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) with moderate ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=86 \mathrm{nM}$ ) $5 \mathrm{HT}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ affinity. Submicromolar ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=200 \mathrm{nM}$ and 680 nM ) affinity was observed for the $\alpha_{1}$-adrenoceptor and $5 \mathrm{HT}_{2 c}$ receptor, respectively. ${ }^{50}$ The substitutions on the indane system are located on positions 4 and 7 compared to positions 1,2 and 5 in 29, potentially explaining the lower receptor affinities. Additionally, the basic nitrogen in compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ is located much further form the indane than in other serotonin receptor ligands described in this review.

A mescaline analogue was synthesized to develop a $5 \mathrm{HT}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor agonist, and the conformationally restricted 31 was found to have a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 69 nM at cloned human
 target for indenes/indanes. ${ }^{52}$ The SAR revealed compound 32 to have the highest affinity in the indane series for the human receptor ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.2 \mathrm{nM}$ ). The 6-chloroimidazo[2,1b][1,3]thiazole structural motif coupled to the sulfonamide in this compound was important for affinity, as the naphthyl and 4-methyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine analogues had over 20 fold lower affinity. The sulfonamide was preferentially located at the 5 or 6 position, as the

4 position abolished affinity. The guanylhydrazone could be replaced by an imidazolinylhydrazone group with only a marginal loss in affinity.

## Melatonin Receptors

Melatonin (N-acetyl-5-methoxytryptamine) is a hormone that is secreted during darkness. It regulates the circadian rhythm and analogues of melatonin can be used to control diseases associated with circadian rhythm disorders.

Compounds 33 and 34 (Figure 8) were developed as melatonin analogues, ${ }^{53}$ with binding affinities on chicken brain melatonin (MT) receptors of 16 nM and 7.6 nM , respectively. Their tetralin analogues had approximately 20 fold higher affinity, and the benzocycloheptane analogues had similar affinity, whereas the cyclobutane analogues had a lower affinity. Compounds with a butyramido substituent (such as 34) had higher affinity than when substituted with a propionamido (33) or acetamido moiety, indicating the receptor favors longer lipophilic side chains. Moreover, as for the serotonin and dopamine receptors, the melatonin receptor has marked enantioselectivity for these compounds. The (+)-enantiomer of 33 had a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 3 nM , compared to 456 nM for the (-)-enantiomer. These features seem to be important for melatonin receptor affinity as an agonist, as will also become apparent in the next examples.
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Figure 8. Melatonin receptor ligands.
Drijfhout et al. tested several compounds for melatonin receptor agonism. ${ }^{54}$ GG-012 (compound 35) was a partial agonist with high binding affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=9.5 \mathrm{nM}$ ) for the chicken retina melatonin receptors, 5-fold higher than its tetralin analogue. Additionally, in another paper, ${ }^{55}$ a derivative of compound 35 that is lacking the methylene spacer between the indane and amide was found to have no affinity for the receptors, stressing the importance of the distance between the amide and methoxy group, equal to the distance seen in $\mathbf{3 3}$ and $\mathbf{3 4}$.

Mattson et al. reported on piperazine amides attached to benzyl, indenyl and naphthalene groups as $\mathrm{MT}_{2}$ receptor selective agents. ${ }^{56}$ Compound 36 was reported to have high affinity and the best selectivity for the cloned human $\mathrm{MT}_{2}$ receptor $\left(\mathrm{hMT}_{1}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=200 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{hMT}_{2}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $1.7 \mathrm{nM})$. The indanes in general had higher affinity than the more flexible benzyl or the bulkier tetralin and naphthyl analogues (20-30 fold). The compounds showed stereospecificity in their binding. Only R-enantiomers were active, whereas the S-enantiomers showed no activity at the MT receptors. The $n-, c$ - and $i$-propyl substituents instead of ethylamine on the carbonyl piperazine yielded the highest affinity for the $\mathrm{MT}_{2}$ receptor; however, the selectivity for the $\mathrm{MT}_{1}$ receptor was decreased. Smaller substituents, such as methyl and ethyl, or larger substituents such as $n$-butyl reduced affinity.

Two other series of indanes were synthesized as therapeutic agents for sleep disorders, one describing the SAR of indane derivatives ${ }^{57}$ the other the SAR of indeno-furans, $\frac{58}{}$ both yielding agonists with very high affinity. The $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values on human melatonin receptors ( $\mathrm{MT}_{1}$ ) were 0.041 nM and 0.014 nM for 37 and $\mathbf{3 8}$, respectively, even higher than that of melatonin itself ( 0.082 $\mathrm{nM})$. Again, the geometry of substituents on the 1 position of the indane system plays an important role in binding affinity. The same configuration as in $\mathbf{3 6}$ is preferred for 37 and 38.

In the indane series, the $S$-enantiomers had more than 100 -fold higher affinity than the Renantiomers. The indane system itself provided twofold higher affinity over a similar tetralin series, and more than 70-fold higher affinity than the 6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-5Hbenzo[7]annulene derivative. The amide alkyl group should be ethyl or propyl, as seen before, whereas iso-propyl resulted in a decrease in affinity. Exchanging - $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ to $-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ on the amide led to a 5-fold increased affinity, yielding the most potent compound in the series with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 0.012 nM . The length of the spacer between the indane and amide was also of importance, with optimal affinity provided by an ethyl spacer. Propyl and methyl spacers reduced affinity 20-fold and 1000-fold, respectively. Lastly, the methoxy group was substituted for ethoxy, propoxy and iso-propoxy groups, but this decreased affinity in this order. The 6-methoxy group yields a 7-fold increase in affinity. The authors argued that the H-bond can be formed only if the methyl group on the oxygen points towards the 7 position of the indane, giving access of the oxygen's lone pair for hydrogen bonding. It was concluded that substitution on the 7 position (but not the 5 position) prevents this conformation from occurring and therefore reduces affinity markedly.

Using this finding, Uchikawa et al. developed the second series of tricyclic indanes, such as compound 38. ${ }^{58}$ The methoxy group was fixed into the preferred position by incorporating it in a furan, 1,3-dioxolane, oxazole, pyran, morpholine, or 1,4-dioxane ring systems. In order to maintain affinity, the tricyclic system with the oxygen at the 6 position needed to be angular ( 6,7 position), not linear ( 5,6 position), underlining the hypothesis of the lone pair on the oxygen being accessible for hydrogen bonding as described before. The 1,6,7,8-tetrahydro2 H -indeno[5,4-b]furan ring system (compound 38) resulted in the highest affinity. According
to the docking study, ${ }^{58}$ an additional positive feature of compound $\mathbf{3 8}$ over melatonin is the indane system, which is located in the hydrophobic pocket at the bottom of the binding site, contributing to the high affinity of the $\mathrm{MT}_{1}$ receptor.

## Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor

There are three groups of metabotropic glutamate receptors, of which group one (mGluR1 and 5 ) is involved in increasing NMDA receptor activity while group two (mGluR2 and 3) and three (mGluR4, 6-8) inhibit neurotransmission. The mGluR1 is a potential target for neuroprotection via inhibition by an antagonist. ${ }^{59}$ Pellicciari et al. ${ }^{60}$ developed an mGluR1 selective antagonist called 1-aminoindan-1,5-dicarboxylic acid (AIDA, 39) (Figure 9) which is a conformationally restricted analogue of the (carboxyphenyl) glycine derivatives. It inhibited glutamate-stimulated phosphoinositide hydrolysis in BHK cells expressing mGluR1 with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $7 \mu \mathrm{M}$. From the very limited SAR performed it became apparent that one of the two carboxylic acids should be at the 5 position of the indane in order to give the antagonistic effect on mGlu1. However, the compound showed also modest agonist action on mGlu5 receptors.
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Figure 9. Metabotropic glutamate receptor ligands.
The group two receptors might act as a target for epilepsy, anxiety and schizophrenia treatment, especially through selective targeting of mGluR2. ${ }^{61}$ However, one of the main problems in the development of selective compounds for mGluR2 over mGluR3 is the high degree of sequence homology between group two mGluRs, especially at the (extracellular) glutamate binding site. Therefore, instead of targeting the orthosteric (glutamate) binding
site, emphasis these days is on allosteric modulators binding into the transmembrane domain of the receptor. Bonnefous et al. have reported a new class of selective mGluR2 positive allosteric modulators (PAM`s) based on biphenyl-indanones. Compound 40 was identified to have the highest potency for the human mGluR2, with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 5 nM . ${ }^{62}$ The indanone moiety provided receptor selectivity; for high potency there must be substitutions on the 6 and/or 7 position of the indanone and a cyclopentyl at the $\alpha$-position of the ketone. Additionally, in the biphenyl system, the central phenyl and methylene group are best unsubstituted. The acid on the second phenyl is preferentially at the meta-position, and electron-withdrawing substituents on the para position improve affinity additionally.

## Vasoactive Intestinal Polypeptide Receptor 2
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Figure 10.Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide receptor 2 ligands.
Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide receptor $2\left(\mathrm{VAPC}_{2}\right)$ is a member of the secretin receptor family (class B GPCRs). VAPC ${ }_{2}$ is involved in sustaining circadian rhythm, ${ }^{63}$ regulation of immune responses, ${ }^{64}$ schizophrenia ${ }^{65}$, $\underline{66}$ and upregulation of basal metabolic rate. ${ }^{67}$ Thus far there are only two known small molecule ligands for the VAPC ${ }_{2}$ receptor discovered by Chu et al. ${ }^{68}$ Compound 41 (Figure 10) was the only hit from a high-throughput screen of 1.67 million compounds. After a structure similarity search another analog, compound 42, was found. Both compounds showed antagonistic activity on the $\mathrm{VAPC}_{2}$ receptor. Compound 41 was the more potent inhibitor with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $2.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and selective towards the $\mathrm{VAPC}_{2}$ receptor. The change of the electron-withdrawing nitro group to the lipophilic, electron-donating $t$ -
butyl group in 42 led to a small degree of activation of $\mathrm{VAPC}_{1}$ receptors at higher concentrations, while maintaining the antagonist activity on the $\mathrm{VAPC}_{2}$ receptor.

## INFLAMMATORY DISEASE TARGETS

## $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}$-Adrenergic Receptor

One of the beta-adrenergic receptors is the $\beta 2$-adrenoreceptor, involved in smooth-muscle relaxation, blood vessel dilation, control of the heart rate and the digestive system among others. It is especially of interest in asthma and COPD as a target for bronchodilation.

In 1980 indane derivative $\mathrm{ICl}-118,55143$ (Figure 11) was identified as a selective antagonist for the $\beta_{2}$-adrenoreceptor, and has mostly served as a research tool ever since as $\beta_{2}-$ adrenoreceptor blockade is not regarded as therapeutically useful. ${ }^{69} 43$ is quite similar to propranolol, a non-selective beta blocker, and is the indane analogue of $\alpha$-methyl substited propranolol (44). It is thought that the structure gets its selectivity and potency through three aspects. Firstly, 43 is as potent as its naphthalene analogue $\alpha$-methylpropranolol, but is five times more selective for the $\beta_{2}$-adrenoreceptor. The indane ring therefore must give a preference to the $\beta_{2}$-adrenoreceptor over the $\beta_{1}$-adrenoreceptor. Secondly, the oxymethylene bridge between the ring and the propanolamine is of importance for potency as the same structure is seen in propranolol and other potent beta-blockers, but not in the less potent ethanolamine compounds $\mathrm{H} 35 / 25$ and butoxamine. Lastly, selectivity for the $\beta_{2}-$ adrenoreceptor is enhanced through the additional methyl group in the propanolamine moiety, as $\alpha$-methylpropranolol, $\mathrm{H} 35 / 25$ and butoxamine are more selective than propranolol and contain this feature too.
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Figure 11. $\beta_{2}$ adrenergic receptor ligands.
Recently, a potent and selective agonist for the $\beta_{2}$-adrenoreceptor was developed
 especially aimed at asthma and COPD treatment. It has the highest affinity for the $\beta_{2}-$ adrenoreceptor in the series, however, the affinity values vary in different reports ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=76$ and $16 \mathrm{nM}) . \underline{ }{ }^{\underline{70}, \underline{71}}$ The indane scaffold was chosen for this compound in order to easily substitute the phenyl in the indane to regulate lipophilicity (for long-term activity via possible membrane binding causing a high local concentration around the receptor). This part of the molecule allows big variations due to the remote position from the key epinephrine mimicking part. The di-ethyl substitution pattern was chosen to keep the indane part symmetrical and a-chiral for simplicity. The SAR can then be broken down into three parts, when compared to the non-selective $\beta_{2}$-agonist epinephrine. Compound 45 has a 8 hydroxyquinolinone catechol mimetic, as a replacement for the catechol moiety of epinephrine and an ethanolamine linking group, which remained unchanged. These are the crucial parts for agonist activity at the $\beta_{2}$-receptor. The third part is the amino substituent, in which the $N$-methyl group of epinephrine is replaced by a 5,6-diethyl indanyl moiety. The 5,6disubstitutions led to higher affinity than 4,7-disubstitutions. Dimethyl substitution decreased affinity compared to the unsubstituted indane, whereas diethyl substitution gave the highest affinity, slightly higher than di-n-propyl and di-n-butyl. Lastly, introduction of a methyl group at the 2 position of the indane ring increased affinity by 10 fold compared to 45 , however, $\beta_{1}$ affinity was increased even more, and thereby selectivity was lost.

## Chemokine Receptor 2

Chemokines are a class of chemoattractant cytokines, and their main action is to control the activation and trafficking of leukocytes and other cell types in a range of inflammatory and non-inflammatory conditions. One of these, chemokine ligand 2 (CCL2), acts on memory T cells, monocytes, and basophils. ${ }^{72}$ It creates a chemotactic gradient and activates the movement of immune cells to the site of inflammation by binding to its cell-surface receptor, chemokine receptor 2 (CCR2). ${ }^{\underline{73}}$ Pre-clinical models of inflammatory diseases (e.g., atherosclerosis, $\frac{74}{}$ asthma, $\frac{75}{}$ multiple sclerosis, $\frac{76}{}$ rheumatoid arthritis,,$\frac{77}{}$ neuropathic pain ${ }^{\frac{78}{} \text { ) }}$ have pointed to a critical role of the CCR2 and CCL2.

Recently, Vilums et al. ${ }^{79}$ reported a new approach in hit-to-lead optimization for CCR2 antagonists. This report suggests that next to SAR, one should also use structure-kinetic relationships (SKR) to yield compounds with good affinity and optimal drug-target binding kinetics already at the early stages of the drug discovery cycle. It was discovered that the constrained indane system yielded better affinity than more flexible alkyl linkers, but the affinity was worse than that of aliphatic heterocycles. However, in the SKR study the indane derivative showed the longest residence time and was used for further optimization which yielded compound 46 (Figure 12) with high affinity and long residence time ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=3.6 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{RT}=$ $135 \mathrm{~min})$. Substituents on the 5 position improved affinity in general, but only halogens like Cl and Br also prolonged the residence time.
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Figure 12. Chemokine receptor 2 antagonists.
In a patent application ${ }^{80}$ Bristol-Myers Squibb disclosed several CCR2 antagonists with indene and indane (47) structures. Not much has been published yet about these compounds,
however, it is anticipated that they will have high affinities due to their resemblance to other CCR2 antagonists, such as reported in several GSK patents. ${ }^{81, ~}{ }^{82}$ The indene/indane group would fill the needed hydrophobic space of the 'end group', which requires at least one aromatic ring for affinity at the receptor.

## Protease-Activated Receptor 2

Four protease-activated receptors (PAR`s) are among the most unusual receptors in the vast super-family of GPCR`s due to their manner of activation. There are no known endogenous extracellular ligands for these receptors. Instead, they are activated via proteolytic cleavage of their N -terminus by serine proteases. The remainder of the N -terminus folds back onto the receptor and induces intramolecular activation of the PAR. ${ }^{\frac{83}{}}$ The $P A R_{1}$ subtype has been most investigated, because it is activated by thrombin, suggesting it as a potential target in cardiovascular diseases. In contrast, $\mathrm{PAR}_{2}$ is resistant to thrombin, but can be activated by trypsin, tryptase or cathepsin $G$ and has been linked to inflammatory and proliferative disorders. ${ }^{83,} 84$
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Figure 13. Protease-activated receptor 2 ligands.
Recently, Barry et al reported the discovery of selective agonists and antagonists of PAR ${ }_{2}$. ${ }^{85}$ The authors truncated the known $\mathrm{PAR}_{2}$ agonist SLIGRL-NH2 by three amino acids and derivatized both ends of the tripeptide to yield agonist 48 (Figure 13) with sub-micromolar potency $\left(E C_{50}=0.28 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The removal of the primary amine on the piperidine ring altered the agonistic effect into antagonism of $\mathrm{PAR}_{2}$. A spiroindanepiperidine group coupled directly to the terminal amide group of the tripeptide yielded the most potent antagonist 49.

## CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE TARGETS

## Endothelin Receptor

The endothelin receptors $E T_{A}, \mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{C}}$ are mainly involved in the cardiovascular system regulating vasoconstriction and -dilation. They are used as a target in hypertension ${ }^{86}$ and renal failure ${ }^{87}$.

In the 1990's a research group from SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals developed several antagonists for the $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ receptor with an indane core structure. ${ }^{88}, \frac{89}{}$ Through molecular modeling of the peptide endothelin-1 (ET-1), the natural ligand of these receptors, important side chains for binding were identified. The 1 and 3 positions on the indane ring are substituted with phenyl groups, possibly mimicking two aromatic side chains of ET-1. Additionally, the carboxylic acid at position 2 plays an important role in binding as the corresponding methyl ester had no measurable affinity for the ET receptors. Introduction of electron-donating substituents on the 1- and 3-phenyl groups improved affinity, with both phenyls para-substituted with methoxy groups giving a marked increase in affinity. A 3,4methylenedioxy instead of one of these methoxy groups improved the affinity even more, as did substitutions at the 6 position of the indane. To mimic the C-terminal carboxylic acid of ET-1, a carboxylic acid was introduced with a methylenoxy spacer to the ortho-position of one of the phenyl rings. This resulted in structure 50 (SB 209670) (Figure 14), the (+)-enantiomer being more potent than the (-)-enantiomer, with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value on human $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ receptors of 0.43 nM and 15 nM , respectively. However, despite its high affinity, a shortcoming with this compound was its limited bioavailability. Therefore compound 51 (enrasentan) was developed, changing only the oxyacetic acid at the 2 position of the phenyl ring into an alcohol. This slightly reduced the affinity for $E T_{A}$, but improved the selectivity over the $\mathrm{ET}_{B}$ receptor by 10 fold and also improved bioavailability, resulting in binding affinities of 1.1 nM and 111 nM for $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ receptors, respectively.
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Figure 14. Endothelin receptor ligands 50, 51 and serotonin receptor ligand 52.

## Serotonin Receptor

Apart from serotonin receptors in the brain as discussed above, there are also peripheral receptors, such as peripheral $5 \mathrm{HT}_{2}$ receptors. These receptors could be used as antihypertensive targets when blocked with an antagonist.

As described for the compounds targeting serotonin receptors in the CNS, several features are crucial for affinity to these receptors. All these elements are present in the dopamine and $5 \mathrm{HT}_{2}$ antagonist tefludazine 26. One of its characteristics, the substitution on the 6 position of the indane, is less important for serotonin receptor affinity. Hence, Bogeso et al. $\underline{90}$ used tefludazine without its $6-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substitution as a starting point to target selectively the peripheral $5 \mathrm{HT}_{2}$ receptors. Additionally, their compounds had some $\alpha_{1}$-adrenoceptor antagonistic affinity, although less than for $5 \mathrm{HT}_{2}$. These combined effects may be responsible for the antihypertensive effects of these drugs. The SAR showed that the 4-fluoro substituent on the phenyl is crucial for activity of the compounds, as in tefludazine, since other substituents, including hydrogen, yielded inactive compounds. Substitutions at the piperazine ring were important for tuning central and peripheral activity, to the extent that a 1-ethyl-2imidazolidinone moiety abolished neuroleptic activity and kept peripheral activity indicated
by the compound's antihypertensive effects in rats. Additionally, the (+)-enantiomer was found to be much more active resulting in compound 52 (irindalone) (Figure 14), with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $3.4 \mathrm{nM}, 400 \mathrm{nM}$ and 26 nM for $5 \mathrm{HT}_{2}$, dopamine (DA) and $\alpha_{1}$-receptors, respectively.

## TARGETS OF METABOLIC DISEASES

## Melanin Concentrating Hormone Receptor

The melanin concentrating hormone receptor exists as two subtypes in humans, MCH-R1 and MCH-R2 and is involved in feeding behaviour and energy homeostasis. Inhibition of this receptor could therefore be a new way of treating obesity and metabolic syndromes. $\underline{\underline{91}, \underline{92}, ~}$

An indane-derived chemical class was identified as a promising lead for an antagonist of the MCH-R1 ${ }^{93}$ and its optimization was published recently. ${ }^{\underline{94}}$ The indane moiety was found to be superior for receptor selectivity over cyclopentyl, cyclobutyl, cyclopropylmethyl and dihydrobenzofuran. A bromine or CN at the 6 position of the indane gave higher affinity for the MCH-R1, with the CN group giving the highest potency and selectivity. This group was then linked to a benzimidazole group by several linkers, the cis-4methyleneaminocyclohexane giving the best results. This investigation showed that the cisconformation was highly preferred over the trans- conformation and that the methylene spacer between the indane and NH is important for activity. The selected compound $\mathbf{5 3}$ (Figure 15) has a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 3 nM for the human $\mathrm{MCH}-\mathrm{R1}$, but was not further developed because of potent hERG inhibition, activities which could not be dissociated.
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Figure 15. Melanin concentrating hormone receptor antagonist 53 and GPR119 agonist 54.

## GPR119

A relatively new GPCR termed GPR119 has been identified as a potential target for the treatment of obesity and diabetes. An agonist for this receptor was discovered and after optimization indanone 54 was found to have the desired effect of plasma glucose control in rodents. ${ }^{95}$ Different bicyclic scaffolds were investigated and the indanone scaffold was identified as giving the highest affinity for GPR119. The nitrogen on the 6 position of the indanone was important for agonist activity. In addition, a propyl-spacer to the piperidine gave the best affinities. The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of the most potent compound $\mathbf{5 4}$ for human GPR119 was 51 nM .

## GPR40

GPR40 (also known as free fatty acid receptor 1) is highly expressed in the pancreas and is a potential therapeutic target for diabetes. Itoh et al. ${ }^{\underline{96}}$ described that long-chain free fatty acids (FFAs) are the endogenous ligands for GPR40. Furthermore, by activating GPR40 FFAs amplify glucose-stimulated insulin secretion from pancreatic $\beta$ cells. Takeda Pharmaceuticals developed fasiglifam 55 (Figure 16), a GPR40 agonist that showed significant glucoselowering effects in patients with type 2 diabetes by stimulating glucose-dependent insulin secretion. ${ }^{97}$ However, recently Takeda announced termination of fasiglifam development due to liver safety concerns. ${ }^{98}$ Boehringer Ingelheim has filed a patent application that describes more potent indanyl analogues of fasiglifam as GPR40 agonists. Compound 56 showed the best potency in the series having an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of $1 \mathrm{nM}{ }^{99}$ (compared to fasiglifam's $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=14$ nM). ${ }^{100}$
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Figure 16. GPR40 agonists 55, 56 and growth hormone secretagogue receptor inverse agonist 57.

## Growth Hormone Secretagogue Receptor

Growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHSR) and its endogenous ligand ghrelin are expressed in pancreas where they are involved in regulation of glucose-induced insulin release. Blockade of the GHSR/ghrelin axis results in enhancement of glucose-induced insulin release from perfused pancreas, whereas addition of exogenous ghrelin suppresses it. ${ }^{101}$ This suggests that antagonism of the ghrelin receptor enhances insulin release thereby normalizing the glycemic control in high-fat diet-induced obesity and counteracting the progression of type 2 diabetes. Pfizer has developed a series of small-molecule inverse agonists for GHSR based on a 2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl-2,7-diazaspiro[3.6]nonane scaffold as potential treatment of type 2 diabetes. ${ }^{102}$ Compound 57 (Figure 16) had the best affinity in the series ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=3.1 \mathrm{nM}$ ). This scaffold tolerates a wide variety of different chemical groups on the acetamide side, while substituents on the indenyl ring should be aromatic rings with at least a nitrogen atom on the 2 position.

## MISCELLANEOUS TARGETS

## $\alpha_{1}$-Adrenoceptor

The $\alpha_{1}$-adrenoceptors have several subtypes that are very similar in structure. The $\alpha_{1 \mathrm{~A}}, \alpha_{1 B}$ and $\alpha_{1 D}$ receptors are all acting mainly on smooth muscle cells leading to vasoconstriction,
bronchospasms and decreased motility in the GI-tract. Subtype $\alpha_{1 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor selective agonists have been shown to be efficacious in in vivo models of stress urinary incontinence (SUI). ${ }^{103}$ However, full $\alpha_{1 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor agonists possess a slender therapeutic index over $\alpha_{1 \mathrm{~A}}$ induced cardiovascular effects. Therefore partial agonism and organ specificity are desired characteristics in SUI treatment.

In the search for a compound with these features, indanes and tetrahydronaphthalenes coupled to a 2-imidazole were investigated. ${ }^{104}$ The tetrahydronaphthalene derivative 58 (Figure 17) had an attractive pharmacological profile, combining good potency, low $\mathrm{E}_{\text {max }}$, good selectivity and in vitro metabolic stability. However, according to Conlon et al. ${ }^{105} \alpha_{1 \mathrm{~A}}$ partial agonists mediate their effect via a central pathway rather than directly on the urethral smooth muscle, thus CNS penetrant partial agonists are desired for in vivo efficacy. Compound 58 has a high total polar surface area (TPSA $=83 \hat{A}^{2}$ ) with an associated P-glycoprotein-mediated efflux, which could have a negative impact on the crossing of the blood-brain barrier (BBB). ${ }^{106}$ As the indane derivatives were found to be more potent than the tetrahydronaphthalene congeners, it was decided to continue with the indane core and decrease the TPSA value by exchanging the sulfonamide group to an isostere with smaller TPSA penalty. After thorough SAR evaluation compound 59 (PF-3774076) was generated bearing a 4-methylenemethoxy group $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=31 \mathrm{nM}\right.$, TPSA $\left.=38 \hat{\AA}^{2}\right)$ instead of sulfonamide. Additionally, the chlorine substituent on the 5 position of the indane reduced the compound's $\mathrm{E}_{\text {max }}$ value and gave the wanted partial agonism. Unfortunately, 59 did not offer the necessary degree of selectivity over cardiovascular events when assessed in in vivo models of cardiovascular function.


Figure 17. $\alpha_{1}$-Adrenoceptor ligands.
The structure of 59 bears resemblance to some of the $\alpha_{2 A}$, dopamine, serotonin and melatonin ligands. Fadolmidine $\mathbf{2 2}$ for example has the same location for the imidazole on the 1 position of the indane, only with an additional methyl-spacer. This $\alpha_{2}$-receptor agonist is also a $\alpha_{1}$ receptor full agonist and the selectivity of 59 compared to 22 seems to be due to the bulk of the substitution on position 4.

## Prostaglandin F Receptor



Prostaglandin $\mathrm{F}_{2 \alpha}, \mathbf{6 0}$


Travoprost, 61


AL-8810, 62
Figure 18. Prostaglandin F receptor ligands.

The endogenous ligand of the prostaglandin F receptor (FP receptor) is prostaglandin $\mathrm{F}_{2 \alpha}$ (PGF2 $\alpha, 60$ ). It is involved in many physiological responses, such as the regulation of parturition (including luteolysis), ${ }^{107}$ intraocular pressure, ${ }^{108}$ cardiac hypertrophy ${ }^{109}$ and kidney function. ${ }^{110}$ The FP receptor agonist travoprost 61 (analogue of prostaglandin $\mathrm{F}_{2 \square} \mathbf{6 0}$ ) (Figure 18) is used to treat glaucoma and ocular hypertension. However, the 2-indane analogue of prostaglandin $\mathrm{F}_{2 \alpha}$ (AL-8810, 62) is a low-efficacy FP receptor agonist and can be used as selective functional antagonist. ${ }^{111}$ Although AL-8810 has low potency, it proved useful in studying PGF2 $\alpha$-mediated up-regulation of the nerve growth factor IB. ${ }^{112}$

## CONCLUSIONS

In the above sections, we summarized the major targets of the GPCR protein superfamily where the indane system is used as the core structure in the development of high affinity ligands for these targets. Compounds containing this structure are used in the treatment of a wide variety of diseases through an even wider variety of mechanisms of action. Some of these compounds show very high affinities towards Class A and Class C GPCRs, possibly in part because of the good fit of the indane moiety itself. Additionally, the distinct shape of the indane, due to the fused aromatic and aliphatic rings, makes it a useful scaffold to orient substituents on it. On top of that, the scaffold can be used to mimic constrained alkyl linkers between the phenyl ring and other substituents on the 1 and 2 positions of indane. Apparently these features can be very well accommodated by many GPCRs, suggesting the indane moiety is a privileged structure. Last but not least, using the indane system as the core structure provides 'freedom to operate' to the medicinal chemist. It allows to introduce substituents in ten different directions to find the "perfect-fit" for every substituent, generating very selective and high affinity ligands for GPCRs.
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#### Abstract

Preclinical models of inflammatory diseases (e.g. neuropathic pain, rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis) have pointed to a critical role of the chemokine receptor CCR2 and chemokine ligand 2 (CCL2). However, one of the biggest problems of high affinity inhibitors of CCR2 is their lack of efficacy in clinical trials. We report a new approach for the design of high affinity and long residence time CCR2 antagonists. We developed a new competition association assay for CCR2, which allows us to investigate the relation of the structure of the ligand and its receptor residence time [i.e. structure-kinetic relationship (SKR)] next to a traditional structure-affinity relationship (SAR). By applying combined knowledge of SAR and SKR we were able to re-evaluate the hit-to-lead process of cyclopentylamines as CCR2 antagonists. Affinity-based optimization yielded compound $\mathbf{1}$ with good binding ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=6.8 \mathrm{nM}$ ), but very short residence time ( 2.4 min ). However, when the optimization was also based on residence time, the hit-to-lead process yielded compound 22a - a new high affinity CCR2 antagonist ( 3.6 nM ) with a residence time of 135 min .


## INTRODUCTION

Chemokines are a class of chemoattractant cytokines and their main action is to control the trafficking and activation of leukocytes and other cell types for a range of inflammatory and noninflammatory conditions. One of these, monocyte chemotactic protein-1 [MCP-1/ chemokine ligand 2 (CCL2)], acts on monocytes, memory T cells, and basophils. ${ }^{\frac{1}{1}}$ It creates a chemotactic gradient and activates the movement of immune cells to the site of inflammation by binding to its cell-surface receptor, CC chemokine receptor-2 (CCR2). ${ }^{-2}$ This CCL2/CCR2 pair is overexpressed in several inflammatory conditions in which excessive monocyte recruitment is observed. CCR2 and CCL2 knockout mice and CCR2 or CCL2 antibody-treated rodents show decreased recruitment of monocytes and produce considerably decreased inflammatory responses. ${ }^{3}$ This indicates CCR2 as potential target for treatment of several immune-based inflammatory diseases and conditions, such as multiple sclerosis, ${ }^{4}$ atherosclerosis, ${ }^{5}$ rheumatoid arthritis, $\frac{6}{-}$ diabetes, $\frac{7}{-}$ asthma, $\frac{8}{-}$ and neuropathic pain. ${ }^{-}$

In the past decade there has been an increasing interest in the development of smallmolecule antagonists of the CCR2 receptor resulting in the disclosure of many different chemical classes. However, there are still no selective CCR2 antagonists on the market for the treatment of inflammatory diseases. Clinical trials so far have failed mostly due to lack of efficacy, including the one for the CCR2 antagonist MK-0812 (Figure 1). ${ }^{\underline{10}}$


Figure 1. CCR2 antagonist MK-0812.

It has been suggested that binding kinetics, especially the lifetime of the ligand-receptor complex can be used as a predictor for drug efficacy and safety. ${ }^{11}$, 12 The concept of binding kinetics is often overlooked in the early phase of drug discovery, however, incorporation of this parameter could help to decrease the attrition rate in later stages of drug development. ${ }^{13}$

In this concept of kinetics an additional pharmacological parameter - the ligand-receptor residence time ( RT , the reciprocal of the dissociation rate constant $k_{\text {off }}$ ) is defined, ${ }^{14}$ which is a measure for the duration that a ligand is bound to its target.

In this study we first evaluated several reference CCR2 antagonists using a recently developed competition association assay for CCR2 that yielded the respective association and dissociation rate constants. As our starting point we chose compound 1 which was also the lead compound in the process that led to the development of MK-0812 by the Merck group. ${ }^{10}$ The determination of the binding kinetics of several known structures with this particular scaffold subsequently allowed us to generate a new series of high affinity and long residence time CCR2 antagonists based on structure 2, which was previously abandoned by other groups in optimization steps due to its modest binding affinity (Figure 2). ${ }^{15}$



Figure 2. Residence time and affinity values are both pharmacological parameters that may, however, suggest different lead structures.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Chemistry

Synthesis of (1S,3R)-methyl-3-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentane carboxylate $\mathbf{3}$ was achieved following the synthetic approach reported by Kothandaraman et al. ${ }^{15}$ The desired $N$-Boc protected ester 3 was saponified to yield acid 4 . Subsequently acid 4 was used in peptide coupling reaction with 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzylamine to yield
amide 5 under bromo-tris-pyrrolidino phosphoniumhexafluorophosphate (PyBroP) conditions. ${ }^{16}$ Removal of the $N$-Boc group with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in DCM produced amine 6. Reductive amination with different ketones under $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ conditions afforded the desired products $\mathbf{1 , 2}$, and 11, 12. Compounds $\mathbf{7 - 9}$ were synthesized by alkylating amine 6 with different alkylating agents. Compounds 10 and 13 - 32 were generated from amine 6 and an array of different ketones with 5-ethyl-2-methylpyridine borane complex (PEMB) under conditions reported by Burkhardt and Coleridge (Scheme 1). ${ }^{17}$
Scheme 1. Synthesis of CCR2 Antagonists ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) 4 M LiOH aq., MeOH , reflux, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$; b) 3,5- Bis (trifluoromethyl)benzylamine, PyBrOP , DIPEA, DMAP, DCM, r.t., $24 \mathrm{~h}, 83 \%$; c) TFA, DCM, r.t., 1h, $85 \%$; d) corresponding ketone, (AcO) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{BHNa}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, $\mathrm{DCE}, \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$., $18 \mathrm{~h}, 21-86 \%$ (compounds 1, 2, and 11, 12); e) corresponding alkylating agent, DiPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 14-54 \%$ (compounds $7-9$ ); f) for array synthesis - corresponding ketone, 5-ethyl-2-methylpyridine borane (PEMB), AcOH, NMP, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, (compounds 10 and 13 - 32 ).

## Biology

To determine the binding affinity all compounds were tested in a ${ }^{125}$ I-CCL2 displacement assay on human bone osteosarcoma (U2OS)-CCR2 membrane preparations as described previously by our group. ${ }^{18}$ Several methods can be used to determine ligand binding kinetics [e.g. a kinetic radioligand binding assay, ${ }^{19}$ surface plasmon resonance (SPR), ${ }^{20}$ "two-step" competition binding assay, ${ }^{21}$ and "Tag-lite" Cisbio ${ }^{22}$ ]. Most of these assays require special modifications of the target protein or the ligand. Therefore, we chose to use the competition association assay, as this assay allowed us to determine the kinetics of unlabeled ligands to the receptor expressed in membrane preparations. In our hands this is the most robust and accurate assay in order to measure kinetics of unlabeled ligands.

## Validation of the [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 Competition Association Assay for CCR2

A competition association assay was set up to determine the kinetic parameters of unlabeled ligands. ${ }^{\underline{23}}$ For this assay we used the radiolabeled small molecule CCR2 antagonist $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 ${ }^{24}$ instead of the endogenous agonist protein radioligand ${ }^{125}$ I-CCL2. Because of the large size of CCL2 (8600 Da) there is at best only a partial overlap in binding site with small molecule antagonists. Because the theoretical model of the competition association assay is based on the assumption that unlabeled and radiolabeled ligands should compete for the same binding site, we decided to use [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]INCB3344 in our assay. This radioligand bears considerable chemical resemblance to the compounds reported in this study. We first validated this method by measuring the competition association of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ]INCB3344 in the absence and presence of three different concentrations of INCB3344 (1-, 3- and 10-fold its $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) (Figure 3).


Figure 3. Competition association assay with [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \operatorname{INCB} 3344$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the absence or presence of 1.1 , 3.7 and 11 nM of unlabeled INCB3344.

This resulted in a $k_{\text {on }}$ and $k_{\text {off }}$ values for unlabeled INCB3344 of $0.035 \pm 0.010 \mathrm{nM}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{minz}^{-1}$ and $0.024 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, respectively, at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1). The corresponding residence time was 43 $\pm 2 \mathrm{~min}$. These results were in good agreement with $k_{\text {on }}$ and $k_{\text {off }}$ values of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 binding from 'traditional' association and dissociation experiments, $0.054 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{nM}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and $0.013 \pm 0.002$ respectively ${ }^{17}$ (Table 1 ).

Table 1. Comparison of equilibrium binding and kinetic parameters of INCB3344 determined using different methods.

| Assay | $K_{\mathrm{D}} / K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $k_{\text {on }}\left(\mathrm{nM}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{\text {off }}\left(\mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Saturation binding $^{a}$ | $0.90 \pm 0.03$ | NA | NA |
| Displacement $^{b}$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ | NA | NA |
| Association and dissociation $^{c}$ | $0.23 \pm 0.04$ | $0.054 \pm 0.002$ | $0.013 \pm 0.002$ |
| Competition association $^{d}$ | $0.72 \pm 0.19$ | $0.035 \pm 0.010$ | $0.024 \pm 0.002$ |

Data are presented as means $\pm$ S.E.M. of three independent experiments performed in duplicate.
NA, not applicable
${ }^{a}$ Saturation binding of $1-45 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 to CCR2 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{b}$ Displacement of $3.5 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB33 34 from CCR2 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{c}$ Association and dissociation of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 measured in standard kinetic assays at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{d}$ Association and dissociation of INCB3344 measured in competition association assays at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## Screening of CCR2 Antagonists Using the Dual-Point Competition Association Assay

The competition association assay described above is laborious and time consuming, and hence we developed a so-called dual point competition association assay for CCR2, according to principles we recently established for the adenosine $A_{1}$ receptor. ${ }^{25}$ To this end we coincubated $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ]INCB3344 with unlabeled antagonists at a concentration equal to their $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value that was determined in the ${ }^{125}$ I-CCL2 displacement assay. The so-called kinetic rate index (KRI) was calculated by dividing the specific radioligand binding at $50 \mathrm{~min}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)$ by the binding at 240 $\min .\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)$. In this assay antagonists with a slower dissociation rate, and therefore a longer residence time than $\left[^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 would result in a KRI > 1 (Figure 4).


Figure 4. Representative competition association assay curves of control and long residence time compound 22a. $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{t} 1}$ : specific radioligand binding at the first time point ( $\mathrm{t}_{1}=50 \mathrm{~min}$ ); $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ : specific
radioligand binding at the second time point ( $\mathrm{t}_{2}=240 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Kinetic rate index $(\mathrm{KRI})$ is defined as $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{t} 1} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$, which equaled to 1.2 for compound 22a.

## Structure - Affinity Relationships Vs Structure - Residence Time Relationships

The 3-amino-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxamide scaffold has been extensively evaluated based on binding affinities for CCR2 and selectivity against other chemokine receptors and the hERG channel. ${ }^{15,26,27}$ Therefore, we decided to resynthesize several reported derivatives of compound $1^{15,28}$ and determined their binding affinity in radioligand displacement assays (Table 2). Introduction of benzyl group yielded compound 7 with affinity of 437 nM . When the spacer length between phenyl ring and basic nitrogen was extended to ethyl, binding was almost lost (compound $8 K_{\mathrm{i}}=2400 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Prolonging the chain to propyl allowed us to regain affinity (compound $9 K_{\mathrm{i}}=134 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Combining the knowledge of compounds $\mathbf{7}$ and 9 in one structure yielded the indane derivative compound $\mathbf{2}$ with even more improved affinity ( $K_{i}=50$ $\mathrm{nM})$. Expanding the ring system to tetrahydronaphthalene resulted in additional increase in affinity (compound 10, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=33 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Removal of aromatics yielded compound 11 with cyclohexane ring which showed decrease in affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=110 \mathrm{nM}$ ), but incorporation of heteroatoms in 4-position regained affinity (compound 1 and $12, K_{\mathrm{i}}=6.8 \mathrm{nM}$ and $K_{\mathrm{i}}=31 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively) as it was described by Kothandaraman et al. ${ }^{15}$ Based on affinity alone, compound 1 would be the logical choice for lead optimization which yielded the clinical candidate MK-0812 in the case of the Merck research group. ${ }^{10}$ However, the kinetic evaluation of these known structures in a competition association assay allowed us to utilize an additional parameter - residence time (RT). In this assay the best affinity compound $\mathbf{1}$ had a RT of 2.4 min , while compound $\mathbf{2}$ had a 4 -fold longer RT of 9.5 min (Table 2). Structurally closely related compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ had a RT of 5.6 min, which convinced us to continue with compounds 2 and 10, as they had longer RT.

Table 2. Binding affinities and residence time (RT) of compounds 1, 2, 7-12.
(10

Using a number of commercially available indanones we introduced different substituents on the indane ring (Table 3) to cover chemical space as broadly as possible. The SAR exploration on the 4-position showed that H -bond accepting and hydrophilic groups are tolerated. The 4$\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group led to a minor increase (compound 13, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=43 \mathrm{nM}$ ), but 4-OH and 4-CN groups showed a decrease in affinity (compound 14, $K_{i}=86 \mathrm{nM}$ and compound $15, K_{i}=70 \mathrm{nM}$ ). $4-\mathrm{Me}$ (compound 16) and 4-MeO (compound 17) were not tolerated on this position ( $26 \%$ and $30 \%$
displacement at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively). On the 5- position methoxy and hydroxyl groups improved the affinity, which had also been suggested for other CCR2 antagonists. ${ }^{27,29}$ The methoxy group (compound 18) showed an 8 fold increase in affinity ( 6.1 nM ) while the hydroxyl group (compound 19) displayed a less than 2 -fold increase compared to the unsubstituted indenyl derivative ( 29 nM and 50 nM , respectively). On the contrary, the introduction of fluorine, which was previously reported as best substituent in arylpiperidine analogs by Pasternak et al, ${ }^{27}$ resulted in a dramatic decrease in affinity in case of the indenyl derivative (compound 20, 30\% displacement at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). $\mathbf{5 - C l}$ substitution yielded better affinity than $5-\mathrm{F}$ (compound 21, 18 nM ) and $5-\mathrm{Br}$ was better than $5-\mathrm{Cl}$ (compound 22, 7.2 nM ). $6-\mathrm{Cl}$ (compound 23) led to a dramatic decrease in affinity ( $28 \%$ displacement at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). However, 6 Me and 6-CN groups were tolerated having similar affinities to unsubstituted indane ring (compound 24, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=55 \mathrm{nM}$ and compound 25, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=54 \mathrm{nM}$ ).

Table 3. Binding affinities and Kinetic rate index (KRI) of indenyl derivatives 2 and 13-28.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nr. | R | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \pm$ SEM ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) | KRI ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) |
| 2 | H | $50 \pm 8$ | 0.7 (0.7/0.7) |
| 13 | 4-NH2 | $43 \pm 7$ | 0.8 (0.7/0.8) |
| 14 | $4-\mathrm{OH}$ | $86 \pm 8$ | 0.6 (0.5/0.8) |
| 15 | 4-CN | $70 \pm 11$ | 0.8 (0.7/0.8) |
| 16 | 4-Me | $26 \%^{a}$ | - |
| 17 | 4-OMe | $30 \%{ }^{\text {a }}$ | - |
| 18 | 5-OMe | $6.1 \pm 0.7$ | 0.6 (0.6/0.6) |
| 19 | $5-\mathrm{OH}$ | $29 \pm 2$ | 0.7 (0.7/0.8) |
| 20 | 5-F | $30 \%{ }^{\text {a }}$ | - |
| 21 | $5-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $18 \pm 1$ | 1.1 (1.1/1.2) |
| 22 | $5-\mathrm{Br}$ | $7.2 \pm 0.5$ | 1.1 (1.0/1.1) |
| 23 | $6-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $28 \%{ }^{\text {a }}$ | - |
| 4 | 6-Me | $55 \pm 2$ | 0.8 (0.8/0.8) |
| 25 | 6-CN | $54 \pm 4$ | 0.6 (0.6/0.6) |
| 26 | 4;5-di OMe | $130 \pm 6$ | - |
| 27 | 5;6-di OMe | $3.9 \pm 0.3$ | 0.7 (0.7/0.7) |
| 28 | 5;6-(-OCH2O-) | $6.3 \pm 0.8$ | 0.6 (0.6/0.7) |

${ }^{a} \%$ displ. at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}^{125}$ I-CCL2

We continued the investigation with the analysis of disubstitution, learning that the combination of 4,5- substitution resulted in more than 2-fold decrease in affinity (compound 26, $\left.K_{\mathrm{i}}=130 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. On the contrary, the 5,6-dimethoxy group yielded compound $\mathbf{2 7}$ with a high affinity of 3.9 nM . Connecting the dimethoxy groups into a dioxolane ring yielded a small decrease in affinity (compound 28, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=6.3 \mathrm{nM}$ ).

Using the knowledge of the best position for substitution we continued the investigation on the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene ring by introducing substituents on the 5 -position (Table 4). Electron donating groups showed very similar results to what we found for the indenyl moiety. Compounds 29 and $\mathbf{3 0}$ showed good affinity ( 27 nM and 35 nM , respectively), while electron withdrawing groups showed a decrease or complete lack of affinity (compounds 31 and 32).

After SAR evaluation, the higher affinity compounds were screened in our kinetic assay to determine their KRI value (Guo et al. ${ }^{25}$, see also Figure 2). A KRI value $<1$ indicates that the residence time of a tested compound is shorter than the residence time of the radioligand (less than 43 min in this particular case). A KRI value > 1 reflects a residence time of more than 43 min .

Table 4. Binding affinities and Kinetic rate index (KRI) of tetrahydronaphthalene derivatives 10 and 29 32.


Compound $\mathbf{2}$ in the screen showed a KRI value of 0.7 (RT = 9.5 min ). However, compounds $\mathbf{2 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 2}$ had higher KRI values ( 1.1 for both compounds). These compounds were tested in a full competition association assay to determine their association and dissociation rate constant (Table 5). Increasing the size of the substituent - change from $5-\mathrm{Cl}$ to $5-\mathrm{Br}$ (compound 21 Vs compound 22) also yielded longer residence times ( 56 min and 94 min , respectively). Compound $\mathbf{2 2}$ was separated in two diastereomers by preparative supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) using Phenomenex Lux-4 column (Phenomenex Inc.). The first compound to elute (22a) had an affinity of 3.6 nM . However, the second compound (22b) to elute had a 100 -fold decreased affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=289 \mathrm{nM}$ ). These separated diastereomers had very similar $k_{\text {off }}$ rates (Table 5) which translated in similar residence times (22a RT $=135 \mathrm{~min}$ and 22b RT = 77 min ), but a significant difference was observed for their $k_{\text {on }}$ rates. Apparently, the stereochemistry of the indane ring system has a major impact on compound association rate to the receptor while the dissociation is not affected.

Table 5. Kinetic data of compounds 21, 22, 22a and 22b.


## CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that next to affinity, additional knowledge of residence time is useful for selecting and developing new CCR2 antagonists. The (1S, 3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-((5-bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1isopropylcyclopentanecarboxamide (22a) had a RT of 135 min . In comparison to the best affinity compound from the first SAR screening, i.e. compound $\mathbf{1}$ (Table 1), 22a had a 56-fold increased residence time, while having similar affinity. This indicates that affinity and residence time do not correlate; moreover, while SAR driven hit-to-lead optimizations often fail in later stages of drug development due to lack of efficacy (e.g. MK-0812), it has been shown on other targets that residence time is linked to the duration of the in vivo antagonist effect. ${ }^{30-32}$ Compound 22a may thus be a useful tool to test whether prolonged blockade of CCR2 has a beneficial effect on CCR2 related disorders, such as neuropathic pain.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Chemistry

All solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and were of analytical grade. Demineralised water is simply referred to as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, as it was used in all cases unless stated otherwise (i.e. brine). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV 400 liquid spectrometer $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR, 400 $\mathrm{MHz} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, 100 MHz ) or using a Bruker 500 MHz Avance III NMR spectrometer (compound 22a and 22b) at ambient temperature. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm), are designated by $\delta$ and are downfield to the internal standard tetramethylsilane (TMS). Coupling-constants are reported
in Hz and are designated as J. Analytical purity of the final compounds was determined by highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a Phenomenex Gemini $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ C18 110A column ( $50 \times 4.6$ $\mathrm{mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), measuring UV absorbance at 254 nm . Sample preparation and HPLC method for compounds $\mathbf{1 , 2 , 7 - 9}$ and 11, $\mathbf{1 2}$ were as follows: $0.3-0.8 \mathrm{mg}$ of compound was dissolved in 1 mL of a 1:1:1 mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / t-\mathrm{BuOH}$ and eluted from the column within 15 minutes at flow rate of 1.0 mL . Elution method was set up as follows: $1-4$ min isocratic system of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / 1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80 / 10 / 10$, from $4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{min}$ a gradient was applied $80 / 10 / 10$ to $0 / 90 / 10$ within 9 min , followed by one minute of equilibration at $0 / 90 / 10$ and one minute at $80 / 10 / 10$. All compounds showed a single peak at the designated retention time and are at least $95 \%$ pure. High Resolution Mass spectral analyses (HRMS) were performed on LTQ-Orbitrap FTMS operated in a positive ionization mode with an ESI source. Mobile phase A: $0.1 \%$ formic acid in water. B: $0.08 \%$ formic acid in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Gradient: $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ to $80 \%$ B in 26 min . Flow rate: $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Preparative HPLC's (for compounds $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{- 3 2}$ ) were performed on a Waters AutoPurification HPLC-UV system with a diode array detector using a Luna C18 Phenomenex column ( $75 \mathrm{~mm} \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and a linear gradient from 1 to $99 \%$ of mobile phase B was applied. Mobile phase $A$ consisted of 5 mM HCl solution and mobile phase $B$ consisted of acetonitrile. Flow rate was $50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. LC-MS analyses were performed using an Onyx C18 monolithic column ( $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ $4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and a linear gradient from 1 to $99 \%$ mobile phase B was applied. Mobile phase A consisted of $0.05 \%$ TFA in water and mobile phase B consisted of $0.035 \%$ TFA in acetonitrile. Flow rate was $12 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Separations of enantiomers were accomplished using chiral SFC. The column was Phenomenex Lux-4 ( $250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The mobile phase condition of $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ with 20 mM NH 3 and $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was applied at a flow rate of $10.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was routinely consulted to monitor the progress of reactions, using aluminium-coated Merck silica gel $\mathrm{F}^{254}$ plates. Purification by column chromatography was achieved by use of Grace Davison Davisil silica column material (LC60A 30-200 micron). The procedure for a series of similar compounds is given as a general procedure for all within that series, annotated by the numbers of the compounds.
Synthesis of (1S,3R)-methyl-3-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxylate (3) was achieved following the synthetic approach reported by Kothandaraman S. et al. ${ }^{15}$
(1S,3R)-3-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxylic acid (4). A solution of ester 3 $(4.20 \mathrm{~g}, 14.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 4 M aqueous lithium hydroxide (LiOHaq, 40 mL ) was refluxed for 4 hours. After concentration in vacuum, the solution was acidified with aqueous hydrochloric acid and extracted with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and after concentration in vacuum, yielded the desired product as a yellow powder ( $3.62 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ : $10.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53^{a}(\mathrm{~s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.05^{b}(\mathrm{~s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 9 H ), $0.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta: 182.9^{a}, 181.7^{b}, 157.6^{b}, 155.6^{a}, 80.4^{b}, 79.1^{a}$, $56.9,52.8^{b}, 51.7^{a}, 38.6^{b}, 38.2^{a}, 35.0^{b}, 34.5^{a}, 33.2^{a}, 32.9^{b}, 32.1^{a}, 31.8^{b}, 28.3,18.7,18.2^{b}, 18.0^{a} . a$ and $b$ is indicated for different rotamers.
Tert-butyl(3-((3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)carbamoyl)-3-isopropylcyclopentyl) carbamate (5). Compound 4 ( $1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 5.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 50 ml DCM . To this mixture 3,5 bis(trifluoromethyl) benzylamine ( $1.89 \mathrm{~g}, 5.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added with DiPEA ( $2.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), PyBrOP ( $2.64 \mathrm{~g}, 5.65$ mmol ) and DMAP ( $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature. The product was extracted with DCM/citric acid solution in water and then with DCM/1M NaOH . The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The product was purified by column chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ ethyl acetate in DCM) to give the product as a yellow oil ( $2.33 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta: 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ (br.s, 1 H ), 5.17 (br.s, 1 H ), $4.51-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81$ (br.s, 1 H ), $1.99-$ $1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.74-0.77(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta: 178.6,155.6,142.1,132.2,131.8,131.5,131.2,127.4,127.3,124.5,121.8,121.0,119.1,78.9$, $57.6,51.6,42.8,36.3,34.6,33.3,32.6,28.2,18.7,17.5$.

3-amino- N -(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxamide (6). Trifluoroacetic acid $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of compound $5(2.33 \mathrm{~g} 4.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL DCM. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature. The reaction mixture was neutralized with 1 M NaOH and extracted with DCM. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to give the product as a yellow crystals ( $1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta: 9.16$ (br.s, 1 H ), 7.70-7.67 ( m , $3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.50-4.39 (m, 2H), 3.61-3.60 (m, 1H), 2.22-2.15 (m, 1H), 2.02-1.95 (m, 1H), 1.85-1.64 (m, 3H), 1.42$1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.82-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta: 179.4,142.5,131.8,131.5,131.2,130.9$, 127.3, 127.2 124.6, 121.9, 120.4, 119.2, 57.3, 52.2, 42.4, 39.7, 35.3, 33.9, 33.6, 18.8, 16.9.

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 1, 2, and 11, 12.
Amine 6 was dissolved in 4 mL dichloroethane in a 5 mL reaction tube and the corresponding ketone ( 1 eq.) was added. Sequentially acetic acid (1 eq.) and sodium triacetoxyborohoydride ( 1.5 eq.) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 hours at room temperature and then washed with 1 M NaOH and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The product was purified by column chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ ethyl acetate in DCM) to give the desired product.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-
yl)amino)cyclopentanecarboxamide (1). Yield $=21 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ : $9.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.73$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.36-2.30 (m, 1H), 2.03-1.80 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.6 (m, 5H), 1.49-1.40(m, 1H), 1.31-1.20 (m, 3H), 0.93-0.89 $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 179.1,142.4,131.8,131.54,131.2,130.9,127.7,127.3,124.6$, 121.8, 121.0, 119.2, 66.9, 66.9, 57.5, 54.8, 51.9, 42.6, 37.1, 35.1, 34.3, 33.7, 33.6, 33.3, 19.5, 17.0; LC/MS: $481^{+} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=7.01 \mathrm{~min}$
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-((2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-
isopropylcyclopentanecarboxamide (2). Yield $=25 \%$ (mixture of diastereomers). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 8: $9.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-$ $3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.1-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 179.4,144.5,144.4,144.6,131.8,131.5,127.9,127.6,126.3,126.2$, 125.0, 123.5, 123.5, 122.0 121.9, 61.3, 58.0, 56.6, 42.6, 37.2, 36.0, 34.5, 33.9, 33.7, 33.4, 19.6, 17.0; LC/MS: $513^{+} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.12 \mathrm{~min}$
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-(cyclohexylamino)-1-isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide
(11). Yield $=76 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 9.77$ (br.s, 1 H ), $7.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 179.55,142.64,132.12,131.79,131.46,131.13,127.74,127.63,124.66,121.95,120.81$, 119.10, $57.30,55.36,54.48,42.49,36.95,35.41,34.12,33.74,33.37,32.97,25.83,25.02,19.48,16.80$; LC/MS: $479^{+} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=7.31 \mathrm{~min}$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-((tetrahydro-2H-thiopyran-4-
yl)amino)cyclopentane-1-carboxamide (12). Yield $=86 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 9.12$ (br.s, 1H), $7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-$ $1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 179.08,142.41$, $131.83,131.54,131.16,130.86,127.75,127.31,124.53,121.94,121.05,119.22,57.50,54.85,53.92$, $42.58,37.28,35.21,35.08,34.62,33.53,33.30,27.93,19.49,17.01 ; \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}: 497^{+} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=7.51 \mathrm{~min}$.
General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 7-9.
Amine 6 (1 eq.) was disolved in 4 mL of acetonitrile and coresponding alkylating agent (1.2 eq.) was added. Sequentually DiPEA ( 1.2 eq.) The reaction mixture was stirred in microwave for 2 hours at 60ㅇ and purified with column chromatography ( $60 \%$ ethylacetate, $20 \%$ DCM, $20 \%$ petroleum ether and 0 $3 \%$ triethylamine in ethyl acetate).
(1S,3R)-3-(benzylamino)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide (7). Yield $=27 \%$ (as HCl salt). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 9.40$ (br.s, 1 H ), $7.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-$ $7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$2.41-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J^{2}\right.$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 179.4,142.6,139.0,132.0,131.7,131.3,131.0,128.6,127.8$, $127.5,127.3,124.6,121.9,120.8,58.8,58.7,57.3,51.9,42.5,35.3,33.5,33.1,19.5,16.9 ;$ LC-MS: $487^{+}$; $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}: 7.40 \mathrm{~min}$
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(phenethylamino)cyclopentane-1-carboxamide (8). Yield $=14 \%$ (as HCl salt). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta: 8.01-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 4.53 (dd, $\left.J=22.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J^{2}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.70-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-$ $1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=29.0, J^{2}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta: 178.60,142.70,136.26,131.49,131.16,131.06,130.66,128.80,128.64,128.40,127.96$, $127.88,127.28,126.92,124,57,121.86,120.47,118.59,58.13,57.98,48.24,48.03,47.82,47.60,47.39$, $47.18,46.97,42.32,32.82,32.44,32.20,32.03,28.94,17.83,16.32 ; \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}: 501^{+} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.51 \mathrm{~min}$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-((3-phenylpropyl)amino)cyclopentane-1-
carboxamide (9). Yield $=54 \%$ (as HCl salt). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 9.49$ (br.s, 1 H ), $7.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.6-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.91\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J^{2}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 179.4,142.5,141.4,132.0,131.7,131.4,131.1,128.3,128.1,127.4,125.9$, $124.6,121.9,120.7,59.1,57.2,47.5,42.5,36.2,35.2,33.5,33.4,31.6,19.4,16.8 ; \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}: 515^{+} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=7.94$ min.
General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 10 and 13 - 32.
To a series of 1.5 mL glass tubes was added amine 6 in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) ( $0.95 \mathrm{M}, 0.095$ mmol ) followed by solutions of different ketones ( $0.5 \mathrm{M}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in NMP and these mixtures were subsequently treated with acetic acid ( 0.1 mmol ) followed by 5-ethyl-2-methyl-pyridine borane (PEMB) $(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a reaction block for 24 h . The reaction mixtures were purified directly using an automated mass-guided reverse phase-HPLC, and product containing fractions were concentrated to give final products $>90 \%$ purity as judged by LC-MS (average of 220 nm and 254 nm traces).
Purity, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$and retention times of compounds 10, 13-32.

| Nr. | R | \% Purity (Average of 220 and <br> $254 \mathrm{~nm})$ | Mol <br> wt | $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ | Retention <br> time (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | H | 95.8 | 526.6 | 527.3 | 1.79 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $4-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 99.7 | 527.5 | 528.3 | 1.48 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OH}$ | 97.4 | 528.5 | 529.2 | 1.64 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $4-\mathrm{CN}$ | 96.4 | 537.2 | 538.2 | 1.69 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $4-\mathrm{Me}$ | 90.4 | 526.6 | 527.3 | 1.81 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | 90.9 | 542.6 | 543.2 | 1.79 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | 95.8 | 542.6 | 543.2 | 1.77 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $5-\mathrm{OH}$ | 95.1 | 528.5 | 529.2 | 1.71 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $5-\mathrm{F}$ | 93.4 | 530.5 | 531.3 | 1.79 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $5-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 94.9 | 546.9 | 547.0 | 1.85 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $5-\mathrm{Br}$ | 92.0 | 591.4 | 591.0 | 1.80 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 a}$ | $5-\mathrm{Br}$ | 99.5 (99.0\% de) | 591.4 | 591.3 | 1.83 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 b}$ | $5-\mathrm{Br}$ | 98.5 (96.9\% de) | 591.4 | 591.3 | 1.87 |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $6-\mathrm{CN}$ | 97.1 | 537.5 | 538.2 | 1.71 |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $6-\mathrm{Me}$ | 93.3 | 526.6 | 527.3 | 1.76 |


| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $6-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 95.2 | 546.9 | 547.0 | 1.83 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $4 ; 5-\mathrm{di}$ OMe | 94.6 | 572.6 | 573.2 | 1.67 |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $5 ; 6-\mathrm{di}$ OMe | 97.2 | 572.6 | 573.2 | 1.64 |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $5 ; 6-\left(-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right)$ | 94.8 | 556.5 | 557.2 | 1.68 |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | 95.7 | 556.6 | 557.2 | 1.78 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $5-\mathrm{OH}$ | 93.1 | 542.6 | 543.1 | 1.68 |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $5-\mathrm{Br}$ | 90.6 | 605.5 | 605.1 | 1.90 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $5-\mathrm{COOH}$ | 94.7 | 570.6 | 571.2 | 1.65 |

(1R,3S)-N-[[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]-3-[(5-bromoindan-1-yl)amino]-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxamide (22a)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $_{6}$ ) $\delta: 8.56(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.26 (dd, $J=8.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43$ (dd, $J=15.6,5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.1,8.4,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $15.9,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13$ (ddd, $J=13.1,7.3,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81$ (dtd, $J=10.4,6.8,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{dq}, J=12.3,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{dq}, J=12.3,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.80$ (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H), 0.76 (d, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta:-62.87$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 177.97,146.42,145.85,144.56,130.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=32.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}\right.$ $=32.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.06,128.36,128.36,127.74,126.32,123.77\left(q, J_{C-F}=222.65 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.77\left(q, J_{C-F}=222.65\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 120.72,120.32,60.93,57.27,56.97,42.63,40.13,34.53,33.13,32.90,30.82,30.17,18.83,18.32$.
HRMS calc. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{BrF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 591.1440$, found 591.1444.
SFC chiral purity: $99.5\left(99.0 \%\right.$ de), $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}=+12.2\left(\mathrm{c}=0.23, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
(1R,3S)-N-[[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]-3-[(5-bromoindan-1-yl)amino]-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxamide (22b)

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathbf{d}}$ ) $\delta: 8.56(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26$ (dd, $J=8.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43$ (dd, $J=15.6$, $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.1,8.4,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=15.9,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13$ (ddd, $J=13.1,7.3,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.81 (dtd, $J=10.4,6.8,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{dq}, J=12.3,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{dq}, J=12.3,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.76$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta:-62.89$.
 $=32.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.06, 128.36, 128.36, 127.74, 126.32, 123.77 ( $q, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=222.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 123.77 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=222.65$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 120.72,120.32,60.93,57.27,56.97,42.63,38.42,34.53,33.13,32.90,30.82,30.17,18.83,18.32$.
HRMS calc. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{BrF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 591.1440$, found 591.1437
SFC chiral purity: $98.5(96.9 \%$ de $),[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}=-31.2\left(c=0.17, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## Biology

Chemicals and reagents. ${ }^{125} \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{CCL} 2(2200 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol})$ was purchased from Perkin-Elmer (Waltham, MA). INCB3344 was synthesized as described previously. $\frac{33,34}{}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 (specific activity $32 \mathrm{Ci} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ) was custom-labeled by Vitrax (Placentia, CA) for which a dehydrogenated precursor of INCB3344 was provided. Tango ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ CCR2-bla U2OS cells stably expressing human CCR2 were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA).
Cell culture and membrane preparation. U2OS cells stably expressing the human CCR2 receptor (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) were cultured in McCoys5a medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal calf serum, 2 mM glutamine, 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids (NEAA), 25 mM HEPES, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 $\mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ G418, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ hygromycin and $125 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ zeocin in a humidified atmosphere at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cell culture and membrane preparation were performed as described previously. ${ }^{18}$
${ }^{125}$ I-CCL2 displacement assay. Binding assays were performed as described previously. ${ }^{18}$
${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]INCB3344 competition association assay. The kinetic parameters of unlabelled ligands at 250C were determined using the competition association assay described by Motulsky and Mahan. ${ }^{\underline{23}}$ At different time points $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ U2OS-CCR2 membranes were added to $1.8 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 in a total volume of 100 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ assay buffer in the absence or presence of competing ligand. To validate the assay three concentrations of INCB3344 (1-, 3- and 10-fold its $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]INCB3344 displacement) were used. This validation showed that using a single concentration (that equals the $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) of unlabeled ligand was sufficient to accurately measure $k_{\text {on }}$ and $k_{\text {off }}$. Incubation was terminated by dilution with ice-cold 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer supplemented with $0.05 \%$ CHAPS. Separation of bound from free radioligand was performed by rapid filtration through a 96-well GF/B filter plate pre-coated with $0.25 \%$ PEI using a Perkin Elmer Filtermate-harvester (Perkin Elmer, Groningen, the Netherlands). Filters were washed ten times with ice-cold wash buffer. $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Microscint scintillation cocktail (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA) was added to each well and the filter-bound radioactivity was determined by scintillation spectrometry using the P-E 1450 Microbeta Wallac Trilux scintillation counter (Perkin Elmer). Kinetic parameters of unlabeled ligands were calculated by using equation (3) as mentioned below in "Data analysis".
${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]INCB3344 dual point competition association assay. Kinetic rate index (KRI) values of unlabeled ligands were determined using the dual-point competition association assay as described previously, in which radioligand binding was determined at two different time points. ${ }^{25}$ Time point $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ represents the time at which radioligand binding reached $99.5 \%$ of total binding at equilibrium,
$\mathrm{t}_{1}=8 \cdot \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2 \text {,association }}$.
The second time point ( $t_{2}$ ) was arbitrarily set at 4 hrs where little, but reliably measureable, specific binding remained. $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of U2OS-CCR2 membranes were incubated for $50 \mathrm{~min}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)$ or $240 \mathrm{~min}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)$ in a total volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of assay buffer with $1.8 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 in the absence or presence of unlabeled ligands at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The amount of radioligand bound to the receptor was measured after coincubation of the unlabeled ligands at 1-fold their respective $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value in the ${ }^{125} \mathrm{I}$-CCL2 displacement assay. Incubations were terminated and samples were obtained as described under "competition association assay". KRI values of unlabeled ligands were calculated by using equation (2) as mentioned below in "data analysis".

Data analysis. All experiments were analyzed using the non-linear regression curve fitting program Prism 5 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.). For radioligand displacement data $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values were calculated from $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values using the Cheng and Prusoff equation. ${ }^{35}$
Data of the dual point competition association assay was analyzed as described previously. $\underline{25}$
KRI values were calculated by dividing the specific radioligand binding measured at $t_{1}\left(B_{t 1}\right)$ by its binding at $t_{2}\left(B_{t 2}\right)$ in the presence of unlabeled competing ligand as follows:
$K R I=B_{t 1} / B_{t 2}$.
(2)

Association and dissociation rates for unlabeled ligands were determined by non-linear regression analysis of the competition association data as described by Motulsky and Mahan: ${ }^{\underline{23}}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{A}=k_{1}[L]+k_{2} \\
K_{B}=k_{3}[I]+k_{4} \\
S=\sqrt{\left(K_{A}-K_{B}\right)^{2}+4 \cdot k_{1} \cdot k_{3} \cdot L \cdot I \cdot 10^{-18}} \\
K_{F}=0.5\left(K_{A}+K_{B}+S\right)  \tag{3}\\
K_{S}=0.5\left(K_{A}+K_{B}-S\right) \\
Q=\frac{B_{\max } \cdot k_{1} \cdot L \cdot 10^{-9}}{K_{F}-K_{S}} \\
Y=Q \cdot\left(\frac{k_{4} \cdot\left(K_{F}-K_{S}\right)}{K_{F} \cdot K_{S}}+\frac{k_{4}-K_{F}}{K_{F}} e^{\left(-K_{F} \cdot X\right)}-\frac{k_{4}-K_{S}}{K_{S}} e^{\left(-K_{S} \cdot X\right)}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where X is the time $(\min ), \mathrm{Y}$ is the specific binding (DPM), $k_{1}$ the $k_{\text {on }}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \cdot \min ^{-1}\right)$ of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 predetermined in association experiments, $k_{2}$ the $k_{\text {off }}\left(\min ^{-1}\right)$ of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 predetermined in dissociation experiments, $L$ the concentration of $\left[{ }^{3} H\right.$ ]INCB3344 used ( nM ), $\mathrm{B}_{\max }$ the total binding (DPM) and $I$ the concentration of unlabeled ligand ( $n M$ ). Fixing these parameters into equation (3) allows the following parameters to be calculated: $k_{3}$ is the $k_{\text {on }}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ of the unlabeled ligand and $k_{4}$ is the $k_{\text {off }}$ $\left(\mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ of the unlabeled ligand. The association and dissociation rates were used to calculate the 'kinetic $K_{D}{ }^{\prime}$ as follows:
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}=k_{\text {off }} / k_{\text {on }}$ (4)
The residence time was calculated according to the formula $R T=1 / k_{\text {off }}$.

## Abbreviations

Boc, tert-Butyloxycarbonyl; CCL2, chemokine ligand 2; CCR2, chemokine receptor 2; CHAPS, 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate; DCM, dichloromethane; DiPEA, N,NDiisopropylethylamine; DMAP, N,N-Dimethylaminopyridine; DPM, disintegrations per minute; HEPES, 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid; hERG, human Ether-à-go-go-Related Gene; HPLC, High-performance liquid chromatography; HRMS, High Resolution Mass spectral analyses; KRI, kinetic rate index NEAA, non-essential amino acids; NMP, N-methylpyrrolidone; NMR, Nuclear magnetic resonance; PEI, Polyethylenimine; PyBrOP, Bromo-tris-pyrrolidino phosphoniumhexafluorophosphate; RT, residence time; SAR, structure-affinity relationships; SFC, SKR, structure-kinetic relationships; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; TLC, thin layer chromatography; Tris, tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane; U2OS, Human Bone Osteosarcoma Cells.
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#### Abstract

Chemokine ligand 2 (CCL2) mediates chemotaxis of monocytes to inflammatory sites via interaction with its G protein-coupled receptor CCR2. Preclinical animal models suggest that the CCL2-CCR2 axis has a critical role in the development and maintenance of inflammatory disease states (e.g., multiple sclerosis, atherosclerosis, insulin resistance, restenosis, and neuropathic pain), which can be treated through inhibition of the CCR2 receptor. However, in clinical trials high-affinity inhibitors of CCR2 have often demonstrated a lack of efficacy. We have previously described a new approach for the design of high-affinity CCR2 antagonists, by taking their residence time (RT) on the receptor into account. Here, we report our findings on both structure-affinity relationship (SAR) and structure-kinetic relationship (SKR) studies for a series of 3-((inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropyl-cyclopentane-1-carboxamides as CCR2 antagonists. SAR studies showed that this class of compounds tolerates a vast diversity of substituents on the indenyl ring with only small changes in affinity. However, the SKR is affected greatly by minor modifications of the structure. The combination of SAR and SKR in the hit-to-lead process resulted in the discovery of a new high-affinity and long-residencetime CCR2 antagonist (compound 15a, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=2.4 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{RT}=714 \mathrm{~min}$ ).


## INTRODUCTION

Chemokines are a class of endogenous pro-inflammatory proteins that act through activation and recruitment of leukocytes and other cell types in a range of inflammatory and noninflammatory conditions. However, inappropriate overexpression of such proteins is implicated in a variety of disease conditions. ${ }^{1}$ Both C-C Chemokine Ligand 2 (CCL2) and its cognate receptor C-C Chemokine Receptor 2 (CCR2) are involved in various autoimmune or inflammation-associated diseases. Blockade of the CCL2-CCR2 axis via either genetic or pharmacologic intervention has proven efficacious in animal models of multiple sclerosis, atherosclerosis, insulin resistance, restenosis, and neuropathic pain. ${ }^{2-4}$

Fuelled by such promising preclinical data, there has been an increasing interest in advancing antagonists of CCR2 into clinical trials. However, till now all small molecules tested have failed in clinical trials because of lack of efficacy, e.g., MK-0812 (orthosteric CCR2 antagonist for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis) ${ }^{5}$ and AZD-2423 (potent, orally bioavailable, non-competitive, negative allosteric modulator of the CCR2 chemokine receptor for treatment of neuropathic pain). ${ }^{-6}$ A humanized anti-CCR2 antibody (MLN-1202) did not show efficacy either in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis. ${ }^{7}$ However, administration of the antibody reduced the numbers of circulating monocytes in peripheral blood. ${ }^{-8}$ Moreover, a study of MNL-1202 in patients with risk factors for atherosclerosis demonstrated that treatment was able to reduce C-reactive protein levels. ${ }^{-9}$ This shows that CCR2 antagonism can have important biological effects in humans.

Apparently, to be efficacious in treatment of CCR2-related diseases, high-affinity antagonism is not enough. Moreover, blockade of CCR2 can cause an increase in endogenous CCL2 levels ${ }^{8}$ which will compete again with the administered drug. To be able to withstand this increasing concentration of endogenous ligand, the drug should be slowly dissociating.

Previously we reported that by using an additional parameter in drug design, the so-called residence time (RT) on the receptor, we generated high-affinity and longer-residence-time CCR2 antagonists based on a (1S,3R)-3-amino-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-
isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide scaffold. ${ }^{10}$ We explored different substituents and ring systems on the 3 -amino group of the scaffold and observed that the longest residence time was found with an indane ring system. Although potent and long-residence-time compounds were identified in that study, we now sought to prolong the RT and define more detailed structure-kinetics relationships for the CCR2 receptor. In the present study we evaluated different amide groups for the 3-((inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropyl-cyclopentane-1-carboxamide scaffold based on their RT and explored a broad chemical space around the indane ring system to define the SAR and SKR for 3-((inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropyl-cyclopentane-1carboxamides as CCR2 antagonists.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Chemistry

The synthesis of N -(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-((2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide $\mathbf{1}$ and (1S,3R)-3-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxylic acid 2 was achieved following the approach reported earlier by our group. ${ }^{10}$ From acid 2 and 1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)piperazine or 7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride via a peptide coupling reaction were generated amides 3 and 4 under bromo-tris-pyrrolidino phosphoniumhexafluorophosphate (PyBroP) conditions. ${ }^{11}$

Scheme 1. ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) 1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)piperazine or 7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride, PyBrOP, DIPEA, DMAP, DCM, room temperature, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 82-90 \%$; b) TFA, DCM, room temperature, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 90-98 \%$; c) for array synthesis - corresponding indanone ( 1.05 equiv), 5-ethyl-2methylpyridine borane (PEMB) (2.1 equiv), AcOH (1.05 equiv), NMP, $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, (compounds $\mathbf{7} ; \mathbf{9 - 1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 - 2 2 )}$ ); d) i) corresponding indanone ( $1,1 \mathrm{eq}$. ), $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr})_{4}$ (3 eq.), THF, 48 h , ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH} 16 \mathrm{~h}$, room temperature, $8-41 \%$ (compounds 8; 14-16 and $\mathbf{3 6 - 4 0}$ ); e) array synthesis - compound $\mathbf{1 5}$, corresponding aryl boronic acid, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, toluene/NMP/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, (compounds 23-35).

Subsequently, a solution of TFA in DCM (1:1) was used to remove the $N$-Boc protecting group which yielded amines 5 and 6 . Compounds 7, 9-13 and 17a-22 were generated from amines 5 and 6 using an array of different indanones with the 5-ethyl-2-methylpyridine borane complex (PEMB) under conditions reported by Burkhardt and Coleridge (Scheme 1). 12 In the case of the $5-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ derivative (compound 17) diastereomers were separated during the purification, however, only the first diastereomer to elute (17a) had a sufficient purity to be tested in bioassays. Compounds 8, 14-16 and $\mathbf{3 6 - 4 0}$ were synthesized from $\mathbf{6}$ in a Ti(Oi-Pr) ${ }_{4}$ promoted reductive amination reaction with different indanones. Compounds 23-35 were synthesized via Suzuki-coupling of compound 15 with the corresponding arylboronic acids.
The synthesis of $3-\mathrm{Me}$; $5-\mathrm{Br}$ indanone 42 was achieved by intramolecular cyclization of commercially available 1-(4-bromophenyl)-4-chlorobutan-1-one 41 following a procedure reported in patent literature (Scheme 2). ${ }^{\underline{13}}$

Scheme 2. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) i) $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, melt at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ii) $4^{`}$-bromo-4-chloro-butyrophenone, $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 91 \%$.

The 3-alkyl; 5-Br; 6-OMe indanones were synthesized as shown in Scheme 3. The reaction of $5-\mathrm{Br} ; 6-\mathrm{OMe}$ indanone 43 with tert-butyldimethylchlorosilane and DBU in benzene gave ((6-bromo-5-methoxy-1H-inden-3-yl)oxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane (44). Deprotonation of 44 with $n$-BuLi and reaction of the lithium salt with methyl iodide or ethyl iodide in THF and subsequent quenching of the reaction mixture with 12 M HCl resulted in 5-bromo-6-methoxy-3-alkyl-indanones $(45,46)$.
Scheme 3. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) TBDMS-CI, DBU, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ room temperature, $99 \%$; b) i)LDA, $1 \mathrm{~h},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \rightarrow-78$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, corresponding alkyliodide; ii) $12 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, 82 \%$.

The racemic (1S)-5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-indanol (47) and racemic (1R)-5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-indanol (48) were prepared via catalytic enantioselective reduction of racemic 5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-indanone (42) using the (R)-methyl-CBSoxazaborolidine and (S)-methyl-CBS-oxazaborolidine catalysts, respectively, with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ diethylaniline borane as reducing agent providing excellent enantioselectivity (Scheme 4). ${ }^{14}$

Scheme 4. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) ( $R$ )-(+)-2-methyl-CBS-oxazaborolidine catalyst, $N, N$, diethylaniline borane, toluene, 3 h , room temperature, $97 \%$. b) (S)-(+)-2-methyl-CBS-oxazaborolidine catalyst, $N, N$, diethylaniline borane, toluene, 3 h , room temperature, $99 \%$.

To prepare for the subsequent coupling, amine 6 was protected as the 2nitrobenzenesulfonamide (49) (Scheme 5). ${ }^{15}$
Scheme 5. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) 2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, room temperature, $98 \%$.
The respective racemic alcohols (47) and (48) when treated with (49) under FukuyamaMitsunobu conditions, resulted in $N$-alkylation to afford the 2-nitrobenzenesulfonamides (50) and (51) (scheme 6).

Scheme 6. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a and b) DEAD, $\mathrm{PPh} 3, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature.

Selective deprotection of the 2-nitrobenzenesulfonamides (50) and (51) with thiophenol and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ gave the desired diastereomers 37a, 37b, 37c and 37d (Scheme 7).

Scheme 7. ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a and b) $\mathrm{PhSH}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$, room temperature.

## Biology

To determine their binding affinity all compounds were tested in a ${ }^{125}$ I-CCL2 radioligand displacement assay on U2OS-CCR2 membrane preparations as described previously by our group. ${ }^{16}$ Compounds with affinities lower than or equal to 100 nM were subsequently screened in a $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 dual point competition association assay on U2OS-CCR2 membrane preparations to determine their kinetic-rate-index (KRI), which served as an indicator for the magnitude of the RT. Compounds with a KRI >1 were finally tested in the full competition association assay to determine the RT, as described previously by our group. ${ }^{10}$

## Structure-Affinity Relationships and Structure-Kinetics Relationships

In the past few years several distinctly different amide groups have been disclosed for the general CCR2 scaffold of 3-amino-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxamides, with many final compounds displaying high and often very similar affinities (Figure 1). ${ }^{17-19}$




$$
\mathrm{I} C_{50}=1 \mathrm{nM}
$$

Figure 1. CCR2 antagonists from Merck and Pfizer based on a 3-amino-1isopropylcyclopentanecarboxamide scaffold with different amide groups. $\frac{\text { 17-19 }}{}$

In the current study we decided to keep the 3-((inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropyl-cyclopentane-1-carboxamide scaffold that was central in our previous report, ${ }^{10}$ and investigated the effect on affinity and RT of three different amide groups (Table 1). When we changed the 3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl group (compound 1) to a 1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2yl)piperazine group (compound 7) the affinity was improved 3-fold, while a rigidification of
the benzyl group into the 7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline group (compound 8) yielded an even higher, 20-fold increase in affinity (compounds 1, 7 and 8; $K_{\mathrm{i}}=$ $50 \mathrm{nM}, 15 \mathrm{nM}$ and 2.2 nM , respectively).

Table 1. Binding Affinities, KRI and Residence Time of compounds 1; $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$


In kinetic tests of these compounds (kinetic rate index (KRI) ${ }^{20}$ and RT) we learned that for longer receptor occupancy smaller and less flexible groups are preferred (KRI $=0.8,0.5$ and 1.0; $\mathrm{RT}=9.1,8.3$ and 21 min , for 1, 7 and 8, respectively).

Encouraged by these results we decided to continue with compound 8 and investigate different substituents on the indenyl group (Table 2).The rigidification of the right-hand side of the structure improved the affinity in general, while the order of substituents on the indenyl group was hardly affected when compared to our previous findings on the 3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)benzylamide derivatives (compound 1). ${ }^{10}$ Substitution on the 4-position decreased the affinity. Introduction of $4-\mathrm{Me}$ (compound 9) led to a 15 -fold decrease. However, more polar groups were better tolerated. The 4- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ substituent (compound 10) led
to a 2 -fold decrease only, while $4-\mathrm{CN}$ and $4-\mathrm{OH}$ groups caused a 7 - and 10 -fold decrease (compounds 11 and 12; $K_{\mathrm{i}}=15$ and 21 nM , respectively).

Table 2. Binding Affinities, KRI and Residence Time of compounds 8-22


Substituents on the 5 position had little effect on affinity. 5-F (compound 13) led to a 2 -fold decrease, but with an increase in the size of the halogen, $5-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $5-\mathrm{Br}$, the affinity was regained (compounds 14 and 15). However, 5-I (compound 16) apparently was too big and led to a 2 -fold decrease. An even bigger decrease was observed with the introduction of a $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group (compound 17a) often considered as a bioisostere of chlorine. However, this substitution pattern resulted in an 8-fold decrease compared to the chloro compound. On the 6 position neither an electron donating group (compound 18), nor a strongly electron withdrawing group (compound 19) was tolerated, while the 6-Cl substituent (compound 20) led to a small decrease in affinity. However, the highest affinity compound $\mathbf{2 1}$ was obtained by double substitution on the 5 and 6 positions with methoxy groups. The corresponding
regioisomer with 4,7-di-OMe (compound 22) displayed a 40-fold decrease in affinity compared to compound 21.

Testing these compounds in the high-throughput dual-point competition association assay showed that the abovementioned rigidification on the right-hand side of the molecule affects RT only for 5-substituted indenyl derivatives, with most of them having KRI values higher than unity. These compounds were tested in a full competition association assay and the highest affinity compound $\mathbf{2 1}$ had a RT of 63 min . Halogen substituents had size-dependent effects on KRI values. When tested for RT, indeed, increasing size correlated with longer residence times except for 5-I $\mathbf{1 6}$ where we observed a decrease in RT, as was the case for its affinity. However, compound 17a (single diastereomer) displayed a more than 6-fold increase in RT compared to the $5-\mathrm{Cl}$ compound (14). This indicates that affinity does not correlate with the residence time in this series of compounds. All other compounds showed KRI values below unity and thus showed a behavior comparable to the benzyl derivatives reported earlier. ${ }^{10}$ Next, we explored the 5 position by incorporating an additional aromatic system. Previously Xue et $a^{21}$ had shown this approach to be successful in a pyrolidine series of CCR2 antagonists. However, for our structures, an added unsubstituted phenyl ring (compound 23) resulted in a dramatic decrease of affinity (Table 3). Adding a 2-Me group (compound 24) yielded a small increase while $3-\mathrm{Me}$ (compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ ) did not improve the affinity compared to unsubstituted 23. Incorporation of a cyano group on the 3 or 4 position (compounds $\mathbf{2 6}$ and 27) resulted in a regain of affinity into the nanomolar range. The same effect was observed with a methoxy group (compounds 29 and 30). However, 2-OMe (compound 28) was detrimental for affinity.

Table 3. Binding Affinities, KRI and Residence Time of compounds 23-30

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N r}$. | R | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \pm \mathrm{SEM}(n=3)$ | $\mathrm{KRI}(n=2)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $28 \%^{a}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $2-\mathrm{Me}$ | $46{ }^{a}{ }^{a}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $3-\mathrm{Me}$ | $24 \%^{a}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $3-\mathrm{CN}$ | $55 \pm 14$ | $0.7(0.7 / 0.7)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $2-\mathrm{CN}$ | $11 \pm 4.7$ | $0.9(0.8 / 1.0)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $3-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $2 \%{ }^{a}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $39 \pm 6$ | $0.8(0.8 / 0.8)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Percent displacement at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}$-CCL2

These findings suggest that the space filling and hydrogen-accepting properties are more important for binding than the electronic properties of the substituents. Possible hydrogen bonding may also play a role when the phenyl ring was exchanged for 3-pyridine (compound 31; $K_{\mathrm{i}}=10 \mathrm{nM}$ ) (Table 4).

Table 4. Binding Affinities, KRI and Residence Time of compounds 31-35
(n)

| 33 | $0.5(0.4 / 0.6)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34 | $10 \pm 4$ | $0.6(0.6 / 0.6)$ |

Incorporation of a 2-OMe group (compound 32) resulted in a decrease of affinity, with an affinity comparable to its phenyl analogue 29. Extending the substituent to an ethoxy group (compound 33) is in accordance with the idea of space filling properties, as it yielded a gain in affinity compared to 32. Changing the location of the nitrogen atom in the pyridine ring to the 4 -position (compound 34 ) improved the affinity by 2 -fold vs 32 . An additional gain in affinity was reached by incorporating a fluorine atom on the 5 position (compound $\mathbf{3 5}$ ). In general, this series of compounds suggests there is enough space in the binding pocket to accommodate another aromatic ring with preferably hydrogen bond accepting properties. However, when these compounds were tested in the dual-point competition association assay none of them showed KRI values above 1.

Another approach to investigate SAR and SKR in more detail was based on the superimposition of structure 8 with the structure of MK-0483, which has been reported as a CCR2 antagonist with a receptor dissociation time ( $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}$ ) of over 9 h (Figure 2). ${ }^{22}$


Figure 2. Superimposition of MK-0483 (yellow) with compound 8 (cyan) using "ICM-Pro 3.7b, Molsoft LLC".

In the superimposition the 3 -position of the indane ring of compound 8 overlaps with the 3 position of the piperidine ring of MK-0483. So we decided to incorporate a methyl group on the 3 position of the indenyl system to yield compound 36 (Table 5).

Table 5. Binding Affinities, KRI and Residence Time of compounds 36-40.


This had a minor effect on the affinity, but caused a significant decrease in KRI value. However, the combination of a $3-\mathrm{Me}$ and $5-\mathrm{Br}$ substituent (compound 37 ) yielded an increase in RT while affinity remained unchanged. This is an indication that the 3-Me group per se is not in direct contact with the receptor binding site. However, it could play an important role in shielding of a sub-pocket in combination with $5-\mathrm{Br}$; a similar idea was put forward by Schmidtke et al..$^{23}$ in calculations on hydrogen bond shielding. Intrigued by our findings, we decided to combine the substituents of the long RT compound $15(5-\mathrm{Br})$ and highest affinity compound 21 (6-OMe) in one structure 38. This combination prolonged the RT, but the affinity was decreased by 2 -fold. Our next step was to make a hybrid of compounds 37 and 38 to incorporate $3-\mathrm{Me}$; $5-\mathrm{Br}$; 6-OMe substituents on the indenyl group in one compound 39 . Next to this, we also extended the methyl group into an ethyl group (compound 40). Unfortunately, these changes yielded 5- and 40 -fold decreases in affinity, respectively, however, their RT was not affected when compared to $5-\mathrm{Br}$ (compound 15).

We then decided to separate compound 15 into diastereomers (Table 6) by preparative supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC). Similar to our previous findings ${ }^{10}$ the first compound to elute also showed a higher affinity. However, the difference in affinity between the diastereomers was only 10 -fold in the case of compounds 15 a and 15b. In addition, compound 37 was resynthesized using a different method to yield all four diastereomers, which were separated (37a-d). $R$-diastereomers 37 a and 37 b retained high affinity, while $S$ diastereomers 37c and 37d had only sub-micromolar affinity values ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.7,4.6,199$ and 137 nM , respectively).

Table 6. Binding Affinities, KRI and Residence Time of Separated Diastereomers. (15, 15a, 15b, 37, 37a-d)


In the RT measurements, a distinct difference was observed for the different diastereomers in the case of 7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline compounds on the amide part, quite the opposite of our previous findings for the flexible benzylamide derivatives. ${ }^{10}$ The diastereomers of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ had very different dissociation rate constants while the association rate constants were similar (Table 7).

Table 7. Kinetic data of $\mathbf{1 5} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{1 7} \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{3 7 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}$ compounds

| Nr. | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \pm$ SEM $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ | $k_{\text {on }}\left(\mathrm{nM}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{\text {off }}\left(\mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $R T(\mathrm{~min})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15a | $2.4 \pm 1.2$ | $0.0080 \pm 0.0011$ | $0.0014 \pm 0.0003$ | $714 \pm 153$ |
| 15b | $24 \pm 9$ | $0.0059 \pm 0.001$ | $0.066 \pm 0.017$ | $15 \pm 4$ |
| 17a | $13 \pm 5$ | $0.0032 \pm 0.0004$ | $0.0015 \pm 0.0005$ | $667 \pm 222$ |
| 37a | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $0.0044 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.0017 \pm 0.0006$ | $588 \pm 208$ |
| 37b | $4.6 \pm 0.1$ | $0.0026 \pm 0.0006$ | $0.0048 \pm 0.0008$ | $208 \pm 35$ |

We succeeded in crystalizing compound 15a for single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Based on the crystallographic analysis, the absolute configuration of compound 15a was the $R$ isomer (Figure 3). Apparently, the rigidification stabilizes specific interactions of the $R$-isomer in the binding site of the CCR2, which results in smaller dissociation rate constants. This is also in accordance with 37a and 37b, however, the additional methyl group on the indane ring
should be positioned in the $3 R$-conformation (37a) resulting in an almost 3-fold longer RT than the $3 S$-diastereomer (37b).


Compound 15a
Figure 3. X-ray structure of ((1S,3R)-3-(((R)-5-bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (15a).

## CONCLUSION

We have evaluated the SAR and SKR of 3-((inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropyl-cyclopentane-1carboxamide derivatives as CCR2 antagonists. On the right-hand side of the molecule the 7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline group is optimal to increase residence time. On the left-hand side, the indane ring can accommodate very different substituents, as many compounds maintain nanomolar affinity. However, lipophilic and electron-withdrawing substituents (e.g. $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) on the 5 -position of the indane ring are crucial for long residence time. Moreover, compound 17a ( $5-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) having a modest (compared to other compounds in the series) affinity of 13 nM and a long residence time ( $\mathrm{RT}=667 \mathrm{~min}$ ) is a good example that affinity and residence time do not necessarily. It also stresses the importance of additional screening for residence time in the early stages of drug discovery. In addition, small
changes of the structure can have a big impact on residence time, e.g., the incorporation of a $5-\mathrm{Br}$ (15a) substituent yielded a more than 30 -fold increase in residence time ( 714 min ). From this perspective compound 15a should be evaluated in CCR2-related disease animal models to assess the usefulness of prolonged inhibition of CCR2. In general, this work provides methodology to retrieve the kinetic parameters from the vast number of high affinity compounds in the early stages of the drug discovery, which could help to provide better drug candidates for the later stages of drug development.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Chemistry

All solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and were of analytical grade. Demineralized water is simply referred to as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, because it was used in all cases, unless stated otherwise (i.e., brine). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV 400 liquid spectrometer ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, 400 MHz ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, 100 MHz ) or on a Bruker 500 MHz Avance III NMR spectrometer (compounds $\mathbf{1 5 a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{3 7 a} \mathbf{- d}$ ) at ambient temperature. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm), are designated by $\delta$, and are downfield to the internal standard tetramethylsilane (TMS). Coupling constants are reported in hertz and are designated as J. Analytical purity of the final compounds was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a Phenomenex Gemini $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ C18 110A column ( $50 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), measuring UV absorbance at 254 nm . The sample preparation and HPLC method for compounds 8; 14-16 and $\mathbf{3 6 - 4 0}$ were as follows: $0.3-0.8 \mathrm{mg}$ of compound was dissolved in 1 mL of a 1:1:1 mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / t-\mathrm{BuOH}$ and eluted from the column within 15 min at a flow rate of $1.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The elution method was set up as follows: $1-4 \mathrm{~min}$ isocratic system of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / 1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80: 10: 10$, from the 4th min, a gradient was applied from 80:10:10 to 0:90:10 within 9 min , followed by 1 min of equilibration at 0:90:10 and 1 min at 80:10:10. All compounds showed a single peak at the designated retention time and are at least $95 \%$ pure. Enantiomeric excess was accomplished using chiral SFC. For 47 and 48 the column was Chiralpak AD-H $\left(250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}\right.$ ), $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The mobile phase condition of $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ with 20 mM NH 3 and $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was applied at a flow rate of $3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ at 254 nm . For 37 a and 37 b the column was Phenomenex Lux-4 $(250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}), 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The mobile phase condition of $20 \% i$-propanol (IPA) with $1.0 \%$ DEA and $80 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was applied at a flow rate of $3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ at 254 nm . For $\mathbf{3 7 c}$ and $\mathbf{3 7 d}$ the column was Regis RR-Whelko $(250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}), 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The mobile phase condition of $25 \%$ IPA with $1.0 \%$ diethylamine (DEA) and $75 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was applied at a flow rate of $3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ at 254 nm . High-resolution mass spectral analyses (HRMS) were performed on LTQ-Orbitrap FTMS operated in a positive ionization mode with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source, with the following conditions: mobile phase A, $0.1 \%$ formic acid in water; mobile phase $\mathrm{B}, 0.08 \%$ formic acid in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; gradient, $10-80 \% \mathrm{~B}$ in 26 min ; and flow rate, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Preparative HPLC (for compounds 7; 9-13 and 18-35) was performed on a Waters Auto Purification HPLC-ultraviolet (UV) system with a diode array detector using a Luna C18 Phenomenex column ( $75 \times$ $30 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and a linear gradient from 1 to $99 \%$ of mobile phase B was applied. Mobile phase A consisted of 5 mM HCl solution, and mobile phase B consisted of acetonitrile. The flow rate was 50 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analyses were performed using an Onyx C18 monolithic column ( $50 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and a linear gradient from 1 to $99 \%$ mobile phase B was
applied. Mobile phase A consisted of $0.05 \%$ TFA in water, and mobile phase B consisted of $0.035 \%$ TFA in acetonitrile. The flow rate was $1.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Separations of enantiomers were accomplished using chiral SFC. The column was Phenomenex Lux-4 $(250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. The mobile phase condition of $10 \%$ MeOH with $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ and $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was applied at a flow rate of $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Optical rotations were measured in ethanol at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Perkin-Elmer polarimeter (Wavelength $=589 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). The single crystal Xray diffraction studies were carried out on a Bruker Kappa APEX-II CCD diffractometer equipped with Mo $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA \AA$ ). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was routinely consulted to monitor the progress of reactions, using aluminum-coated Merck silica gel $\mathrm{F}^{254}$ plates. Purification by column chromatography was achieved by use of Grace Davison Davisil silica column material (LC60A, 30-200 $\mu \mathrm{m})$. The procedure for a series of similar compounds is given as a general procedure for all within that series, annotated by the numbers of the compounds.
Synthesis of (1S,3R)-3-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxylic acid (2) was achieved following the synthetic approach reported earlier by our group. ${ }^{10}$
General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 3 and 4.
Compound $\mathbf{2}$ (1 equiv) was dissolved in 25 ml DCM. To this mixture the corresponding amine (1 equiv) was added and subsequentaly DiPEA (3 equiv), PyBrOP ( 1 equiv) and DMAP ( 0.8 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature. The product was partitioned between DCM and 1 M citric acid solution in water and then with $\mathrm{DCM} / 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The product was purified by column chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ ethyl acetate in DCM).
tert-Butyl
((1R,3S)-3-isopropyl-3-(4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)piperazine-1-
carbonyl)cyclopentyl) carbamate (3). ${ }^{18}$ Yield $=90 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.77-6.72 (m, 2H), 4.94 (br s, 1H), 3.82 (br s, 1H), 3.70-3.61 (m, 4H), 3.59-3.45 (m, 4H), 2.11-1.90 (m, 3 H ), 1.80-1.61 (m, 4H), 1.31 (s, 9H), 0.81-0.72 (m, 6H).
tert-Butyl ((1R,3S)-3-isopropyl-3-(7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2carbonyl) cyclopentyl) carbamate (4). ${ }^{24}$ Yield $=82 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.88-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
((1S,3R)-3-Amino-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)methanone
(5). ${ }^{18}$ Trifluoroacetic acid ( 4 mL ) was added to a solution of compound $\mathbf{3}(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 2.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of DCM. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was neutralized with 1 M NaOH and extracted with DCM . The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated to give the product as yellow crystals ( $0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.20$ ( d , $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.90$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.83-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
((1S,3R)-3-Amino-1-isopropy/cyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (6). ${ }^{24}$ Trifluoroacetic acid ( 10 mL ) was added to a solution of compound $4(3.27 \mathrm{~g}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of DCM. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was neutralized with 1 M NaOH and extracted with DCM . The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated to give the product as yellow crystals $(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.40$ ( d , $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91$ (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 7, 9-13 and 18-23.
To a series of 1.5 mL glass tubes was added amine 5 or $\mathbf{6}$ in NMP ( $0.95 \mathrm{M}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by solutions of different indanones ( $0.5 \mathrm{M}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in NMP, and these mixtures were subsequently treated with acetic acid ( 0.1 mmol ), followed by 5 -ethyl-2-methyl-pyridine borane (PEMB) ( 0.2 mmol ). The reaction mixture was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a reaction block for 24 h . The reaction mixtures were purified directly using an automated mass-guided reverse-phase HPLC, and product containing fractions
were concentrated to give final products of $>90 \%$ purity as judged by LC-MS (average of 220 and 254 nm traces).
((1S,3R)-3-((2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropy/cyclopentyl)(4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2$y /)$ piperazin-1-yl)methanone (7). Purity (Average of 220 and 254 nm ) $=95.4$, LC-MS $=501^{+}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=1.75 \mathrm{~min}$.

Table 8. Purity, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$and Retention Times of Compounds 9-13, 18-22.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nr. | R | \% Purity (Average of 220 and 254 nm ) | Mol wt | $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ | Retention time (min) |
| 9 | 4-Me | 97.3 | 484.3 | 485.4 | 1.70 |
| 10 | 4-NH2 | 99.3 | 485.3 | 486.4 | 1.39 |
| 11 | 4-CN | 97.4 | 495.3 | 496.3 | 1.57 |
| 12 | $4-\mathrm{OH}$ | 92.5 | 486.3 | 487.3 | 1.56 |
| 13 | 5-F | 92.5 | 488.3 | 489.4 | 1.67 |
| 18 | 6-Me | 97.9 | 484.3 | 485.2 | 1.72 |
| 19 | 6-CN | 95.1 | 495.3 | 496.3 | 1.62 |
| 20 | 6-Cl | 98.9 | 504.2 | 505.3 | 1.71 |
| 21 | 5;6-di OMe | 96.1 | 530.3 | 531.3 | 1.58 |
| 22 | 4;7-di OMe | 92.3 | 530.3 | 531.3 | 1.74 |

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 8, 14-16 and 36-40.
In a 5 mL glass tube, amine 6 ( 1 equiv) dissolved in 1 mL of dry THF, and the corresponding indanone ( 1.2 equiv) dissolved in 1 mL of dry THF were loaded. Mixture was flushed with nitrogen gas and the tube was capped. Through the septa Ti(O-iPr) (3 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. Then the tube was decapped and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 5 equiv) and 0.5 mL of absolute EtOH were added, and stirred for 16 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and resulting inorganic precipitate was filtered off and washed with DCM. The filtrate was extracted with DCM/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The product was purified by column chromatography ( $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in DCM).
((1S,3R)-3-((2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-
dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (8). Yield $=34 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.57-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.91-4.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-2.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-$ $1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS: $471^{+} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}: 9.79 \mathrm{~min}$.
((1S,3R)-3-((5-chloro-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (14). Yield $=32 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.53-7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.90-4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.02-2.84 (m, 3H), 2.82-2.69 (m, 1H), 2.62-2.34 (m, 2H), 2.20-1.54 (m, 7H), 1.38 (br.s, 1H), 0.98-0.76 (m, 6H). LC-MS: $505^{+} ; t_{R}: 9.82 \mathrm{~min}$.
((1S,3R)-3-((5-bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (15). Yield $=14 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ : 7.81-7.04 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-0.73(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: 549^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}$ : 10.19 min .
((1S,3R)-3-(((R)-5-bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (15a). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 9.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70$ (br s, 1H), 7.61 (br s, 2H), $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-$ $4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 0.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left._{6}\right) \delta: 174.6,148.3,148.3,137.7,135.3,129.9,129.5,128.5\left(d, J_{C-F}=11.65\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 128.4,128.1,126.7\left(q, J_{C-F}=222.65 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.9,123.7,123.2,60.9,60.9,57.3,57.2,56.2,35.3,32.9$, 30.1, 29.9, 28.8, 28.8, 28.2, 18.3, 18.3.

HRMS calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 549.1723$, found 549.1708.
SFC chiral purity: $100 \%$ ee.
15a $=[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}=-5.1(c=0.65, \mathrm{EtOH})$.
((1S,3R)-3-(((S)-5-bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (15b). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 9.61$ (br s, 1H), 7.70 (br s, 1H), 7.66 (br s, 2H), $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-$ $4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 0.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta:$ ppm 174.5, 148.3, 148.3, 137.7, 137.7, 130.3, 129.9, 128.5 (d, J $J_{C-F}=$ 11.65 Hz ), 128.4, 128.4, 126.7 ( $q, J_{C-F}=222.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 123.9, 123.6, 123.3, 60.9, 60.9, 57.3, 57.2, 56.2, 35.4, 32.9, 30.1, 29.9, 28.8, 28.8, 28.2, 18.3, 18.3.

HRMS calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 549.1723$, found 549.1718.
SFC chiral purity: 97.4 \% ee.
15b $=[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-0.9(c=0.68, \mathrm{EtOH})$
((1S,3R)-3-((5-iodo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-
dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (16). Yield $=28 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.48$7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}^{a}\right), 6.99\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}^{b}\right), 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}^{a}\right) ; 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}^{b}$ ). $a$ and $b$ are indicated for different diastereomers. LC-MS: $597^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 10.06 \mathrm{~min}$.
((1S,3R)-1-isopropyl-3-((3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)cyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-
dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (36). Yield $=41 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.58-7.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $7 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.9,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.8,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.11-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS: $485^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 10.08 \mathrm{~min}$.
((1S,3R)-3-((5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-
(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (37). Yield $=8 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta: 7.52-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Ha}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Hb}), 4.90-4.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.03-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: 563^{+}, 565^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}$ : 10.00 min .
((1S,3R)-3-((5-bromo-6-methoxy-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-
(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (38). Yield $=27 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta: 7.54-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}^{a}\right) ; 6.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}^{b}\right), 4.90-4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.66(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-$ $1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}^{a}\right) ; 0.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}^{b}\right) . a$ and $b$ are indicated for different diastereomers. LC-MS: $579^{+}, 581^{+} ; t_{R}: 10.06 \mathrm{~min}$.
((1S,3R)-3-((5-bromo-6-methoxy-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (39). Yield $=20 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta: 7.54-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}^{a}\right), 6.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}^{b}\right), 4.95-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.03$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-$ $2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-0.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) . a$ and $b$ are indicated for different diastereomers. LC $-\mathrm{MS}: 593^{+}, 595^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 9.35 \mathrm{~min}$.
((1S,3R)-3-((5-bromo-3-ethyl-6-methoxy-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-
(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (40). Yield $=17 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.67-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01-4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70$ (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.09-3.71 (m, 6H), 3.19-2.64 (m, 4H), 2.51-2.14 (m, 4H), 2.13-1.70 (m, 5H), 1.56$1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-0.77(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: 607^{+}, 609^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 9.60 \mathrm{~min}$.
((1S,3R)-1-isopropyl-3-((5-(trifluoromethyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)cyclopentyl)(7-
(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (17a). In a 50 mL round-bottom flask, to a solution of 1 equivalent of amine 6 in anhydrous methanol was added 1 equivalent of 5-(trifluoromethyl)-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -inden-1-one which was subsequently treated with 2 equivalents of acetic acid, followed by 4 equivalents of 5-ethyl-2-methyl-pyridine borane (PEMB). The reaction mixture was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Reaction mixture was monitored by reverse phase UPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 0.53$ ) and was carefully quenched with concentrated HCl , then water was added to the reaction mixture and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. Reraction mixture was purified by flash chromatography ( $0-20 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to afford product 17 (mixture of diastereomers). Further purification by reverse-phase HPLC using a gradient from 1 to $99 \%$ mobile phase $B$ (mobile phase $A=0.1 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in water, mobile phase $\mathrm{B}=0.1 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) resulted in the separation of the two diastereomers $\mathbf{1 7} \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{1 7} \mathbf{b}$ as HCl salt. Yield: $\mathbf{1 7 a}=19.5$ $\%, 96 \%$ purity,UPLC-MS: $539^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 1.45 \mathrm{~min}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 b}=8.0 \%, 83 \%$ purity, UPLC-MS: $539^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 1.48 \mathrm{~min} .17 \mathrm{a}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{q}, J=7.8,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{ddt}, J=19.3,11.4,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.64-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.84$ (dd, $J=20.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).
General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 23-35.
To a series of 1.5 mL glass tubes was added 15 in toluene ( 0.1 mmol ) followed by solutions of different aryl boronic acids ( $0.5 \mathrm{M}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in NMP and these mixtures were subsequently treated with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ in toluene ( $0.05 \mathrm{eq}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixtures $(0.15 \mathrm{M})$ were capped and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a reaction block overnight. The reaction mixtures were purified directly using an automated mass-guided reverse phase-HPLC, and product containing fractions were concentrated to give final products $>90 \%$ purity as judged by LC-MS (average of 220 nm and 254 nm traces).

Table 9. Purity, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$and retention times of compounds 23-30.


Table 10. Purity, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$and retention times of compounds 31-35.
(32

| 34 |  | 577.3 | 578.3 | 1.66 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35 | 96.8 | 595.3 | 596.3 | 1.79 |

(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-(((1S,3R)-5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxamide (37a) and (1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-(((1S,3S)-5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxamide (37b). In a 20 mL scintillation vial, to a solution of $50(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 10 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 4.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzenethiol ( $274.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The reaction was monitored by reverse phase UPLC ( $t_{R}: 0.73$ and 0.74 ). To the reaction mixture was added water ( 20 mL ) followed by extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with water, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The brown residue was purified by flash chromatography $\left(0-10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to afford $37 \mathrm{a}(0.10 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathbf{3 7 b}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}$ ) with $80 \%$ diastereomeric excess purity by SFC analysis. Further purification was carried out by reverse-phase preparatory HPLC-UV with a gradient from 1 to $99 \%$ mobile phase B (mobile phase $A=0.1 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in water, mobile phase $\mathrm{B}=0.1 \% \mathrm{HCl}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) resulting in pure 37 a HCl salt and 37 b HCl salt as a white solid. Yield: $37 \mathrm{a}=14.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \%$, UPLC-MS: $563^{+}, 565^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 2.45 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) : $9.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.16 ( $\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=14.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 175.3,150.9,144.6,139.3,135.0,130.3,129.9,128.6\left(d, J_{C-F}=11.65\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 126.9,126.4\left(q, J_{C-F}=222.65 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 126.9,123.6,123.3,120.1,60.0,55.7,57.7,47.8,42.8,38.5,37.2$, 36.6, 32.9, 29.9, 28.8, 28.8, 19.9, 18.3, 18.3

ROESY (NOE) (500 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 7.50 [1.33 (H-30)], 4.69 [2.76 (H-6), 1.68 (H-6 weak), 2.17 (H12), 3.16 ( $\mathrm{H}-7$ )].

HRMS calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$563.1879, found 563.1883.
SFC chiral purity: 99.1\% ee.
$37 \mathrm{a}=[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+12.2(c=0.43, \mathrm{EtOH})$
$37 \mathrm{~b}=17.3 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \%$, UPLC-MS: $563^{+}, 565^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 2.49 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 9.06(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.66 (bs, 1 H ), $7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91$ (m, 2 H), 2.50-1.98 (m, 2 H), 2.39-2.24 (m, 2 H), 2.17-1.59 (m, 2 H), $2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 175.3,150.9,144.6,139.3,135.0,130.3,129.9,128.6\left(d, J_{C-F}=11.65\right.$ Hz ), 126.9, 126.9, 126.4 ( $q, J_{C-F}=222.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 123.6, 123.3, 120.1, 59.89, 57.7, 55.7, 47.8, 42.8, 38.0, 37.4, 36.6, 32.9, 29.9, 28.8, 28.8, 19.9, 18.3, 18.3

ROESY (NOE) ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $_{6}$ ) $\delta:$ ppm 7.50 [3.52 (H-7)], 1.25 (H-30 weak)], 4.69 [2.50 (H-6), 1.98 (H6 weak)].
HRMS calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 563.1879$, found 563.1883.
SFC chiral purity: 95.2\% ee.
$37 \mathbf{b}=[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+13.9(c=0.50, \mathrm{EtOH})$
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-(((1R,3R)-5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxamide (37c) and (1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-(((1R,3S)-5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxamide (37d). In a 20 mL scintillation vial, to a solution of $51(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 10 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 4.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzenethiol $(274.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.67 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The reaction was monitored by reverse phase UPLC ( $t_{R}: 0.76$ ). To the reaction mixture was added water ( 20 mL ) followed by extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with water, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The brown residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{3 7 c}$ ( 50 mg ) and 37d ( 90 mg ) both with $50 \%$ diastereomeric excess purity. Further purification was carried out by reverse-phase preparatory HPLC-UV with a gradient from 1 to $99 \%$ mobile phase B (mobile phase $\mathrm{A}=$ $0.1 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in water, mobile phase $\mathrm{B}=0.1 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) resulting in 37 c HCl salt and $\mathbf{3 7 d} \mathrm{HCl}$ salt as a white solid, both again with $70 \%$ diastereomeric excess purity. Therefore further purification was carried out on a Gilson purification instrument with a normal phase silica column and a diode array detector using a Luna Phenomenex column ( $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and a linear gradient from 1 to $10 \%$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ of mobile phase was applied. Mobile phase $A$ consisted of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and mobile phase $B$ consisted of $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Yield: $37 \mathrm{c}=13.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \%$, UPLC-MS: $563^{+}, 565^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 2.52 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta: 7.66(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38$ (m, 1 H$), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), 2.57-1.20 (m, 2 H), 2.39-2.24 (m, 2 H), 2.17-1.59 (m, 2 H), 2.12 (m, 1H), $1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.75$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 175.3,150.9,144.6,139.3,135.0,130.3,129.9,128.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=11.65 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 126.9, 126.4 ( $q, J_{C-F}=222.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 123.6, 123.4, 123.3 120.1, 60.0, 58.4, 57.7, 55.7, 47.8, 44.8, 42.8, 36.6, 32.9, 29.9, 28.8, 28.8, 19.9, 18.3, 18.3

HRMS calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 563.1879$, found 563.1883.
SFC chiral purity: 95.0\% ee.
$37 \mathrm{c}=[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+1.9(c=1.9, \mathrm{EtOH})$.
37d $=12.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \%$, UPLC-MS: $563^{+}, 565^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 2.52 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 9.06(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.66 (bs, 1 H$), 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.91 (m, 2 H), 2.76-1.68 (m, 2 H ), 2.39-2.24 (m, 2 H$), 2.17-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 175.3,150.9,144.6,139.3,135.0,130.3,129.9,128.6\left(d, J_{C-F}=11.65 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $126.9,126.9,126.4\left(q, J_{C-F}=222.65 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.6,123.3120 .1,60.0,57.7,55.7,47.8,42.8,38.5,37.2,36.6$, 32.9, 29.9, 28.8, 28.8, 20.9, 18.3, 18.3

HRMS calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 563.1879$, found 563.1857.
SFC chiral purity: $95.3 \%$ ee.
$37 d=[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}=-0.9(c=0.9, E t O H)$.
5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (42). ${ }^{13}$ In a 50 mL round-bottom flask $\mathrm{NaCl}(3.7 \mathrm{~g}, 63.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(15.0 \mathrm{~g}, 115 \mathrm{mmol})$ were loaded and heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until completely melted. Next, $4^{`}-$ bromo-4-chloro-butyrophenone ( 41 ) ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 11.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was slowly poured on an ice $/ 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}$ mixture - an exothermic reaction was observed. The reaction mixture was extracted with DCM, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the organic solvent was evaporated to yield 2.35 g (yield $91 \%$, with $90 \%$ purity) of light brown crystals which were used in the next step without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{ABX}, J=$ $\left.19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}^{a}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}^{b}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.30\left(\mathrm{ABX}, J=18.8 \mathrm{~Hz}^{a}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}^{b}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
((6-bromo-5-methoxy-1H-inden-3-yl)oxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane (44). In a 25 mL round-bottom flask 5-bromo-6-methoxy-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (43) ( $0.96 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 8 mL of toluene and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TBDMS-Cl solution in toluene ( $1.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by dropwise addition of DBU ( $1.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and continued to be stirred at room temperature for 7 days. The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated. Yield $=1.40 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%)$, which was used in the next step without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96$ (s, 3H), 3.22 (d, J = $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.05 (s, 9H), 0.29 (s, 6H).
5-bromo-6-methoxy-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (45). In a 20 mL reaction tube a 2 M solution of LDA ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/heptane/ethylbenzene was mixed with 5 mL of dry THF. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and compound $44(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ (dissolved in 1 mL of dry THF) was added. The reaction mixture was warmed to $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 1 h and then cooled back to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methyl iodide ( $0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 2 h and left stirring overnight. $37 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in water ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and this mixture was stirred for 3 h . The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The product was purified by column chromatography with DCM as eluent. Yield $=0.21$ $\mathrm{g}(82 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85$ (ABX, $\left.J=18.8 \mathrm{~Hz}^{a}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}^{b}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.18\left(\mathrm{ABX}, J=19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}^{a}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}^{b}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
5-bromo-6-methoxy-3-ethyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (46). In a 20 mL reaction tube a 2 M solution of LDA ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 5 mL of dry THF. The reaction mixture was cooled down to -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and compound 44 ( 0.35 g , 1 mmol ) (dissolved in 1 mL of dry THF) was added. The reaction mixture was warmed to $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 1 h and then cooled back to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ethyl iodide ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature during 2 h and left stirring overnight. $37 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in water ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred for 3 h . The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The product was purified by column chromatography with DCM as eluent. Yield $=0.24 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81\left(\mathrm{ABX}, J=19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}^{a}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}^{b}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.32\left(\mathrm{ABX}, J=19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}^{a}, J=\right.$ $\left.3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}^{b}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.95-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
(1S)-5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-ol (47). In a 50 mL round bottom flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, ( $R$ )-(+)-2-methyl-CBS-oxazaborolidine catalyst ( $73.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene) in anhydrous toluene ( 5 mL ) was added to $N, N$-diethylaniline borane ( $1.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. To this solution $42(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise over

5 h , and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Reaction was monitored by reverse phase UPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 0.59$ ). The reaction mixture was carefully quenched with methanol ( 5 mL ) and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The white residue was purified by flash chromatography (0-30 \% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the white crystalline solid 47 as a racemic mixture of diastereomers in a ratio $3: 5$. Yield $=0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol}(97 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.41-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~h}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.7,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.6,7.4,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.98(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.4,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.7,8.9,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ with $20 \mathrm{nM} \mathrm{NH} 3,90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254$ nm , ee $=95.8 \%$. UPLC-MS: $209^{+}, 211^{+}$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 1.91 \mathrm{~min}$.
(1R)-5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-ol (48). In a 50 mL round bottom flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, (S)-(+)-2-methyl-CBS-oxazaborolidine catalyst ( $73.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene) in anhydrous toluene (5 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added to $N, N$-diethylaniline borane ( $1.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. To this solution 42 ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise over 5 h , and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction was monitored by reverse phase UPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 0.58$ ). The reaction mixture was carefully quenched with methanol ( 5 mL ) and $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(1$ mL ) and then extracted with ethyl acetate ( $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The white residue was purified by flash chromatography (0-30 \% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the white crystalline solid 48 as a racemic mixture in a ratio (3:5). Yield $=0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}(99 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.37$ (dddt, J=8.4, 5.6, 2.8, 1.2 Hz , $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-$ $2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.7,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.6,7.4,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.4,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 1.49 (ddd, $J=12.7,8.9,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ with $20 \mathrm{nM} \mathrm{NH} 3,90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}$, ee $=96.2 \%$. UPLC-MS: 209 ${ }^{+}$, $211^{+}$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 1.92,1.95 \mathrm{~min}$.
N-((1R,3S)-3-isopropyl-3-(7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2-carbonyl)cyclopentyl)-2nitrobenzenesulfonamide (49). In a 50 mL round bottom flask, to a solution of amine 6 ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.82$ mmol ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $0.75 \mathrm{mg}, 3.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by DIPEA ( $1.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred at room temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 1 h . The reaction was monitored by reverse phase UPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 0.77$ ). The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo to obtained the crude product (yellow oil). The product was purified by flash chromatography (40-80 \% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the light yellow foamy solid 49 . Yield $=1.50 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ס: 8.16-8.09 (m, 1H), 7.82-7.75 (m, 1H), 7.75-7.64 (m, 2H), 7.48-7.40 (m, 1H), 7.36 (s, 1H), 7.27 $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{dq}, J=$ $8.7,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{tt}, J=16.6,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14$ (pent., $J=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.76$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). UPLC-MS: $540^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 2.85 \mathrm{~min}$.
$N$-((1R)-5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)-N-((1R,3S)-3-isopropyl-3-(7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2-carbonyl)cyclopentyl)-2-nitrobenzenesulfonamide (50). In a 100 mL round-bottom flask, to a cooled solution ( $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, acetone/dry ice bath) of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 4.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) was added DEAD ( $0.82 \mathrm{~g}, 4.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred for 30 min , followed by addition of 47 ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The pink colored reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 30 min maintaining the temperature at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this solution, 49 ( $0.63 \mathrm{~g}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to warm up to room temperature overnight. The
reaction was monitored by reverse phase $\operatorname{UPLC}\left(t_{R}: 0.93\right)$. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo to obtained a pink-colored residue. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (0-10 $\% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to afford the light pink foamy solid 50 ( purity $80 \%$ ). Yield $=1.00 \mathrm{~g}(<100 \%)$. UPLC-MS: $540^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 3.53 \mathrm{~min}$. Without further purification, $\mathbf{5 0}$ was taken forward in the following deprotection step.
$N$-((1S)-5-bromo-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)-N-((1R,3S)-3-isopropyl-3-(7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2-carbonyl)cyclopentyl)-2-nitrobenzenesulfonamide (51). In a 100 mL round-bottom flask, to a cooled solution ( $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, acetone/ dry ice bath) of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 4.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) was added DEAD ( $0.82 \mathrm{~g}, 4.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred for 30 min , followed by addition of 48 ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol})$. The pink colored reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 30 min maintaining the temperature at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this solution, 49 ( $0.63 \mathrm{~g}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to warm up to room temperature overnight. The reaction was monitored by reverse phase UPLC ( $t_{R}: 0.93$ ). The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo to obtained a pink color residue. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (0-10 \% $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to afford the light pink foamy solid 55 ( purity 80\%). Yield $=1.00 \mathrm{~g}$ (<100\%). UPLC-MS: $540^{+} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 3.53 \mathrm{~min}$. Without further purification, 51 was taken forward in the following deprotection step.

## X_Ray Data

## Experimental Summary for (15a)

The single crystal X-ray diffraction studies were carried out on a Bruker Kappa APEX-II CCD diffractometer equipped with Mo $K_{\alpha}$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA \AA$ ). A $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ colorless block was mounted on a Cryoloop with Paratone oil. Data were collected in a nitrogen gas stream at 100(2) K using $\phi$ and $\omega$ scans. Crystal-to-detector distance was 40 mm and exposure time was 5 seconds per frame using a scan width of $0.5^{\circ}$. Data collection was $98.6 \%$ complete to $25.00^{\circ}$ in $\vartheta$. A total of 6816 reflections were collected covering the indices, $-7<=h<=4,-12<=k<=19,-30<=1<=25.4615$ reflections were found to be symmetry independent, with a $R_{\text {int }}$ of 0.0235 . Indexing and unit cell refinement indicated a primitive, orthorhombic lattice. The space group was found to be $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. The data were integrated using the Bruker SAINT software program and scaled using the SADABS software program. Solution by direct methods (SHELXS) produced a complete phasing model consistent with the proposed structure. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by full-matrix least-squares (SHELXL-97). All hydrogen atoms were placed using a riding model. Their positions were constrained relative to their parent atom using the appropriate HFIX command in SHELXL-97.

## Biology

Chemicals and reagents. ${ }^{125} \mathrm{I}$-CCL2 ( $2200 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purchased from Perkin-Elmer (Waltham, MA). INCB3344 was synthesized as described previously. $\underline{25}, \underline{26}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 (specific activity $32 \mathrm{Ci} \mathrm{mmol}{ }^{-1}$ ) was custom-labeled by Vitrax (Placentia, CA) for which a dehydrogenated precursor of INCB3344 was provided. Tango ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ CCR2-bla U2OS cells stably expressing human CCR2 were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA).
Cell culture and membrane preparation. U2OS cells stably expressing the human CCR2 receptor (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) were cultured in McCoys5a medium supplemented with 10\% fetal calf serum, 2 mM glutamine, 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids (NEAA), 25 mM HEPES, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100
$\mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{G418,50} 5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ hygromycin and $125 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ zeocin in a humidified atmosphere at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cell culture and membrane preparation were performed as described previously. ${ }^{16}$
${ }^{125}$ I-CCL2 displacement assay. Binding assays were performed as described previously. ${ }^{16}$
${ }^{3}$ H ]INCB3344 dual point competition association assay. Kinetic rate index (KRI) values of unlabeled ligands were determined using the dual-point competition association assay as described previously. 10
${ }^{3} H$ HINCB3344 competition association assay. The kinetic parameters of unlabeled ligands were determined using the competition association assay described earlier by our group. ${ }^{10}$
Data Analysis. All experiments were analyzed using the nonlinear regression curve fitting program Prism 5 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). For radioligand displacement data $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values were calculated from $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values using the Cheng and Prusoff equation. ${ }^{27}$

## Abbreviations

Boc, tert-butyloxycarbonyl; CCL2, chemokine ligand 2; CCR2, chemokine receptor 2; CHAPS, 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate; DBU, 1,8-diazabicycloundec-7-ene; DEA, diethylamine; DEAD, Diethyl azodicarboxylate; DCM, dichloromethane; DiPEA, N,Ndiisopropylethylamine; DMAP, N,N-dimethylaminopyridine; DMF, dimethylformamide; DPM, disintegrations per minute; ESI, electrospray ionisation; FTMS, fourier transform mass spectrometer; HEPES, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid; hERG, human Ether-à-go-go-Related Gene; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; HRMS, high resolution mass spectral analyses; IPA, isopropanol; KRI, kinetic rate index; LDA, lithium diisopropylamide; LC-MS, liquid chromatography - mass spectrometer; NEAA, non-essential amino acids; NMP, N-methylpyrrolidone; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; PEI, polyethylenimine; PEMB, 5-ethyl-2-methylpyridine borane; PyBrOP, bromo-trispyrrolidino phosphoniumhexafluorophosphate; RT, residence time; SAR, structure-affinity relationships; SFC, supercritical fluid chromatography; SKR, structure-kinetic relationships; TBDMS-CI, tertbutyldimethylsilyl chloride; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; THF, tetrahydrofuran; TLC, thin layer chromatography; TMS, tetramethylsilane; Tris, tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane; U2OS, human bone osteosarcoma cells; UPLC, ultra performance liquid chromatography; UV, ultraviolet.
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#### Abstract

Preclinical animal models suggest that the CCL2/CCR2 axis plays an important role in the development and maintenance of inflammatory disease states (e.g., multiple sclerosis, atherosclerosis, asthma, diabetes, and neuropathic pain), which could be treated through inhibition of the CCR2 receptor. However, until now all high-affinity CCR2 antagonists that were advanced into clinical trials have failed due to the lack of efficacy. We have previously described a new approach for the design of CCR2 antagonists by the use of structure-kinetics relationships (SKR). Here we report new findings on the SAR and SKR of the reference compound MK-0483, its diastereomers, and structural analogues of it as CCR2 antagonists. On the "right-hand" side of the molecules the 7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline group generally yields better affinity and longer drug-target residence time (RT). On the "left-hand" side SAR of the phenyl ring suggests that lipophilic hydrogen bond accepting substituents on the 3 -position are favourable. However, SKR suggests that a lipophilic group with a certain size is desired (e.g. 3-Br, 3-i-Pr), as present in compounds $\mathbf{2 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 2}$ ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=2.8$ and $3.6 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{RT}=243$ and 266 min , respectively). Alternatively a shielded hydrogen bond can also prolong the residence time; this was most prominently observed in MK-0483 ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.2$ $\mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{RT}=724 \mathrm{~min})$ and its close analogue $\mathbf{2 6}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=7.8 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ with a short residence time.


## INTRODUCTION

Chemotactic cytokines (chemokines) play a vital role in the activation and regulation of leukocyte trafficking ${ }^{\frac{1}{1}}$ and are involved in immunomodulation and host-defence mechanisms. ${ }^{2,-3}$ Their chemoattractant activity is mediated through activation of cell-surface seven-transmembrane spanning G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). It seems that most of the chemokines are promiscuous in their actions since they can bind to numerous receptors. ${ }^{4}$ However, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1/CCL2) only binds and activates the CC-chemokine receptor 2 (CCR2) and the axis of CCL2/CCR2 has been suggested to be involved in various autoimmune and inflammation-associated diseases (e.g. multiple sclerosis, atherosclerosis, asthma, diabetes, and neuropathic pain). ${ }^{5-9}$

As a consequence there has been an increasing interest in advancing CCR2 receptor antagonists into clinical studies. However, thus far, high-affinity CCR2 antagonists have failed to show efficacy in phase 2 clinical trials. Recently several reviews ${ }^{10-12}$ have suggested to incorporate an additional parameter coined "drug-target residence time (RT)" in the early drug discovery process. RT is thought to be correlated to drug efficacy, and could serve as an early criterion to diminish the attrition rate in later stages of drug development. We have previously described how the implementation of this additional parameter in the hit-to-lead optimization process helped us to distinguish between different structures for optimization. ${ }^{13}$ Instead of proceeding with the highest affinity hit (1) (Figure 1) having a very short RT (a close analogue of MK-0812, which failed to show efficacy in clinical trials) we continued with a structure having moderate affinity only but with longer RT on the CCR2 receptor.



Compound 2
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=3.6 \mathrm{nM}$
$R T=135 \mathrm{~min}$

$\mathrm{MK}-0483,(3 \mathrm{c})$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=1.2 \mathrm{nM}$
$\mathrm{RT}=724 \mathrm{~min}$

Figure 1. Structures of CCR2 antagonists 1, 2, ${ }^{\underline{13}}$ MK-0812 (Merck's clinical candidate) ${ }^{\underline{14}}$ and MK0483 (Merck's back-up clinical candidate). ${ }^{15}$

In the optimization process we improved both parameters simultaneously yielding a highaffinity and long RT CCR2 antagonist (2). However, the Merck research group has also reported on the discovery of MK-0483 (3c) a potent and orally bioavailable CCR2 and CCR5 dual antagonist, having a very slow dissociation halflife from the CCR2 receptor ( $T_{1 / 2}>9 \mathrm{~h}$ ). . ${ }^{15}$ Struthers and Pasternak ${ }^{\underline{14}}$ described MK-0483 (3c) as the backup compound of the clinical candidate MK-0812, however, after the failure of MK-0812 in the clinical trials there is no information on further advancement of MK-0483 (3c) despite its slow dissociation kinetics.

In this study, we used the knowledge from our previous findings ${ }^{13}$ to evaluate the binding kinetics of MK-0483 (3c), its diastereomers (3a, b, d) and structural analogues that we synthesized (4-27) and to determine the structure-kinetics relationships (SKR) on the CCR2 receptor for this class of compounds. In a step-by-step manner we classified substituents on the 4 position of the piperidine ring to assess their importance in binding kinetics. In addition, we evaluated different amide substituents on the right-hand side of the molecule for the same purpose.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Chemistry

Synthesis of MK-0483 (3c) and its diastereomers (3a, b, d) was achieved following the synthetic approach reported by Pasternak et.al. ${ }^{15}$ For the synthesis of MK-0483 analogues (418) we designed a new synthetic route, which allowed us to incorporate different substituents directly on the cis-cyclopentane isomer in the one before last step of the synthesis (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1)$, reflux, $5 \mathrm{~h},\left(25-72 \%\right.$; b) i) LDA, dry THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii) N -phenyl-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide), $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ room temperature, (21-42\%); c) corresponding arylboronic acid, $\left.\mathrm{LiCl}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}{ }_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3(\text { aq) }}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{DME}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h},(20-99 \%) ; \mathrm{d}\right) \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} 1 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ or THF, (9-70\%); e) ester $\mathbf{1 6}, 4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{LiOH}\left(\right.$ (aq) $, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / E t O H, 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h},(53 \%)$. For designation of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, see Table 2 and 3 .

We started with a sequenced Hoffman elimination and conjugated addition ${ }^{16}$ of $N, N$-ethyl-methyl-4-oxo-piperidinium iodide ${ }^{17}$ (28) to amines 29 and $\mathbf{3 0}$, which were obtained following the synthetic approaches described earlier by our group. ${ }^{18}$ Piperidones 31 and 32 were deprotonated with lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) and subsequently treated with $N$-phenylbis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide) to generate triflates $\mathbf{3 3}$ and $\mathbf{3 4}$. These triflates were used in a Suzuki-coupling with different arylboronic acids, and subsequent hydrogenation of the
formed olefins (17, 35-46) resulted in the final compounds (4-14, 16, 18) with the desired groups on the 4 position of the piperidine ring. Additional saponification of compound $\mathbf{1 6}$ yielded benzoic acid 15. Any attempts to introduce halogen substituents on the aryl group using this synthetic route resulted in dehalogenation products during the hydrogenation step. We argued that the hydrogenation could be more selective if less bulky structures were used. Therefore we decided to reverse the synthetic route and modify the left-hand side of the molecules prior to peptide coupling on the right-hand side (Scheme 2). The synthesis of (1S,3R)-methyl-3-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxylate 47 was achieved following the synthetic approach reported by Kothandaraman et al. ${ }^{19}$ The TFA mediated $N$-Boc deprotection yielded amine 48, which was used in a coupling reaction with the piperidone salt 28. The coupling was performed in several smaller batches in parallel as big scale reactions resulted in poor yields. Subsequently the triflate $\mathbf{5 0}$ was generated form the piperidone 49 under the same conditions as for compounds $\mathbf{3 3}$ and $\mathbf{3 4}$. The triflate $\mathbf{5 0}$ was coupled with corresponding boronic acids and the obtained olefins were hydrogenated using $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$. In this case only traces of the dehalogenated products were observed.

Scheme 2. ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) TFA, DCM, 2 h , room temperature ( $98 \%$ ); b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}(3: 1)$, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~h}(56 \%)$; c) i) LDA, dry THF, $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii) N -phenyl-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide), $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ room temperature ( $67 \%$ ); d) corresponding arylboronic acid, $\left.\mathrm{LiCl}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3(\text { aq) }}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{DME}, 90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{e}\right) \mathrm{PtO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} 2 \mathrm{~atm}$, THF, room temperature, $10-15 \mathrm{~min}$; f) $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{LiOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux, 3 h ; g) 7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride, PyBrOP, DIPEA, DMAP, DCM, room temperature, 48 h , (yield in four steps $=0.8-9 \%$ ). For designation of R, see Table 3.

Next, a saponification of the esters and peptide coupling under bromo-tris-pyrrolidino phosphoniumhexafluorophosphate (PyBroP) conditions yielded final compounds 20, 24, and 25. However, this approach gave poor overall yields and was abandoned for further synthesis. Recently, Allwood et al. ${ }^{20}$ described a new, metal-free reductive coupling of saturated heterocyclic sulfonylhydrazones with boronic acids as an alternative to the tedious step-bystep route depicted in scheme 1. Analogous to the method described by Allwood et al., the tosylhydrazone 52 was obtained in a quantitative yield from piperidone intermediate $\mathbf{3 2}$ and sulfonylhydrazide 51 (Scheme 3). ${ }^{20}$ Subsequently, the reductive coupling of the corresponding arylboronic acids and the tosylhydrazone 52 resulted in the desired products, which were purified by preparative HPLC yielding the final compounds 19, 21 - 23, 27 as TFA salts. The methylester $\mathbf{2 7}$ was saponified to give the carboxylic acid derivative $\mathbf{2 6}$ as a white HCl salt.

Scheme 3. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) MeOH , room temperature, $4 \mathrm{~h}(100 \%)$; b) corresponding boronic acid, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dry 1,4dioxane, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h},(8-23 \%)$; c) ester $27,4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{LiOH}\left(\right.$ (aq) $, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h},(65 \%)$. For designation of R , see Table 3 .

## Biology

To determine their binding affinity all compounds were tested in a ${ }^{125}$ I-CCL2 radioligand displacement assay on U2OS-CCR2 membrane preparations as described previously by our group. ${ }^{\underline{21}}$ Next to all four MK-0483 diastereomers compounds with affinities lower than or
equal to 100 nM were subsequently screened in a $\left[^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ INCB3344 dual point competition association assay on U2OS-CCR2 membrane preparations to determine their kinetic-rateindex (KRI), which served as an indicator for the magnitude of the RT. Compounds with a KRI >1 were finally tested in the full competition association assay to determine the RT, as described previously by our group. ${ }^{18}$

## Structure-Affinity Relationships and Structure-Kinetics Relationships

The 3-piperidinylcyclopentanecarboxamide scaffold has been extensively evaluated by the Merck research group for CCR2 receptor binding. ${ }^{22-24}$ All these efforts resulted in the discovery of MK-0483, which, upon tritiation, served as a radioligand. This [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{MK}-0483$ bound to monocytes and appeared to have very slow receptor dissociation kinetics. ${ }^{15}$ We decided to resynthesize MK-0483 and its diastereomers and to evaluate these four compounds in our various binding assays on the CCR2 receptor to determine the structural components responsible for both the high affinity and the long RT of MK-0483. Following the synthetic approach reported by Pasternak et.al. ${ }^{15}$ we were able to generate the same four diastereomers and assigned them 3a-d according to the sequence of elution form the preparative chiral HPLC, respectively. First, we determined their affinity in a ${ }^{125}$ I-CCL2 competition displacement assay in which the trans-cyclopentane isomers ( $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ ) showed only moderate affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=59$ and 383 nM , respectively) (Table 1). However, the ciscyclopentane isomers ( $\mathbf{3 c}$ and $\mathbf{3 d}$ ) had high affinity for the CCR2 receptor ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.2$ and 11 nM , respectively) which is in accordance with the reported values. ${ }^{15}$ Next, we evaluated all four diastereomers in the competition-association assay as this could help us to understand the importance of 3D conformation for SKR.

Table 1. Binding affinities, association and dissociation rate constants, and residence times of MK-0483 and its diastereomers

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nr. | $\begin{aligned} & K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \pm \text { SEM } \\ & (n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $k_{\text {on }}\left(\mathrm{nM}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{\text {off }}\left(\min ^{-1}\right)$ | RT (min) |
| 3a | $59 \pm 2$ | $0.00044 \pm 0.0004$ | $0.0028 \pm 0.0004$ | $357 \pm 51$ |
| 3b | $383 \pm 19$ | $0.000058 \pm 0.000007$ | $0.0037 \pm 0.0007$ | $270 \pm 51$ |
| 3c, (MK-0483) | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ | $0.020 \pm 0.002$ | $0.0014 \pm 0.00004$ | $724 \pm 20$ |
| 3d | $11 \pm 2.6$ | $0.013 \pm 0.001$ | $0.018 \pm 0.002$ | $56 \pm 6$ |

Surprisingly, both trans-isomers (3a and 3b), despite their moderate affinity, had similar and very slow dissociation characteristics translating in residence times longer than 4 hours (RT = 357 and 270 min , respectively) (Table 1), whereas the association rate constants displayed a 7 -fold difference ( $k_{\text {on }}=0.00044$ and $0.000058 \mathrm{nM}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, respectively) causing also the difference in affinity. The opposite was observed in the case of cis-isomers 3c and 3d. For these compounds, the association rate constants were similar and the dissociation rate constants showed a more than 12 -fold difference, yielding residence times of 724 ( 3 c ) and 56 $\min (3 d)$, respectively, which is also in line with the reported halflife value for MK-0483 (3c) by the Merck researchers. ${ }^{15}$ Apparently, the cis-isomers have the best conformation for the eventual binding state on the receptor (affinity), reach that state quickly as can be gauged from their relatively fast $k_{\text {on }}$ values, and stay there for prolonged times (Table 1). The only difference for the trans-isomers is their relatively slow association rate, but still they are capable of binding to the CCR2 receptor, and display long residence times as well.

## Bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl Derivatives 4-16

From the above we chose to continue with cis-analogues of MK-0483 and decided to
 to describe the SAR and SKR for the CCR2 receptor in this series of structures. In the first array we generated the more flexible (on the right-hand side) bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl derivatives with different substituents on the aromatic ring connected to the piperidine (4-16) (Table 2). The unsubstituted phenyl compound (4) had an affinity of 1.5 nM for CCR2, which was best in class. However, in the dual-point competition-association assay it yielded a KRI below unity ( $K R I=0.7$ ), indicative of a short RT. Incorporation of the 2-methoxy group (compound 5) resulted in a decrease of both the affinity and the KRI value ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=28 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{KRI}=$ 0.6 ). The 3-methoxy group (compound 6) yielded a small regain in the affinity (correlating with the reported values) ${ }^{24}$ and the KRI value ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=5.9 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{KRI}=0.7$ ). However, the 4-methoxy derivative (7), despite its moderate affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=29 \mathrm{nM}$ ), had a KRI value of 0.8 . The double substitution of 3,4-di-methoxy (compound 8) resulted in a big decrease in both the affinity and the KRI value. Closing the methoxy groups to yield a benzo[1,3]dioxole ring (compound 9) yielded a minor improvement in affinity, however, it did not enhance the KRI value (KRI = 0.6). Lipophilic groups on the 4 position (e.g. 4-OCF $3,4-t-\mathrm{Bu}$ ) resulted in an even bigger decrease of the affinity (compound $\mathbf{1 0}, 4-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ ) or a complete loss of the affinity (compound 11, 4-t-Bu). The hydrophilic 4-hydroxy group (compound 12) boosted affinity somewhat but still had a lower KRI value compared to the 4-methoxy derivative (7).

Table 2. Binding affinities and KRI values of compounds 4-16.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nr. | R | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \pm \mathrm{SEM}(n=3)$ | $\mathrm{KRI}(\mathrm{n}=2)$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | H | $1.5 \pm 0.1$ | $0.7(0.6 / 0.7)$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $2-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $28 \pm 2$ | $0.6(0.5 / 0.7)$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $3-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $5.9 \pm 1.7$ | $0.7(0.6 / 0.8)$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $29 \pm 2$ | $0.8(0.7 / 0.9)$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $3,4-\mathrm{di}-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $48 \pm 1$ | $0.6(0.5 / 0.6)$ |


| $\mathbf{9}$ | $3,4-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ | $17 \pm 3$ | $0.6(0.6 / 0.7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $122 \pm 20$ | - |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $4-\mathrm{tBu}$ | $7 \%^{a}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $4-\mathrm{OH}$ | $17 \pm 2$ | $0.6(0.6 / 0.6)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | H | $5.4 \pm 0.9$ | $0.6(0.6 / 0.6)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $76 \pm 14$ | $0.50 .5 / 0.6)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $3-\mathrm{COOH}$ | $22 \pm 6$ | $0.8(0.8 / 0.8)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $3-\mathrm{COOMe}$ | $7.9 \pm 1.6$ | $0.6(0.5 / 0.7)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Percent displacement at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}$-CCL2.

Comparing the 3-pyridine (13) with phenyl ring (4) also resulted in a minor decrease in affinity (correlating with the reported values) ${ }^{\underline{24}}$ and the $K R I$ value $\left(K_{i}=5.4 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{KRI}=0.6\right)$. The combination of 4 -methoxy and 3 -pyridine (compound 14 ) yielded a 50 -fold decrease in affinity compared to 4 and the smallest $K R I$ value observed in this study ( $K R I=0.5$ ). Apparently, any substitution on the phenyl ring is not favorable and results in a decrease in affinity compared to the unsubstituted phenyl ring (4), although the 3 -substituents are tolerated more than others. The evaluation of direct analogues of MK-0483, such as the 3carboxylic acid (compound 15), resulted in a 14-fold decrease in affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=22 \mathrm{nM}$, in agreement with the reported values) ${ }^{\underline{24}}$ compared to 4 , however, the KRI value increased to 0.8 , whereas the methyl ester (16) had better affinity than the acid ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=7.9 \mathrm{nM}$ ), but a smaller KRI value.

## 7-(Trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline Derivatives 17-27

The rigidification on the right-hand side into the 7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahyroisoquinoline group provided compounds 17-27. The unsubstituted phenyl intermediate (olefin 17) had a 14-fold lower affinity than its saturated analogue 18 and twofold shorter RT (Table 3) indicating that the phenyl ring should be positioned in an angle to the piperidine ring for optimal binding.

Table 3. Binding affinities, KRI values and residence times of compounds 17-27.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Nr. | R | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \pm$ SEM $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ | KRI ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) | RT (min) |
| 17 | - | $70 \pm 25$ | 1.1 (1.1/1.0) | $38 \pm 4$ |
| 18 | H | $4.4 \pm 0.7$ | 1.1 (1.2/1.0) | $91 \pm 25$ |
| 19 | 3-OMe | $0.95 \pm 0.22$ | 0.9 (0.8/0.9) | - |
| 20 | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $2.6 \pm 0.3$ | 1.0 (0.9/1.2) | $200 \pm 29$ |
| 21 | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ | $2.8 \pm 0.2$ | 1.0 (1.0/1.1) | $243 \pm 45$ |
| 22 | $3-\mathrm{iPr}$ | $3.6 \pm 0.4$ | 1.0 (1.0/0.9) | $266 \pm 48$ |
| 23 | $3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $5.3 \pm 0.3$ | 1.0 (0.9/1.1) | $158 \pm 35$ |
| 24 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $2.0 \pm 0.3$ | 0.8 (0.8/0.8) | - |
| 25 | $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $7.2 \pm 0.2$ | 0.8 (0.8/0.9) | - |
| 26 | $3-\mathrm{COOH}$ | $7.8 \pm 1.4$ | 0.7 (0.7/0.7) | - |
| 27 | 3-COOMe | $0.91 \pm 0.25$ | 0.8 (0.8/0.8) | - |

From the bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl series (Table 2) we learned that substituents on the 3 position were better tolerated so therefore we focused on this position in this array of compounds. The 3-methoxy derivative (19) had excellent affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=0.95 \mathrm{nM}$ ), but the KRI was below unity. Changing from 3-methoxy to 3-chloro (20) resulted in a small decrease in affinity, but an increase in RT. The 3-bromo derivative (21) had similar affinity as 3-chloro, but a longer RT. We have previously reported a similar correlation in another series of CCR2 antagonists where the methoxy substituents yielded the best affinity compounds, while the halogen derivatives had a longer RT. ${ }^{\underline{13}}$ The 3-isopropyl substituent (22) being similar in size to the bromine but having electron donating properties yielded only a minor decrease in the affinity and a minor increase in the RT, thus suggesting the importance of the space-filling properties of the substituents. The 3-trifluoromethyl derivative (23) (bioisoster of chlorine) showed similar results as $\mathbf{2 0}(3-\mathrm{Cl})$. In our effort to increase the RT we also explored the 4 position by introducing the lipophilic 4-chloro (24) and 4-trifluoromethyl (25) groups. However, despite the good affinity, both compounds had KRI values below unity (KRI = 0.8 for both).

A direct analogue of MK-0483 with an acid group on the 3 position (26) resulted in a minor decrease in affinity (comparable to the reported values), ${ }^{15}$ but we noticed a substantial
decrease in RT (KRI = 0.7). Apparently, either the additional methyl group on the 3 position of the piperidine ring, the oxygen atom in the 3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[e][1,3]oxazine ring or the combination of both is responsible for the long residence time of MK-0483. The compound with a corresponding methyl ester (27) yielded the best affinity in this study, although it had a short RT ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=0.91 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{KRI}=0.8$ ).

## CONCLUSIONS

We have evaluated the SAR and SKR of MK-0483 (3c), its diastereomers (3a, b, d) and structural analogues (4-27) as CCR2 antagonists. On the right-hand side of the molecules a rigid 7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety yields better affinity than the more flexible bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl substituent and generally prolongs the drug-target residence time. On the left-hand side SAR of the phenyl ring suggests that lipophilic hydrogen bond accepting substituents on the 3 position (e.g. 3-OMe (19), 3-COOMe (27)) are vital for improved affinity. However, the SKR suggests that to have long RT a lipophilic group with a certain size is desired (e.g. 3-Br (21), 3-i-Pr (22)) or alternatively (in the case of MK-0483 (3c)) a carboxylic acid group can be used. However, the acid group per se does not provide the long RT for these structures. Possibly the additional methyl group on the piperidine ring (as seen in MK-0483 (3c)) provides shielding of a hydrogen bond formed between the acid group and the receptor. We have reported similar observations on shielding in another series of CCR2 antagonists, moreover, a similar idea was put forward by Schmidtke et al. ${ }^{25}$ in calculations on hydrogen bond shielding. Likewise, the additional oxygen atom in the 3,4-dihydro-2Hbenzo[e][1,3]oxazine ring of MK-0483 (3c) could make an additional hydrogen bond and cause its long RT as the hydrogen bond would be shielded by the ring system itself. In conclusion, this study contributes to one of the first detailed structure-kinetics relationships for CCR2 antagonists which can help to develop better drug candidates. Moreover, the value of the long RT drugs has already been proven on other targets, although only in retrospect. ${ }^{10}$ From this perspective, MK-0483 (3c) would be a suitable candidate to be deliberately advanced into clinical studies due to its long RT. If it failed due to lack of efficacy one could
argue that blockade of CCR2 (alone) is not sufficient to treat the many immunological disorders that were mentioned in the Introduction.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Chemistry

All solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and were of analytical grade. Demineralized water is simply referred to as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, because it was used in all cases, unless stated otherwise (i.e., brine). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV 400 liquid spectrometer ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R, 400 \mathrm{MHz} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, 100 MHz ) at ambient temperature. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm), are designated by $\delta$, and are downfield to the internal standard tetramethylsilane (TMS). Coupling constants are reported in hertz and are designated as J. Analytical purity of the final compounds was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a Phenomenex Gemini $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ C18 110A column ( $50 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), measuring UV absorbance at 254 nm . The sample preparation and HPLC method was as follows: $0.3-0.8 \mathrm{mg}$ of compound was dissolved in 1 mL of a 1:1:1 mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / t-\mathrm{BuOH}$ and eluted from the column within 15 min at a flow rate of $1.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The elution method was set up as follows: 1-4 min isocratic system of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / 1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 80:10:10, from the $4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{min}$, a gradient was applied from 80:10:10 to 0:90:10 within 9 min, followed by 1 min of equilibration at 0:90:10 and 1 min at 80:10:10. All compounds showed a single peak at the designated retention time and are at least $95 \%$ pure. Preparative HPLC was performed on a Schimatzu HPLC-ultraviolet (UV) system using a Gemini C18 Phenomenex column ( $100 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and a linear gradient from 10 to $90 \%$ of mobile phase B was applied, keeping mobile phase C constant at $10 \%$. Mobile phase A consisted of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, mobile phase $B$ consisted of acetonitrile, and mobile phase C consisted of $1 \%$ TFA solution in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The flow rate was $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LCMS) analyses were performed using Thermo Finnigan Surveyor - LCQ Advantage Max LC-MS system and a Gemini C18 Phenomenex column ( $50 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). The elution method was set up as follows: 1-4 min isocratic system of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / 1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80: 10: 10$, from the $4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{min}$, a gradient was applied from 80:10:10 to 0:90:10 within 9 min , followed by 1 min of equilibration at 0:90:10 and 1 min at 80:10:10. Microwave reactions were done using Biotage Initiator microwave synthesizer. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was routinely consulted to monitor the progress of reactions, using aluminumcoated Merck silica gel $\mathrm{F}^{254}$ plates. Purification by column chromatography was achieved by use of Grace Davison Davisil silica column material (LC60A, 30-200 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). The procedure for a series of similar compounds is given as a general procedure for all within that series, annotated by the numbers of the compounds.
General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 4-14, 16.
In a round-bottom flask a mixture of the corresponding olefin ( $35-46$ ), $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} 5 \% \mathrm{wt}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and palladium acetate ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were dissolved in MeOH and stirred overnight with a hydrogen balloon. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and purified by preparative HPLC purification system.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)cyclopentane-1-
carboxamide (TFA salt) (4). Yield $=69 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 10.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.61-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 176.8,161.5,161.2,142.6,141.7,131.9,131.6,129.2,129.0,127.9,127.4,126.6$, $124.7,122.0,117.5,67.5,57.3,52.9,51.8,43.3,40.3,34.8,32.5,31.9,30.5,27.9,18.6,17.8$; MS peak: $541^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$; HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=8.9 \mathrm{~min}$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-(2-methoxyphenyl)piperidin-1-
yl)cyclopentane-1-carboxamide (TFA salt) (5). Yield $=64 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta: 8.77$ (br s, 1H), $7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16\left(\mathrm{dd}, J^{1}=J^{2}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.01-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.58-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.95$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J^{1}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J^{2}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.19-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta: 157.1,142.9,131.3,128.1,126.9,126.4,121.0,117.4,114.7,111.0,66.9$, $57.3,55.2,55.0,51.6,42.5,33.7,33.4,32.3,31.5,29.0,27.8,18.0,16.9 ; \mathrm{MS}$ peak : $571^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ; \mathrm{HPLC}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ 8.9 min .
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-(3-methoxyphenyl)piperidin-1-
yl)cyclopentane-1-carboxamide (TFA salt) (6). Yield $=16 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 11.74$ (s, 1H), $7.79-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 176.9,160.0,144.2,141.8,131.8,129.9,127.9,124.6,118.3,112.7,112.2,99.9$, $67.6,56.9,55.6,55.2,52.9,52.1,43.1,40.6,35.0,30.2,30.1,28.1,18.7,17.8 ;$ MS peak: $571^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ;$HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=8.9 \mathrm{~min}$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-(4-methoxyphenyl)piperidin-1-
yl)cyclopentane-1-carboxamide (TFA salt) (7). Yield $=9 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 11.72$ (s, 1H), $7.77-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.87$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta: 142.0,135.0,128.1,127.8,121.3,114.5,67.8,57.2,55.5,53.2$, $52.4,43.4,39.9,35.2,32.3,30.7,30.6,28.3,18.9,18.0 ; \mathrm{MS}$ peak: $571^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$; HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=9.1 \mathrm{~min}$. (1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-(4-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-1-
isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide (TFA salt) (8). Yield $=37 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 11.48$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.89-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.66(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 149.4,148.3$ 141.9, 135.5, 131.9, 131.6, 128.0, $121.2,112.0,111.5,109.7,67.7,57,2,43.3,40.3,35.1,32.6,32.2,30.6,30.5,28.2,18.8,18.0 ; \mathrm{MS}$ peak: $601^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$; HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=8.7 \mathrm{~min}$.
(1S,3R)-3-(4-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)piperidin-1-yl)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-
isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide (TFA salt) (9). Yield $=38 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 11.72$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) , 4.63-5.51 (m, 2H), 3.76-3.67 (m, 2H), $3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.83-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-$ $1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 176.2,148.1,146.8$, $141.9,136.7,131.9,131.6,128.0,121.2,119.7,108.7,107.2,101.2,67.7,57.1,52.9,52.1,43.3,40.4$, $35.1,32.4,32.2,30.6,28.1,18.8,17.9$; MS peak: $585^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$; HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=8.9 \mathrm{~min}$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)piperidin-1-
yl)cyclopentane-1-carboxamide (TFA salt) (10). Yield $=32 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 11.06,(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.76,(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-$ $2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 176.3,148.5,141.6,141.2,132.1,131.7,128.1,124.8,12.0,121.6,121.4,67.7,57.2,52.9$, $51.8,43.3,39.9,35.2,32.7,31.9,30.4,30.3,27.9,18.7,18.0 ; \mathrm{MS}$ peak: $625^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ;$HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=9.4 \mathrm{~min}$. (1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-(4-(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-1-
isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide (TFA salt) (11). Yield $=17 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 11.76(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}^{a}\right), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.0.5 \mathrm{H}^{b}\right), 4.63-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4.5 \mathrm{H}^{a}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4.5 \mathrm{H}^{b}\right), 0.95-$ $0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 177.2,156.9,156.8,143.5,141.8,141.6,139.6,130.4,127.6$, $126.3,124.4,121.2,70.1,67.6,59.2,56.9,52.9,43.3,43.1,39.9,34.5,32.3,31.1,30.3,18.9,18.7,17.8$, 17.7; MS peak: $597^{+}\left[H^{+}\right]$; HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=9.8 \mathrm{~min} . a$ and $b$ for different rotamers.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-(4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-1-
isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide (TFA salt) (12). Yield $=31 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 10.63(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-$ $3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 155.9,143.2,135.3,128.3,127.7,120.8,117.4,115.4,66.6,56.8,42.2,51.5,42.5$, $38.6,34.4,33.0,30.5,27.6,17.7,17.2 ; \mathrm{MS}$ peak: $557^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$; HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=8.5 \mathrm{~min}$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-(pyridin-3-yl)piperidin-1-yl)cyclopentane-1-
carboxamide (TFA salt) (13). Yield $=55 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 12.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.47$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-$ $0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 178.45,148.73,147.8,142.5,140.7,133.9,132.0,131.9$, $131.7,131.6,131.3,127.7,124.7,123.6,121.9,121.1,65.9,57.1,53.4,52.9,51.3,50.0,47.4,44.2,42.8$, $39.7,38.5,38.2,35.5,35.1,33.9,33.2,32.9,29.7,29.1,28.3,26.2,23.8,19.3,19.2,17.5 ;$ MS peak: $542^{+}$ $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ; \mathrm{HPLC}: \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=7.9 \mathrm{~min}$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-(6-methoxypyridin-3-yl)piperidin-1-
yl)cyclopentane-1-carboxamide (TFA salt) (14). Yield $=70 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 11.18$ (s, 1H), $8.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-1.67$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.80-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta: 176.4,162.5,161.9,161.5,161.2,160.8$, $142.5,141.7,140.6,132.3,132.0,131.7,131.3,128.0,124.7,122.0,121.4,121.3,117.3,114.5,111.3$, $67.6,57.3,44.6,52.6,51.6,43.3,36.8,34.9,32.6,31.9,30.0,29.9,28.1,18.7,17.9$; MS peak: $572^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ;$ HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=8.0 \mathrm{~min}$.
3-(1-((1R,3S)-3-((3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)carbamoyl)-3-isopropylcyclopentyl)piperidin-4-yl)benzoic acid (15). In a 50 mL round-bottom flask compound 16 ( $0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 0.128 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in EtOH (10 $\mathrm{mL})$. Subsequently, $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{LiOH}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 hours at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. EtOH was evaporated in vacuum. The reaction mixture was partitioned between brine and chloroform and the pH was adjusted to 7 with 1 M HCl (aq.). The organic layer was collected, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum, yielding compound 15 as a HCl salt ( $0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 9.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.64-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~h}), 2.90-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.69-1.68 (m, 1H), 0.93-0.89 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 176.3,173.1,142.9,142.5,136.6$, $131.4,131.2,131.0,128.2,128.0,127.9,125.2,124.7,122.0,120.6,68.1,57.6,53.5,52.7,43.2,40.2$, $35.2,34.3,32.8,30.6,29.4,26.9,19.3,17.8$. MS peak: $585^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ; \mathrm{HPLC}: \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=9.2 \mathrm{~min}$.
Methyl 3-(1-((1R,3S)-3-((3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)carbamoyl)-3-isopropylcyclopentyl)piperidin-4yl)benzoate (TFA salt) (16). Yield $=69 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.76$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-1.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 178.7,167.1,145.8,142.5,131.8,131.5,131.0,128.5,127.9,127.5,124.6,121.9,121.0,65.7$, $56.9,53.5,52.1,51.1,42.6,41.9,35.4,33.6,33.3,29.9,19.3,17.3 ; \mathrm{MS}$ peak: $599^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ; \mathrm{HPLC}: \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=9.7$ min.
((1S,3R)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-phenyl-3,6-dihydropyridin-1(2H)-yl)cyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-
dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (17). In a 5 mL microwave tube a mixture of triflate 34 ( 0.06 g , $0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, phenylboronic acid ( 0.018 g , 1.5 mmol ), $\mathrm{LiCl}(0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}), 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}$ $0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was dissolved in DME ( 1 mL ) under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was heated under microwave irradiation at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5.5 hours. The reaction mixture was partitioned between DCM and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried with
$\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. The product was purified by preparative HPLC system, yielding compound 17 as TFA salt ( $0.014 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta: 7.50-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.90-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}^{a}\right), 4.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}^{b}\right), 3.90-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\left.0.5 \mathrm{H}^{d}\right), 3.20-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}^{a}\right), 0.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}^{b}\right)$; MS peak: $497^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$; HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=8.7 \mathrm{~min}$. $a$ and $b$ indicating different rotamers.
((1S,3R)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)cyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone (18). In a 10 mL round-bottom flask compound 17 ( $6.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 5 mL of THF. To the reaction mixture $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} 5 \% \mathrm{wt}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and palladium acetate ( 1.5 mol $\%)$ were added. The reaction mixture was flushed with hydrogen and kept under hydrogen atmosphere with a hydrogen balloon. The reaction mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds and stirring continued at room temperature for 2 hours. After the reaction was finished the mixture was filtered over celite and the product was purified by preparative HPLC system, yielding compound 18 as TFA salt ( 4.5 mg , $67 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta: 7.45-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 1 H ), $3.00-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS peak: $499^{+}$ $\left[H^{+}\right] ;$HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=8.7 \mathrm{~min}$.
General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 19, 21-23 and 27.
Into an oven dried glass tube were added the tosylhydrazone $52(140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.), the corresponding boronic acid ( $0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv. dried at 100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight) and then sealed with a crimp top with septum. High vacuum was applied for 30 minutes after which it was backfilled with nitrogen and 1 mL of dry 1,4-dioxane was added. Subsequently, the mixture was degassed 4 times by applying a vacuum and a nitrogen atmosphere sequentially. The reaction mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours. TLC showed full conversion of the tosylhydrazone ( $\mathrm{EtOAc}, \mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ spray to visualize). The cooled reaction mixture was quenched with 2 mL of an aqueous saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, extracted 3 times with DCM, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. The crude yellow oil was pre-purified by column chromatography followed by preparative HPLC purification to give the final compounds 19, 21-23 and $\mathbf{2 7}$ as TFA salts as colorless solidified oils.
1-((1R,3S)-3-isopropyl-3-(7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2-carbonyl)cyclopentyl)-4-(3-methoxyphenyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate (19). Yield $=10 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta$ : 10.66 (br s, 1H, NH), $7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{td}, J=7.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.78-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.72-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: 529^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$; $\mathrm{HPLC}_{\mathrm{r}}: 8.09 \mathrm{~min}$. 4-(3-Bromophenyl)-1-((1R,3S)-3-isopropyl-3-(7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2-carbonyl()cyclopentyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate (21). Yield $=8 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta: 10.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.27-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.96-2.77 (m, 5H), 2.57-2.52 (m, 1H), 2.48-2.35 (m, 2H), 2.16-1.98 (m, 6H), 1.68-1.56 (m, 2H), $0.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta: 147.0,134.8,130.6,129.9,129.8$, $129.6,125.7,123.3,123.0,122.2,56.4,56.0,52.3,51.6,39.1,34.7,32.6,29.9,28.1,27.7,17.4,17.1$. LCMS: $578^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.87 \mathrm{~min}$.
1-((1R,3S)-3-Isopropyl-3-(7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2-carbonyl)cyclopentyl)-4-(3-isopropylphenyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate (22). Yield $=23 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta$ : 9.25 (br s, 1H, NH), $7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82-4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.42-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-$ $1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ ס: 149.4, 144.1, 134.7, 129.7, 128.7, 127.7, 124.9, 124.0, 123.3, 67.3, 56.5, 52.8, 51.9, 39.3, 34.0, $32.3,30.4,30.3,28.3,17.4,17.2$. LC-MS: $541^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 9.22 \mathrm{~min}$.
1-((1R,3S)-3-Isopropyl-3-(7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2-carbonyl)cyclopentyl)-4-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate (23). Yield $=9 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta: 10.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.60-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-$ $4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-$ $2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) ~ \delta: ~ 146.5,135.7,131.7,130.5,124.5,124.3,68.3,57.0,53.2,52.6,40.1,35.7$, $33.6,30.9,29.1,18.4,18.1$. LC-MS: $567^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.88 \mathrm{~min}$.
1-((1R,3S)-3-Isopropyl-3-(7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2-carbonyl)cyclopentyl)-4-(3-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate (27). Yield $=14 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta: 10.94(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.86-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.81-4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $2.60-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta: 166.7,144.9,134.8,131.5,130.6,129.6,129.0,127.8,127.6,123.3,67.4$, $56.0,52.3,51.8,51.7,39.2,34.8,32.6,30.0,28.1,17.4,17.1$. LC-MS: $557^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.49 \mathrm{~min}$.
General procedure for synthesis of compounds 20, 24, 25.
In a 5 mL microwave tube a solution of compound 50 ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in 3 mL of DME was mixed with corresponding arylboronic acid ( $0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv), $\mathrm{LiCl}\left(1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3\right.$ equiv), $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.5$ mmol, 3 equiv) solution in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The reaction mixture was heated under microwave irradiation at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~min}-2.5$ hours. The reaction mixture was partitioned between DCM $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with an eluent system consisting of DCM and increasing amounts of EtOAc ( $0-50 \%$ ). The corresponding olefin was dissolved in THF and transferred to a 20 mL microwave tube. To the reaction mixture $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$ ( $20 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ) and acetic acid ( 3 equiv) were added. The tube was capped, flushed with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas, and additionally pressurized with 20 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas using a 20 mL syringe. The reaction was monitored using TLC/MS. The reaction was complete after 10 to 15 min . In the case of halogen substituents, if longer reaction times were used a de-halogenation was observed. Next, the corresponding esters were dissolved in EtOH and transferred to a 50 mL round-bottom flask. To the reaction mixture 4 M LiOH (10 equiv) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 hours. EtOH was evaporated in vacuum. The reaction mixture was acidified with 1 M HCl and the product was extracted with DCM. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. The corresponding acid was dissolved in DCM and transferred to a 10 mL round-bottom flask. To the reaction mixture 7-trifluoromethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline HCl salt ( 2 equiv) was added followed by the addition of DIPEA ( 12 equiv), PyBrOP (3 equiv), DMAP ( 1.5 equiv), and several beads of $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. The reaction mixture was stirred for 48 hours at room temperature. The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}{ }_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. The product was purified by preparative HPLC. All intermediates were checked by MS and were advanced to the next step without further analysis.
((1S,3R)-3-(4-(3-chlorophenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-
dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone TFA salt (20). Overall yield $=9 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta$ : 8.51 (br s, 1H), 7.50-7.40 (m, 2H), 7.30-7.16 (m, 4H), $7.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. MS peak: $533^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$; HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=8.82 \mathrm{~min}$.
((1S,3R)-3-(4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperidin-1-yl)-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-
dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone TFA salt (24). Overall yield $=0.8 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta$ : 10.81 (br s, 1H), 7.50-7.24 (m, 5H), $7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-$ $1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ peak: $533^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$; HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=8.96 \mathrm{~min}$.
((1S,3R)-1-Isopropyl-3-(4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)piperidin-1-yl)cyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methanone TFA salt (25). Overall yield $=2 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta$ : 9.43 (br s, 1H), 7.70-7.29 (m, 7H), $4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 2.65-2.45 (m, 2H), 2.30-2.10 (m, 7H), 1.95-1.70 (m, 2H), 0.95-0.83 (m, 6H). MS peak: $567^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$; HPLC: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}$ $=9.07 \mathrm{~min}$.
4-(3-carboxyphenyl)-1-((1R,3S)-3-isopropyl-3-(7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2-
carbonyl)cyclopentyl)piperidin-1-ium chloride (26). Ester 27 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{EtOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, water ( 2 mL ) and an 4 M LiOH (aq.) ( $113 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.0$ equiv.) was added. After 2 hours at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ all of 27 was consumed as shown by TLC (EtOAc, $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ spray to visualize). The ethanol was evaporated and the pH was adjusted to $\mathrm{pH}=1$ with a 3 M HCl (aq.) solution. The white precipitate was filtered off, rinsed with water and dried in vacuum to yield compound 26 as HCl salt. Yield $=17 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta: 7.88-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80-4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ and water), 2.24$2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS: $543^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$; HPLC $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}: 8.10 \mathrm{~min}$.
1-Ethyl-1-methyl-4-oxo-piperidin-1-ium iodide (28). In a 20 mL microwave tube a solution of 1-methyl-4piperidone ( $2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 15 mL ) was mixed with ethyl iodide ( $1.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred in the microwave at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 hours forming yellow solids. The solids were filtered, washed with acetone and dried under vacuum to yield yellow salt 28 ( $4.35 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ). The compound was used in next step as is.
Synthesis of (1S,3R)-3-amino-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide (29) was achieved following the synthetic approach reported by our group earlier. ${ }^{\frac{18}{18}}$

Synthesis of ((1S,3R)-3-amino-1-isopropylcyclopentyl)(7-(trifluoromethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)$y /) m e t h a n o n e ~(30) ~ w a s ~ a c h i e v e d ~ f o l l o w i n g ~ t h e ~ s y n t h e t i c ~ a p p r o a c h ~ r e p o r t e d ~ e a r l i e r ~ b y ~ o u r ~ g r o u p . ~$
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-oxopiperidin-1-yl)cyclopentane-1-
carboxamide (31). In 250 mL round-bottom flask a solution of amine 29 ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in ethanol ( 75 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compound $\mathbf{2 8}(2.02 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise within 5 minutes to the reaction mixture. Then $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 5 hours, followed by an additional 12 hour stirring at room temperature. Ethanol was removed in vacuum and the reaction mixture was partitioned between DCM/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. Compound 31 was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with an eluent system consisting of DCM and increasing amounts of EtOAc (50-100\%). Yield $=1.7 \mathrm{~g},(72 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.77-7.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-$ $1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$
1-((1R,3S)-3-isopropyl-3-(7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2-
carbonyl)cyclopentyl)piperidin-4-one (32). In a 20 mL microwave tube a solution of amine 30 ( $0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compound $28(0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise during 5 minutes to the reaction mixture. Then $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.56$ $\mathrm{g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated under microwave irradiation at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. Ethanol was removed in vacuum and the reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM} / 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. Compound 32 was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with an eluent system consisting of DCM and increasing amounts of EtOAc (50-100\%). Yield $=0.22 \mathrm{~g},(25 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.40-7.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.53$
$(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.73$ (d, $J=6,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
1-((1R,3S)-3-((3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)carbamoyl)-3-isopropylcyclopentyl)-1,2,3,6-
tetrahydropyridin-4-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate (33). In a 100 mL round-bottom flask a solution of LDA ( 2 M in heptanes, $2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 20 mL ) was cooled down to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The ketone compound 31 ( $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 15 mL ) and added dropwise to the reaction mixture. Vigorous stirring was necessary. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 hour, the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept at this temperature for 1 hour. Subsequently the reaction mixture was cooled down to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and N -phenylbis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide) ( $0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in dry THF ( 8 mL ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was slowly brought to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched with EtOH , concentrated in vacuum and partitioned between $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}{ }_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with an eluent system consisting of DCM and increasing amounts of EtOAc ( $0-30 \%$ ), yielding the triflate compound 33 ( 0.3 g , $42 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.08(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.73-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
1-((1R,3S)-3-((3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)carbamoyl)-3-isopropylcyclopentyl)-1,2,3,6-
tetrahydropyridin-4-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate (34). In a 20 mL microwave tube a solution of LDA (2M in heptanes, $0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was cooled down to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. The ketone compound 32 ( $0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 5 mL ) and added dropwise to the reaction mixture. Vigorous stirring was necessary. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 hour, the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept at this temperature for 1.5 hours. Subsequently the reaction mixture was cooled down to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and N -phenylbis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide) $(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in THF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was slowly brought to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched with EtOH , concentrated in vacuum and partitioned between $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with an eluent system consisting of DCM and increasing amounts of EtOAc ( $0-20 \%$ ), yielding the triflate compound 34 ( 0.06 g , $21 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.90-4.57 (m, 2H), $3.82(b r s, 2 H), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.25-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. General synthesis of compounds $35-46$.
In a 5 mL microwave tube a mixture of triflate 33 ( $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.), corresponding arylboronic acid ( $0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv.), $\mathrm{LiCl}\left(0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 3\right.$ equiv.), $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv.) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was dissolved in DME ( 4 mL ) under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was heated under microwave irraditaion at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 hours. DME was evaporated in vacuum and the reaction mixture was partitioned between $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{DCM}$. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. The products were purified by column chromatography on silica gel with an eluent system consisting of DCM and increasing amounts of EtOAc ( $0-50 \%$ ). LC-MS was used for confirmation of the products before using them in the next step.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-phenyl-3,6-dihydropyridin-1(2H)-yl)cyclopentane-1-carboxamide (35). Yield $=40 \%$, MS peak: $539^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-3,6-dihydropyridin-1(2H)$y$ )cyclopentane-1-carboxamide (36). Yield $=21 \%$, MS peak: $569^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3,6-dihydropyridin-1(2H)$y$ ) cyclopentane-1-carboxamide (37). Yield $=20 \%$, MS peak: $569^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3,6-dihydropyridin-1(2H)-yl)cyclopentane-1-carboxamide (38). Yield = 99\% (without purification), MS peak: $569^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-(4-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-3,6-dihydropyridin-1(2H)-yl)-1-isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide (39). Yield $=30 \%$, MS peak: $599^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(1S,3R)-3-(4-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-3,6-dihydropyridin-1(2H)-yl)-N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide (40). Yield $=41 \%$, MS peak: $583^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)-3,6-
dihydropyridin-1(2H)-yl)cyclopentane-1-carboxamide (41). Yield $=51 \%$, MS peak: $623^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-(4-(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)-3,6-dihydropyridin-1(2H)-yl)-1-isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide (42). Yield $=32 \%$, MS peak: $595^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-(4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3,6-dihydropyridin-1(2H)-yl)-1-
isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide (43). Yield $=25 \%$, MS peak: $555^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-(3',6'-dihydro-[3,4'-bipyridin]-1'(2'H)-yl)-1-
isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxamide (44). Yield $=55 \%$, MS peak: $540^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(1S,3R)-N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-3-(6-methoxy-3',6'-dihydro-[3,4'-bipyridin]-1'(2'H)$y l) c y c l o p e n t a n e-1$-carboxamide (45). Yield $=59 \%$, MS peak: $570^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
Methyl 3-(1-((1R,3S)-3-((3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)carbamoyl)-3-isopropylcyclopentyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)benzoate (46). Yield $=53 \%$, MS peak: $597^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
Synthesis of (1S,3R)-methyl-3-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-1-isopropylcyclopentanecarboxylate (47) was achieved following the synthetic approach reported by Kothandaraman S. et al. ${ }^{19}$
Methyl (1S,3R)-3-amino-1-isopropylcyclopentane-1-carboxylate (48). In a 100 mL round-bottom flask compound 47 ( $11.4 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in a mixture of DCM ( 30 mL ) and TFA ( 20 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. After completion, the reaction mixture was basified to pH 14 with 2 M NaOH solution in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with DCM. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. Yield $=7.3 \mathrm{~g}$ (98\%). The crude product was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-$ $2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.80-0.71(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
Methyl (1S,3R)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-oxopiperidin-1-yl)cyclopentane-1-carboxylate (49). In 7 separate batches of 100 mL round-bottom flasks a solution of amine $48(0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in ethanol ( 20 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compound $28(1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise during 5 minutes to the reaction mixture. Then $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 hours. All batches were combined and ethanol was removed in vacuum and the reaction mixture was partitioned between $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with an eluent system consisting of DCM and increasing amounts of EtOAc (0-100\%). Combined yield = $4.19 \mathrm{~g},(56 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
Methyl (1S,3R)-1-isopropyl-3-(4-(((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)oxy)-3,6-dihydropyridin-1(2H)$y$ )cyclopentane-1-carboxylate (50). In a 250 mL round-bottom flask a solution of LDA (2M in heptanes, $11 \mathrm{~mL}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 100 mL ) was cooled down to $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The ketone compound $49(4.19 \mathrm{~g}, 15.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry THF ( 20 mL ) and added dropwise to the reaction mixture keeping the temperature below $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Vigorous stirring was necessary. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 hours, slowly rising the temperature to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was cooled down to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and N -phenyl-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide) ( $7.86 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in dry THF ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture keeping the temperature of
the reaction below $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was slowly brought to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched with EtOH , concentrated in vacuum and partitioned between $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with an eluent system consisting of DCM and increasing amounts of EtOAc ( $0-10 \%$ ), yielding the triflate compound 50 ( $4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.80-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
N'-(1-((1R,3S)-3-isopropyl-3-(7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-2-
carbonyl)cyclopentyl)piperidin-4-ylidene)-4-methoxybenzenesulfonohydrazide (52). Sulfonylhydrazide 51 ( $365 \mathrm{mg}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) was slurried in $3,5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of MeOH and piperidone 32 ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 1.72$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) was added at room temperature, resulting in a homogeneous reaction mixture. After 4 hours all of the piperidone was consumed shown by TLC (1/1 EtOAc/Pet. Ether). Methanol was removed in vacuum and the solidified oil ( 1.06 g , yield $100 \%$ ) was used in the next reactions without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.90-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92$ (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.75 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H).

## Abbreviations

Boc, tert-butyloxycarbonyl; CCL2, chemokine ligand 2; CCR2, chemokine receptor 2; CCR5, chemokine receptor 5; DCM, dichloromethane; DiPEA, $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine; DMAP, $N, N$ dimethylaminopyridine; DME, dimethoxyethane; EtOAc, ethylacetate; EtOH, ethanol; HPLC, highperformance liquid chromatography; ${ }^{125} \mathrm{I}$-CCL2, ${ }^{125}$ I-labelled chemokine ligand 2; KRI, kinetic rate index; LDA, lithium diisopropylamide; LC-MS, liquid chromatography - mass spectrometer; MCP-1, monocyte chemotactic protein-1; MeOH, methanol; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; PyBrOP, bromo-trispyrrolidino phosphoniumhexafluorophosphate; RT, residence time; SAR, structure-affinity relationships; SKR, structure-kinetic relationships; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; THF, tetrahydrofuran; TLC, thin layer chromatography; TMS, tetramethylsilane; U2OS, human bone osteosarcoma cells; UV, ultraviolet.
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#### Abstract

A novel $N$-(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-4-ylamino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide series of human CCR2 chemokine receptor antagonists was identified. With a pharmacophore model based on known CCR2 antagonists a new core scaffold was designed, analogues of it synthesized and structure-affinity relationship studies derived yielding a new high affinity CCR2 antagonist $N$ -(2-((1-(4-(3-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3(trifluoromethyl)benzamide.


The CC chemokine CCL2, through its interaction with the CCR2 G protein-coupled receptor, plays an important role in the recruitment of monocytes, natural killer cells, dendritic cells and T-lymphocytes. ${ }^{1}$ Research on CCL2 knockout (KO) and CCR2 KO mice suggests that inhibition of the CCL2/CCR2 axis may be beneficial in the treatment of inflammatory diseases. ${ }^{2}$ The pair is thought to be involved in atherosclerosis, ${ }^{\frac{3}{3}}$ insulin resistance, ${ }^{4}$ multiple sclerosis, ${ }^{5}$ neuropathic pain ${ }^{-6}$ and asthma. ${ }^{\frac{7}{2}}$ Different in vitro and in vivo models have shown the usefulness of small molecule CCR2 antagonists to inhibit the chemotactic response of CCL2. ${ }^{8-10}$ Consequently, the pharmaceutical industry has devoted considerable efforts to the development of CCR2 antagonists to combat these diseases. A vast number of different scaffolds used in the design of CCR2 antagonists has been described. ${ }^{11,12}$


Figure 1. Pharmacophore of CCR2 antagonists.
However, the bulk of these antagonists share the same structural motifs: a basic nitrogen atom in the center, flanked by two aromatic rings of which one is connected to the nitrogen atom with an amide containing linker and the other with an aliphatic linker (Figure 1). In some cases the latter aromatic ring is missing and only the aliphatic group is left on one side. ${ }^{12}$ Usually, the central nitrogen is part of an aliphatic heterocycle (e.g. piperidine, 1, ${ }^{13}$






Figure 2. Known CCR2 antagonists.
In this paper, we describe our efforts towards the identification of a new class of CCR2 receptor antagonists. Using the structural knowledge of CCR2 antagonists as in figure 2 we generated hybrid scaffolds based on a piperidine ring. We explored different linkers between the basic nitrogen and aromatic groups as well as different substituents on the aromatic group. All compounds were evaluated in a ${ }^{125}$ I-CCL2 displacement assay on a human bone osteosarcoma (U2OS) cell membrane preparation expressing CCR2 as described previously by our group. ${ }^{17}$

Scheme 1. ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) PyBrOP, DIPEA, DMAP, N-(3-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl)glycine, DCM, MS $4 \AA$, room temperature; b) dry 3 M HCl in MeOH , room temperature, yield in two steps: $57-89 \%$; corresponding aldehyde or ketone, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{DCE}$, room temperature, (2-64\%).

The synthetic methods to arrive at these compounds are depicted in schemes 1, 2 and 3 . The commercially available $N$-Boc-protected piperidineamines 3 and 4 were used in a peptidecoupling reaction with $N$-(3-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl)glycine under bromo-tris-pyrrolidino
phosphoniumhexafluorophosphate (PyBroP) conditions. ${ }^{18}$ Subsequent removal of the Bocprotecting group with dry HCl in methanol produced the free amines 5 and 6. These amines were used in reductive amination reactions with different aldehydes and ketones to yield the desired products 7-11, 13-21 (Scheme 1).

For the synthesis of the desired ketones we first used a synthetic route via hydrazone intermediates (Scheme 2) under conditions described by Barluenga et al. ${ }^{19}$ Commercially available ketone 22 was reacted with tosylhydrazide to generate hydrazone 23, which was used subsequently in a Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction to generate $\mathbf{2 4}$ with moderate yield.

Scheme 2. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) $p$-toluenesulfonhydrazide, dioxane, $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45$ minutes, $\mathrm{MW},(65 \%)$; b) $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{XPhos}$, Lit-BuO 1.0 M in hexanes, dioxane, $\left.110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{MW},(24 \%) ; \mathrm{c}\right) \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} 10 \% \mathrm{wt}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, room temperature, $4-12 \mathrm{~h},(85-99 \%)$; d) $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \bullet 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, acetone, DCM, $5-12 \mathrm{~h}$, room temperature, (42-99\%); e) i) 1.3 eq. LDA, THF, under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow-25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, cool down to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii) N -phenyl-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide), $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ room temperature, $24 \mathrm{~h},(80 \%)$; f) 3,4 -(methylenedioxy)phenylboronic acid, $\mathrm{KF}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temperature overnight, (58\%); g) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, bis(pinacolato)diboron, KOPh, toluene, under $\mathrm{N}_{2}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ at room temperature, (59\%); h) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, corresponding arylhalogen, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} 2 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane, under $\mathrm{N}_{2}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{MW},(80-99 \%)$.

However, attempts to use this method with other substituents on the phenyl ring resulted in very poor yields or no product at all. Another synthetic route was therefore chosen to yield the desired ketones. The acetal protected cyclohexanone 22 was deprotonated with
lithiumdiisopropylamide (LDA) and reacted with N -phenyl-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide) to generate triflate $\mathbf{2 5}$. This compound was used directly in a Suzuki-coupling with 3,4methylenedioxyphenylboronic acid, however, to introduce other substituents we decided to transform the triflate in boronic ester $\mathbf{2 6}$ with bis(pinacolato)diboron. This allowed us to use a wider range of arylhalogens as coupling partners in the Suzuki-coupling to eventually generate the desired intermediates with good overall yields. Subsequently, reduction of the double bond and removal of the acetal protecting group yielded the desired ketones 33-39, which were used in reductive amination reactions to yield the final compounds.

Scheme 3. ${ }^{a}$


12
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) propargyl bromide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone, reflux overnight, (99\%); b) iodobenzene, proline, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, ascorbic acid, $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \bullet 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DMSO} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3: 1,80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h},(4 \%)$.

To explore the influence of a methylenetriazole group as a linker between the piperidine and phenyl moieties we used click chemistry (Scheme 3). First, we alkylated the piperidine of compound $\mathbf{5}$ with propargyl bromide to generate compound $\mathbf{4 0}$, which was used in a further reaction with sodium azide and iodobenzene in the presence of proline, ascorbic acid and $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ as described by Feldman et al. ${ }^{\text {20 }}$

As mentioned before we combined the different scaffolds from two known CCR2 antagonists (compound 1 of Epix Delaware; ${ }^{13}$ compound 2 from Tejin $^{14}$ ) to generate a hybrid scaffold by transfecting the N -(3-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl)glycine part onto the piperidine ring. We argued that the expansion of the central ring to piperidine (compared to INCB3344 and JNJ Lead) might have a minor effect only on the configuration of the molecule. However, the 4-
chlorobenzyl group (compound 7) which had yielded good affinity in combination with the pyrrolidine scaffold ${ }^{\mathbf{1 4}}$ (compound 2), provided no affinity in the case of piperidine (Table 1).

Table 1. CCR2 affinities of compounds 7-12.
${ }^{a}$ Human CCR2 binding affinity in

Extending the linker to propyl (compound 8) had a minor effect on the affinity and the rigidification of the linker into tetrahydronaphthalene (compound 9) yielded negligible improvement (displacement at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of $6 \%$ and $24 \%$, respectively). However, separation of the rings into a 4-phenylcyclohexyl group (compound 10) resulted in a boost of affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=74 \mathrm{nM}$ ). To explore the correct location of the phenyl ring we moved it to the 3 position on the cyclohexane ring (compound 11), which resulted in a complete loss of affinity. In addition, the cyclohexane`s exchange to methylenetriazole as a linker (compound 12) did not yield any affinity either. Apparently, the distance, 3D orientation and lipophilicity provided by the cyclohexane moiety is just right for the binding of these molecules to the

CCR2 receptor and any deviation from it results in complete loss of affinity. This could also be the reason why the 4 -aryl-cyclohexane motif is used in so many pyrrolidine ${ }^{\underline{15}, \underline{21} \text { and }}$ azetidine ${ }^{\underline{16}, \underline{22}}$ derivatives (e.g. INCB3344, JNJ Lead, see figure 2). We continued the SAR studies with different substituents on the phenyl ring of the 4-phenyl-cyclohexyl group. Introduction of a methyl group on different positions indicated that substitution on the 2 and 4 positions (compounds 13 and 15) decreased the affinity (Table 2).

Table 2. CCR2 affinities of compounds 13-21.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nr. | R | $\begin{aligned} & K_{\mathrm{i},},(\mathrm{nM}) \\ & 3)^{a} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | 2-Me | 26\% |
| 14 | 3-Me | $270 \pm 20$ |
| 15 | 4-Me | 38\% |
| 16 | 3-OMe | $66 \pm 12$ |
| 17 | 3,5-di-OMe | 41\% |
| 18 | 2,6-di-OMe | 42\% |
| 19 | 4-OH | $139 \pm 35$ |
| 20 | $3,4-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ | $90 \pm 18$ |
| 21 | - | 31\% |

${ }^{a}$ Human CCR2 binding affinity in $\left[{ }^{125}\right.$ I]CCL2 assay or \% displ. at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\left[{ }^{125}\right.$ I]CCL2 binding

The 3 position can tolerate substitution, albeit with a slight decrease in affinity (14, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=270$ nM ). Changing the methyl to methoxy resulted in a regain of the affinity (compound 16, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ 66 nM ) pointing to a possible H -bond formation in the receptor binding pocket. However, insertion of two methoxy groups on either the 3,5 or 2,6 positions (compounds $\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{1 8}$ ) yielded a decrease in affinity (displacement of $41 \%$ and $42 \%$, respectively). Inserting a hydroxyl group on the 4 position (compound 19) was tolerated with a twofold affinity
decrease compared to 10. Combining substituents of 16 and 19 into a 3,4-methylendioxy group retained the affinity (compound 20, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=90 \mathrm{nM}$ ) which is in accordance with observations from the pyrrolidine ${ }^{\frac{15}{}}$ and azetidine ${ }^{\frac{16}{}}$ series. Finally, we wanted to explore the possibility of reversing the piperidine ring (compound 21) in the same fashion as it was described for pyrrolidines ${ }^{23}$ where it had only minimal effect on the binding affinity. However, in our case of the piperidine moiety such reversal substantially decreased the affinity for the CCR2 receptor.

In conclusion, we have synthesized a novel series of $N$-(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-4-ylamino)ethyl)-3(trifluoromethyl)benzamide derivatives and compounds substituted with 4-arylcyclohexanes were identified as good hits for CCR2 antagonism and might be considered for further optimization.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Chemistry

All solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and were of analytical grade. Demineralized water is simply referred to as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, because it was used in all cases, unless stated otherwise (i.e., brine). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV 400 liquid spectrometer ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, 101 MHz ). Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( ppm ), are designated by $\delta$, and are downfield to the internal standard tetramethylsilane (TMS). Coupling constants are reported in hertz and are designated as J. $a$ and $b$ indicating different diastereomers. Analytical purity of the final compounds was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a Phenomenex Gemini $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ C18 110A column ( $50 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), measuring UV absorbance at 254 nm . The sample preparation and HPLC method for compounds were as follows: $0.3-0.8 \mathrm{mg}$ of compound was dissolved in 1 mL of a 1:1:1 mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / t-\mathrm{BuOH}$ and eluted from the column within 15 min at a flow rate of $1.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The elution method was set up as follows: $1-4 \mathrm{~min}$ isocratic system of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / 1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80: 10: 10$, from the $4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{min}$, a gradient was applied from 80:10:10 to 0:90:10 within 9 min , followed by 1 min of equilibration at 0:90:10 and 1 min at 80:10:10. All compounds showed a single peak at the designated retention time and are at least $95 \%$ pure. Microwave reactions were done using Biotage Initiator microwave synthesizer. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was routinely consulted to monitor the progress of reactions, using aluminumcoated Merck silica gel $\mathrm{F}^{254}$ plates. Purification by column chromatography was achieved by use of Grace Davison Davisil silica column material (LC60A, 30-200 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). The procedure for a series of similar compounds is given as a general procedure for all within that series, annotated by the numbers of the compounds.
N -(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-4-ylamino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (5). To $2 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ (1 equiv.)of 4-amino-1-boc-piperidine (3) dissolved in 30 mL DCM was added $2.47 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ (1 equiv.) of N -(3(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl)glycine, $4.66 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ( 1 equiv.) of PyBroP, $1 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ( 0.8 equiv.) of 4dimethylaminopyridine, $5.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ( 3 equiv.) of $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine and molecular sieves
$4 \AA$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The product was partitioned between $\mathrm{DCM} / 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The intermediate was purified by column chromatography ( $50 / 50 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in DCM). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.45-1.37$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 11 \mathrm{H}$ ). Subsequently, tert-butyl 4-(2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamido)acetamido)piperidine-1-carboxylate was added to a dry solution of 3 M HCl in MeOH . The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 hours. Upon completion, the reaction was neutralized with 1 M NaOH (aq.) to $\mathrm{pH}=$ 10 and the methanol was evaporated. Extraction with DCM and subsequent drying over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporation yielded the product. Overall yield $=57 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
N -(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-4-ylamino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (6). To 1 equivalent of tert-butyl piperidin-4-ylcarbamate (4) dissolved in DCM was added 1 equivalent of N -(3(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl)glycine, 1 equivalent of PyBroP, 0.8 equivalents of 4-dimethylaminopyridine, 3 equivalents of $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine and molecular sieves $4 \AA$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The product was extracted with DCM/ 1 M NaOH . The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The intermediate was purified by column chromatography ( $50 / 50 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in DCM). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=22.4,3.6$, $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.41-1.30 (m, 2H). tert-butyl (1-((3-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl)glycyl)piperidin-4-yl)carbamate was added to a dry solution of 3 M HCl in MeOH . The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 hours. Upon completion, the reaction was neutralized with 1 M NaOH (aq.) to $\mathrm{pH}=10$ and the methanol was evaporated. Extraction with DCM and subsequent drying on $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporation yielded the product. Overall yield $=89 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NRR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.10-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
General procedure for the preparation of compounds 7,10,16 and 19.
A round-bottom flask was purged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas before adding DCM. N -(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-4-lamino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (5) was added together with the corresponding aldehyde or ketone in a 1:1 ratio. In the case of ketones, 1 equivalent of acetic acid was added. 2 equivalents of $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred with $4 \AA \mathrm{MS}$ for 48 hours at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with 1 M NaOH (aq.) and extracted with DCM. The organics were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Purification by column chromatography was performed using an eluent system of 90:9:1 DCM:MeOH: $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$.
$N$-(2-((1-(4-chlorobenzyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (7). The product was obtained in a yield of $62 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Acetone-d6) $\delta: 8.35-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 Hz , Acetone-d6) $\delta: 168.0,165.3,138.0,135.4,132.0,131.1,130.4,130.0,129.5,128.1,127.8,127.8,125.5$, 124.1, 124.1, 61.6, 52.1, 46.6, 43.1, 31.8. LC/MS mass found: $454^{+}, 456^{+}\left[H^{+}\right]$. Purity: $96.9 \%$.
$N$-(2-oxo-2-((1-(4-phenylcyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (10). The product was obtained with a yield of $43 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{a} 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{b} 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-$ $2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 168.5,{ }^{a} 168.5,{ }^{b} 166.4,146.8,{ }^{a} 146.8,{ }^{b} 134.2,131.3,130.9,130.4,129.2,128.4,128.3$,
$127.1,126.8,126.0,125.7,125.0,124.5,122.3,63.4,59.2,48.6,47.8,47.3,44.2,44.1,42.2,33.6,32.3$, 28.7, 28.5, 27.9. LC/MS mass found: $488^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Purity: $99.0 \% . a$ and $b$ are indicated for different diastereomers.
N-(2-((1-(4-(3-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (16). This final compound was obtained with a yield of $6 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\right.$ drop of MeOD$) \delta$ : $8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=13.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+$ drop of MeOD) $\delta: 168.2,166.4,134.5,130.5,129.5,129.4,124.5,119.7,119.3$, $113.6,112.9,111.2,110.5,77.5,77.2,76.8,55.3,48.6,44.1,43.9,33.4,28.6$. LC/MS mass found: $518^{+}$ [ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$]. Purity: $97.1 \%$.
N-(2-((1-(4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)cyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide
(19). The product was obtained with a yield of $40 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\right.$ drop of MeOD) $\delta: 8.13(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76\left(\mathrm{dd}, J^{1}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J^{2}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{q}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\right.$ drop of MeOD) $\delta: 168.3$, $154.7,154.3,138.2,134.4,131.0,130.6,129.3,128.5,128.2,127.7,124.4,115.3,115.2,63.6,48.6,48.0$, 47.0, 43.5, 43.2, 33.8, 31.8, 31.7, 29.0, 28.5, 26.8. LC/MS mass found: $504^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Purity: 96.3 \%.

General procedure for the preparation of the final compounds 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 18, 20 and 21.
A 5 mL vial was loaded with 0.5 mmol (1 equiv.) of the corresponding aldehyde or ketone together with 0.5 mmol ( 1 equiv.) of piperidine $\mathbf{5}$ or $\mathbf{6}$. In the case of ketones 1.25 mmol ( 2.5 equiv.) of acetic acid were added. 1 mL of MeOH was added and left to stir at room temperature for 30 minutes. 1 mmol ( 2 equiv.) of PEMB were added, dropwise. The reaction mixture heated at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The crude reaction mixture was directly poured onto a column and purified using the eluent system of 97:2:1 DCM:MeOH: $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$.
N-(2-oxo-2-((1-(3-phenylpropyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (8). Yield = $10 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}-\mathrm{d} 4\right) \delta: 8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.0(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{t}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{q}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS: $448^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Purity: $96.7 \%$.
N-(2-oxo-2-((1-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)ethyl)-3-
(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (9). Yield $=77 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 9.01(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 H), 3.58-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45$ ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). LC/MS: $460^{+}$[ $\left.\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Purity: 99.0\%.
$N$-(2-oxo-2-((1-(3-phenylcyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (11). Yield = $3 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 11.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75\left(\mathrm{dd}, J^{1}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.J^{2}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 2.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}: 488^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Purity: 99.0\%.
N-(2-oxo-2-((1-(4-(2-methy-phenyl)cyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide
(13). The product was obtained with a yield of $13 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-8.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.07-1.95 (m, 5H), 1.89-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.57-1.47 (m, 6H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 168.4,166.5$,
145.7, 144.8, 135.3, 134.4, 131.2, 130.4, 129.3, 128.5, 126.2, 125.7, 125.2, 124.5, 122.4, 63.6, 58.0, 48.9, 47.9, 47.4, 44.2, 39.8, 32.7, 29.2, 27.5, 19.5. LC/MS: $502^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Purity: 95.3\%.

N-(2-oxo-2-((1-(4-(3-methyl-phenyl)cyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide
(14). The product was obtained with a yield of $12 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}^{a}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}^{b}\right), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.00-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 168.4,166.5,147.0,137.9,134.4$, $131.1,130.5,129.3,128.5,128.3,127.8,125.1,124.5,124.1,123.8,63.5,59.2,48.7,47.9,47.4,44.3$, 44.1, 42.4, 33.7, 32.5, 28.7, 28.1, 21.6. LC/MS: $502^{+}$[ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$]. Purity: 98.6\%.

N-(2-((1-(4-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)cyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-
(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (18). The product was obtained with a yield of $30 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.53$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 168.4,166.5,158.8$, $134.4,131.1,129.3,128.5,126.9,125.1,124.5,123.8,122.4,104.8,57.8,55.9,51.5,47.5,44.1,40.5$, 34.6, 33.9, 32.7, 32.0, 29.6, 29.0, 24.2. LC/MS: $548^{+}\left[H^{+}\right]$. Purity: 96.7 \%.

N-(2-((1-(4-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)cyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-
(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (20). The product was obtained with a yield of $24 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+$ drop of MeOD) $\delta: 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.76-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{t}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{t}, J=11.2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.42-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ + drop of MeOD) $\delta: 168.2,166.5,147.6,145.6,141.1$, $134.4,131.3,130.5,129.3,128.5,124.5,119.7,108.2,107.7,107.3,100.9,63.5,59.2,48.7,47.9,47.3$, 44.1, 42.3, 34.0, 32.4, 28.8, 28.0. LC/MS: $532^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Purity: 95.5\%.
$N$-(2-oxo-2-4-[(4-phenylcyclohexyl)amino]piperidin-1-ylethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (21). Yield = $2 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d} 4\right) \delta: 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.65-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}: 488^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Purity: 99.6\%.
N-(2-oxo-2-((1-((1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)ethyl)-3-
(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (12). To a 50 mL round-bottom flask equipped with magnetic stirrer 0.72 mmol (1 equiv.) of iodobenzene, 0.8 mmol (1.1 equiv.) of N -(2-oxo-2-((1-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (40), 0.16 mmol ( 0.25 equiv.) of proline, 0.10 mmol ( 0.14 equiv.) of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ( 1.3 equiv.) of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ and 0.08 mmol ( 0.12 equiv.) of ascorbic acid were added and dissolved in a mixture of DMSO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1)$. Then, 0.08 mmol ( 0.12 equiv.) of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \bullet 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added and the reaction was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The mixture was quenched with $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, extracted 5 times with 10 mL EtOAc, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Solids were recrystallized from a mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ Acetone $=1: 1$ and washed with petroleum ether. Yield $=4 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, Acetone $-\mathrm{d} 6) \delta: 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{t}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{q}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}: 487^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Purity: $95.7 \%$.
General procedure for the preparation compounds 15 and 17.
A round-bottom flask was loaded with 0.25 mmol (1 equiv.) of N -(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-4-ylamino)ethyl)-3(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (5) and 0.25 mmol (1 equiv.) of the corresponding ketone. 40 mL of benzene together with 10 mol\% p-toluenesulfonic acid and $4 \AA$ MS were added. The reaction mixture was left to
reflux with a Dean-Stark setup for 48 hours. Then, to the cooled mixture 2.5 mmol ( 10 equiv.) sodium borohydride were added portionwise and the reaction was left to stir at room temperature for 24 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with 1 M NaOH and extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The product was purified by column chromatography (90:9:1 DCM:MeOH:NH ${ }_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ).
$N$-(2-oxo-2-((1-(4-(p-tolyl)cyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (15). The product was obtained with a yield of $10 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+$ drop of MeOD ) $\delta: 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-$ $2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+$ drop of MeOD) $\delta: 141.9$, $139.3,136.3,135.8,134.6$. 130.9, 130.7, 129.4, 128.4, 127.0, 126.6, 125.2, 124.5, 122.5, 65.5, 48.5, 44.8, 43.5, 42.7, 36.0. 32.5, 28.6, 26.9, 22.9, 21.1. LC/MS: $502^{+}\left[H^{+}\right]$. Purity: $98.9 \%$.

N-(2-((1-(4-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)cyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-
(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (17). The product was obtained with a yield of $2 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ + drop of MeOD) $\delta: 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{t}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.56$ (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+$ drop of MeOD) $\delta: 161.3,144.8,134.6,130.6,129.4,124.5,105.8$, 105.0, $97.5,65.5,55.4,48.6,44.6,43.5,28.5,22.9$. LC/MS: $548^{+}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Purity: $97.7 \%$.

Synthesis of 4-methyl- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-(1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-8-ylidene)benzenesulfonohydrazone (23). In a microwave tube 3.2 mmol ( 1 equiv.) of 1,4-dioxaspiro[4,5]decan-8-one (22) was dissolved in 5 mL of dioxane and 3.5 mmol ( 1.1 equiv.) of tosylhydrazide was added. The reaction mixture was heated at 130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the microwave for 1 h . Product crystallized upon cooling and was collected by filtration. Yield $=$ $65 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-$ 2.32 ( $\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.82-1.71 (m, 4H).

Synthesis of 8-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene (24). In a 20 mL microwave tube 2.0 mmol (1 equiv.) of 4 -methyl- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-(1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-8-ylidene)benzenesulfonohydrazone (23) is dissolved in 7 mL of dioxane and flushed with nitrogen gas. Next, $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $2-$ dicyclohexylphosphino-2', $4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-triisopropylbiphenyl (Xphos) and 2.8 equivalents of 1 M Lit-BuO in hexanes were added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 minute and 2.2 mmol ( 1.1 equiv.) of 3bromoanisole was added. Reaction mixture was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the microwave for 24 h . Reaction mixture was quenched with saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ in water and extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The product was purified by column chromatography ( $2: 8 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ). Yield $=24 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46$ (br s, 2H), 1.91 (t, J = $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-en-8-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate (25). An oven-dried round-bottom flask was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas and filled with 5 mL of dry THF. 3.9 mmol ( 1.3 equiv.) of 2 M solution of LDA in ethylbenzene was added. The reaction mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3 mmol ( 1 equiv.) of ketone 22 was dissolved in 10 mL of dry THF and slowly added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, half an hour at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then cooled again to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Next, $3.9 \mathrm{mmol}(1.3$ equiv.) of the $N$-phenyl-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide) was added to the reaction and the mixture was stirred for 4 hours at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after which it was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine (3x) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The product was purified by column chromatography (1:4 diethylether / petroleumether). Yield $=80 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 6.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-$ $2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-en-8-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (26). In a round-bottom flask 1.1 mmol ( 1.1 equiv.) of bis(pinacolato)diboron was dissolved in 50 mL of toluene and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ( 0.03 equiv), triphenylphosphine ( 0.06 equiv) and potassium phenolate ( 1.5 equiv) were added. The reaction mixture was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas and 1 mmol (1 equiv.) of triflate $\mathbf{2 5}$ was added. The mixture was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. After 4 hours the heat source was removed and the reaction was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature. The reaction mixture was partitioned between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ and the organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Column chromatography was performed using a gradient of 0-10\% EtOAc in DCM as eluent. Yield $=59 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 6.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 139.7,108.0,83.4,64.5,37.2,31.2,25.9,25.0$.
Synthesis of 8-(2H-1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene (27). 0.7 mmol (1 equiv.) of triflate 25 was dissolved in 10 mL of dry THF. To the reaction mixture 0.77 mmol ( 1.1 equiv.) of $3,4-$ (methylenedioxy)phenylboronic acid, 2.31 mmol ( 3.3 equiv.) of potassium fluoride and $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Next, the reaction mixture was filtered over celite and purified with column chromatography (DCM). Yield $=58 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta:\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 28-32.
In a 20 mL microwave tube 0.75 mmol (1 equiv.) of the boron ester $\mathbf{2 6}$ was dissolved in 10 mL of dioxane and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ was added along with 0.75 mmol (1 equiv.) of the corresponding arylbromide. Next, 8 equivalents of $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution was added and the reaction mixture was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas and capped. The reaction mixture was heated in the microwave for 10 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was partitioned between $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Column chromatography was performed with DCM as eluent.
8-(2-methyl-phenyl)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene (28). Yield $=90 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.16-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
8-(3-methyl-phenyl)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene (29). Yield $=99 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ).
8-(4-methyl-phenyl)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene (30). Yield $=84 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
8-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene (31). Yield $=80 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 6.54$ (d, J = 2 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
8-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene (32). Yield $=90 \%,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.15(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
General procedure for the hydrogenation of the double bond of compounds 24, 27-32.
A round-bottom flask was purged with hydrogen gas and the corresponding cyclohexene was added. 4 wt\% of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \% \mathrm{wt})$ was added together with $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and MeOH was added and hydrogen balloon. The reaction mixture was flushed with hydrogen and stirred overnight under hydrogen atmosphere. When the reaction was finished, the reaction mixture was filtered through celite. Column chromatography was performed if necessary, using $100 \%$ DCM as eluent. The obtained yields were 85$99 \%$. All products showed correct mass in TLC/MS.
General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 33-39. To a round-bottom flask was added 1 equivalent of the corresponding acetal. Mixture of DCM and acetone (4:1) was added. Finally 3.5 equivalents of $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3.5
hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with DCM, the organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The product was purified by column chromatography (DCM).
4-(2-methylphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (33). This compound was obtained in $99 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ס: 7.25-7.10 (m, 4H), 3.26-3.19 (m, 1H), 2.58-2.50 (m, 4H), $2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-$ $1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 211.2,142.9,135.2,130.6,126.5,125.0,41.8,38.5,33.2$, 19.5.

4-(3-methylphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (34). This compound was obtained in $99 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.25-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.22-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 211.3,144.9,138.2,128.6,127.5$, 123.8, 42.8, 41.5, 34.1, 21.5.

4-(4-methylphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (35). This compound was obtained in $90 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ) $7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.96$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 211.3,141.9,136.2,129.1,126.6,42.4,41.5,34.1,21.1$.
4 -(3-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (36). This compound was obtained in $95 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.27-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.97$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.53-2.49 (m, 4H), 2.25-2.20 (m, 2H), 1.11-1.88 (m, 2H).
4-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (37). This compound was obtained in $98 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 6.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 211.2,161.0,147.4$, 105.1, 98.2, 55.4, 43.2, 41.4, 33.9.

4-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (38). This product was obtained in $42 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.25-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.87(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), $1.80-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 213.2,158.6,127.4,126.9,120.5,109.1,104.4$, 55.8, 42.1, 35.8, 33.9, 29.7, 27.3.

4-(2H-1,3-benzodioxol-5-y) cyclohexan-1-one (39). This product was obtained in $87 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ס: 6.77-6.69 (m, 3H), $5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.93-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 211.2,147.9,146.2,138.9,119.6,108.4,107.2,101.0$, 42.7, 41.4, 34.3.

N-(2-oxo-2-((1-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (40). In a 50 mL round-bottom flask 1.1 mmol ( 1.1 equiv.) of $N$-(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-4-ylamino)ethyl)-3(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (5) was dissolved in 15 mL of acetone. Subsequently, 1.1 mmol ( 1.1 equiv.) of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and 1 mmol ( 1 equiv.) of propargyl bromide were added. The mixture was refluxed overnight. The product precipitated form the reaction mixture and was collected by filtration. Yield $=99 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.21-8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 4.19-4.15 (m, 3H), 3.98-3.95 (m, 2H), 3.83-3.81 (m, 2H), $3.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## Abbreviations

AcOH, acetic acid; Boc, tert-butyloxycarbonyl; CCL2, chemokine ligand 2; CCR2, chemokine receptor 2; DCE, dichloroethane; DCM, dichloromethane; DiPEA, $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine; DMAP, $N, N$ dimethylaminopyridine; DMSO, dimethylsulfoxide; INCB3344, $N$-(2-()( $35,4 S$ )-1-(4-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-4-hydroxycyclohexyl)-4-ethoxypyrrolidin-3-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide; JNJ Lead, $\quad N$-(2-((1-((1R,4R)-4-(3-(dimethylamino)phenyl)-4-hydroxycyclohexyl)azetidin-3-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide; KO, knock-out; KOPh, potassium phenolate; LDA, lithium diisopropylamide; Lit-BuO, lithium tert-butoxide; MeOH , methanol; MW, microwave; MS, molecular sieves; $\quad \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \quad$ tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0); $\quad \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \quad\left[1,1^{\prime}-\right.$ bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene]dichloropalladium(II), complex with dichloromethane; $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, palladium acetate; $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0); PEMB, 5-ethyl-2-methyl-
pyridine borane; $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, triphenylphosphine; PyBrOP, bromo-tris-pyrrolidino phosphoniumhexafluorophosphate; SAR, structure-affinity relationships; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; THF, tetrahydrofuran; U2OS, Human Bone Osteosarcoma Cells; XPhos, 2-dicyclohexylphosphino-2', 4', $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$ triisopropylbiphenyl.
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## Chapter 7

## Conclusions and Future Perspectives

Inhibition of the CC chemokine 2 receptor (CCR2), the subject of my thesis, is an attractive strategy to combat inflammatory conditions. Hence, the pharmaceutical industry, both smaller and bigger actors, has paid considerable attention to this and related chemokine receptors. Currently, there are more than 45 different chemical classes known as CCR2 antagonists. However, despite the big diversity of antagonists and all the efforts in (pre)clinical pharmacology in the CCR2 research field there are still no marketed drugs targeting this receptor. In fact, all clinical trials targeting CCR2 have failed to show efficacy, which would suggest that CCR2 is the "wrong" target. However, the research described in this thesis provides a better understanding of the small-molecule antagonist interactions with the CCR2 receptor and might help to improve the efficacy of CCR2 antagonists in the future, and to resurrect CCR2 as a potential target for the treatment of various inflammatory diseases.

## DEVELOPMENT OF NEW CCR2 ANTAGONISTS

All small-molecule CCR2 antagonists can be divided into two distinct classes based on their binding site in the CCR2 receptor. The bulk of antagonists bind to the same orthosteric binding site located at the interface between transmembrane domains and extracellular side of the receptor, where they are directly competing with the endogenous ligand CCL2. Recently we discovered another (allosteric) binding site, which is located on the intracellular side of the receptor. Until now, only a few scaffolds are known to bind to this intracellular binding site (unpublished data).

## PHARMACOPHORES OF CCR2 ANTAGONISTS

Despite the substantial chemical diversity in the structures of the antagonists, the majority of the orthosteric ligands correspond to the same pharmacophore (Figure 1). In the pharmacophore one of the general features is the presence of a basic nitrogen in the center of the molecule. Although Bristol-Meyers Squibb developed BMS22 ${ }^{\frac{1}{-}}$ - a high affinity CCR2 antagonist without basic nitrogen -, a subsequent incorporation of an additional amine yielded a strong boost in affinity. ${ }^{\frac{2}{2}}$ This is also in accordance with mutagenesis studies, which
suggest that the nitrogen atom, positively charged at physiological pH , forms a salt-bridge interaction with the receptor's glutamic acid E291. Another crucial feature is an aromatic ring on one side of the molecule connected via an amide-containing linker to the central nitrogen atom.


Figure 1. Pharmacophore based on superimposition of 7 CCR2 small-molecule antagonists. Important groups are indicated by the circles: aromatic ring with $m-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group (red), amide containing linker (orange), aliphatic group/link with basic nitrogen (green), lipophilic group (light blue), aliphatic link (pink) between basic nitrogen and aromatic ring (blue).

Usually this aromatic ring is furnished with lipophilic, electron-withdrawing groups (preferentially $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) on the meta position. Interestingly, in the case of cyclopentylamines in the center of the molecule (see also chapter 3) any attempt to alter the location or characteristics of the substituent on this aromatic ring completely abolished affinity (unpublished data). The other side of the molecule is more versatile and can bear a wide range of different substituents as long as they fit the pharmacophore. Nevertheless, several criteria should be met before reasonable affinities can be achieved. This was evaluated in more detail with a piperidinediamide scaffold (chapter 6). This moiety is based on both pyrrolidine and azetidine scaffolds ${ }^{3,4}$ by expanding the central aliphatic ring to piperidine. Despite that this scaffold still
fits the pharmacophore, substituents that were very well tolerated in the pyrrolidine series ${ }^{5}$ caused a complete loss of affinity. After extensive SAR studies it was concluded that, similarly to the azetidine series, a 4-aryl-cyclohexyl group is the preferred substituent for this scaffold.


Figure 2. a) superimposition of allosteric CCR2 antagonists: Scaffold A (yellow) and Scaffold F (orange), which did not yield high affinity compounds; b) superimposition of docking poses (based on mutagenesis studies) of allosteric CCR2 antagonists: Scaffold A (yellow), Scaffold F (orange) and Sulfonamide (blue).

Structures of the allosteric (intracellular) binders (Scaffold A and Scaffold F) are similar and can be superimposed to generate another pharmacophore (Figure 2a). However, the new scaffolds (Figure 3) that were designed based on such a pharmacophore failed to yield compounds with better affinity than $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ towards the CCR2 receptor (unpublished data).


Thiourea


Pyrimidine


Pyridine

Figure 3. New core structures (thiourea, pyrimidine and pyridine) designed based on superimposition of scaffolds A and F (Figure 2a).

These failures suggested that there must be differences between the binding modes of the known allosteric antagonists. Later mutagenesis studies showed that, despite the structural similarity of the ligands (scaffold A and F), they only partially overlap in the binding site and this was also proposed by further docking studies (Figure 2b). To generate a valid pharmacophore of the allosteric binders I would suggest combining the knowledge of mutagenesis and docking studies with the SAR studies performed on known allosteric antagonists (Figure 2b). This could potentially reveal unexplored sub-pockets for interaction in the allosteric binding site and generate new CCR2 antagonists.

## STRUCTURE-AFFINITY RELATIONSHIPS AND/OR STRUCTURE-KINETICS RELATIONSHIPS

Despite the big diversity and substantial amount of already known CCR2 antagonists and irrespective of all failures in clinical trials targeting CCR2, the same pharmaceutical companies file further patents almost on a yearly basis disclosing new chemical entities as potential drugs for the treatment of CCR2-related diseases.- ${ }^{-6}$ Apparently, the general idea of "If something doesn`t work, try something else" also applies to drug discovery and development. Apparently it is tempting to generate huge amounts of structurally diverse compounds and to hope that one will eventually have the necessary properties in one compound to become an efficacious treatment. However, a more elaborate reevaluation of known hits may reveal important factors that were previously overlooked. Until now, the development of CCR2
antagonists has been based primarily on improving CCR2 affinity but it failed to yield efficacious medication. As described in the introduction of this thesis, for a CCR2 antagonist to exert its effect it should block its target for as long as possible. To be able to do this, first it needs to bind to the target (good affinity) and second it must stay bound to the target (long residence time) thus continuing to block it. In this thesis I describe the first attempts to use both properties (affinity and residence time) for the design of new high affinity and long residence time CCR2 antagonists. Chapter $\mathbf{3}$ is a reflection of how the combined knowledge of structure-affinity relationships (SAR) and the drug-target residence time, which can be analyzed as structure-kinetics relationships (SKR), helped to reinvigorate a chemical structure that was previously discarded by other scientists due to its moderate affinity for the CCR2 receptor. ${ }^{7}{ }^{8}$ Based on this structure, we designed and synthesized high affinity and long residence time antagonists. Chapter 4 reports the follow-up on these structures and defines more detailed SAR and SKR through interrogation of the binding site of the CCR2 receptor by applying small changes to the ligand molecule in a step-by-step manner. ${ }^{9}$ As I see it, this approach can already be utilized at the very beginning of the drug discovery cycle thus potentially improving the success rate of drug candidates while decreasing the expenses for the development of new drugs.

However, it will take time before principle of binding kinetics advocated in this thesis will become part of routine tests in early drug discovery. Until now, a possible correlation of binding kinetics with the efficacy of a drug has been shown only in hindsight. I hope the work described in this thesis and similar research will form the basis for the pharmaceutical industry to use binding kinetics in a more prospective manner. A next step would be to test the long residence time compounds in in vivo models and compare their efficacy with structurally similar high affinity, but short residence time CCR2 antagonists. Maybe the best choice for in vivo tests would be one of the derivatives of MK-0483 described in chapter 5. MK-0483 itself, stemming from the Merck Research Laboratories, is a high affinity dual CCR2/CCR5 antagonist and was developed as a potential clinical candidate with a good PK/PD profile and very slow binding kinetics at CCR2. ${ }^{10}$ Despite the excellent properties of this compound, Merck decided to continue clinical trials with MK-0812 (thought to have fast
kinetics) while MK-0483 was kept as a backup candidate. ${ }^{11}$ After the clinical failure of MK0812 mentioned previously, the long residence time CCR2 antagonist MK-0483 never got a chance to enter clinical trials. However, if Merck would restore the CCR2 program in their pipeline, the best option for proof-of-concept would be to use MK-0483, due to its long residence time and dual antagonism (the value of dual antagonism will be discussed later).

## SPECIFICITY, DUAL ANTAGONISM OR FUNCTIONAL SELECTIVITY - WHAT DO WE NEED?

To develop a new drug and get it to the market as an effective treatment, it must fulfill many requirements, one of which is being selective to avoid potential adverse effects via off-target binding. Usually, during the early stages of the drug discovery process, a counterscreen against a panel of different off-targets is used to determine the selectivity of a lead compound before advancing it into in vivo studies. However, in some cases, lack of selectivity over some targets can be tolerated or it can even be beneficial. Orthosteric CCR2 antagonists often have affinity for the CCR5 receptor too and are called dual antagonists, like the above-mentioned MK-0483. According to Pasternak et al..$^{10}$ it is unlikely that CCR5 blockade is a treatment liability for CCR2-related diseases. Zhao et al. ${ }^{12}$ even suggested that BMS-A (a dual CCR2/CCR5 antagonist) offers a novel oral therapy for the treatment of autoimmune diseases. Additionally, CCR2/CCR5 dual inhibition could be beneficial in the treatment of Crohn's disease and atherosclerosis. ${ }^{\frac{13}{}}$ However, in the case of rheumatoid arthritis (RA), Lebre et al. recently described that even dual antagonism of CCR2/CCR5 does not prevent monocyte migration when induced by synovial fluid (taken form RA patients), which contains many different chemokines. ${ }^{14}$ Only the blockade of the CCR1 receptor in this assay resulted in inhibition of chemotaxis; however, CCR1 antagonists failed to show effect in clinical trials. ${ }^{15}$ Apparently, the chemokine system in RA pathology is very versatile and one could argue that selective inhibition is doomed for failure as described above. To tackle this multi-level system, one should combine the separate benefits (observed in numerous in vitro studies) of the inhibition of CCR1, CCR2 and CCR5 in one treatment. This could potentially be achieved by a combination of an allosteric dual CCR1/CCR2 antagonist (such as the sulfonamide in Figure

2b) ${ }^{16}$ with an orthosteric dual CCR2/CCR5 antagonist (e.g., MK-0483). Moreover, in radioligand binding studies it was observed that allosteric and orthosteric CCR2 antagonists enhance each other's binding. ${ }^{17}$ Another possibility would be to develop an "all-in-one" antagonist, which most probably would be an allosteric binder as the intracellular binding site is more conserved between the different chemokine receptors. A good starting point could be the sulfonamide core (Figure 2b), which already has high affinity for CCR1/2 and submicromolar affinity for CCR4/5 receptors. ${ }^{16}$

Another peculiarity that should be taken into account when developing drugs that target the chemokine system is its intricate complexity. For example, the CCR2 receptor can be activated by multiple chemokines (e.g. CCL2, CCL7, CCL8, CCL11 and CCL13), while all these chemokines, except CCL2, can bind to multiple other chemokine receptors. Moreover, such receptor-ligand interchangeability is observed between almost all chemokine receptors and their ligands, rendering the whole chemokine system promiscuous. However, the distinct tissue expression of different chemokines points out that they are part of specific and fine-tuned immune system. ${ }^{18}$ For example, the CCL2/CCR2 axis is important for monocyte migration from the bone marrow to the blood under normal homeostatic conditions. During inflammation, however, also CCL7, next to CCL2, plays an essential role in monocyte recruitment to the inflamed tissue. ${ }^{19}$ Additionally, Berchiche et al. reported that CCR2 shows a degree of biased signaling when activated by different chemokines. ${ }^{20}$ Although not entirely understood, this intricacy of the chemokine system must be taken into consideration when developing new ligands. For instance, lead compounds should not only be tested against the "primary" endogenous ligand but also all other endogenous ligands as they could bind differently and continue to activate the receptor.

## HERG $\mathrm{K}^{+}$CHANNEL AS AN OFF-TARGET OF CCR2 ANTAGONISTS

Next to the challenge of developing efficacious drugs, they must be also safe. One of the major safety concerns for the pharmaceutical industry has become the human ether-à-go-gorelated gene ( hERG ) potassium ( $\mathrm{K}^{+}$) channel. Blockade of the hERG $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channel can affect heart
rhythm by prolonging the QT interval, thereby increasing the risk of ventricular arrhythmias and fibrillation, potentially leading to torsades de pointes and sudden death. ${ }^{21}$ Due to their binding to this off-target, many drugs have been withdrawn from the market or labeled with a 'black box' warning. Nowadays, screening for hERG $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channel interaction is an FDA prerequisite and a routine procedure in the drug discovery and development cycle. One of the reasons why so many drugs bind to this channel is the broad tolerance of the hERG binding pocket. In general, if a molecule contains a charged or basic nitrogen that can be protonated at physiological pH , linked to an aromatic group on one side of the molecule, and to a lipophilic group on the other, it is a potential binder to the hERG channel. Such description fits a considerable variety of molecules including the orthosteric CCR2 antagonists (Figure 1). However, medicinal chemists have developed different ways to synthesize out hERG affinity from lead compounds. One of the well-known methods is the introduction of an acidic group in the molecule. This approach was used in the development of MK-0483, where the introduction of a carboxylic acid moiety resulted in a 600-fold decrease in affinity for the hERG channel compared to its parent compound. ${ }^{10}$ Another approach is to alter the pharmacophore by decreasing the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the basic nitrogen ${ }^{22}$ or by exchanging an aromatic group to an aliphatic one. ${ }^{23}$ However, such interventions often result in an affinity decrease for the primary target too, thus requiring additional lead optimization if possible. Redfern et al. have proposed a 30 -fold margin be a minimum between free plasma concentration of the drug and its $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value for the hERG channel. ${ }^{24}$ However, pharmaceutical companies should consider increasing this safety margin especially for drugs intended for non-debilitating diseases. Next to the affinity also binding kinetics to and binding configuration (i.e. binding to either the open or closed state of the channel) of the hERG channel should be taken into account. Recently, Veroli et al. ${ }^{25}$ described that hERG inhibitors with similar affinities but different binding kinetics do not hold the same pro-arrhythmic risk. However, binding configuration (especially the closed state of the channel) had a much bigger influence on QT interval prolongation than binding kinetics, suggesting the need for additional screening for hERG binding configurations rather than potency alone.

## FINAL NOTE

Drug discovery has come a long way in its evolution. Mankind journeyed from the prehistoric times when healers found and used medicinal herbs by trial and error of consumption of the local flora, throughout the birth of scientific understanding of chemistry and pharmacology in the $19^{\text {th }}$ century, to current more rational design approaches in drug discovery. Throughout this journey, drug discovery and development have become more effective, safer and more complex and all these improvements have happened in evolutionary jumps. Usually these jumps accrued after discovering new methods, new relationships, or testing and proving new ideas that led to new understandings and paradigm changes. However, such breakthroughs usually came after facing a crisis and at the moment the pharmaceutical industry is thought to face a productivity crisis. ${ }^{\frac{26}{}}$ At the same time there is an increasing awareness that drug discovery cannot be successfully done anymore in 'splendid isolation'. Public-private partnerships, such as the Innovative Medicines Initiative of the EU and the Dutch TIPharma program (that made this thesis possible) are examples how the ideas and knowledge of academia come together with the practical expertise of the industry and in synergy can provide possible solutions to overcome the aforementioned crisis. This joint collaboration and awareness provides room for a further exploration of novel concepts in drug discovery, for which time was often lacking in industry alone. This is certainly true for the subject of my thesis, i.e. another paradigm shift in drug discovery - from "SAR" to "SAR/SKR", and I am happy that I could play a role in it.
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## SUMMARY

This thesis starts with a brief description of the evolution of drug discovery from the "trial and error experiments" to the complex "rational drug design" transforming development of new drugs into the multi-disciplinary field as we know it today (chapter 1). However, despite all the developments and gained knowledge, it is still very difficult to develop effective and safe drugs. Recently, a paradigm shift has been proposed that instead of structure-affinity relationship (SAR) based drug discovery one should use both SAR and structure-kinetic relationships (SKR). This could result in the next evolutionary jump of drug discovery and development. To test this rationale a G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) family member chemotactic chemokine receptor 2 (CCR2) was chosen as the target of interest. At the time of beginning of this thesis the literature already described a "graveyard" of failed CCR2 ligands whose development was based on SAR only. However, several chemical structures showed potential to improve their binding kinetics especially when an indane moiety was incorporated (described in this thesis).

The indane (2,3-dihydro-1H-indene) ring system per se is an appealing scaffold for biologically active compounds and is reviewed in chapter 2. It provides a wide range of possibilities to incorporate specific substituents in different directionalities, thus being an attractive template structure for medicinal chemists. Notably, many indane-based compounds are being used in the clinic to treat various diseases, such as indinavir, an HIV-1 protease inhibitor, indantadol, a potent MAO-inhibitor, the amine uptake inhibitor indatraline, and the ultra-long-acting $\beta$-adrenoceptor agonist indacaterol. Given the diversity of targets these drugs act on, one could argue that the indane ring system is a privileged substructure, just like indole, the nitrogen atom containing unsaturated version of it.

Chapter 3 describes the development of a competition association assay for CCR2 and the primary investigation on the relation of the structure of the ligand and its receptor residence time [i.e. SKR] next to a traditional SAR. This approach resulted in the discovery of a new 5-
bromo-indane derivative with high affinity ( 3.6 nM ) as CCR2 antagonist with a residence time of 135 min .

Chapter 4 contains the report on our findings on both SAR and SKR studies for a series of 3-((inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropyl-cyclopentane-1-carboxamides as CCR2 antagonists. SAR studies showed that this class of compounds tolerates a vast diversity of substituents on the indenyl ring with only small changes in affinity. However, the SKR is affected greatly by minor modifications of the structure. The combination of SAR and SKR in the hit-to-lead process resulted in the discovery of a new high-affinity and long-residence-time CCR2 antagonist ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ $=2.4 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{RT}=714 \mathrm{~min}$ ).

In chapter 5 we report new findings on the SAR and SKR of the reference compound MK0483, its diastereomers, and structural analogues of it as CCR2 antagonists. On the "righthand" side of the molecules the 7-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline group generally yields better affinity and longer drug-target residence time (RT). On the "left-hand" side SAR of the phenyl ring suggests that lipophilic hydrogen bond accepting substituents on the 3 -position are favourable. However, SKR suggests that a lipophilic group with a certain size is desired (e.g. 3-Br, 3-iPr). Alternatively a shielded hydrogen bond can also prolong the residence time; this was most prominently observed in MK-0483 itself ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.2 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{RT}=724$ $\mathrm{min})$.

Next to the SKR studies outlined above, we also developed a novel $N$-(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-4-ylamino)ethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide series of human CCR2 chemokine receptor antagonists described in chapter 6. With a pharmacophore model based on known CCR2 antagonists a new core scaffold was designed, analogues of it synthesized and SAR studies derived yielding a new high affinity CCR2 antagonist N -(2-()1-(4-(3) methoxyphenyl)cyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide.

Finally, general conclusions about the research described in this thesis are outlined. This is also supplemented with future erspectives of CCR2 antagonists based upon the knowledge and results obtained from this work (chapter 7).

## Samenvatting

Dit proefschrift begint met een korte beschrijving van de evolutie van de drug discovery van "trial and error experimenten" naar het complexe "rational drug design" en de transformatie van de ontwikkeling van nieuwe geneesmiddelen in het multi-disciplinaire gebied zoals we dat nu kennen (hoofdstuk 1). Ondanks alle ontwikkelingen en opgedane kennis, is het nog steeds erg moeilijk om effectieve en veilige geneesmiddelen te ontwikkelen. Onlangs is een paradigmaverschuiving voorgesteld waarbij men in plaats van structur-affiniteit relatie (SAR) gebaseerd geneesmiddelenonderzoek, zowel de SAR als de structuur-kinetiek relatie (SKR) zou moeten gebruiken. Dit kan resulteren in de volgende evolutionaire sprong van drug discovery en ontwikkeling. Om dit rationaal te testen werd een G eiwit gekoppelde receptor ( GPCR ) familielid - chemokine receptor 2 ( CCR2 ) - gekozen als doelwit. Ten tijde van de start van dit project omschreef de literatuur een "begraafplaats" van mislukte CCR2 liganden die ontwikkeld waren enkel gebaseerd op de SAR. Echter, verschillende chemische structuren toonden potentieel om hun bindingskinetiek te verbeteren, vooral wanneer een indaan groep werd opgenomen in de structuur (beschreven in dit proefschrift).

Een indaan (2,3-dihydro-1H-indene) ringsysteem is een aantrekkelijke steiger voor biologisch actieve verbindingen en wordt nader beschreven in hoofdstuk 2. Het biedt een breed scala aan mogelijkheden om specifieke substituenten in verschillende richtingen op te nemen, en daarom is het een aantrekkelijk sjabloonstructuur voor chemici. Op indaan gebaseerde verbindingen worden met name veel gebruikt in de kliniek om verschillende ziekten te behandelen, zoals indinavir, een HIV-1 protease remmer, indantadol, een sterke MAO remmer, de amine opname remmer indatraline, en de ultra - langwerkende $\beta$-adrenoceptor agonist indacaterol. Gezien de diversiteit van de receptoren waar deze medicijnen via werken, zou men kunnen stellen dat het indaan ringsysteem een bevoorrechte substructur is, net als indole, de stikstofatoom bevattende onverzadigde versie van indaan.

Hoofdstuk 3 beschrijft de ontwikkeling van een competitie associatie assay voor CCR2, en het onderzoek naar de verhouding van de structuur van het ligand en zijn receptor verblijftijd [SKR] naast detraditionele SAR . Deze benadering resulteerde in de ontdekking van een nieuw 5-bromo-indaan derivaat als CCR2 antagonist, met hoge affiniteit ( 3.6 nM ) en een verblijftijd van 135 minuten.

Hoofdstuk 4 rapporteert onze bevindingen in zowel SAR en SKR studies voor een serie van 3-((inden-1-yl)amino)-1-isopropyl-cyclopentane-1-carboxamides als CCR2 antagonisten. SAR studies toonden aan dat deze klasse van verbindingen een grote verscheidenheid aan substituenten op de indenylring tolereert met slechts kleine veranderingen in affiniteit. Anderzijds wordt de SKR sterk beïnvloed door kleine wijzigingen in de structuur. De combinatie van SAR en SKR in het hit-to-lead proces heeft geleid tot de ontdekking van een nieuwe CCR2 antagonist met hoge-affiniteit en een lange verblijftijd ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=2.4 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{RT}=714$ min).

In hoofdstuk 5 beschrijven we nieuwe bevindingen over de SAR en SKR van de referentieverbinding MK-0483, zijn diastereomeren, en zijn structurele analogen als CCR2 antagonisten. Op de "rechter" kant van de moleculen geeft de 7-(trifluormethyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisochinoline groep over het algemeen een betere affiniteit en langere verblijftijd (RT). Op de "linker" zijde geeft de SAR van de phenylring aan dat lipofiele waterstof brug accepterende substituenten op de 3-positie gunstig zijn. Echter, de SKR suggereert dat een lipofiele groep met een bepaalde grootte is gewenst (bijvoorbeeld $3-\mathrm{Br}, 3-\mathrm{iPr}$ ). Als alternatief kan een afgeschermde waterstofbrug ook de verblijftijd verlengen. Dit was het meest prominent waargenomen in MK-0483 zelf ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.2 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{RT}=724 \mathrm{~min}$ ).

Naast de SKR studies beschreven hierboven ontwikkelden we een nieuwe N -(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-4-ylamino)ethyl)-3-(trifluormethyl)benzamide serie vanCCR2 chemokine-receptor antagonisten, beschreven in hoofdstuk 6. Een nieuwe kernstructur werd ontworpen met behulp van een farmacofoormodel op basis van een bekende CCR2 antagonist. Vervolgens werden analogen gesynthetiseerd en SAR studies uitgevoerd waardoor een nieuwe hoge
affiniteit CCR2 antagonist, N -(2-((1-(4-(3-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide, werd gevonden.

Tot slot worden de algemene conclusies over het onderzoek in dit proefschrift beschreven in hoofdstuk 7. Dit wordt aangevuld met toekomstperspectieven van CCR2 antagonisten gebaseerd op de kennis en de resultaten die zijn verkregen uit dit werk.
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