

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/35006> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Pasma, Jantsje Henrieke

Title: Impaired standing balance : unraveling the underlying cause in elderly

Issue Date: 2015-09-02

Chapter 2

Impaired standing balance:
the clinical need for closing the loop

Jantsje H. Pasma, Denise Engelhart, Alfred C. Schouten, Herman van der Kooij,

Andrea B. Maier, Carel G.M. Meskers

Neuroscience, 2014, May 16; 267:157-65

Abstract

Impaired balance may limit mobility and daily activities, and plays a key role in the elderly falling. Maintaining balance requires a concerted action of the sensory, nervous and motor systems, whereby cause and effect mutually affect each other within a closed loop. Aforementioned systems and their connecting pathways are prone to chronological age and disease-related deterioration. System redundancy allows for compensation strategies, e.g. sensory reweighting, to maintain standing balance in spite of the deterioration of underlying systems. Once those strategies fail, impaired balance and possible falls may occur.

Targeted interventions to prevent falling require knowledge of the quality of the underlying systems and the compensation strategies used. As current clinical balance tests only measure the ability to maintain standing balance and cannot distinguish between cause and effect in a closed loop, there is a clear clinical need for new techniques to assess standing balance. A way to disentangle cause-and-effect relations to identify primary defects and compensation strategies is based on the application of external disturbances and system identification techniques, applicable in clinical practice.

This paper outlines the multiple deteriorations of the underlying systems that may be involved in standing balance, which have to be detected early to prevent impaired standing balance. An overview of clinically used balance tests shows that early detection of impaired standing balance and identification of causal mechanisms is difficult with current tests, thereby hindering development of well-timed and target-oriented interventions as described next. Finally, a new approach to assess standing balance and to detect the underlying deteriorations is proposed.

Introduction

Impaired standing balance, defined as having difficulties maintaining an upright position in daily life activities, is a common problem among the elderly [1;2] and has a significant impact on the health and quality of life [1]. Impaired standing balance plays a key role in falls [3] and is a strong risk factor for falls [4]; one third of elderly persons aged 65 or older falls at least once a year [5-9]. Ten percent of falls among community-dwelling elderly persons result in serious injuries, such as hip fractures (1-2%), other fractures (3-5%) or head injuries (5%) [10]. A quarter of the deaths in home situations are the result of falls [11]. Furthermore, falls are related to psychosocial factors such as fear of falling and social isolation [12;13]; the resulting restricted mobility may further deteriorate standing balance [12;14]. Therefore, falls have a profound socioeconomic impact [15]. To prevent falling targeted interventions improving standing balance are needed which require knowledge of the underlying cause of impaired standing balance at an early stage.

The ability to maintain balance requires appropriate interaction of several key systems, i.e. the motor (muscles), nervous and sensory systems, connected via efferent and afferent signal pathways resulting in a closed loop in which cause and effect are interrelated. Aforementioned systems deteriorate with advanced age [16-18] and as a result of specific diseases and medication use [19]. System redundancy allows for compensation strategies to maintain balance and so it is only when those strategies fail, e.g. in cases of severe system deterioration, multiple system deterioration and/or environmental disturbances exceeding system resilience, that impaired balance and finally falling may occur. Impaired balance may thus go unnoticed until an advanced stage.

Current clinical balance tests, such as the Berg Balance Scale (BSS) and the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), include an assessment of the ability to maintain standing balance during challenging standing conditions [20;21] by narrowing the base of support or closing the eyes. However, identification of cause-and-effect relations, primary deterioration and compensation strategies, and ultimately the quality of the underlying systems requires new technical approaches such as closed loop system identification techniques. This allows for early failure detection, so that there are no missed opportunities for targeted interventions and disease management.

The present paper outlines the clinical need for proper balance assessment, describes the available balance tests and proceeds to describe promising control engineering-based solutions and their applicability for clinical practice.

Deterioration of standing balance

Advanced age in combination with (multi) morbidity and the use of medication will result in a variety of deterioration patterns in the underlying systems involved in maintaining standing balance, which subsequently results in a widely heterogeneous pathophysiology of impaired standing balance among the elderly [16]. Changes in the sensory systems lead to conflicting and inaccurate sensory information about body position. Motor system changes comprise low muscle mass and strength, preventing correction for balance deviations in a proper and efficient way. Changes in the nervous system result in abnormal scaling and timing of corrective responses to internal disturbances, which include sensor and motor noise due to deterioration of the underlying systems, and external disturbances, which are caused by the environment, for example a slip or a push [16]. Due to system redundancy it is possible to compensate for those changes by selecting proper strategies to maintain balance.

Deterioration of the sensory systems

With advanced age, sensory systems deteriorate. Impaired proprioception is apparent from reduced vibration sense by the cutaneous receptors [22] or reduced joint position sense by the muscle spindles and the golgi tendon organs [23], due to axonal degeneration and decrease in the number and density of nerve fibers [22]. Reduced joint position sense can also be due to degenerating chondrocytes in the cartilage surface of joints caused by degenerative joint disease [24]. Age-related diseases, such as diabetes, also result in impaired proprioception [25]. Visual impairment at an advanced age comprises a decline in visual acuity, contrast sensitivity, glare sensitivity, dark adaptation, accommodation and depth perception [16;17]. Cataract and macular degeneration mainly affect central vision, whereas chronic glaucoma reduce peripheral vision [26]. Vestibular impairment at an advanced age results from a reduced number of vestibular hair cells, Scarpa's ganglion cells and nerve fibers [16;17;27;28]. Nerve conduction speed in afferent and efferent pathways slows down due to a decrease in the number of neurons, loss of myelination and other neural changes [17;27].

Deterioration of the motor system

With advanced age, muscle mass decreases, which can result in low muscle mass, i.e. sarcopenia [29]. Furthermore, muscle strength, the rate of force production and muscle power declines with age [30;31] due to age-related alterations in muscle architecture [32], muscle control [33;34], activation dynamics [35-37] and muscle fiber typing [38]. Tendon stiffness decreases with age due to an increase of non-reducible collagen cross-linking, a reduction in collagen fibril crimp angle, an increase in elastin content, a reduction of extracellular water content and an increase in type I collagen. This results in a lower velocity of shortening and a change in the length-tension relation, which causes a reduction of force production [39]. In addition, with age the tendon becomes thicker, hypoechogenic and more likely to tear [40]. Orthopedic pathologies (e.g. arthritis) can lead to restricted mobility; arthritis correlates with a decrease in range of motion in joints [41;42].

Deterioration of the nervous system

The sensory and motor system are linked by the nervous system. The nervous system has the adaptive capacity to compensate for the deterioration of the sensor and motor systems by selecting a compensation strategy to maintain balance. However, this capacity deteriorates with age and disease [16]. In the elderly, deficits in stimulus encoding, central processing and response initiation result in diminished transmission speed and a lower accuracy of sensory information and delayed muscle activation [16]. Impaired blood pressure regulation, as demonstrated by hypertension and orthostatic hypotension, could result in a decrease of cerebral blood flow [43;44] and therefore increase the risk of hypoperfusion of the brain, resulting in brain damage and impaired neural control. As with age cognitive control seems to become of increasing importance for standing balance [45;46], balance will also be negatively influenced by deteriorating cognitive function [47-49].

Compensation strategies to maintain standing balance

System deterioration may induce the selection of alternative compensation strategies. First, sensory reweighting implies that the nervous system will rely on more accurate as compared to less accurate and conflicting sensory information. The elderly are less capable of reweighting sensory information than young people [50;51]. Furthermore, in balance control the elderly rely more on visual information than do the young [52]. As a consequence, the elderly are less able to compensate in situations where visual information is disturbed or excluded by the environment. Second, the elderly rely more heavily on the hip strategy, i.e.

movement around the hip joint, to maintain standing balance compared to the young who rely more on the ankle strategy, i.e. pivot around the ankle joint during normal stance [53]. In response to more challenging conditions, e.g. altered sensory conditions in which vestibular or proprioceptive information is reduced, the young will change their balance strategy by relying more on the hip strategy [54]. As elderly already rely more heavily on the hip strategy, they are less able to adapt to environmental changes. Third, co-contraction is a commonly used strategy in the elderly when other compensation strategies cannot be used efficiently [55]. Co-contraction is energy-demanding and makes the body stiffer, reducing the range of motion. As a consequence, resilience to larger disturbances is reduced and stepping out strategies may be required to prevent falling [56]. Fourth, deterioration of underlying systems increases the attentional demands to maintain standing balance [57]. When attentional resources are limited, this could result in impaired standing balance or falls; if two tasks are performed simultaneously and they require more attentional demands than the total capacity, the performance on either or both tasks deteriorates depending on the difficulty of the tasks. One can compensate for the shortcoming of attention by task prioritization; one task is prioritized over another task to complete the most important task successfully [58;59].

Current standing balance assessment

Clinical balance tests

Clinical balance tests are developed to assess physical performance, such as the Tinetti Balance Test [60], the Functional Reach Test [61], the Berg Balance Scale (BBS) [62], the Clinical balance test of Sensory Interaction and Balance (CTSIB) [63], the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) [64], the Balance Error Scoring System (BESS) [65], the Star Excursion Balance Test (SEBT) [66] and the Romberg's test [67]. As daily activities require balance and balance is hard to detect during these activities, in those tests the ability to maintain balance is dichotomously assessed and scored in specific standing and/or dynamic balance conditions, possibly combined with walking.

Clinical balance tests are practical in use because of their low cost, simple equipment and time efficiency. Furthermore, the tests have a good inter-rater and intra-rater reliability [20;21;68-71]. Due to the dichotomous assessment of the ability to maintain standing balance, clinical balance tests only detect impaired balance when compensation strategies fail [68;72]. Often, active people can maintain standing balance without any problem despite severe system deterioration due to an efficient compensation by selection of proper strategies [72].

This may hamper the use of clinical balance tests in active elderly people or at an early stage of deterioration (i.e. ceiling effect). In addition, clinical balance tests do not provide information about the underlying systems involved in maintaining standing balance and the compensation strategies used. Therefore, the underlying cause of impaired standing balance cannot be detected.

Posturography

Posturography is an alternative method to assess standing balance using a continuous scale [73]. Static posturography comprises assessment of the Center of Mass (CoM) and/or Center of Pressure (CoP) movement during an unperturbed stance. CoM movement represents movement of the body, while CoP movement is a reflection of balance control to keep CoM within the base of support [74]. CoM and CoP movement are interrelated but reflect different aspects of balance control, which shows the necessity to measure both entities simultaneously. CoM movement can be measured using inertial sensors [54;75-77] or position tracking systems [78;79], which measure body segment displacement with respect to a local or global coordinate system. CoP movement can be measured using force plates [80-82] or in-shoe pressure assessment devices [83;84] which measure ground reaction forces. Inertial sensors and in-shoe pressure assessment devices are less expensive and can be used outside the laboratory. Deterioration detection of a specific sensory system can be facilitated by manipulation of standing conditions, i.e. several foot positions (changes in base of support), with eyes open or eyes closed (elimination of vision), or on a firm or compliant surface (disturbance of proprioception) [73]. The Sensory Orientation Test (SOT) uses six sensory conditions in which the information of three main sensory systems is alternately eliminated or disturbed. Ratios between conditions give more insight into the quality of the underlying sensory systems [85]. In contrast to static posturography, dynamic posturography comprises CoM or CoP movement assessment during external disturbances applied by platform movement or disturbances applied to upper body parts.

Posturography is easily applicable, but a major disadvantage is the high intrasubject variability preventing individual assessment of standing balance [73]. A main source of variability is the use of different compensation strategies depending on age, disease and test condition [86-88]. The reliability depends on the population of interest, time of measurement and number of trials. To reach a good reliability, it is recommended to measure CoP and/or CoM movement more than once and during a time period of 90 seconds [88;89], which is less

feasible in clinical practice and in an elderly population. The results of posturography are also inherently difficult to interpret. Increased CoP or CoM movement is generally assumed to reflect a deterioration of balance control; this may however not be the case [90-92]. As the underlying systems are interrelated, selection of another compensation strategy could induce either increased or diminished CoP and/or CoM movement, in fact reflecting optimal balance control. Furthermore, changes in CoM and/or CoP movement can be multicausal, i.e. caused by deterioration of several underlying systems. In addition, CoP and/or CoM movement are influenced by training, such as ballet training. Ballet dancers show a better stability compared with untrained controls [93;94], but have an increased CoP and/or CoM movement in specific sensory conditions compared with untrained controls due to different use of sensory information [95;96]. As a result, posturography cannot distinguish between the various underlying systems and the compensation strategies used and it therefore fails to reveal the details of the underlying pathophysiology of impaired standing balance [92].

Interventions to improve standing balance

Individually targeted multifactorial intervention, including individual risk assessment, is shown to be the most effective with a significant and beneficial effect on the rate of falling [97]. However, due to the lack of clinical tests that can make a distinction between underlying causes of impaired standing balance, nowadays general fall prevention interventions are used, comprising exercising, environmental modification, medication optimization, education, or a combination. To reduce the risk of falling, exercising seems to be the best to use in the elderly [97-99]. Exercising, either balance, resistance or cognitive-motor training [100;101] could also be prescribed to improve standing balance in the elderly in particular [102].

For traditional balance training there are hardly any scientific guidelines regarding contents, optimal duration and intensity. The American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) recommends exercises that include 1) standing conditions with increasing difficulty caused by gradually reducing the base of support (e.g. semi-tandem stance, tandem stance, one-leg stance); 2) dynamic movements that disturb the CoM (e.g. tandem walk, circle turns); 3) stressing muscle groups involved in standing balance (e.g. heel stands, toe stands); and 4) reducing sensory input (e.g. standing with eyes closed) [103]. Perturbation-based balance training concentrates on compensation strategies to recover from unexpected disturbances using exercises matching real life conditions [104]. Multitask balance training focuses on balance control during dual task activities, as instability increases when shared attention is

needed [105]. Perturbation-based and multitask balance training are shown to be more effective than traditional balance training [106]. However, a drawback of all aforementioned training types is the lack of a clear dose-response relationship and the unknown effects on the underlying systems and the compensation strategies used [107].

Resistance training is used to improve the motor system, i.e. muscle function. It comprises strength training [108;109] and power training [110;111] to increase muscle strength and velocity of force production respectively. High intensity strength training appears to be more effective than low intensity training. However, the effect on standing balance is less clear [112]. Power training has been shown to be more effective in improving standing balance than strength training [113]. Low intensity power training seems to be better than high or medium intensity power training [114]. However, the most effective intensity of resistance training is still under debate.

Cognitive-motor training focuses on the attentional demands needed to perform standing balance conditions. Three types of cognitive or cognitive-motor trainings are proposed. First, cognitive rehabilitation intervention has as goal to maximize the cognitive functioning and/or to reduce the risk of cognitive decline, e.g. by mental imagery training on standing balance. Second, cognitive-motor interventions are interventions combining cognitive tasks with physical tasks, e.g. balancing with a current mental task like memorizing words. Third, computerized interventions use biofeedback or virtual reality to improve standing balance. In the first case, feedback is given on the balance task, e.g. by visual feedback about the CoP movement. In the second case, environments are created in which subjects interact with images and virtual objects in a virtual environment, such as computer games. Previous research showed that cognitive and cognitive-motor training are effective to improve standing balance. However, more research is needed to get more evidence on the effectiveness of cognitive or cognitive-motor training [101].

Despite the generally positive effect of balance training, resistance training and cognitive-motor training on standing balance, it remains unclear which intervention (i.e. content, duration and intensity) can best be prescribed to improve standing balance in any specific case. This requires identification of the underlying cause and primary deterioration in impaired standing balance and the proper compensation strategy to be trained to improve standing balance. This is not possible with the current clinical assessment tools, preventing goal directed and time efficient therapy.

A new method to identify the underlying cause of impaired standing balance

As with current clinical balance tests and posturography it is difficult to identify and to distinguish the primary deterioration of the various underlying systems and the used compensation strategies which are needed to prescribe targeted interventions, there is a clear clinical need for novel techniques to assess standing balance.

Balance control: a closed loop

The underlying systems involved in balance control interact within a closed loop (Figure 1). When the body is disturbed by internal and/or external disturbances, it has to react to these disturbances. Changes in body position are perceived by the three main sensory systems: central and peripheral [115;116] vision, proprioception and the vestibular system [117]. This sensory information is combined and integrated by the nervous system with a specific time delay. Subsequent motor system action in the form of corrective, stabilizing joint torques is generated. This changes body position, which is again perceived by the sensory systems. Thus, in daily life cause and effect are interrelated in a continuous process (Figure 1) within a closed loop [118].

Externally applied disturbances and closed loop system identification techniques

One way to “break open” the loop of balance control and disentangle cause-and-effect relations is to apply precise external disturbances and record how the system reacts. The relation between the disturbances and the response can be described in the frequency domain by a frequency response function (FRF); per applied disturbance frequency the amplitude ratio and time delay between input and output is described. FRFs can be compared between or within subjects to identify changes in the balance control across different disturbance conditions. An additional step is to translate those FRFs to parameters, which makes it possible to describe the underlying systems involved in balance control. The experimental FRFs are compared with a model of the balance control describing the underlying systems mathematically. Using optimization methods, the parameters are estimated, so that they will represent the experimental data the best. The estimated parameters give a physiological meaning to the FRFs [119].




Figure 1. Balance control represented as a closed loop. The position of the human body is perceived by the sensory systems (i.e. proprioceptive, visual and vestibular system). The sensory information is sent to the central nervous system. Here, the information is combined and a command is sent to the muscles (i.e. the motor system), which will contract and change the body position. Using external disturbances the balance control can be disturbed at different places in the loop (e.g. by external pushes, ankle rotations, visual scene movement or galvanic stimulation) and the reaction to the disturbances can be established in different places by measuring muscle activity, ground reaction forces (i.e. Center of Pressure movement) and body sway (i.e. Center of Mass movement).

Identifying deterioration in the nervous system and changes in strategies requires mechanical disturbances. Measuring separately the generated activity of each leg (i.e. the CoP movement) and the CoM movement, makes it possible to identify the contribution of each leg to the stabilization of standing balance [120]. By applying mechanical disturbances at ankle and hip level, the inter-segmental stabilizing mechanisms which represent the contribution of the ankle and hip strategy to the control of standing balance can be identified. Furthermore, the movements of the two joints influence each other. This coupling between the joints can be expressed by relating the joint torques to the joint angles [121].

Quantifying the contribution of the sensory systems requires disturbances of a specific sensory system, e.g. visual scene movement disturbing vision or ankle rotations disturbing proprioception. The contribution of each sensory system in maintaining standing balance can subsequently be expressed by a weighting factor [122]. A distinction can be made in the contribution of the proprioceptive information of the left and the right leg to detect asymmetries [123]. Sensory reweighting strategies can be assessed by increasing sensory disturbance amplitudes [122] as this scales down the contribution of a sensory system, and thus lowers its weighting factor. The quality of the sensory systems can be determined by estimating the noise level [124].

Applying precise external disturbances makes it possible to identify the quality of each underlying system. Simultaneous disturbances of different sensory systems allow for assessment of sensory reweighting and together with mechanical disturbances aimed at different joints, hip and ankle strategies can be identified. The use of random multisine disturbances consisting of specific frequencies prevents anticipation and allows for assessment of the bandwidth of system quality. As the goal is to identify the balance control and not to identify the limits of the balance control, sub maximal amplitudes which can the participant withstand, are used to disturb the system.

Clinical relevance

The use of externally applied disturbances and closed loop system identification techniques makes it possible to detect a deterioration in the underlying systems and the compensation strategies used by elderly persons with impaired standing balance. Using this knowledge a physician can diagnose the underlying and primary cause of impaired standing balance, which makes it possible to prescribe targeted interventions. This method may also be applicable to detect deterioration of balance control at an early stage in elderly persons without impaired standing balance, i.e. who do not show deterioration of balance control using current clinical balance tests. Furthermore, the described method is time and cost effective since it allows for simultaneous application of several external disturbances with different frequency contents. The external disturbances are sub maximal, which makes the method safe for the patient. However, before this method can be clinically applied, further research has to investigate sensitivity and specificity of the method to identify impaired standing balance and risk of falling in the population of interest. As such, prototypes are currently implemented and evaluated in clinical practice.

Conclusion

There is a clinical need for new techniques to assess standing balance that can detect the underlying cause and primary deterioration in impaired standing balance at an early stage. Externally applied disturbances in combination with closed loop system identification techniques may fill the void, which makes it possible to intervene in impaired standing balance, at an early stage, with targeted interventions.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the Dutch Technology Foundation STW, which is part of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), and which is partly funded by the Ministry of Economic Affairs. Furthermore, this research is supported by the seventh framework program MYOAGE (HEALTH-2007-2.4.5-10).

References

1. Lin HW, Bhattacharyya N. Balance disorders in the elderly: Epidemiology and functional impact. *Laryngoscope*. 2012;122(8):1858-61.
2. Jonsson R, Sixt E, Landahl S, Rosenhall U. Prevalence of dizziness and vertigo in an urban elderly population. *J Vestib Res*. 2004;14(1):47-52.
3. Rubenstein LZ. Falls in older people: epidemiology, risk factors and strategies for prevention. *Age Ageing*. 2006;35 Suppl 2:ii37-ii41.
4. Muir SW, Berg K, Chesworth B, Klar N, Speechley M. Quantifying the magnitude of risk for balance impairment on falls in community-dwelling older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2010;63(4):389-406.
5. Tinetti ME, Ginter SF. Identifying mobility dysfunctions in elderly patients. Standard neuromuscular examination or direct assessment? *JAMA*. 1988;259(8):1190-3.
6. O'Loughlin JL, Robitaille Y, Boivin JF, Suissa S. Incidence of and risk factors for falls and injurious falls among the community-dwelling elderly. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1993;137(3):342-54.
7. Luukinen H, Koski K, Hiltunen L, Kivela SL. Incidence rate of falls in an aged population in northern Finland. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1994;47(8):843-50.
8. Stalenhoef PA, Diederiks JP, de Witte LP, Schiricke KH, Crebolder HF. Impact of gait problems and falls on functioning in independent living persons of 55 years and over: a community survey. *Patient Educ Couns*. 1999;36(1):23-31.
9. Chu LW, Chi I, Chiu AY. Incidence and predictors of falls in the chinese elderly. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2005;34(1):60-72.
10. NVKG. Richtlijn Preventie van valincidenten bij ouderen. Utrecht, the Netherlands Nederlandse Vereniging voor Klinische Geriatrie, 2004.
11. CBS. Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek - StatLine [Online]. 2013 [cited 2013 16-10-2013]. Available from: <http://www.statline.cbs.nl/>.
12. Vellas BJ, Wayne SJ, Romero LJ, Baumgartner RN, Garry PJ. Fear of falling and restriction of mobility in elderly fallers. *Age Ageing*. 1997;26(3):189-93.
13. Tinetti ME, Mendes de Leon CF, Doucette JT, Baker DI. Fear of falling and fall-related efficacy in relationship to functioning among community-living elders. *J Gerontol*. 1994;49(3):M140-7.

14. Allison LK, Painter JA, Emory A, Whitehurst P, Raby A. Participation restriction, not fear of falling, predicts actual balance and mobility abilities in rural community-dwelling older adults. *Journal of geriatric physical therapy* (2001). 2013;36(1):13-23.
15. Hartholt KA, Polinder S, Van der Cammen TJ, Panneman MJ, Van der Velde N, Van Lieshout EM, et al. Costs of falls in an ageing population: A nationwide study from the Netherlands (2007-2009). *Injury*. 2012;43(7):1199-203.
16. Horak FB, Shupert CL, Mirka A. Components of postural dyscontrol in the elderly: a review. *Neurobiol Aging*. 1989;10(6):727-38.
17. Sturme DL, St George R, Lord SR. Balance disorders in the elderly. *Neurophysiol Clin*. 2008;38(6):467-78.
18. Manchester D, Woollacott M, Zederbauer-Hylton N, Marin O. Visual, vestibular and somatosensory contributions to balance control in the older adult. *J Gerontol*. 1989;44(4):M118-27.
19. Konrad HR, Girardi M, Helfert R. Balance and aging. *Laryngoscope*. 1999;109(9):1454-60.
20. Whitney SL, Poole JL, Cass SP. A review of balance instruments for older adults. *Am J Occup Ther*. 1998;52(8):666-71.
21. Langley F, Mackintosh SFH. Functional balance assessment of older community dwelling adults: A systematic review of the literature. 2007.
22. Dorfman LJ, Bosley TM. Age-related changes in peripheral and central nerve conduction in man. *Neurology*. 1979;29(1):38-44.
23. Gilman S. Joint position sense and vibration sense: anatomical organisation and assessment. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2002;73(5):473-7.
24. Skinner HB, Barrack RL, Cook SD. Age-related decline in proprioception. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1984 (184):208-11.
25. Arnold R, Kwai NC, Krishnan AV. Mechanisms of axonal dysfunction in diabetic and uraemic neuropathies. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2013;10.1016/j.clinph.2013.04.012.
26. Eichenbaum JW. Geriatric vision loss due to cataracts, macular degeneration, and glaucoma. *Mt Sinai J Med*. 2012;79(2):276-94.
27. Barin K, Dodson EE. Dizziness in the elderly. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am*. 2011;44(2):437-54, x.
28. Woollacott MH. Age-related changes in posture and movement. *J Gerontol*. 1993;48 Spec No:56-60.
29. Morley JE. Sarcopenia: diagnosis and treatment. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2008;12(7):452-6.

30. Thom JM, Morse CI, Birch KM, Narici MV. Influence of muscle architecture on the torque and power-velocity characteristics of young and elderly men. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2007;100(5):613-9.
31. Narici MV, Maffulli N. Sarcopenia: characteristics, mechanisms and functional significance. *Br Med Bull.* 2010;95:139-59.
32. Narici MV, Maganaris CN, Reeves ND, Capodaglio P. Effect of aging on human muscle architecture. *J Appl Physiol.* 2003;95(6):2229-34.
33. Campbell MJ, McComas AJ, Petito F. Physiological changes in ageing muscles. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 1973;36(2):174-82.
34. Dalton BH, McNeil CJ, Doherty TJ, Rice CL. Age-related reductions in the estimated numbers of motor units are minimal in the human soleus. *Muscle Nerve.* 2008;38(3):1108-15.
35. Payne AM, Delbono O. Neurogenesis of excitation-contraction uncoupling in aging skeletal muscle. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 2004;32(1):36-40.
36. Gannon J, Doran P, Kirwan A, Ohlendieck K. Drastic increase of myosin light chain MLC-2 in senescent skeletal muscle indicates fast-to-slow fibre transition in sarcopenia of old age. *Eur J Cell Biol.* 2009;88(11):685-700.
37. Short KR, Bigelow ML, Kahl J, Singh R, Coenen-Schimke J, Raghavakaimal S, et al. Decline in skeletal muscle mitochondrial function with aging in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005;102(15):5618-23.
38. Vandervoort AA. Aging of the human neuromuscular system. *Muscle Nerve.* 2002;25(1):17-25.
39. Narici MV, Maffulli N, Maganaris CN. Ageing of human muscles and tendons. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2008;30(20-22):1548-54.
40. Yu TY, Tsai WC, Cheng JW, Yang YM, Liang FC, Chen CH. The effects of aging on quantitative sonographic features of rotator cuff tendons. *J Clin Ultrasound.* 2012;40(8):471-8.
41. Badley EM, Wagstaff S, Wood PH. Measures of functional ability (disability) in arthritis in relation to impairment of range of joint movement. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 1984;43(4):563-9.
42. Hughes SL, Dunlop D, Edelman P, Chang RW, Singer RH. Impact of joint impairment on longitudinal disability in elderly persons. *J Gerontol.* 1994;49(6):S291-300.
43. Strandgaard S, Paulson OB. Cerebral blood flow in untreated and treated hypertension. *Neth J Med.* 1995;47(4):180-4.
44. Novak V, Novak P, Spies JM, Low PA. Autoregulation of cerebral blood flow in orthostatic hypotension. *Stroke.* 1998;29(1):104-11.

45. Heuninckx S, Wenderoth N, Debaere F, Peeters R, Swinnen SP. Neural basis of aging: the penetration of cognition into action control. *J Neurosci*. 2005;25(29):6787-96.
46. Ward NS, Frackowiak RS. Age-related changes in the neural correlates of motor performance. *Brain*. 2003;126(Pt 4):873-88.
47. Suttanon P, Hill KD, Said CM, Logiudice D, Lautenschlager NT, Dodd KJ. Balance and mobility dysfunction and falls risk in older people with mild to moderate Alzheimer disease. *American journal of physical medicine & rehabilitation / Association of Academic Physiatrists*. 2012;91(1):12-23.
48. Taylor ME, Delbaere K, Lord SR, Mikolaizak AS, Close JC. Physical impairments in cognitively impaired older people: implications for risk of falls. *International psychogeriatrics / IPA*. 2013;25(1):148-56.
49. Shin BM, Han SJ, Jung JH, Kim JE, Fregni F. Effect of mild cognitive impairment on balance. *J Neurol Sci*. 2011;305(1-2):121-5.
50. Hay L, Bard C, Fleury M, Teasdale N. Availability of visual and proprioceptive afferent messages and postural control in elderly adults. *Exp Brain Res*. 1996;108(1):129-39.
51. Teasdale N, Stelmach GE, Breunig A, Meeuwssen HJ. Age differences in visual sensory integration. *Exp Brain Res*. 1991;85(3):691-6.
52. Faraldo-Garcia A, Santos-Perez S, Crujeiras-Casais R, Labella-Caballero T, Soto-Varela A. Influence of age and gender in the sensory analysis of balance control. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2012;269(2):673-7.
53. Hsu WL, Chou LS, Woollacott M. Age-related changes in joint coordination during balance recovery. *Age (Dordr)*. 2012;10.1007/s11357-012-9422-x [doi].
54. Turcato A, Ramat S. A computational framework for the standardization of motion analysis exploiting wearable inertial sensors. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*. 2011;2011:4963-6.
55. Benjuya N, Melzer I, Kaplanski J. Aging-induced shifts from a reliance on sensory input to muscle cocontraction during balanced standing. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2004;59(2):166-71.
56. Rogers MW, Mille ML. Lateral stability and falls in older people. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*. 2003;31(4):182-7.
57. Shumway-Cook A, Woollacott M. Attentional demands and postural control: the effect of sensory context. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2000;55(1):M10-6.
58. Lacour M, Bernard-Demanze L, Dumitrescu M. Posture control, aging, and attention resources: models and posture-analysis methods. *Neurophysiol Clin*. 2008;38(6):411-21.
59. Woollacott M, Shumway-Cook A. Attention and the control of posture and gait: a review of an emerging area of research. *Gait Posture*. 2002;16(1):1-14.

60. Tinetti ME. Performance-oriented assessment of mobility problems in elderly patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1986;34(2):119-26.
61. Duncan PW, Weiner DK, Chandler J, Studenski S. Functional reach: a new clinical measure of balance. *J Gerontol.* 1990;45(6):M192-7.
62. Berg K. Measuring balance in the elderly: preliminary development of an instrument. *Physiotherapy Canada.* 1989;41(6):304-11.
63. Shumway-Cook A, Horak FB. Assessing the influence of sensory interaction of balance. Suggestion from the field. *Phys Ther.* 1986;66(10):1548-50.
64. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, Glynn RJ, Berkman LF, Blazer DG, et al. A short physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with self-reported disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. *J Gerontol.* 1994;49(2):M85-94.
65. Finnoff JT, Peterson VJ, Hollman JH, Smith J. Intrarater and interrater reliability of the Balance Error Scoring System (BESS). *PM & R : the journal of injury, function, and rehabilitation.* 2009;1(1):50-4.
66. Gribble PA, Hertel J, Plisky P. Using the Star Excursion Balance Test to assess dynamic postural-control deficits and outcomes in lower extremity injury: a literature and systematic review. *J Athl Train.* 2012;47(3):339-57.
67. Rogers JH. Romberg and his test. *J Laryngol Otol.* 1980;94(12):1401-4.
68. Duncan PW, Studenski S, Chandler J, Prescott B. Functional reach: predictive validity in a sample of elderly male veterans. *J Gerontol.* 1992;47(3):M93-8.
69. Freiburger E, de Vreede P, Schoene D, Rydwick E, Mueller V, Frandin K, et al. Performance-based physical function in older community-dwelling persons: a systematic review of instruments. *Age Ageing.* 2012;41(6):712-21.
70. Bell DR, Guskiewicz KM, Clark MA, Padua DA. Systematic review of the balance error scoring system. *Sports health.* 2011;3(3):287-95.
71. Gribble PA, Kelly SE, Refshauge KM, Hiller CE. Interrater reliability of the star excursion balance test. *J Athl Train.* 2013;48(5):621-6.
72. Pardasaney PK, Latham NK, Jette AM, Wagenaar RC, Ni P, Slavin MD, et al. Sensitivity to change and responsiveness of four balance measures for community-dwelling older adults. *Phys Ther.* 2012;92(3):388-97.
73. Visser JE, Carpenter MG, van der Kooij H, Bloem BR. The clinical utility of posturography. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2008;119(11):2424-36.

74. Winter DA, Patla AE, Ishac M, Gage WH. Motor mechanisms of balance during quiet standing. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol*. 2003;13(1):49-56.
75. Moe-Nilssen R, Helbostad JL. Trunk accelerometry as a measure of balance control during quiet standing. *Gait Posture*. 2002;16(1):60-8.
76. Aminian K, Robert P, Buchser EE, Rutschmann B, Hayoz D, Depairon M. Physical activity monitoring based on accelerometry: validation and comparison with video observation. *Med Biol Eng Comput*. 1999;37(3):304-8.
77. Mayagoitia RE, Nene AV, Veltink PH. Accelerometer and rate gyroscope measurement of kinematics: an inexpensive alternative to optical motion analysis systems. *J Biomech*. 2002;35(4):537-42.
78. Forsell C, Halvorsen K. A method for determining minimal sets of markers for the estimation of center of mass, linear and angular momentum. *J Biomech*. 2009;42(3):361-5.
79. Zabjek KF, Coillard C, Rivard CH, Prince F. Estimation of the centre of mass for the study of postural control in Idiopathic Scoliosis patients: a comparison of two techniques. *Eur Spine J*. 2008;17(3):355-60.
80. Clark RA, Bryant AL, Pua Y, McCrory P, Bennell K, Hunt M. Validity and reliability of the Nintendo Wii Balance Board for assessment of standing balance. *Gait Posture*. 2010;31(3):307-10.
81. Rogind H, Simonsen H, Era P, Bliddal H. Comparison of Kistler 9861A force platform and Chattecx Balance System for measurement of postural sway: correlation and test-retest reliability. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2003;13(2):106-14.
82. Najafi B, Horn D, Marclay S, Crews RT, Wu S, Wrobel JS. Assessing postural control and postural control strategy in diabetes patients using innovative and wearable technology. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2010;4(4):780-91.
83. Han TR, Paik NJ, Im MS. Quantification of the path of center of pressure (COP) using an F-scan in-shoe transducer. *Gait Posture*. 1999;10(3):248-54.
84. Donath L, Roth R, Zahner L, Faude O. Testing single and double limb standing balance performance: comparison of COP path length evaluation between two devices. *Gait Posture*. 2012;36(3):439-43.
85. NeuroCom. NeuroCom Sensory Organization Test [Online]. 2012 [cited 2012 16-10-2013]. Available from: <http://resourcesonbalance.com/neurocom/protocols/sensoryImpairment/SOT.aspx>.
86. Benvenuti F, Mecacci R, Gineprari I, Bandinelli S, Benvenuti E, Ferrucci L, et al. Kinematic characteristics of standing disequilibrium: reliability and validity of a posturographic protocol. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1999;80(3):278-87.

87. Helbostad JL, Askim T, Moe-Nilssen R. Short-term repeatability of body sway during quiet standing in people with hemiparesis and in frail older adults. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2004;85(6):993-9.
88. Lafond D, Corriveau H, Hebert R, Prince F. Intrasession reliability of center of pressure measures of postural steadiness in healthy elderly people. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2004;85(6):896-901.
89. Ruhe A, Fejer R, Walker B. The test-retest reliability of centre of pressure measures in bipedal static task conditions--a systematic review of the literature. *Gait Posture*. 2010;32(4):436-45.
90. Winter DA, Patla AE, Frank JS. Assessment of balance control in humans. *Med Prog Technol*. 1990;16(1-2):31-51.
91. Horak FB, Henry SM, Shumway-Cook A. Postural perturbations: new insights for treatment of balance disorders. *Phys Ther*. 1997;77(5):517-33.
92. Mancini M, Horak FB. The relevance of clinical balance assessment tools to differentiate balance deficits. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*. 2010;46(2):239-48.
93. Golomer E, Cremieux J, Dupui P, Isableu B, Ohlmann T. Visual contribution to self-induced body sway frequencies and visual perception of male professional dancers. *Neurosci Lett*. 1999;267(3):189-92.
94. Hugel F, Cadopi M, Kohler F, Perrin P. Postural control of ballet dancers: a specific use of visual input for artistic purposes. *Int J Sports Med*. 1999;20(2):86-92.
95. Perrin P, Deviterne D, Hugel F, Perrot C. Judo, better than dance, develops sensorimotor adaptabilities involved in balance control. *Gait Posture*. 2002;15(2):187-94.
96. Simmons RW. Sensory organization determinants of postural stability in trained ballet dancers. *The International journal of neuroscience*. 2005;115(1):87-97.
97. Gillespie LD, Robertson MC, Gillespie WJ, Sherrington C, Gates S, Clemson LM, et al. Interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;9:CD007146.
98. Chang JT, Morton SC, Rubenstein LZ, Mojica WA, Maglione M, Suttorp MJ, et al. Interventions for the prevention of falls in older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. *BMJ*. 2004;328(7441):680.
99. Sherrington C, Tiedemann A, Fairhall N, Close JC, Lord SR. Exercise to prevent falls in older adults: an updated meta-analysis and best practice recommendations. *N S W Public Health Bull*. 2011;22(3-4):78-83.
100. Granacher U, Muehlbauer T, Zahner L, Gollhofer A, Kressig RW. Comparison of traditional and recent approaches in the promotion of balance and strength in older adults. *Sports Med*. 2011;41(5):377-400.
101. Pichierri G, Wolf P, Murer K, de Bruin ED. Cognitive and cognitive-motor interventions affecting physical functioning: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatr*. 2011;11:29.

102. Howe TE, Rochester L, Neil F, Skelton DA, Ballinger C. Exercise for improving balance in older people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;10.1002/14651858.CD004963.pub3(11):CD004963.
103. Chodzko-Zajko WJ, Proctor DN, Fiatarone Singh MA, Minson CT, Nigg CR, Salem GJ, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Exercise and physical activity for older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2009;41(7):1510-30.
104. Maki BE, Cheng KC, Mansfield A, Scovil CY, Perry SD, Peters AL, et al. Preventing falls in older adults: new interventions to promote more effective change-in-support balance reactions. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2008;18(2):243-54.
105. Granacher U, Muehlbauer T, Bridenbaugh S, Bleiker E, Wehrle A, Kressig RW. Balance training and multi-task performance in seniors. *Int J Sports Med.* 2010;31(5):353-8.
106. Granacher U, Bridenbaugh SA, Muehlbauer T, Wehrle A, Kressig RW. Age-related effects on postural control under multi-task conditions. *Gerontology.* 2011;57(3):247-55.
107. Granacher U, Muehlbauer T, Gruber M. A qualitative review of balance and strength performance in healthy older adults: impact for testing and training. *J Aging Res.* 2012;2012:708905.
108. Fiatarone MA, Marks EC, Ryan ND, Meredith CN, Lipsitz LA, Evans WJ. High-intensity strength training in nonagenarians. Effects on skeletal muscle. *JAMA.* 1990;263(22):3029-34.
109. Granacher U, Gruber M, Gollhofer A. Resistance training and neuromuscular performance in seniors. *Int J Sports Med.* 2009;30(9):652-7.
110. Reid KF, Callahan DM, Carabello RJ, Phillips EM, Frontera WR, Fielding RA. Lower extremity power training in elderly subjects with mobility limitations: a randomized controlled trial. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2008;20(4):337-43.
111. Fielding RA, LeBrasseur NK, Cuoco A, Bean J, Mizer K, Fiatarone Singh MA. High-velocity resistance training increases skeletal muscle peak power in older women. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2002;50(4):655-62.
112. Latham NK, Bennett DA, Stretton CM, Anderson CS. Systematic review of progressive resistance strength training in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2004;59(1):48-61.
113. Tschopp M, Sattelmayer MK, Hilfiker R. Is power training or conventional resistance training better for function in elderly persons? A meta-analysis. *Age Ageing.* 2011;40(5):549-56.
114. Orr R, Raymond J, Fiatarone Singh M. Efficacy of progressive resistance training on balance performance in older adults : a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Sports Med.* 2008;38(4):317-43.
115. Bardy BG, Warren WH, Jr., Kay BA. The role of central and peripheral vision in postural control during walking. *Percept Psychophys.* 1999;61(7):1356-68.

116. Paulus WM, Straube A, Brandt T. Visual stabilization of posture. Physiological stimulus characteristics and clinical aspects. *Brain*. 1984;107 (Pt 4):1143-63.
117. Johansson R, Magnusson M, Akesson M. Identification of human postural dynamics. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng*. 1988;35(10):858-69.
118. Morasso PG, Schieppati M. Can muscle stiffness alone stabilize upright standing? *J Neurophysiol*. 1999;82(3):1622-6.
119. van der Kooij H, van Asseldonk E, van der Helm FC. Comparison of different methods to identify and quantify balance control. *J Neurosci Methods*. 2005;145(1-2):175-203.
120. van Asseldonk EH, Buurke JH, Bloem BR, Renzenbrink GJ, Nene AV, van der Helm FC, et al. Disentangling the contribution of the paretic and non-paretic ankle to balance control in stroke patients. *Exp Neurol*. 2006;201(2):441-51.
121. Boonstra TA, Schouten AC, van der Kooij H. Identification of the contribution of the ankle and hip joints to multi-segmental balance control. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 2013;10(1):23.
122. Peterka RJ. Sensorimotor integration in human postural control. *J Neurophysiol*. 2002;88(3):1097-118.
123. Pasma JH, Boonstra TA, Campfens SF, Schouten AC, Van der Kooij H. Sensory reweighting of proprioceptive information of the left and right leg during human balance control. *J Neurophysiol*. 2012;108(4):1138-48.
124. van der Kooij H, Peterka RJ. Non-linear stimulus-response behavior of the human stance control system is predicted by optimization of a system with sensory and motor noise. *J Comput Neurosci*. 2011;30(3):759-78.