



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

LXX Isaiah 24:1-26:6 as interpretation and translation : a methodological discussion

Angelo Cunha, W. de

Citation

Angelo Cunha, W. de. (2012, March 15). *LXX Isaiah 24:1-26:6 as interpretation and translation : a methodological discussion*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/18588>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/18588>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/18588> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Angelo Cunha, Wilson de

Title: LXX Isaiah 24:1-26:6 as interpretation and translation : a methodological discussion

Issue Date: 2012-03-15

CHAPTER 3 - ISA 25:1-12: A COMPARISON

25:1

MT:	יהוה אלהי אתה ארוממך אודה שמך כי עשית פלא עצות מרחוק אמונה אמן
Trans.:	“Yahweh, you are my God, I will extol you, I will praise your name, because you have done wonderful <i>things</i> , counsels ¹ from afar are firmly reliable.”
LXX:	κύριε ὁ θεός μου δοξάσω σε ὑμνήσω τὸ ὄνομά σου ὅτι ἐποίησας θαυμαστά πράγματα βουλῆν ἀρχαίαν ἀληθινήν γένοιτο κύριε
NETS:	“O Lord, my God, I will glorify you; I will sing hymns to your name, because you have done wonderful things- an ancient, true plan. May it be so, o Lord!
LXX.D.:	“Herr, mein Gott, ich will dich verherrlichen, will deinen Namen rühmen, denn du hast wunderbare <i>Taten</i> getan, <i>einen</i> alten, zuverlässigen Ratschluss; <i>so sei es, Herr!</i> ”

The phrase κύριε ὁ θεός μου “o Lord, my God” stands for יהוה אלהי אתה “Yahweh, you are my God.” In the LXX, the personal pronoun אתה was not translated. Whereas MT reads as a non-verbal clause, “Yahweh, you *are* my God,” LXX has a vocative phrase “o Lord, my God.” It is important to note that the phrase יהוה אלהי אתה appears only once more in 1 Kings 3:7, where it is translated as κύριε ὁ θεός μου σύ. Contrarily, the phrase κύριε ὁ θεός μου occurs several times in the LXX, translating יהוה אלהי (cf. 2 Sam 15:31; 1 Kings 17:21; Tob 3:11; Ps 7:2, 4, 7; 12:4; 17:29; 29:3, 13; 34:24; 37:16 [= MT: אדני אלהי], 22; 39:6;

¹ 1QIsa^a differs from MT in reading אצית for עצות. The א is expected as the letters ץ and א are frequently interchanged. This process had already started in late biblical books (cf. M. Burrows, “Orthography, Morphology, and Syntax of the St. Mark’s Isaiah Manuscript,” *JBL* 68 [1949], 202; E. Y. Kutscher, *The Language and Linguistic Background of the Isaiah Scroll (1 Q Isa^a)* [STDJ 6; Leiden: Brill, 1974], 57, 221). As for the -yod, there is no clear explanation for it (cf. Kutscher, *The Language*, 221). Perhaps, the -yod was the result of confusion between the similar letters י and ך in the scroll.

85:12 [MT: אֱלֹהֵי אֲדָנִי]; 103:1; 108:26; Odes 6:7; Jonah 2:7). It is possible that the translator was used to the stereotyped κύριε ὁ θεός μου.

The expression δοξάσω σε “I will glorify you” stands for אֲרַמְמֶנִּי “I will exalt you.” The pair δοξάζω/רום strikes as it appears only in Isa 25:1; 33:10. Contrarily, the lexeme רום is always translated with ὑψώω in Isa (cf. 1:2; 13:2; 23:4; 30:18; 37:23; 40:9[2x]; 52:13[?]; 58:1). The rare pairing of δοξάζω/רום raises the question as to why the translator decided to employ it here. Part 2 below will discuss this issue further.

The expression ὅτι ἐποίησας θαυμαστά πράγματα “because you have performed wonderful affairs” translates כִּי עָשִׂיתָ פְּלִאִיּוֹת. The plural θαυμαστά renders פְּלִאִיּוֹת several times in the LXX (cf. Josh 3:5; Job 42:3; Ps 98:1; 106:22; 118:23; 119:129; Dan 12:6; Mic 7:15).² The phrase θαυμαστά πράγματα occurs only in LXX Isa 25:1, where πράγματα seems to be a plus.³

The phrase βουλὴν ἀρχαίαν ἀληθινὴν “an ancient, true plan” translates צוֹת מִרְחוֹק אֲמוֹנָה. The singular βουλὴ for the plural צוֹת is noticeable. βουλή appears regularly in the singular and it is equally rendered with the singular of βουλὴ (cf. Isa 5:19; 8:10; 11:2; 14:26; 19:3, 11, 17; 29:15; 30:1; 44:26; 46:10). The only exception is the plural ἄβουλας (Isa 47:13), which was likewise rendered with the plural ταῖς βουλαῖς σου. It has been stated that the singular βουλὴ was due to a necessity of the Greek language.⁴ The question arises as to why the translator used the singular βουλὴ here.⁵ Part 2 below will discuss this issue further.

² In the LXX, even when פְּלִאִיּוֹת is undoubtedly singular, it is translated with the plural of θαυμάσιος/θαυμάσιος, cf. Ps 77:12, 15; 78:12; 88:11; 88:13; 89:6; Joel 2:26. The only exception is Ps 118:23, where the singular תְּפִלָּה is rendered with the singular θαυμαστή.

³ cf. Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 285.

⁴ cf. J. Coste, “Le texte grec,” 38: “le passage du pluriel au singulier étant rendu nécessaire par l’usage différent des deux langues.”

⁵ Among the other ancient witnesses, while Pesh. (ܐܘܘܠܘܬܐ) probably attests to singular “counsel,” Targ. (מַלְכִּי) and Vulg. (*cogitationes*) attest to plural “counsels.”

The adjective ἀρχαῖος translates קַחֲרָמ.⁶ In LXX Isa, μακράν “far-off” (cf. Isa 5:26; 46:12; 57:19; 59:14), μακρόθεν “from afar” (cf. Isa 60:4, 9), πόρρωθεν (cf. Isa 33:13; 39:3; 43:6; 49:12), and πόρρω “to a distance” (cf. Isa 22:3; 66:19) are used to translate קַחֲרָמ. Isa 25:1 is the sole example of the equivalence ἀρχαῖος/קַחֲרָמ in the LXX. It is clear that the translator took קַחֲרָמ in a temporal sense with his choice of ἀρχαῖος as he did in Isa 22:11 (קַחֲרָמ/ἀπ’ ἀρχῆς) and 30:27; 49:1 (קַחֲרָמ/קַחֲרָמ = διὰ χρόνου πολλοῦ).⁷

As for ἀληθινήν, S. Talmon argued that it translates מְנָא, which was vocalized as מְנָא.⁸ However, his proposal must be rejected for the following reasons: first, as argued below, מְנָא vocalized as מְנָא is usually translated with γένοιτο. In contrast, the only other place where the equivalence מְנָא/ἀληθινός appears is Isa 65:16[2x]; second, although ἀληθινός renders מְנָא only in Isa 25:1; 59:4, its cognate ἀλήθεια translates מְנָא several times (cf. Isa 11:5; 2 Chron 19:9; Ps 36:6; 40:11; 88:12; 89:2, 3, 6, 9, 25, 34, 50; 92:3; 96:13; 98:3; 100:5; 119:30, 75, 86, 90, 138; 143:1). And, third, the clause position of ἀληθινήν indicates that it translates מְנָא instead of מְנָא; otherwise, one would have to argue that the translator skipped מְנָא and translated מְנָא with ἀληθινήν and joined the latter together with βουλήν ἀρχαίαν ἀληθινήν; and, then, he translated מְנָא with γένοιτο κύριε but positioned this phrase at the end of the clause. Albeit possible, Talmon’s suggestion would require a cumbersome explanation of the Greek translation. It is simply better to see ἀληθινήν as a translation of מְנָא, perhaps taken as participle feminine.⁹

Finally, in the phrase γένοιτο κύριε, γένοιτο translates מְנָא, which was probably read as מְנָא instead of MT’s מְנָא.¹⁰ This claim is supported

⁶ cf. Coste, “Le texte grec,” 38.

⁷ cf. van der Kooij, “Theologie,” 16; idem, “Wie heißt der Messias?,” 159; Troxel, “BOΥΛΗ,” 158.

⁸ cf. S. Talmon, “Amen as an Introductory Oath Formula,” *Text 7* (1969), 128.

⁹ cf. Coste, “Le texte grec,” 38.

¹⁰ cf. Liebmann, “Der Text,” 34, 253; Coste, “Le texte grec,” 38; das Neves, *A Teologia da Tradução Grega*, 166; HUB. Seeligmann (*The Septuagint Version*, 101-102) had argued that the translator, under the influence of liturgical

by the various occurrences of $\gamma\acute{\epsilon}\nu\omicron\iota\tau\omicron$ as a rendition of אָמֵן in the LXX (cf. Num 5:22; Deut 27:15-26; 1 Kings 1:36; Ps 41:14; 72:19; 89:53; 106:48; Jer 11:5).¹¹ Κύριε has no counterpart in the Hebrew.¹² Seeligmann wondered whether $\gamma\acute{\epsilon}\nu\omicron\iota\tau\omicron$ $\acute{\alpha}\upsilon\tau\omicron\iota\epsilon$ was a liturgical invocation corresponding to אָמֵן in Jewish-Hellenistic worship. He pointed to Jer 3:19, where $\gamma\acute{\epsilon}\nu\omicron\iota\tau\omicron$ $\acute{\alpha}\upsilon\tau\omicron\iota\epsilon$ may correspond to אֵיךְ seen as an acrostical abbreviation of אִמֵן יְהוֹהָ [הוּהָ].¹³ However, even if Seeligmann was correct about the acrostical nature of אֵיךְ as an abbreviation for אִמֵן יְהוֹהָ [הוּהָ], it must be noted that in Isa 25:1 only אָמֵן appears. It would then be difficult to account for $\acute{\alpha}\upsilon\tau\omicron\iota\epsilon$. Contrary to Seeligmann, Talmon argued that the translator's *Vorlage* contained the reading אָמֵן followed "by the abbreviated tetragrammaton indicated by the initial *he* only." For him, אָמוֹנָה in MT represents this reading.¹⁴ But Talmon's suggestion is problematic because of the letter ו in אָמוֹנָה. That the ו is problematic is clear from Talmon's suggestion that אָמוֹנָה, without ו, was behind $\gamma\acute{\epsilon}\nu\omicron\iota\tau\omicron$ $\acute{\alpha}\upsilon\tau\omicron\iota\epsilon$ in Jer 3:19.¹⁵ אָמוֹנָה would then be composed of אָמֵן followed by an abbreviation of the tetragrammaton. Cf. part 2 below for another explanation.

25:2

MT:	כי שמת מעיר לגל קריה בצורה למפלה ארמון זרים מעיר לעולם לא יבנה
Trans.:	"because you have turned <i>the</i> city into a heap of stones, the inaccessible town into ruin, the citadel of the strangers no longer a city, it will never be rebuilt."

readings of the Jewish-Alexandrian milieu, "mistook" אָמֵן for אָמֵן. But it seems more appropriate to say that the translator read אָמֵן as אָמֵן as it is not clear whether he did so consciously or not.

¹¹ cf. S. Talmon, "Amen as an Introductory Oath Formula," *Text 7* (1969), 124, n. 3.

¹² cf. Coste, "Le texte grec," 38.

¹³ Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version*, 101. See the same suggestions in BHK; BHS, HUB.

¹⁴ Talmon, "Amen," 128 (italics his).

¹⁵ cf. Talmon, "Amen," 128.

- LXX: ὅτι ἔθηκας πόλεις εἰς χῶμα πόλεις ὀχυράς τοῦ πεσεῖν αὐτῶν τὰ θεμέλια τῶν ἀσεβῶν πόλεις εἰς τὸν αἰῶνα οὐ μὴ οἰκοδομηθῆ
- NETS: “Because you have made cities a heap, fortified cities, so their foundations might fall; the city of the impious will not be built forever.”
- LXX.D: “Denn du hast *Städte* in einem Erdhügel verwandelt, befestigte *Städte*, sodass ihre Fundamente einstürzten; die Stadt *der Gottlosen* wird gewiss nie mehr aufgebaut werden!”

The phrase ὅτι ἔθηκας πόλεις εἰς χῶμα “because you have made cities a heap” stands for *כי שמת מעיר לגל* “because you have turned the city into a heap.” It is not clear whether the translator’s *Vorlage* read מעיר. While 1QIsa^a (מעיר) aligns with MT, Targ. (קרוי), Pesh. (כרוא), and Vulg. (*civitatem*) do not attest to the *-mem* in מעיר. Besides, LXX (πόλεις) and Targ. (קרוי) have plural “cities.” Proposals have varied, with some scholars suggesting that העיר or ערים be read for MT’s מעיר,¹⁶ while another argued for עיר.¹⁷ Be as it may, it is important to note that LXX has the plural πόλεις. A decision as to whether the translator’s *Vorlage* already contained plural “cities” will have to wait until part 2 below, which will seek to ascertain if the LXX’s plural “cities” make sense in its literary context.

The expression πόλεις ὀχυράς τοῦ πεσεῖν αὐτῶν τὰ θεμέλια “fortified cities so that their foundations might fall” stands for קריה בצורה למפלה “[you have turned] the inaccessible town into ruin.” The plural πόλεις ὀχυράς translates the singular קריה בצורה. Why did the translator insert the plural “cities” here? Part 2 below will address this question. Another aspect is the phrase “so that their foundations might fall” in 25:2b. The Greek τοῦ πεσεῖν “to fall” relates to למפלה “ruin.” A proposal is that the translator read it as an infinitive construct of the verb נפל preceded by the preposition ל, possibly taking -ה as a pronominal

¹⁶ cf. BHK; BHS respectively.

¹⁷ cf. van der Kooij, “Isaiah 24-27,” 13: “MT does not make sense; error probably due to the same word in v. 2c.”

suffix.¹⁸ However, it is better to see τοῦ πεσεῖν as a paraphrase based on the translator's interpretation of למפלה.¹⁹ But it is still important to ask the question as to why the translator employed πίπτω "to fall" here. Part 2 below will entertain this question further.

The Greek αὐτῶν τὰ θεμέλια is a rendition of the Hebrew ארמון as there is evidence for the equivalence θεμέλιον/ארמון in other LXX texts (cf. Jer 6:5; Hos 8:14; Amos 1:4, 7, 10, 12, 14; 2:2, 5).²⁰ The translator inserted the plural αὐτῶν because he took ארמון with קריה בצורה למפלה differently from MT, where ארמון goes with זרים מעיר.²¹ As such, the plural αὐτῶν was used in agreement with its antecedent plural πόλεις ὀχυράς. Having taken ארמון with what preceded it, the translator decided to read מעיר in a genitival relation with זרים, rendering this phrase with τῶν ἀσεβῶν πόλεις.²² The rendition of זר with the Greek ἀσεβής occurs only in LXX Isa in 25:2, 5; 29:5. Besides, ἀπὸ ἀνθρώπων πονηρῶν renders מזרם in Isa 25:4. Otherwise, the Greek ἀλλότριος (cf. Isa 1:7[2x]; 43:12) and ἀλλογενής (cf. Isa 61:5) usually render the Hebrew זר.²³ It has been suggested that the translator's *Vorlage* read זדים "arrogant ones."²⁴

¹⁸ cf. Coste, "Le texte grec," 40. As B reads τοῦ μὴ πεσεῖν, previous scholars had argued that the translator read למפלה as composed of a *privativum* + נפל, cf. Liebmann, "Der Text," 254; Fischer, *In Welcher Schrift*, 40.

¹⁹ cf. Ottley, *Isaiah*, 2:224.

²⁰ cf. Liebmann, "Der Text," 254, 255; Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version*, 52; Coste, "Le texte grec," 40; das Neves, *A Teologia da Tradução Grega*, 166; HUB. Seeligmann saw in the diversity of terms employed for translating ארמון in the LXX evidence that the term in question had "disappeared from that Hebrew which was to the Jewish-Hellenistic community a living language."

²¹ cf. van der Kooij, "The Cities of Isaiah 24-27," 192.

²² cf. Liebmann, "Der Text," 255; van der Kooij, "Interpretation," 63; Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 124.

²³ cf. van der Kooij, "The Cities of Isaiah 24-27," 192.

²⁴ cf. Liebmann, "Der Text," 255. See also Fischer, *In welcher Schrift*, 40; Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 82. Coste ("Le texte grec," 40) took an intermediate position, recognizing that ἀσεβής was caused "sans doute" by a confusion between זר/ד, but argued also for "traduction spiritualisante" of זרים. He further rightly pointed out that nowhere in the LXX ἀσεβής renders either זר or זז (for the latter claim, cf. also Liebmann "Der Text," 255). Coste's latter

clause. As for אַר, this word is never translated by εὐλογέω excepting here. The phrase ὁ λαὸς ὁ πτωχός stands in place of עַם-עָז “strong, defiant people.” A commonplace explanation in the scholarly literature is that πτωχός was due to a confusion between the letters ṭ and ḵ resulting in the word עני.²⁸ Part 2 below will address the issue as to whether “poor” was a mistake or not.

The phrase καὶ πόλεις ἀνθρώπων ἀδικουμένων “and the cities of ill-treated persons” is for קריית גוים עריצים “the town of violent nations.” The conjunction καί is a plus. As in Isa 25:2 above, the plural “cities” stands for the singular “city/town” in MT. As for ἀνθρώπων ἀδικουμένων as a translation of עריצים, it must be noted that in LXX Isa other terms such as ἄνομος “unlawful” (cf. Isa 29:20), ὑπερήφανος “arrogant” (cf. Isa 13:11) and ἰσχύω “to be strong” (cf. Isa 49:25) translate עריץ.²⁹ The varied lexemes for עריץ in LXX Isa led a scholar to suggest the translator had some difficulties with the term עריץ.³⁰ However, the translator’s use of ἰσχύω in Isa 49:25 shows he knew the meaning of עריץ as denoting someone powerful much in line with other translation as δυνάστης and κραταίος in the LXX. His translation of עריץ with ἀδικέω in Isa 25:3, 4 is unique in the LXX. Another proposal is that the translator read עריצים as the passive ערוצים.³¹ However, the passive of עריץ is unattested in both Biblical and Qumran Hebrew. The question arises as to why the translator decided to use ἀδικουμένων here (see also v. 4). A tentative answer will be offered in part 2 below.

As for ἀνθρώπων, while das Neves linked it to גוים, Coste had previously rejected that idea.³² It is not possible, however, to determine

²⁸ cf. Scholz, *Jesaias*, 30; Ottley, *Isaiah*, 2:225; Fischer, *In welcher Schrift*, 40; Coste, “Le texte grec,” 40, 41; Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 125 n 206.

²⁹ Outside LXX Isa, עריץ is usually rendered with λοιμός “pernicious, dangerous” (cf. Jer 15:21; Ezek 28:7; 30:11; 31:12; 32:12), δυνάστης “powerful” (cf. Job 6:23; 15:20; 27:13), κραταίος “mighty” (cf. Ps 54:5; 86:14), and, like in Isa 49:25, with ἰσχύω “to be strong” (cf. Jer 20:11).

³⁰ cf. Coste, “Le texte grec,” 41.

³¹ cf. Ottley, *Isaiah*, 2:225; Coste, “Le texte grec,” 41.

³² cf. das Neves, *A Teologia da Tradução Grega*, 167; Coste, “Le texte grec,” 41. Troxel (*LXX-Isaiah*, 125) also thought ἀνθρώπων translated גוים. His

definitively whether ἀνθρώπων was a translation of גוים, which would be the only example in the whole of the LXX, or of עריצים, in which case the latter would have been translated doubly by the phrase ἀνθρώπων ἀδικουμένων. Slightly against das Neves is the fact that ἀνθρώπων ἀδικουμένων stands in place of עריצים in v. 4 below. But even this example is not ultimate because it is possible to argue that the translator inserted ἀνθρώπων without any connection to his *Vorlage* given his emphasis on ἄνθρωπος in LXX Isa 25:1-5 (cf. vv. 3, 4 [2x], 5).³³

25:4

MT:	כִּי־הָיִיתָ מַעוֹן לְדַל מַעוֹן לְאֲבִיּוֹן בְּצָר־לוֹ מַחֲסֵה מִזֶּרֶם צֶל מַחֲרָב כִּי רוּחַ עַרְיָצִים כּוֹרֵם קִיר
Trans.:	“because you became a refuge for the poor, a refuge for the needy during his distress, a shelter from the heavy rain, a shadow from the heat, when the breath of the tyrants was like the rain <i>against</i> the wall.”
LXX:	ἐγένου γὰρ πάση πόλει ταπεινῆ βοηθός και τοῖς ἀθυμήσασιν δι' ἔνδειαν σκέπη ἀπὸ ἀνθρώπων πονηρῶν ῥύση αὐτούς σκέπη διψώντων και πνεῦμα ἀνθρώπων ἀδικουμένων
NETS:	“For you have become a helper to every humble city and a shelter to those who are dispirited because of poverty; you will rescue them from evil persons - a shelter for the thirsty and breath for ill-treated persons”
LXX.D.:	“Denn du wurdest <i>jeder</i> niedrigen <i>Stadt</i> ein Helfer und denen, <i>die</i> wegen Bedürftigkeit <i>verzagten</i> , ein Schutz, von <i>bösen Menschen</i> wirst du sie retten, (du) Schutz der <i>Durstigen</i> und Lebensgeist <i>der Menschen</i> , denen <i>Unrecht geschieht</i> .”

The phrase כִּי־הָיִיתָ מַעוֹן לְדַל stands in place of ἐγένου γὰρ πάση πόλει ταπεινῆ βοηθός (4a). Πάση πόλει is a plus in the LXX. Liebmann saw in πόλει evidence for לעיר in the LXX *Vorlage*.³⁴ He did not believe

reference to גוים “in v. 5” must be seen as a typo because גוים does not appear in v. 5 but in v. 3.

³³ cf. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 82.

³⁴ Liebmann, “Der Text,” 258.

it possible to explain the word *πόλει* in relation to Hebrew *מעון*.³⁵ Contrary to Liebman, Ottley explained *πόλει* as the result of confusion by the translator, who read the word *רע* into *מעון*.³⁶ Fischer suggested that the translator took *מעון* in the sense of the Aramaic *מחוז* “Stadt.”³⁷ Coste thought the translator possibly confused “*ma’ôz* (refuge) et *ma’îr* (*πόλει*).”³⁸ Liebmann’s suggestion of a different *Vorlage* has to be rejected as there is no textual evidence supporting his claim. Ottley’s and Coste’s explanations are possible as the letters *י* and *י* could be easily confused. However, their reasoning would not account for the letter *י* in *מעון* because *י* and *ר* are not so similar. Fischer’s position is too much of a stretch. It is more appropriate to take *πάση πόλει* as the translator’s intentional insertion for contextual reasons (cf. “cities” in v. 2). The translator’s decision to use *πάση πόλει* was, however, no mistake or accident because the equivalence *ὄχυρωμα/מעון* found in Isa 23:14 shows he was acquainted with *מעון* as a “refuge.” The word *ταπεινῆ* in the dative case relates to the Hebrew *לדל* (cf. LXX Isa 11:4; 26:6; Zeph

³⁵ Liebmann, “Der Text,” 258.

³⁶ Ottley, *Isaiah*, 2:225. Coste, “Le texte grec,” 42 and das Neves, *A Teologia da Tradução Grega*, 168 followed Ottley.

³⁷ Fischer, *In welcher Schrift*, 40. M. Jastrow (*Dictionary of Targumim, Talmud and Midrashic Literature* [New York: The Judaica Press, 1985], 96) defined the Aramaic *מחוז* as 1. “harbor, trading place;” and 2. “large town.”

³⁸ Coste, “Le texte grec,” 42. (Italics his); cf. also das Neves, *A Teologia da Tradução Grega*, 168. Troxel’s (*LXX-Isaiah*, 125) claim that Coste concluded “that the translator derived *πάση πόλει* in v. 4 from a *Vorlage* that read *מעיר לדל* rather than *מעון לדל*” must be revised. Coste did admit to a possible confusion between *מעון* and *מעיר* but this confusion does not reflect a different *Vorlage*. For him, the translator was responsible for it as is clear from his comments on the second *מעון*, where he said that the translator had now read this second *מעון* correctly: “correctement lu, cette fois.” But the important point here is that Troxel seemed to have thought of a possible confusion between *רז* that led to the reading *מעיר* for *מעון* (cf. *LXX-Isaiah*, 118, n. 173; p. 125).

3:12).³⁹ The word *βοηθός* is a translation of double *מעוז* (cf. LXX Isa 17:10; Ps 52:9).⁴⁰

The expression *καὶ τοῖς ἀθυμήσασιν διὰ ἔνδειαν σκέπη* renders *לְאֲבִיּוֹן בְּצַר-לוֹ מַחְסָה*. The conjunction *καὶ* is a plus. The phrase *τοῖς ἀθυμήσασιν διὰ ἔνδειαν* is a paraphrase of *לְאֲבִיּוֹן בְּצַר-לוֹ*.⁴¹ Ziegler conjectured that the translator could have read *אֲבִיּוֹן* in the light of the verb *אָבַד* and used *ἀθυμέω* as its equivalent.⁴² There is no example, however, for the equivalence *ἀθυμέω/אָבַד* in the LXX. The word *σκέπη* renders *מַחְסָה*.

The phrase *ἀπὸ ἀνθρώπων πονηρῶν ῥύσῃ αὐτούς* (v. 4c) relates to *צַל מִזֶּרֶם*. It seems that *ἀπὸ ἀνθρώπων πονηρῶν* connects to *מִזֶּרֶם*, perhaps read as *מִזֶּרֶיִם*.⁴³ It has been suggested that *ῥύσῃ* links to *מַחְסָה* because in Isa 14:32 the synonymic *σώζω* translates *חָסָה* “to seek refuge.”⁴⁴ It seems best, however, to see *ῥύσῃ* as a translation of *צַל*, which the translator associated with *נִצַּל*.⁴⁵ As for *αὐτούς*, it must be seen as a plus, which the translator introduced in analogy with the plural “every humble city” and “those who are feeling despondent” at the beginning of v. 4.⁴⁶

The phrase *σκέπη διψώντων* relates to *צַל מִחֶרֶב*, where *σκέπη* is a translation of *צַל* (cf. Isa 16:3; 49:2). In this case, the translator possibly read *צַל* in two different ways, as from the *נִצַּל*, as discussed in the

³⁹ cf. Coste, “Le texte grec,” 42. Coste claimed that the *ל* in *לִ* was not taken in consideration: “le lamed qui précède cet adjectif n’étant pas pris en considération.” See also Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 126. But it seems to me that the dative case in *ταπεινῆ* reflects the *lamed* even if the Greek definite article is not present.

⁴⁰ cf. Ottley, *Isaiah*, 2:225; Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 112-113; van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 70.

⁴¹ cf. Liebmann “Der Text,” 258; Coste, “Le texte grec,” 42.

⁴² Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 83.

⁴³ cf. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 82; Coste, “Le texte grec,” 42; Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 125.

⁴⁴ cf. Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 126.

⁴⁵ cf. Ottley, *Isaiah*, 2:225; Coste, “Le texte grec,” 43.

⁴⁶ Troxel’s (*LXX-Isaiah*, 124) claim that *ῥύσῃ αὐτούς* lacks an equivalent in MT is only partly correct because only *αὐτούς* is a plus, while *ῥύσῃ* is linked to *צַל*.

previous paragraph, and as “shadow” in the sense of “refuge.” Διψώντων “thirsting” clearly translates חרב “dryness, drought” here and in 25:5 below.⁴⁷ Καὶ πνεῦμα ἀνθρώπων ἀδικουμένων relates to כִּי רוּחַ עֲרִיצִים.⁴⁸ Καί is a plus. For קִיר כּוֹרֵם, see the discussion on v. 5 below.

25:5

MT:	כּוֹרֵם בְּצִיּוֹן שְׂאוֹן זָרִים תִּכְנִיעַ חָרֵב בְּצֶל עֵב זְמִיר עֲרִיצִים יִעֲנֶה
Trans.:	“Like the heat in the dry land you will subdue the uproar of the strangers, like the heat in the shadow of the clouds the song of tyrants will be bent down.”
LXX:	ὡς ἄνθρωποι ὀλιγόψυχοι διψῶντες ἐν Σίῳ ἀπὸ ἀνθρώπων ἀσεβῶν οἷς ἡμεῖς παρέδωκας
NETS:	“like faint-hearted persons thirsting in Sion, because of the impious, to whom you delivered us.”
LXX.D.:	“(Sie sind) wie kleinmütige Menschen, (wie wir,) die wir Durst leiden in Sion durch gottlose Menschen, denen du uns ausgeliefert hast.”

With respect to ἄνθρωποι ὀλιγόψυχοι, different explanations have been advanced. One suggestion is that the translator read כּוֹרֵם (v. 4) as כּוֹרֵם and קִיר (v. 4) as קָצֵר “shortness” (cf. Exo 6:9: מִקְצֵר רוּחַ “impatience” or “despondency”).⁴⁹ Another scholar argued the translator read קִיר כּוֹרֵם (v. 4) as כּוֹרֵם קָר, a phrase he translated as “Menschen der Kälte,” which, in turn, would match the concept behind ἄνθρωποι ὀλιγόψυχοι “faint-hearted men.”⁵⁰ Yet another proposal is that ἄνθρωποι is an addition as in v. 4 and that ὀλιγόψυχοι was the result of reading זֶר in כּוֹרֵם as צָר or קָצֵר.⁵¹ Another scholar viewed ἄνθρωποι ὀλιγόψυχοι as a free translation of קִיר כּוֹרֵם (v. 4) as “persons treated violently by evil persons [are] like rushing water against a wall.”⁵² Be it as it may, part 2

⁴⁷ cf. Ottley, *Isaiah*, 225; Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 82. For a fuller discussion, cf. Coste, “Le texte grec,” 43.

⁴⁸ cf. Fischer, *In welcher Schrift*, 40; Coste, “Le texte grec,” 43.

⁴⁹ cf. respectively BDB, קָצֵר; HALOT, קָצֵר.

⁵⁰ cf. Fischer, *In welcher Schrift*, 41.

⁵¹ cf. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 82, 83.

⁵² cf. van der Kooij, “Rejoice, O Thirsty Desert! (Isaiah 35): On Zion in the Septuagint of Isaiah,” in *Enlarge the Site of Your Tent: The City as*

below will address the question as to how the phrase ἄνθρωποι ὀλιγόψυχοι fits in its literary context. Afterwards, a tentative explanation as to how the translator arrived at ἄνθρωποι ὀλιγόψυχοι will be given.

The word διψῶντες seems to be related to כּחַרַב (cf. v. 4, where חַרַב was rendered with διψάω). The phrase ἐν Σιῶν translates בְּצִיּוֹן. One scholar explained ἐν Σιῶν here and in Isa 32:2 as the result of a lexical confusion because those are the only two places in the Tanach where צִיּוֹן “desert” occurs.⁵³ The expression ἀνθρώπων ἀσεβῶν reflects the Hebrew זָרִים (cf. discussion under v. 2 above). Παραδίδωμι is one of the translator’s favorite stop-gap words.⁵⁴ Ziegler had noted that it is uncertain to which verb in the translator’s *Vorlage* παρέδωκας is linked. But he suggested that the translator could have read מָנָה or אָנָה in his *Vorlage*.⁵⁵ Although it is possible that the phrase οἷς ἡμᾶς παρέδωκας should be taken as a plus,⁵⁶ it may also be that the idea behind it is linked to תַּכְנִיעַ in the translator’s *Vorlage*.⁵⁷ Be it as it may, an important question is why the translator decided to introduce οἷς ἡμᾶς παρέδωκας here. Cf. part 2 below. The word שֶׁאוֹן and the phrase חַרַב בְּצֵל עֵב זְמִיר were not translated.

25:6

MT:	ועשה יהוה צבאות לכל־העמים בהר הזה משתה שמנים משתה שמרים שמרים מזקקים
Trans.:	“And Yahweh of hosts will give a banquet of oil on this mountain for all the peoples; a banquet of dregs of wine, oil flavored with marrow, refined dregs.”

Unifying Theme in Isaiah. The Isaiah Workshop – De Jesaja Werkplaats (ed. A. L. H. M. van Wieringen et al.; OtS 58; Leiden: Brill, 2011), 19.

⁵³ cf. Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 190. Differently from Troxel, cf. Koenig, *L’herméneutique*, 147-148. See however, the equivalence διψάω/ציה “waterless region” in Isa 35:1.

⁵⁴ cf. Ottley, *Isaiah*, 1:50; Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 14.

⁵⁵ Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 117.

⁵⁶ cf. Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version*, 112. The question, which Ziegler raised (cf. *Untersuchungen*, 117), of a possible influence of Ps 27:12; 41:3 on LXX Isa 25:5 will be discussed in part 2 below.

⁵⁷ cf. van der Kooij, “Rejoice, o Thirsty Desert!,” 19.

112 Isa 24:1-26:6 as Interpretation and Translation

- LXX: καὶ ποιήσει κύριος σαβαωθ πᾶσι τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ἐπὶ τὸ ὄρος τοῦτο πίονται εὐφροσύνην πίονται οἶνον κρίσονται μύρον
- NETS: “On this mountain the Lord Sabaoth will make a feast for all nations: they will drink joy; they will drink wine; ⁷they will anoint themselves with perfume.”
- LXX.D.: “Und der Herr Sabaoth wird allen Völkerschaften auf diesem Berg (etwas) zubereiten. *Sie werden Freude trinken, sie werden Wein trinken, ⁷sie werden sich mit Duftöl salben.*”

The phrase καὶ ποιήσει κύριος σαβαωθ πᾶσι τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ἐπὶ τὸ ὄρος τοῦτο translates יהוה צבאות לכל-העמים בהר הזה. The transliteration of צבאות with σαβαωθ is a peculiarity of LXX Isa. This transliteration occurs 52 times in the LXX, out of which 47 are found in LXX Isa.⁵⁸ Besides, παντοκράτωρ “almighty” is the usual rendition of צבאות in the rest of the LXX. The use of ἔθνεσιν for עמים is important because the equivalence ἔθνος/עם occurs only 21 times in LXX Isa, compared to 91 occurrences of the more usual λαός/עם in the same book (cf. 25:8 below). As such, the translator’s choice of ἔθνος raises the question as to why he used this word here, a question that will be addressed below.

The clause πίονται εὐφροσύνην stands in place of משתה שמנים. In this clause, πίονται is clearly related to משתה as πίνω renders שתה five times in the LXX, three out of which are in LXX Isa (cf. Isa 5:12; 25:6 [2x]; Dan 1:5, 8). As for εὐφροσύνη, a scholar included it among passages that exemplify some sort of “clarification, solution of images, paraphrases.”⁵⁹ Another opined that εὐφροσύνη may “be שמח [in the translator’s *Vorlage*?] for one of the similar words שמנים ממחים.”⁶⁰ But it is better to explain εὐφροσύνη as due to the context.⁶¹

Πίονται οἶνον relates to משתה שמרים. For the link between πίονται and משתה, see previous paragraph. In the LXX, τρυγίας “lees of wine, dregs” (cf. Ps 75:9) and δόξα “glory” (cf. Jer 48:11) both translate שמר.

⁵⁸ The other places are 1 Sam 1:3, 11; 15:2; 17:45; Jer 46:10.

⁵⁹ cf. Scholz, *Jesaias*, 35.

⁶⁰ cf. Ottley, *Isaiah*, 2:226.

⁶¹ cf. Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 129 n. 224.

Isa 25:6 is the only place where οἶνος translates שמרים “dregs of wine” in the LXX.⁶²

Χρίσονται μύρον stands in place of שמנים ממחים. The noun μύρον “ointment, perfume” connects to שמנים as there is some evidence for the equivalence שמן/μύρον in the LXX (cf. Ps 133:2; Song of Sol. 1:3; Amos 6:6 [Prov 27:9 and Isa 39:9 are uncertain]) and should be seen as a case of free translation in LXX Isa 25:7.⁶³ Liebmann saw a possible connection between χρίω and שמנים.⁶⁴ Ottley opined that the translator extracted “what he took for מר ימשהו [“they will anoint the Lord”] from משתה שמרים.⁶⁵ But Ottley’s opinion must be rejected as it requires too many changes in relation to the *Vorlage*. Ziegler suggested that the translator had LXX Amos 6:6 in mind: οἱ πίνοντες τὸν διυλισμένον οἶνον καὶ τὰ πρῶτα μύρα χριόμενοι “who drink thoroughly filtered wine and anoint themselves with the finest oils” (NETS).⁶⁶ Although LXX Isa 25:6 shares a high number of lexemes with LXX Amos 6:6 (cf. πίνω, οἶνος, χρίω, μύρον), the phrase χρίω + μύρον occurs elsewhere (cf. Jdt 10:3 in the dative case).⁶⁷ It is better to see χρίσονται as a plus motivated by μύρον, which in itself may suggest the idea of “anointing.” Otherwise, χρίω has no connection with the Hebrew. The last clause שמרים מזקקים was not translated altogether.⁶⁸

25:7

MT: ובלע בהר הזה פני־הלוט על־בל־העמים והמסכה הנסוכה
על־בל־הגוים

⁶² cf. T. Muraoka, *Two-Way*, 84. For a discussion of the etymology of שמר, cf. HALOT, 4:1584-1585.

⁶³ cf. Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, 80.

⁶⁴ Liebmann, “Der Text,” 268.

⁶⁵ Ottley, *Isaiah*, 2:226.

⁶⁶ Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 117.

⁶⁷ cf. also Philo, *De specialibus legibus* 3:37: καὶ εὐώδεσι μύροις λίπα χριόμενοι and Josephus, *Antiquities of the Jews* 19:239: χρισάμενος μύροις τὴν κεφαλῆν.

⁶⁸ cf. Liebmann, “Der Text,” 266; van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 64.

114 Isa 24:1-26:6 as Interpretation and Translation

- Trans.: “And he will swallow⁶⁹ on this mountain the surface of the shroud that covers all the peoples and the covering that weaves over all peoples.”
- LXX: ἐν τῷ ὄρει τούτῳ παράδος ταῦτα πάντα τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ἢ γὰρ βουλή αὕτη ἐπὶ πάντα τὰ ἔθνη
- NETS: “Deliver these things to the nations on this mountain, for this counsel is against all the nations.”
- LXX.D.: “Auf diesem Berg *übergib dies alles* den Völkern! *Denn dies ist der Ratschluss* über alle Völkernschaften.”

The phrase ἐν τῷ ὄρει τούτῳ renders בהר הזה. Concerning παράδος, the scholarly opinion is divided. One scholar proposed that the translator’s *Vorlage* perhaps read הטיל because the latter is translated with παραδίδωμι in Jer 22:26 (Alexandrinus).⁷⁰ Another claimed that the translator read פני in light of Aramaic פנא “to release, turn to.”⁷¹ However, it is highly unlikely that παράδος is connected to either הלוט or פני. As it will be seen later, παράδος was introduced here for contextual reasons. The demonstrative ταῦτα relates to על (cf. Isa 30:12). Πάντα τοῖς ἔθνεσιν translates כל־העמים. It is important to note that ἔθνη has already been used to translate עמים in 25:6 and will render גוים at the end of this verse. על־כל־הלוט/פני־הלוט/ובלע were not translated.

Ἡ βουλή is connected to והמסכה הנסוכה. In LXX Isa, χωνευτός “molten” (cf. Isa 42:17) and perhaps συσθήκη “mutual agreement” (cf. Isa 30:1) render מסכה. The latter was not rendered in Isa 28:20. It has been suggested that the translator had some difficulty with the meaning of מסכה and resorted to the context in his use of βουλή.⁷² But βουλή could also be an interpretation of the phrase והמסכה הנסוכה. As the latter denotes something that is covered, the translator interpreted it as something that is hidden. He then interpreted “what is hidden” as a reference to a βουλή. The phrase πάντα τοῖς ἔθνεσιν represents על־כל־העמים.

⁶⁹ Contrary to MT’s active בָּלַע, Targ. (ויסתלעמון) and Pesh. (ܒܠܥܘܢ) have passive verbal forms. HUB rightly claims that the passive forms are due to a “different way of expressing verb-goal relationships (active-passive changes).”

⁷⁰ cf. Liebmann, “Der Text,” 269.

⁷¹ cf. Fischer, *In welcher Schrift*, 41.

⁷² cf. Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 266.

25:8

MT:	בלע המות לנצח ומחה אדני יהוה דמעה מעל כל-פנים וחרפת עמו יסיר מעל כל-הארץ כי יהוה דבר
Trans.:	“And he will swallow up death forever. Yahweh God will wipe away tear from over all faces and the reproach of his people he will make it depart from the over all the earth because Yahweh spoke.”
LXX:	κατέπιεν ὁ θάνατος ἰσχύσας καὶ πάλιν ἀφείλεν ὁ θεὸς πᾶν δάκρυον ἀπὸ παντὸς προσώπου τὸ ὄνειδος τοῦ λαοῦ ἀφείλεν ἀπὸ πάσης τῆς γῆς τὸ γὰρ στόμα κυρίου ἐλάλησεν
NETS:	“Death, having prevailed, swallowed them up, and God has again taken away every tear from every face; the disgrace of the people he has taken away from all the earth, for the mouth of the Lord has spoken.”
LXX.D.:	“Der Tod, <i>mächtig geworden</i> , hat <i>sie</i> verschlungen, und <i>wiederum nahm</i> Gott <i>jede</i> Träne von jedem Antlitz <i>weg</i> ; die <i>Schmach des</i> Volkes nahm er <i>weg</i> von der ganzen Erde, denn <i>der Mund</i> des Herrn hat gesprochen.”

The phrase κατέπιεν ὁ θάνατος ἰσχύσας renders בלע המות לנצח, where κατέπιεν corresponds to בלע (cf. also Isa 9:15; 28:4; 49:19), taken as a past tense verb, ὁ θάνατος to המות, read as the subject of the verb בלע, and ἰσχύσας is linked to לנצח. Different from the usual interpretation of the H, LXX has “death” as the subject of the clause.⁷³ As for לנצח, Ottley argued that the meaning of נצח as “to be ‘lustre’,” “‘brightness,’” accounts for its translation in LXX Isa 25:8 as “victory,” “glory.”⁷⁴ Fischer proposed that the translator read נצח via Aramaic נצח “to win.”⁷⁵ In LXX Isa, αἰών “time” (cf. Isa 13:20; 28:28; 33:20) and χρόνος (cf. Isa 13:20; 33:20; 34) translate לנצח. Although Isa 25:8 is the only place in the LXX where ἰσχύω renders נצח, 1 Chron 15:21; Jer 15:18 attest respectively to similar translations with ἐνισχύω and κατισχύω both

⁷³ cf. T. Hieke, “„Er verschlingt den Tod für immer“ (Jes 25,8a): Eine unerfüllte Verheißung im Alten und Neuen Testament,” *BZ* 50/1 (2006), 37.

⁷⁴ Ottley, *Isaiah*, 2:227.

⁷⁵ Fischer, *Isaias*, 41.

meaning “to strengthen.”⁷⁶ Despite the examples from 1 Chron 15:21; Jer 15:18, the translator’s use of *ισχύσας* for *לנצח* remains striking and must be seen as a case of a free translation.⁷⁷

Clause 8b *καὶ πάλιν ἀφείλεν ὁ θεὸς πᾶν δάκρυον ἀπὸ παντὸς προσώπου* stands in place of *ומחה אדני יהוה דמעיה מעל כל-פנים*. *Πάλιν* is a plus in the LXX as it is also elsewhere in LXX Isa (cf. Isa 7:4; 23:17).⁷⁸ As for *ἀφείλεν*, LXX Isa 25:8 is the only place where *ἀφαιρέω* “to remove” renders *מחה*.⁷⁹ Besides, the past tense *ἀφείλεν* is striking because in LXX Isa future tense verbs usually render *wegatal* forms. This does not mean the translator had some difficulty with *מחה*. His translation of the latter with *ἐξαλείφω* “to obliterate” (cf. Isa 43:25) and *ἀπαλείφω* “wipe off” (cf. Isa 44:22) shows that he was well acquainted with the meaning of that verb. His choice of *ἀφαιρέω* must be explained in analogy with the second *ἀφαιρέω* in v. 8c. *Πᾶν* is a plus in the LXX.

The last clause of v. 8 *τὸ ὄνειδος τοῦ λαοῦ ἀφείλεν ἀπὸ πάσης τῆς γῆς τὸ γὰρ στόμα κυρίου ἐλάλησεν* stands for *וחרפת עמו יסיר מעל כל-הארץ*. For the pair *ὄνειδος/חרפה* (cf. Isa 25:8; 30:5; 54:4). The pronominal suffix in *עמו* is not attested in the LXX. The use of *ἀφαιρέω* as a rendition of *סור* is common but the use of the past tense *ἀφείλεν* for the prefixed verb *יסור* is not as prefix verbs are usually rendered with future tense verbal forms in LXX Isa. Finally, *τὸ στόμα* is a plus in the LXX. The reason is the stereotyped nature of the Greek phrase *τὸ γὰρ στόμα κυρίου ἐλάλησεν* in LXX Isa (cf. 1:20; 24:3; 25:8; 58:14).

25:9

MT: ואמר ביום ההוא הנא אלהינו זה קוינו לו וישיענו זה יהוה קוינו לו נגילה ונשמחה בישועתו

⁷⁶ cf. Liebmann, “Der Text,” 38; A. Rahlfs, “Über Theodotion-Lesarten im Neuen Testament und Aquila-Lesarten bei Justin,” *ZNW* 20 (1921), 184, n. 1.

⁷⁷ cf. Rahlfs, “Theodotion-Lesarten,” 183-184.

⁷⁸ cf. van der Kooij, *The Oracle*, 72.

⁷⁹ cf. Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, 20.

	במו] מדמנה
Trans.:	“because the hand of Yahweh will rest on this mountain but Moab will be trampled under him when the straw heap is trampled in the waters of Madmenah.”
LXX:	ὅτι ἀνάπαυσιν δώσει ὁ θεὸς ἐπὶ τὸ ὄρος τοῦτο καὶ καταπατηθήσεται ἡ Μωαβίτις ὡς τρόπον πατοῦσιν ἄλωνα ἐν ἀμάξαις
NETS:	“because God will give rest on this mountain, and Moabitis shall be trodden down as they tread a threshing floor with wagons.”
LXX.D.:	“Denn Gott wird Erholung <i>schenken</i> auf diesem Berg, und die Moabitis wird in der Weise niedergetreten werden, wie man <i>eine Tenne mit Wagen</i> tritt.”

The expression ἀνάπαυσιν δώσει is an attempt at translating תנוח “it will rest.” Ziegler rightly noted that ἀνάπαυσις usually renders נוח in LXX Isa, except for κατάπαυσις in LXX Isa 66:1.⁸³ C. T. Fritsch suggested that the translator may have read הניח and that he dropped the feminine ט as the latter would not agree with the former masculine verbal form.⁸⁴ Fritsch’s suggestion is unlikely. First, הניח is never rendered with δίδωμι + ἀνάπαυσις in LXX Isa, with the exception of LXX Isa 25:10 (cf. Isa 14:1, 3; 28:2, 12; 30:32; 46:7; 63:14; 65:15). And, second, 1QIsa^a is in line with MT. As it will be seen below, the phrase “give rest” makes good sense in the context of LXX Isa 24-27. Further, it should be noticed that θεός does not usually render יהוה in LXX Isa.

The expression καταπατηθήσεται “it will be trodden down” translates ונודש תחתיו “it will be trampled under him.”⁸⁵ As for the equivalence Μωαβίτις/מואב, it should be noted that מואב is translated with both Μωαβ (cf. 11:14; 15:9; 16:2, 4, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14) and Μωαβίτις in LXX Isa (cf. 15:1[3x]; 2, 4, 5, 8; 16:7; 25:10).⁸⁶ With the

⁸³ Ziegler, *Isaias*, 42.

⁸⁴ C. T. Fritsch, “The Concept of God in the Greek Translation of Isaiah,” in *Biblical Studies in Memory of H. C. Alleman* (ed. J. M. Myers, O. Reimherr, and H. N. Bream; New York: Augustin, 1960), 159.

⁸⁵ cf. Liebmann, “Der Text,” 44, 278.

⁸⁶ cf. E. Tov, “Personal Names in the Septuagint of Isaiah,” in *Isaiah in Context: Studies in Honour of Arie van der Kooij on the Occasion of his Sixty-*

exception of Jer 25:21; 31:33, the pair *Μωαβῖτις*/מואב is unique to LXX Isa. For further discussion on *Μωαβῖτις*, cf. part 2 below.

The expression *ὄν τρόπον* translates the comparative כ in כהדוּש. *Πατοῦσιν* is a translation of the passive הדוּש “it is treated” as “they will tread.” The future tense *πατοῦσιν* was used in analogy with the future tense verbs preceding it. As for the translation of מדמנה [במו] במי מתבן במי with *ἄλωνα ἐν ἀμάξαις*, Ziegler rightly pointed out that the translator used *ἀμάξαις* “chariots” because in the time of the translator “chariots” used to thresh (cf. Isa 41:15).⁸⁷ Thus, the suggestion that the translator read במי במי as *מדמנה* as *במרכבה* “in chariots”⁸⁸ must be rejected.⁸⁹

25:11

MT:	ופרש ידיו בקרבו כאשר יפרש השחה לשחות והשפיל גאותו עם ארבות ידיו
Trans.:	“and he will stretch his hand in his midst as the swimmer stretches to swim and he will bring his pride down despite the movement of his hands.”
LXX:	<i>καὶ ἀνήσει τὰς χεῖρας αὐτοῦ ὄν τρόπον καὶ αὐτὸς ἐταπεινώσεν τοῦ ἀπολέσαι καὶ ταπεινώσει τὴν ὕβριν αὐτοῦ ἐφ’ ἃ τὰς χεῖρας ἐπέβαλεν</i>
NETS:	“And he will send forth his hands, as he himself brought him low to destroy him, and he will bring low his pride - things on which he laid his hands.”
LXX.D.:	“und er wird seinen Händen <i>freien Lauf lassen</i> , ebenso wie auch er (Moab) (andere) <i>erniedrigt hat bis zur Vernichtung</i> ; und er wird seine (Moabs) <i>Überheblichkeit erniedrigen</i> (, nämlich die <i>Unternehmungen vereiteln</i>), <i>an die es Hand angelegt hat</i> .”

The expression בקרבו “in his midst” was not translated in the LXX. With respect to *ἀνήσει* “he will loosen,” it should be noted that the

Fifth Birthday (ed. Michaël N. van der Meer *et al.*, VTSup 138; Leiden: Brill, 2010), 426-427.

⁸⁷ cf. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 97.

⁸⁸ cf. e.g. more recently, LEH, 31.

⁸⁹ cf. HUB.

verb ἀνίημι “unfasten, untie” is not a rendition of פּרַשׁ as the latter is never rendered by the former in Isa. A similar expression to the one in Isa 25:11 is found in Isa 65:2: פּרַשְׁתִּי יָדַי “I have stretched out my hands,” where it is literally rendered with ἐξέπετασα τὰς χεῖράς μου “I stretched out my hands.” The example from Isa 65:2 is important as it points to the translator’s proper knowledge of the expression יָד פּרַשׁ “to stretch the hand.” As such, his use of ἀνίημι in LXX Isa 25:11 is important and it will be discussed in the next section. The phrase καὶ αὐτός is a plus in the LXX.⁹⁰ The Greek expression ἐταπεινώσεν τοῦ ἀπολέσαι is the result of reading לשחוח לשחוח “the swimmer to swim” as השחח לשחח “he brought low to destroy.”⁹¹ The phrase ἐφ’ αὐ does not seem to correspond to the Hebrew text well. Whereas MT reads “his hands,” LXX has simply “the hands.” The verbal form “he threw” is a plus in the LXX, whereas the word אַרְבּוֹת was apparently not translated.

25:12

MT:	ומבצר משגב חומתִךְ השח השפיל הגיע לארץ עד-עפר
Trans.:	“But, o Mibzar, he will bring down the refuge of your walls; he will make it low; it will touch the ground, the very dust.”
LXX:	καὶ τὸ ὕψος τῆς καταφυγῆς τοῦ τοίχου σου ταπεινώσει καὶ καταβήσεται ἕως τοῦ ἐδάφους
NETS:	“And he will bring low the height of the refuge of your wall, and it will come down all the way to the ground.”
LXX.D.:	“und die Höhe der Zuflucht, deiner Mauer, wird er erniedrigen, und sie wird herabsinken bis zum Boden.”

⁹⁰ cf. Liebmann [“Der Text,” 281, 282] conjectured that the translator’s *Vorlage* read והוא. His conjecture is unlikely as והוא is not attested in 1QIsa^a or 4QIsa^c.

⁹¹ cf. Liebmann, “Der Text,” 281; Ottley, 2: 227; Fischer (*In welcher Schrift*, 41) proposed that the translator read השחח לשחוח as פּרַשׁ יְפֹשֵׁר כְּאֲשֶׁר as כְּאֲשֶׁר by which he read י as נ, ר as ו, and ה as ח. However, following Fischer, reading the ר from פּרַשׁ as ו would result in יפוש, which, in turn, the translator would have to change the position of the last two consonants so to create נפשו. Although confusion between certain Hebrew consonants is possible, it is unlikely the translator made so many changes in a single word.

LXX has the singular “your wall,” whereas the plural “your walls” is in MT. The verb “to bring low” in the LXX is a translation of its counterpart in Hebrew, except that the latter is in the past tense and the former in the future. The reading “he humbled” is not translated in the LXX. Ziegler thought it was not present in the translator’s *Vorlage*.⁹² The conjunction “and” is a plus in the LXX. Although *καταβαίνω* “to go down” is not a very literal translation of *נגע*, it seems to communicate well the idea of the Hebrew. The phrase *לארץ* is not translated in the LXX. Interesting is the translator’s utilization of *τοιχος* for *חומה* because this equivalence appears only here in the LXX. Usually, *τειχος* render *חומה* (cf. e.g. Isa 2:15; 22:10, 11). Contrast with *τειχος* in Isa 24:23; 26:1.

⁹² cf. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 54, 53, 56. Ziegler (p. 56) argued that the author of the Hebrew *Vorlage* was responsible for adding the synonym *השפיל*.