Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/20844</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Beck, Jacobus Johannes Hendrikus Title: Sexual abuse evaluation in urological practice Issue Date: 2013-04-25

Chapter 7:

Urological complaints and sexual abuse:

a case control study identifying multiple urological complaints in relation to sexual abuse history

Based on:

Beck JJH, Nicolai MP, Putter H, Pelger RCM, Elzevier HW. *Urological complaints and sexual abuse: a case control study identifying multiple urological complaints in relation to sexual abuse history*. (Accepted Advances in Sexual Medicine)

Abstract

Introduction: The relationship between sexual abuse and urinary tract symptoms has been described for urgency, frequency and nocturia.

Aims: To investigate if other urological complaints in females, like urinary tract infections, incontinence, voiding complaints and lower abdominal pain are also are correlated with a history of sexual abuse (SA) and to measure the prevalence of sexual abuse in our urological patient population, using a clinical case control study.

Methods: 1383 female patients of 18-years or older visiting our outpatient urological university clinic were asked to fill out a questionnaire evaluating referral indications and urological complaints. The questionnaire consisted out of two parts. The fist was designed to collect data about demographic characteristics and medical history. The second part included referral indications, the urological complaints and a possible history of SA. The sample was divided into two groups: those with and those without a history of SA.

The Outcome Measures: I. The comparison of the frequency of voiding complaints, urinary tract infections (UTI's), lower abdominal pain, hematuria and incontinence in respondents with and without SA. II. The prevalence of SA in female patients presenting at our university urological outpatient clinic. III. The number of urological symptoms presented at the time of referral by respondents with a history of SA compared the non-abused.

Results: 436/1383 (32%) patients were willing to participate. 304 (70%) questionnaires were properly filled in. The reported prevalence of sexual abuse was 17% (51/304). More than half of the females with a history SA presented with voiding complaints (32/51 p=0.18), incontinence (31/51 p=0.10) and urinary tract infections (27/51 p=0.22). However, comparing the data of respondents without SA we found no significant differences with regards specific complaints. Patients with SA report more symptoms than those without (Armitage's trend test 0.14 (p=0.004) for 4 complaints or more).

Conclusions: No significant correlation between SA and voiding complaints, incontinence nor lower abdominal pain was found. The prevalence rate of SA in female patients visiting our university urological outpatient clinic was 17%. These abused females mentioned more synchronous complaints as reason for referral at their first visit than the non-abused.

Introduction

Sexual abuse (SA) is defined by International Society for the Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect as "a social and medical problem in which a child under the age of consent is involved in an act resulting in sexual satisfaction of an adult or connivance of such an act'^{1} . The frequency with which children are exposed to sexual advances from adults varies according to the definition of abuse, the age range studied, and the methods of ascertainment. The prevalence of SA is estimated to be 12% to 25% for females and 8% to 10% for males². In 2007, for the first time in a large cohort study, SA was causally related with urinary urgency, frequency and nocturia for males and females, using the Hill-criteria (1965) for proving causality^{3,4}. Before and after this publication several investigators found an association between a history of SA and urological complaints $3^{3;5\cdot13}$. Voiding complaints, dysfunctional voiding, urgency and frequency were mentioned to be correlated with SA most frequently. Several studies found no relation with urinary tract infections^{6;14}. Recently we established a correlation between synchronic complaints in multiple domains of the pelvic floor and a history of SA¹⁵. In this study we compare female patients visiting a urological out patient clinic with and without a history of SA. We investigated if the abused patients report more or less voiding complaints, UTI's and lower abdominal pain than those without SA. In addition we established if the SA-prevalence in female patients visiting our out patient urological clinic was comparable to the percentage of 22.7% found in females visiting our university outpatient pelvic floor center¹⁵. Because we hypothise that SA can lead to pelvic floor dysfunction (PFD) and PFD can give several synchronous urological symptoms, we wonder if patients with SA have more synchronous urological complaints.

Methods

Over a period of 2.5 years a consecutive series of 1383 new female patients of 18-years or older visiting our outpatient urological university clinic were asked to fill out a self-administered questionnaire evaluating referral indications and urological complaints (see Appendix). The construction of the database and the self-administered questionnaire were approved by the local Institutional Ethics Committee. It was conducted by the principle investigator (HWE, a urologist-sexologist) to evaluate female sexual dysfunction^{16,17}. All females received a letter explaining the objectives of the study and were kindly invited for collaboration. The self administered questionnaire consisted of two parts. The first collected data about demographic characteristics and medical history, the second part included referral indications, the urological complaints, sexual dysfunction and a possible history of SA. If relevant, patients were allowed to mention more than one reason for referral. A retrospective database study was performed to identify two groups: those with (cases) and those without a history of SA (controls). Comparisons between proportions were made using Pearson's chi-square test or Armitage's trend test; continuous variables were compared by student's

t-test and, where appropriate, analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences were considered significant when the two-tailed p-value was <0.05. Data analysis was carried out using SPSS for Windows version 16.0.1 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). The outcome measures were: I. The comparison of the frequency of voiding complaints, UTI's, lower abdominal pain, hematuria and incontinence in respondents with (cases) and without SA (controls). II The reported percentage of female patients presenting at our university urological outpatient clinic with a history of SA. III. The number of urological symptoms presented at the time of referral by respondents with a history of SA.

Results

After reading the letter explaining the objectives of the study 436/1383 patients (32%) were willing to participate. All 436 gave written informed consent, 304 (70%) questionnaires were properly filled in. I. More than half of the females with SA presented with voiding complaints (32/51, 63%, p=0.18), incontinence (31/51, 61%, p=0.10) and urinary tract infections (27/51, 53%, p=0.22). However, comparing the data of respondents without SA: voiding complaints (133/253, 53%), incontinence (122/253, 48%) and urinary tract infections (110/253, 44%) we found no significant differences with regard to specific complaints. Considering lower abdominal pain (20/51, 39%, p=0.16), hematuria (17/51, 33%, p=0.13) and colic pain (7/51, 14% p=0.98) we also found no significant differences between the two groups. See Table 1. II. Fifty-one respondents confirmed SA. This means that 17% (51/304) of the new female patients visiting our outpatient urological reported a history of SA. III. Using the Armitage's trend test (0.14, p =0.004) to compare the reported the total number of urological complaints as reason for referral to the urologist, shows that patients with SA significantly report more synchronous complaints as reason for referral. See Table 2.

Discussion

The 17% prevalence rate of SA in females visiting our urologic outpatient university clinic corresponds to the percentages found in other specific populations in the Netherlands (10,9% - 23,5%), meaning that this percentage of cases with SA is comparable with SA in other Dutch populations^{15;18-22}. The populations and prevalences are listed in Table 3. In a previous study we found out that in an inquiry before the first visit to the urologist, 70% of the patients with a history of SA disclosed it²³. The question asked in the questionnaire, "Did you have negative sexual experiences in the past" is of course not equal to "did you experiences sexual abuse in the past", but in the Dutch language it is considered to be similar. This is confirmed by the responses of patients: all patients admitted abuse, and 13 out of 14 patients described the type of negative sexual experience as sexual abuse²³. In this sample of patients, most with urological complaints, we found an association between a history of SA and urological complaints, namely a higher

percentage of voiding complaints, incontinence and urinary tract infections in the SA group compared to the controls, but the differences were not significant. Several authors found a relation between SA and urological complaints, some didn't. These studies are listed in Table 4. Despite the pre-existing urological complaints in both groups, patients with a history of SA reported significantly more synchronous urological complaints as reason for referral. Perhaps PFD is an explanation for the synchronous urological complaints. Davila et al reported significant more pelvic floor related urological complaints like dribbling, slow urinating stream and stress incontinence⁹. In the study from Link et al. in which a causal relation between sexual abuse and overactive bladder (OAB) was proven, a short review of the biological pathway was given³. They summarize that anxiety and behavioural responses to stress involve complex neural circuits and multiple neurochemical components. Acute and chronic stress due to abuse can alter these circuits, their neurochemical components, and bladder function^{24,25}. In animal models stress changes bladder histology en physiology²⁶⁻³⁰. Link et al also mention a role for corticotrophin-releasing factor (CRF), a primary neurotransmitter expressed by neurons within the central stress network³. CRF is expressed by neurons within the pontine micturition center and within regions in the spinal cord that form part of the micturition reflex pathway^{31,32}. This assumes that CRF influences bladder function. Besides the above mentioned biological pathways, in concordance with Davila's observation of pelvic floor related urological complaints, we hypothise that pelvic floor dysfunction (PFD) is another link between SA history and voiding complaints. The pelvic floor is known to be an integrated structure. influenced by psychological and physical causes. A higher prevalence of synchronous multiple pelvic floor complaints, like micturition, defecation and sexual pain, are seen in patients with sexual abuse history³³. The pelvic floor comprises several layers, including the pelvic diaphragm (levator ani and coccygeus muscles) and the urogenital diaphragm. Each diaphragm has its own 3D shape and position with regard to the internal pelvic organs. The urogenital diaphragm consists of a deep layer, the perineal membrane, and a superficial layer, consisting of the bulbospongiosus muscle and the ischiocavernosus muscle. The levator ani muscle is made up of the iliococcygeus, pubococcycleus, and puborectalis muscles. Together with the urethral and anal sphincters, these muscles play an important role in preventing complaints of micturition, defecation, sexual dysfunction, prolapse and/or pelvic floor pain. The development of one of these complaints is referred to as PFD³⁴. It has been hypothesized that patients with PFD have voiding difficulties due to a higher tone at rest of the pelvic floor³⁵⁻³⁷. Many of them have episodes of obstructive urinating complaints. As in benign prostate hyperplasia, long-lasting bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) can lead to OAB symptoms³⁸. Obstruction-induced changes in the bladder are of two basic types. First, the changes that lead to detrusor instability or decreased compliance are clinically associated with symptoms of frequency and urgency. Second, the changes associated with decreased detrusor contractility are associated with further deterioration in the force of the urinary stream, hesitancy, intermittency, increased residual urine, and (in a minority of cases) detrusor failure³⁹. Pelvic floor otherany can be used t

physiotherapy can be used to treat pelvic floor related BOO and thus relieving OAB symptoms⁴⁰. Unfortunately randomised studies describing improvement of urological complaints in SA survivors treated with pelvic floor physiotherapy are not available. Still, we are convinced that SA can lead to PFD (e.g. pelvic floor overactivity) resulting in BOO, resulting in voiding symptoms and later on in storage symptoms (OAB). This suggest that functional complaints as dysfunctional voiding, incontinence and urgency will be more often associated with a SA-history than complaints with a clear cut aetiology such as hematuria or colic pain. Our Pelvic Floor Research Group reported about the correlation between synchronic pelvic floor complaints in multiple domains of the pelvic floor and SA¹⁵. In that cohort several patients did not have any urological complaints, but had difficulties with defecation, sexual dysfunction and/or chronic pains; in other words not all patients with a history of SA necessarily have urological complaints. In this study one patient with SA was referred because of an abnormal finding on ultra sound or CT scan, but had no urological complaints. A recent study including 238 patients with micturition, defecation and/or sexual problems, showed that 72% had an elevated pelvic floor rest tone³⁶. As much as 56% of them had complaints in three domains of the pelvic floor. This also indicates that a history of SA can reveal itself in other, non-urological complaints. This study has several limitations. Confounding is a limitation in all case-control studies. As with all case-control studies we measured a retrospective exposure (SA), although the exposure is random in the cases and the controls are from the same base population. A possible confounding are underlying psychiatric diseases, which were not mentioned by the cases or controls or use of medications which are not mentioned. Some medications can mask certain urological complaints. A bias in this database is the definition of voiding complaints. The database and inclusion of patients was started before the publication of Link at al in 2007, in which urgency, frequency and nocturia were causally related to SA³. In our database urgency, frequency, nocturia and other voiding complaints are all grouped together. An attempt to redefine voiding complaints in the database by separating urgency, frequency and nocturia was not successful, because the type of voiding complaints was not specified in the questionnaire. This is the major bias of this study. Another bias is selection bias, because of a 32% response rate, is possible that a lot of patients with sexual abuse chose not to respond, what can alter the outcome, introducing a self selected sample. Those who responded may have been different from non-responders, making it difficult to generalize our findings to the entire Dutch female urological patient population. Because our prevalence of SA is comparable to other Dutch populations, as mentioned in Table 3, introduction of a self selected sample is less probable. Also, the use of a self-administered non-validated questionnaire is a limitation. There are several possible explanations for the low participation rate of 32%. A major part of the patients who were willing to participate may have been embarrassed by the content of the questionnaire. In addition, subjects had to be actively recruited by the urologists and residents. In practice, each new female patient had to be asked if she had received the letter explaining the objective of the study. While some females expressed themselves negatively with regards the content of the study, the recruitment was not always adequately done by all the involved doctors. Undoubtedly, this has contributed to the relatively low participation rate. While they might be distracted, embarrassed, or feel compelled to complete it, we asked the participants to fill out the questionnaire at home and not during their appointment in the hospital. So, they were asked to return it by mail or to hand it over at the second visit. The latter again required a proactive attitude of the urologists and residents. This means that probably not all patients who had filled out the

return it by mail or to hand it over at the second visit. The latter again required a proactive attitude of the urologists and residents. This means that probably not all patients who had filled out the questionnaires were asked to deliver it properly. It would have been better to "overshoot" the number of distributed questionnaires to collect a lager sample. In our sample, twenty patients mentioned no urological complaints at all. They were referred because of abnormalities found on ultrasound imaging or CT-scan. One out of these twenty mentioned a history of SA. One of the major problems in studies on SA is the lack of agreement on the definition and description of SA, like child abuse, rape, or intimate partner abuse. Women forced to engage in oral sex with a perpetrator may have very different pelvic floor problems compared with women who had forced intercourse. Additionally, a sexual abuse experience that includes fondling is very different from a sexual abuse that includes intercourse, and can have a different impact for the functioning of the pelvic floor. So, analysing sexual abuse as a homogenous experience can influence the outcome of this study. Patients with SA reported more synchronous complaints as reason for referral than patients without SA. We think that PFD gives a range of urological complaints (voiding complaints and storage complaints), explaining the larger number of synchronous urological complaints per person in the SA-group. One may hypothesize that a large number of urological complaints per person in a female patient points to a higher chance of a history of SA. In our opinion urologist should always ask their patients for SA. By addressing the issue, treatment of the urological disorder may improve with understanding of underlying psychological en physical issues stemming from the abuse. Multiple complaints as reason for referral and pelvic floor dysfunction are indicative for a history with SA and should alert the urologist to ask for it.

Conclusions

No significant correlation between SA and voiding complaints, incontinence nor lower abdominal pain was found. The prevalence rate of SA in female patients visiting our university urological outpatient clinic was 17%. These abused females mentioned more synchronous complaints as reason for referral at their first visit than the non-abused.

Complaint	SA + (n=51)	%	SA – (n=253)	%	p
Voiding complaints	32	63%	133	53%	0.18
Incontinence	31	61%	122	48%	0.10
Urinary tract infections	27	53%	110	44%	0.22
Abdominal pain	20	39%	74	29%	0.16
Hematuria	17	33%	59	23%	0.13
Colic pain	7	14%	35	14%	0.98

 Table 1: Reported complaints as reason for referral in the patients with SA compared to those without SA

SA+ = patients with sexual abuse history, SA- = patients without sexual abuse history, p = p-value

Nr of complaints	SA+	SA+	SA-	SA-	Total	Total
	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)
0	1	2.0%	19	7.5%	20	6.6%
1	16	31.4%	75	29.6%	91	29.9%
2	11	21.5%	74	29.3%	85	28.0%
3	7	13.7%	46	18.2%	53	17.4%
4	8	15.7%	25	9.9%	33	10.9%
5	5	9.8%	12	4.7%	17	5.6%
6	3	5.9%	2	0.8%	5	1.6%
Total	51	100.0	253	100.0	304	100.0 %

Table 2: Number of complaints reported as reason for referral to the urologist

SA + patients with sexual abuse, SA – patients without sexual abuse. This table shows that the patients with SA report more symptoms than those without (Armitage's trend test 0.14 (p=0.004) for 4 complaints or more).

Authors	Dutch research population	Sexual abused number	Total number	Prevalence	Year of publication
Draijer et al. ¹⁸	Females 20-40 years	248	1054	23.5%	1990
Lankveld et al. ¹⁹	Non-oncologic gynecological patients	50	325	15.4%	1996
Van der Hulst et al. ²⁰	pregnant women (non- clinical) without co morbidity	70	625	11.2%	2006
Lamers- Winkelman ²¹	11-18 years old students	108*	989*	10.9%*	2007
Beck et al. ¹⁵	Female patients attending an university pelvic floor center	42	185	22.7%	2009
Beck et al. ²²	Female patients attending a urological district hospital	21	161	13.0%	2011
Beck et al.	Female patients attending an university urology clinic	51	304	16.7%	This report

Table 3: Prevalence of sexual abuse among females in The Netherlands

* 7,9% (146/1845) for 872 boys and 989 girls combined. This survey mentions a three to four time higher prevalence among girls, but no gender specific data is given. Recalculation of a 3 times higher prevalence for 108 out of 989 girls versus 36 out of 872 boys gives an estimated prevalence of 10,9% for girls only.

Table 4 : Investigated urologica	l complaints in relation	to sexual abuse history
----------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------

Author	Year	Type of	Research population	Nr of patients	Investigated urological	Correlation with
		research		with sexual abuse	complaints in relation	sexual abuse
Reinhart ¹⁴	1987	Longitudinal	Children suspected to be	38 boys & 132 girls	Urinary tract infections	No
			sexual abused			
Reinhart⁵	1989	Case reports	Children attending clinic	2 boys & 3 girls	dysuria, genital and	Yes
					urinary tract infections,	
					voiding dysfunction,	
					and genital trauma	
Klevan ⁶	1990	Case control	Sexual abused children	68 boys & 360 girls	Urinary tract infections,	UTI: no
					Urinairy frequency or	Frequency: possible
					dysuria in 20% of the	Dysuria: possible
					abused children	
Elsworth ⁷	1995	Case reports	Sexually abused	12 children , 6	Dysfunctional voiding	Yes
				adults		
Von	2001	Case report		1	Sacral Neuro modulation	
Heyden ⁴¹					for Urinary Retention	
					Caused by Sexual Abuse	
Fenster ⁸	1995	Case reports	4 sexual a bused women	4	Urinary retention	Yes
			with urine retention			
Davila ⁹	2003	Case control	Female members of	58 sexual abuse	Stress urinary	Yes
			sexual abuse survivor	survivors and 51	incontinence, urge urinary	
			support groups and a	controls	incontinence, slow stream,	
			control group of patients		dribbling incontinence	
			attending a general			
			gynecology clinic			
Van Balken ⁴²	2006	Longitudinal	Females tested for	12 sexual abused	Sexual abuse did not alter	
			neuro modulation by tibial	out of 103	outcome of tibial nerve	
			nerve stimulation		stimulation	
Jundt ¹⁰	2007	Case control	gynaecology outpatient	26 sexual abused	Overactive bladder	Yes
			clinic	patients out of 85		
				patients with OAB		
Link ³	2007	Cross-sectional	2301 men, 3205 women	Men 373 (16.2%)	Urinary frequency, urinary	Yes
			Boston area, USA	Women 850	urgency, nocturia	
				(26.5%)		
Delago ¹¹	2008	Retrospective	161 girls who disclosed	1 61	Dysuria	Yes
		(charts) study	sexual abuse			
Peters ¹²	2008	Case control	87 women with chronic	44/87 (51%)	interstitial cystitis/painful	Yes
			bladder pain		bladder syndrome (IC/	
					PBS)	
Yild rim ⁴³	2011	Retros pective	52 abused patients and	52	Incontinence, urgency	No
		study and case	30 controls			
		control				

References

1 Gorey KM, Leslie DR. The prevalence of child sexual abuse: integrative review adjustment for potential response and measurement biases. *Child abuse & neglect*. 1997;**21**:391-8.

2 Kellogg N. The evaluation of sexual abuse in children. *Pediatrics*. 2005;**116**:506-12. Link CL, Lutfey KE, Steers WD, McKinlay JB. Is abuse causally related to urologic symptoms? Results from the Boston Area Community Health (BACH) Survey. *Eur Urol*. 2007;**52**:397-406.

4 Hill AB. The Environment and Disease: Association or Causation? *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Medicine*. 1965;**58**:295-300.

5 Reinhart MA, Adelman R. Urinary symptoms in child sexual abuse. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 1989;3:381-.

6 Klevan JL, De Jong AR. Urinary tract symptoms and urinary tract infection following sexual abuse. *Am J Dis Child*. 1990;**144**:242-4.

7 Ellsworth PI, Merguerian PA, Copening ME. Sexual abuse: another causative factor in dysfunctional voiding. *The Journal of urology*. 1995;**153**:73-6.

8 Fenster H, Patterson B. Urinary retention in sexually abused women. *Can J Urol*. 1995; **2**:185-8.

9 Davila GW, Bernier F, Franco J, Kopka SL. Bladder dysfunction in sexual abuse survivors. *The Journal of urology*. 2003;**170**:476-9.

10 Jundt K, Scheer I, Schiessl B, Pohl K, Haertl K, Peschers UM. Physical and sexual abuse in patients with overactive bladder: is there an association? *International urogynecology journal and pelvic floor dysfunction*. 2007;**18**:449-53.

11 DeLago C, Deblinger E, Schroeder C, Finkel MA. Girls who disclose sexual abuse: urogenital symptoms and signs after genital contact. *Pediatrics*. 2008;**122**:e281-6.

12 Peters KM, Carrico DJ, Diokno AC. Characterization of a dinical cohort of 87 women with interstitial cystitis/painful bladder syndrome. *Urology*. 2008;**71**:634-40.

13 Pannek J, Einig EM, Einig W. Clinical management of bladder dysfunction caused by sexual abuse. *Urologia internationalis*. 2009;**82**:420-5.

14 Reinhart MA. Urinary tract infection in sexually abused children. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 1987;**26**:470-2.

15 Beck JJ, Elzevier HW, Pelger RC, Putter H, Voorham-van der Zalm PJ. Multiple pelvic floor complaints are correlated with sexual abuse history. *The journal of sexual medicine*. 2009;**6**:193-8.

16 Bekker MD, Beck JJH, Putter H, et al. The Place of Female Sexual Dysfunction in the Urological Practice: Results of a Dutch Survey. *The journal of sexual medicine*. 2009;**6**:2979-87.

17 Bekker MD, Beck JJH, Putter H, et al. Sexual experiences of men with incontinent partners. *The journal of sexual medicine*. 2010;**7**:1877-82.

18 Draijer N. *Seksuele traumatisering in de jeugd; lange termijn gevolgen van seksueel misbruik van meisjes door verwanten.* Amsterdam; 1990.

19 van Lankveld JJ, ter Kuile MM, Kenter GG, van Hall EV, Weijenborg PT. [Sexual problems and experiences with sexual and physical violence in gynecological patients]. *Nederlands tijdschrift voor geneeskunde.* 1996;**140**:1903-6.

20 van der Hulst LA, Bonsel GJ, Eskes M, Birnie E, van Teijlingen E, Bleker OP. Bad experience, good birthing: Dutch low-risk pregnant women with a history of sexual abuse. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol*. 2006;**27**:59-66.

21 Lamers - Winkelman F, Slot NW, Bijl B, Vijlbrief AC. Scholieren over mishandeling. Resultaten van een landelijk onderzoek naar de omvang van kindermishandeling onder leerlingen van het voortgezet onderwijs. In: Justitie, ed.; 2007.

22 Beck J, Bekker M, Driel M, et al. Prevalence of sexual abuse among patients seeking general urological care. *The journal of sexual medicine*. 2011;**8**:1464-8.

23 Elzevier HW, Voorham-van der Zalm PJ, Pelger RC. How reliable is a self-administered questionnaire in detecting sexual abuse: a retrospective study in patients with pelvic-floor complaints and a review of literature. *The journal of sexual medicine*. 2007;**4**:956-63.

24 Penza KM, Heim C, Nemeroff CB. Neurobiological effects of childhood abuse: implications for the pathophysiology of depression and anxiety. *Archives of women's mental health.* 2003;**6**:15-22.

[25 Klausner AP, Steers WD. Corticotropin releasing factor: a mediator of emotional influences on bladder function. *The Journal of urology*. 2004;**172**:2570-3.

26 Ercan F, Oktay S, Erin N. Role of afferent neurons in stress induced degenerative changes of the bladder. *The Journal of urology*. 2001;**165**:235-9.

27 Cao J, Boucher W, Kempuraj D, Donelan JM, Theoharides TC. Acute stress and intravesical corticotropin-releasing hormone induces mast cell dependent vascular endothelial growth factor release from mouse bladder explants. *The Journal of urology*. 2006;**176**:1208-13.

28 Dalal E, Medalia O, Harari O, Aronson M. Moderate stress protects female mice against bacterial infection of the bladder by eliciting uroepithelial shedding. *Infection and immunity*. 1994;**62**:5505-10.

29 Ercan F, San T, Cavdar S. The effects of cold-restraint stress on urinary bladder wall compared with interstitial cystitis morphology. *Urological research*. 1999;**27**:454-61.

30 Saito M, Kondo A, Miyake K. Changes in rat bladder function following exposure to pain and water stimuli. *Int J Urol.* 1995;**2**:92-5.

31 Peoples JF, Wessendorf MW, Pierce T, Van Bockstaele EJ. Ultrastructure of endomorphin-1 immunoreactivity in the rat dorsal pontine tegmentum: evidence for preferential targeting of peptidergic neurons in Barrington's nucleus rather than catecholaminergic neurons in the peri-locus coeruleus. *The Journal of comparative neurology*. 2002;**448**:268-79.

32 Kawatani M, Shioda S, Nakai Y, Takeshige C, de Groat WC. Ultrastructural analysis of enkephalinergic terminals in parasympathetic ganglia innervating the urinary bladder of the cat. *The Journal of comparative neurology*. 1989;**288**:81-91.

33 Beck J, Elzevier H, Pelger R, Putter H, Voorham-van der Zalm P. Multiple pelvic floor complaints are correlated with sexual abuse history. *The journal of sexual medicine*. 2009;**6**:193-8.

34 Stoker J. Anorectal and pelvic floor anatomy. *Best practice & research*. 2009;**23**:463-75.

35 Haylen BT, de Ridder D, Freeman RM, et al. An International Urogynecological Association (IUGA)/International Continence Society (ICS) joint report on the terminology for female pelvic floor dysfunction. *Neurourology and urodynamics.* 2010;**29**:4-20.

36 Voorham-van der Zalm PJ, Lycklama à Nijeholt AAB, Elzevier HW, Putter H, Pelger RC. "Diagnostic investigation of the pelvic floor": a helpful tool in the approach in patients with complaints of micturition, defecation, and/or sexual dysfunction. *The journal of sexual medicine*. 2008;**5**:864-71.

37 Faubion SS, Shuster LT, Bharucha AE. Recognition and management of nonrelaxing pelvic floor dysfunction. *Mayo Clinic proceedings.* 2012;**87**:187-93.

38 Irwin DE, Milsom I, Hunskaar S, et al. Population-based survey of urinary incontinence, overactive bladder, and other lower urinary tract symptoms in five countries: results of the EPIC study. *European urology*. 2006;**50**:1306-14; discussion 14-5.

39 Wein, Kavoussi, Novick, Partin, Peters. *Campbell-Walsh Urology, 10th ed. Chap 91.*: Elsevier; 2011.

40 Rosenbaum TY. Pelvic floor physiotherapy for women with urogenital dysfunction: indications and methods. *Minerva urologica e nefrologica = The Italian journal of urology and nephrology*. 2011;**63**:101-7.

41 von Heyden B, Steinert R, Bothe HW, Hertle L. Sacral neuromodulation for urinary retention caused by sexual abuse. *Psychosom Med.* 2001;**63**:505-8.

42 van Balken MR, Vergunst H, Bemelmans BL. Prognostic factors for successful percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation. *European urology*. 2006;**49**:360-5.

43 Yildirim A, Uluocak N, Atilgan D, Ozcetin M, Erdemir F, Boztepe O. Evaluation of lower urinary tract symptoms in children exposed to sexual abuse. *Urology journal*. 2011;**8**:38-42.

Appendix

Questionnaires:

Date Do y How Do y	e of birth: you have a partner: y many children do you have: you smoke?	Yes □ No □ Yes □ No □
Do y	vou have:	
*	Vascular or heart problems	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
*	High blood pressure	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
*	Diabetes	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
*	Neurological complaints	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
*	Psychiatric complaints	Yes 🗆 No 🗆

Do you menstruate?

Yes, regularly
 Yes, but not regularly
 No, I haven't had a period since a few months
 No, I haven't had a period for more than a year

Did you have negative sexual experiences (sexual abuse) in the past?

Yes 🗆 No 🗆

Would you be willing to provide some more information about this? Yes $\Box\,$ No $\,\Box\,$

What medication do you currently use?

Did you have any surcical procedures in the past? If yes, please list them here

90

Urological complaints (more than one urological complaint can be filled)

Pain in the region of the kidney?	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
Blood in urine?	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
Microscopic (not visibly red)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
Macroscopic (bloody urine)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
Urinary tract infection(s)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
Voiding complaints	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
Incontinence	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
Abdominal pain	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
Abnormalies on radiological examination	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
Refferd by other physician to the urologist, but n	o urological complaints Yes □ No □

Other, please explain: