

Potential role of pharmacogenetics for optimalization of drug therapy in rheumatoid arthritis

Kooloos, W.M.

Citation

Kooloos, W. M. (2009, December 9). *Potential role of pharmacogenetics for optimalization of drug therapy in rheumatoid arthritis*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/14497

| Version: | Corrected Publisher's Version | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| License: | <u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral</u> <u>thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University</u> <u>of Leiden</u> | | |
| Downloaded from: | https://hdl.handle.net/1887/14497 | | |

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Chapter 2:

Pharmacogenetics of methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis

W.M. Kooloos¹, T.W.J. Huizinga², H.-J. Guchelaar¹ and J.A.M.Wessels¹

¹Clinical Pharmacy & Toxicology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands. ² Rheumatology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Current Pharmaceutical Design. 2009 Oct 15, In press (Modified)

Abstract

Over the last decades important progress is being made regarding disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), like methotrexate (MTX), in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Nevertheless, a substantial part of the patients fail to achieve a good response and/or experience toxicity, which limits further treatment leading to progression of inflammation and destruction of joints. These high interindividual differences in drug response gave rise to the need for prognostic markers in order to individualize and optimize therapy with these anti-rheumatic agents. Besides demographic and clinical factors, studies in the research field of pharmacogenetics have reported potential markers associated with clinical response on treatment with MTX. However, publicized conflicting results and underlying interpretation difficulties inhibit drawing definitive conclusions. Presently, clinical implementation of pharmacogenetics as an important step for individualizing drug therapy in RA is not feasible yet. Replication and prospective validation in large patient cohorts are required before pharmacogenetics can be used in clinical practice. This review provides the current state of art in genotyping RA patients as a potential guide for clinical decision making.

Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis has a prevalence of ~1% in the Western population (1). This autoimmune disease is characterized by a chronic inflammatory process within the synovial joints, progressive (radiological) joint damage and significant functional impairment (2). In the last decades patients have been treated with traditional disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) including methotrexate (MTX), sulphasalazine and leflunomide, or a combination of DMARDs. More recently, growing evidence for the central role of tumour-necrosis factor alpha (TNF α) in the pathogenesis of RA has led to the introduction of TNF inhibitors, such as etanercept, infliximab and adalimumab (3). These biological DMARDs have proven to play an important role in the treatment of persistent RA in patients, who achieve an incomplete response or develop adverse drug events to traditional DMARDs (4-6). In addition, biologicals with alternate mechanisms of actions such as rituximab, abatacept and tocilizumab have recently been developed, (7-9). To date, the place in RA therapy of these new agents is less established.

Ideally, RA therapy is based on strict monitoring of disease activity and tight control treatment in order to prevent progression of joint damage and functional disability (10). Namely, it is established that high and variable disease activity is related to increasing joint damage and that effective intervention stops this progression (11;12). In current clinical practice, newly diagnosed RA patients are treated with traditional DMARDs, in which methotrexate (MTX) is the drug of first choice (13;14). In case of unfavourable response, side effects and/or drug toxicity, alteration of dose regimen or drug therapy towards a combination of DMARDs and/or biologicals is recommended.(4;15;16).

Still, different response rates are seen in RA patients treated with MTX. Substantial percentages of 30-40% of RA patients fail to achieve a satisfactory response. Moreover, 15-30% of the patients develop adverse drug events (16-18). These different responses lead to studies identifying influence of demographic, clinical and immunological variables on treatment outcome with MTX (19). Next to these factors, genetic influences have also been explored in the last decade. Generally, pharmacogenetics has the potential to increase drug efficacy and to ameliorate adverse events (20;21). Therefore, its application might be of great clinical benefit for individuals affected with RA. Studies have reported associations between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in genes encoding enzymes related to the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of MTX and treatment outcome (22-25). The ultimate aim of using pharmacogenetic markers is to predict the probability of a wanted or unwanted drug response in individual patients (20;21).

This review presents an overview of genetic variability contributing to differences in response to MTX in RA treatment.

Pharmacogenetics of methotrexate

Although the exact mechanism of action of MTX is unclear, numerous enzymes have demonstrated to be important for its anti-proliferative and immunosuppressive effects (26;27). Before MTX is being metabolized inside the cell, MTX enters the cell e.g. by the transporter-enzyme reduced folate carrier (RFC). Efflux from the cell is facilitated by ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, e.g. ABCC1 to 5 and ABCG2 and (less proven by) ABCB1 (28;29). If MTX enters the cell, the drug is polyglutamated, meaning that groups of glutamic acid are added to MTX. This process is catalyzed by the enzyme folylpolyglutamate synthetase (FPGS) and reversed by gammaglutamyl hydrolase (GGH), respectively.

Polyglutamated MTX (MTXPGs) inhibits several enzymes directly such as thymidylate synthase (TYMS), dehydrofolate reductase (DHFR), whereas indirect inhibition occurs on methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR), a key enzyme in the folate pathway (26). MTXPGs also inhibit the conversion of 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide (AICAR) to formyl-AICAR, which is facilitated by the enzyme AICAR transformylase (ATIC). Accumulation of AICAR has a direct inhibitory effect on other enzymes, like adenosine monophosphate deaminase (AMPD1). This accumulation may lead to the release of adenosine, a potential anti-inflammatory agent (30;31).

To date, SNP selection for pharmacogenetic association studies concerning MTX is done within genes encoding enzymes in these hypothetical pathways regarding MTX's mechanism of action. However, the association of polymorphisms in these pathway genes have yielded mixed results. Table 1 presents the pharmacogenetic data of RA patients treated with MTX monotherapy.

Regarding transport enzymes, association studies of MTX treatment outcome to genetic polymorphisms in the genes *ABCB1*, *RFC* and *ABCC2* have been performed (25;32-40). It has been found that SNPs in the transporters ABCB1 and RFC associate with MTX efficacy and toxicity. However, conflicting data were seen. For example, studies with the *ABCB1* 3435 C>T have reported that the genotype TT was associated with efficacy (34) and inefficacy (36). In addition, one study detected an association of the TT genotype with toxicity (40). For *ABCC2*, no associations of SNPs with toxicity were found (25).

The best-studied SNPs concerning MTX treatment outcome are at the positions 677C>T and 1298A>C within the gene coding for the folate key-enzyme MTHFR (24;25;37;39-47). This enzyme catalyzes the conversion of homocysteine to methionine for a variety of metabolic reactions (30). Functional studies have elucidated that these two polymorphisms are associated with diminished enzyme activity of MTHFR leading to homocysteinemia (48). In fact, it is demonstrated that a decrease in activity could lead to homocysteinemia and eventually could be related to toxicity, e.g. influencing the gastrointestinal tract in RA patients on MTX therapy (48). As a consequence, several reports studied the association of *MTHFR* 677C>T and 1298A>C with toxicity. Regarding *MTHFR* 677C>T, seven studies found no association with overall MTX-induced toxicity (37;41-43;47-49), whereas other studies found associations with GI toxicity for the CT genotype (48), increased MTX discontinuation due to increased liver enzyme levels for 677 T-allele carriers (24), alopecia in Afro-Americans (25), and overall toxicity (24;45;46). In other studies, *MTHFR* 1298 A-allele carriers were related to side effects in two reports (41;42), whereas two groups found no association (43;45) and two groups detected an association between 1298 C-allele carriers with overall toxicity and gastrointestinal toxicity (37;49).

Additionally, associations with MTX efficacy were assessed in most of the studies, involving *MTHFR* genetics. Of the seven studies performed, only three studies found that patients genotyped for *MTHFR* 677CC were more likely to achieve a good response, defined as a decrease or an obtained absolute value of disease activity score (DAS) (37;44;49). Also, reports on *MTHFR* 1298A>C provide inconclusive results. One report found associations with efficacy of the 1298AA genotype and a decrease in DAS (37). In contrast, three studies reported an association between C-allele carriers and disease improvement as defined as the likelihood to be treated with a higher dose, a tendency for remission or decrease in ESR and/or CRP level (44-46). Still, five reports did not find associations of *MTHFR* 1298A>C with efficacy (40-43;49). The enzymes methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase (MTHFD1), methylenetetrahydrofolate (SHMT1), and thymidylate synthase enhancer region (TSER) are indirectly influenced by MTXPGs (26). Regarding efficacy, one SNP in the *MTHFD1* gene could be related with inefficacy to MTX treatment (39). Yet, for *SHMT1* and *TSER* an association with a single genetic polymorphism within each gene and developing side effects and alopecia in specific was demonstrated (47) (table 1).

Two direct targets of MTX are the enzymes DHFR and TYMS (26;30). Regarding *DHFR*, one study was performed in which no associations with efficacy or toxicity were found (37). For *TYMS*, four studies were performed (36;39;40;43). Only, one study reported an association of a polymorphism, 6 basepair (bp) deletion, within the 3'UTR region of the gene and achieving good response, defined as likelihood to be treated with a higher dose or decrease in CRP level (43).

Recently an association between MTX and HLA-G antigens, defined as nonclassical major histocompatibility complex class Ib molecules important for maintaining anti-inflammatory conditions, was found in an in vitro study (50). The *HLA-G* 14 bp deletion is thought to increase *HLA-G* mRNA and protein stability, possibly leading to prolonged anti-inflammatory actions. Therefore, MTX may act synergistic with this deletion. It was shown that MTX induces soluble HLA-G, whereas a homozygous deletion of 14bp in this *HLA*-gene was more frequently detected in patients with response to MTX. However, the role of the *HLA-G* 14 bp polymorphism in vivo in clinical response to MTX remains conflicting (50-52).

Generally, regarding MTX-induced toxicity, no associations between polymorphisms in the pathway enzymes including, *TYMS*, *DHFR*, *AMPD1*, *ITPA* genes and the occurrence of side effects in RA patients exist (36;37;40;53) (Table 1).

Direct involved in MTX's polyglutamation are the enzymes FPGS and GGH. Two SNPs, 114G>A and 1994A>G, in the *FPGS* gene were not reported to be related to efficacy or toxicity in RA patients (54). Concerning *GGH*, in three studies no significant effect of three SNPs, -401C>T, 452C>T and 16C>T, with efficacy was demonstrated (39;49;54). However, an association of *GGH* -401C>T with toxicity was seen in one study (49).

| Gene | Function | Genetic polymor- phism(s) | Clinical effect on: | |
|--------------|--|--|--|---|
| | | F (;) | Toxicity | Efficacy |
| MTHFR | Catalyzes methylene THF to methyl-THF; indirect target MTX | 677C>T | - Effect on GI toxicity (48); T-allele associated with toxicity and in- creased liver enzyme levels (24;45;46); No association with toxicity (37;48;40-43;47;49) | -No association with efficacy (24;25;40;42;43); Association with efficacy (37;44;49) |
| | | 1298 A>C | A-allele associated with toxicity (41;42); C-allele associated with toxicity and GI toxicity (37;40;49); No association with toxicity (43;45) | No association with efficacy (40;42;43;45;49); Association with efficacy (37;46); May affect efficacy (44) |
| ATIC | Conversion of AICAR to 10- formyl-AICAR; target of polyg- lutamated MTX | 347C>G | GG associated with toxicity and GI toxicity (47;49;53); No effect on toxicity (36) | Association with efficacy (39;53;47); No associa- tion with efficacy (36;49) |
| DHFR | Reduction of DHF to THF; target of MTX | -473G>A, 35389G>A | No effect on efficacy or toxicity (37) | |
| MTHFD1 | catalyzes interconversion of 1- carbon derivatives of THF; indirect target MTX | 1958G>A | * | AA associated with inefficacy (39) |
| SHMT1 | catalyzes conversion of serine and THF to glycine and methy- lene-THF: indirect target MTX | 1420C>T | No association with toxicity (47;49); CC associated with alopecia and CNS side effects (47) | No association with efficacy (39); CC asso- ciated with efficacy (49); |
| TSER | Enhancer region of TYMS; indirect target of MTX | '5 UTR 28bp repeat | No association with toxicity (43;49); Association with toxicity and alope- cia (47) | No association with efficacy (39;43;49) |
| TYMS | Conversion of dUMP to dTMP; target of MTX | '3 UTR 6bp deletion | No effect on toxicity (36;40) | May affect MTX efficacy (as defined by MTX dose and CRP level) (43); No effect on efficacy (as defined by MTX dose) (36;40) |
| AMPD1 | Conversion of AMP to ADP and ATP; indirect target MTX | 34C>T | No association with toxicity (53) | T-allele associated with efficacy (39;53) |
| MTR | Methylation of homocysteine to methionine; indirect target MTX | 2756A>G | No association with toxicity (40;53); AA associated with toxicity (49) | No association with efficacy (40;49;53) |
| MTRR | Methylation of cofactors re- quired for MTR action; indirect target MTX | 66A>G | No association with toxicity (40;53); GG associated with toxicity (49) | No effect on efficacy (40;49;53) |
| ІТРА | Conversion IMP to ITP; indi- rect target MTX | 94C>A | No association with toxicity (53) | CC associated with efficacy (39;53) |
| ADO- RA2A | Adenosine A2a receptor | 5 SNPs (4 in intron+ 1 in downstream) | All SNPs associated with Toxicity (55); Two SNPs with GI toxicity (55) | * |
| FPGS | Adding polyglutamates to MTX; prolonging cellular retention MTX | 1994A>G, 114G>A | No effect on efficacy or toxicity (54) | |
| GGH | Conversion of long chain polyglutamated MTX into short chain by removing polyg- lutamates | 452C>T, 16C>T | No effect on toxicity (54) | May affect efficacy (54); No association with efficacy (39) |
| | | - 401C>T | CC associated with toxicity (49) | No association with efficacy (49); |

| ABCB1 | Efflux transporter on cells; efflux of MTX | 3435C>T | ABCB1 3435 TT associated with toxicity (40); No association with toxicity (36) | No association with efficacy (40); TT asso- ciated with efficacy (34); TT associated with inefficacy (36) |
|-------|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | +1236C>T, 2677G>T | No effect on efficacy or toxicity (25;40) | |
| RFC | Folate entry in the cell | -43T>C, 696C>T | * | No effect on efficacy (32) |
| | | 80G>A | RFC1 80GG associated with toxicity (40); No association with toxicity (36;49;53) | No effect on efficacy (32;36;37;40;49); RFC 80A-allele associated with efficacy (35) |
| ABCC2 | Efflux transporter on cells of MTX | 1249 G>A, 1058 G>A, IVS23 +56 T>C | No effect on toxicity (25) | * |
| HLA-G | Persistence of anti- inflammatory conditions | 14bp deletion | * | -14/ -14 bp associated with efficacy (50;51) . No effect on efficacy (52) |

Table 1. Pharmacogenetic association studies of methotrexate with treatment outcome in rheumatoid arthritis

* = No information on association(s) with specific efficacy or toxicity was present regarding this SNP under study Abbreviations and accessory full names of formal genes can be relocated in the NCBI gene database

Because, it is thought that MTX has an influence on adenosine pathway, SNPs within genes coding for *AMPD1*, *ATIC*, *MTR*, *MTRR*, *ITPA* were correlated with treatment outcome in several studies (36;40;47;53). Our group identified significant associations with clinical response, defined as an absolute value of DAS of less than 2.4, and the SNPs *AMPD1* 34C>T, *ATIC* 347C>G, *ITPA* 94C>A. In the toxicity analysis, only *ATIC* GG was associated with toxicity (53).

In general, several studies demonstrated no effect of *MTR* and *MTRR* on MTX efficacy (40;49;53). Regarding toxicity, in only one study (49) a relation between *MTR* 2756A>G and *MTRR* 66A>G and toxicity in a small group of patients was seen. However in two previously performed studies this was not reported (40;53). Since, the anti-inflammatory effects of adenosine are mediated by adenosine receptors, one group studied polymorphisms in genes coding for the adenosine receptor (*ADO-RA2A*) in relation with MTX therapy outcome. Five SNPs, were reported to be associated with adverse events on MTX. Specifically, two SNPs were related with gastrointestinal side effects (55) (Table 1).

Several nongenetic factors have been reported to influence efficacy of MTX treatment over the last years. These factors include demographic, life-style and clinical determinants such as disease activity at baseline, gender and smoking. Still, associations of these factors have not been translated into clinical tools in order to guide MTX treatment in RA patients. However, recently, a pharmacogenetic model in combination with clinical factors to predict MTX efficacy in recent-onset RA was developed (39). In this study it was reported that the clinical factors gender, rheumatoid factor combined with smoking status and disease activity at baseline were predictive for MTX response. The included genetic factors were the SNPs *AMPD1* C>T, *ATIC* 347C>G, *ITPA* 94 A>C and *MTHFD* 1958G>A. The prediction resulted in the classification of 60% of the RA patients into MTX responders and nonresponders, with 95% and 86% as true positive and negative response rates, respectively. Evaluation of this predictive model in a second group of 38 RA patients supported our results (39). Still, this model needs further prospective validation before its implementation in clinical practice.

Conclusion

MTX has been demonstrated to be effective drugs in the treatment of RA. Still, various percentages in efficacy and toxicity are seen. Unfortunately, these interindividual differences cannot be predicted in individual patients and markers such as polymorphisms, are necessary to individualize and optimize drug treatment. Yet, most pharmacogenetic studies performed have an insufficient sample size (power) to detect true associations with treatment response. In addition, other factors, like nongenetic factors, ethnicity and clear endpoints, influence treatment outcome. Particularly, disease activity score (DAS) at baseline determines to a large extend the response of RA patients treated with DMARD therapy as was demonstrated from previous studies. Also regarding clear endpoints, various use of disease activity parameters and/or cutoff levels for the definition of response, e.g. elevated liver enzyme levels in the case of side effects and an absolute value of DAS in the case of efficacy, may contribute to different results. In order to optimally compare studies or perform metaanalyses, criteria regarding efficacy and toxicity should be standardized. Finally, opposite or alternative results found may be explained by differences in SNP allele frequencies between various ethnic populations, which makes these association studies unlikely to compare.

Therefore, definitive conclusions about the role of genetic prognostic factors in treatment outcome to MTX cannot be drawn. Large randomized prospective studies are required to effectively replicate and validate these findings, before a pharmacogenetic approach is applicable in daily clinical practice.

References

(1) Symmons DP. Epidemiology of rheumatoid arthritis: determinants of onset, persistence and outcome. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2002 December;16(5):707-22.

(2) Choy EH, Panayi GS. Cytokine pathways and joint inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 2001 March 22;344(12):907-16.

(3) O'dell JR. Therapeutic strategies for rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 2004 June 17;350(25):2591-602.

(4) Maini RN, Breedveld FC, Kalden JR, Smolen JS, Furst D, Weisman MH et al. Sustained improvement over two years in physical function, structural damage, and signs and symptoms among patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with infliximab and methotrexate. Arthritis Rheum 2004 April;50(4):1051-65.

(5) Yount S, Sorensen MV, Cella D, Sengupta N, Grober J, Chartash EK. Adalimumab plus methotrexate or standard therapy is more effective than methotrexate or standard therapies alone in the treatment of fatigue in patients with active, inadequately treated rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2007 November;25(6):838-46.

(6) van Riel PL, Taggart AJ, Sany J, Gaubitz M, Nab HW, Pedersen R et al. Efficacy and safety of combination etanercept and methotrexate versus etanercept alone in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with an inadequate response to methotrexate: the ADORE study. Ann Rheum Dis 2006 November;65(11):1478-83.

(7) Kremer JM, Genant HK, Moreland LW, Russell AS, Emery P, bud-Mendoza C et al. Effects of abatacept in patients with methotrexate-resistant active rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2006 June 20;144(12):865-76.

(8) Maini RN, Taylor PC, Szechinski J, Pavelka K, Broll J, Balint G et al. Double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial of the interleukin-6 receptor antagonist, tocilizumab, in European patients with rheumatoid arthritis who had an incomplete response to methotrexate. Arthritis Rheum 2006 September;54(9):2817-29.

(9) Emery P, Fleischmann R, Filipowicz-Sosnowska A, Schechtman J, Szczepanski L, Kavanaugh A et al. The efficacy and safety of rituximab in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis despite methotrexate treatment: results of a phase IIB randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled, dose-ranging trial. Arthritis Rheum 2006 May;54(5):1390-400. (10) Vencovsky J, Huizinga TW. Rheumatoid arthritis: the goal rather than the health-care provider is key. Lancet 2006 February 11;367(9509):450-2.

(11) Welsing PM, Landewe RB, van Riel PL, Boers M, van Gestel AM, van der LS et al. The relationship between disease activity and radiologic progression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a longitudinal analysis. Arthritis Rheum 2004 July;50(7):2082-93.

(12) O'dell JR. Treating rheumatoid arthritis early: a window of opportunity? Arthritis Rheum 2002 February;46(2):283-5.

(13) Cronstein BN. Low-dose methotrexate: a mainstay in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacol Rev 2005 June;57(2):163-72.

(14) Pincus T, Yazici Y, Sokka T, Aletaha D, Smolen JS. Methotrexate as the "anchor drug" for the treatment of early rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2003 September;21(5 Suppl 31):S179-S185.

(15) Klareskog L, van der HD, de Jager JP, Gough A, Kalden J, Malaise M et al. Therapeutic effect of the combination of etanercept and methotrexate compared with each treatment alone in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: double-blind randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2004 February 28;363(9410):675-81.

(16) Breedveld FC, Weisman MH, Kavanaugh AF, Cohen SB, Pavelka K, van VR et al. The PREMIER study: A multicenter, randomized, double-blind clinical trial of combination therapy with adalimumab plus methotrexate versus methotrexate alone or adalimumab alone in patients with early, aggressive rheumatoid arthritis who had not had previous methotrexate treatment. Arthritis Rheum 2006 January;54(1):26-37.

(17) Klareskog L, van der HD, de Jager JP, Gough A, Kalden J, Malaise M et al. Therapeutic effect of the combination of etanercept and methotrexate compared with each treatment alone in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: double-blind randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2004 February 28;363(9410):675-81.

(18) Mottonen T, Hannonen P, Leirisalo-Repo M, Nissila M, Kautiainen H, Korpela M et al. Comparison of combination therapy with single-drug therapy in early rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised trial. FIN-RACo trial group. Lancet 1999 May 8;353(9164):1568-73.

(19) Matteson EL, Weyand CM, Fulbright JW, Christianson TJ, McClelland RL, Goronzy JJ. How aggressive should initial therapy for rheumatoid arthritis be? Factors associated with response to 'non-aggressive' DMARD treatment and perspective from a 2-yr open label trial. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2004 May;43(5):619-25.

(20) Eichelbaum M, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Evans WE. Pharmacogenomics and Individualized Drug Therapy. Annu Rev Med 2006;57:119-37.

(21) Evans WE, McLeod HL. Pharmacogenomics--drug disposition, drug targets, and side effects. N Engl J Med 2003 February 6;348(6):538-49.

(22) Criswell LA, Lum RF, Turner KN, Woehl B, Zhu Y, Wang J et al. The influence of genetic variation in the HLA-DRB1 and LTA-TNF regions on the response to treatment of early rheumatoid arthritis with methotrexate or etanercept. Arthritis Rheum 2004 September;50(9):2750-6.

(23) Padyukov L, Lampa J, Heimburger M, Ernestam S, Cederholm T, Lundkvist I et al. Genetic markers for the efficacy of tumour necrosis factor blocking therapy in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2003 June;62(6):526-9.

(24) van Ede AE, Laan RF, Blom HJ, Huizinga TW, Haagsma CJ, Giesendorf BA et al. The C677T mutation in the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene: a genetic risk factor for methotrexate-related elevation of liver enzymes in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Arthritis Rheum 2001 November; 44(11):2525-30.

(25) Ranganathan P, Culverhouse R, Marsh S, Mody A, Scott-Horton TJ, Brasington R et al. Methotrexate (MTX) pathway gene polymorphisms and their effects on MTX toxicity in Caucasian and African American patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2008 April;35(4):572-9.

(26) Chan ES, Cronstein BN. Molecular action of methotrexate in inflammatory diseases. Arthritis Res 2002;4(4):266-73.

(27) Cutolo M, Sulli A, Pizzorni C, Seriolo B, Straub RH. Anti-inflammatory mechanisms of methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2001 August;60(8):729-35-

(28) Hooijberg JH, Broxterman HJ, Kool M, Assaraf YG, Peters GJ, Noordhuis P et al. Antifolate resistance mediated by the multidrug resistance proteins MRP1 and MRP2. Cancer Res 1999 June 1;59(11):2532-5.

(29) Norris MD, De GD, Haber M, Kavallaris M, Madafiglio J, Gilbert J et al. Involvement of MDR1 P-glycoprotein in multifactorial resistance to methotrexate. Int J Cancer 1996 March 1;65(5):613-9.

(30) Cronstein BN. Low-dose methotrexate: a mainstay in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacol Rev 2005 June;57(2):163-72. (31) Montesinos MC, Yap JS, Desai A, Posadas I, McCrary CT, Cronstein BN. Reversal of the antiinflammatory effects of methotrexate by the nonselective adenosine receptor antagonists theophylline and caffeine: evidence that the antiinflammatory effects of methotrexate are mediated via multiple adenosine receptors in rat adjuvant arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2000 March;43(3):656-63.

(32) Chatzikyriakidou A, Georgiou I, Voulgari PV, Papadopoulos CG, Tzavaras T, Drosos AA. Transcription regulatory polymorphism -43T>C in the 5'-flanking region of SLC19A1 gene could affect rheumatoid arthritis patient response to methotrexate therapy. Rheumatol Int 2007 September;27(11):1057-61.

(33) Dervieux T, Furst D, Lein DO, Capps R, Smith K, Caldwell J et al. Pharmacogenetic and metabolite measurements are associated with clinical status in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with methotrexate: results of a multicentred cross sectional observational study. Ann Rheum Dis 2005 August;64(8):1180-5.

(34) Drozdzik M, Rudas T, Pawlik A, Kurzawski M, Czerny B, Gornik W et al. The effect of 3435C>T MDR1 gene polymorphism on rheumatoid arthritis treatment with disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2006 November;62(11):933-7.

(35) Drozdzik M, Rudas T, Pawlik A, Gornik W, Kurzawski M, Herczynska M. Reduced folate carrier-1 80G>A polymorphism affects methotrexate treatment outcome in rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacogenomics J 2007 December;7(6):404-7.

(36) Takatori R, Takahashi KA, Tokunaga D, Hojo T, Fujioka M, Asano T et al. ABCB1 C3435T polymorphism influences methotrexate sensitivity in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2006 September;24(5):546-54.

(37) Wessels JA, de Vries-Bouwstra JK, Heijmans BT, Slagboom PE, Goekoop-Ruiterman YP, Allaart CF et al. Efficacy and toxicity of methotrexate in early rheumatoid arthritis are associated with single-nucleotide polymorphisms in genes coding for folate pathway enzymes. Arthritis Rheum 2006 April;54(4):1087-95.

(38) Dervieux T, Furst D, Lein DO, Capps R, Smith K, Walsh M et al. Polyglutamation of methotrexate with common polymorphisms in reduced folate carrier, aminoimidazole carboxamide ribonucleotide transformylase, and thymidylate synthase are associated with methotrexate effects in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2004 September; 50(9):2766-74.

(39) Wessels JA, van der Kooij SM, le CS, Kievit W, Barerra P, Allaart CF et al. A clinical pharmacogenetic model to predict the efficacy of methotrexate monotherapy in recentonset rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2007 June;56(6):1765-75.

(40) Bohanec Grabar P., Logar D, Lestan B, Dolzan V. Genetic determinants of methotrexate toxicity in rheumatoid arthritis patients: a study of polymorphisms affecting methotrexate transport and folate metabolism. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2008 November;64(11):1057-68.

(41) Berkun Y, Levartovsky D, Rubinow A, Orbach H, Aamar S, Grenader T et al. Methotrexate related adverse effects in patients with rheumatoid arthritis are associated with the A1298C polymorphism of the MTHFR gene. Ann Rheum Dis 2004 October;63(10):1227-31.

(42) Hughes LB, Beasley TM, Patel H, Tiwari HK, Morgan SL, Baggott JE et al. Racial or ethnic differences in allele frequencies of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene and their influence on response to methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2006 September;65(9):1213-8.

(43) Kumagai K, Hiyama K, Oyama T, Maeda H, Kohno N. Polymorphisms in the thymidylate synthase and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase genes and sensitivity to the low-dose methotrexate therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Int J Mol Med 2003 May;11(5):593-600.

(44) Kurzawski M, Pawlik A, Safranow K, Herczynska M, Drozdzik M. 677C>T and 1298A>C MTHFR polymorphisms affect methotrexate treatment outcome in rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacogenomics 2007 November;8(11):1551-9.

(45) Taniguchi A, Urano W, Tanaka E, Furihata S, Kamitsuji S, Inoue E et al. Validation of the associations between single nucleotide polymorphisms or haplotypes and responses to disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a proposal for prospective pharmacogenomic study in clinical practice. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2007 June;17(6):383-90.

(46) Urano W, Taniguchi A, Yamanaka H, Tanaka E, Nakajima H, Matsuda Y et al. Polymorphisms in the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene were associated with both the efficacy and the toxicity of methotrexate used for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, as evidenced by single locus and haplotype analyses. Pharmacogenetics 2002 April;12(3):183-90.

(47) Weisman MH, Furst DE, Park GS, Kremer JM, Smith KM, Wallace DJ et al. Risk genotypes in folate-dependent enzymes and their association with methotrexate-related side effects in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2006 February;54(2):607-12.

(48) Haagsma CJ, Blom HJ, van Riel PL, van't Hof MA, Giesendorf BA, van Oppenraaij-Emmerzaal D et al. Influence of sulphasalazine, methotrexate, and the combination of both on plasma homocysteine concentrations in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 1999 February;58(2):79-84.

(49) Dervieux T, Greenstein N, Kremer J. Pharmacogenomic and metabolic biomarkers in the folate pathway and their association with methotrexate effects during dosage escalation in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2006 October;54(10):3095-103.

(50) Rizzo R, Rubini M, Govoni M, Padovan M, Melchiorri L, Stignani M et al. HLA-G 14-bp polymorphism regulates the methotrexate response in rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2006 September;16(9):615-23.

(51) Baricordi OR, Govoni M, Rizzo R, Trotta F. In rheumatoid arthritis, a polymorphism in the HLA-G gene concurs in the clinical response to methotrexate treatment. Ann Rheum Dis 2007 August;66(8):1125-6.

(52) Stamp LK, O'Donnell JL, Chapman PT, Barclay ML, Kennedy MA, Frampton CM et al. Lack of association between HLA-G 14 bp insertion/deletion polymorphism and response to long-term therapy with methotrexate response in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2009 January;68(1):154-5.

(53) Wessels JA, Kooloos WM, De JR, de Vries-Bouwstra JK, Allaart CF, Linssen A et al. Relationship between genetic variants in the adenosine pathway and outcome of methotrexate treatment in patients with recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2006 September;54(9):2830-9.

(54) van der Straaten RJ, Wessels JA, de Vries-Bouwstra JK, Goekoop-Ruiterman YP, Allaart CF, Bogaartz J et al. Exploratory analysis of four polymorphisms in human GGH and FPGS genes and their effect in methotrexatetreated rheumatoid arthritis patients. Pharmacogenomics 2007 February;8(2):141-50.

(55) Hider SL, Thomson W, Mack LF, Armstrong DJ, Shadforth M, Bruce IN. Polymorphisms within the adenosine receptor 2a gene are associated with adverse events in RA patients treated with MTX. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2008 August;47(8):1156-9.