



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Team automata : a formal approach to the modeling of collaboration between system components**

Beek, M.H. ter

### **Citation**

Beek, M. H. ter. (2003, December 10). *Team automata : a formal approach to the modeling of collaboration between system components*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/29570>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/29570>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/29570> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Beek, Maurice H. ter

**Title:** Team automata : a formal approach to the modeling of collaboration between system components

**Issue Date:** 2003-12-10

## 2. Preliminaries

In this chapter we fix most basic notation and terminology used throughout this thesis.

### Sets

Set inclusion is denoted by  $\subseteq$ , whereas proper inclusion is denoted by  $\subset$ . The set difference of sets  $V$  and  $W$  is denoted by  $V \setminus W$ . For a finite set  $V$ , its cardinality is denoted by  $\#V$ . The empty set is denoted by  $\emptyset$ . For convenience, we sometimes denote the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  by  $[n]$ . Then  $[0] = \emptyset$ . We sometimes identify a singleton set  $\{j\}$  with its only element  $j$ .

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of positive integers. Let  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be a set of indices given by  $\mathcal{I} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots\}$  with  $i_j < i_\ell$  if  $1 \leq j < \ell$  and let  $V_i$  be a set, for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} V_i$  denotes the cartesian product  $\{(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots) \mid v_{i_j} \in V_{i_j}, \text{ for all } j \geq 1\}$ . The elements of  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} V_i$  are called vectors. If  $\mathcal{I}$  is finite and  $\#\mathcal{I} = n$ , then the vectors in  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} V_i$  are said to be  $n$ -dimensional. Throughout this thesis vectors may be written vertically as well as horizontally. If  $v_i \in V_i$ , for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} v_i$  denotes the element  $(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots)$  of  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} V_i$ . If  $\mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ , then  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} V_i = \emptyset$ . In addition to the prefix notation  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} V_i$  for a cartesian product, we sometimes also use the infix notation  $V_{i_1} \times V_{i_2} \times \dots$ .

Let  $j \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}, j} : \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} V_i \rightarrow V_j$  is the projection function defined by  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}, j}((a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots)) = a_j$ . We thus observe that if  $\mathcal{I} = \{2, 3\}$ , then  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}, 2}((a, b)) = a$ . Note moreover that whenever  $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}, j}$  is the standard projection. Similarly, for  $J \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ ,  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}, J} : \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} V_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in J} V_i$  is the projection function defined by  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}, J}(a) = \prod_{j \in J} \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}, j}(a)$ . Whenever  $\mathcal{I}$  is clear from the context we write  $\text{proj}_j$  and  $\text{proj}_J$  rather than  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}, j}$  and  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}, J}$ . Note that for each  $j \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $a \in \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} V_i$  we have  $\text{proj}_{\{j\}}(a) = \prod_{j \in \{j\}} \text{proj}_j(a)$ , which we do not identify with  $\text{proj}_j(a)$ . Formally, we have  $\text{proj}_j(\text{proj}_{\{j\}}(a)) = \text{proj}_j(a)$ .

The set  $\{V_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  is said to form a partition (of  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} V_i$ ) if the  $V_i$  are pairwise disjoint, nonempty sets.

## Functions

All functions considered are total, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

Let  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  and let  $g : B \rightarrow B'$  be functions. Then  $f \times g : A \times B \rightarrow A' \times B'$  is defined as  $(f \times g)(a, b) = (f(a), g(b))$ . We will use  $f^{[2]}$  as shorthand notation for  $f \times f$ . Thus  $f^{[2]}(a, b) = (f(a), f(b))$ . This notation should not be confused with iterated function application. In particular, we will use  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I},j}^{[2]}$  as shorthand notation for  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I},j} \times \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I},j}$  and likewise  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I},J}^{[2]}$  for  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I},J} \times \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I},J}$ . We write  $\text{proj}_j^{[2]}$  and  $\text{proj}_J^{[2]}$  rather than  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I},j}^{[2]}$  and  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I},J}^{[2]}$  whenever  $\mathcal{I}$  is clear from the context. If  $C \subseteq A$ , then  $f(C) = \{f(a) \mid a \in C\}$ . Thus if  $D \subseteq A \times A$ , then  $f^{[2]}(D) = \{(f(d_1), f(d_2)) \mid (d_1, d_2) \in D\}$ .

The function  $f$  is injective if  $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$  whenever  $a_1 \neq a_2$ ,  $f$  is surjective if for every  $a' \in A'$  there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = a'$ , and  $f$  is a bijection if  $f$  is injective and surjective. The restriction of the function  $f$  to a subset  $C$  of its domain  $A$  is denoted by  $f \upharpoonright C$  and is defined as the function  $C \rightarrow A'$  defined by  $(f \upharpoonright C)(c) = f(c)$ , for all  $c \in C$ .

## Alphabets, Words, Languages

An alphabet is a set of letters — symbols — which may be used, e.g., to represent actions of systems. We do not impose any a priori constraints on the size of an alphabet. Alphabets may thus be empty and they may be infinite. For the remainder of this chapter we let  $\Sigma$  be an arbitrary but fixed alphabet.

A word (over  $\Sigma$ ) is a sequence of symbols (from  $\Sigma$ ). A word may be a finite or infinite sequence of symbols, resulting in finite and infinite words, respectively. An infinite word is also referred to as an  $\omega$ -word. The empty sequence is called the empty word and denoted by  $\lambda$ . As usual we represent nonempty words  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  over  $\Sigma$  as strings  $a_1 a_2 \dots$ . For a finite word  $w$ , we use the notation  $|w|$  to denote its length. Thus  $|\lambda| = 0$  and if  $w = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ , with  $n \geq 1$  and  $a_i \in \Sigma$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $|w| = n$ .

Words may also be considered as functions which assign symbols to positions. Thus a finite word  $w = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ , with  $n \geq 1$  and  $a_i \in \Sigma$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , is identified with the function  $w : [n] \rightarrow \Sigma$  defined by  $w(i) = a_i$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Similarly, an infinite word  $w = a_1 a_2 \dots$ , with  $a_i \in \Sigma$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , defines the function  $w : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Sigma$  by  $w(i) = a_i$ , for all  $i \geq 1$ . To the empty word  $\lambda$  we associate the function  $\lambda : [0] \rightarrow \Sigma$ , which has an empty domain.

For a finite word  $w$  over  $\Sigma$  and a symbol  $a \in \Sigma$ , we use  $\#_a(w)$  to denote the number of occurrences of  $a$  in  $w$ . Thus  $\#_a(w) = \#\{i \in [|w|] \mid w(i) = a\}$ . Note that  $\#_a(\lambda) = 0$ , for all  $a$ . For a (finite or infinite) word  $w$ , its alphabet,

denoted by  $\text{alph}(w)$ , consists of all symbols that actually occur in  $w$ . Thus  $\text{alph}(w) = \{a \in \Sigma \mid \exists i \in \mathbb{N} : w(i) = a\}$ . Note that  $\text{alph}(\lambda) = \emptyset$  and that  $\text{alph}(w)$  may be an infinite set if  $\Sigma$  is infinite and  $w$  is an infinite word.

The set of all finite words over  $\Sigma$  (including  $\lambda$ ) is denoted by  $\Sigma^*$ . The set  $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^* \setminus \{\lambda\}$  consists of all nonempty finite words. By convention  $\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma^+$ . The set of all infinite words over  $\Sigma$  is denoted by  $\Sigma^\omega$ . By  $\Sigma^\infty$  we denote the set of all words over  $\Sigma$ . Thus  $\Sigma^\infty = \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^\omega$ . A language (over  $\Sigma$ ) is a set of words (over  $\Sigma$ ). A language consisting solely of finite words is called finitary. If  $L \subseteq \Sigma^\omega$ , i.e. all words of  $L$  are infinite, then  $L$  is called an infinitary language or  $\omega$ -language. As usual we refer to a collection (set) of languages as a family of languages.

### Concatenation

Using the operation of concatenation, two words (over  $\Sigma$ ) are combined into one word (over  $\Sigma$ ) by gluing them together.

Formally, given  $u, v \in \Sigma^\infty$ , their concatenation  $u \cdot v$  is defined as follows. If  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ , then  $u \cdot v(i) = u(i)$  for  $i \in [|u|]$  and  $u \cdot v(|u| + i) = v(i)$  for  $i \in [|v|]$ . Note that  $|u \cdot v| = |u| + |v|$ . If  $u \in \Sigma^*$  and  $v \in \Sigma^\omega$ , then  $u \cdot v(i) = u(i)$  for  $i \in [|u|]$  and  $u \cdot v(|u| + i) = v(i)$  for  $i \geq 1$ . If  $u \in \Sigma^\omega$  and  $v \in \Sigma^\infty$ , then  $u \cdot v(i) = u(i)$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . In the last two cases  $u \cdot v \in \Sigma^\omega$ . Note that  $u \cdot \lambda = \lambda \cdot u = u$ , for all  $u \in \Sigma^\infty$ . Since concatenation is associative this implies that  $\Sigma^\infty$  with concatenation and unit element  $\lambda$  is a monoid. Moreover, since concatenation of two finite words yields a finite word, also  $\Sigma^*$  with concatenation restricted to  $\Sigma^*$  is a monoid with unit element  $\lambda$ .

The concatenation of two languages  $K$  and  $L$  (over  $\Sigma$ ) is the language  $K \cdot L$  (over  $\Sigma$ ) defined by  $K \cdot L = \{u \cdot v \mid u \in K, v \in L\}$ . Observe that  $K \cdot L$  is finitary if and only if both  $K$  and  $L$  are finitary. Moreover,  $K \cdot L = K$  if  $L = \{\lambda\}$  or  $K$  is infinitary. In the sequel, we will mostly write  $uv$  and  $KL$  rather than  $u \cdot v$  and  $K \cdot L$ , respectively.

For  $u \in \Sigma^\infty$  we set  $u^0 = \lambda$  and  $u^{n+1} = u^n \cdot u$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ . Note that if  $u \in \Sigma^\omega$ , then  $u^n = u$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . Similarly, for a language  $K \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$  we have  $K^0 = \{\lambda\}$  and  $K^{n+1} = K^n \cdot K$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ .

### Prefixes

A word  $u \in \Sigma^*$  is said to be a (finite) prefix of a word  $w \in \Sigma^\infty$  if there exists a  $v \in \Sigma^\infty$  such that  $w = uv$ . In that case we write  $u \leq w$ . If  $u \leq w$  and  $u \neq w$ , then we may use the notation  $u < w$ . Moreover, if  $|u| = n$ , for some  $n \geq 0$ , then  $u$  is said to be the prefix of length  $n$  of  $w$ , denoted by  $w[n]$ . Note that  $w[0] = \lambda$ . The set of all prefixes of a word  $w$  is denoted by

$\text{pref}(w)$  and it is defined as  $\text{pref}(w) = \{u \in \Sigma^* \mid u \leq w\}$ . Note that  $\text{pref}(w)$  is finite if and only if  $w \in \Sigma^*$ . Note also that, for a word  $x \in \Sigma^\infty$ , whenever  $\text{pref}(w) = \text{pref}(x)$ , then  $w = x$ .

For a language  $K$ ,  $\text{pref}(K) = \bigcup\{\text{pref}(w) \mid w \in K\}$ . Thus  $K \subseteq \text{pref}(K)$  whenever  $K$  is a finitary language. A language  $K$  is prefix closed if and only if  $K \supseteq \text{pref}(K)$ . A family of languages  $\mathbf{L}$  is prefix closed if  $\text{pref}(K) \in \mathbf{L}$  for all  $K \in \mathbf{L}$ .

### Limits

Both finite and infinite words can be defined as limits of their prefixes. Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots \in \Sigma^*$  be an infinite sequence of words such that  $v_i \leq v_{i+1}$ , for all  $i \geq 1$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n$  is the unique word  $w \in \Sigma^\infty$  defined by  $w(i) = v_j(i)$ , for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $i \leq |v_j|$ . Thus  $v_i \leq w$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and  $w = v_k$  whenever there exists a  $k \geq 1$  such that  $v_n = v_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq k$ . For a word  $u \in \Sigma^\infty$  we define  $u^\omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^n$  if  $u \in \Sigma^*$  and  $u^\omega = u$  if  $u \in \Sigma^\omega$ . Note that  $\lambda^\omega = \lambda$ . For an infinite sequence  $u_1, u_2, \dots \in \Sigma^\infty$  we define the word  $u_1 \cdot u_2 \cdot \dots \in \Sigma^\infty$  by  $u_1 \cdot u_2 \cdot \dots = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_1 \cdot u_2 \cdot \dots \cdot u_n$  if  $u_i \in \Sigma^*$ , for all  $i \geq 1$ , and  $u_1 \cdot u_2 \cdot \dots = u_1 \cdot u_2 \cdot \dots \cdot u_{n-1} \cdot u_n$  if  $u_n \in \Sigma^\omega$ , for some  $n \geq 1$ .

These notations are carried over to languages in the natural way: for  $K, K_1, K_2, \dots \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$ , we set  $K^\omega = \{u_1 u_2 \dots \mid u_i \in K, \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}$  and  $K_1 \cdot K_2 \cdot \dots = \{u_1 u_2 \dots \mid u_i \in K_i, \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}$ . Observe that  $\Sigma^\omega = \{a_1 a_2 \dots \mid a_i \in \Sigma, \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}$  is indeed the set consisting of all infinite words over  $\Sigma$ .

### Homomorphisms

Let  $h : \Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  be a function assigning to each letter of  $\Sigma$  a finite word over the alphabet  $\Gamma$ . The homomorphic extension of  $h$  to  $\Sigma^*$ , also denoted by  $h$ , is defined in the usual way by  $h(\lambda) = \lambda$  and  $h(xy) = h(x)h(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ . This homomorphism is further extended to  $\Sigma^\infty$  by setting  $h(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(v_n)$ , for all  $v_1, v_2, \dots \in \Sigma^*$  such that for all  $i \geq 1$ ,  $v_i \leq v_{i+1}$ . Note that this is well defined, since  $v_i \leq v_{i+1}$  implies  $h(v_i) \leq h(v_{i+1})$ . Note however that if  $h$  is erasing, i.e.  $h(a) = \lambda$  for some  $a \in \Sigma$ , then there exists a word  $x \in \Sigma^\omega$  such that  $h(x) \in \Sigma^*$ . For such  $x$  we have  $h(xy) = h(x)$ , for all  $y \in \Sigma^\infty$ , and consequently  $h(xy) = h(x)h(y)$  is no longer guaranteed. In fact,  $h(xy) = h(x)h(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in \Sigma^\infty$ , if and only if either  $h$  is not erasing or  $h(a) = \lambda$ , for all  $a \in \Sigma$ . Thus  $h : \Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  cannot always be lifted to a homomorphism on  $\Sigma^\infty$ . Still we sometimes abuse terminology and refer to the extension  $h : \Sigma^\infty \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty$  of  $h$  as a homomorphism. If  $h(\Sigma) \subseteq \Gamma$ , then

we refer to  $h$  as a coding, and if  $h(\Sigma) \subseteq \Gamma \cup \{\lambda\}$ , then  $h$  is called a weak coding.

The function  $\text{pres}_{\Sigma, \Gamma} : \Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma^*$ , defined by  $\text{pres}_{\Sigma, \Gamma}(a) = a$  if  $a \in \Gamma$  and  $\text{pres}_{\Sigma, \Gamma}(a) = \lambda$  otherwise, preserves the symbols from  $\Gamma$  and erases all other symbols. Whenever  $\Sigma$  is clear from the context, we simply write  $\text{pres}_{\Gamma}$  rather than  $\text{pres}_{\Sigma, \Gamma}$ . Note that  $\text{pres}_{\Sigma, \Gamma}$  is a weak coding.

