



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Diabetic nephropathy : pathology, genetics and carnosine metabolism

Mooyaart, A.L.

Citation

Mooyaart, A. L. (2011, January 27). *Diabetic nephropathy : pathology, genetics and carnosine metabolism*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/16393>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/16393>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

2

PATHOLOGIC CLASSIFICATION OF DIABETIC NEPHROPATHY

Cohen Tervaert TW^{1#}, Mooyaart AL^{1#}, Amann K², Cohen AH³, Cook TH⁴, Drachenberg CB⁵, Ferrario F⁶, Fogo AB⁷, Haas M³, de Heer E¹, Joh K⁸, Noël LH⁹, Radhakrishnan J¹⁰, Seshan SV¹¹, Bajema IM¹, Bruijn JA¹

[#] Equally contributing authors

¹Department of Pathology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands;

²Department of Pathology, University of Erlangen-Nuernberg, Erlangen, Germany;

³Department of Pathology, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, USA;

⁴Department of Histopathology, Hammersmith Hospital, London, UK; ⁵Department of Pathology, University of Maryland, Baltimore, USA; ⁶Renal Immunopathology Center, San Carlo Borromeo Hospital, Italy; ⁷Department of Pathology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, USA; ⁸Division of Pathology, Sendai-Shaho Hospital, Sendai-city, Japan; ⁹Department of Pathology, Hôpital Necker, Université René Descartes, Paris, France; ¹⁰Department of Medicine, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA; ¹¹Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York, USA.

ABSTRACT

Although pathologic classifications exist for several renal diseases, including IgA nephropathy, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, and lupus nephritis, a uniform classification for diabetic nephropathy is lacking. Our aim, commissioned by the Research Committee of the Renal Pathology Society, was to develop a consensus classification combining type 1 and type 2 diabetic nephropathies. Such a classification should discriminate lesions by various degrees of severity that would be easy to use internationally in clinical practice. We divide diabetic nephropathy into four hierarchical glomerular lesions with a separate evaluation for degrees of interstitial and vascular involvement. Biopsies diagnosed as diabetic nephropathy are classified as follows: Class I, glomerular basement membrane thickening: isolated glomerular basement membrane thickening and only mild, nonspecific changes by light microscopy that do not meet the criteria of classes II through IV. Class II, mesangial expansion, mild (IIa) or severe (IIb): glomeruli classified as mild or severe mesangial expansion but without nodular sclerosis (Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions) or global glomerulosclerosis in more than 50% of glomeruli. Class III, nodular sclerosis (Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions): at least one glomerulus with nodular increase in mesangial matrix (Kimmelstiel–Wilson) without changes described in class IV. Class IV, advanced diabetic glomerulosclerosis: more than 50% global glomerulosclerosis with other clinical or pathologic evidence that sclerosis is attributable to diabetic nephropathy. A good interobserver reproducibility for the four classes of DN was shown (intraclass correlation coefficient = 0.84) in a test of this classification.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is a major cause of ESRD, and the incidence of diabetes mellitus is rising rapidly.¹ Pathologic classifications exist for several kidney diseases such as lupus nephritis,² focal segmental glomerulosclerosis,³ and IgA nephropathy,⁴ yet there is no uniform classification for DN. Classification schemes lead to better communication between renal pathologists and clinicians, provide logistical structure for prognostic and interventional studies, and improve clinical management and efficiency.⁵

In 1959, Gellman *et al.*⁶ first reported an overview and clinical correlation of findings on renal biopsies from patients with DN. Before their study, the renal pathology in patients with diabetes mellitus was only described at autopsy. Gellman proposed an elaborate systematic evaluation examining glomeruli, tubules, arterioles, and the interstitium that was unsuitable for practical use. More recently, attempts were made to categorize patterns seen in DN after type 2 diabetes.^{7–10} Gambará *et al.*⁷ and Fioretto *et al.*⁸ made basic distinctions between typical and atypical DN as well as other glomerular diseases superimposed on DN.^{7,8}

Although such schemes are useful for research biopsies, they also are not practical for clinical use. We decided to classify DN due to type 1 and type 2 diabetes together because there is substantial overlap with respect to histologic lesions and renal complications.^{11–13}

Our aim was to develop a uniform classification system containing specific categories that discriminate lesions with various prognostic severities that would be easy to use. This proposal was launched by the Research Committee of the Renal Pathology Society in 2006 in San Diego and further discussed in Leiden in September 2008.

Presented here is a consensus classification of DN developed by a group of international experts.

CLASSIFICATION OF DN

It is essential to evaluate renal tissue using appropriate standards for renal biopsy. These include hematoxylin and eosin, periodic acid–Schiff (PAS), Masson trichrome, and periodic acid methenamine silver stains for light microscopy. Biopsies should contain at least 10 glomeruli, 14 excluding incomplete glomeruli along the biopsy edge. Immunofluorescence requires the use of antibodies against IgA, IgG, IgM, C3, C1q, and kappa and lambda light chains to rule out other renal diseases. Electron microscopy (EM) must be performed; specific guidelines are discussed below. All of these methods

are necessary for an accurate diagnosis of DN. DN should never be diagnosed without supportive clinical information, and a patient should carry a clinical diagnosis of diabetes mellitus to apply the classification. Furthermore, virtually any glomerular disease can accompany DN, postinfectious GN^{9,15} and membranous glomerulopathy being the most common¹¹; thus, any coexisting disorders should also be described. Four classes of glomerular lesions in DN are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Classification system for diabetic nephropathy into 4 classes

Class	Description	Inclusion Criteria
I	Mild or non-specific LM changes and EM proven GBM thickening	Biopsy does not meet any of the criteria mentioned below for class II, III or IV GBM > 395 nm in female, and > 430 nm in male individuals of 9 years and older*
II a	Mild Mesangial Expansion	Biopsy does not meet criteria for class III and IV Mild mesangial expansion in more than 25% of the observed mesangium
II b	Severe Mesangial Expansion	Biopsy does not meet criteria for class III and IV Severe mesangial expansion in more than 25% of the observed mesangium
III	Nodular sclerosis (Kimmelstiel-Wilson lesions)	Biopsy does not meet criteria for class IV At least one convincing Kimmelstiel-Wilson lesion
IV	Advanced diabetic glomerulosclerosis	Global glomerular sclerosis in >50% of glomeruli Lesions from classes I-III

LM = light microscopy, EM = electron microscopy, GBM = glomerular basement membrane, DN= diabetic nephropathy

* based on direct measurement of GBM width by EM, these individual cut-off levels may be considered indicative when other GBM measurements are used

Classes of Glomerular Lesions

Class I: Glomerular Basement Membrane Thickening.

If the biopsy specimen shows no or only mild, nonspecific changes by light microscopy that do not meet the criteria of classes II through IV [in effect, in the absence of mesangial expansion, nodular increases in mesangial matrix (Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions), and global glomerulosclerosis of more than 50% of glomeruli] the biopsy is assigned to class I (Table 1 and Figure 1), in which by direct measurements with EM the glomerular basement membrane (GBM) on average is thicker than 430 nm in males 9 years and older and thicker than 395 nm in females. These cutoff levels are based on a deviation from normal GBM thickness plus 2 standard deviations as recently determined by Haas.¹⁶ For children younger than 9 years old, we refer to Table 1 in the

paper by Haas.¹⁶ Upper limits for normal GBM thickness vary with the methods used to measure GBM width. For instance, using the orthogonal intercept method, upper limits of normal GBM thickness are 520 nm for adult men and 471 nm for women.¹⁷ In individual laboratories, the upper limits for normal GBM thickness have usually been established, and if other methods than direct GBM measurement are used, it is advised to use these locally established cutoff points.

Light microscopic changes in the GBM and epithelial foot process effacement by EM have no influence on the classification. Class I incorporates cases that have been called “normal or near normal DN” by Fioretto *et al.*,⁸ but in our system, a certain degree of chronic and other reactive changes (e.g., changes of arterionephrosclerosis, ischemic type changes, or interstitial fibrosis) are accepted as part of this category. Diagnosing DN in cases without characteristic light microscopic glomerular lesions may be difficult, especially when a thicker GBM is also seen with aging or hypertension. The presence of arteriolar hyalinosis may be helpful in these cases, although it is not a prerequisite.

GBM thickening is a characteristic early change in type 1 and type 2 DN¹³ and increases with duration of disease.²¹ GBM thickening is a consequence of extracellular matrix accumulation, with increased deposition of normal extracellular matrix components such as collagen types IV and VI, laminin, and fibronectin.^{22,23} Such accumulations result from increased production of these proteins, their decreased degradation, or a combination of the two. GBM thickening may already be present in type 1 diabetes patients who are normoalbuminuric.^{20,21} GBM thickening has even been described as a “prediabetic” lesion: In patients with proteinuria and isolated GBM thickening but without overt diabetes, 20% were positive on a blood test for diabetes at the time of biopsy, whereas 44% were diagnosed with diabetes at 6 months, and 70% at 2 years after the biopsy was taken.²⁴ Long-term glucose control and urinary albumin excretion (UAE) correlate strongly with basement membrane thickness.²⁵ In 1979, Jensen *et al.*²⁶ were among the first to measure GBM thickness using the orthogonal intercept method. In brief, a grid with eight evenly spaced intersecting lines (four horizontal and four vertical) is placed over a photomicrograph, and GBM measurements are made at each point that a line on the grid intercepts an endothelial-GBM interface. Currently, some laboratories use computer-assisted measurements by which the mean width is calculated from approximately 50 measurements of the GBM at five different locations. The GBM width is then compared with GBM width from normal subjects, as determined previously by Steffes *et al.*²⁷ and recently updated by Haas.¹⁶ Ideally, glutaraldehyde-fixed, plastic resin-embedded tissue should be used for EM, keeping in mind that other

methods, particularly the reprocessing of paraffin tissue for EM, may cause artifactual GBM thinning as recently reported by Nasr *et al.*²⁸ If computer-assisted measurements are not available, we recommend doing direct GBM measurements as recently modified by Haas.¹⁶

Class II: Mesangial Expansion, Mild (IIa) or Severe (IIb).

Class II encompasses those patients classified with mild or severe mesangial expansion but not meeting inclusion criteria for class III or IV (Table 1 and Figure 1) and is analogous to the previously used term “diffuse diabetic glomerulosclerosis.”

Mesangial expansion is defined as an increase in extracellular material in the mesangium such that the width of the interspace exceeds two mesangial cell nuclei in at least two glomerular lobules. The difference between mild and severe mesangial expansion is based on whether the expanded mesangial area is smaller or larger than the mean area of a capillary lumen. If severe mesangial expansion is seen in more than 25% of the total mesangium observed throughout the biopsy, the biopsy is classified as IIb. If this is not the case, but at least mild mesangial expansion is seen in more than 25% of the total mesangium, the biopsy is classified as IIa.

Expansion of cellular and matrix components in the mesangium is a hallmark of type 1 and type 2 DN.^{13,18} It can be detected in some patients within a few years after the onset of type 1 diabetes.²⁰ When the mesangium expands, it restricts and distorts glomerular capillaries and diminishes the capillary filtration surface. In our classification, we do not distinguish between mesangial hypercellularity, matrix expansion, or “mesangiosclerosis”— any expansion of the mesangium that conforms to our definitions given above and in Table 1 belongs to class II.

Various indices have been proposed to describe the amount of mesangial expansion in DN. Mauer *et al.*¹⁸ define mesangial expansion by mesangial fractional volume or volume density (Vv), defined as the fraction or percentage of the cross-sectional area of the glomerular tuft made up by mesangium, expressed in the formula: $Vv(\text{mes}/\text{glom})$.¹⁸ Using this formula, many correlations have been made between mesangial expansion and clinical parameters of DN, particularly showing highly inverse correlations exist between $Vv(\text{mes}/\text{glom})$ and GFR.^{18,29,30} There is also a relationship between $Vv(\text{mes}/\text{glom})$ and UAE^{18,29} and blood pressure.³¹ Another index to express mesangial expansion is the so-called “index of mesangial expansion” (IME) for DN.¹⁸ The IME is determined by a semiquantitative estimate of the width of mesangial zones in each glomerulus¹⁸:

grade 0 is normal, 1 is twice normal thickness, 2 is three times normal thickness, and so forth; half grades can also be assigned. The mean of the grades for each glomerulus for IME can thus be determined from a single biopsy. The IME closely correlates with the $V_v(\text{mes}/\text{glom})$.¹⁸ Still, this is a rather elaborate method.

In other classifications, mesangial expansion is defined in more practical ways, such as in the new classification for IgA nephropathy in which it is defined as an increase in the extracellular material in the mesangium such that the width of the interspace exceeds two mesangial cell nuclei in at least two glomerular lobules.⁴ Because interobserver agreement was tested in this study and found to be satisfactory using this definition, we decided to use the same definition for mesangial expansion in our classification for DN.

Class III: Nodular Sclerosis (Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions).

If at least one convincing Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesion is found and the biopsy specimen does not have more than 50% global glomerulosclerosis it is classified as class III (Table 1 and Figure 1). Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions appear in type 1 and type 2 diabetes as focal, lobular, round to oval mesangial lesions with an acellular, hyaline/matrix core, rounded peripherally by sparse, crescent-shaped mesangial nuclei.³²

Paul Kimmelstiel and Clifford Wilson, a German and an Englishman who met at Harvard, first described nodular lesions in glomeruli from eight maturity-onset diabetes patients in 1936.³³ According to Cameron,³⁴ they barely noted the association with diabetes, and it was Arthur Allen who clarified the association in 105 patients with diabetes in 1941.³⁵ Nodular sclerotic lesions may also occur in the absence of DN that are clinically related to hypertension, smoking, hypercholesterolemia, and extrarenal vascular disease.³⁶ It is claimed that in the initial stage of developing nodular sclerotic lesions in DN, two important processes take place: lytic changes in the mesangial area called mesangiolytic and detachment of endothelial cells from the GBM.³⁷ Exactly how these two processes relate remains uncertain. Paueksakon *et al.*³⁸ detected fragmented red blood cells in Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions, which supports the theory that microvascular injury contributes to the pathogenesis of these lesions.

Dissociation of endothelial cells may disrupt the connections between the mesangial area and the GBM. This process precedes expansion of the Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesion.³⁷ These lesions consist of an accumulation of mesangial matrix with collagen fibrils, small lipid particles, and cellular debris.³⁹ A completely developed Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesion destroys the normal structure of glomerular tuft with a decrease in mesangial cells,

especially in the central area.³⁷ In 1992, a graphic method of analysis of the position of Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions demonstrated the nodules were distributed in a horseshoe-shaped area corresponding to the peripheral or intralobular mesangium,⁴⁰ excluding the possibility of hyperfiltration as being their main cause of development.

The presence of at least one Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesion associates with longer duration of diabetes and less favorable clinical parameters.^{10,41} In a study of 36 patients with type 2 diabetes, patients with Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions had more severe overall retinopathy and higher serum creatinine concentrations than those with mesangial lesions alone.¹⁰ In a study of 124 Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes, patients with at least one Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesion had relatively long duration of diabetes mellitus, a poor prognosis, and frequent evidence of diabetic retinopathy.⁴¹ Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions are often found in combination with mesangial expansion. The occurrence of Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions is widely considered transitional from an early or moderately advanced stage to a progressively more advanced stage of disease.^{41,42} Therefore, in our classification, the occurrence of Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions implies a separate class.

Class IV: Advanced Diabetic Glomerulosclerosis.

Class IV implies advanced DN and designates those biopsies with more than 50% global glomerulosclerosis in which there is clinical or pathologic evidence that the sclerosis is attributable to DN (Table 1 and Figure 1). Glomerulosclerosis in DN is the end point of multifactorial mechanisms that lead to excessive accumulation of extracellular matrix proteins such as collagen types I, III, and IV and fibronectin in the mesangial space, which through stages of mesangial expansion and development of Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions finally result in glomerulosclerosis.⁴³ The clustering of sclerotic lesions in columns perpendicular to the kidney surface suggests that vascular factors relating to the interlobular arteries also contribute.⁴⁴

Designation of class IV lesions in our classification system is restricted to those cases in which there is evidence for DN. This evidence can come from other lesions in the biopsy as described for classes I through III. The occurrence of hyalinosis of the glomerular vascular pole or a capsular drop may also be taken as evidence for the presence of DN. Alternatively, if DN is the likely clinical diagnosis (e.g., by the presence of retinopathy) a biopsy with extensive glomerulosclerosis can also be classified as class IV. Glomerulosclerosis without evidence of DN should be mentioned as such in the conclusion of the pathology report but should not be assigned class IV.




Figure 1. Flow chart for classifying DN.

Tubulointerstitial Lesions, Vascular Lesions, and Nondiabetic Glomerular Lesions *Tubular Lesions.*

Concomitant tubular basement membrane thickening of nonatrophic tubules is apparent from the development of class II glomerular diabetic lesions and becomes more conspicuous in class III and IV, which is best seen in PAS or silver stains. Interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy (IFTA) follow glomerular changes in type 1 DN that ultimately lead to ESRD.³⁰ We score IFTA together as a percentage of the total involved area of interstitium and tubules (Table 2). A score of 0 is assigned when the biopsy specimen shows no IFTA, a score of 1 is assigned when less than 25% IFTA is present, a score of 2 is assigned when at least 25% but less than 50% of the biopsy has IFTA, and finally, a score of 3 is assigned when at least 50% IFTA is present, which is similar to the scoring in the recently published classification of IgA nephropathy.⁴ Presence of mononuclear cells in the interstitium is a widely recognized finding in DN. Inflammatory interstitial infiltrates comprise T lymphocytes and macrophages.⁴⁵ In Table 2, we score 0 if interstitial infiltrates are absent, 1 if they only occur around atrophic tubules, and 2 if the inflammatory infiltrate is also in other areas than around atrophic tubules.

Table 2. Interstitial and vascular lesions of DN

Lesion	Criteria	Score
Interstitial lesions		
IFTA	No IFTA	0
	<25%	1
	25% to 50%	2
	>50%	3
interstitial inflammation	Absent	0
	Infiltration only in relation to IFTA	1
	Infiltration in areas without IFTA	2
Vascular lesions		
arteriolar hyalinosis	Absent	0
	At least one area of arteriolar hyalinosis	1
	More than one area of arteriolar hyalinosis	2
presence of large vessels	–	Yes/no
arteriosclerosis (score worst artery)	No intimal thickening	0
	Intimal thickening less than thickness of media	1
	Intimal thickening greater than thickness of media	2

Vascular Lesions.

According to Stout *et al.*,⁴⁶ hyalinosis of the efferent arteriole is relatively specific for DN, but hyalinosis of the afferent arteriole occurs in numerous other settings. Chronic cyclosporine nephropathy is a typical example in which arteriolar hyalinosis occurs outside DN.⁴⁷ Tracy *et al.* also report the presence of arteriolar hyalinosis in kidneys of young patients with coronary heart disease.⁴⁸ Efferent arteriolar hyalinosis is an important lesion by which DN is distinguished from hypertensive nephropathy.⁴⁹ However, most studies relate arteriolar hyalinosis to clinical parameters, not distinguishing between efferent and afferent arterioles, showing clear correlations with UAE and disease progression.^{50,51} In Table 2 we score 0 if no arteriolar hyalinosis is present, 1 if one arteriole with hyalinosis is present, and 2 if more than one arteriole is observed in the entire biopsy. In addition to characteristic arteriolar hyalinosis, relatively nonspecific arteriosclerosis may be present in the biopsy specimen. Bohle and colleagues⁴⁵ found increases in vascular disease associate with more severe glomerular disease. Osterby *et al.*⁵² use a so-called “matrix to media ratio” to investigate the role of arteriosclerosis and find this ratio is increased in patients with microalbuminuria, suggesting that arteriolar matrix accumulation occurs early in the course of DN. In Table 2 we score the most severely affected artery in the biopsy and assign a score of 0 if no intimal thickening is present, 1 if intimal thickening is less than the thickness of the media, and 2 if intimal

thickening is more than the thickness of the media. Isolated or significant medial thickness may be associated with concurrent hypertension.

Other Glomerular Lesions

In 1994, Stout *et al.*⁴⁶ defined “insudative lesions” as consisting of intramural accumulations of presumably imbibed plasma proteins and lipids within renal arterioles, glomerular capillaries, Bowman’s capsule, or proximal convoluted tubules. Insudative lesions in Bowman’s capsule are called capsular drop lesions, and in afferent and efferent arterioles they are called hyalinized afferent and efferent arterioles. In glomerular capillaries they are called fibrin cap lesions, although this term is considered obsolete and moreover is a misnomer because the lesion does not contain fibrin; we prefer the term hyalinosis for these lesions. Capsular drops are mainly located between the parietal epithelium and Bowman’s capsule of the glomerulus.¹¹ Capsular drops are prevalent in advanced DN⁵³ and associate with disease progression.⁵⁴ The common belief, reviewed by Alsaad *et al.*,⁴⁹ is that capsular drops are specific but not entirely pathognomonic of DN. Stout *et al.*⁴⁶ report a prevalence of capsular drops in 5.3% of biopsies without diabetes. However, finding a capsular drop in a biopsy can help distinguish DN from other causes of glomerulosclerosis. By light microscopy, glomerular hyalinosis describes the same staining characteristics as the capsular drop lesion but it occupies the capillary lumen instead of being attached to Bowman’s capsule. This lesion is not a specific finding in DN, because similar lesions are recognized in focal glomerulosclerosis, arterionephrosclerosis, and lupus nephritis.⁵⁵

Finally, there is increasing recognition of abnormalities in the glomerulotubular junctions with focal adhesions called “tip lesions” and atrophic tubules with no observable glomerular opening (so-called “atubular glomeruli”). These lesions are typically found in more advanced stages of nephropathy associated with overt proteinuria.³⁰

Interobserver Reproducibility

To assess the reproducibility of our consensus classification, a pilot study was performed in which five pathologists independently classified 25 renal biopsies with DN using PAS stains only into class I, II, III, or IV. Two pathologists scored all biopsies independently. Results of the raw data are given in Table 3. The reproducibility of the glomerular class score was evaluated using an intraclass correlation coefficient. Analyses were carried out using SPSS software (version 16, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). There was disagreement in

seven cases: twice on a difference between class I and II, twice on a difference between class II and III, and three times on a difference between class IIa and IIb. Overall, the results seem satisfactory, resulting in an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.84.

Flow Chart and Scoring Form

A flow chart was devised to help distinguish the four classes of DN (Figure 2). Supplemental Figure 1 shows the scoring form we recommend for classifying glomeruli in DN and for scoring of extraglomerular lesions or other features.

Table 3. Assessment of interobserver agreement for scoring of DN classes I to IV

Biopsy Nr	Observer 1	Observer 2	Observer 3	Observer 4	Observer 5	Agreement
1	IIA	I				N
2	III	III				Y
3	IIB	IIA				N
4	IIB	IIA				N
5	IIB	IIA				N
6	III	III				Y
7	IIB		IIB			Y
8	IIB		IIB			Y
9	IV		IV			Y
10	IIA		IIA			Y
11	IV		IV			Y
12	IIB		IIB			Y
13	III		III			Y
14				I	I	Y
15				IV	IV	Y
16				III	III	Y
17				III	III	Y
18				IIB	I	N
19	IIB				III	N
20	IIA				IIA	Y
21	III				III	Y
22	III				IIA	N
23	III				III	Y
24	III				III	Y
25	IIA				IIA	Y

Y = yes, N = no, intra-class correlation coefficient = 0.84




Figure 3. Typical examples of histomorphological lesions in DN.

A. glomerulus showing only mild ischaemic changes, with splitting of Bowman's capsule. No clear mesangial alteration. B. EM of this glomerulus: the mean width of the GBM was 671 nm (mean taken over 55 random measurements). EM provides the evidence for classifying the biopsy with only mild light microscopic changes into class I. C and D: glomeruli with mild and moderate mesangial expansion, respectively. In C, the mesangial expansion does not exceed the mean area of a capillary lumen, whereas in D, it does. E and F: in F Kimmelstiel-Wilson lesions. The lesion in E is not a convincing Kimmelstiel-Wilson lesion, therefore (on the basis of the findings in this glomerulus), findings are consistent with class IIb. For the purpose of the classification, at least one convincing Kimmelstiel-Wilson, like in F, needs to be present. G and H: global glomerulosclerosis. In H, signs of DN consist of hyalinosis of the glomerular vascular pole, and also a remnant of a Kimmelstiel-Wilson lesion on the opposite site of the pole. G is an example of glomerulosclerosis which does not reveal its cause (glomerulus from the same biopsy as H). For the purpose of the classification, signs of DN either histopathologically or clinically should be present in order to classify a biopsy with global glomerulosclerosis in > 50% of glomeruli as class IV.

CONCLUSIONS

We developed a classification scheme for DN consisting of four progressive classes supported by international consensus. The classification is based on glomerular lesions, with a separate evaluation for interstitial and vascular lesions. We chose a classification scheme based on glomerular lesions because these are relatively easy to recognize with good interobserver agreement as shown by our pilot data and because glomerular lesions best reflect the natural course of progressive DN.⁴⁹ Of course, glomerular and interstitial lesions contribute to the decline in renal function in DN and may be independent factors in the progression of DN¹³; however, many studies also show that severity of chronic interstitial and glomerular lesions closely associate.^{12,18,19,56}

Although in some clinical practices there is a policy to only perform a renal biopsy to exclude causes of renal disease characterized by proteinuria other than DN, there is increasing demand to classify the severity of disease in those patients with pure DN. Our classification system for histopathologic lesions in DN can be used for patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes, because it is now generally recognized that substantial overlap exists between these two types with respect to histologic lesions and renal complications.^{12,13} Various studies also report different proportions of nondiabetic nephropathies in patients with diabetes and proteinuria.^{7,57} The classification system proposed here is only for DN, but it can also serve to classify DN when it is complicated by another superimposed disease. Little is known about the pathogenesis of lesions developing in DN, but it is suggested that if we could unravel the various pathways ultimately leading to glomerulosclerosis in DN, this could open up new possibilities for intervention to prevent or forestall nephropathy.⁴³ Most likely, intra- and extraglomerular cells are involved in the progressive accumulation of extracellular matrix proteins in DN. We suggest that progression evolves from GBM thickening to mesangial expansion, Kimmelstiel–Wilson lesions, and global glomerulosclerosis, respectively, which is reflected in the four classes of our classification system. Using our system to evaluate protocol biopsies of patients with DN may further unravel the complex pathways of DN.

An important question for every histologic classification system is whether it is predictive of clinical outcome. As in other proposals for classifying renal disease (e.g., for lupus nephritis² and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis³), we chose not to assess clinical outcome as part of this proposal. We feel validation should be done in separate prospective studies, preferably including protocol biopsies of patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes and clearly defined clinical end points.

DISCLOSURES

None.

REFERENCES

1. *The World Health Report 2006: Working Together with Health*. Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organization, 2006
2. Weening JJ, D'Agati VD, Schwartz MM, Seshan SV, Alpers CE, Appel GB, Balow JE, Bruijn JA, Cook T, Ferrario F, Fogo AB, Ginzler EM, Hebert L, Hill G, Hill P, Jennette JC, Kong NC, Lesavre P, Lockshin M, Looi LM, Makino H, Moura LA, Nagata M: The classification of glomerulonephritis in systemic lupus erythematosus revisited. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 15: 241–250, 2004
3. D'Agati VD, Fogo AB, Bruijn JA, Jennette JC: Pathologic classification of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis: A working proposal. *Am J Kidney Dis* 43: 368–382, 2004
4. Cattran DC, Coppo R, Cook HT, Feehally J, Roberts IS, Troyanov S, Alpers CE, Amore A, Barratt J, Berthoux F, Bonsib S, Bruijn JA, D'Agati V, D'Amico G, Emancipator S, Emma F, Ferrario F, Fervenza FC, Florquin S, Fogo A, Geddes CC, Groene HJ, Haas M, Herzenberg AM, Hill PA, Hogg RJ, Hsu SI, Jennette JC, Joh K, Julian BA, Kawamura T, Lai FM, Leung CB, Li LS, Li PK, Liu ZH, Mackinnon B, Mezzano S, Schena FP, Tomino Y, Walker PD, Wang H, Weening JJ, Yoshikawa N, Zhang H: The Oxford classification of IgA nephropathy: Rationale, clinicopathological correlations, and classification. *Kidney Int* 76: 534–545, 2009
5. Glassock RJ: Reclassification of lupus glomerulonephritis: Back to the future. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 15: 501–503, 2004
6. Gellman DD, Pirani CL, Soothill JF, Muehrcke RC, Kark RM: Diabetic nephropathy: clinical and pathologic study based on renal biopsies. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 38: 321–367, 1959
7. Gambará V, Mecca G, Remuzzi G, Bertani T: Heterogeneous nature of renal lesions in type II diabetes. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 3: 1458–1466, 1993
8. Fioretto P, Mauer M, Brocco E, Velussi M, Frigato F, Muollo B, Sambataro M, Abaterusso C, Baggio B, Crepaldi G, Nosadini R: Patterns of renal injury in NIDDM patients with microalbuminuria. *Diabetologia* 39: 1569–1576, 1996
9. Mazzucco G, Bertani T, Fortunato M, Bernardi M, Leutner M, Boldorini R, Monga G: Different patterns of renal damage in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A multicentric study on 393 biopsies. *Am J Kidney Dis* 39: 713–720, 2002
10. Schwartz MM, Lewis EJ, Leonard-Martin T, Lewis JB, Batlle D: Renal pathology patterns in type II diabetes mellitus: Relationship with retinopathy. The Collaborative Study Group. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 13: 2547–2552, 1998

11. D'Agati V, Jennette JC, Silva F: Diabetic nephropathy. In: *Atlas of Nontumor Pathology: Non-Neoplastic Kidney Diseases*, 4th Ed., edited by King DW, Washington, DC, American Registry of Pathology, 2005, pp 457–479
12. Osterby R, Gall MA, Schmitz A, Nielsen FS, Nyberg G, Parving HH: Glomerular structure and function in proteinuric type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetic patients. *Diabetologia* 36: 1064–1070, 1993
13. White KE, Bilous RW: Type 2 diabetic patients with nephropathy show structural functional relationships that are similar to type 1 disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 11: 1667–1673, 2000
14. Solez K, Colvin RB, Racusen LC, Haas M, Sis B, Mengel M, Halloran PF, Baldwin W, Banfi G, Collins AB, Cosio F, David DS, Drachenberg C, Einecke G, Fogo AB, Gibson IW, Glotz D, Iskandar SS, Kraus E, Lerut E, Mannon RB, Mihatsch M, Nankivell BJ, Nickleleit V, Papadimitriou JC, Randhawa P, Regele H, Renaudin K, Roberts I, Seron D, Smith RN, Valente M: Banff 07 classification of renal allograft pathology: Updates and future directions. *Am J Transplant* 8: 753–760, 2008
15. Haas M: Postinfectious glomerulonephritis complicating diabetic nephropathy: A frequent association, but how clinically important? *Hum Pathol* 34: 1225–1227, 2003
16. Haas M: Alport syndrome and thin glomerular basement membrane nephropathy: A practical approach to diagnosis. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 133: 224–232, 2009
17. Dische FE: Measurement of glomerular basement membrane thickness and its application to the diagnosis of thin-membrane nephropathy. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 116: 43–49, 1992
18. Mauer SM, Steffes MW, Ellis EN, Sutherland DE, Brown DM, Goetz FC: Structural-functional relationships in diabetic nephropathy. *J Clin Invest* 74: 1143–1155, 1984
19. Osterby R, Gundersen HJ, Nyberg G, Aurell M: Advanced diabetic glomerulopathy. Quantitative structural characterization of nonoccluded glomeruli. *Diabetes* 36: 612–619, 1987
20. Drummond K, Mauer M: The early natural history of nephropathy in type 1 diabetes: II. Early renal structural changes in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes* 51: 1580–1587, 2002
21. Perrin NE, Torbjornsdotter TB, Jaremko GA, Berg UB: The course of diabetic glomerulopathy in patients with type I diabetes: A 6-year follow-up with serial biopsies. *Kidney Int* 69: 699–705, 2006
22. Falk RJ, Scheinman JI, Mauer SM, Michael AF: Polyantigenic expansion of basement membrane constituents in diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes* 32[Suppl 2]: 34–39, 1983
23. Kim Y, Kleppel MM, Butkowski R, Mauer SM, Wieslander J, Michael AF: Differential expression of basement membrane collagen chains in diabetic nephropathy. *Am J Pathol* 138: 413–420, 1991

24. Mac-Moune LF, Szeto CC, Choi PC, Ho KK, Tang NL, Chow KM, Li PK, To KF: Isolate diffuse thickening of glomerular capillary basement membrane: A renal lesion in prediabetes? *Mod Pathol* 17: 1506–1512, 2004
25. Bangstad HJ, Osterby R, Dahl-Jorgensen K, Berg KJ, Hartmann A, Hanssen KF: Improvement of blood glucose control in IDDM patients retards the progression of morphological changes in early diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetologia* 37: 483–490, 1994
26. Jensen EB, Gundersen HJ, Osterby R: Determination of membrane thickness distribution from orthogonal intercepts. *J Microsc* 115: 19–33, 1979
27. Steffes MW, Barbosa J, Basgen JM, Sutherland DE, Najarian JS, Mauer SM: Quantitative glomerular morphology of the normal human kidney. *Lab Invest* 49: 82–86, 1983
28. Nasr SH, Markowitz GS, Valeri AM, Yu Z, Chen L, D'Agati VD: Thin basement membrane nephropathy cannot be diagnosed reliably in deparaffinized, formalin-fixed tissue. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 22: 1228–1232, 2007
29. Caramori ML, Kim Y, Huang C, Fish AJ, Rich SS, Miller ME, Russell G, Mauer M: Cellular basis of diabetic nephropathy: 1. Study design and renal structural-functional relationships in patients with long-standing type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes* 51: 506–513, 2002
30. Najafian B, Kim Y, Crosson JT, Mauer M: Atubular glomeruli and glomerulotubular junction abnormalities in diabetic nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 14: 908–917, 2003
31. Mauer SM, Sutherland DE, Steffes MW: Relationship of systemic blood pressure to nephropathology in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Kidney Int* 41: 736–740, 1992
32. Stout LC, Kumar S, Whorton EB: Focal mesangiolysis and the pathogenesis of the Kimmelstiel-Wilson nodule. *Hum Pathol* 24: 77–89, 1993
33. Kimmelstiel P, Wilson C: Intercapillary lesions of the glomeruli of the kidney. *Am J Pathol* 12: 83–96, 1936
34. Cameron JS: The discovery of diabetic nephropathy: From small print to centre stage. *J Nephrol* 19[Suppl 10]: S75–S87, 2006
35. Allen A: So-called intercapillary glomerulosclerosis: A lesion associated with diabetes mellitus. Morphogenesis and significance. *Arch Path* 32: 33–51, 1941
36. Markowitz GS, Lin J, Valeri AM, Avila C, Nasr SH, D'Agati VD: Idiopathic nodular glomerulosclerosis is a distinct clinicopathologic entity linked to hypertension and smoking. *Hum Pathol* 33: 826–835, 2002
37. Nishi S, Ueno M, Hisaki S, Iino N, Iguchi S, Oyama Y, Imai N, Arakawa M, Gejyo F: Ultrastructural characteristics of diabetic nephropathy. *Med Electron Microsc* 33: 65–73, 2000
38. Paueksakon P, Revelo MP, Ma LJ, Marcantoni C, Fogo AB: Microangiopathic injury and augmented PAI-1 in human diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney Int* 61: 2142–2148, 2002

39. Glick AD, Jacobson HR, Haralson MA: Mesangial deposition of type I collagen in human glomerulosclerosis. *Hum Pathol* 23: 1373–1379, 1992
40. Sandison A, Newbold KM, Howie AJ: Evidence for unique distribution of Kimmelstiel–Wilson nodules in glomeruli. *Diabetes* 41: 952–955, 1992
41. Hong D, Zheng T, Jia-qing S, Jian W, Zhihong L, Lei-shi L: Nodular glomerular lesion: A later stage of diabetic nephropathy? *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 78: 189–195, 2007
42. Mason RM, Wahab NA: Extracellular matrix metabolism in diabetic nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 14: 1358–1373, 2003
43. Qian Y, Feldman E, Pennathur S, Kretzler M, Brosius FC III: From fibrosis to sclerosis: Mechanisms of glomerulosclerosis in diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes* 57: 1439–1445, 2008
44. Horlyck A, Gundersen HJ, Osterby R: The cortical distribution pattern of diabetic glomerulopathy. *Diabetologia* 29: 146–150, 1986
45. Bohle A, Wehrmann M, Bogenschütz O, Batz C, Müller CA, Müller GA: The pathogenesis of chronic renal failure in diabetic nephropathy. Investigation of 488 cases of diabetic glomerulosclerosis. *Pathol Res Pract* 187: 251–259, 1991
46. Stout LC, Kumar S, Whorton EB: Insudative lesions—Their pathogenesis and association with glomerular obsolescence in diabetes: A dynamic hypothesis based on single views of advancing human diabetic nephropathy. *Hum Pathol* 25: 1213–1227, 1994
47. Bennett WM, DeMattos A, Meyer MM, Andoh T, Barry JM: Chronic cyclosporine nephropathy: the Achilles' heel of immunosuppressive therapy. *Kidney Int* 50: 1089–1100, 1996
48. Tracy RE, Strong JP, Newman WP III, Malcom GT, Oalman MC, Guzman MA: Renovasculopathies of nephrosclerosis in relation to atherosclerosis at ages 25 to 54 years. *Kidney Int* 49: 564–570, 1996
49. Alsaad KO, Herzenberg AM: Distinguishing diabetic nephropathy from other causes of glomerulosclerosis: An update. *J Clin Pathol* 60: 18–26, 2007
50. Fioretto P, Steffes MW, Sutherland DE, Mauer M: Sequential renal biopsies in insulin-dependent diabetic patients: Structural factors associated with clinical progression. *Kidney Int* 48: 1929–1935, 1995
51. Ruggenti P, Gambarà V, Perna A, Bertani T, Remuzzi G: The nephropathy of non-insulin-dependent diabetes: Predictors of outcome relative to diverse patterns of renal injury. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 9: 2336–2343, 1998
52. Osterby R, Asplund J, Bangstad HJ, Nyberg G, Rudberg S, Viberti GC, Walker JD: Neovascularization at the vascular pole region in diabetic glomerulopathy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 14: 348–352, 1999

53. Bloodworth JMB: Re-evaluation of diabetic glomerulosclerosis 50 years after discovery of insulin. *Hum Pathol* 9: 439–453, 1978
54. Takazakura E, Nakamoto Y, Hayakawa H, Kawai K, Muramoto S: Onset and progression of diabetic glomerulosclerosis: A prospective study based on serial renal biopsies. *Diabetes* 24: 1–9, 1975
55. Laufer A, Stein O: The exudative lesion in diabetic glomerulosclerosis. *Am J Clin Pathol* 32: 56–61, 1959
56. Lane PH, Steffes MW, Fioretto P, Mauer SM: Renal interstitial expansion in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Kidney Int* 43: 661–667, 1993
57. Parving HH, Gall MA, Skott P, Jorgensen HE, Lokkegaard H, Jorgensen F, Nielsen B, Larsen S: Prevalence and causes of albuminuria in non-insulin-dependent diabetic patients. *Kidney Int* 41: 758–762, 1992