

# **Regulation of the Th1 immune response : the role of IL-23 and the influence of genetic variations**

Wetering, D. van de

### Citation

Wetering, D. van de. (2010, November 17). *Regulation of the Th1 immune response : the role of IL-23 and the influence of genetic variations*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/16155

Version:	Corrected Publisher's Version				
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral</u> <u>thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University</u> <u>of Leiden</u>				
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/16155				

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

## Chapter 4

Functional analysis of naturally occurring amino acid substitutions in human IFN- $\gamma$ R1.

Diederik van de Wetering<sup>1</sup>, Roelof A. de Paus<sup>1</sup>, Jaap T. van Dissel, Esther van de Vosse <sup>1</sup> shared first authors

Molecular Immunology. 2010 Feb;47(5):1023-30.

Reprinted with permission from Elsevier

#### Abstract

IFN- $\gamma$  plays an essential role in the IL-12/IL-23/IFN- $\gamma$  pathway that is required for the defense against intracellular pathogens. In the IFN-yR1 several amino acid substitutions have been reported that abrogate IFN-y signaling. These substitutions can lead to a null phenotype and enhanced susceptibility to infection by low pathogenic mycobacteria and salmonellae, a disorder known as Mendelian Susceptibility to Mycobacterial Diseases (MSMD). More common amino acid variations in the IFN-yR1 may influence IFN-yR function, albeit more subtle. To determine the effect of various amino acid substitutions on IFN- $\gamma$ R1 expression and function we cloned two newly identified amino acid substitutions (S149L, I352M), four common variations (V14M, V61I, H335P, L467P), seven reported missense mutations (V61Q, V63G, Y66C, C77Y, C77F, C85Y, I87T) and the 818delTTAA mutation in a retroviral expression vector. IFN-yR1 expression was determined as well as responsiveness to IFN- $\gamma$  stimulation. The two newly discovered IFN- $\gamma$ R1 variants, and the four common polymorphisms could be detected on the cell surface, however, the V14M, H335P and 1352M variants were significantly lower expressed at the cell membrane, compared to the wild type receptor. Despite the variance in cell surface expression, these IFN-yR1 variants did not affect function. In contrast to literature, in our model the expression of the V63G variant was severely reduced and its function was severely impaired but not completely abrogated. In addition, we confirmed the severely reduced function of the I87T mutant receptor, the completely abrogated expression and function of the V61E, V61Q, C77F, C77Y and the C85Y mutations, as well as the overexpression pattern of the 818delTTAA mutant receptor. The Y66C mutation was expressed at the cell surface, it was however, not functional. We conclude that the V14M, V61I, S149L, H335P, I352M and L467P are functional polymorphisms. The other variants are deleterious mutations with V61E, V61Q, Y66C, C77F, C77Y and C85Y leading to complete IFN-yR1 deficiency, while V63G and I87T lead to partial IFN-yR1 deficiency.

#### Introduction

Interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ) is a pleiotropic cytokine playing a central role in type-1 immunity. Human host immunity against intracellular pathogens such as *Mycobacteria* and *Salmonellae* depends on an effective cell-mediated immune response mediated by type-1 cytokines (1). Upon encounter of these pathogens, antigen presenting cells (APC) produce cytokines such as IL-23, IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-18, IL-12 and TNF via the stimulation of pattern recognition receptors, including Toll-like receptors. Type-1 helper T (Th1) cells and natural killer (NK) cells express receptors for these cytokines and produce IFN- $\gamma$  in response to IL-12 or IL-23 which can be enhanced by IL-1 $\beta$  and IL-18. IFN- $\gamma$ , in turn, binds to the IFN- $\gamma$  receptor (IFN- $\gamma$ R), present on nearly all cell types (2). APCs, including monocytes, macrophages and DCs, are activated by IFN- $\gamma$  to produce increased levels of IL-12 and to enhance both antigen presentation and bactericidal activity (3,4). In addition IFN- $\gamma$  can, depending on cell type, block proliferation, induce apoptosis and enhance expression of cell surface molecules such as HLA Class I and II, CD54 and CD64.

The IFN- $\gamma$ R is comprised of two ligand-binding IFN- $\gamma$ R1 chains associated with two signaltransducing IFN- $\gamma$ R2 chains (5). Binding of IFN- $\gamma$  to its receptor induces receptor oligomerization and activation of the receptor-associated Janus kinases JAK1 and JAK2 by trans-phosphorylation. The JAKs phosphorylate the tyrosine 440 that is part of the STAT1 docking site in the intracellular domain of the IFN- $\gamma$ R1, allowing for subsequent STAT1 phosphorylation (5). Phosphorylated STAT1 dissociates from the receptor, dimerizes and translocates to the nucleus, where it regulates the expression of IFN- $\gamma$  responsive genes directly (e.g. *CD54*) (6), or indirectly via the induction of other transcription factors such as IRF1, IRF7 and CIITA (e.g. *B2M* and *HLA*) (5). Although STAT1 is the main mediator of IFN- $\gamma$  responses, IFN- $\gamma$  has also been reported to induce STAT3 or STAT5 phosphorylation in a few other cell types (7). In Figure 1, a schematic representation of the IFN- $\gamma$ R1

Polymorphisms and mutations in the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 chain influence IFN- $\gamma$  responses. For example, patients with Mendelian Susceptibility to Mycobacterial Disease (MSMD) due to IFN- $\gamma$ R1 deficiency, have impaired Th1-immunity and suffer from unusually severe infections caused by weakly virulent *Mycobacteria* and *Salmonellae* (8). Most recessive IFN- $\gamma$ R1 deficiencies result in complete loss of cellular responsiveness to IFN- $\gamma$ , due to mutations that preclude the expression of IFN- $\gamma$ R1 on the cell surface (9-13). Another group of IFN- $\gamma$ R1 deficiencies is due to missense mutations which result in normal expression of IFN- $\gamma$ R1 at the cell surface, however, the resulting receptors show no or diminished binding of IFN- $\gamma$  (14,15). Dominant-negative defects like the 818deITTAA mutation in the intracellular domain of the receptor result in milder forms of MSMD. The 818deITTAA mutation product lacks the JAK1 and STAT docking site as well as the receptor

recycling domain which leads to accumulation of aberrant receptor complexes on the cell surface (16,17). In addition, several polymorphisms of the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 have been found that may have an effect on IFN- $\gamma$  responses. The H335P and L467P variants of the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 have been associated with the production of high antibody titers against *H. pylori* (18) and susceptibility to allergic disease and the production of high IgE titers (19). The frequency of the V14M allele in SLE patients is significantly higher than that of the healthy control population and the presence of a V14M allele correlated with an altered Th1/Th2 balance in favor of Th2 (20,21).

In this report we compare the effect of two novel variations, identified in patients suffering mycobacterial infections (S149L, I352M, unpublished data), four known polymorphisms (V14M (20,22),V61I (23), H335P, L467P (18,19), all seven reported missense mutations (V61Q (15), V63G (14), Y66C (24), C77Y (15), C77F (25), C85Y (26), I87T (27,28)) and the 818deITTAA mutation (16) on the expression and function of IFN- $\gamma$ R1 in the same genetic background. In addition, because the mutation at nucleotide 182 (gTA  $\rightarrow$  gAA) reported by Jouanguy et al. may have been aberrantly designated as V61Q (Val $\rightarrow$ Gln) (15), instead of V61E (Val $\rightarrow$ Glu), we analyzed both variations. For this purpose we cloned wild type *IFNGR1* and the *IFNGR1* variants (see also Figure 1), into a retroviral expression vector and transduced the constructs into the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 deficient cell line SKLC-7. We analyzed the signal transduction, the regulation of CD54, CD64, HLA-DR and HLA class I expression and the cytokine production in response to IFN- $\gamma$ .

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Cloning IFNGR1 variants into a retroviral expression vector

The full-length *IFNGR1* coding sequence was PCR amplified from cDNA of a healthy control with the sense primer 5`-AATTGGATCCGGTAGCAGCATGGCTCTCCT-3` and the anti-sense primer 5`-AAGGCTCGAGTCATGAAAATTCTTTGGAATCT-3` and cloned into the retroviral vector pLZRS-IRES-GFP (29) after digestion with the enzymes *BamH*I and *XhoI* (Fermentas). Variations were introduced by site directed mutagenesis (30). All constructs were sequence verified and were transfected in the Phoenix-A packaging cell line using calciumphosphate (Invitrogen). Supernatants with retroviral particles carrying the expression construct were generated as described before (31).

#### Cells, culture conditions and retroviral transduction

The human IFNGR1<sup>-/-</sup> cell-line SKLC-7 (32) and the human monocytic cell-line THP-1 (ATCC TIB-202) were cultured in RPMI1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS, 20 mM GlutaMax, 100 U/ml Penicillin and 100 µg/ml Streptomycin (Gibco/Invitrogen). 0.25 x 10<sup>6</sup> cells were retrovirally transduced by overnight incubation on a CH-296 (RetroNectin<sup>tm</sup>, Takara Shuzo) coated 48 wells plate in the presence of 1 ml of virus containing supernatant. Cells were washed and cultured for at

least four days before analysis in further assays. All subsequent FACS measurements were performed on cells gated for equal GFP expression.

#### Analysis of IFN-yR1 expression

To detect IFN- $\gamma$ R1 membrane expression cells were labeled with IR $\gamma$ 2 (33) and 177.10 (34) antibodies (kindly provided by Heiner Böttinger and Daniela Novick respectively) and PE conjugated monoclonal antibodies GIR94, GIR208, and as an isotype control IgG1 (BD biosciences). After labeling with the  $\gamma$ R99 antibody (35) (kindly provided by Francesco Novelli) the cells were counterstained with goat-anti-mouse-PE (BD Biosciences). 1 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells were stained in PBS supplemented with 0.2% BSA (Fraction V, Sigma) and washed twice before analysis on a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences). In order to detect intracellular expression 1 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells were fixated with 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma) and permeabilized with 4% saponin (Sigma-Aldrich) before staining with a directly labeled antibody.

#### Detection of cell surface markers

Regulation of CD54, HLA Class I, CD64 and HLA-DR expression in response to IFN- $\gamma$  was determined by stimulation of 2 x 10<sup>5</sup> transduced SKLC-7 or THP-1 cells in 200 µl culture medium with various amounts of IFN- $\gamma$  for 20 hours. Subsequently, the cells were washed and stained with either PE conjugated anti-CD54, the HLA Class-I antibody W6.32 and counterstained with goat-antimouse-PE, PE conjugated anti-CD64 or with PE conjugated anti-HLA-DR (BD Biosciences). After staining the cells were washed twice and analyzed on a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences).

#### STAT phosphorylation assays

To study signal transduction,  $2 \times 10^5$  transduced or untransduced SKLC-7 cells in 200 µl of culture medium were pulsed with various concentrations of IFN- $\gamma$  (Biosource). The cells were fixated with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 90% methanol (Merck). Subsequently, the cells were washed with PBS, 0.2% BSA, blocked with normal goat serum (Sanquin), and stained with the phospho-specific antibodies pY701-STAT1-Alexa 647, pY705-STAT3-PE or pY694-STAT5-PE (BD Pharmingen). Before analysis on a FACSCalibur, the cells were washed twice.

#### Analysis of cytokine production

To study cytokine production,  $2 \times 10^5$  transduced or untransduced SKLC-7 cells in 200 µl of culture medium were pulsed with various concentrations of IFN- $\gamma$  (Biosource). Twenty-four hours cell free supernatants were collected and cytokine production was checked by a Elisa: IL-12p40, TNF (Biosource), CXCL-10 and MCP-1 (Biorad).

#### Results

#### Functional transfer of the IFNGR1 in SKLC-7 cells

We cloned and expressed the wild type IFN- $\gamma$ R1 in the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 deficient cell line SKLC-7. The use of the retroviral expression vector pLZRS ensures transcription and expression of the *IFNGR1* and green fluorescent protein (*GFP*) genes in tandem and allows for selection of transduced cells by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) for the GFP signal. Transduction efficiency of SKLC-7 cells was typically between 20 and 40%. The transduction efficiency depends on target cell type and its proliferation rate. SKLC-7 cells divide slowly and are therefore more difficult to transduce than other cell types.



Figure 1. Schematic presentation of the IFN-yR1. On the left the various domains are indicated, on the right the variations that were analyzed are indicated. TM=transmembrane domain, SP=signal peptide.

With the same viral supernatants we obtained transduction efficiencies of up to 80% in Jurkat or K562 cells. SKLC-7 cells do not express the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 on their cell surface, nor do they express GFP (Fig 2 A-D). After retroviral transduction of the wild-type *IFNGR1* into the SKLC-7 cells the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 could be detected on the cell membrane (Fig 2 A-D), whereas in cells transduced with the empty vector (GFP) it could not (Fig. 2 A-D).



**Figure 2. Extracellular and intracellular expression of the IFN**- $\gamma$ **R1 variants.** SKLC-7 cells and the cells retrovirally transduced with GFP or with one of the *IFNGR1* gene variants were stained without pretreatment to detect extracellular expression (A-D) or after permeabilization to detect both intracellular and extracellular expression of IFN- $\gamma$ R1 (E). Cells were analyzed for IFN- $\gamma$ R1 expression using four IFN- $\gamma$ R1 specific antibodies (GIR94 (A), GIR208 (B), IR $\gamma$ 2 (C) and 177.10 (D)). Mean +/- SD fluorescence of these antibodies of 2 (IR $\gamma$ 2 and 177.10) or 3 (GIR94 and GIR208) experiments in triplo is depicted. N.D. = Not Determined. \* = p<0.004 higher expression compared to GFP construct.

Next we tested the responsiveness of transduced cells to IFN- $\gamma$ . SKLC7 cells expressing wild type IFN- $\gamma$ R1 were stimulated with various concentrations of IFN- $\gamma$  for 5 to 90 minutes before assessing STAT1 phosphorylation. STAT1 phosphorylation peaked 10-15 minutes after stimulation with high doses of IFN- $\gamma$ , whereas with lower doses of IFN- $\gamma$  STAT1 phosphorylation peaked later (Fig. 3). In addition, the induction of STAT1 phosphorylation was dose dependent and the highest IFN- $\gamma$  concentrations induced the largest amounts of STAT1 phosphorylation (Fig 3). No induction of STAT3 or STAT5 phosphorylation was observed in response to IFN- $\gamma$  at any time point (data not shown).



**Figure 3. Kinetics of STAT1 phosporylation in response to IFN-γ.** SKLC-7 cells transduced with wild type IFNGR1 were stimulated with various concentrations of IFN-γ (25-25000 pg/ml IFN-γ), whereafter STAT1 phosphorylation was analyzed at various time points. One representative experiment out of 2 is depicted.

#### Expression pattern of the IFN-*γ*R1 variants

Four known polymorphisms (V14M, V61I, H335P, L467P), the known mutations (V61E, V61Q, V63G, Y66C, C77Y, C77F, C77Y, C85Y, I87T, and 818deITTAA) and two novel amino acid substitutions (S149L and I352M) in IFN- $\gamma$ R1 were cloned and retrovirally transduced into the IFN- $\gamma$ R1<sup>-/-</sup> SKLC-7 cell line. We first determined whether the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 variants were expressed at the cell surface, using four different IFN- $\gamma$ R1 specific antibodies. Untransduced or GFP-transduced cells did not express IFN- $\gamma$ R1 (Fig 2A-D). On the SKLC-7 cells transduced with wild type IFN- $\gamma$ R1, the receptor was detected on the cell surface (Fig. 2A-D). The V61I, Y66C, S149L and L467P variants could be detected on the cell surface in similar amounts as the wild type receptor while the H335P and I352M variants, showed a small (13% and 18% respectively) reduction of expression that was significant with three out of four antibodies. The V14M variant showed significant reduced expression (42%) compared to the wild type construct with all four antibodies. As expected, the 818deITTAA mutant lacking the receptor recycling-domain was expressed ten times higher on the cell membrane than the wild type construct (Fig 2A-B). In contrast, membrane expression of the V61E, V61Q, C77F and C77Y variants could not be detected (Fig. 2A-D). A small amount of cell-surface expression of the V63G, C85Y and I87T variants was detected by one antibody (Fig. 2D).

Lack of cell surface expression can be due to a lack of protein expression, or due to misfolded proteins being sequestered in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) or due to a defect in trafficking of the receptor to the cell membrane. To determine whether the variants that were

undetectable at the cell surface were synthesized, we stained the cells for intracellular IFN- $\gamma$ R1 expression. Except for the *GFP* transduced cells and the V61E and V61Q variants, in all other transduced cells the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 could be detected intracellular, indicating protein is synthesized (Fig. 2E). The results of the expression analysis experiments are summarized in Table 1.



**Figure 4. The influence of IFN-** $\gamma$ **R1 variations on the kinetics of STAT1 phosphorylation.** SKLC-7 cells transduced with wild type IFNGR1, GFP or with the V14M, V61I, S149L, H335P, I352M and L467P (A), V61Q, V63G, C77F, C77Y, C85Y and I87T (B) or V63G and I87T variants (C), were stimulated for 5 to 90 minutes with 2500 pg/ml IFN- $\gamma$  (A), or 250,000 pg/ml IFN- $\gamma$  (B-C). The phosphorylation of STAT1 was determined by FACS, using a STAT1 phospho-specific antibody. One representative experiment out of 3 are depicted.

#### The effect of IFN-γR1 variations on IFN-γ induced STAT1 phosphorylation

STAT1 plays a critical role in the IFN- $\gamma$  signal transduction and the IFN- $\gamma$  induced host defence against infections (36). To test the influence of the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 alleles on IFN- $\gamma$  signal transduction, STAT1 phosphorylation was assessed. The kinetics of STAT1 phosphorylation in response to IFN- $\gamma$  was determined in cells transduced with each of the variants. In cells expressing the wild type IFN- $\gamma$ R1 or the V14M, S149L, H335P, I352M, and L467P variants, comparable STAT1 phosphorylation was observed (Fig. 4A). In cells transduced with the V63G or the I87T variant, STAT1 phosphorylation was detected, however, it was significantly reduced compared to cells transduced with the wild type receptor (Fig. 4B-C). In cells transduced with the V61E, V61Q, Y66C, C77F, C77Y and C85Y variants, no STAT1 phosphorylation could be observed in response to IFN- $\gamma$  (Fig 4B-C). The results of the expression analysis experiments are summarized in Table 1.

#### Influence of IFN-yR1 variations on CD54 and HLA Class I regulation by IFN-y

IFN-y stimulates the expression of many cell surface markers, including CD54 and HLA Class I molecules. CD54 (also known as ICAM-1) is involved in the binding of cells to endothelia and transmigration into tissues (37). CD54 functions as a costimulatory molecule on APC and other cell types, to activate  $CD4^+$  T cells and cytotoxic  $CD8^+$  T cells, respectively (38), IFN- $\gamma$  increases antigen processing and the expression of the HLA class I molecules, thereby increasing antigen presentation and promoting the induction of cell-mediated immunity (5,39). We assessed the influence of the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 variants on the IFN- $\gamma$  induced expression of CD54 and HLA Class I molecules. IFN-y upregulated the expression of CD54 in SKLC-7 cells expressing the wild type IFN- $\gamma$ R1, but not in GFP- transduced or in untransduced SKLC-7 cells (Fig. 5A). In cells expressing the V14M, V61I, S149L, H335P, I352M or L467P, similar upregulation of CD54 expression was observed as in cells expressing the wild type receptor (Fig 5A). In cells expressing the V63G or the 187T variants, CD54 upregulation was seen when cells were stimulated with high concentrations of IFN- $\gamma$ , however, this upregulation was less compared to cells expressing the wild type receptor (Fig 5B and C). No regulation of CD54 by IFN- $\gamma$  was observed in cells expressing the V61E, V61Q, Y66C, C77F, or C77Y receptor variants (Fig 5B). Similar results for all IFN-γR1 variants were observed for IFN-y induced HLA Class I cell surface expression (Fig. 5D-F). The results of the expression analysis experiments are summarized in Table 1. The expression of two other cell surface markers that can be regulated by IFN-y, CD64 and HLA-DR, was not altered by IFN-y stimulation in the SKLC7 cell line with or without IFNGR1 construct (data not shown). Therefore expression of these two markers was not analyzed in the full panel of IFNGR1 variations.



**Figure 5.** The effect of IFN-γR1 variations on IFN-γ induced CD54 and HLA class I upregulation. SKLC-7 cells and the cells retrovirally transduced with GFP or with one of the *IFNGR1* gene variants were stimulated for 20h with various concentrations of IFN-γ. The CD54 expression (A, B and C) and the HLA Class I expression (D, E and F) was determined by FACS. CD54 expression is depicted as mean fluorescence, HLA Class I expression is depicted as fold increase compared to the mean of untransduced cells. Displayed is the mean +/- SD of a triplo experiment. All variants were tested at least three times for comparison with the wild type variant.

#### Influence of the IFN-yR1 Y66C variation on the function of the wild type receptor

The Y66C variation was expressed on the cell surface but appeared to be non-functional. Since the IFN- $\gamma$ R contains two IFN- $\gamma$ R1 chains, in individuals heterozygous for a defective IFN- $\gamma$ R1 chain that is expressed on the membrane, incorporation of one defective IFN- $\gamma$ R1 chain in the IFN- $\gamma$ R complex could potentially have a dominant-negative effect. To determine whether the Y66C variation exerts such an effect we transduced the IFN- $\gamma$  responsive cell line THP-1 with the Y66C variation and as controls with the wild type IFN- $\gamma$ R1 or a GFP vector. While expressing large amounts of Y66C IFN- $\gamma$ R1, Y66C THP-1 transductants showed a dose dependent induction of CD54 after stimulation with IFN- $\gamma$ , which was comparable to the dose response curve of untransduced THP-1 cells (data not shown). Transduction of the wild type receptor led to a higher total expression of IFN- $\gamma$ R1 at the cell

surface, as well as a stronger upregulation of CD54 in response to IFN- $\gamma$  (data not shown). In THP-1 cells transduced with the 818deITTAA IFN- $\gamma$ R1 variant, total receptor cell surface expression was increased, while the CD54 upregulation in response to IFN- $\gamma$  was severely reduced, confirming the dominant negative effect of this variant (data not shown).

#### Discussion

The main finding of this study is that the newly discovered I IFN- $\gamma$ R1 variants, S149L and I352M, as well as the known V14M, V61I, H335P and L467P IFN- $\gamma$ R1 variants do not functionally differ from the wild type receptor and are therefore considered polymorphisms. In contrast to literature, we showed a severely reduced expression of the V63G receptor variant, and found that its function was severely reduced although not completely abrogated. In addition, we confirmed the severely reduced function of the I87T mutant receptor, the completely abrogated function of the V61E, V61Q, Y66C, C77F, C77Y and C85Y variants, as well as the overexpression patterns of the 818delTTAA mutant receptor. Thus far the only mutant proteins that have been characterised after transduction into cells were the 818delTTAA (into HEK293 cells) and the I87T (into fibroblasts) variants (27,40).

To reach these conclusions, we retrovirally expressed the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 variants in the human IFN-yR1-deficient SKLC-7 cell line and analyzed its functional activity. The conclusions are summarized in Table 1. With the in vitro model used, we were able to distinguish between functional and non-functional variants and were also able to detect the partial responsiveness of the V63G and 187T variants. However, the following points should be considered. First, while the IFN-γR is expressed by all nucleated cells in the human body, the cells used in this study are not representative for all IFN-y responsive cells. For example, in a few cell-types STAT3 and STAT5 phosphorylation in response to IFN- $\gamma$  can be observed (7), in our model no STAT3 or STAT5 phosphorylation could be detected, thus not allowing for detection of potential differences between the IFN-yR1 variants in signaling through these molecules. Second, the concentrations of stimuli chosen may not fully resemble physiologically relevant conditions. Though we tested a range of IFN-y concentrations as commonly found in physiological relevant situations, the present approach cannot exclude subtle differences in the lowest range of ligand binding. Third, the overexpression of the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 by the retroviral system could mask differences in effects due to alterations in transcript or protein stability. We were nevertheless able to detect accumulation on the membrane of the 818delTTAA mutant, a significant lower expression of some of the polymorphisms, as well as the extremely low expression of partial mutations compared to the wild type IFN- $\gamma$ R1. Furthermore, posttranscriptional and post-translational modifications of normal or retroviral expressed IFN-yR1 may differ, although thus far no findings suggest that such modifications occur. Fourth, the IFN-y induced STAT1 phosphorylation and subsequent induction of CD54 and HLA class I molecules are not the only responses induced. Subtle differences between the different variants may be missed due to the choice of read-out system.

The C77F and C77Y receptor variants could not be detected on the cell surface, however, these variants could be detected intracellularly. Lack of cell surface expression can be due to a lack of protein expression or due to a defect in trafficking of the receptor to the cell membrane. Alternatively, the protein quality control system in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) could prevent transport of mutant, misfolded, or incorrectly complexed proteins, and target these for degradation (41), as we have also reported for several IL-12R $\beta$ 1 mutations (42). In accordance with literature, we did not observe any IFN- $\gamma$  responsiveness of these two variant receptors (15,25).

In contrast with previous publications (14.27), we only detected low cell surface expression of these the V63G and I87T receptor variants. With one out of the four antibodies used, low cell surface expression of the V63G, C85Y and I87T variants could be detected. In addition, we were able to detect STAT1 phosphorylation as well as a slight upregulation of CD54 and HLA Class I in the V63G and I87T variants in response to more than 10,000 times higher IFN-γ concentrations than needed to induce IFN- $\gamma$  responses in cells expressing the wild type receptor. This confirms the observation by Jouanguy et al. (27) that I87T is a partial deficiency and identifies V63G as a partial deficiency as well in contrast to the earlier report by Allende et al. that it results in complete deficiency(14). In line with our results with the I87T variant, Jouanguy et al. observed a response in B-cells and monocytes obtained from the patient expressing this variant when stimulated with very high doses of IFN- $\gamma$  (27). The diminished response of the I87T variant to IFN- $\gamma$  may be largely due to the low cell-surface expression. The diminished response observed of the V63G variant can be due to either the severely diminished cell-surface expression or to disrupted binding of IFN- $\gamma$  to the receptor since the neighboring amino acids (64 and 66-68) of the IFN-γR1 are known to be essential in the interaction between the high-affinity receptor and its ligand I IFN- $\gamma$  (43). Moreover, the adjacent lysine at position 64 directly interacts with IFN-y.

In the V61Q (V61E) variant IFN- $\gamma$ R1, the binding of IFN- $\gamma$  was reported to be abrogated (15). This was determined in patient cells expressing two mutant *IFNGR1* alleles (*V61Q* and *652del3*) (15). We tested both the V61Q and V61E variants. Interestingly, in our experiments, with four independently cloned and sequence-verified V61Q and V61E constructs, neither variant was detected on the cell surface nor was the protein detectable intracellular. The fact that these mutants could not be detected on the cell surface with four different antibodies suggests that the variant detected on the cell surface by Jouanguy et al. may have been the 652del3 mutation (15). The failure to detect protein expression may be caused by a changed protein conformation, leading to the disappearance of epitopes recognized by the antibodies. Three of the antibodies (GIR-94, GIR-208 and IR $\gamma$ 2) were raised against the extracellular domain of IFN- $\gamma$ R1, one (177.10) was raised

against full length IFN- $\gamma$ R1 but is known to block IFN- $\gamma$  binding, suggesting it binds to the extracellular domain of IFN- $\gamma$ R1 as well. Of none of these antibodies the exact binding site is known.

The V61I variant is an amino acid substitution at the same position as the V61Q and V61E variants that was however normally expressed on the cell surface and showed a normal STAT1 phosphorylation in response to IFN- $\gamma$  Both valine (V) and isoleucine (I) are hydrophobic aminoacids, V to I substitutions are conserved substitutions while substitutions of valine to amino acids glutamine (Q) or glutamic acid (E) substitutions are not.

The Y66C variant could be detected on the cell surface, however, no STAT1 phosphorylation, nor CD54 or HLA class I induction was observed in response to IFN- $\gamma$ . This finding is in accordance with the fact that phenylalanine residue on position 66 is essential for IFN- $\gamma$  binding (43). Although the non-functional Y66C variant was expressed on the cell surface, it did not hamper the function of the IFN- $\gamma$ R when this variant was co-expressed with wild type IFN- $\gamma$ R1. Whether or not the Y66C variant is incorporated in the IFN- $\gamma$ R complex is not known

We show that the variants V14M, V61I, H335P, L467P, S149L and 352M were detectable on the cell surface and were fully functional and not different in receptor function from the wild type receptor. Despite the fact that cell surface expression of the V14M variant was nearly halved, we were not able to detect a functional difference compared to the wild type receptor. The V14M variation is within the signal peptide, thereby likely influencing transport of the receptor to the cell surface. When IFN- $\gamma$ R1 is overexpressed, as is the case in our model, subtle differences in expression and the balance between the expression of the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 and the IFN- $\gamma$ R2 within our model may not resemble the normal expression pattern. In T lymphocytes IFN- $\gamma$ R1 andR1 expression can individually vary from low to high. For example, the expression density of the IFN- $\gamma$ R2 is an important mechanism in determining the fate of T lymphocytes (44). Therefore differences in IFN- $\gamma$ R1 expression levels as seen with the V14M variant, which did not result in differences in our read outs, may nonetheless influence signaling in natural conditions.

The H335P and L467P variants of the IFN- $\gamma$ R1 have been reported to be associated with the production of high antibody titers against *H. pylori* (18) and susceptibility to allergic disease and the production of high IgE titers (19). The identification of associations between an allele and an observed clinical outcome does not necessarily mean that the allele itself conveys a functional difference. The functional difference may be due to a variation that is merely linked to the polymorphism under study. We can however not exclude more subtle functional differences of the studied alleles, since we used overexpression constructs of the IFN- $\gamma$ R1. In addition, the observed associations may be due to alterations in signaling pathways other than the ones we explored in this study.

When a variation affects the function of a protein it is considered a mutation, when it does not affect protein function it is considered a polymorphism. We therefore conclude that the V14M, 104

V61I, S149L, H335P, I352M and L467P are functional polymorphisms. Expression on the cell surface of V14M is reduced which may result in slightly reduced IFN-γ responses, when IFN-γR1 gene transcription is *in vivo* limited to natural amounts. This polymorphism may influence susceptibility to infections or predisposition to SLE. The other variants are deleterious mutations with V61E, V61Q, Y66C, C77F, C77Y and C85Y leading to complete IFN-γR1 deficiency while V63G and I87T lead to partial IFN-γR1 deficiency.

## Table 1 Summary of the expression and functional analyses of the IFN-γR1 variants

variant	cell surface expression <sup>a</sup>	total expression <sup>d</sup>	STAT1 phosphorylation	CD54 upregulation	HLA class I upregulation	conclusion
wild type	+	+	+	+	+	
V14M	42% reduced	+	+	+	+	polymorphism
V61E	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	mutation
V61I	+	+	+	+	+	polymorphism
V61Q	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	mutation
V63G	severely reduced <sup>b</sup>	+	severely reduced	severely reduced	severely reduced	mutation <sup>e</sup>
Y66C	+	+	absent	absent	absent	mutation
C77F	absent	+	absent	absent	absent	mutation
C77Y	absent	+	absent	absent	absent	mutation
C85Y	severely reduced <sup>b</sup>	+	absent	absent	absent	mutation
187T	severely reduced <sup>b</sup>	+	severely reduced	severely reduced	severely reduced	mutation <sup>e</sup>
S149L	+	+	+	+	+	polymorphism
H335P	13% reduced <sup>c</sup>	+	+	+	+	polymorphism
1352M	18% reduced <sup>c</sup>	+	+	+	+	polymorphism
L467P	+	+	+	+	+	polymorphism

<sup>a</sup> determined with four different antibodies. <sup>b</sup> low expression was detectable with one out of four antibodies. <sup>c</sup> observed with three out of four antibodies. <sup>d</sup> determined with two different antibodies. <sup>e</sup> mutation leading to partial deficiency.

#### References

- Ottenhoff, T. H., F. A. Verreck, E. G. Lichtenauer-Kaligis, M. A. Hoeve, O. Sanal, and J. T. Van Dissel. 2002. Genetics, cytokines and human infectious disease: lessons from weakly pathogenic mycobacteria and salmonellae. *Nat. Genet.* 32: 97-105.
- 2. Valente, G., L. Ozmen, F. Novelli, M. Geuna, G. Palestro, G. Forni, and G. Garotta. 1992. Distribution of interferon-gamma receptor in human tissues. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 22: 2403-2412.
- Leenen, P. J., B. P. Canono, D. A. Drevets, J. S. Voerman, and P. A. Campbell. 1994. TNF-alpha and IFN-gamma stimulate a macrophage precursor cell line to kill Listeria monocytogenes in a nitric oxideindependent manner. J. Immunol. 153: 5141-5147.
- 4. Nathan, C. F., and J. B. Hibbs, Jr. 1991. Role of nitric oxide synthesis in macrophage antimicrobial activity. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 3: 65-70.
- 5. Boehm, U., T. Klamp, M. Groot, and J. C. Howard. 1997. Cellular responses to interferon-gamma. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 15: 749-795.
- 6. Ramana, C. V., M. P. Gil, R. D. Schreiber, and G. R. Stark. 2002. Stat1-dependent and -independent pathways in IFN-gamma-dependent signaling. *Trends Immunol.* 23: 96-101.
- 7. van Boxel-Dezaire, A. H., and G. R. Stark. 2007. Cell type-specific signaling in response to interferongamma. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 316: 119-154.
- van de Vosse, E., M. A. Hoeve, and T. H. Ottenhoff. 2004. Human genetics of intracellular infectious diseases: molecular and cellular immunity against mycobacteria and salmonellae. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 4: 739-749.
- Pierre-Audigier, C., E. Jouanguy, S. Lamhamedi, F. Altare, J. Rauzier, V. Vincent, D. Canioni, J. F. Emile, A. Fischer, S. Blanche, J. L. Gaillard, and J. L. Casanova. 1997. Fatal disseminated Mycobacterium smegmatis infection in a child with inherited interferon gamma receptor deficiency. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 24: 982-984.
- Rosenzweig, S., S. E. Dorman, J. Roesler, J. Palacios, M. Zelazko, and S. M. Holland. 2002. 561del4 defines a novel small deletion hotspot in the interferon-gamma receptor 1 chain. *Clin. Immunol.* 102: 25-27.
- Newport, M. J., C. M. Huxley, S. Huston, C. M. Hawrylowicz, B. A. Oostra, R. Williamson, and M. Levin. 1996. A mutation in the interferon-gamma-receptor gene and susceptibility to mycobacterial infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 335: 1941-1949.
- Jouanguy, E., F. Altare, S. Lamhamedi, P. Revy, J. F. Emile, M. Newport, M. Levin, S. Blanche, E. Seboun, A. Fischer, and J. L. Casanova. 1996. Interferon-gamma-receptor deficiency in an infant with fatal bacille Calmette-Guerin infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 335: 1956-1961.
- Roesler, J., B. Kofink, J. Wendisch, S. Heyden, D. Paul, W. Friedrich, J. L. Casanova, W. Leupold, M. Gahr, and A. Rosen-Wolff. 1999. Listeria monocytogenes and recurrent mycobacterial infections in a child with complete interferon-gamma-receptor (IFNgammaR1) deficiency: mutational analysis and evaluation of therapeutic options. *Exp. Hematol.* 27: 1368-1374.
- Allende, L. M., A. Lopez-Goyanes, E. Paz-Artal, A. Corell, M. A. Garcia-Perez, P. Varela, A. Scarpellini, S. Negreira, E. Palenque, and A. Arnaiz-Villena. 2001. A point mutation in a domain of gamma interferon receptor 1 provokes severe immunodeficiency. *Clin. Diagn. Lab Immunol.* 8: 133-137.
- Jouanguy, E., S. Dupuis, A. Pallier, R. Doffinger, M. C. Fondaneche, C. Fieschi, S. Lamhamedi-Cherradi, F. Altare, J. F. Emile, P. Lutz, P. Bordigoni, H. Cokugras, N. Akcakaya, J. Landman-Parker, J. Donnadieu, Y. Camcioglu, and J. L. Casanova. 2000. In a novel form of IFN-gamma receptor 1 deficiency, cell surface receptors fail to bind IFN-gamma. *J. Clin. Invest* 105: 1429-1436.
- Jouanguy, E., S. Lamhamedi-Cherradi, D. Lammas, S. E. Dorman, M. C. Fondaneche, S. Dupuis, R. Doffinger, F. Altare, J. Girdlestone, J. F. Emile, H. Ducoulombier, D. Edgar, J. Clarke, V. A. Oxelius, M. Brai, V. Novelli, K. Heyne, A. Fischer, S. M. Holland, D. S. Kumararatne, R. D. Schreiber, and J. L. Casanova. 1999. A human IFNGR1 small deletion hotspot associated with dominant susceptibility to mycobacterial infection. *Nat. Genet.* 21: 370-378.
- Glosli, H., A. Stray-Pedersen, A. C. Brun, L. W. Holtmon, T. Tonjum, A. Chapgier, J. L. Casanova, and T. G. Abrahamsen. 2008. Infections due to various atypical mycobacteria in a Norwegian multiplex family with dominant interferon-gamma receptor deficiency. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 46: e23-e27.
- Thye, T., G. D. Burchard, M. Nilius, B. Muller-Myhsok, and R. D. Horstmann. 2003. Genomewide linkage analysis identifies polymorphism in the human interferon-gamma receptor affecting Helicobacter pylori infection. *Am. J Hum. Genet.* 72: 448-453.
- Aoki, M., E. Matsui, H. Kaneko, R. Inoue, T. Fukao, M. Watanabe, T. Teramoto, Z. Kato, K. Suzuki, Y. Suzuki, K. Kasahara, and N. Kondo. 2003. A novel single-nucleotide substitution, Leu 467 Pro, in the interferon-gamma receptor 1 gene associated with allergic diseases. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 12: 185-191.

- Tanaka, Y., H. Nakashima, C. Hisano, T. Kohsaka, Y. Nemoto, H. Niiro, T. Otsuka, T. Otsuka, T. Imamura, and Y. Niho. 1999. Association of the interferon-gamma receptor variant (Val14Met) with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Immunogenetics* 49: 266-271.
- Nakashima, H., H. Inoue, M. Akahoshi, Y. Tanaka, K. Yamaoka, E. Ogami, S. Nagano, Y. Arinobu, H. Niiro, T. Otsuka, and Y. Niho. 1999. The combination of polymorphisms within interferon-gamma receptor 1 and receptor 2 associated with the risk of systemic lupus erythematosus. *FEBS Lett.* 453: 187-190.
- Nakao, F., K. Ihara, K. Kusuhara, Y. Sasaki, N. Kinukawa, A. Takabayashi, S. Nishima, and T. Hara. 2001. Association of IFN-gamma and IFN regulatory factor 1 polymorphisms with childhood atopic asthma. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 107: 499-504.
- 23. 2006. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=snp&cmd=search&term=rs17175322. SNP database,build: 130.
- Dorman, S. E., C. Picard, D. Lammas, K. Heyne, J. T. Van Dissel, R. Baretto, S. D. Rosenzweig, M. Newport, M. Levin, J. Roesler, D. Kumararatne, J. L. Casanova, and S. M. Holland. 2004. Clinical features of dominant and recessive interferon gamma receptor 1 deficiencies. *Lancet* 364: 2113-2121.
- Chantrain, C. F., A. Bruwier, B. Brichard, V. Largent, A. Chapgier, J. Feinberg, J. L. Casanova, J. P. Stalens, and C. Vermylen. 2006. Successful hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in a child with active disseminated Mycobacterium fortuitum infection and interferon-gamma receptor 1 deficiency. Bone Marrow Transplant. 38: 75-76.
- Noordzij, J. G., N. G. Hartwig, F. A. Verreck, S. De Bruin-Versteeg, T. de Boer, J. T. Dissel, R. De Groot, T. H. Ottenhoff, and J. J. Van Dongen. 2007. Two Patients with Complete Defects in Interferon Gamma Receptor-Dependent Signaling. J. Clin. Immunol. 27: 490-496.
- Jouanguy, E., S. Lamhamedi-Cherradi, F. Altare, M. C. Fondaneche, D. Tuerlinckx, S. Blanche, J. F. Emile, J. L. Gaillard, R. Schreiber, M. Levin, A. Fischer, C. Hivroz, and J. L. Casanova. 1997. Partial interferon-gamma receptor 1 deficiency in a child with tuberculoid bacillus Calmette-Guerin infection and a sibling with clinical tuberculosis. *J Clin. Invest* 100: 2658-2664.
- Remiszewski, P., B. Roszkowska-Sliz, J. Winek, A. Chapgier, J. Feinberg, R. Langfort, I. Bestry, E. Augustynowicz-Kopec, J. Ptak, J. L. Casanova, and E. Rowinska-Zakrzewska. 2006. Disseminated Mycobacterium avium infection in a 20-year-old female with partial recessive IFNgammaR1 deficiency. *Respiration* 73: 375-378.
- Heemskerk, M. H., B. Blom, G. Nolan, A. P. Stegmann, A. Q. Bakker, K. Weijer, P. C. Res, and H. Spits. 1997. Inhibition of T cell and promotion of natural killer cell development by the dominant negative helix loop helix factor Id3. *J. Exp. Med.* 186: 1597-1602.
- Higuchi, R., B. Krummel, and R. K. Saiki. 1988. A general method of in vitro preparation and specific mutagenesis of DNA fragments: study of protein and DNA interactions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 16: 7351-7367.
- de Paus, R. A., D. van de Wetering, J. T. Van Dissel, and E. van de Vosse. 2008. IL-23 and IL-12 responses in activated human T cells retrovirally transduced with IL-23 receptor variants. *Mol. Immunol.* 45: 3889-3895.
- Kaplan, D. H., V. Shankaran, A. S. Dighe, E. Stockert, M. Aguet, L. J. Old, and R. D. Schreiber. 1998. Demonstration of an interferon gamma-dependent tumor surveillance system in immunocompetent mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 95: 7556-7561.
- Watzka, H., K. Pfizenmaier, and D. Moosmayer. 1998. Guided selection of antibody fragments specific for human interferon gamma receptor 1 from a human VH- and VL-gene repertoire. *Immunotechnology*. 3: 279-291.
- Novick, D., D. G. Fischer, Z. Reiter, Z. Eshhar, and M. Rubinstein. 1989. Monoclonal antibodies to the human interferon-gamma receptor: blocking of the biological activities of interferon-gamma and purification of the receptor. J. Interferon Res. 9: 315-328.
- 35. Garotta, G., L. Ozmen, M. Fountoulakis, Z. Dembic, A. P. van Loon, and D. Stuber. 1990. Human interferon-gamma receptor. Mapping of epitopes recognized by neutralizing antibodies using native and recombinant receptor proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 265: 6908-6915.
- Platanias, L. C., and E. N. Fish. 1999. Signaling pathways activated by interferons. *Exp. Hematol.* 27: 1583-1592.
- Shang, X. Z., and A. C. Issekutz. 1998. Contribution of CD11a/CD18, CD11b/CD18, ICAM-1 (CD54) and -2 (CD102) to human monocyte migration through endothelium and connective tissue fibroblast barriers. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 28: 1970-1979.
- van de Stolpe, A., and P. T. van der Saag. 1996. Intercellular adhesion molecule-1. J. Mol. Med. 74: 13-33.
- Schroder, K., P. J. Hertzog, T. Ravasi, and D. A. Hume. 2004. Interferon-gamma: an overview of signals, mechanisms and functions. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 75: 163-189.
- Okada, S., N. Ishikawa, K. Shirao, H. Kawaguchi, M. Tsumura, Y. Ohno, S. Yasunaga, M. Ohtsubo, Y. Takihara, and M. Kobayashi. 2007. The novel IFNGR1 mutation 774del4 produces a truncated form of

interferon-gamma receptor 1 and has a dominant-negative effect on interferon-gamma signal transduction. *J. Med. Genet.* 44: 485-491.

- 41. Klausner, R. D., and R. Sitia. 1990. Protein degradation in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Cell* 62: 611-614.
- van de Vosse, E., R. A. de Paus, J. T. Van Dissel, and T. H. Ottenhoff. 2005. Molecular complementation of IL-12Rbeta1 deficiency reveals functional differences between IL-12Rbeta1 alleles including partial IL-12Rbeta1 deficiency. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 14: 3847-3855.
- Walter, M. R., W. T. Windsor, T. L. Nagabhushan, D. J. Lundell, C. A. Lunn, P. J. Zauodny, and S. K. Narula. 1995. Crystal structure of a complex between interferon-gamma and its soluble high-affinity receptor. *Nature* 376: 230-235.
- Bernabei, P., A. Allione, L. Rigamonti, M. Bosticardo, G. Losana, I. Borghi, G. Forni, and F. Novelli. 2001. Regulation of interferon-gamma receptor (INF-gammaR) chains: a peculiar way to rule the life and death of human lymphocytes. *Eur. Cytokine Netw.* 12: 6-14.