

Functional analysis of agrobacterium virulence genes Niu, X.

Citation

Niu, X. (2013, June 25). *Functional analysis of agrobacterium virulence genes*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/21014

Version:	Corrected Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/21014

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/21014</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Niu, Xiaolei Title: Functional analysis of agrobacterium virulence genes Issue Date: 2013-06-25

Chapter 4

The influence of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* virulence proteins VirE3 and VirF on the *Arabidopsis thaliana* transcriptome

Xiaolei Niu, Christiaan Henkel, G Paul H van Heusden and Paul JJ Hooykaas

Department of Molecular and Developmental Genetics, Institute of Biology, Leiden University, Sylviusweg 72, 2333BE Leiden, the Netherlands

Abstract

During Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of plant cells a part of the tumor inducing plasmid, the T-DNA, is integrated into the host genome. In addition, a number of virulence proteins are translocated from the bacterium into the host cell. It has been shown that the virulence protein VirE3 binds to the Arabidopsis thaliana pBrp protein, a plant-specific general transcription factor of the TFIIB family, suggesting that VirE3 may influence transcription in the host cell (García-Rodríguez et al., 2006). The virulence protein VirF may be involved in degradation of the Arabidopsis VIP1 transcription factor. To study a possible role of these two virulence proteins in transcriptional regulation, we stably expressed virE3 and *virF* in the plant *A. thaliana* under control of a tamoxifen-inducible promoter. By RNA sequencing the effect of expression of virE3 and virF on the genome-wide transcription profile was determined. Our results showed that after correction for the effect of tamoxifen on the control plant lines, the RNA levels of 1472 genes were increased more than two-fold and those of 1310 genes decreased more than two-fold upon virE3 expression. Expression of virF had much less pronounced effects. Our data support a role of virE3 in influencing host cell's transcription machinery.

Introduction

The plant pathogen Agrobacterium tumefaciens can cause crown gall disease by transferring a part of its tumor inducing (Ti) plasmid, called T-DNA, to plant cells, where it integrates into the genome; for review see: (Citovsky et al, 2007; Hooykaas, P.J.J and Beijersbergen, 1994; Gelvin, 2000; Pitzschke & Hirt, 2010). Due to the expression of oncogenes located on the T-DNA the transformed plant cells are proliferating uncontrollably and form crown gall tumors. The genes responsible for T-DNA production and transfer are present in the virulence region (vir) of the Ti plasmid. The vir region encodes virulence proteins which have different functions in T-DNA processing and delivery. Some of these virulence proteins (VirE2, VirE3, VirD5 and VirF) are directly translocated into the recipient cells independent of the T-DNA. The virulence protein VirD2 generates nicks at the border sequences of the T-DNA region and covalently binds to the 5' end of the T-strand, a single stranded copy of the T-DNA which is produced inside Agrobacterium (Vogel & Das, 1992). The VirD2-T-strand complex is transported into the plant cells via a type IV secretion system (Vergunst et al, 2000). VirE2 is a single strand DNA-binding protein which binds to the T-strand in the host cell cytoplasm to prevent its degradation by host nucleases. Both VirD2 and VirE2 have nuclear localization signals (NLSs) which facilitate targeting of the T-strand to the host cell nucleus.

VirE3 is highly conserved between different *Agrobacterium* species (García-Rodríguez *et al*, 2006), suggesting that VirE3 plays an important role during *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation (AMT). VirE3 has two potential NLS sequences and it has been shown that VirE3 binds to importins- α (García-Rodríguez *et al*, 2006). In line with these observations VirE3 expressed in plant cells is localized in the nucleus (Lacroix *et al*, 2005; García-Rodríguez *et al*, 2006). Also VirE3 was reported to mimic the function of the transcription factor VIP1 and therefore, it may promote the entry of the T-complex into the host nucleus (Lacroix *et al*, 2005). Furthermore, VirE3 interacts with pBrp, a plant specific general transcription factor of the TFIIB family, suggesting that VirE3 may influence transcription in the host cell (García-Rodríguez *et al*, 2006). However, until now direct evidence for a role of VirE3 in transcriptional regulation is still lacking.

VirF contains a putative F-box motif through which it interacts with plant homologues of the yeast Skp1 protein (ASK1 and ASK2) to form a Skp-Cullin-F-box protein (SCF) complex. This interaction was abolished by mutation of the F-box motif by alanine substitution of two conserved leucine and proline residues (Schrammeijer *et al*, 2001). It has been reported that SCF^{virF} targets the plant transcription factor VIP1 and its associated *Agrobacterium* VirE2 protein for degradation by the 26S proteasome both in yeast and *in planta* (Tzfira *et al*, 2004). As VIP1 is a plant transcription factor, VirF may affect transcription in the host cell.

As indicated above, the function of both VirE3 and VirF during AMT may be disturbance of the host cell's transcription machinery. In Chapter 3, we have shown that expression of *virF* in yeast has only a very minor effect on the genome-wide transcription profile. Also, the effect of expression *of virE3* in yeast is very limited (data not shown). As plants are considered to be the natural hosts of *Agrobacterium* and the transformation of plants by *Agrobacterium* in general is more efficient than that of yeast, in this chapter the effect of expression of *virE3* and *virF* on the genome-wide transcription profile of the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* was investigated. To this end, expression cassettes for both genes with a tamoxifen-inducible promoter were integrated into the *A. thaliana* genome and the effect on the genome-wide transcription profile was investigated using RNA sequencing. The results show that expression of VirE3 affects the expression of many host genes, suggesting that VirE3 is indeed able to affect the host cell's transcription machinery.

Material and Methods

Binary constructs and plant transformation

The Agrobacterium virE3 and virF coding sequences were amplified by PCR from plasmid 34VN-VirE3 (kindly provided by Philippe Sakalis) and pTOPO[virF] (see chapter 3), respectively, using Phusion[™] High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase. For virE3, the oligonucleotides 5'- aaagcggccgcaaatggtgagcactacgaagaaa-3' and 5'-aaagcggccgcttagaaacctctggaggtgga-3' were used. For virF. the oligonucleotides 5'-aaagcggccgcaaatgagaaattcgagtttgcgtg-3' and 5'-aaagcggccgctcatagaccgcgcttgatcga-3' were used. PCR fragments were inserted into the CloneJET[™] PCR Cloning vector (Fermentas) as recommended by the manufacturer, yielding pJET1.2 [virE3] and pJET1.2 [virF]. DNA fragments containing *virE3* or *virF* were obtained by digestion of pJET1.2 [virE3] or pJET1.2 [virF], respectively, with Notl restriction enzyme and were cloned into the binary vector pGPINTAM-Not (Friml et al, 2004), digested with Notl, producing pGPINTAM-Not-VirE3 (pSDM3480) and pGPINTAM-Not-VirF (pSDM3478). All PCR fragments were verified by sequencing before using them for plasmid constructions. Correct ligation was checked by restriction analysis and by sequencing the junctions between the plasmids and DNA fragments.

The binary vectors pGPINTAM-Not-VirE3, pGPINTAM-Not-VirF and pGPINTAM-Not (as a negative control) were introduced into A. tumefaciens strain AGL1 by triparental mating (Ditta et al. 1980). Arabidopsis plants (Columbia, Col-0) were transformed using the floral dip method (Clough & Bent, 1998). Dipped plants were grown at 21°C in a growth chamber (16h light/ 8h dark, 2500 lux) for approximately 2 months until seeds could be harvested. Seeds were surface-sterilized by incubation for 1 minute in 70% ethanol and for 20 minutes in 1% hypochlorite, followed by six rinses with sterile water. Then, the seeds were resuspended in 0.1% agarose and stored at 4 °C for 4 days. To select for transgenic plants, seeds (1 g) were plated on ten plates of ½ MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) containing 100 mg/L timentin, 50 mg/L Kanamycine and 100 mg/L nystatin and incubated at 21°C in a growth chamber for 14 days. The seedlings were transferred to soil and grown until new seeds could be harvested. Then, seeds were taken from 3 virE3 plants, 5 virF plants and 6 control plants and stored to be used for further experiments. An aliquot of the seeds was germinated as described above and after 10 days DNA was extracted from leaf samples as described by Sylvia de Pater (de Pater et al, 2009). T-DNA integration was checked by PCR using *Phusion™ High-Fidelity* DNA Polymerase. For transgenic virE3 plants, the primers 5'-gtacccggggatctgtcgacctcgatcgagat-3' and 5'- aaagcggccgcttagaaacctctggaggtgga -3' were used. For transgenic plants. the primers 5'-gtacccggggatctgtcgacctcgatcgagat-3' virF and 5'-aaagcggccgctcatagaccgcgcgttgatcga-3' used. For were transgenic control plants, the primers 5'-gtacccggggatctgtcgacctcgatcgagat-3' and 76

5'-agtcgactcatagaccgcgcgttgatcgaggt-3' were used.

RNA extraction and RT-PCR analysis

Transgenic seeds were germinated as described above and after 10 days, 15 to 20 seedlings were transferred to a 50 ml polypropylene tube containing 10 ml liquid $\frac{1}{2}$ MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) without antibiotics. Tubes were incubated at 21 °C at 120 rpm for four additional days. Treatments with tamoxifen were performed by adding 10 µl of 10 µM (+/-)-tamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich, T5648-1G) dissolved in DMSO. In control incubations 10 µl of DMSO was added. Four hours after the addition of tamoxifen or of DMSO the plantlets were rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen.

For RNA isolation, the frozen plantlets were ground under liquid nitrogen in a TissueLyser II (Qiagen^R). RNA was isolated with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), using the optional on-column DNAse treatment. RNA samples were stored at -80°C. For RT-PCR analysis, 1 µg RNA of each sample was first treated again with DNase I (Ambion^R), in the presence of 0.5 µl RNasin (Promega^R) in a total volume of 10 µl. Subsequently, 0.5 µg treated RNA of each sample was used for Oligo (dT)-primed cDNA synthesis, using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega^R) according to the protocol recommended by the supplier. In a control reaction the reverse transcriptase was omitted. The reaction mix was diluted 10-fold in water and 2.5 µl was used for PCR analysis in a total volume of 25 µl using Phusion[™] High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase. For analysis of virE3 expression, the primers 5'-aaaactagtatggtgagcactacgaagaa-3' 5'-aaagaattcgaaacctctggaggtggaacg-3' were used; for analysis of and virF expression the primers 5'-aaggatccatgagaaattcgagtttgcgtgatg-3' and 5'-aagtcgactcatagaccgcgcgttgatcgaggt-3' were used; for analysis of ROC expression the primers 5'-gaacggaacaggcggtgagtc-3' and 5'-ccacaggcttcgtcggctttc-3' were used.

Illumina mRNA-seq library preparation and sequencing

Illumina mRNA-seq libraries were generated and sequenced by BaseClear BV (Leiden, The Netherlands). mRNA was used as input for the Illumina TruSeq RNA sample preparation kit v2 with adaptations. The mRNA fraction was purified from total RNA by polyA capture, fragmented and converted to double-stranded cDNA using random hexamers. DNA adapters including sample-specific barcodes were ligated to both ends of the DNA fragments and subjected to PCR amplification. The resultant libraries were checked on a Bioanalyzer (Agilent) and quantified. The libraries were multiplexed, clustered, and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 (TruSeq v3 chemistry) with a single-read 50 cycles sequencing protocol and indexing. The sequencing run was analyzed with the Illumina CASAVA pipeline (v1.8.2), with demultiplexing based on sample-specific barcodes. Sequence reads of low quality (only "passing filter" reads were selected) and reads

containing adaptor sequences or PhiX control sequences (with an in-house filtering protocol) were removed.

Data processing

Raw sequencing data were processed using CLC Genomic Workbench version 4.6. Processed reads were aligned to the *A. thaliana* annotated coding sequences (TAIR v10; www.arabidopsis.org). Absolute reads were normalized to reads per kilo base per million to compensate for the difference in total reads obtained per sample. Expression data were processed using Microsoft Excel.

q-RT-PCR analysis

To verify the differential gene expression detected by RNA-sequencing, quantitative RT-PCR was performed using a DNA Engine Thermal Cycler (MJ Research) equipped with a Chromo4 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad) with SYBR Green I (SYBR[®] Green I Nucleic Acid Gel Stain, 10,000X concentrate in DMSO, S-7563, Invitrogen) as the fluorescent detection dye. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 0.5 µg of DNase I –treated RNA, as described above. A dilution series (1:6 to 1: 1296) of the cDNA was made and 5 µl of the diluted cDNA was used for gPCR analysis in a total volume of 25 µl using 0.1 ul Phusion™ High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase plus 1.25 ul 20X SYBR Green I stock solution. Specific primers were designed for reference and target-genes using the Primer 3 online software (http://biotools.umassmed.edu/bioapps/primer3 www.cgi) to generate specific fragments of around 150 bp. The primers used are listed in Table 1. For all gPCR reactions the conditions were: 98°C for 3min, followed by 40 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 20 s, and 72 °C for 20 s. The fold-change in transcript levels following induction by tamoxifen was calculated using the following equation: (primer efficiency) $^{\Delta Ct}$, where ΔCt is the number of PCR amplification cycles to reach the threshold in non-induced sample minus the number of PCR amplification cycles to reach the threshold in induced samples. The efficiency was determined using a serial dilution of the cDNA from one of the induced samples.

Results

Construction of transgenic plants expressing virE3 or virF

In order to investigate whether the *Agrobacterium* virulence proteins VirE3 and VirF influence the host cell's transcriptional machinery, T-DNA constructs were made containing the coding sequences of *virE3* or *virF* under control of a tamoxifen-inducible promoter. These constructs were integrated into the *A. thaliana* genome by *Agrobacterium*-mediated floral dip transformation (see Materials and Methods). In addition, control lines lacking sequences coding for virulence proteins were generated. Three independent lines of the plants with

virE3 and five independent lines of plants with *virF* and six of the control plants were selected for further analysis. To this end, seedlings from each line were grown on solid medium for 10 days, transferred to liquid medium, grown for an additional four days and subsequently tamoxifen was added to half of the seedlings. Induction of the virulence genes did not result in an obvious effect on the morphology in any of the plant lines (data not shown). Four hours after the addition of tamoxifen, RNA was isolated from the plantlets and analyzed for the expression of *virF* and *virE3* by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. As shown in Figure 1, in all three lines containing *virE3* coding sequences *virE3* RNA was detected, only after addition of tamoxifen. In the control lines and in the lines with *virF* coding sequences *virE3* RNA could not be detected, whereas the *A. thaliana* ROC RNA could easily be found. Similarly, *virF* RNA was detected in the lines containing *virF* coding sequences after addition of tamoxifen and not in the other lines (Figure 1).

Primer	Gene description	Sequence
At4g38740-F	Cytosolic cyclophilin ROC1	5'AGAGAAAGGTGTTGGCGGTA3'
At4g38740-R	Cytosolic cyclophilin ROC1	5'TCGAACTTGCTCCCGTAGAT3'
At3g18780-F	Actin2	5'GCAGAGCGGGAAATTGTAAG3'
At3g18780-R	Actin2	5'CAGCACCAATCGTGATGACT3'
At5g12250-F	BETA-6 TUBULIN	5'TGGCAAGATGAGCACAAAAG3'
At5g12250-R	BETA-6 TUBULIN	5'TGTCGATGCCATTGAGAGAC3'
At5g60390-F	GTP binding Elongation factor Tu	5' TGGTGACGCTGGTATGGTTA3'
	family protein (EF1)	
At5g60390-R	GTP binding Elongation factor Tu	5' TCCTTCTTGTCCACGCTCTT3'
	family protein (EF1)	
At5g54720-F	Ankyrin repeat family protein	5'CGACGGAAACACTCCTCTTC3'
At5g54720-R	Ankyrin repeat family protein	5'ACAGCGACGTGATTGTTGAG3'
AT5G13320-F	Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein	5'TGAGTCAAGCGAAGCTCGTA3'
AT5G13320-R	Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein	5'CTCAAACTGTGCCGTCTCCT3'
AT4G19925-F	Toll-Interleukin-Resistance (TIR)	5'AGCCTAGCTTCACGTCAGGA3'
	domain family protein	
AT4G19925-R	Toll-Interleukin-Resistance (TIR	5'CAACCGAAGCAGAAAGAAGC3'
	domain family protein	
AT1G19250-F	Flavin-dependent monooxygenase 1	5'CTAAGCCGGCTAGTGGATGA3'
AT1G19250-R	Flavin-dependent monooxygenase 1	5'CAGCATGTTGGATGCTGAAC3'

 Table 1. Primers for q-PCR analysis



Figure 1. Analysis of tamoxifen-dependent expression of *virE3*, *virF* and ROC in transgenic *A*. *thaliana* lines by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. TAM: tamoxifen. Numbers identify the different plant lines analyzed.

Transcriptome analysis by RNA-seq

To investigate the effect of VirE3 and VirF on the genome-wide transcription profile, one line expressing *virE3* (line 1), one line expressing *virF* (line 5) and one control line (line 3) were selected and the RNA from tamoxifen-induced and non-induced plantlets was analyzed by Illumina RNA sequencing. An overview of the results is shown in Table 2. The obtained sequences were aligned to the *A. thaliana* annotated coding sequences (TAIR v10 www.arabidopsis.org) to obtain information on the genome-wide transcription profile. In this way, alignment was observed to 25782 *A. thaliana* annotated genes. The number of reads aligning to each of the *A. thaliana* genes is shown in the supplementary Table S1.

From our RNA sequencing data it is clear that the expression levels of the various *A. thaliana* genes differ considerably. The five genes with the highest RNA levels in the non-induced control plants are: CAB1 (AT1G29930; chlorophyll A/B binding protein 1), RBCS1A (AT1G67090, ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain 1A), MT2B (AT5G02380, metallothionein 2B), AT2G43150 (Proline-rich extensin-like family protein) and CWLP (AT3G22120, cell wall-plasma membrane linker protein), with expression levels of 7381, 4318, 2872, 2711 and 2673 reads per million per kilobase, respectively. 19,202 genes had an expression level of more than 1 read per million per kilobase.

Sample	Plant line	Tamoxifen induction	Number of Reads	Sequenced bases (in MB)	Average Quality Scores (Phred)
1	Control (3)	-	28,665,911	1,461	37.12
2	Control (3)	+	38,869,998	1,982	37.09
3	virE3 (1)	-	30,089,370	1,534	37.15
4	VirE3 (1)	+	27,040,112	1,379	37.04
5	VirF (5)	-	33,742,133	1,720	37.10
6	VirF (5)	+	24,323,529	1,240	37.18

Table 2. Overview of Illumina sequencing of RNA isolated from tamoxifen-induced and non-induced *A. thaliana* seedlings expressing *virE3* or *virF*.

Transcriptional changes after 4 hours of virE3 or virF gene expression

Comparison of the expression profile of tamoxifen-induced and non-induced plantlets showed that in the control plantlets tamoxifen-treatment resulted in a more than 2-fold higher RNA level of 665 genes and a more than 2-fold lower RNA level of 465 genes. Similarly, induction of *virF* resulted in a more than 2-fold higher RNA level of 558 genes and a more than 2-fold lower RNA level of 558 genes and a more than 2-fold lower RNA level of 331 genes. The effect of *virE3* induction is much larger: for 1324 genes RNA levels increased more than two-fold and for 725 genes RNA levels decreased more than two-fold. A more detailed overview of the number of genes affected in the *virE3*, *virF* and control lines is shown in Figure 2.

As tamoxifen treatment of the control plantlets already had a considerable effect on the transcription profile, the effect of the expression of *virE3* and *virF* on the transcription profile may be masked by the effect of tamoxifen. Therefore, we corrected the effects of *virE3* and *virF* expression for the effects of tamoxifen in the control plantlets. After this correction, 1472 genes were found to be upregulated more than two-fold and 1310 genes were down-regulated more than two-fold upon induction of *virE3*. After correction, Induction of *virF* expression resulted in up-regulation of 136 genes and down-regulation of 172 genes. The 50 most up-regulated genes and the 50 most down-regulated genes after induction of *virF* are listed in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. The 50 most up-regulated genes and the 50 most down-regulated genes after induction of *virE3* are listed in Tables 5 and 6, respectively.



A Numbers of up-regulated genes in transgenic virE3, virF and control plant lines

B Numbers of down-regulated genes in transgenic virE3, virF and control plant lines



Figure 2. Graphical representation of the numbers of genes that have a more than two-fold higher or lower RNA level after induction by tamoxifen compared to the non-induced controls in transgenic *virE3*, *virF* and control plant lines. A, numbers of up-regulated genes; B, numbers of down-regulated genes. The number of induced genes was calculated by TE/NE, TF/NF and TC/NC, see Table S1.

To obtain information on the genes affected by expression of *virE3* or *virF* we analyzed the 50 most up-regulated and 50 most down-regulated genes for the biological process in which they are involved, their molecular function and the cellular component in which their gene products are predicted to localize, using Superviewer (Provart & Zhu, 2003) and the data available at the TAIR database (www.arabidopsis.org). In figure 3 the number of genes found in each class corrected for the total number of *A. thaliana* genes in that class is given. This analysis shows that genes up-regulated by *virE3* expression are highly enriched for genes involved in signal transduction, stress response and response to

biotic and abiotic stimuli. On the other hand, genes involved in DNA and RNA metabolism are underrepresented. Interestingly, genes down-regulated by *virE3* expression are highly enriched for genes encoding ribosomal proteins. The genes affected after expression of *virF* are not clearly enriched for a specific class.

Validation of RNA-seq data by q-RT-PCR analysis

To verify the differential gene expression detected by RNA-sequencing, four genes found to be highly induced upon *virE3* expression, were selected for analysis by quantitative RT-PCR. The effect of tamoxifen-induced *virE3* expression on the expression of these four selected genes was determined not only in the plant line (line 1) that was used for RNA-seq analysis, but also in two independent transgenic lines (lines 3 and 4) containing *virE3* under control of a tamoxifeninducible promoter. As shown in Table 7, qRT-PCR analysis confirmed that these four selected genes were strongly up-regulated upon *virE3* expression. This upregulation was also found in the other two independent plant lines, indicating that the effect of *virE3* on the expression of these four genes is not an effect restricted to one plant line.

Genes	Fold-change fou	nd by RNA-Seq	Fold-change	e found by qRT-	PCR analysis
	Fold-change Line 1 (TE/NE) ^a	Fold-change relative to control line (TE/NE)/(TC/ NC) ^a	Line 1	Line 3	Line 4
Roc1	0.6	0.5	2.2	3.0	2.3
Actin2	1.1	1.4	4.2	4.7	3.4
Beta-6 Tubulin	1.1	1.2	3.8	3.1	2.5
EF1	1.0	0.9	1.1	1.5	1.2
At5g54720	381	657	49	15	49
AT5G13320	243	72	104	164	38
AT4G19925	353	68	464	261	582
AT1G19250	92	52	74	203	90

Table 7. Analysis by qRT-PCR of the expression levels of four genes (At5g54720, AT5G13320,AT4G19925 and AT1G19250) found by RNA-seq to be up-regulated upon virE3 expression and offour control genes (Roc1, Actin2, Beta6-Tubulin and EF1).

a: TE, expression level (reads per kilobase per million) in induced *virE3* plants; NE, expression level (reads per kilobase per million) in non-induced *virE3* plants. TC, expression level (reads per kilobase per million) in induced control plants. NC, expression level (reads per kilobase per million) in non-induced control plants.

Discussion

During AMT the virulence proteins VirE3 and VirF are translocated from the bacterium into the host cell. Here, they may disturb transcription to facilitate the transformation process and/or disrupt the host cells defense system. To investigate this possibility, we inducible expressed virE3 and virF in A. thaliana and determined the effect on the genome-wide transcription profile using RNA sequencing. As described in Chapter 3, we performed similar experiments in veast. From these experiments we were unable to obtain evidence for a role of VirF in AMT of yeast. We showed that the effect of expression of *virF* on the genome-wide transcription profile was very minor. RNA levels of none of the veast genes were more than 1.6-fold changed. Also the effect of expression of virE3 in yeast is very limited (data not shown). As plants are considered to be the natural hosts of Agrobacterium and the transformation of plants by Agrobacterium in general is more efficient than that of yeast, in this Chapter the effect of expression of virE3 and virF on the genome-wide transcription profile of the plant A. thaliana was investigated. To this end expression cassettes for both genes with a tamoxifen-inducible promoter were integrated into the A. thaliana genome and the effect on the genome-wide transcription profile was investigated using RNA sequencing. These studies showed that expression of virE3 had a considerable effect on the genome-wide transcription profile. RNA levels of 1324 genes were more than two-fold increased and that of 725 genes were more than two-fold decreased after induction of virE3 expression. After correction of the effect of tamoxifen on the control lines, 1472 and 1310 genes were found to be up- or down-regulated, respectively. Previously, we showed that VirE3 interacts with pBrp, a plant specific general transcription factor of the TFIIB family (García-Rodríguez et al, 2006). This may explain the effects of expression of virE3 on the A. thaliana transcriptome. However, more research is needed to find out whether the effects of virE3 expression are caused by the interaction with pBrp. The observation that many A. thaliana genes are affected by expression of *virE3* may imply that VirE3 plays a role in disturbing transcription in the host cell. However, it has to be kept in mind that we only analyzed one plant line and performed RNA sequencing on one RNA preparation. On the other hand, for four genes we showed that the effect is not restricted to one plant line (Table 7), strengthening the conclusion that VirE3 affects transcription. However, further research is needed to draw conclusions on the exact role of VirE3 in transcriptional regulation.

The effect of expression of *virF* was considerably lower. Induction of *virF* expression resulted in a more than two-fold increase in the RNA levels of 558 genes and a more than two-fold decrease of the RNA levels of 331 genes. After correction of the effect of tamoxifen on the control lines, 136 and 172

genes were found to be up- or down-regulated, respectively. It has been reported that VirF may target VirE2 and VIP1 for proteosomal degradation thus uncoating the VirE2—VIP1—T-DNA complex enabling T-DNA integration (Tzfira *et al*, 2004). VIP1 is an *A. thaliana* transcription factor and expression of *virF* may result in an altered expression of genes regulated by VIP1. It has been shown that over-expression of VIP1 resulted in an increased expression of four genes: AT4G19230 (CYP707A1), AT5G45340 (CYP707A3), AT1G69880 (TH8) and AT5G67300 (MYB44) (Pitzschke *et al*, 2009; Tsugama *et al*, 2012). However, RNA levels of none of these genes were affected by *virF* expression. This may indicate that either VIP1 is not a target of VirF or that VIP1 is only degraded in a VirF-dependent way when complexed to T-DNA and VirE2. Furthermore, an effect of VirF on VIP1-dependent transcription may only be seen after activation of VIP1 by MPK3-dependent phosphorylation induced by *Agrobacterium* infection and subsequent translocation to the nucleus (Djamei *et al*, 2007).

Our analyses showed that genes up-regulated by *virE3* expression are highly enriched for genes involved in signal transduction, stress response and response to biotic and abiotic stimuli (Figure 2). On the other hand, genes involved in DNA and RNA metabolism are underrepresented. Interestingly, genes down-regulated by *virE3* expression are highly enriched for genes encoding ribosomal proteins. The biological significance of these findings remains unclear.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC) and by the division Chemical Sciences (CW) of the Netherlands Organisation of Research (NWO), TOP grant number 700.56.303.

References

- Citovsky V, Kozlovsky S., Lacroix B, Zaltsman A, Dafny-Yelin M, Vyas S, Tovkach A & Tzfira T (2007) Biological systems of the host cell involved in Agrobacterium infection. *Cell Microbiol* **9:** 9-20
- Clough SJ & Bent AF (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J* **16:** 735-43
- Ditta G, Stanfield S, Corbin D & Helinski DR (1980) Broad host range DNA cloning system for gram-negative bacteria: construction of a gene bank of Rhizobium meliloti. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **77**: 7347-7351
- Djamei A, Pitzschke A, Nakagami H, Rajh I & Hirt H (2007) Trojan horse strategy in Agrobacterium transformation: abusing MAPK defense signaling. *Science* **318**: 453-456
- Friml J, Yang X, Michniewicz M, Weijers D, Quint A, Tietz O, Benjamins R, Ouwerkerk PBF, Ljung K, Sandberg G, Hooykaas PJ. ., Palme K & Offringa R (2004) A PINOID-dependent binary switch in apical-basal PIN polar targeting directs auxin efflux. *Science* **306**: 862-865
- García-Rodríguez FM, Schrammeijer B & Hooykaas PJJ (2006) The Agrobacterium VirE3 effector protein: a potential plant transcriptional activator. *Nucleic Acids Res* **34**: 6496-504

- Gelvin SB (2000) Agrobacterium and plant genes involved in T-DNA transfer and integration. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol **51:** 223-256
- Hooykaas, P.J.J and Beijersbergen AGM (1994) The virulence system of Agrobacterium tumefaciens. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **32:** 157-179
- Lacroix B, Vaidya M, Tzfira T & Citovsky V (2005) The VirE3 protein of Agrobacterium mimics a host cell function required for plant genetic transformation. *EMBO J* **24**: 428-437
- Murashige T & Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiol Plant* **15**: 473-497
- de Pater S, Neuteboom L., Pinas JE, Hooykaas PJ. & van der Zaal B. (2009) ZFN-induced mutagenesis and gene-targeting in Arabidopsis through Agrobacterium-mediated floral dip transformation. *Plant Biotechnol J* **7**: 821-835
- Pitzschke A, Djamei A, Teige M & Hirt H (2009) VIP1 response elements mediate mitogenactivated protein kinase 3-induced stress gene expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**: 18414-18419
- Pitzschke A & Hirt H (2010) New insights into an old story: Agrobacterium-induced tumour formation in plants by plant transformation. *EMBO J* **29**: 1021-1032
- Provart N & Zhu T (2003) A Browser-based Functional Classification SuperViewer for Arabidopsis Genomics. *Currents in Computational Molecular Biology*: 271-272
- Schrammeijer B, Risseeuw E, Pansegrau W, Regensburg-Tuink, T. J., Crosby,W. L. & Hooykaas PJJ (2001) Interaction of the virulence protein VirF of Agrobacterium tumefaciens with plant homologs of the yeast Skp1 protein. *Curr Biol* **11**: 258-262
- Tsugama D, Liu SK & Takano T (2012) A bZIP protein, VIP1, is a regulator of osmosensory signaling in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* **159**: 144-155
- Tzfira T, Vaidya M & Citovsky V (2004) Involvement of targeted proteolysis in plant genetic transformation by Agrobacterium. *Nature* **431:** 87-92
- Vergunst A., Schrammeijer B, den Dulk-Ras A, de Vlaam CM., Regensburg-Tuink TJ. & Hooykaas PJJ (2000) VirB/D4-dependent protein translocation from Agrobacterium into plant cells. *Science* **290:** 979-982
- Vogel AM & Das A (1992) Mutational analysis of Agrobacterium tumefaciens virD2: tyrosine 29 is essential for endonuclease activity. *J Bacteriol* **174:** 303-308

Table 3. The 50 most up-regulated genes after induction of virF. The fold up-regulation is calculated by dividing the ratio between the expression level in induced virF plants (TF) to the non-induced virF plants (NF) by the ratio between the expression level in induced control plants (TC) to the non-induced control plants (NC). TE, expression level in induced virE3 plants; NE, expression level in non-induced virE3 plants. RPKM, reads per kilobase per million. The data are filtered for genes of which the sum of the expression levels in the six samples is more than 15 RPKM.

H									
		VIRF UP-							
-	5110	reg.	ΤF	۲F	TC	S	Ħ	ШZ	Name/Description
Ľ	Subs	(TE/NE)/ (TC/NC)	RPKM	RPKM	RPKM	RPKM	RPKM	RPKM	
\triangleleft	r5G41612	7.1	6.4	1.7	1.5	2.7	7.0	3.9	Other RNA
A	r5G04630	6.4	23.8	0.0	26.5	0.1	4.2	0.2	Cytochrome P450, family 77, subfamily A, polynentide 9
A	L5G50630	5.1	8.7	5.4	2.9	9.2	9.7	6.1	Major facilitator superfamily protein
A	r4G04900	4.5	1.1	3.0	0.5	5.7	0.9	6.2	ROP-interactive CRIB motif-containing protein 10
	<u>13605950</u>	3.9	<u>48.5</u>	88.2	24.8	176.3	64.7	<u>159.2</u>	RmIC-like cupins superfamily protein
\triangleleft	I2G35945	3.9	3.7	1.9	1.4	2.8	3.5	5.4	Other RNA DNAI heat chack NI torminal domain
∢	T2G05250	3.8	6.1	10.0	2.0	12.3	11.1	9.3	DINAJ ITEAL SHOCK IN-LEHTITII AUUUTATI- CONTAINING DROTAIN
	T1G70440	3.5	2.0	2.1	1.5	5.5	2.8	4.9	Similar to RCD one 3
A	T2G27228	3.4	4.9	3.3	3.8	8.7	4.3	6.1	Conserved peptide upstream open reading frame 6
⊲	F4G04972	3.3	4.5	2.7	2.9	5.7	3.2	4.5	Unknown protein.
Þ	r3G50825	3.3	5.4	5.8	2.7	9.6	4.4	9.2	SnoRNA
\triangleleft	F1G67100	3.3	4.4	7.8	2.3	13.4	3.3	3.8	LOB domain-containing protein 40
∢	r1G56250	3.3	4.6	0.1	1.7	0.1	30.5	0.1	Phloem protein 2-B14
4	T3G22235	3.2	4.1	2.5	1.4	2.7	6.6	6.2	Unknown protein
⊲	T4G17098	3.2	3.9	1.6	2.8	3.8	3.7	2.5	Other RNA
\triangleleft	T4G05380	3.2	3.0	4.5	2.6	12.3	4.5	8.4	P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate
\triangleleft	TCG00560	3.2	2.6	2.7	1.7	5.4	3.4	0.6	Photosystem II reaction center protein L
∢	Т1G50390	3.2	3.9	3.3	2.9	8.1	2.9	4.0	PfkB-like carbohydrate kinase family
	T5G60180	3.7	15.8	0.3	15.4	0.8	2.2	0.1	protein Pumilio 19
	Т5645428	1 5	6.7	4.8	4.4	10.6	101	7 0	Conserved peptide upstream open reading
ן ז		1.0	4.0	D (r (0.01	1.01	2	frame 24
4	T1G74080	3.1	3.3	0.9	1.8	<u>1.</u> 6	25.6	0.8	<u>Myb domain protein 122</u>
4	<u>13648640</u>	3.1	0.3 1	0.1	0.1	0.2	34.8	0.7	Unknown protein
4		- ~	\ \ \	5	4.0		Ŷ	×	

🕺 Table 3. Continued

	Name/Description	Mannose-binding lectin superfamily	Unknown protein	Uridine diphosphate glycosyltransferase 74E2	HXXXD-type acyl-transferase family protein	Arabinogalactan protein 41	Peroxidăse superfamily protein	SAUR-like auxin-responsive protein family	Unknown protein:	Protein binding	ELF4-like 3	Unknown protein:	WRKY DNA-binding protein 46	Mitochondrial F0-ĂTPase subunit 9	Lipid transfer protein 4	Unknown protein:	Plant U-box 24	Homeodomain-like superfamily protein	Mvb domain protein 50	Calmodulin like 43	Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein	VQ motif-containing protein	Flavanone 3-hvdroxvlase	Protein of unknown function (DUF506)	SNOR37-2: SNORNA	RAB GTPase homolog A6A	Disease resistance protein (CC-NBS-LRR	class) family	Unknown protein
	NE RPKM	9.2	0.9	5.1	7.1	4.4	11.2	1.2	4.0	1.5	3.7	13.3	6.7	3.6	13.2	6.1	2.2	4.0	6.4	8.0	3.8	2.8	6.4	5.0	3.6	3.6	2.8	2	C (C)
	TE RPKM	8.9	20.6	11.0	4.4	0.8	11.9	2.0	3.0	1.6	2.2	15.4	131.6	6.1	26.7	5.0	17.0	3.5	13.4	11.9	9.6	3.7	4.7	5.5	2.1	1.5	3.1	:	5.6
	NC RPKM	11.0	1.0	10.2	11.4	6.0	6.9	3.1	4.0	4.4	4.7	22.5	7.9	9.4	39.9	5.5	2.6	3.4	10.2	7.1	4.3	4.8	9.3	9.0	3.5	2.9	4.6	2	3.9
	TC RPKM	2.9	2.0	7.5	6.6	1.6	0.8	1.6	1.4	2.1	2.0	105.9	9.5	4.7	11.9	2.5	1.8	2.2	4.0	2.6	3.3	2.7	2.8	3.8	2.6	1.8	1.5	2	2.6
	NF RPKM	7.1	0.5	2.6	5.3	3.0	6.4	3.6	2.2	3.0	2.4	9.2	3.1	3.5	35.1	1.8	1.9	3.1	4.9	5.7	3.0	3.1	6.0	4.3	1.2	2.1	2.3) i	1.9
	TF RPKM	5.6	3.2	5.6	8.9	2.4	2.1	5.3	2.2	4.0	2.9	120.8	10.4	5.0	29.2	2.3	3.6	5.4	5.3	5.5	6.2	4.6	4.8	4.7	2.4	3.3	1.9) i	3.3
	VirF Up- reg. (TF/NF)/	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	ò	2.6
	Locus	AT5G38540	AT5G46295	AT1G05680	AT5G47980	AT5G24105	AT3G03670	AT5G18080	AT5G03890	AT3G52770	AT2G06255	AT2G01023	AT2G46400	ATMG01080	AT5G59310	AT5G44306	AT3G11840	AT3G09600	AT1G57560	AT5G44460	AT4G37390	AT1G35830	AT3G51240	AT1G77145	AT4G15258	AT1G73640	AT1G58410) 	AT3G59880
-	Rank	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	2	50

control plants (NC) to the induced control plants (TC). TE, expression level in induced virE3 plants; NE, expression level in non-induced virE3 plants. RPKM, reads per kilobase per million. The data are filtered for genes of which the sum of the expression levels in the six samples is Table 4. The 50 most down-regulated genes after induction of virF. The fold up-regulation is calculated by dividing the ratio between the expression level in non-induced virF plants (NF) to the induced virF plants (TF) by the ratio between the expression level in non-induced more than 15 RPKM.

Name/Description	unknown protein	unknown protein	Thioredoxin superfamily protein	Integrase-type DNA-binding superfamily	photosystem II reaction center protein I	RmIC-like cupins superfamily protein	unknown protein	PSAJ -	P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate	hydrolases supertamily protein	tvrosine aminotransferase 3	beta glucosidase 28	U60.1F: snoRNA	Glutathione S-transferase family protein	Acyl-CoA N-acyltransferases (NÁT)	monogalactosvl diacvigivcerol svnthase 3	B-cell receptor-associated protein 31-like	Vacuolar iron transporter (VIT) family protein Print.odf	glutamine-dependent asparagine synthase 1	transposable element gene	Unknown protein	SAUR-like auxin-responsive protein family	Bifunctional inhibitor/lipid-transfer protein/	Arabidopsis phospholipase-like protein (PEARLI 4) family
NE RPKM	0.8	6.5	0.4	0.0	10.2	4.4	0.3	13.4	1.2	7 6	3.7	0.4	1.4	7.9	6.1	6.0	4.7	3.6	0.4	15.1	5.2	9.7	11.2	0.7
TE RPKM	16.1	2.2	14.7	4.4	2.1	5.0	13.4	9.6	4.8	2 Г	73.9	4.5	2.1	4.0	3.0	2.9	2.6	14.6	6.6	3.0	1.6	4.5	0.8	20.8
NC RPKM	0.1	1.2	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.5	0.5	1.9	2.6	0.6	0.0	0.4	2.5	2.3	1.2	0.5	1.9	1.4	0.3	2.5	1.6	3.9	11.5	0.4
TC RPKM	0.5	7.4	1.2	7.6	7.7	2.7	14.7	16.4	7.4	3 7	10	4.6	6.0	12.7	4.6	5.9	5.0	30.0	5.9	9.6	2.4	5.6	2.4	1.0
NF RPKM	0.4	3.8	0.2	0.3	3.7	2.4	1.0	9.8	7.0	76	0. 10	2.7	5.9	3.7	7.7	2.1	4.7	4.3	1.4	12.0	5.4	8.4	10.6	0.8
TF RPKM	0.2	2.7	0.5	5.8	5.5	1.8	9.6	13.6	3.6	76	о i u	6.3	3.0	4.4	6.5	5.4	2.8	21.6	6.2	11.2	2.1	3.1	0.6	0.5
VirF Down- reg. (NF/TF)/ (NC/TC)	9.9	0.0	7.9	7.8	7.7	7.1	7.1	6.1	5.6	с 7	10 10	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8
Locus	AT2G04495	AT4G30662	AT1G28480	AT1G33760	ATCG00080	AT5G39130	AT3G09280	ATCG00630	AT3G60961	ΔΤ1 G69050	AT7G74850	AT2G44460	AT5G52471	AT1G19550	AT2G39030	AT2G11810	AT3G20450	AT3G25190	AT3G47340	AT1G03420	AT5G01881	AT4G38825	AT5G46900	AT4G38560
Rank	1	7	m	4	сл Г	و	2	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24

∇
Ð
Ē.
0
C
4
Ð
Ξ
Tal
90

Name/Description	ChaC-like family protein	UNKNOWN DIOTEIN	RING/II-hox superfamily protein	NAC domain containing protein 19	Remorin family protein	NAC domain cóntaining protein 42	Heavy metal transport/detoxification	Plant defensin 1.3	Unknown protein	SPFH/Band 7/PHB domain-containing	Unknown protein	Response to low sulfur 3	Unknown protein	Proline-rich family protein	Unknown protein	<u>Encodes a defensin-like (DEFL) family protein.</u>	Pant derensin 1.20	Dhloem nrotein 2-813	HPT phosphotransmitter 4	SnoRNA	Unknown function	HSP20-like chaperones superfamily protein	Glutathione S-transferase family protein	C2H2 and C2HC zinc fingers superfamily protein	
NE RPKM	2.2	0.8	17.1	2.3	4.6	0.4	2.9	38.7	2.1	0.3	0.3	3.4	3.0	1.4	5.4	55.5	9.10	0.01	1.7	22.2	2.2	3.2	5.1	6.9	
TE RPKM	7.2	38./	200	5.9	1.1	16.7	3.0	54.1	10.0	71.3	12.2	10.3	2.0	0.9	2.5	57.6	0.4 0.4	7.0 7.0 1	4.5	12.1	4.7	7.2	1.2	3.5	
NC RPKM	1.5 2	1.0	1.0	1.5	4.7	0.3	2.4	47.4	0.6	0.1	0.4	1.8	1.4	0.6	0.3	32.1	7. 7. 7.	0.0	0.5	6.7	6.0	0.8	3.5	2.9	
TC RPKM	<u>16.2</u>	1.4	0.4	2.9	3.3	2.4	4.7	58.1	4.3	0.4	1.9	12.4	3.9	10.7	2.7	67.7	8.4 0.4	0.71	6.8	14.6	3.2	10.0	2.3	6.0	
NF RPKM	7.8	0.0	C.01	3.2	2.9	0.7	4.7	86.4	1.6	0.4	1.1	5.9	4.0	1.2	2.0	115.5	0.0 1 ¹ 1	0.5.0	р С	14.0	2.9	2.3	5.1	6.8	
TF RPKM	21.6	7.7	0.1	1.7	0.6	1.8	2.7	31.1	3.6	0.4	1.7	12.3	3.4	6.7	5.1	77.0	4.3	111	2.5	10.0	3.5	9.3	1.1	4.8	
VirF Down- reg. (NE/TF)/ (NC/TC)	100	3./	2.7	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	7.7		1.	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Locus	AT5G26220		AT1G05880	AT1G52890	AT3G48940	AT2G43000	AT5G24580	AT7G76010	AT2G43390	AT5G25260	AT5G17350	AT3G49570	AT2G23690	AT1G31750	AT1G53541	AT3G05730	AIZGZ6UZU	AT1656740	AT3G16360	AT1G74453	AT4G35720	AT1G07400	AT3G62760	AT4G17810	
Rank	25	40	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	47	40	45	46	47	48	49	50	

Table 5. The 50 most up-regulated genes after induction of virE3. The fold up-regulation is calculated by dividing the ratio between the expression level in induced virE3 plants (TE) to the non-induced virE3 plants (NE) by the ratio between the expression level in induced control plants (TC) to the non-induced control plants (NC). TF, expression level in induced virF plants; NF, expression level in non-induced virF plants. RPKM, reads per kilobase per million. The data are filtered for genes of which the sum of the expression levels in the six samples is more than 15 RPKM.

KM Name/Description	Ankvrin repeat family protein	Unknown protein	Cysteine-rich RLK (RECEPTOR-like protein	kinase) 39		C2H2 and C2HC zinc fingers superfamily protein	Beta glucosidase 27	Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein	SPFH/Band 7/PHB domain-containing	membrane-associated protein family	Toll-Interleukin-Resistance (TIR) domain	family protein	Flavin-dependent monooxygenase 1	O-methyltransferase family protein	MAP kinase 11	Metacaspase 8	FAD-binding Berberine family protein	Alpha/beta-Hydrolases superfamily protein	Phloem protein 2-B13	Cysteine-rich RLK (RECEPTOR-like protein	Integrase 13	nrotein	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS class)	BON association protein 2	RING/U-box superfamily protein	Disease resistance protéin (TIR-NBS-LRR	class) family	Other RNA	DA1-related protein 3
RP	1.1	0.1	0.6	0	0.4	1.3	0.1	0.8	0.4		0.1	,	1.1	0.8	0.4	1.1	0.9	4.6	0.5	0.5	0	5	1.0	0.3	0.2	1.4	1	2.0	0.9
TF RPKM	0.8	0.3	0.5		0.1	с. С	1.2	1.9	0.4		1.1		3.4	7.7	1.0	0.8	3.4	3.0	6.5	0.5	60	0	3.2	2.0	0.1	1.3		1.6	1.6
NC RPKM	0.9	0.2	0.4		0.4	2.1	0.0	0.5	0.1	_	0.0		1.3	1.5	0.3	0.4	0.9	5.8	0.1	0.5	11	1	1.0	0.0	0.1	1.7		3.4	1.1
TC RPKM	0.5	0.1	0.5	0	0.0	3.0	6.0	1.6	0.4		0.3	0	2.3	8.4	0.7	0.3	3.1	2.1	2.7	0.7	11	i	2.1	0.4	0.4	1.5	1	1.7	0.9
NE RPKM	0.1	0.2	0.2		1.7	0.3	0.0	0.6	0.3		0.0	I	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.9	0.6	1.9	0.0	0.4	0.3	2	1.2	0.3	0.2	0.9	0	0.3	0.6
TE RPKM	35.7	34.8	42.7		22.9	48.9	31.9	135.0	71.3		16.6	0	63.3	142.0	37.0	27.8	91.9	28.2	52.1	23.6	12.2	1	89.2	109.6	22.5	27.7	(4.9	15.0
VirE3 Up- reg. (TE/NE)/ (TC/NC)	657.0	296.5	212.0		142.0	102.3	75.5	71.9	68.0		67.6		52.0	51.5	50.1	47.9	42.1	41.7	40.7	40.1	39,6)	38.1	37.2	36.6	33.4		32.5	32.3
Focus	AT5G54720	AT3G48640	AT4G04540		AI 162414/	AT3G46090	AT3G60120	AT5G13320	AT5G25260		AT4G19925		AT1G19250	AT1G21120	AT1G01560	AT1G16420	AT1G26380	AT1G30370	AT1G56240	AT4G23210	AT3G23230		AT1G66090	AT2G45760	AT1G05880	AT4G19520		AI3G22121	AT5G66640
Rank	1	2	3		4	ы	9	2	∞		6		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18)	19	20	21	22		23	24

ed	
ntinu	
5 .0	
Table	

-		_					_		_	_	_			_		_	_			_	_	_		_	_	_
Name/Description		Plant U-box 54	SPFH/Band 7/PHB domain-containing	membrane-associated protein family Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR	class) family Phloem protein 2-814	Myb domain protein 122	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR	class) family Unknown protein	Matrixin family protein	ulycosyl nyarolase tamily protein with chitingen insertion domain	Copper transport protein family	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR	Class) Tamily WRKY DNA-binding protein 59	Cytochrome P450, family 81, subfamily F,	polypeptide 2 Toll-Interleukin-Resistance (TIR) domain family profein	Ankvrin repeat family protein	Tyrosine phosphatasé family protein	NAC domain containing protein 90 Toll-Interleukin-Resistance (TIR) domain	family protein	Glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase 7	MALE ETTUX TAMILY protein Cam-hinding protein 60-like G	Cysteine-rich RLK (RECEPTOR-like protein	kinase) 36	Carbon/nitrogen Insensitive 1	ENIH/ANIH/VHS Supertamily protein	Peroxidase superfamily protein
NF	RPKM	0.3	6.0	1.0	0.1	6.0	0.8	0.6	0.5	л.	1.6	0.3	1.1	2.8	1.1	0.8	2.4	0.7 3.7	1	0.6 2	1.0	0.7	0	2.5	2.4	0.3
TF	RPKM	4.2	4.2 2.1	2.8	4.6	3.3	0.8	4.2	1.4	7.7	7.1	1.0	1.1	9.4	2.6	0.7	<u>6.1</u>	0.3 4.4	1	0.7	0.4	0.4	0	۲. ۲.	1,0	N./
NC	RPKM	0.5	c.n 2.0	1.0	0.1	1.6 5	0.8	0.7	0.3	Т.Т	2.3	0.2	0.5	2.5	0.6	0.6	2.1	0.3 4.6		0.0	4.U	0.6		4.1	2.0	U. 4
TC	RPKM	4.2	4.2 1.3	2.1	1.7	1.8	0.6	2.2	0.8	۲.Y	5.2	0.6	0.6	7.6	2.4	0.6	3.0	0.3 2.7		1.2	7.X	1.0	c I	7.7	1.1	<u></u> .ч
NE	RPKM	0.5	0.3 0.3	1.0	0.1	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.3	0.4	2.5	0.6	1.2	2.2	1.1	1.1	0.8	3.5 3.5		0.4	0.0 1	0.7	0	2.0	7.0	7.0
TE	RPKM	139.5	21.2	59.6	30.5	25.6 100.7	12.3	73.4	<u>18.2</u>	10.4	144.5	37.4	33.5	157.6	96.2	23.6	27.6	<u>18.2</u> 44.3		18.4	10.4 60.7	23.5	C I I	67.9	12.6 1	4T.5
VirE3 Up-	reg. (TE/NE)/ (TC/NC)	31.8	31.2	29.3	28.8	28.1 27.0	27.7	27.2	26.1	70.02	25.7	25.1	24.8	23.7	23.7	23.2	22.7	22.4	0	20.6	20.5	20.0	1	19./	19.1	<u>18.9</u>
Locus		AT1G01680	AT5G64870	AT5G41750	AT1G56250	AT1674080	AT5G58120	AT5G22520	AT1G24140	AI4619720	AT5G52760	AT4G11170	AT2G21900	AT5G57220	AT1G57630	AT1G10340	AT3G02800	A15622380 AT4G19920		AT5G06090	ALZGU4U/U	AT4G04490		AT562/420	AI 50 104 10	ALIG14540
Rank		25	26 26	27	28	29	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42		44	40	47		48	44	- -

control plants (NC) to the induced control plants (TC). TF, expression level in induced virF plants; NF, expression level in non-induced virF plants. RPKM, reads per kilobase per million. The data are filtered for genes of which the sum of the expression levels in the six samples is Table 6. The 50 most down-regulated genes after induction of virE3. The fold up-regulation is calculated by dividing the ratio between the expression level in non-induced virE3 plants (NE) to the induced virE3 plants (TE) by the ratio between the expression level in non-induced more than 15 RPKM.

Name/Description		Photosystem II reaction center protein I	Proline-rich family protein	Unknown protein	Transposable element gene	Unknown protein	Unknown protein	MIR834a; miRNA	Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase	17.6 kDa class II heat shock protein	GRAS family transcription factor	Unknown protein	SnoRNA	PSAJ	Glutathione S-transferase family protein	Other RNA	Unknown protein	Unknown protein	Other RNÁ	Unknown protein	Acyl-CoA oxidases; oxidoreductases,	acting on the CH-CH group of donors;	FAD binding; oxidoreductases; acyl-CoA	oxidases	Chloroplast-encoded 5S ribosomal RNA,	which is a component of the 50S large	subunit of the plastidic ribosome.	Transposable element gene	Unknown protein	SnoRNA	U4.2: SnRNA
NF RPKM		3.7	1.2	3.5	12.0	3.8	2.0	2.9	5.6	0.3	0.7	11.3	2.7	9.8	3.7	8.3	1.3	2.9	7.0	42.4	2.2				1.8			5.2	6.8	13.5	2.5
TF RPKM		5.5	6.7	5.6	11.2	2.7	5.1	6.7	0.6	23.1	9.3	115.7	5.2	13.6	4.4	66.6	1.6	6.8	5.4	106.5	5.5				2.2			9.6	13.1	13.9	2.3
NC RPKM		0.7	0.6	2.5	2.5	1.2	0.3	0.7	4.1	0.2	0.5	9.7	1.6	1.9	2.3	7.5	2.3	1.2	3.8	90.2	3.2				0.9			3.7	8.5	7.5	2.4
TC RPKM		7.7	10.7	6.4	9.6	7.4	2.7	3.9	0.5	17.9	11.2	133.6	2.4	16.4	12.7	91.9	4.1	5.8	8.4	188.4	6.7				2.3			3.2	13.4	16.4	4.7
NE RPKM		10.2	1.4	10.6	15.1	6.5	5.4	8.9	5.5	1.2	6.0	19.3	3.8	13.4	7.9	9.1	5.8	3.7	7.9	149.3	8.6				7.9			0.4	17.5	27.7	5.3
TE RPKM		2.1	0.9	1.3	3.0	2.2	2.5	3.0	0.0	9.4	1.7	19.5	0.4	9.6	4.0	11.4	1.1	2.0	2.0	35.9	2.1				2.3			0.0	3.4	7.5	1.3
VirE3 Down- reg.	(NE/TE)/ (NC/TC)	55.6	26.0	19.9	19.2	18.2	18.0	15.6	15.3	14.6	13.9	13.6	13.4	11.9	10.8	9.8	9.0	9.0	8.8	8.7	8.7				8.6			8.5	8.2	8.2	8.1
Locus		ATCG00080	AT1G31750	AT3G14452	AT1G03420	AT4G30662	AT1G53541	AT5G08210	AT2G18800	AT5G12020	AT4G08250	AT2G01008	AT3G27865	ATCG00630	AT1G19550	AT5G09443	AT1G68700	AT3G29633	AT1G04425	AT2G47115	AT3G06690				ATCG01160			AT3G42658	AT5G21910	AT2G05765	AT3G06900
Rank		1	2	m	4	5	9	7	ø	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20				21			22	23	24	25

0
Ū
_
_
Ŀ
Ē
0
\mathbf{O}
U
a 1
U.
_
<u> </u>
-
- /
0/1
74

							~													Τ		1		
Name/Description	U2.6; snRNA	Acyl-CoA N-acyltransferases (NAT)	Serine protease inhibitor, Kazal-type family	Protein SnoRNA	Unknown protein	2-oxoglutarate (20G) and Fe(II)-dependent	oxygenase superfamily protein GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase superfamily	Cvtochrome P450, family 77, subfamily A.	polypeptide 9	Uther KNA		Unknown protein.	Integral membrane protein hemolysin-III	homolog Heat shock nrotein 17.4	Prenvlated RAB acceptor 1.F4	Unknown protein	Other RNA	Unknown protein	<u>Tapetum specific protein TAP35/TAP44</u>	CLAVAIA3/ESK-related 2	Linknown nrotein	HPT phosphotransmitter 4	Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor family	protein
NF RPKM	9.7	7.7	2.1	2.9	11.5 7.0	1.2	0.1	0.0	l	11.5 0	11.U	5.4	5.3	0.6	2.6	3.6	3.7	5.0	3.9	10.3	28.6	1.5	4.2	
TF RPKM	18.0	6.5	2.8	7.7	12.2	4.4	12.7	23.8		4.1 17 0	3.8	61.7	8.0	15 Q	4.5	6.3	3.7	5.3	4.7	2.1	797	7.5	31.0	
NC RPKM	10.9	1.2	1.4	2.5	3.6 6 1	0.8	0.1	0.1	1	1./ 10.8	30.0	9.0	6.7	с С	3.7	4.9	1.7	5. 8.	2.1	12.5 0	0.80	0.5	4.5	
TC RPKM	31.3	4.6	5.1	7.4	12.0	3.4	14.0	26.5	0	3.3	6.5.0	102.0	11.7	18.3	7.5	7.6	4.6	6.0	6.0 0.0	3.b 15 0	5.0.1 1	6.8	37.0	
NE RPKM	27.6	6.1	3.2	8.5	17.9	3.5	0.1	0.2	0	3.9	5.4 V	7.3	11.0		9.1	14.3	4.1	9.3	5.2	10.3	60.8	1.7	5.5	
TE RPKM	9.9	3.0	1.5	3.3	7.8	2.0	2.7	4.2	,	1.1	18	12.9	3.1	7 3	3.0	3.6	1.9	2.5	1.7	0.X	74.5	4.5	8.3	
VirE3 Down- reg. (NE/TE)/ (NC/TC)	8.0	7.9	7.9	7.8	7.7	7.4	7.4	7.1	1	7.0 6 0	6.7	6.4	6.2	61	6.1	6.1	6.0	5.8	5.7	7.7	5.7	5.6	5.5	
Locus	AT3G56705	AT2G39030	AT4G01575	AT4G39361	AT1G53542	AT1G80320	AT1G28590	AT5G04630		A14631398 ATEG16160	ATMG01370	AT3G41762	AT4G38330	AT3G46730	AT3G13710	AT2G32235	AT2G46192	AT2G20480	A14G20420	A14618510	AT7643745	AT3G16360	AT1G49620	
Rank	26	27	28	29	30	32	33	34	L	35	75	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	4/ 48	49	50	

A. VirE3 Up-regulated genes Normalized Class Score (±bootstrap SD) 0

signal transduction response to abiotic or biotic stimulus other biological processes transport other metabolic processes other cellular processes protein metabolism developmental processes electron transport or energy pathways unknown biological processes unknown biological processes of the transcription and biogenesis electron transport or energy pathways unknown biological processes bulk or Rive biological processes unknown biological processes other cellular processes unknown biological processes other centry pathways unknown molecular trunctions other binding transcription factor activity bolk or Rive binding unknown molecular functions other cellular components other cellular components cytosol other components cytosol unknown cellular components cytosol unknown cellular components components unknown cellular components components cytosol unknown cellular components components cytosol unknown cellular components components cytosol unknown cellular components components cytosol unknown cellular components components cytosol unknown cellular components cytosol unknown cellular components cytosol unknown cellular components cytosol unknown cellular components cytosol unknown cellular components cytosol		······································	
 electron transport or energy pathways unknown biological processes DNA or RNA metabolism receptor binding or activity kinase activity nucleotide binding transferase activity transcription factor activity other molecular functions transcription factor activity other culturation additional processes other culturation additional processes additional processes<!--</td--><td>ological process</td><td>signal transduction response to abiotic or biotic stimulus other biological processes other metabolic processes other metabolic processes other cellular processes protein metabolism transcription, DNA-dependent developmental processes cell organization and biogenesis</td><td></td>	ological process	signal transduction response to abiotic or biotic stimulus other biological processes other metabolic processes other metabolic processes other cellular processes protein metabolism transcription, DNA-dependent developmental processes cell organization and biogenesis	
UNA Or KIVA metabolism receptor binding or activity kindse activity hinde or activity hinde or activity hinde of the binding transferase activity transcription tactor activity other enzyme activity other enzyme activity protein binding protein binding nucleular functions other molecular functions binding nucleic acid binding nucleic acid binding structural molecule activity other cellular components cell wall components cytosol unknown cellular components cytosol unknown cellular components cytosol	<u>B</u>	unknown biological processes	
other cellular competents Golgi apparatus other membranes nucleus cytosol other cytoplasmic components cytosol other intracellular components chloroplast mitochondria unknown cellular components chloroplast mitochondria	Molecular Function	DNA or RNA metabolism receptor binding or activity kinase activity nucleotide binding transferase activity transporter activity other enzyme activity other enzyme activity other enzyme activity transcription factor activity unknown molecular functions DNA or RNA binding nucleic acid binding structural projecule activity	
	Cellular component	other cellular components cell wall Golgi apparatus other membranes nucleus other cytoplasmic components extracellular other intracellular components mitochondria unknown cellular components iplastuo	





C. VirF Up-regulated genes



D. VirF down-regulated genes



Figure 3. Characterization of the genes up-regulated more than two-fold by expression of virE3 (A), down-regulated more than two-fold by the expression of virE3 (B), up-regulated more than two-fold by the expression of virF (C) and down-regulated more than two-fold by the expression of virF (D). The data are normalized for the total number of *A. thaliana* genes present in that class. Analyses were performed using Superviewer (Provart & Zhu, 2003) and the data available at the TAIR database (www.arabidopsis.org).