

### **Explanation and teleology in Aristotle's Philosophy of Nature** Leunissen, M.E.M.P.J.

### Citation

Leunissen, M. E. M. P. J. (2007, June 26). *Explanation and teleology in Aristotle's Philosophy of Nature*. Faculty of Philosophy, Leiden University. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/12093

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/12093

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Explanation and Teleology in Aristotle's Philosophy of Nature

Mariska Elisabeth Maria Philomena Johannes Leunissen

## EXPLANATION AND TELEOLOGY IN ARISTOTLE'S PHILOSOPHY OF NATURE

#### PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van de Rector Magnificus Prof. Mr. P.F. van der Heijden, volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties te verdedigen op dinsdag 26 juni 2007 klokke 11.15 uur

door

Mariska Elisabeth Maria Philomena Johannes Leunissen

geboren te Heerlen in 1979

#### PROMOTIECOMMISSIE:

Promotor:

Prof. dr. F.A.J. de Haas

Prof. dr. D. Charles (Oriel College, Oxford University)

Overige Leden:
Prof. dr. K.A. Algra (Universiteit Utrecht)
Dr. E.P. Bos
Prof. dr. R.J. Hankinson (University of Texas at Austin)
Dr. J.B.M. van Rijen

Καὶ γὰρ ἐν τοῖς μὴ κεχαρισμένοις αὐτῶν πρὸς τὴν αἴσθησιν κατὰ τὴν θεωρίαν ὅμως ἡ δημιουργήσασα φύσις ἀμηχάνους ἡδονὰς παρέχει τοῖς δυναμένοις τὰς αἰτίας γνωρίζειν καὶ φύσει φιλοσόφοις.

Aristotle, PA.I.5, 645a7-10

Cover: The Youth of Aristotle, c. 1875 Charles Degeorget (1857 - 1888) Paris. Musée d'Orsay. ©Kathleen Cohen frs04084 Shown at the Salon of 1875

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have guided, inspired, supported, and laughed with me during the four years I worked on my dissertation, both in Leiden and in Austin, and I would like to thank them all for a great experience.

My first debt of gratitude must go to the members of the Faculty of Philosophy at Leiden University, which has sponsored my doctoral work. I have especially appreciated the opportunities they have offered me to further develop my research and teaching skills both in Leiden and abroad, and their support for my job search in the U.S. Complying with the formal limits imposed on expressing one's gratitude in the foreword of a dissertation (see the Promotie Reglement), I would like to thank the following people: Lies Klumper, for being my guardian angel; Pauline Kleingeld, for her sound advice in many matters; Eric Schliesser, for volunteering to act as my placement officer; Marije Martijn, for kindly 'mentoring' me through the ups and downs of my project; and Wout Cornelissen, for coming back to Leiden and making the department more lively with his good-humor.

I would also like to thank the faculty and doctoral students of the Department of Classics at Leiden University: my decision to continue my studies in philosophy 'across the canal' never affected their hospitality towards me. Among them, I would like to single out two extraordinary people: Ineke Sluiter, who through the example of her endless energy and high standards for academic performance has inspired me to become the best scholar I possibly can and to continue setting new goals. Her work for the National Research School of Classical Studies (OIKOS) cannot be overestimated. Having been able to participate in the OIKOS graduate program has contributed significantly to my academic training as well as to my personal wellbeing. I would also like to thank Peter Stork, who is the best and kindest teacher of Ancient Greek I have ever had. He has always stimulated my interest in ancient philosophy and showed great support for my work. His generosity has opened doors for me that would have otherwise remained shut.

I have also been very fortunate to have had the opportunity to learn from and discuss my dissertation work with the members of the Joint Ancient Philosophy Program of the Department of Philosophy at the University of Texas at Austin. Special thanks are due to one person in particular who I cannot mention, but without whose support, friendship, and belief in me this dissertation would never have been completed. I am also grateful to Alex Mourelatos, Stephen White, and my fellow students and 'straight-thinkers' Mina Fei-Ting Chen, Alleyne Rogers, and Blinn Combs for providing a welcoming and stimulating environment for me in the Spring semesters of 2005, 2006, and 2007. In addition, I would like to thank the visiting students at the Department of Philosophy I met during my subsequent visits – Krist Vaesen, Katie Steele, and Nick Fawcett – for their friendship and philosophical companionship. I am grateful for the financial support I received for these visits from the Faculty of Philosophy at Leiden University, the Leiden University Fund, and OIKOS.

Finally, I would like to thank my family and friends: My parents, for always believing in me; my dearest friends: Carolien Trieschnigg, Joris Stolwijk, Juliette Kars, Maithe Hulskamp, Joyce Landheer, Susannah Herman, Michel Buijs, Pieter den Hollander, Robin Buning, Wouter Groen, Saskia Peels, Jacqueline Klooster, Frederik Bakker, and Casper de Jonge, for sharing in all my laughs and tears, and for their continuous support, I could not wish for better friends; Stéphanie Bakker, for taking care of Laika; and Richard Bemelmans, for starting me on my path of studying Aristotle.

My deepest expression of gratitude goes to Jeff, for his love, emotional support (e.g. dealing with my occasional grumpiness), and invincible optimism regarding the completion of my dissertation over the past few years. You mean the world to me.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRO	DUCTION		1
1	Aristotle's defense of natural teleology Setting the stage for teleological explanations in the <i>Physica</i>		
1.0	Introduc	ction	11
1.1	The the	ory of causal explanation of the <i>Physica</i>	12
1.1.1		ys to answer the question 'why?'	13
	1.1.1.a	Knowledge, explanation, and causation	13
	1.1.1.b	Nature defined by the four causes	17
1.1.2	Final cau	ises in causal explanations	23
	1.1.2.a	Types of final causes in Ph.II	23
	1.1.2.b	Material potentials and function	27
	1.1.2.c	The efficient cause as the producer of end products	28
	1.1.2.d	Forms are realized for the sake of activities	30
1.2	Three m	nodels of teleology: artistic production, deliberative action, and	32
	natural j	processes	
1.2.1	The anal	ogy between nature and art in teleological explanations	33
	1.2.1.a	Preliminary overview of Aristotle's uses of the concept of art in the <i>Physica</i>	33
	1.2.1.b	The importance of the teleological model of artistic production	34
	1.2.1.c	The four main points of analogy between the workings of art and nature	37
	1.2.1.d	The non-intentional model of art and nature	39
1.2.2	The telec	ological model of deliberative action	45
	1.2.2.a	Deliberative action contrasted to nature	45
	1.2.2.b	Deliberative action in the analysis of luck	47
1.3	Aristotle	e's defense of natural teleology	50
1.3.1		s involved in Aristotle's defense of natural teleology in Ph.II.8	52
	1.3.1.a	Teleology versus necessity; intrinsic versus incidental causation	52
	1.3.1.b	Problems in the analogy between rain and an Empedoclean theory of generation	56
1.3.2	The natu	are and scope of natural teleology	62
	1.3.2.a	An Empedoclean theory of the origin of species and the actions of nature according to Aristotle	62
	1.3.2.b	The Rainfall Example and the Scope of Natural Teleology	69
1.4	Conclus		80
2	ARISTOTLE'S BIO-FUNCTIONAL ACCOUNT OF THE SOUL		
	ESTABLI IN <i>DE A</i>	ISHING THE STARTING POINTS OF TELEOLOGICAL EXPLANATIONS  NIMA	
2.0	Introduc		85
2.1		gy in the analysis of the nature of the soul	88
2.1.1	Soul, fun	actions, and ends	88

	2.1.1.a	Teleological notions in the preliminary characterizations of the soul in $DA.I.1$	89	
	2.1.1.b	Function in Aristotle's account of the soul of a natural body in DA.II.1	98	
	2.1.1.c	Aristotle's conception of the soul as a final cause of natural bodies	106	
2.1.2		ian teleology versus modern functionalism	110	
	2.1.2.a	The problem of the unity of body and soul and modern functionalism	110	
	2.1.2.b	Does matter matter? Functions and definitions	113	
	2.1.2.c	The principle of homonymy	120	
	2.1.2.d	The conditionally necessary relation of function and matter	124	
	2.1.2.e	Functional analogy	133	
2.2	Teleolog	gy in the analysis of the capacities of the soul	137	
2.2.1		y and the general capacities of the soul	137	
	2.2.1.a	The hierarchy of the capacities of the soul	138	
	2.2.1.b	The teleology of the capacities of the soul	143	
2.2.2	Teleolog	y in the explanation of animal locomotion	155	
		x: Two types of teleological explanations of locomotion	165	
2.3	Conclus	7.	172	
3	EXPLAIN	NING PARTS OF ANIMALS	175	
J	THE PRA	ACTICE OF TELEOLOGICAL EXPLANATIONS IN ARISTOTLE'S		
	BIOLOGY	Y .		
3.0	Introduc		175	
3.1	Aristotle	s biological method	178	
3.1.1	Biology a	as a demonstrative science	178	
3.1.2	A prelim	inary picture of Aristotle's explanatory project in PA	183	
3.1.3	General o	outline of Aristotle's methodology in $PA$	196	
3.1.4	Aristotle'	's heuristic strategies in $PA$	202	
	3.1.4.a	Heuristic pattern I: identification of widest class	203	
	3.1.4.b	Heuristic pattern II: identification and grouping of correlating	206	
		differentiae		
3.2	Explana	tions in biology: references to form, matter, and function	209	
3.2.1		hys of classifying explanations in $PA$	209	
3.2.2		ion by reference to formal causes	211	
3.2.3		ion by reference to material causes	220	
3.2.4	Explanation by reference to final causes		220	
3.3	Teleological principles of explanation		242	
3.3.1		and function of principles in Aristotle's biology	242	
	3.3.1.a	Principles of 'balanced distribution'	245	
	3.3.1.b	Principles of 'economical assignment'	248	
	3.3.1.c	Principles of 'optimal production'	252	
3.3.2		ntific status of teleological principles	257	
3.4			263	
3.4.1				
3.4.2		cal discussions of teleology and necessity	263 268	
0.1.4	111010101	car discussions of telesions and necessity	200	

	3.4.2.a	Four types of necessity: unqualified, conditional, material, and enforced	268
	3.4.2.b	Aristotle's criticism of his materialist predecessors	285
3.4.3	Teleolog	y and necessity in the biological explanations of PA.II-IV	288
	3.4.3.a	Necessity is spoken of in many ways	288
	3.4.3.b	Primary teleology and conditional necessity	293
	3.4.3.c	Secondary teleology and material necessity	297
	3.4.3.d	Material necessity	303
3.5	Conclus	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	305
	Appendi	x: Typology of Parts and Explanations in PA	308
4	Making sense of the heavens The limits of teleological explanations in Aristotle's $\it De Caelo$		
4.0	Introduc	ction	309
4.1		gical principles and the explanation of the presence of gical features	312
4.1.1		gy as science of nature	312
4.1.2		on teleology in De Caelo	316
4.1.3		ng what is present in an empirically underdetermined domain	317
4.1.4		ne phenomena by the use of teleological principles	321
	4.1.4.a	Why there are several locomotions of the heavens	322
	4.1.4.b	Why the heavens move in the direction they do	326
	4.1.4.c	Why the heavenly bodies move with different complexities	330
4.2		gical principles and the explanation of the absence of gical features	335
4.2.1		ng what is absent in an empirically underdetermined domain	335
4.2.2		ng what is not there through the principle that nature does nothing in	338
	vain	8	
	4.2.2.a	Why there is no motion contrary to motion in a circle	339
	4.2.2.b	Why the heavenly bodies do not move on their own (or, why stars have no feet)	340
	4.2.2.c	Why the absence of the harmony of the spheres shows that heavenly bodies do not move on their own	348
4.3	Conclus		350
5		STRATING TELEOLOGY	353
		EORY OF TELEOLOGICAL EXPLANATIONS IN ARISTOTLE'S ICA POSTERIORA	
5.0	Introduc	etion	353
5.1		explanations, and middle terms	354
5.1.1		blem: the middle terms of the examples in APo.II.11 do not pick out all	354
	four caus		
5.1.2	The hypothesis: the causality of the explanation and of the explanatory middle term can be different		
5.1.3		antic distinction between hê aitia and to aition	358
5.2		s a new reading of APo.II.11	360

5.2.1	Making sense of the opening statement and the examples in APo.II.11		
5.2.2	Example	es of different types of explanations	361
	5.2.2.a	The example of material explanation	363
	5.2.2.b	The example of formal explanation	364
	5.2.2.c	The example of efficient explanation	365
	5.2.2.d	The example of final explanation	366
5.2.3	Teleolog	ical Explanations and what it means to metalambanein tous logous	368
	5.2.3.a	Why walking is for the sake of health	368
	5.2.3.b	Two possible interpretations of metalambanein tous logous	370
	5.2.3.c	Ends cannot be picked out by middle terms	374
5.3	Teleolog	gical explanations in theory and practice: evidence from PA	376
5.3.1	Demons	tration in the natural sciences and conditional necessity	376
5.3.2	The plac	e of final causes in actual teleological explanations	378
	5.3.2.a	The explanation of the presence of parts: final cause is subsumed under the formal cause	378
	5.3.2.b	The explanation of differentiations of parts: differentiae are causally basic	380
	5.3.2.c	The explanation of luxurious parts: secondary teleology	381
5.4	Conclus	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	383
	Appendi	x: Translation of Aristotle's <i>Analytica Posteriora</i> II.11, 94a20-94b26	385
6	Conclu	USION	387
Вівці	OGRAPHY		399
SAMEN	NVATTING		423
Curri	CULUM VI	TAE	433