Cover Page



# Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/20903</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Auvinen, Hanna Elina Title: Glucocorticoids, metabolic adaptations and recovery : studies in specific mouse models Issue Date: 2013-05-23

# 2

# EFFECTS OF HIGH FAT DIET ON THE BASAL ACTIVITY OF THE HYPOTHALAMUS-PITUITARY-ADRENAL AXIS IN MICE: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Hanna E. Auvinen Johannes A. Romijn Nienke R. Biermasz Louis M. Havekes Johannes W. A. Smit Patrick C.N. Rensen Alberto M. Pereira

Hormone and Metabolic Research 2011; 43: 899-906

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** Hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal-axis activity is suggested to be involved in the pathophysiology of the Metabolic syndrome. In diet-induced obesity mouse models, features of the Metabolic syndrome are induced by feeding high fat diet. However, the models reveal conflicting results with respect to the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal-axis activation.

**Aim:** To assess the effects of high fat feeding on the activity of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenalaxis in mice.

**Methods:** PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, the Cochrane database, and Science Direct were electronically searched and reviewed by 2 individual researchers

**Study selection:** We included only original mouse studies reporting parameters of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal-axis after high fat feeding, and at least one basal corticosterone level with a proper control group. Studies with adrenalectomized mice, transgenic animals only, high fat diet for less than two weeks, or other interventions besides high fat diet, were excluded. **Results:** Twenty studies were included. The hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal-axis evalution was the primary research question in only 5 studies. Plasma corticosterone levels were unchanged in 40%, elevated in 30%, and decreased in 20% of the studies. The effects in the peripheral tissues and the central nervous system were also inconsistent. However, major differences were found between mouse strains, experimental conditions, and the content and duration of the diets.

**Conclusion:** This systematic review demonstrates that the effects of high fat feeding on the basal activity of the Hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal-axis in mice are limited and inconclusive. Differences in experimental conditions hamper comparisons and accentuate the need for standardized evaluations to discern the effects of diet-induced obesity on the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal-axis.

### INTRODUCTION

The metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of metabolic abnormalities that identifies individuals at high risk for cardiovascular disease (1, 2). These metabolic abnormalities include abdominal obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and insulin resistance. The concept of the MetS is a subject of debate, because the pathophysiological basis of this syndrome is unclear (3). In addition, the combination of cardiovascular risk factors does not add to the risk related to the individual risk factors for cardiovascular disease (4). Nonetheless, these individual, well-recognized cardiovascular risk factors have all been associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the general population (1, 2, 4).

Several lines of evidence suggest that alterations in the activity of the hypothalamus-pituitaryadrenal (HPA)-axis may be involved in the development of the MetS. Glucocorticoids (GC) (cortisol in humans and corticosterone in rodents) are secreted by the adrenals in response to a stressor, in order to induce the required behavioral and metabolic adaptations to be able to adequately cope with the stressor (fight or flight). The metabolic effects of GC include both peripheral and central effects. Whereas the peripheral effects are directed towards recruitment of energy availability by reduction of energy stores for gluconeogenesis, the central effects of glucocorticoids are anabolic and directed towards augmentation of energy stores by adjusting feeding behavior and intake of palatable foods to compensate for the energy loss. As a consequence, increased cortisol exposure, like during chronic stress, will further increase insulin levels and food intake, facilitating the development of obesity and the MetS (5, 6).

This is first exemplified by patients with Cushing's syndrome (CS), a rare disorder caused by prolonged excessive exposure to glucocorticoids. Patients with CS have a phenotypical resemblance to and fulfill the criteria for, the MetS (7). These patients have a markedly increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (8), suggesting that excessive exposure to glucocorticoids is involved in the pathogenesis of MetS and central obesity. Second, patients with MetS show increased activity of the HPA-axis (9), but the underlying mechanisms are only partially understood (10). Third, manipulation of cortisol exposure at the tissue level through stimulation, or abrogation, of 11 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type-1 (11- $\beta$ -HSD-1) activity can increase, or regress, the fat accumulation in visceral depots, and well as other features of the MetS (11, 12).

In animal models of diet-induced obesity (DIO), common features of the MetS, like insulin resistance and obesity are easily induced by feeding of high fat diet (HFD). However, these experimental mouse models of obesity and the MetS reveal conflicting results with respect to whether the HPA-axis is activated. These discrepancies might be explained by methodological differences between the studies, including differences in rodent models. Therefore, the aim of the present systematic review was to critically compare the data available in mice on the effects of HFD feeding on basal, non-stressed activity of the HPA-axis, and to discuss possible explanations for the observed differences.

# **METHODS**

#### Search strategy

We performed a systematic search in PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, the Cochrane database, and Science Direct, for published studies on the association between HFD feeding and activity of the HPA-axis. The following search strategy was used: for PubMed: (*high fat diet OR high*)

lipid diet OR high fat diets OR high lipid diets OR western-type diet OR western-type diets OR "high-fat" OR Dietary Fats OR (diet-induced obesity)) AND (HPA-axis[tw] OR (("Hypothalamo-Hypophyseal System"[mesh] OR "Pituitary-Adrenal System"[mesh] OR hpa[tw]) AND axis[tw]) OR Hypothalamo-Pituitary-Adrenal[tw] OR Hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal[tw] OR alucocorticoid OR alucocorticoids OR corticosterone) AND (mice OR mouse); for EMBASE: ((high fat diet OR high lipid diet OR high fat diets OR high lipid diets OR western-type diet OR western-type diets OR "high-fat" OR Dietary Fats OR diet-induced obesity).mp OR exp fat intake/ OR exp lipid diet/) AND (hypothalamus hypophysis adrenal system/ OR exp glucocorticoid/ OR corticosterone/ OR (HPA-axis OR ((Hypothalamo-Hypophyseal OR Pituitary-Adrenal OR hpa) AND axis) OR Hypothalamo-Pituitary-Adrenal OR Hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal OR glucocorticoid\* OR corticosterone).mp) AND (exp mouse/ OR mice.af OR mouse.af); for Web of Science: TS=((high fat diet OR high lipid diet OR high fat diets OR high lipid diets OR western-type diet OR western-type diets OR "high-fat" OR Dietary Fats OR (diet-induced obesity)) AND (HPA-axis OR ((Hypothalamo-Hypophyseal OR Pituitary-Adrenal OR hpa) AND axis) OR Hypothalamo-Pituitary-Adrenal OR Hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal OR glucocorticoid OR glucocorticoids OR corticosterone) AND (mice OR mouse)); for Cochrane: (high fat diet OR high lipid diet OR high fat diets OR high lipid diets OR western-type diet OR western-type diets OR "high-fat" OR Dietary Fats OR (diet-induced obesity)) AND (HPA-axis OR ((Hypothalamo-Hypophyseal OR Pituitary-Adrenal OR hpa) AND axis) OR Hypothalamo-Pituitary-Adrenal OR Hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal OR glucocorticoid OR glucocorticoids OR corticosterone) AND (mice OR mouse); for ScienceDirect: TITLE((high fat diet OR high lipid diet OR high fat diets OR high lipid diets OR western-type diet OR western-type diets OR "high-fat" OR Dietary Fats OR (diet-induced obesity)) AND (HPA-axis OR ((Hypothalamo-Hypophyseal OR Pituitary-Adrenal OR hpa) AND axis) OR Hypothalamo-Pituitary-Adrenal OR Hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal OR qlucocorticoid OR glucocorticoids OR corticosterone) AND (mice OR mouse)); for Academic Search Premier: ((high fat diet OR high lipid diet OR high fat diets OR high lipid diets OR western-type diet OR western-type diets OR "high-fat" OR Dietary Fats OR (diet-induced obesity)) AND (HPA-axis OR ((Hypothalamo-Hypophyseal OR Pituitary-Adrenal OR hpa) AND axis) OR Hypothalamo-Pituitary-Adrenal OR Hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal OR glucocorticoid OR glucocorticoids OR corticosterone) AND (mice OR mouse)).

In addition, the references of relevant articles were checked for additional articles. The search was performed on January 27, 2011. Only original articles were included. We used the following exclusion criteria: adrenalectomized animals, transgenic animals only, duration of HFD of less than two weeks, and other interventions disabeling the interpretation of the effect of the HFD per se. In addition, studies were only included if 1) the effects of HFD were compared with a control group on standard chow or low fat diet (LFD), and 2) at least one basal (thus non-stressed) corticosterone sample was available for both groups.

#### **Data review**

The following data were extracted from each study: mouse strain, age and/or bodyweight at baseline, body weight gain, housing conditions, dietary intervention (duration and content of the diet (percentage of calories provided as fat)), and specific parameters of HPA-axis activity: circulating corticosterone concentrations,  $11-\beta$ -HSD-1 activity, corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), and glucocorticoid receptor (GR) expression in peripheral tissues and/or the central nervous system (when evaluated). In addition, we evaluated the clock

2

hour of evaluation (related to the circadian nadir or not), whether single or multiple time points were used for sampling of blood, and whether circulating corticosterone was measured only or combined with other central and/or peripheral parameters of HPA-axis activity.

## RESULTS

The search strategy identified a total of 218 articles: PubMed: n=142; EMBASE: n=139, of which 26 were unique; Web of Science: n=132, of which 39 were unique; none in the COCHRANE database, ScienceDirect: n=6, all unique, and 34 in Academic Search Premier, of which 5 were unique. Of these, 150 papers were excluded on the basis of title and abstract. The remaining 68 studies were retrieved for full evaluation, of which 20 studies fulfilled the in- and exclusion criteria. In only 5 of these 20 selected studies, evaluation of selective parameters of the HPA-axis was the primary research question. Details of these 20 studies are summarized in Table 1.

#### Mouse characteristics, housing, and diets

The most commonly used mice were male C57Bl/6 mice. 8 studies (13-15, 23, 24, 28-30) evaluated the effects of HFD in C57Bl/6 mice only, and one study only in A/J mice (18). Another 6 studies compared C57Bl/6 mice either with A/J (19), or with transgenic mice: Leptin deficient C57Bl/6J Lep ob/ob (17), diabetic KKAy and ob/ob (21), 11-β-HSD-1-knockout (KO) (16, 22), and glucocorticoid receptor haploinsufficient GRβgeo/+ (27) mice. The remaining 5 studies used polygenic fat and lean mice from inbred lines (20), Balb/c mice (25), male NIH Swiss mice (26), or compared glucocorticoid receptor activity regulating FK506-binding protein 52 heterozygote (FKBP52+/-) male mice or kappa-opioid receptor knockout (KOR-KO) mice with their wild type littermates (31, 32).

At baseline, the mean age of the mice varied from 3-19 weeks, and in one study from 6-9 months (20). In accordance with these variations in age, body weight at baseline (when documented) varied from < 10 g to 30 g.

Housing conditions were not specified in 8/20 studies. In the remaining 12 studies, mice were pair-housed in 3 studies, 3-4 per cage in one study, and single housed (for the entire study period or for the last week before sampling) in 8 studies.

Acclimation period was applied in nine studies. In 2 studies (20, 27) time was not specified. Another 6 studies (14, 15, 18, 21, 23, 25) had an acclimation period of one week (handling mentioned in only a few). Finally, one study (29) reported a 4-week acclimation period at the end of the experiment.

The duration of the diets varied between 2 and 30 weeks, and the relative contribution of calories derived from fat between 32% and 60% (within the HFD) and between 6.5% and 16% (within the LFD).

#### The effects of HFD on circulating corticosterone concentrations (n=20 studies)

The evaluation of circulating corticosterone levels was limited to a single sample in 85% (17/20) of the studies. Blood samples were obtained between 8-11 am in 12 studies, whereas the time of sampling was not specified in 6 other studies. Three studies assessed diurnal variation of corticosterone levels, by using 2 samples (8-10 am and 7 pm) (27), or by using samples obtained every 4 h (24), or even every 2 h (29).

HFD did not affect circulating corticosterone levels in 8 studies (13, 14, 18, 19, 21, 23, 25, 32) (all obtained a single blood sample only). 3 of these 8 studies actually reported corticosterone

|   |   | Mouse  | Mean age /<br>weight at       | _                                | Diet<br>(HFD / LFD  | Duration  | Weight  |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|
|   | Author (ref)                                  | strain   | baseline                      | Housing                          | % cal as fat)   | of diet   | gain  |
| 1 | Herberg L<br>e <i>t al.</i> 1975<br>(13)      | C57BI/6  | -                             | (NS                              | -   | 4 wks   |   |
| 2 | Ziotopoulou M<br>e <i>t al</i> . 2000<br>(14) | C57BI/6J   | 4wks / 20.6 g                 | NS                               | 43% /<br>6.5%   | 2 wks   | 3.3 <i>v</i> s 2.3 g  |
| 3 | Moraes RC<br><i>et al.</i> 2003<br>(15)       | C57BI/6J   | 4 wks                         | pair                             | 36% / 3%  | 8 wks   | 11 vs 6 g   |
| 4 | Morton NM<br>et al. 2004b<br>(16)             | MF1 WT<br>11 β -HSD-<br>KO                                 | ʻadult' /<br>25-30 g          | Standard<br>single last<br>week  | 58 / 11%  | 18 wks  | 15 vs 5 g   |
|   |   | C57Bl/6J-<br>11β-<br>-HSD-KO                               |                               |                                  |   |   |   |
| 5 | Morton NM<br><i>et al.</i> 2004a<br>(17)      | C57Bl/6J<br>A/J<br>C57Bl/6-<br>JLep obob                   | ʻadult'                       | Standard;<br>single last<br>week | 58% / 11%   | 2 wks<br>18 wks                                     | -   |
| 6 | Bullen JW Jr<br>e <i>t al</i> . 2004<br>(18)  | A/J  | 4-9 wks                       | NS                               | 45% / 10%   | 4 wks   | 4 to 5 g  |
| 7 | Michel C e <i>t al.</i><br>2005<br>(19)       | C57Bl/6J<br>AJ   | 23-28 g                       | pair                             | 65% / 63%<br>cal as fat or<br>starch                                | 25 days   | 5 g (BL6)/<br>1 g (A/J)   |
| 8 | Morton NM<br>et al. 2005<br>(20)              | polygenic<br>fat and lean<br>mice from<br>inbread<br>lines | 6-9 months                    | Single                           | 58% / 11%   | 18 wks (after<br>selection:<br>fat vs lean<br>mice) | 2.5 fold<br>higher in<br>fat mice on<br>HFD                             |
| 9 | Alberts P<br>et al. 2005<br>(21)              | KKAy<br>ob/ob<br>C57Bl/6J                                  | 11-15 wks<br>16 wks<br>19 wks | single                           | HFD: 32.5<br>kcal% fat<br>with 0.01%<br>chol<br>LFD:10 kcal%<br>fat | 4 wks   | Body weight<br>44.9 vs 44.4g<br>50.4 vs 48.7<br>LFD: 28.5 g<br>(no HFD) |

**Table 1.** Studies in mice on the effects of High Fat Diet feeding on basal, non-stressed activity of the HPA axis.

Table legends:WT: wild type; HFD: high Fat Diet; LFD: low Fat diet; Chol: cholesterol; NS: not specified;Cort: corticosterone;PVN: paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus; 11-β-HSD-1: 11 beta hydroxysteroiddehydrogenase type 1; CRH: corticotrophin-releasing hormone ACTH: adrenocorticotropic hormone;GR: glucocorticoid receptor; 11-DHC:11-dehydrocorticosterone; AT: adipose tissue

| Evaluation time<br>points                                    | Mean Plasma<br>corticosterone<br>(HFD / LFD)                        | Adrenal /<br>thymic weight<br>(HFD / LFD)            | Peripheral<br>Cort activity   | Central Nervous<br>System  |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| -  | 2 µg/dl<br>No effect of diet  | -  | -   | -  |
| 8-9.30 a.m (One<br>sample)                                   | No effect of diet<br>(data not shown)                               | -  | -   | -  |
| Not specified (NS)<br>(one sample)                           | 47 / 29 ng/ml   | -  | Various genes<br>(not glucose related)  | -  |
| 8 a.m.<br>(one sample)                                       | 18 / 31 nmol/l<br>58 / 57 nmol/l<br>No effect of the diet           | -  | ↓intra-AT (visceral +<br>epididymal) cort levels in<br>C57BL/6J–11-β–HSD-1-KO<br>vs wildtype C57BL/6J on  | -  |
|  | No effect of the diet   |  | (159 ng/g; 477; and HFD:<br>(404 vs 562 ng/g)   |  |
| 8 a.m.<br>(one sample)                                       | BL6: 170 / 100 nmol/l<br>A/J: 100 / 60 nmol/l                       | -  | $\downarrow$ AT 11- $\beta$ -HSD-1<br>after HFD (more in BL6 )  | -  |
| 2-3 h after lights on<br>(one sample, under<br>CO²narcosis ) | Not different at<br>1,2,3,4 wks<br>(data not shown)                 | -  | -   | PVN: CRH-R: no<br>difference   |
| NS<br>(one sample after<br>anaesthesia)                      | BL6: 80 / 62 mmol/l<br>A/J: 92 / 88 nmol/l<br>No effect of the diet | -  | -   | PVN: CRH: no effect<br>of diet<br>Amygdala: CRH↑<br>in BL6; no effect<br>of diet |
| 8 a.m.<br>(one sample)                                       | 39 / 86 nmol/l<br>(fat vs lean mice:<br>effect of breeding)         | ↓ adrenal<br>and ↑ thymus<br>in fat mice<br>Diet: NS | ↓ AT + ↑ liver 11-β-HSD-1   | GR in PVN and<br>Hippocampus: not<br>different                                   |
| 9-11 a.m.<br>(one sample)                                    | 0.23 / 0.17 μM<br>0.92 / 1.30 μM<br>BL6: (LFD): 0.08 μM             | -  | -Liver cort<br>3.0 -5.1 and 6.2- 8.1-fold,<br>and 11-DHC 3.4-3.6 and<br>6.7-8.2-fold ↑ in KKAy and<br>ob/ob vs WT)  | -  |
|  |   |  | - Mesenteric AT cort 2.7-<br>4.2-fold ↑, and 11-DHC<br>2-4-fold ↑ in ob/ob vs in<br>KKAy mice<br>No C57/BL6 (nM/Kg)<br>- Epididymal AT cort<br>3.0- 6.2-fold ↑, and 11DHC<br>1.8- 2.0-fold ↑ in ob/ob vs<br>KKAy mice, WT not shown |  |

|    | Author (ref)                              | Mouse<br>strain             | Mean age /<br>weight at<br>baseline | Housing                             | Diet<br>(HFD / LFD<br>% cal as fat) | Duration<br>of diet | Weight<br>gain                          |
|----|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| 10 | Densmore<br>VS et al. 2006<br>(22)        | C57Bl/6J<br>11-B-HSD-<br>KO | 6 wks /<br>25-30 g                  | pair                                | 58% / 11%                           | 2 or 18 wks         | 15 vs 5 g                               |
| 11 | Luque RM et al.<br>2006<br>(23)           | C57BI/6J                    | 4 wks                               | single                              | 60% / 10%                           | 16 wks              | 25 vs 15 g                              |
| 12 | Kohsaka A e <i>t al.</i><br>2007<br>(24)  | C57BI/6J                    | 6 wks                               | NS                                  | 45% / 16%                           | 6 wks               | 8 vs 4 g                                |
| 13 | Chen H <i>et al.</i><br>2007<br>(25)      | Balb/c                      | 5 wks                               | NS                                  | 32% / 12%                           | 7 wks               | 8 <i>v</i> s 5 g                        |
| 14 | McClean PL<br>et al. 2007<br>(26)         | NIH Swiss                   | 8 wks                               | single                              | 45% / 10%                           | 160 days            | Final mean<br>body weight<br>55 vs 38 g |
| 15 | MichailidouZ<br>et al. 2008<br>(27)       | C57Bl/6J<br>GRβgeo/+        | ≤ 10 g                              | Standard;<br>single for<br>sampling | 58% / 11%                           | 22 wks              | 40 vs 20 g                              |
| 16 | Liu Y et al. 2008<br>(28)                 | C57BI/6                     | 6 wks                               | NS                                  | 58% / 11%                           | 30 wks              | Final mean<br>body weight<br>56 ys 32 g |
| 17 | Veniant MM<br>et al. 2009<br>(29)         | C57BI/6                     | 3 wks (HFD)<br>16 wks (chow)        | Single for 4<br>last weeks          | 60% / chow                          | 13 wks              | -                                       |
| 18 | Matsumoto S<br><i>et al.</i> 2009<br>(30) | C57Bl/6J                    | 4 wks                               | NS                                  | 2% chol vs<br>normal diet           | 2 wks               | 7 vs 7 g                                |
| 19 | Warrier M et al.                          | FKBP52+/-                   | 2 months                            | NS                                  | 45% / 12%                           | 4 wks               | 22% vs 7%                               |
|    | (31)                                      | WT                          |                                     |                                     |                                     |                     | 22% vs 7%                               |
| 20 | Czyzyk TA et al.                          | 12956 WT                    | 7-8 wks                             | 3-4 per                             | 45% / 5%                            | 16 wks              | 15 vs 4.6 g                             |
|    | (32)                                      | KOR-KO                      |                                     | cage                                |                                     |                     |   |

Table 1. Studies in mice on the effects of High Fat Diet feeding on basal, non-stressed activity of the HPA axis. Cd.

Table legends:WT: wild type; HFD: high Fat Diet; LFD: low Fat diet; Chol: cholesterol; NS: not specified;Cort: corticosterone; PVN: paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus; 11-β-HSD-1: 11 beta hydroxysteroiddehydrogenase type 1; CRH: corticotrophin-releasing hormone ACTH: adrenocorticotropic hormone;GR: glucocorticoid receptor; 11-DHC:11-dehydrocorticosterone; AT: adipose tissue

| Evaluation time<br>points  | Mean Plasma<br>corticosterone<br>(HFD / LFD)                          | Adrenal /<br>thymic weight<br>(HFD / LFD) | Peripheral<br>Cort activity   | Central Nervous<br>System  |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Between 8-10<br>(one sample)                                     | 2 wk: 40 / 130 nmol/l<br>18 wk: 170 (HFD)<br>nmol/l                   | -   | -   | -PVN: 2 wks: ↑11<br>b-HSD in arcuate<br>nucl<br>18 wk: reversed<br>- Hippocampus:<br>no change in<br>11-β-HSD-1: (2 nor<br>18 wks) |
| Between 8-11<br>(one sample)                                     | 0.9 / 0.7 ng/ml<br>No effect of the diet                              | -   | -   | -  |
| Every 4 h  | 2-15 / 3-20 µg/dl   | -   | -   | -  |
| NS<br>(one sample after<br>anaesthia)                            | 198 / 221 ng/ml<br>No effect of the diet                              | -   | -   | -  |
| NS<br>(one sample)   | Approx 55 / 20 ng/ml<br>(229%↑ in HFD)                                | -   | -   | -  |
| Diurnal<br>8-10 h, 19 h  | 50-100 (m-e) /<br>25-75 (m-e) nmol/l                                  | 7 vs 5 gr<br>(P<0.05)                     | Genotype ↓↑<br>Diet: not shown  | PVN: genotype ↓↑<br>Diet: POMC not<br>different<br>Hippocampus:<br>Genotype ↓↑<br>Diet not shown                                   |
| 9-10 am<br>(one sample)  | 36 / 23 ng/ml   | -   | -   | -  |
| Diurnal<br>Every 2 h from 4.30-<br>20.30 h                       | 26 / 42 (nadir)<br>526 / 526 ng/ml<br>(peak)                          | -   | Influence of time on<br>effectiveness of 11-β-<br>HSD-1<br>Inhibition | -  |
| 8 a.m.<br>(one sample)   | Appox 50 / 30 ng/ml   | -   | -   | POMC mRNA ↑<br>after high Chol die<br>(circ ACTH also ↑)   |
| NS<br>Sample via<br>retro-orbital sinuses<br>(requires sedation) | 260 / 180 ng/ml<br>190 / 225 ng/ml No<br>effect of the diet<br>for WT | -   | -   | -  |
|  |   |   |   |  |

concentrations in conscious conditions, which varied widely (more than 200 fold): from 0.7-0.9 ng/ml (23), 27.7 ng/ml (21), to 76 ng/ml (32) in the morning nadir. 3 out of the 8 studies reported corticosterone concentrations under anesthesia (18, 19, 25) and even in the millimolar range (19). 2 remaining studies did not specify the time of the sampling (13) or did not report the corticosterone concentrations (14).

HFD increased corticosterone concentrations in 6 other studies (15, 17, 26, 27, 28, 30) after 2, 8, 18, 23, and 30 weeks of diet. In C57Bl/6 mice the corticosterone concentrations varied from 47 vs 29 ng/ml, 59 vs 35 ng/ml, 36 vs 23 ng/ml, to 50 vs 30 ng/ml (15, 17, 28, 30) and 35 vs 21 ng/ml (in A/J mice) (17). 3 of these studies did not specify the time of sampling (15, 26, 28) and one did not specify the strain (30).

HFD decreased corticosterone concentrations in 4 other studies (22, 24, 29, 31), 2 of which sampled corticosterone more than once daily. The first study sampled plasma corticosterone levels every 4 h and found that HFD decreased both nadir (20 vs 30 ng/ml) and peak (150 vs 200 ng/ml) corticosterone concentrations after 6 weeks of the diet (24). In contrast, Véniant *et al.* (29) found that HFD decreased the nadir (26 vs 42 ng/ml) corticosterone concentrations evaluated every 2 h whereas HFD did not affect peak corticosterone (526 ng/ml) after 13 weeks of the diet. Finally, one study documented that HFD decreased corticosterone levels at 2 weeks but increased corticosterone concentrations after 18 weeks of the diet (22). The remaining study showed a decrease in the morning nadir, but the sample was obtained under anesthesia.

The remaining one study reported an effect of genotype or breeding on circulating corticosterone concentrations after HFD: MF1-11- $\beta$ -HSD-1-KO and C57Bl/6J-11- $\beta$ -HSD-1-KO mice had higher circulating corticosterone concentrations than their wild type littermates (16). In addition, other studies reported the effect of genotype or breeding on cortcosterone concentrations: C57Bl/6J showed higher corticosterone concentrations than A/J mice (17) and polygenic lean mice from inbred lines had higher corticosterone concentrations after 18 weeks of HFD than their fat littermates (86 vs 39 nmol/l, respectively) (20).

# The effects of HFD on peripheral tissue specific 11- $\beta$ -HSD-1 expression (n=6 studies)

HFD increased corticosterone levels in visceral and epididymal fat after 18 weeks on HFD: 562 vs 477 ng/g in C57Bl/6J mice (16), and this effect of HFD was even more pronounced in C57Bl/6 J–11- $\beta$ -HSD-1-KO mice (477 vs 159 ng/g, HFD vs control diet, respectively). However, another study (17) reported that HFD decreased 11- $\beta$ -HSD-1 expression in adipose tissue, which was more pronounced in C57Bl/6 than in A/J mice, whereas HFD increased hepatic 11- $\beta$ -HSD-1 expression (20). A fourth study found that HFD increased hepatic, epididymal, and visceral corticosterone levels in KKAy and ob/ob mice (21). The remaining 3 studies found effects on various genes (15), of genotype (C57Bl/6 vs GR $\beta$ geo +/+ (27)), and influence of time on the effectiveness of 11  $\beta$ -HSD inhibition (29).

#### The effects of HFD on the central nervous system (n=5 studies)

Within the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN), HFD did not affect CRH expression (2 studies: ref 18, 19), POMC expression (1 study: ref 27), or GR expression (1 study: ref 20). One study (22) found that HFD increased 11- $\beta$ -HSD-1 expression transiently in the arcuate nucleus of the PVN after 2 weeks, but not after 18 weeks of the diet. Another study found that HFD did not

2

## DISCUSSION

This systematic review demonstrates that data on the effects of HFD in mice on the basal activity of the HPA-axis are limited, and inconclusive. Circulating corticosterone concentrations in a total of only 20 studies were found to be increased, unchanged, or decreased. The relative energy contribution of fat in the HFD varied between 36-58%, 32-65% and 58-60%, respectively. In addition, data on the effects of HFD on 11- $\beta$ -HSD-1 expression in the peripheral tissues and CRH and GR expression in the central nervous system (only 5 studies) were inconsistent. However, differences in mouse strains, housing and sampling conditions, and in the content and duration of the diets preclude simple comparisons of the effects of HFD on the activity of the HPA-axis, and accentuate the need for standardized evaluations to discern the effects of fat and diet-induced obesity on HPA-axis and the metabolic syndrome.

From an evolutionary point of view, the regulation of energy balance is integrated in the neurobiology of stress, i.e. into the regulation of the allostatic responses to environmental demands. Glucocorticoids are the main mediators of the stress response (33) and regulate fuel homeostasis by increasing feeding behavior when food becomes available (34). Within the hypothalamus, a rapid fine-tuned hormonal cross-talk exists between glucocorticoids and leptin, acting via leptin blockade of the glucocorticoid-induced, endocannabinoid-mediated suppression of excitation of the CRH expressing neurons. This provides a nutritional state-sensitive mechanism that integrates the neuroendocrine regulation of energy homeostasis and the stress response (35). HFD induced obesity is not only accompanied by higher leptin and insulin levels (15, 24) but also by altered diurnal patterns of leptin and insulin (24), which affect hypothalamic CRH suppression of HPA-axis.

Different housing conditions also affect the activity of the HPA-axis and might be considered as chronic social stress, which in turn influences the propensity to become obese. This is best illustrated by a recently proposed model of psychosocial stress in rats (a resident–intruder paradigm) linking allostatic load to metabolic disorders (36), and confirmed in mice (37). This model states that MetS and obesity can develop in presence of a HFD only when an environmental threat prevents active coping (fight/flight), but permits only a passive strategy. These experiments demonstrated that when both dominant and subordinate rodents were faced with a threatening situation, a similar overactive HPA-axis and hyperphagia was seen in both. However, dominants responded with an active coping style associated with sympathetic over activity in metabolic tissues that limited the development of obesity despite overfeeding, whereas subordinates responded with a passive helplessness strategy and, particularly when faced with a HFD, developed weight gain and obesity. Thus, the effects of stress and HFD appear to be less detrimental dependent on the ability of the individual to adequately cope with the stress, as a result of genetic predisposition, and/or social factors. These data accentuate the important role of psychosocial stress in modulating the individual vulnerability to weight gain, provided that the individual is fed an "unhealthy" high-fat diet.

When evaluating the impact of high fat feeding on adrenal axis function in mice, therefore, an appropriate study design is a prerequisite, and at least the following factors should be taken into account: first, the choice for a mouse strain that, upon high fat feeding, has previously shown

to provide a validated model for the development of specific features of the MetS, like obesity and insulin resistance. Second, standardization of content and duration of the diet. Third, standardization of acclimation period, housing conditions, and method for stress free sampling, and finally: the time of sampling. The relative contributions of each of these different factors can only be compared when study designs control for all these factors, except the one that is considered to be the intervention.

In conclusion, the effects of HFD on basal activity of the HPA-axis and its contribution in the propensity to become obese and develop other manifestations of the metabolic syndrome on a HFD are still unclear, but are at least time and context dependent. Proper standardization of these factors is critical in the evaluation of the role of the HPA-axis in further studies involving rodent models of the MetS.

#### REFERENCES

- Isomaa B, Almgren P, Tuomi T, Forsen B, Lahti K, Nissen M, Taskinen MR, Groop L. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 2001; 24: 683–689
- Reaven GM. Banting lecture 1988. Role of insulin resistance in human disease. *Diabetes* 11. 1988; 37: 1595–1607
- 3. Gale EA. The myth of the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetologia* 2005; 48: 1679–1683
- Dekker JM, Girman C, Rhodes T, Nijpels G, Stehouwer CD, Bouter LM, Heine RJ. Metabolic syndrome and 10-year cardiovascular disease risk in the Hoorn Study. *Circulation* 2005; 112: 666–673
- Dallman F. Stress-induced obesity and the emotional nervous system. Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism 2010; 3: 159-165
- Warne JP. Shaping the stress response: interplay of palatable food choices, glucocorticoids, insulin and abdominal obesity. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology 2009; 300: 137-14
- Newell-Price J, Bertagna X, Grossman AB, Nieman LK. Cushing's syndrome. *Lancet* 2006; 367: 1605-1617
- Dekkers OM, Biermasz NR, Pereira AM, Roelfsema F, van Aken MO, Voormolen JH, Romijn JA. Mortality in patients treated for Cushing's disease is increased, compared with patients treated for nonfunctioning pituitary macroadenoma. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2007; 92: 976-981
- Anagnostis P, Athyros VG, Tziomalos K, Karagiannis A, Mikhailidis DP. Clinical review: The pathogenetic role of cortisol in the metabolic syndrome: a hypothesis. Journal

of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2009; 94: 2692-2701

- Müssiga K, Remerb T, Maser-Gluthc C. Brief review: Glucocorticoid excretion in obesity. Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 2010; 121: 589-593
- Masuzaki H, Paterson J, Shinyama H, Morton NM, Mullins JJ, Seckl JR, Flier JS. A transgenic model of visceral obesity and the metabolic syndrome. Science 2001; 294: 2166-217
- Cooper MS, Stewart PM. 11Betahydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 and its role in the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis, metabolic syndrome, and inflammation. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 2009; 94: 4645-4654
- Herberg L, Kley HK. Adrenal function and the effect of a high-fat diet on C57BL/6J and C57BL/6J-ob/ob mice. Hormone and Metabolic Research 1975; 7: 410-415
- Ziotopoulou M, Mantzoros CS, Hileman SM, Flier JS. Differential expression of hypothalamic neuropeptides in the early phase of diet-induced obesity in mice. *American Journal of Physiology* 2000; 279: 838-845
- Moraes RC, Blondet A, Birkenkamp-Demtroeder K, Tirard J, Orntoft TF, Gertler A, Durand P, Naville D, Begeot M. Study of the Alteration of Gene Expression in Adipose Tissue of Diet-Induced Obese Mice by Microarray and Reverse Transcription-Polymerase Chain Reaction Analyses. Endocrinology 2003; 144; 4773-4782
- Morton NM, Paterson JM, Masuzaki H, Holmes MC, Staels B, Fievet C, Walker BR, Flier JS, Mullins JJ, Seckl JR. Novel adipose

2

tissue-mediated resistance to diet-induced 25. visceral obesity in 11 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1-deficient mice. *Diabetes* 2004; 53: 931-938

- Morton NM, Ramage L, Seckl JR. Downregulation of adipose 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 by high-fat feeding in mice: a potential adaptive mechanism counteracting metabolic disease. Endocrinology 2004; 145: 2707-2712
- Bullen JW Jr, Ziotopoulou M, Ungsunan L, Misra J, Alevizos I, Kokkotou E, Maratos-Flier E, Stephanopoulos G, Mantzoros CS. Shortterm resistance to diet-induced obesity in A/J mice is not associated with regulation of hypothalamic neuropeptides. American Journal of Physiology – Endocrinology and Metabolism 2004; 287: 662-670
- Michel C, Duclos M, Cabanac M, Richard D. Chronic stress reduces body fat content in both obesity-prone and obesity-resistant strains of mice. *Hormones and Behavior* 2005; 48:172-179
- Morton NM, Densmore V, Wamil M, Ramage L, Nichol K, Bünger L, Seckl JR, Kenyon CJ. A polygenic model of the metabolic syndrome with reduced circulating and intra-adipose glucocorticoid action. *Diabetes* 2005; 54: 3371-3378
- Alberts P, Rönquist-Nii Y, Larsson C, Klingström G, Engblom L, Edling N, Lidell V, Berg I, Edlund PO, Ashkzari M, Sahaf N, Norling S, Berggren V, Bergdahl K, Forsgren M, Abrahmsén L. Effect of high-fat diet on KKAy and ob/ob mouse liver and adipose tissue corticosterone and 11-dehydrocorticosterone concentrations. Hormone and Metabolic Research 2005; 37: 402-407
- Densmore VS, Morton NM, Mullins JJ, Seckl JR. 11 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 induction in the arcuate nucleus by high-fat feeding: A novel constraint to hyperphagia? Endocrinology 2006; 147: 4486-4495
- Luque RM, Kineman RD. Impact of obesity on the growth hormone axis: evidence for a direct inhibitory effect of hyperinsulinemia on pituitary function. *Endocrinology* 2006;147: 2754-2763
- Kohsaka A, Laposky AD, Ramsey KM, Estrada C, Joshu C, Kobayashi Y, Turek FW, Bass J. Highfat diet disrupts behavioral and molecular circadian rhythms in mice. *Cell Metabolism* 2007; 6: 414-421

- Chen H, Hansen MJ, Jones JE, Vlahos R, Anderson GP, Morris MJ. Detrimental metabolic effects of combining long-term cigarette smoke exposure and high-fat diet in mice. American Journal of Physiology – Endocrinology and Metabolism 2007; 293: 1564-1571
- McClean PL, Irwin N, Cassidy RS, Holst JJ, Gault VA, Flatt PR. GIP receptor antagonism reverses obesity, insulin resistance, and associated metabolic disturbances induced in mice by prolonged consumption of highfat diet. American Journal of Physiology – Endocrinology and Metabolism 2007; 293: 1746-1755
- 27. Michailidou Z, Carter RN, Marshall E, Sutherland HG, Brownstein DG, Owen E, Cockett K, Kelly V, Ramage L, Al-Dujaili EA, Ross M, Maraki I, Newton K, Holmes MC, Seckl JR, Morton NM, Kenyon CJ, Chapman KE. Glucocorticoid receptor haploinsufficiency hypertension and attenuates causes hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and blood pressure adaptions to high-fat diet. FASEB Journal 2008; 22: 3896-3907
- Liu Y, Nakagawa Y, Wang Y, Liu L, Du H, Wang W, Ren X, Lutfy K, Friedman TC. Reduction of hepatic glucocorticoid receptor and hexose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase expression ameliorates diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance in mice. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* 2008; 41: 53-64
- Véniant MM, Hale C, Komorowski R, Chen MM, St Jean DJ, Fotsch C, Wang M. Time of the day for 11beta-HSD1 inhibition plays a role in improving glucose homeostasis in DIO mice. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism* 2009; 11: 109-117
- Matsumoto S, Hashimoto K, Yamada M, Satoh T, Hirato J, Mori M. Liver X receptor-alpha regulates proopiomelanocortin (POMC) gene transcription in the pituitary. *Molecular Endocrinology* 2009; 23: 47-60
- Warrier M, Hinds TD Jr, Ledford KJ, Cash HA, Patel PR, Bowman TA, Stechschulte LA, Yong W, Shou W, Najjar SM, Sanchez ER. Susceptibility to diet-induced hepatic steatosis and glucocorticoid resistance in FK506-binding protein 52-deficient mice. Endocrinology 2010; 151: 3225-3236
- Czyzyk TA, Nogueiras R, Lockwood JF, McKinzie JH, Coskun T, Pintar JE, Hammond C, Tschöp MH, Statnick MA. kappa-Opioid receptors control the metabolic response to a

high-energy diet in mice. FASEB Journal 2010; 24: 1151-1159

- de Kloet ER, Joëls M, Holsboer F. Stress and the brain: from adaptation to disease. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 2005; 6: 463-475
- 34. Akana SF, Strack AM, Hanson ES, Dallman MF. Regulation of activity in the hypothalamopituitary-adrenal axis is integral to a larger hypothalamic system that determines caloric flow. Endocrinology 1994; 135: 1125-1134
  - 35. Di S, Malcher-Lopes R, Halmos KC, Tasker JG. Nongenomic glucocorticoid inhibition

via endocannabinoid release in the hypothalamus: a fast feedback mechanism. *Journal of Neuroscience* 2003; 23: 4850-4857

- van Dijk G, Buwalda B. Neurobiology of the metabolicsyndrome: an allostatic perspective. *European Journal of Pharmacology* 2008; 585: 137-46
- Bartolomucci A, Cabassi A, Govoni P, Ceresini G, Cero C, Berra D, Dadomo H, Franceschini P, Dell'Omo G, Parmigiani S, Palanza P. Metabolic consequences and vulnerability to dietinduced obesity in male mice under chronic social stress *PLoS One* 2009; 4: 4331