



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Pluractionality in Hausa

Součková, K.

Citation

Součková, K. (2011, December 14). *Pluractionality in Hausa*. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Utrecht. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/18247>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/18247>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Pluractionality in Hausa

Published by
LOT
Trans 10
3512 JK Utrecht
The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6006

e-mail: lot@uu.nl
<http://www.lotschool.nl>

Cover illustration: The Crucial Search In Argungu. Copyright © 2010: Irene
Becker. (A scene from the 2009 Argungu Fishing Festival.)

ISBN: 978-94-6093-071-3
NUR 616

Copyright © 2011: Kateřina Součková. All rights reserved.

Pluractionality in Hausa

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. P.F. van der Heijden,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 14 december 2011
klokke 15:00 uur

door

Kateřina Součková

geboren te Brandýs nad Labem, Tsjechoslowakije

in 1979

Promotiecommissie:

Promotor: Prof.dr. J.E.C.V. Rooryck

Co-promotor: Dr. J.S. Doetjes

Overige Leden: Dr. M. Buba (Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Nigeria)
Prof.dr. M.P.G.M. Mous
Prof.dr. M. Zimmermann (Universität Potsdam)

The research for this book was carried out as part of the VIDI-project “Degrees across categories”, funded by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), awarded to dr. Jenny Doetjes (project nr. 276-70-007).

Table of contents

Acknowledgements	ix
List of figures	xiv
List of tables	xv
List of languages discussed in this thesis	xvi
List of abbreviations	xviii
1. Delimiting pluractionality	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Relation to nominal number	9
1.3. Relation to aspect	17
1.3.1. Terminological issues	17
1.3.2. Pluractionality vs. aspect in the literature	20
1.3.3. Pluractionality is independent of viewpoint aspect and the bounded vs. unbounded distinction	22
1.3.4. Habitual readings	23
1.3.5. Sources of iterative readings	24
1.3.6. Durative readings	26
1.3.7. Conclusion	28
1.4. Relation to degree	28
1.4.1. Degree expressions and plurality	29
1.4.2. Intensive verbs?	32
1.4.3. Intensification in addition to event plurality	33
1.4.4. Detensification in addition to event plurality	35
1.4.5. Conclusion	37
1.5. Distributive and collective interpretations	38
1.5.1. Distributive interpretations	38
1.5.2. Collective interpretations	41
1.6. Internal distinctions	44
1.6.1. Event number vs. participant number	45
1.6.2. Event-external vs. event-internal pluractionality	47
1.7. Limits of pluractionality	52
1.8. Theoretical accounts of pluractionality	55
1.8.1. Cusic (1981)	56
1.8.2. Lasersohn (1995)	59
1.8.3. Van Geenhoven (2004, 2005)	62
1.8.4. Ojeda (1998)	65
1.9. Conclusion	68
2. Pluractionality in Hausa	71
2.1. Introduction	71

2.2. Hausa	73
2.2.1. General information	73
2.2.2. Sentence structure	74
2.2.3. Verb grades	77
2.2.4. Deverbal categories	79
2.2.5. Nominal system	81
2.2.5.1. Dynamic nouns	82
2.2.5.2. Number	82
2.2.5.3. Determiners and modifiers	84
2.2.6. Reduplication	86
2.2.7. Pluractional formation	89
2.3. Plurality and individuation	93
2.3.1. Pluractional vs. single action readings	93
2.3.2. Pluractional vs. true collective readings	96
2.3.3. Pluractionality vs. distribution to atoms	97
2.3.4. Plural arguments	98
2.3.5. Singular count and mass arguments	99
2.3.6. High individuation: separateness and diversity	101
2.3.7. Pluractional vs. continuous readings	103
2.4. Iteration	105
2.4.1. Repetitive events	105
2.4.2. Repeated events	107
2.4.3. Conative and tentative readings	109
2.5. Large quantity and vagueness	110
2.6. Degree readings	114
2.6.1. High degree	114
2.6.2. Low degree	116
2.7. Interaction between large number, high degree and high individuation	117
2.8. Further issues	119
2.8.1. Exhaustivity	119
2.8.2. Unexpressed arguments	121
2.8.3. Pluractional statives and verbal nouns	122
2.8.4. Variation	124
2.9. Conclusion	125
3. Analysis	127
3.1. Introduction	127
3.2. Some preliminaries	128
3.3. Outline of the proposal	134
3.4. The core meaning of pluractionality in Hausa	141
3.5. Event individuation through anchoring	143
3.5.1. Anchoring and the non-equivalence condition	143

3.5.2. Possible anchors	145
3.5.3. Collective interpretations	152
3.5.4. Distribution to parts and subquantities	156
3.5.4.1. Parts as anchors	156
3.5.4.2. Event-internal status of ‘distribution-to-parts’ cases and tentative interpretations	164
3.5.5. Related proposals in the literature	171
3.5.6. Conclusion	177
3.6. Event individuation through natural atomicity	178
3.6.1. Naturally atomic predicates: no anchoring needed	178
3.6.2. Event-internal status of ‘repetitive’ cases and conative interpretations	181
3.6.3. Conclusion	185
3.7. Meaning effects of special plurality	186
3.7.1. Large number	187
3.7.2. High individuation	188
3.7.3. Intensification	190
3.7.4. Compensation effects	193
3.7.5. Other special effects	195
3.7.6. Conclusion	197
3.8. Inter-speaker variation	198
3.8.1. Sources of variation	198
3.8.2. Examples of idiolects	203
3.9. Comparison with other theories	206
3.10. Conclusion	210
Bibliography	213
Samenvatting in het Nederlands	223
Curriculum vitae	227

Acknowledgements

I consider myself generally very lucky. The fact that I ended up doing my PhD in Leiden is just another confirmation of that. Being a PhD student at LUCL means, among other things, having the luxury of being surrounded by excellent linguists who are nice people at the same time. If, in addition, you become part of a research project that is both very interesting and has members who are a pleasure to spend time with – work- or otherwise – what else can you wish for? Thus, the first thank you goes to my supervisor Jenny Doetjes for creating the Degree project and accepting Camelia Constantinescu and me as her PhD students. A lot of things have happened as a consequence of that, not just the eventual emergence of this dissertation.

The topic of my dissertation turned out to be only partly related to gradability but that didn't make my involvement in the project any less fun. Working with Jenny and Camelia was always very enjoyable and it led, among other things, to discoveries like 'a Czech can be a brain twin of a Romanian'. Whether reading a paper together, preparing a joint talk or organizing a workshop, it always seemed easy to work as a team.

From the beginning, it was also very clear how lucky I was to have Jenny as my supervisor. In every stage of the process I got all the advice, support and freedom that I needed. Things couldn't have been smoother for me in this respect. In the final stages of my writing, my promotor Johan Rooryck was an immense help. Without Johan's critical reading, this dissertation would have been much less readable. Thank you, Jenny and Johan! In addition, I would like to thank Willem Adelaar for reading part of an earlier version of the manuscript and giving me invaluable comments and suggestions.¹

It goes without saying that many other people had an influence on me and my linguistic thinking and thus directly or indirectly contributed to the shape this dissertation has taken. Making the partly arbitrary decision of putting the beginning point of my interest in linguistics in my university years in Prague, I would like to thank two of my Prague teachers specifically: Jarmila Panevová and Oldřich Uličný. Jarmila Panevová inspired some of the first passionate linguistic discussions I engaged in – with Jakub Dotlačil, until late at night in our student dorm in Prague. Oldřich Uličný deserves credit for informing me (and Jakub, again) about the possibility of studying general linguistics in Norway and thus effectively sending me on a path that eventually took me to Leiden. Thank you both so much!

Moving to Tromsø changed my life. I learned a lot in the two years I spent there. I would like to thank my Tromsø teachers for that, especially Gillian Ramchand, Peter Svenonius, Øystein Nilsen and Tarald Taraldsen.

¹ My thanks go also to Malami Buba for checking the tones and vowel length in my Hausa examples and Stanly Oomen and Jenny Doetjes for providing the Dutch translation of the summary.

After coming to the Netherlands, the number of people who had an impact on me as a linguist suddenly became too large for me to even try to come up with a reasonably complete list. It is a great thing about the Netherlands that the individual institutes are not very far from each other and thus it is not a problem to attend linguistic events at other institutes than one's own and meet linguists from other Dutch universities as well as from abroad. I'm giving up on mentioning concrete names now for the fear that I forget someone. However, apart from all LUCL members, who created such a friendly and stimulating environment, I would like to thank especially the Utrecht linguists, since the Leiden – Utrecht connection was an especially important one to me.

For the development of the ideas put forward in this thesis, certain events and people outside the Netherlands were also important. First, I would like to mention the Nominal and Verbal Plurality workshops that took place in Paris in the years 2007-2009. I would like to thank the organizers (Patricia Cabredo Hofherr and Brenda Laca), as well as the speakers and the audience. Many of the talks presented there contributed substantially to my understanding of plur(action)ality. Second, I would like to thank Katharina Hartmann for inviting me to give a talk at ZAS in June 2009. It not only helped me formulate some of the ideas presented in this dissertation but it was also nice to get in touch with other formal linguists working on Chadic languages (apart from Katharina Hartmann and Malte Zimmerman also Andreas Haida and Mira Grubic), and to work with their informant (who later turned out to be a great companion in Nigeria).

A big portion of the time and energy devoted to this dissertation went into collecting the data. When I started my PhD, I knew nothing about Hausa. It was thus a long journey to the stage when I thought I'd finally understood what is going on with Hausa pluractionals and there were many people involved in the process. I would like to thank them here.

Within LUCL, my thanks go to Maarten Kossman who first introduced me to Hausa in his Hausa structure course and provided me with study material and Stanly Oomen who did his best to make my Hausa tolerable (unfortunately largely unsuccessfully) by texting me in the language and providing me with Hausa books and links to various Hausa websites. I would also like to thank all LUCL members who helped me find native speakers of Hausa in the Netherlands or elsewhere in Europe.

Outside LUCL, there are many people to thank for helping me in Hausa-related matters: those who helped me understand the Hausa grammar, learn aspects of the Hausa culture, find native speakers and those who shared their judgments on pluractional verbs with me. Everyone's help is truly appreciated.

My most important guide into all matters Hausa was undoubtedly Malami Buba. He came as a guest lecturer to Leiden in June 2007 and managed to teach me some Hausa despite the fact that I was often falling asleep right in front of his eyes in my after-lunch dip. Malami wasn't only my Hausa teacher, however. He also provided me with the first set of pluractional data and his comments helped me greatly to get a feel for the data. In

August and September 2009 he also took care of me during my field-trip to Sokoto, Nigeria. His ‘all-in-one’ package deal including accommodation, food, transportation and arranging sessions with informants, among other things, made everything much easier for me. He was also my guide into the Hausa culture and made it possible for me to have a fuller contact with the locals. My thanks also go to Malami’s wife Norma, his Sokoto-based family and friends, who welcomed me warmly in their homes.

In Sokoto, there was one more person who contributed greatly to my enjoyment of my stay there: Mu’awiya Jibir, a.k.a. MJ. Having met a few months earlier in Berlin, it was a pleasure to meet again. Without all the thick sweaters this time (June 2009 was quite cold in Berlin), Mu’awiya took me around, introduced me to his friends and made sure I saw what there was to see and ate what I didn’t get a chance to eat elsewhere, despite the fact that he himself, like everyone else, was fasting. I miss you, Mu’awiya!

Clearly, this dissertation could not have been written without me having access to the relevant data, that is, without the help of my informants. Apart from the native speakers of Hausa that I interviewed in Sokoto, I would like to thank those that I met and had a chance to talk to all around Europe. Whether based in Nigeria, the Netherlands, Great Britain, the Czech republic or Germany, all the Hausa speakers I had a chance to work with were very friendly, helpful and willing to consider all the strange scenarios I asked them to imagine, even though it was often hard for them to conceal their amusement at the kinds of things some linguists want to know. My thanks go naturally also to all those people who helped me find native speakers of Hausa in Europe, which was an unexpectedly difficult job. Thank you all!

One of the most important things that I have gained by becoming a PhD student in Leiden is all the amazing people I met during those years as a consequence of that. Some of the people have already been mentioned above. It was especially my Leiden friends who made my stay in Leiden so much fun. Camelia Constantinescu, Mélanie Jouitteau, Juliette Huber, Stanly Oomen, Rebecca Voll and Allison Kirk (in the order they appeared in my life) represent the ‘core’ of my Leiden family. The family started out as a triangle, with Camelia and Mélanie being its Romance angles, and even though Mélanie, unfortunately for us, left after the first year, it was gradually getting bigger. Rebecca deserves most credit for that as she not only made Josh Wilbur come to Leiden but the two of them even made a new member from scratch. Mélanie, on the other hand, probably deserves most credit for creating links leading outside the Netherlands. It is through her that I met people like Anamaria Fălăuş and Milan Rezac, which is something I’m very thankful to her for – apart from her letting me meet herself, naturally! Back in Leiden, there were others: Sandra Barasa, joining us on special occasions, Jessie Nixon, who moved to Leiden at a later point, and other colleagues-friends. Whether it was painting Camelia’s apartment, canoeing in Czechia, getting lost in the dunes of Texel, playing ‘extreme’ croquet or just having dinners together, all these occasions contributed greatly to making the Leiden years unforgettable for me. Thank you all! I would also like to thank the few non-linguists that I met while I was in Leiden

– on trains, at Turkish dinners, at yoga... You're not likely to read these lines but in case you do, I hope you know I'm talking about you!

Camelia has been mentioned several times already but I would like to do it one more time. One of the lucky consequences of my coming to Leiden is that I met a friend of a kind that one can probably find only once in a lifetime. Thank you for coming into my life and everything that has followed from that!

Apart from the 'new' people in my life, I would like to thank all my old friends who stayed in touch with me throughout my Leiden years. I might not have been able to see especially my Czech friends as often as I would have wanted to but the mere fact that they were (and are) still there made a huge difference to me.

Naturally, I wouldn't be writing these lines without my parents being there in the first place. I would like to thank them for so much more than just creating me, though. I have always had their love and full support in everything I did. I wish my father could see that even though I never changed my mind and started studying something more useful (like law or economics), I'm doing fine. My mother has never worried about that and now she's probably even stopped worrying about where my crazy journey across the planet will take me next. Thank you both for the freedom you always gave me and the confidence you've always had in me! I would also like to thank my sisters and the rest of my family! One of the best things about having a family is that even though no one might really know what exactly it is that you do for a living, they love you anyway.

Finally, I would like to thank Shoshi, for storming into my life and turning it upside down. I'm so glad Bubák threw you my way!

List of figures

Figure 3.1.: Number-neutral denotation

Figure 3.2.: Proper plurals

Figure 3.3.: The core meaning of Hausa pluractionals

Figure 3.4.: The three-component system

Figure 3.5.: The core meaning of Hausa pluractionals

Figure 3.6.: Anchoring

Figure 3.7.: Possible scenario for reading (d) of sentence (53)

List of tables

Table 1.1.: Parallels between nominal and verbal number forms

Table 2.1.: TAMs

Table 2.2.: Forms of the verb *sàyaa* ‘buy’

Table 2.3.: Verb stem *say-* ‘buy’ in different grades

Table 3.1.: Types of participant-based readings

List of languages discussed in this thesis

Language	Genus, (Subfamily,) Family
Arabic	Semitic, Afro-Asiatic
Armenian	Armenian, Indo-European
Berbice Dutch Creole	Creoles and Pidgins
Bole	West Chadic, Chadic, Afro-Asiatic
Brazilian Portuguese	Romance, Indo-European
Chechen	Nakh, Nakh-Daghestanian
Cuzco Quechua	Quechuan, Quechuan
Czech	Slavic, Indo-European
Daba	Biu-Mandara, Chadic, Afro-Asiatic
Dutch	Germanic, Indo-European
English	Germanic, Indo-European
Fasu	Kutubuan, Trans-New Guinea
French	Romance, Indo-European
Georgian	Kartvelian, Kartvelian
Hausa	West Chadic, Chadic, Afro-Asiatic
Huichol	Corachol, Uto-Aztecan
Hungarian	Ugric, Finno-Ugric, Uralic
Ilocano	Northern Philippines, Western Malayo-Polynesian, Austronesian
Indonesian	Sundic, Western Malayo-Polynesian, Austronesian
Italian	Romance, Indo-European
Itonama	Itonama, Itonama
Jamaican Creole	Creoles and Pidgins
Kaqchikel	Mayan, Mayan
Karitiana	Arikem, Tupian
Kiwai	Kiwaian, Kiwaian
Klamath	Klamath-Modoc, Penutian
Koasati	Muskogean, Muskogean
Konso	East Cushitic, Cushitic, Afro-Asiatic
Korean	Korean, Korean
Kwakiutl	Northern Wakashan, Wakashan
Kwarandzyey	Songhay, Nilo-Saharan?
Luiseño	Takic, Uto-Aztecan
Malay	Sundic, Western Malayo-Polynesian, Austronesian
Mandarin	Chinese, Sino-Tibetan
Modern Hebrew	Semitic, Afro-Asiatic
Modern Hindi	Indic, Indo-European
Mohawk	Northern Iroquoian, Iroquoian
Mono	Numic, Uto-Aztecan

Mono Lake Paiute	Numic, Uto-Aztecan
Nahuatl	Aztecan, Uto-Aztecan
Ndyuka	Creoles and Pidgins
Ngamo	West Chadic, Chadic, Afro-Asiatic
Ngizim	West Chadic, Chadic, Afro-Asiatic
Niuean	Oceanic, Eastern Malayo-Polynesian, Austronesian
Nukuoro	Oceanic, Eastern Malayo-Polynesian, Austronesian
Papago	Tepiman, Uto-Aztecan
Pangasinan	Northern Philippines, Western Malayo-Polynesian, Austronesian
Podoko	Biu-Mandara, Chadic, Afro-Asiatic
Pomo	Pomoan, Hokan
Punjabi	Indic, Indo-European
Quileute	Chimakuan, Chimakuan
Russian	Slavic, Indo-European
Saho	Eastern Cushitic, Cushitic, Afro-Asiatic
Sierra Nahuat	Aztecan, Uto-Aztecan
Spanish	Romance, Indo-European
Squamish	Central Salish, Salishan
St'át'imcets (Lillooet Salish)	Interior Salish, Salishan
Tangale	West Chadic, Chadic, Afro-Asiatic
Tubatulabal	Tubatulabal, Uto-Aztecan
Turkish	Turkic, Altaic
Tümpisa Shoshone	Numic, Uto-Aztecan
Vedic (Sanskrit)	Indo-Aryan, Indo-Iranian, Indo-European
West Greenlandic	Eskimo-Aleut, Eskimo-Aleut
Yakan	Sama-Bajaw, Western Malayo-Polynesian, Austronesian
Yuma	Yuman, Hokan
Yurok	Yurok, Algic
Zamboangeño	Creoles and Pidgins
Zoque	Mixe-Zoque, Mixe-Zoque

List of abbreviations

1	first person
2	second person
3	third person
DEL	delimitative
DEM	demonstrative
DIR	directed
DISTR	distributive
F	feminine
FREQ	frequentative
FUT	future TAM
HAB	habitual TAM
IMP	impersonal
IMPF	imperfective TAM
INF	infinitive
IPFV	imperfective aspect
M	masculine
NEG	negation
NEGIMPF	negative imperfective TAM
NEGPF	negative perfective TAM
NONDIR	non-directed
PF	perfective TAM
PFV	perfective aspect
PL	plural
PLC	pluractional
PREF	prefix
PREP	preposition
PST	past
RED	reduplication
RELIMPF	relative imperfective TAM
RELPF	relative perfective TAM
SG	singular
ST	stative
STAB	stabilizer
SUBJ	subjunctive TAM
SUF	suffix
V	verb
VN	verbal noun