



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Cohen's quadratically weighted kappa is higher than linearly weighted kappa for tridiagonal agreement tables

Warrens, M.J.

Citation

Warrens, M. J. (2012). Cohen's quadratically weighted kappa is higher than linearly weighted kappa for tridiagonal agreement tables. *Statistical Methodology*, 9(3), 440-444.
doi:10.1016/j.stamet.2011.08.006

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/18381>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Postprint. Warrens, M. J. (2012). Cohen's quadratically weighted kappa is higher than linearly weighted kappa for tridiagonal agreement tables. *Statistical Methodology*, 9, 440-444.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stamet.2011.08.006>

Author. Matthijs J. Warrens
Institute of Psychology
Unit Methodology and Statistics
Leiden University
P.O. Box 9555, 2300 RB Leiden
The Netherlands
E-mail: warrens@fsw.leidenuniv.nl

Cohen's quadratically weighted kappa is higher than linearly weighted kappa for tridiagonal agreement tables

Matthijs J. Warrens, Leiden University

Abstract: Cohen's weighted kappa is a popular descriptive statistic for measuring the agreement between two raters on an ordinal scale. Popular weights for weighted kappa are the linear weights and the quadratic weights. It has been frequently observed in the literature that the value of the quadratically weighted kappa is higher than the value of the linearly weighted kappa. In this paper this phenomenon is proved for tridiagonal agreement tables. A square table is tridiagonal if it has nonzero elements only on the main diagonal and on the two diagonals directly adjacent to the main diagonal.

Key words: Cohen's kappa; Ordinal agreement; Linear weights; Quadratic weights.

1 Introduction

The kappa coefficient (denoted by κ) is a widely used descriptive statistic for summarizing two nominal variables with identical categories [2, 5, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, 26]. Cohen's κ was originally proposed as a measure of agreement between two raters (observers) who rate each of the same sample of objects (individuals, observations) on a nominal scale with $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ mutually exclusive categories. The κ statistic has been applied to numerous agreement tables encountered in psychology, educational measurement and epidemiology. The value of κ is 1 when perfect agreement between the two raters occurs, 0 when agreement is equal to that expected under independence, and negative when agreement is less than that expected by chance. The popularity of κ has led to the development of many extensions [1, 11, 23].

A popular generalization of Cohen's κ is the weighted kappa coefficient (denoted by κ_w) which was proposed for situations where the disagreements between the raters are not all equally important [6, 9, 10, 13, 16, 25]. For example, when categories are ordered, the seriousness of a disagreement depends on the difference between the ratings. Cohen's κ_w allows the use of weights to describe the closeness of agreement between categories. Popular weights are the so-called linear weights [4, 12, 16] and the quadratic weights [9, 13]. In this paper the linearly weighted kappa will be denoted by κ_1 , whereas the quadratically weighted kappa will be denoted by κ_2 .

A frequent criticism against the use of κ_w is that the weights are arbitrarily defined [16]. In support of κ_2 it turns out that κ_2 is equivalent to the product-moment correlation coefficient under specific conditions [6]. In addition, κ_2 may be interpreted as an intraclass correlation coefficient [9, 13]. In support of κ_1 it turns out that the components of κ_1 corresponding to an $n \times n$ agreement table can be obtained from the $n - 1$ distinct collapsed 2×2 tables that are obtained by combining adjacent categories [16].

It has been frequently observed in the literature that the value of κ_2 is higher than the value of κ_1 . For example, consider the data in Table 1 taken from a study in [15]. In this study 100 patients were rated by two randomly allocated observers on their degree of handicap. For these data we have $\kappa_1 = 0.780 < 0.907 = \kappa_2$. A value of 1 would indicate perfect agreement between the observers. The value of κ_2 does not always exceeds the value of κ_1 . It turns out however that the inequality holds for a special kind of agreement table. In this paper we prove that $\kappa_2 > \kappa_1$ when the agreement table is tridiagonal. A tridiagonal table is a square matrix that has nonzero elements only on the main diagonal and on the two diagonals directly adjacent to the main diagonal [25]. Note that Table 1 is almost tridiagonal. Agreement tables that are tridiagonal or approximately tridiagonal are frequently observed in

Table 1: Ratings of 100 patients by pairs of observers on the degree of disability on a 6-category scale [15].

Observer 2	Observer 1						Row totals
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
0 = No symptoms	5						5
1 = Not significant disability		6	2				8
2 = Slight disability	1	4	13	5	2		25
3 = Moderate disability			6	9	4		19
4 = Moderately severe dis.				2	8	1	11
5 = Severe disability					8	24	32
Column totals	6	10	21	16	22	25	100

applications with ordered categories [3, 7, 8, 14].

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we define a particular case of κ_w , denoted by κ_m , of which κ_1 and κ_2 are special cases. The main result, a conditional inequality between κ_m and κ_ℓ for $m > \ell \geq 1$, is presented in Section 3. The result depicted in the title of this paper is an immediate consequence of the main result.

2 Cohen's weighted kappa

Suppose that two observers each distribute the same set of $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ objects (individuals) among a set of $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ mutually exclusive categories that are defined in advance. Let $F = (f_{ij})$ with $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be the agreement table with the ratings of the observers, where f_{ij} indicates the number of objects placed in category i by the first observer and in category j by the second observer. We assume that the categories of observers are in the same order so that the diagonal elements f_{ii} reflect the number of objects put in the same categories by the observers. For notational convenience we work with the table of proportions $P = (p_{ij})$ with relative frequencies $p_{ij} = f_{ij}/k$.

Row and column totals

$$p_i = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad q_i = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ji}$$

are the marginal totals of P . The weighted kappa statistic can be defined as

$$\kappa_w = \frac{O_w - E_w}{1 - E_w} \quad (1)$$

where

$$O_w = \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_{ij} p_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad E_w = \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_{ij} p_i q_j.$$

For the weights w_{ij} we require $w_{ij} \in [0, 1]$ and $w_{ii} = 1$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. In (1) we assume that $E_w < 1$ to avoid the indeterminate case $E_w = 1$. If we use $w_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and $w_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, κ_w is equal to Cohen's unweighted κ .

Examples of weights for κ_w that have been proposed in the literature, are the linear weights [4, 12, 16, 24] given by

$$w_{ij}^{(1)} = 1 - \frac{|i - j|}{n - 1} \quad (2)$$

and the quadratic weights [9, 13] given by

$$w_{ij}^{(2)} = 1 - \left(\frac{i - j}{n - 1} \right)^2. \quad (3)$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$. The weights in (2) and (3) are special cases of the family of weights given by

$$w_{ij}^{(m)} = 1 - \left(\frac{|i - j|}{n - 1} \right)^m \quad \text{for } m \geq 1.$$

In this paper we are particularly interested in the special case of κ_w given by

$$\kappa_m = \frac{O_m - E_m}{1 - E_m} \quad (4)$$

where

$$O_m = \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_{ij}^{(m)} p_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad E_m = \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_{ij}^{(m)} p_i q_j.$$

Special cases of κ_m are the linearly weighted kappa κ_1 and the quadratically weighted kappa κ_2 . We have $\kappa = \kappa_m$ in the case of $n = 2$ categories [17, 18, 19] and if $O_m = 1$. For the data in Table 1 we have $O_1 = 0.924$, $E_1 = 0.655$ and $\kappa_1 = 0.780$, and $O_2 = 0.982$, $E_2 = 0.811$ and $\kappa_2 = 0.907$.

3 A conditional inequality

Theorem 1 shows that, for $m > \ell \geq 1$, $\kappa_m > \kappa_\ell$ if P is tridiagonal. The latter concept is captured in the following definition.

Definition. A square agreement table P is called tridiagonal if the only nonzero elements of P are the p_{ii} for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and the $p_{i,i+1}$ and $p_{i+1,i}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$.

Theorem 1. Let $n \geq 3$ and let $m > \ell \geq 1$. Furthermore, suppose that P is tridiagonal and that not all the $p_{i,i+1}$ and $p_{i+1,i}$ are 0. Then $\kappa_m > \kappa_\ell$.

Proof: We first show that (5) is equivalent to (9). Since $1 - E_\ell$ and $1 - E_m$ are positive numbers, we have $\kappa_m > \kappa_\ell$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{O_m - E_m}{1 - E_m} &> \frac{O_\ell - E_\ell}{1 - E_\ell} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \\ (O_m - E_m)(1 - E_\ell) &> (O_\ell - E_\ell)(1 - E_m) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \\ O_m - E_m - O_m E_\ell + E_m E_\ell &> O_\ell - E_\ell - O_\ell E_m + E_\ell E_m. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Subtracting $O_\ell + E_\ell E_m$ from and adding $E_m + O_\ell E_\ell$ to both sides of (6), we obtain

$$(O_m - O_\ell)(1 - E_\ell) > (E_m - E_\ell)(1 - O_\ell). \quad (7)$$

Let $w^{(\ell)}$ and $w^{(m)}$ denote the weights of $p_{i,i+1}$ and $p_{i+1,i}$ respectively for κ_ℓ and κ_m . We have

$$w^{(m)} - w^{(\ell)} = \frac{1}{(n-1)^\ell} - \frac{1}{(n-1)^m}. \quad (8)$$

Since $m > \ell \geq 1$ it follows from (8) that $w^{(m)} - w^{(\ell)} > 0$. Furthermore, since not all the $p_{i,i+1}$ and $p_{i+1,i}$ are 0, there is an element on one of the diagonals adjacent to the main diagonal for which the weights satisfy $w^{(m)} - w^{(\ell)} > 0$. Hence $E_m - E_\ell > 0$, and inequality (7) is equivalent to the inequality

$$\frac{O_m - O_\ell}{E_m - E_\ell} > \frac{1 - O_\ell}{1 - E_\ell}. \quad (9)$$

Next, if P is tridiagonal inequality (9) becomes

$$\frac{(w^{(m)} - w^{(\ell)}) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (p_{i,i+1} + p_{i+1,i})}{\sum \sum_{i,j=1}^n (w_{ij}^{(m)} - w_{ij}^{(\ell)}) p_i q_j} > \frac{(1 - w^{(\ell)}) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (p_{i,i+1} + p_{i+1,i})}{\sum \sum_{i,j=1}^n (1 - w_{ij}^{(\ell)}) p_i q_j}. \quad (10)$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (p_{i,i+1} + p_{i+1,i}) > 0$ (not all the $p_{i,i+1}$ and $p_{i+1,i}$ are 0), (10) is equal to the inequality

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \left[(w^{(m)}_{ij} - w^{(\ell)}_{ij})(1 - w^{(\ell)}_{ij}) - (1 - w^{(\ell)}_{ij})(w^{(m)}_{ij} - w^{(\ell)}_{ij}) \right] p_i q_j > 0. \quad (11)$$

For $|i - j| = 0$ we have $w^{(\ell)}_{ij} = w^{(m)}_{ij} = 1$, whereas for $|i - j| = 1$ we have $w^{(\ell)}_{ij} = w^{(\ell)}$ and $w^{(m)}_{ij} = w^{(m)}$. In both cases we have $(w^{(m)}_{ij} - w^{(\ell)}_{ij})(1 - w^{(\ell)}_{ij}) = (1 - w^{(\ell)}_{ij})(w^{(m)}_{ij} - w^{(\ell)}_{ij})$. Hence, inequality (11) holds if

$$(w^{(m)} - w^{(\ell)})(1 - w^{(\ell)}) - (1 - w^{(\ell)})(w^{(m)} - w^{(\ell)}) > 0 \quad (12)$$

for $|i - j| \geq 2$.

We have

$$1 - w^{(\ell)}_{ij} = \left(\frac{|i - j|}{n - 1} \right)^\ell \quad (13a)$$

$$1 - w^{(\ell)} = \frac{1}{(n - 1)^\ell} \quad (13b)$$

$$w^{(m)}_{ij} - w^{(\ell)}_{ij} = \left(\frac{|i - j|}{n - 1} \right)^\ell - \left(\frac{|i - j|}{n - 1} \right)^m. \quad (13c)$$

Using the identities in (8) and (13), inequality (12) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\left(\frac{1}{n - 1} \right)^\ell - \left(\frac{1}{n - 1} \right)^m \right] \left(\frac{|i - j|}{n - 1} \right)^\ell &> \left(\frac{1}{n - 1} \right)^\ell \left[\left(\frac{|i - j|}{n - 1} \right)^\ell - \left(\frac{|i - j|}{n - 1} \right)^m \right] \\ &\Downarrow \\ \left(\frac{1}{n - 1} \right)^\ell \left(\frac{|i - j|}{n - 1} \right)^m &> \left(\frac{1}{n - 1} \right)^m \left(\frac{|i - j|}{n - 1} \right)^\ell \\ &\Downarrow \\ \left(\frac{|i - j|}{n - 1} \right)^{m - \ell} &> \left(\frac{1}{n - 1} \right)^{m - \ell}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Inequality (14) and thus inequality (12) hold for $|i - j| \geq 2$, and hence inequality (11) is valid. This completes the proof. $\square$

Recall that κ denotes Cohen's unweighted kappa. Since κ_m satisfies the conditions of the theorem in [25] we have the following result.

Corollary 1. *Let $n \geq 3$. Furthermore, suppose that P is tridiagonal and that not all the $p_{i,i+1}$ and $p_{i+1,i}$ are 0. Then $\kappa_m > \kappa$.*

Thus, the value of Cohen’s κ never exceeds the value of κ_m if the agreement table is tridiagonal.

The result depicted in the title of this paper is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. *Let $n \geq 3$. Furthermore, suppose that P is tridiagonal and that not all the $p_{i,i+1}$ and $p_{i+1,i}$ are 0. Then $\kappa_2 > \kappa_1 > \kappa$.*

References

- [1] M. Banerjee, M. Capozzoli, L. McSweeney, and D. Sinha. Beyond kappa: A review of interrater agreement measures. *The Canadian Journal of Statistics*, 27:3–23, 1999.
- [2] R. L. Brennan and D. J. Prediger. Coefficient kappa: Some uses, misuses, and alternatives. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 41:687–699, 1981.
- [3] J.-M. Cai, T. S. Hatsukami, M. S. Ferguson, R. Small, N. L. Polissar, and C. Yuan. Classification of human carotid atherosclerotic lesions with in vivo multicontrast magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation*, 106:1368–1373, 2002.
- [4] D. Cicchetti and T. Allison. A new procedure for assessing reliability of scoring EEG sleep recordings. *The American Journal of EEG Technology*, 11:101–109, 1971.
- [5] J. Cohen. A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 20:37–46, 1960.
- [6] J. Cohen. Weighted kappa: Nominal scale agreement with provision for scaled disagreement or partial credit. *Psychological Bulletin*, 70:213–220, 1968.
- [7] M. S. Dirksen, J. J. Bax, A. De Roos, J. W. Jukema, R. J. Van der Geest, K. Geleijns, E. Boersma, E. E. Van der Wall, and H. J. Lamb. Usefulness of dynamic multislice computed tomography of left ventricular function

- in unstable angina pectoris and comparison with echocardiography. *The American Journal of Cardiology*, 90:1157–1160, 2002.
- [8] W. W. Eaton, K. Neufeld, L.-S. Chen, and G. Cai. A comparison of self-report and clinical diagnostic interviews for depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 57:217–222, 2000.
 - [9] J. L. Fleiss and J. Cohen. The equivalence of weighted kappa and the intraclass correlation coefficient as measures of reliability. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 33:613–619, 1973.
 - [10] J. L. Fleiss, J. Cohen, and B. S. Everitt. Large sample standard errors of kappa and weighted kappa. *Psychological Bulletin*, 72:323–327, 1969.
 - [11] H. C. Kraemer, V. S. Periyakoil, and A. Noda. Tutorial in biostatistics: Kappa coefficients in medical research. *Statistics in Medicine*, 21:2109–2129, 2004.
 - [12] P. W. Mielke and K. J. Berry. A note on cohen’s weighted kappa coefficient of agreement with linear weights. *Statistical Methodology*, 6:439–446, 2009.
 - [13] C. Schuster. A note on the interpretation of weighted kappa and its relations to other rater agreement statistics for metric scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 64:243–253, 2004.
 - [14] J. M. Seddon, C. R. Sahagian, R. J. Glynn, R. D. Sperduto E. S. Gragoudas, and the Eye Disorders Case-Control Study Group. Evaluation of an iris color classification system. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 31:1592–1598, 1990.
 - [15] J. C. Van Swieten, P. J. Koudstaal, M. C. Visser, H. J. A. Schouten, and J. Van Gijn. Interobserver agreement for the assessment of handicap in stroke patients. *Stroke*, 19:604–607, 1987.
 - [16] S. Vanbelle and A. Albert. A note on the linearly weighted kappa coefficient for ordinal scales. *Statistical Methodology*, 6:157–163, 2009.
 - [17] M. J. Warrens. On association coefficients for 2×2 tables and properties that do not depend on the marginal distributions. *Psychometrika*, 73:777–789, 2008.
 - [18] M. J. Warrens. On similarity coefficients for 2×2 tables and correction for chance. *Psychometrika*, 73:487–502, 2008.

- [19] M. J. Warrens. On the equivalence of cohen's kappa and the Hubert-Arabie adjusted rand index. *Journal of Classification*, 25:177–183, 2008.
- [20] M. J. Warrens. Cohen's kappa can always be increased and decreased by combining categories. *Statistical Methodology*, 7:673–677, 2010.
- [21] M. J. Warrens. A formal proof of a paradox associated with Cohen's kappa. *Journal of Classification*, 27:322–332, 2010.
- [22] M. J. Warrens. Inequalities between kappa and kappa-like statistics for $k \times k$ tables. *Psychometrika*, 75:176–185, 2010.
- [23] M. J. Warrens. Inequalities between multi-rater kappas. *Advances in Data Analysis and Classification*, 4:271–286, 2010.
- [24] M. J. Warrens. Cohen's linearly weighted kappa is a weighted average of 2x2 kappas. *Psychometrika*, 76:471–486, 2011.
- [25] M. J. Warrens. Weighted kappa is higher than Cohen's kappa for tridiagonal agreement tables. *Statistical Methodology*, 8:268–272, 2011.
- [26] R. Zwick. Another look at interrater agreement. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103:374–378, 1988.