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## Chapter 12

## Lehmer's question

In the second edition of Richard Guy's book "Unsolved Problems in Number Theory" one can read in section A3 a question of D.H. Lehmer, namely: what is $\epsilon_{4}(p)$ ? In this chapter we prove assuming the working hypothesis Mer $=W$ that $\epsilon_{4}(p)$ is non-periodic.

## Converse of the main theorems

In the following table we see the Lehmer symbol $\epsilon_{4}(p)$ for the first 25 odd $p$ such that $2^{p}-1$ is a Mersenne prime.

| $p$ | $\epsilon_{4}(p)$ | $\bmod 3$ | $\bmod 5$ | $\bmod 7$ | $\bmod 9$ | $\bmod 11$ | $\bmod 13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | + | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 5 | + | 2 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 7 | - | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 13 | + | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 17 | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| 19 | - | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 6 |
| 31 | + | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 5 |
| 61 | + | 1 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 9 |
| 89 | - | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 11 |
| 107 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 3 |
| 127 | + | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 10 |
| 521 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 1 |
| 607 | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 9 |
| 1279 | - | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 2203 | + | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 6 |
| 2281 | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| 3217 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 4253 | + | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 2 |
| 4423 | - | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 9689 | - | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 4 |


| $p$ | $\epsilon_{4}(p)$ | $\bmod 3$ | $\bmod 5$ | $\bmod 7$ | $\bmod 9$ | $\bmod 11$ | $\bmod 13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9941 | + | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| 11213 | - | 2 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 7 |
| 19937 | + | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 |
| 21701 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 4 |
| 23209 | + | 1 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 4 |

If the working hypothesis is true then one cannot find patterns between the column with the signs and the modulo-columns. We state this more precisely in the following theorem.

Theorem 12.1. If $\epsilon_{4}$ is periodic, then Mer is not $W$.
Theorem 12.1 implies that if one proves that $\epsilon_{4}$ is periodic, then one has new knowledge about the Frobenius symbols of Mersenne primes.

We will prove the following generalization of Theorem 12.1 in the next section. This Theorem can been seen as the converse of Theorem 7.5.

Theorem 12.2. Let $s \in K$ be a universal starting value. If $\epsilon_{s}$ is periodic and $4-s^{2} \notin K^{* 2}$, then Mer is not $W$.

We get the following similar result for a related pair of potential starting values. This result can been seen as the converse of Corollary 9.4.

Theorem 12.3. Let $s, t \in K$ be a related pair of potential starting values and suppose both $s$ and $t$ are universal starting values. If $\epsilon_{s, t}$ is periodic and $(2+$ $\sqrt{2+s})(2+\sqrt{2+t})$ is not a square in $K(\sqrt{2+s}, \sqrt{2-s})^{*}$, then Mer is not $W$.

We prove Theorem 12.3 in the next section.

## Lehmer's question and the working hypothesis

In this section we prove Theorem 12.1, Theorem 12.2 and Theorem 12.3.
Proof of Theorem 12.2. Let $s \in K$ be a universal starting value. Theorem 3.2 implies that $s$ is a potential starting value. Assume that $4-s^{2} \notin K^{* 2}$. Then Proposition 4.3 implies that the Galois group of the extension $L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group $D_{8}$ of 16 elements. Let $E=K_{s}\left(\sqrt{4-s^{2}}, \sqrt{s+2}\right) \subset$ $L_{s}^{\prime}$. Since $s$ is a potential starting value and $4-s^{2} \notin K^{* 2}$, we have $\left[E: K_{s}\right]=4$. The commutator subgroup of $D_{8}$ has 4 elements and $\left[E: K_{s}\right]=4$, so $E$ is the maximal abelian extension of $K_{s}$ in $L_{s}^{\prime}$. By assumption $\epsilon_{s}$ is periodic. Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be as in Definition 7.4. Define $\zeta=\zeta_{2^{m}-1} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ to be a primitive $\left(2^{m}-1\right)$-th root of unity. Let $L$ be the Galois closure of $L_{s}^{\prime}(\zeta)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $n=[L \cap K: \mathbb{Q}]$, so that $L \cap K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$. By definition $K_{s}=L_{s}^{\prime} \cap K$. Therefore $L_{s}^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ equals $K_{s}$. Hence the restriction map $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}) / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}\right)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore $E \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ is the maximal abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ in $L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$.

We denote the maximal abelian extension of $L \cap K$ in $L$ by $L^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Since $E \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ is the maximal abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ in $L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$, the field $E \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ is a subfield of $L^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $L^{\mathrm{ab}} \cap L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ equals $E \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$. Clearly $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ is a subfield of $L^{\mathrm{ab}}$. In the following diagram we see an overview of the fields, four Galois groups and three group elements used in this proof.


Next we recall the definition of $T_{L}$. Denote the conductor of $L^{\text {ab }}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ by $\mathfrak{f}$. Write $\mathfrak{f}=(\sqrt[n]{2})^{\operatorname{ord} n} \sqrt{2}(\mathfrak{f}) \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{\text {odd }}$. Denote the multiplicative order of $\sqrt[n]{2}$ modulo $\mathfrak{f}_{\text {odd }}$ in the group $\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})} / \mathfrak{f}_{\text {odd }}\right)^{*}$ by $k$. The map $\tau:(\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z})^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\text {ab }} / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)$ is defined by $u \mapsto\left(\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{x}-1\right), L^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)$, where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $x \equiv u \bmod k$ and $x \geq \operatorname{ord}_{\sqrt[n]{2}}(\mathfrak{f})$. Let $r: \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)$ be the restriction map. We recall $T_{L}=r^{-1}$ (image of $\tau$ ).

Suppose for a contradiction the working hypothesis Mer $=W$. Since the restriction map $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}) / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}\right)$ is an isomorphism, Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 5.10(iv) imply that for any $\sigma \in T_{L}$ the element $\left.\sigma\right|_{L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})}$ generates the cyclic group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}) / \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt[n]{2}, \sqrt{4-s^{2}}\right)\right)$ of order 8 . Since $L^{\mathrm{ab}} \cap L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ equals $E \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$, there exist $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in T_{L}$ such that $\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}=$ $\left.\sigma_{2}\right|_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}$ and $\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})} \neq\left(\left.\sigma_{2}\right|_{L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})}\right)^{ \pm 1}$. Since $\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})} \neq\left(\left.\sigma_{2}\right|_{L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})}\right)^{ \pm 1}$ and the restriction map $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}) / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}\right)$ is an isomorphism, we have $\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{L_{s}^{\prime}} \neq\left(\left.\sigma_{2}\right|_{L_{s}^{\prime}}\right)^{ \pm 1}$. Hence Definition 4.6 and Definition 4.5 imply $\lambda_{s}^{\prime}\left(\left[\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{L_{s}^{\prime}}\right]\right) \neq \lambda_{s}^{\prime}\left(\left[\left.\sigma_{2}\right|_{L_{s}^{\prime}}\right]\right)$.

Let $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in T_{L}$ be as above. Then Theorem 11.7(i), applied to the extension $L / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$, implies that there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>l}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(p q, n)=1$ such that $\sigma_{1}=\left(\mathfrak{M}_{p}, L / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)$ and $\sigma_{2}=\left(\mathfrak{M}_{q}, L / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)$, and both ideals $\mathfrak{M}_{p} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})=$ $\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{p}-1\right)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{q} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})=\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{q}-1\right)$ are prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})}$. Since $\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}=\left.\sigma_{2}\right|_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}$, we have $\left.\left(\mathfrak{M}_{p}, L / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)\right|_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}, \zeta)}=\left.\left(\mathfrak{M}_{q}, L / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)\right|_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}, \zeta)}$. The extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}, \zeta) / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ is abelian, so $\left(\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{p}-1\right), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}, \zeta) / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)=\left(\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{q}-\right.\right.$ 1), $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}, \zeta) / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}))$. Since the prime ideals $\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{p}-1\right)$ and $\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{q}-1\right)$ are of degree 1 over $\mathbb{Q}$, we have $\left(\left(2^{p}-1\right), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}\right)=\left(\left(2^{q}-1\right), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. This implies $2^{p}-1 \equiv 2^{q}-1 \bmod \left(2^{m}-1\right)$, so $p \equiv q \bmod m$. By construction $p, q>l$ and by assumption $\epsilon_{s}$ is periodic, so $\epsilon_{s}(p)=\epsilon_{s}(q)$. The consistency property implies
$\left[\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{L_{s}^{\prime}}\right]=\left(\mathfrak{M}_{p} \cap L_{s}^{\prime}, L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}\right)$ and $\left[\left.\sigma_{2}\right|_{L_{s}^{\prime}}\right]=\left(\mathfrak{M}_{q} \cap L_{s}^{\prime}, L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}\right)$. Recall the definition of Frob' above Corollary 5.7. Now we see that $\operatorname{Frob}^{\prime}(p)=\left(\mathfrak{M}_{p} \cap L_{s}^{\prime}, L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Frob}^{\prime}(q)=\left(\mathfrak{M}_{q} \cap L_{s}^{\prime}, L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}\right)$. Therefore we have $\left(\lambda_{s}^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{Frob}^{\prime}\right)(p) \neq\left(\lambda_{s}^{\prime} \circ \mathrm{Frob}^{\prime}\right)(q)$. Now Corollary 5.7 implies $\epsilon_{s}(p) \neq \epsilon_{s}(q)$. This is a contradiction. Hence Mer $\neq$ $W$.

Proof of Theorem 12.1. Note that $4-4^{2}=-12$ is not a square in $K^{*}$. Now Theorem 12.2 implies Theorem 12.1.

The ideas of the proof of Theorem 12.2 can also be applied to pairs of universal starting values. To illustrate this we give the following proof. The following proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 12.2.

Proof of Theorem 12.3. Let $s, t \in K$ be a related pair of potential starting values. We will recall from Chapter 8 the definition of the fields $K_{s, t}, E^{\prime}, E^{\prime \prime}$, $E$ and $F$. Recall $f_{s}=x^{16}-s x^{8}+1$, the element $\alpha=\alpha_{s} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ a zero of $f_{s}$ and $L_{s}$ the splitting field of $f_{s}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(s)$. Recall $K_{s, t}=\left(L_{s} L_{t}\right) \cap K$ and $F_{s}=K_{s, t}\left(\sqrt{4-s^{2}}, \alpha_{s}+\alpha_{s}^{-1}\right)$. Finally we recall $F=F_{s} F_{t}$, the field $E=$ $F_{s} \cap F_{t}$, the field $E^{\prime}=K_{s, t}\left(\sqrt{4-s^{2}}\right)$ and $E^{\prime \prime}=E^{\prime}(\sqrt{s+2})$. By assumption $e^{\prime \prime}=(2+\sqrt{2+s})(2+\sqrt{2+t})$ is not a square in $E^{\prime \prime *}$, so Lemma 9.13 implies $\left[E: E^{\prime}\right] \neq 4$ or 8 . Therefore Lemma 8.16 implies $\left[E: E^{\prime}\right]=2$. Denote the maximal abelian extension of $K_{s, t}$ in $F$ by $D$. Let $T$ be as in Proposition 8.9. Then $D$ equals $T E^{\prime \prime}$.

By assumption $\epsilon_{s, t}$ is periodic. Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be as in Definition 7.4. Define $\zeta=\zeta_{2^{m}-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ to be a primitive $\left(2^{m}-1\right)$-th root of unity. Let $L$ be the Galois closure of $F(\zeta)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $n=[L \cap K: \mathbb{Q}]$, so that $L \cap K=$ $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$. By definition $K_{s, t}=F \cap K$. Therefore $F \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ equals $K_{s, t}$. Hence the restriction map $\operatorname{Gal}(F \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}) / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{s, t}\right)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore $D \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ is the maximal abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ in $F \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$.

We denote the maximal abelian extension of $L \cap K$ in $L$ by $L^{\text {ab }}$. Since $D \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ is the maximal abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ in $F \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$, the field $D \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ is a subfield of $L^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $L^{\mathrm{ab}} \cap F \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ equals $D \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$. Clearly $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ is a subfield of $L^{\mathrm{ab}}$. In the following diagram we see an overview of the fields used in this proof.


Next we recall the definition of $T_{L}$. Denote the conductor of $L^{\text {ab }}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ by $\mathfrak{f}$. Write $\mathfrak{f}=(\sqrt[n]{2})^{\operatorname{ord} \sqrt{2}(\mathfrak{f})} \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{\text {odd }}$. Denote the multiplicative order of $\sqrt[n]{2}$ modulo $\mathfrak{f}_{\text {odd }}$ in the group $\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})} / \mathfrak{f}_{\text {odd }}\right)^{*}$ by $k$. The map $\tau:(\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z})^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\text {ab }} / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)$ is defined by $u \mapsto\left(\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{x}-1\right), L^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)$, where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $x \equiv u \bmod k$ and $x \geq \operatorname{ord}_{\sqrt[n]{2}}(\mathfrak{f})$. Let $r: \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)$ be the restriction map. We recall $T_{L}=r^{-1}$ (image of $\tau$ ).

Suppose for a contradiction the working hypothesis Mer $=W$. Since the restriction map $\operatorname{Gal}(F \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}) / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{s, t}\right)$ is an isomorphism, Proposition 9.8 and the consistency property imply that for any $\sigma \in T_{L}$ the conjugacy class $\left[\left.\sigma\right|_{F}\right]$ is an element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / E^{\prime}\right)^{\text {gen }} / \sim$. Since $\left[E: E^{\prime}\right]=2$, Theorem 8.10 implies that the map $\lambda_{s, t}^{\prime}: \operatorname{Gal}\left(F / E^{\prime}\right)^{\text {gen }} / \sim \rightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ does not factor via the restriction map $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / E^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{gen}} / \sim \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(T / K_{s, t}\right)$. Hence $L^{\text {ab }} \cap F \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})=$ $D \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})=\left(T E^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ implies that there exist $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in T_{L}$ such that $\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}=$ $\left.\sigma_{2}\right|_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}$ and $\lambda_{s, t}^{\prime}\left(\left[\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{F}\right]\right) \neq \lambda_{s, t}^{\prime}\left(\left[\left.\sigma_{2}\right|_{F}\right]\right)$.

Let $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in T_{L}$ be as above. Then by Theorem 11.7(i) (applied to the extension $L / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}))$ there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>l}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(p q, n)=1$ such that $\sigma_{1}=$ $\left(\mathfrak{M}_{p}, L / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)$ and $\sigma_{2}=\left(\mathfrak{M}_{q}, L / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)$, and $\mathfrak{M}_{p} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})=\left(\sqrt[n]{2}{ }^{p}-1\right)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{q} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})=\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{q}-1\right)$ both prime ideals of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$. Since $\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}=\left.\sigma_{2}\right|_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}$, the Frobenius symbol $\left.\left(\mathfrak{M}_{p}, L / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)\right|_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}, \zeta)}$ equals $\left.\left(\mathfrak{M}_{q}, L / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)\right|_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}, \zeta)}$. The extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}, \zeta) / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ is abelian, so $\left(\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{p}-1\right), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}, \zeta) / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})\right)=\left(\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{q}-\right.\right.$ 1), $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}, \zeta) / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}))$. Since the prime ideals $(\sqrt[n]{2}-1)$ and $(\sqrt[n]{2} q-1)$ are of degree 1 over $\mathbb{Q}$, we have $\left(\left(2^{p}-1\right), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}\right)=\left(\left(2^{q}-1\right), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. This implies $2^{p}-1 \equiv$ $2^{q}-1 \bmod \left(2^{m}-1\right)$, so $p \equiv q \bmod m$. By construction we have $\lambda_{s, t}^{\prime}\left(\left[\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{F}\right]\right) \neq$ $\lambda_{s, t}^{\prime}\left(\left[\left.\sigma_{2}\right|_{F}\right]\right)$. The consistency property implies $\left[\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{F}\right]=\left(\mathfrak{M}_{p} \cap F, F / K_{s, t}\right)$ and $\left[\left.\sigma_{2}\right|_{F}\right]=\left(\mathfrak{M}_{q} \cap F, F / K_{s, t}\right)$. Recall the definition of Frob ${ }_{2}$ above Corollary 9.10. Now we see that $\operatorname{Frob}_{2}(p)=\left(\mathfrak{M}_{p} \cap F, F / K_{s, t}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Frob}_{2}(q)=\left(\mathfrak{M}_{q} \cap F, F / K_{s, t}\right)$. Therefore we have $\left(\lambda_{s, t}^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{Frob}_{2}\right)(p) \neq\left(\lambda_{s, t}^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{Frob}_{2}\right)(q)$. Now Corollary 9.10 implies $\epsilon_{s, t}(p) \neq \epsilon_{s, t}(q)$. This is a contradiction. Hence Mer $\neq W$.

