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## Chapter 10

## Mersenne primes in arithmetic progressions

We know that there exist at least 47 Mersenne primes, and it is a conjecture that there are infinitely many. Dirichlet's theorem says for each $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $a$ and $b$ relatively prime there are infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p \equiv a \bmod b$ (see [11, Chapter 8, $\S 7$, Corollary 7.3]). Since there are no Mersenne primes that are 1 modulo 4, Dirichlet's theorem is not true if we replace primes by Mersenne primes. However for the exponents of Mersenne primes one might wonder if for each $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $a$ and $b$ relatively prime there are infinitely many primes $p$ with $p \equiv a \bmod b$ such that $2^{p}-1$ is prime.

In this chapter we will speculate on Mersenne primes in arithmetic progression and reformulate these speculations in terms of Artin symbols (see Theorem 10.6 below). With this reformulation we prepare ourselves for the next chapter, where we will speculate on Frobenius symbols of Mersenne primes and generalise Theorem 10.6 (see Theorem 11.7 below).

## Exponents in arithmetic progressions

Let $\mathcal{E}_{2012}$ be the set of currently known exponents $p$ such that $2^{p}-1$ is a Mersenne prime, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{2012}= & \{2,3,5,7,13,17,19,31,61,89,107,127,521,607,1279,2203,2281, \\
& 3217,4253,4423,9689,9941,11213,19937,21701,23209,44497,86243, \\
& 110503,132049,216091,756839,859433,1257787,1398269,2976221, \\
& 3021377,6972593,13466917,20996011,24036583,25964951,30402457, \\
& 32582657,37156667,42643801,43112609\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the table below we see the frequency of the last digit of $p \in \mathcal{E}_{2012} \backslash\{2,5\}$.

| $i$ | 1 | 3 | 7 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\#\left\{p \in \mathcal{E}_{2012}: p \equiv i \bmod 10\right\}$ | 11 | 11 | 14 | 9 |

The following table shows the frequency of $p \in \mathcal{E}_{2012} \backslash\{3\}$ in residue classes modulo 3.

| $i$ | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\#\left\{p \in \mathcal{E}_{2012}: p \equiv i \bmod 3\right\}$ | 23 | 23 |

The last table shows the frequency of $p \in \mathcal{E}_{2012} \backslash\{3,5\}$ in residue classes modulo 15.

| $i$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\#\left\{p \in \mathcal{E}_{2012}: p \equiv i \bmod 15\right\}$ | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

The distribution of the exponents over the different residue classes modulo 10 , 3 and 15 , make it reasonable to expect that for every $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $a$ and $b$ relatively prime there are infinitely many exponents $p \equiv a \bmod b$ such that $2^{p}-1$ is prime. In this chapter we will reformulate this expectation in two ways using Artin symbols.

## Artin symbols of Mersenne primes

Let $L$ be a finite abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.
Definition 10.1. We define $\operatorname{Mer}_{L}$ to be the set of all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ such that there are infinitely many Mersenne primes $M$ with $\sigma=((M), L / \mathbb{Q})$.

Examples. From Definition 10.1 it is clear that $\operatorname{Mer}_{L}$ is empty if and only if there are only finitely many Mersenne primes. We have $\operatorname{Mer}_{\mathbb{Q}}=\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Q})$ if there are infinitely Mersenne primes. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and let $\zeta_{2^{n}}$ be a primitive $2^{n}$-th root of unity. If there are infinitely many Mersenne primes then the set $\operatorname{Mer}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2}{ }^{n}\right)}$ contains precisely the automorphism induced by complex conjugation.

Next we define the set $W_{L} \subset \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$, which one should think of as the smallest subset of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ that we know that contains $\operatorname{Mer}_{L}$. Let $n_{L}$ be the conductor of $L / \mathbb{Q}$ and let $n_{L, \text { odd }} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the largest odd integer that divides $n_{L}$. Denote by $d_{L}$ the multiplicative order of $\left(2 \bmod n_{L, \text { odd }}\right)$ in the group $\left(\mathbb{Z} / n_{L, \text { odd }} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$. In order to make the Artin symbols in the next definition welldefined, we note that from Lemma 10.9 we get: if $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, q \geq \operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(n_{L}\right)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, d_{L}\right)=1$ then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{q}-1, n_{L}\right)=1$.

Definition 10.2. We define $W_{L}$ to be the set of all Artin symbols $\left(\left(2^{q}-1\right), L / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ with $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, q \geq \operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(n_{L}\right)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, d_{L}\right)=1$.

Proposition 10.3. We have $\operatorname{Mer}_{L} \subset W_{L}$.
We prove Proposition 10.3 in the last section of this chapter.
Examples. We have $W_{\mathbb{Q}}=\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Q})$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ let $\zeta_{n}$ be a primitive $n$-th root of unity. Then for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ the set $W_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2 k}\right)}$ contains only the automorphism induced by complex conjugation.

Suppose $n=2^{10}-1$. Define $L=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}+\zeta_{n}^{-1}\right)$. Then one easily sees $n_{L}=(n)$, $d_{L}=10$ and $W_{L}=\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{7}, \sigma_{127}, \sigma_{511}\right\}$, where $\sigma_{i}: \zeta_{n}+\zeta_{n}^{-1} \mapsto \zeta_{n}^{i}+\zeta_{n}^{-i}$. The
table below is similar to the first table of this chapter, but from an Artin symbol point of view.

| $i$ | 1 | 3 | 7 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\#\left\{p \in \mathcal{E}_{2012}:\left(2^{p}-1, L / \mathbb{Q}\right)=\sigma_{2^{i}-1}\right\}$ | 11 | 11 | 14 | 9 |

There are $\varphi\left(2^{10}-1\right)=600$ different Artin symbols of non-ramified primes in $L / \mathbb{Q}$, but only four different Artin symbols come from Mersenne primes.

The following theorem, which we prove in the last section of this chapter, suggests that one may reasonably conjecture $\operatorname{Mer}_{L}=W_{L}$.

Theorem 10.4. The following two statements are equivalent:
(i) For every $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ relatively prime there are infinitely many integers $p \equiv a \bmod b$ such that $2^{p}-1$ is a Mersenne prime.
(ii) For each finite abelian extension $L$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ we have $\operatorname{Mer}_{L}=W_{L}$.

## Profinite groups

In this section we define the notion of a profinite group (and set and ring) and we will give some examples which will be applied in the next section.

A topological group $G$ is a set together with a group structure and a topological structure such that the multiplication map $G \times G \rightarrow G$, defined by $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \mapsto g_{1} g_{2}$, and inverse map $G \rightarrow G$, defined by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$, are continuous. A topological ring $R$ is a set together with a ring structure and a topological structure such that the multiplication map $R \times R \rightarrow R$, defined by $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \mapsto r_{1} r_{2}$, and addition map $R \times R \rightarrow R$, defined by $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \mapsto r_{1}+r_{2}$, are continuous. Every finite group (or set or ring) is a topological group (or set or ring) if we give the finite group (or set or ring) the discrete topology.

Next we need the notion of a directed partially ordered set. This is a set $I$ with a partial order $\geq$ such that for every $i, j \in I$ there is an element $k \in I$ such that $k \geq i$ and $k \geq j$.

Now we can define a projective system. Let $I$ be a partially ordered set. A projective system of groups (or sets or rings) is a collection of groups (or sets or rings) $G_{i}$ for $i \in I$ with a group (or set or ring) homomorphism $f_{i}^{j}: G_{j} \rightarrow G_{i}$ for all $i, j \in I$ with $j \geq i$ such that $f_{i}^{j} \circ f_{j}^{k}=f_{i}^{k}$ for $k \geq j \geq i$ and $f_{i}^{i}$ is the identity on $G_{i}$.

A projective system has a projective limit, namely
$G={\underset{\gtrless}{i}}_{\lim _{i}} G_{i}=\left\{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} G_{i}:\right.$ for all $i, j \in I$ with $j \geq i$ we have $\left.f_{i}^{j}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=\alpha_{i}\right\}$.
We put a topology on $G$ : we give $G_{i}$ the discrete topology, $\prod_{i \in I} G_{i}$ the product topology and $\lim _{i} G_{i}$ the subspace topology. We call $G$ a profinite group (or set or ring) if $G$ is a topological group (or set or ring) which is isomorphic (as a
topological group (or set or ring)) to a projective limit of finite groups (or sets or rings). For each $i \in I$ we have a projection map $G \rightarrow G_{i}$ such that for all $j \geq i$ the diagram

commutes.
Next we describe two examples of projective limits that we use below. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of positive integers, which we partially order by divisibility. The collection of rings $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ (with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and the maps $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $(a \bmod n) \mapsto(a \bmod m)$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \mid n$, is a projective system. We denote the projective limit $\lim _{n \in \mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})$ by $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ be the projective limit of the rings $\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, where $i$ runs over the positive integers. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\text {odd }}$ be the projective limit of the rings $\left(\mathbb{Z} / n_{\text {odd }} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, where $n_{\text {odd }}$ runs over the odd positive integers. Write $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ as $2^{i} \cdot n_{\text {odd }}$, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $n_{\text {odd }}$ is an odd positive integer. By the Chinese remainder theorem the map $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / n_{\text {odd }} \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $(a \bmod n) \mapsto\left(a \bmod 2^{i}, a \bmod n_{\text {odd }}\right)$ is a ring isomorphism. This isomorphism induces an isomorphism between the profinite rings $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times$ $\mathbb{Z}_{\text {odd }}$. We denote the group of units of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ by $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$. Note that $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$ is a profinite group.

We have an action of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$ on the set $G$ as follows. For $i \in I$ and $x \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$ let $e_{i}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that for $n=n(i)$, the order of $G_{i}$, we have $x_{n}=\left(e_{i}(x) \bmod n\right)$. For $g \in G$ and $x \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$ let $g^{x}=\left(g_{i}^{e_{i}(x)}\right)$. This action $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*} \times G \rightarrow G$ is continuous (see [19, Chapter 1, §5, Proposition 1.5.3]).

Let $I$ be the set of finite abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ inside a chosen algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. The collection of $\operatorname{groups} \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ (with $L \in I$ ) and the restriction maps $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ for $L \subset L^{\prime}$ is a projective system. We denote the projective limit $\varliminf_{L \in I} \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ by $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text {ab }}$.

The group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is canonically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$. Now from the Kronecker-Weber Theorem it follows that $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\lim _{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong$ $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$.

## A profinite reformulation

In this section we will reformulate Theorem 10.4(ii) in terms of projective limits.
Proposition 10.5. Let $L, L^{\prime}$ be finite abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$. Suppose $L \subset$ $L^{\prime}$. Then the restriction map $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ induces surjective maps $\operatorname{Mer}_{L^{\prime}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Mer}_{L}$ and $W_{L^{\prime}} \rightarrow W_{L}$.

Proposition 10.5 will be proved in the next section. Now we can define $\mathrm{Mer}_{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $W_{\mathrm{ab}}$ to be the projective limit of all $\operatorname{Mer}_{L}$ and $W_{L}$ respectively, where $L \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ runs over all finite abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$. The inclusions $\operatorname{Mer}_{L} \subset$
$W_{L} \subset \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ yield the inclusions $\operatorname{Mer}_{\mathrm{ab}} \subset W_{\mathrm{ab}} \subset G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Now we can extend Theorem 10.4.

## Theorem 10.6. The following three statements are equivalent:

(i) For every $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ relatively prime there are infinitely many integers $p \equiv a \bmod b$ such that $2^{p}-1$ is a Mersenne prime.
(ii) For each finite abelian extension $L$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ we have $\operatorname{Mer}_{L}=W_{L}$.
(iii) We have $\operatorname{Mer}_{\mathrm{ab}}=W_{\mathrm{ab}}$.

A proof of Theorem 10.6 can be found in the next section.
Next we describe $W_{\text {ab }}$ by means of class field theory. Let the notation be as above. That is, $L$ is an abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor $n_{L}$, and $d_{L}$ is the multiplicative order of $\left(2 \bmod n_{L, \text { odd }}\right)$ in the group $\left(\mathbb{Z} / n_{L, \text { odd }} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(x, d_{L}\right)=1$. Then Lemma 10.9 implies $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{x}-1, n_{L}\right)=1$. Hence we have a well-defined map

$$
\tau_{d_{L}}:\left(\mathbb{Z} / d_{L} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})
$$

defined by

$$
u \bmod d_{L} \mapsto\left(\left(2^{x}-1\right), L / \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is such that $x \equiv u \bmod d_{L}$ and $x \geq \operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(n_{L}\right)$. Let $m_{L} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the smallest divisor of $d_{L}$ such that $\tau_{d_{L}}$ factors via the natural map $r$ : $\left(\mathbb{Z} / d_{L} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z} / m_{L} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$. Define $\tau_{L}:\left(\mathbb{Z} / m_{L} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ by $\tau_{d_{L}}=\tau_{L} \circ r$. Note that the image of $\tau_{L}$ is $W_{L}$.

Proposition 10.7. The maps $\tau_{L}$ induce a map $\tau_{\mathrm{ab}}$ from $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$ to $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Moreover $\tau_{\mathrm{ab}}$ is continuous.

We prove Proposition 10.7 in the next section.
Now we describe the image of $\tau_{\mathrm{ab}}$ more explicitly. We recall that we can identify $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{*} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\text {odd }}^{*}$ and $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text {ab }}$ with $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$. For $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text {odd }}^{*}$ and $x \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$ recall the definition of $g^{x}$ (see previous section).

Theorem 10.8. We have $\tau_{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}\right)=W_{\mathrm{ab}}$. By identifying $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{*} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\text {odd }}^{*}$, the set $W_{\mathrm{ab}}$ can be described as

$$
\{-1\} \times\left\{2^{x}-1: x \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{*} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\text {odd }}^{*}
$$

Furthermore the map $\tau_{\mathrm{ab}}$ is injective.
We prove Theorem 10.8 in the next section.

## Justifying the reformulations

In this section we prove Proposition 10.3, Theorem 10.4, Proposition 10.5, Theorem 10.6, Proposition 10.7, and Theorem 10.8.

Proof of Proposition 10.3. Suppose $\sigma \in \operatorname{Mer}_{L}$. Recall that $n_{L}$ is the conductor of $L / \mathbb{Q}$. Recall the definition of $n_{L, \text { odd }}$ and $d_{L}$ (see just below Definition 10.1). By assumption there are infinitely many Mersenne primes $M_{p}=2^{p}-1$ with $\sigma=\left(\left(M_{p}\right), L / \mathbb{Q}\right)$, so we can choose one $M_{p}$ such that $p \geq \operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(n_{L}\right)$, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p, d_{L}\right)=1$. The definition of $W_{L}$ implies $\left(\left(M_{p}\right), L / \mathbb{Q}\right)=\sigma \in W_{L}$. Therefore $\mathrm{Mer}_{L}$ is a subset of $W_{L}$.

Proof of Proposition 10.5. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Mer}_{L}$. Then there exist infinitely many Mersenne primes $M$ with $\sigma=((M), L / \mathbb{Q})$. Since there are only finitely many $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ with $\left.\tau\right|_{L}=\sigma$, the consistency property (see Proposition 5.4) implies that there exists $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ with $\left.\tau\right|_{L}=\sigma$ such that there are infinitely many Mersenne primes $M$ with $\tau=\left((M), L^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Hence the restriction map $\operatorname{Mer}_{L^{\prime}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Mer}_{L}$ is surjective.

Since $L \subset L^{\prime}$, the Kronecker-Weber Theorem implies $n_{L} \mid n_{L^{\prime}}$. Therefore we have $n_{L, \text { odd }} \mid n_{L^{\prime}, \text { odd }}$, so $d_{L} \mid d_{L^{\prime}}$. Now the consistency property implies that the map $W_{L^{\prime}} \rightarrow W_{L}$ is well defined and surjective.

Proof of Theorem 10.6. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Direct from the definition of $\mathrm{Mer}_{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $W_{\text {ab }}$ as projective limits.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). For each finite abelian extension $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ we have a surjective restriction map $f_{L}: G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$. Proposition 10.5 implies that the restriction maps $W_{L} \rightarrow W_{L^{\prime}}$ and $\operatorname{Mer}_{L} \rightarrow \operatorname{Mer}_{L^{\prime}}$ are also surjective. By assumption $W_{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathrm{Mer}_{\mathrm{ab}}$, so

$$
W_{L}=f_{L}\left(W_{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=f_{L}\left(\operatorname{Mer}_{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\operatorname{Mer}_{L}
$$

The first and the third equality follow from [19, Chapter 1, $\S 1$, Proposition 1.1.6].
(ii) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{i})$. Fix $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $L$ be the cyclotomic extension obtained by adjoining a root of unity if order $2^{b}-1$ to $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $L$ has conductor $\left(2^{b}-\right.$ 1). The multiplicative order of $\left(2 \bmod 2^{b}-1\right)$ is $b$. By assumption $\operatorname{Mer}_{L}=$ $W_{L}$, so for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ the element $\left(\left(2^{a}-1\right), L / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is contained in $\operatorname{Mer}_{L}$. By definition of $\operatorname{Mer}_{L}$ this means: there are infinitely many Mersenne primes $M_{q}=2^{q}-1$ with $\left(\left(M_{q}\right), L / \mathbb{Q}\right)=\left(\left(2^{a}-1\right), L / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Note that $\left(\left(M_{q}\right), L / \mathbb{Q}\right)=\left(\left(2^{a}-1\right), L / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ implies $M_{q} \equiv 2^{a}-1 \bmod 2^{b}-1$. The congruence $M_{q} \equiv 2^{a}-1 \bmod 2^{b}-1$ implies $q \equiv a \bmod b$. Hence for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ there are infinitely many exponents $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $q \equiv a \bmod b$ such that $2^{q}-1$ is prime.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $L$ be a finite abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the conductor of $L / \mathbb{Q}$. By Kronecker-Weber the cyclotomic field $L^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ contains $L$. We recall the definition of $d_{L^{\prime}}$. Write $n$ as $2^{i} \cdot n_{\text {odd }}$ where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $n_{\text {odd }} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ odd. Then $d_{L^{\prime}}$ is the order of $\left(2 \bmod n_{\text {odd }}\right)$ in the group
$\left(\mathbb{Z} / n_{\text {odd }} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$. By assumption (see (i)): for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, d_{L^{\prime}}\right)=1$ there are infinitely many exponents $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $p \equiv a \bmod d_{L^{\prime}}$ such that $2^{p}-1$ is prime. Hence for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, d_{L^{\prime}}\right)=1$ there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $p \equiv a \bmod d_{L^{\prime}}$ and $p \geq \operatorname{ord}_{2}(n)$ such that $\left(\left(2^{p}-1\right), L^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is an element of $\operatorname{Mer}_{L^{\prime}}$, so $\operatorname{Mer}_{L^{\prime}}=W_{L^{\prime}}$. Using the surjective maps $W_{L^{\prime}} \rightarrow W_{L}$ and $\operatorname{Mer}_{L^{\prime}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Mer}_{L}$ (see Proposition 10.5) we conclude that $\operatorname{Mer}_{L}=W_{L}$.

Proof of Theorem 10.4. This follows directly from Theorem 10.6.
Lemma 10.9. Let $n, d, x \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and let $\mathfrak{f}$ be an ideal of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$. If we have $\sqrt[n]{2}^{d} \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{f}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(d, x)=1$, then we have $\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{x}-1\right)+\mathfrak{f}=$ $\mathcal{O}$.
Proof. Let $\mathfrak{d}=\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{x}-1\right)+\mathfrak{f}$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}$. Then we have $\sqrt[n]{2}^{d} \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{d}$ and $\sqrt[n]{2}^{x} \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{d}$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(d, x)=1$, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a d+b x=1$. Therefore we get $1 \equiv\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{d}\right)^{a} \cdot\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{x}\right)^{b} \equiv \sqrt[n]{2}^{a d+b x} \equiv \sqrt[n]{2} \bmod \mathfrak{d}$. Hence $\sqrt[n]{2}-1 \in \mathfrak{d}$. Note that $\sqrt[n]{2}-1$ is a root of $f=(y+1)^{n}-2$. Since $f$ has constant term -1 , we see that $\sqrt[n]{2}-1$ is a unit of $\mathcal{O}$. Hence we have $\mathfrak{d}=\mathcal{O}$.

Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Note that we have the natural map $A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$. Let $X$ be a set. We call a map $f: A \rightarrow X$ periodic modulo $n$ if there exists a $\operatorname{map} \bar{f}: \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow X$ such that the diagram

commutes.
Lemma 10.10. Let $g, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $A=\{x \in \mathbb{Z}: \operatorname{gcd}(x, n m)=1$ and $x \geq$ $g\}$. Suppose $f: A \rightarrow X$ is periodic modulo $n$ and modulo $m$. Then $f$ is periodic modulo $\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)$.
Proof. Let $c=\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)$. Let $x, y \in A$ such that $x \equiv y \bmod c$. Since $x \equiv$ $y \bmod c$, there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $z \equiv x \bmod n$ and $z \equiv y \bmod m$. Indeed, solve the congruence modulo every highest prime power dividing lcm $(n, m)$ and apply the Chinese remainder Theorem. Clearly we have $\operatorname{gcd}(z, n m)=1$. Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $z^{\prime}=z+n m \cdot h \geq g$. Then $z^{\prime}$ is an element of $A$ and we have $z^{\prime} \equiv x \bmod n$ and $z^{\prime} \equiv y \bmod m$. Therefore we get $f(x)=f\left(z^{\prime}\right)=f(y)$. Hence $f$ is periodic modulo $c$.

Lemma 10.11. Let $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ be finite abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $L \subset L^{\prime}$. Then $m_{L}$ divides $m_{L^{\prime}}$ and the diagram

commutes.
Proof. Set $n=m_{L} \cdot m_{L^{\prime}}$ and $g=\operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(n_{L}\right)$. Let $A=\{x \in \mathbb{Z}: \operatorname{gcd}(x, n)=$ 1 and $x \geq g\}$. Let $r: A \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z} / m_{L} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$ and $r^{\prime}: A \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z} / m_{L}^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$ be the natural maps. Note that $\tau_{L} \circ r$ is periodic modulo $m_{L}$. By the consistency property we have $\tau_{L} \circ r=$ res $\circ \tau_{L^{\prime}} \circ r^{\prime}$, so $\tau_{L} \circ r$ is periodic modulo $m_{L}^{\prime}$. Hence by Lemma 10.10 the map $\tau_{L} \circ r$ is periodic modulo $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{L}, m_{L^{\prime}}\right)$. The definition of $m_{L}$ (see just above Proposition 10.7) implies $m_{L}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{L}, m_{L^{\prime}}\right)$. Hence $m_{L}$ divides $m_{L}^{\prime}$. Therefore we have a natural the map $\left(\mathbb{Z} / m_{L}^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z} / m_{L} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$. Hence by definition of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$ the left square of the diagram in Lemma 10.11 commutes (see the diagram in the section on profinite groups). The consistency property implies that the right square of the diagram in Lemma 10.11 commutes.

Proof of Proposition 10.7. Lemma 10.11 implies that the maps $\tau_{L}$ induce a map $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$ to $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text {ab }}$. Let $r_{L}$ be the restriction map $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text {ab }} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$. The map $r_{L} \circ \tau_{\text {ab }}$ factors via the continuous maps $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z} / m_{L} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$ and $\tau_{L}$. Therefore $r_{L} \circ \tau_{\mathrm{ab}}$ is continuous. Hence we can conclude that $\tau_{\mathrm{ab}}$ is continuous (see [19, Chapter 1, §1, Proposition 1.1.6(d)]).

Proof of Theorem 10.8. The condition $x \geq \operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(n_{L}\right)$ in the definition of the maps $\tau_{L}$ implies that the projection of $W_{\mathrm{ab}}$ (seen as a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{*} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\text {odd }}^{*}$ ) on the first coordinate equals $\{-1\}$. Now the definition of $g^{x}$ implies $W_{\mathrm{ab}}=$ $\{-1\} \times\left\{2^{x}-1: x \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}\right\}$.

Let $\left(a_{m}\right)_{m},\left(b_{m}\right)_{m} \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}$. Suppose $\left(a_{m}\right)_{m} \neq\left(b_{m}\right)_{m}$. Then there is an integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{m} \neq b_{m}$. Let $L=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{m}-1}\right)$, so $m_{L}=m$. Then $\left(\left(2^{a_{m}}-\right.\right.$ $1), L / \mathbb{Q}) \neq\left(\left(2^{b_{m}}-1\right), L / \mathbb{Q}\right)$, which yields $\tau_{\mathrm{ab}}(a) \neq \tau_{\mathrm{ab}}(b)$. Hence the map $\tau_{\mathrm{ab}}$ is injective.

