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## Chapter 5

## The Lehmer symbol

In this chapter we state an observation made by Lehmer giving rise to what we will call the Lehmer symbol (see [4, §A3, page 9]), which is the main object of study in this thesis. After we have introduced this symbol, we will relate it to the so-called Frobenius symbol. In Chapters 7 and 9 properties of the Frobenius symbol will be used to prove properties of the Lehmer symbol.

## Lehmer's observation and the Frobenius symbol

We start with stating Lehmer's observation. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2}$ be such that $M_{p}=$ $2^{p}-1$ is prime, so in particular $p$ is an odd prime. Let $s \in K$ be a starting value for $p$ (see Definition 2.5). Let $\left(s \bmod M_{p}\right)$ be as in Definition 2.4. Define $s_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, p-1\}$ by $s_{1}=\left(s \bmod M_{p}\right)$ and $s_{i+1}=s_{i}^{2}-2$.

Proposition 5.1. Let the assumptions be as above. Then we have $s_{p-2}=$ $\epsilon(s, p) 2^{(p+1) / 2}$ for a unique $\epsilon(s, p) \in\{-1,+1\}$.

In order to see this, note that by Theorem 2.1 we have $s_{p-1}=0$. So Proposition 5.1 follows from

$$
0=s_{p-1}=s_{p-2}^{2}-2=s_{p-2}^{2}-2^{p+1}=\left(s_{p-2}-2^{(p+1) / 2}\right)\left(s_{p-2}+2^{(p+1) / 2}\right)
$$

and the fact that $M_{p}$ is prime.
Now we will define $\epsilon(s, p)$ for $s$ in the field $K=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$ of characteristic zero. Take $s \in K$. Define $P(s)$ by

$$
P(s)=\left\{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2}: M_{p} \text { is prime and } s \text { is a starting value for } p\right\}
$$

Definition 5.2. Let $s \in K$ and $p \in P(s)$. We define the Lehmer symbol $\epsilon(s, p)$ by

$$
\epsilon(s, p)=\epsilon\left(s \bmod M_{p}, p\right)
$$

Next we define the Frobenius symbol. Let $F / E$ be a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group $G$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a non-zero prime ideal of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{E}$ of $E$ that is unramified in $F$. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a prime ideal of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ of $F$ above $\mathfrak{m}$, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_{E} \cap \mathfrak{M}=\mathfrak{m}$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. We denote the fixed field of $H$ by $L$.

Theorem 5.3. There is a unique element $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ in $G$ with the property

$$
\forall x \in \mathcal{O}_{F}: \quad \operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{M}}(x) \equiv x^{\#\left(\mathcal{O}_{E} / \mathfrak{m}\right)} \bmod \mathfrak{M}
$$

where $\#\left(\mathcal{O}_{E} / \mathfrak{m}\right)$ is the number of elements of $\mathcal{O}_{E} / \mathfrak{m}$. Furthermore the inertia degree of $\mathcal{O}_{L} \cap \mathfrak{M}$ over $\mathfrak{m}$ is 1 if and only if $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{M}} \in H$.

For a proof of Theorem 5.3 see the next section. We call the unique element Frob $_{\mathfrak{M}}$ of Theorem 5.3 the Frobenius symbol of $\mathfrak{M}$ over $E$. If we want to make the extension $F / E$ explicit, then we denote Frob $_{\mathfrak{M}}$ by

$$
(\mathfrak{M}, F / E) \text { or }\left(\frac{\mathfrak{M}}{F / E}\right) \text {. }
$$

The Galois group $G$ acts transitively on the set of prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ above $\mathfrak{m}$ and $(\sigma(\mathfrak{M}), F / E)=\sigma(\mathfrak{M}, F / E) \sigma^{-1}$ for any $\sigma \in G$ (see [7, Chapter I, §5]). Therefore the conjugacy class of $(\mathfrak{M}, F / E)$ in $G$ does not depend on the choice of a prime $\mathfrak{M}$ above $\mathfrak{m}$. Hence we can define ( $\mathfrak{m}, F / E$ ) to be the conjugacy class of $(\mathfrak{M}, F / E)$ in $G$. When it is clear in which extension we work we will denote $(\mathfrak{m}, F / E)$ by Frob $_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

We will also use the so-called consistency property of the Frobenius symbol. We will state this property in the next proposition. Let $F^{\prime}$ be a number field such that $E \subset F^{\prime} \subset F$ and $F^{\prime} / E$ Galois. Let $\mathfrak{M}^{\prime}$ be the prime below $\mathfrak{M}$ in $F^{\prime}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{M}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{M} \cap F^{\prime}$.

Proposition 5.4. We have $\left.(\mathfrak{M}, F / E)\right|_{F^{\prime}}=\left(\mathfrak{M}^{\prime}, F^{\prime} / E\right)$, where $\left.(\mathfrak{M}, F / E)\right|_{F^{\prime}}$ is the restriction of $(\mathfrak{M}, F / E)$ to the field $F^{\prime}$.

For a proof of Proposition 5.4 see [7, Chapter X, §1].
Now we relate the Lehmer symbol and the Frobenius symbol. First we recall some notation of Chapter 4 . Let $s \in K$ be a potential starting value, let $f_{s}=x^{16}-s x^{8}+1$ and let $L_{s}$ be the splitting field of $f_{s}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(s)$. Define $K_{s}$ by $K_{s}=L_{s} \cap K$ and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $K_{s}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})$. Define $K_{s}^{\prime \prime}=K_{s}(\sqrt{s-2}, \sqrt{-s-2})$. As in Chapter 4 let $G_{s}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s} / K_{s}\right)$ be the Galois group of $L_{s}$ over $K_{s}$. Recall that the equivalence relation $\sim$ on $G_{s}$ is defined by conjugation. Note that the set $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\text {gen }}$ of elements of order 8 in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is closed under $\sim$.

Proposition 5.5. Let $s \in K$ and let $p \in P(s)$. Then the ideal $\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{p}-1\right)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{K_{s}}$ is prime and unramified in $L_{s}$. Furthermore we have $\operatorname{Frob}\left(\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{p}-1\right), L_{s} / K_{s}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\mathrm{gen}} / \sim$.

We prove Proposition 5.5 in the last section of this chapter. Recall the map

$$
\lambda_{s}: \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\mathrm{gen}} / \sim \rightarrow\{+1,-1\}
$$

of Chapter 4. We define the map

$$
\epsilon_{s}: P(s) \rightarrow\{+1,-1\}
$$

by $\epsilon_{s}: p \mapsto \epsilon(s, p)$ and we define a map

$$
\text { Frob : } P(s) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\operatorname{gen}}
$$

by $p \mapsto \operatorname{Frob}\left(\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{p}-1\right), L_{s} / K_{s}\right)$. Note that this map is well-defined by Proposition 5.5.

The following theorem relates the Lehmer symbol to the Frobenius symbol.
Theorem 5.6. Let $s \in K$ be a potential starting value. Then the diagram

commutes.
A proof of Theorem 5.6 can be found in the last section of this chapter.
We finish this section with a corollary of Theorem 5.6. First we recall some notation of Chapter 4. The map $r: \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\text {gen }} / \sim \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {gen }} / \sim$ induced by the restriction map $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s} / K_{s}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}\right)$ is bijective. We define the map Frob ${ }^{\prime}=r \circ$ Frob from $P(s)$ to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {gen }} / \sim$. Note that the consistency property implies $\operatorname{Frob}^{\prime}(p)=\operatorname{Frob}\left(\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{p}-1\right), L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}\right)$. Recall the $\operatorname{map} \lambda_{s}^{\prime}: \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {gen }} / \sim \rightarrow\{+1,-1\}$ (see Definition 4.6). Now Theorem 5.6 and the definition of $\lambda_{s}^{\prime}$ yield the following corollary.

Corollary 5.7. Let $s \in K$ be a potential starting value. Then the diagram

commutes.
Corollary 5.7 implies that if $p, q \in P(s)$ and $\operatorname{Frob}^{\prime}(p)=\operatorname{Frob}^{\prime}(q)$ then $p$ and $q$ have the same Lehmer symbol.

In the next chapter we state well-known properties of the Frobenius symbol. In the case $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}\right)$ is abelian these properties allow us to calculate the Lehmer symbol more efficiently than by direct calculation of $\epsilon_{\mathcal{S}}(p)$.

## Ramification and ramification groups

In this section we introduce decomposition groups and ramification groups. The proposition that we state about these groups will imply Theorem 5.3.

Let $F / E$ be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group $G$. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a non-zero prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$, let $\mathfrak{m}=\mathcal{O}_{E} \cap \mathfrak{M}$ and let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the prime number below $\mathfrak{M}$, i.e. $(p)=\mathbb{Z} \cap \mathfrak{M}$. We define the decomposition group $G_{\mathfrak{M}}$ of $\mathfrak{M}$ by

$$
G_{\mathfrak{M}}=\{\sigma \in G: \sigma(\mathfrak{M})=\mathfrak{M}\}
$$

Since $\sigma \in G_{\mathfrak{M}}$ leaves $\mathfrak{M}$ fixed and is the identity on $\mathcal{O}_{E}$, the element $\sigma$ induces an element $\bar{\sigma}$ of $\bar{G}_{\mathfrak{M}}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\mathcal{O}_{F} / \mathfrak{M}\right) /\left(\mathcal{O}_{E} / \mathfrak{m}\right)\right)$. Hence we have a group homomorphism

$$
r: G_{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow \bar{G}_{\mathfrak{M}} .
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we define the $n$-th ramification group $V_{\mathfrak{M}, n}$ of $\mathfrak{M}$ by

$$
V_{\mathfrak{M}, n}=\left\{\sigma \in G: \text { for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_{F} \text { we have } \sigma(x) \equiv x \bmod \mathfrak{M}^{n+1}\right\}
$$

Denote the fixed field of $G_{\mathfrak{M}}$ by $D$ and denote the fixed field of $V_{\mathfrak{M}, n}$ by $T_{n}$. Let $L$ be a number field such that $E \subset L \subset F$. In the following proposition we state well-known results about the decomposition group and the ramification groups that we will use in this thesis (see [14, Chapter $1 \S 7$ and $\S 8$, Chapter 4]).

Proposition 5.8. We have:
(i) the map $r$ is surjective and has kernel $V_{\mathfrak{M}, 0}$,
(ii) $\forall \sigma \in G \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}: G_{\sigma(\mathfrak{M})}=\sigma G_{\mathfrak{M}} \sigma^{-1}$ and $V_{\sigma(\mathfrak{M}), n}=\sigma V_{\mathfrak{M}, n} \sigma^{-1}$,
(iii) $e\left(\mathcal{O}_{L} \cap \mathfrak{M} / \mathfrak{m}\right)=f\left(\mathcal{O}_{L} \cap \mathfrak{M} / \mathfrak{m}\right)=1$ if and only if $L \subset D$,
(iv) $e\left(\mathcal{O}_{L} \cap \mathfrak{M} / \mathfrak{m}\right)=1$ if and only if $L \subset T_{0}$,
(v) there is an injective group homomorphism $V_{\mathfrak{M}, 0} / V_{\mathfrak{M}, 1} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{O}_{F} / \mathfrak{M}\right)^{*}$,
(vi) $V_{\mathfrak{M}, 1}=\left\{\sigma \in V_{\mathfrak{M}, 0}\right.$ : order of $\sigma$ equals $p^{n}$ for some $\left.n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Let the notation be as in Theorem 5.3. By assumption $\mathfrak{m}$ is unramified in $F$. Now proposition $5.8(\mathrm{iv})$ implies $T_{0}=F$, so $V_{0}$ is the trivial group. Hence by Proposition 5.8(i) the map $r$ is an isomorphism. We know by the theory of finite fields that there exists a unique element $\bar{\sigma} \in \bar{G}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ defined by $\bar{\sigma}: x \mapsto x^{\#\left(\mathcal{O}_{E} / \mathfrak{m}\right)}$ that generates $\bar{G}_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Hence there exists an element $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{M}} \in G$ that has the property described in Theorem 5.3. To prove uniqueness we have to show that every $\sigma \in G$ with the property as described in Theorem 5.3 belongs to $G_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Let $\sigma \in G$ be an element with the property described in Theorem 5.3. Suppose $x \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then we have $\sigma(x) \equiv x^{\#\left(\mathcal{O}_{E} / \mathfrak{m}\right)} \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{M}$, so $\sigma(\mathfrak{M}) \subset \mathfrak{M}$. Since $\sigma$ has finite order, we see that $\sigma(\mathfrak{M})=\mathfrak{M}$. Hence we have $\sigma \in G_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Therefore we conclude that the element $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is unique. The second part of Theorem 5.3 follows directly from (iii).

We finish this section with a proposition that controls the ramification in $L_{s} / K_{s}$. Let $\mathfrak{d}_{s}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{O}_{K_{s}}: x \cdot s \in \mathcal{O}_{K_{s}}\right\}$ be the denominator ideal of $s \in K$.

Proposition 5.9. Let $s \in K$. If a non-zero prime ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K_{s}}$ ramifies in $L_{s}$ then $\mathfrak{m} \mid 2 \mathfrak{d}_{s}$ or $\mathfrak{m}$ ramifies in $K_{s}\left(\sqrt{4-s^{2}}\right)$.

Proof of Proposition 5.9. We recall from the first section of Chapter 4 that $L_{s}=K_{s}\left(\alpha, \zeta_{8}\right)$. If a non-zero prime ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K_{s}}$ ramifies then it ramifies in $K_{s}\left(\alpha^{8}, \zeta_{8}\right) / K_{s}$ or in $L_{s} / K_{s}\left(\alpha^{8}, \zeta_{8}\right)$.

By definition of $\alpha$ the element $\alpha^{8}$ is a zero of the polynomial $x^{2}-s x+1$, hence $K_{s}\left(\alpha^{8}, \zeta_{8}\right)=K_{s}\left(\sqrt{4-s^{2}}, \zeta_{8}\right)$. In the extension $K_{s}\left(\zeta_{8}\right) / K_{s}$ only the prime ideal $(\sqrt[n]{2})$ can ramify, hence if $\mathfrak{m}$ ramifies in $K_{s}\left(\alpha^{8}, \zeta_{8}\right) / K_{s}$ then $\mathfrak{m} \mid 2$ or $\mathfrak{m}$ ramifies in $K_{s}\left(\sqrt{4-s^{2}}\right) / K_{s}$.

Let $d \in \mathfrak{d}_{s}$. Then $d \cdot s$ is an element of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$, so $g=x^{2}-d s x+d^{2} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}[x]$. Both $d \alpha^{8}$ and $d \alpha^{-8}$ are zeros of $g$. Hence it follows that $d \alpha^{8}, d \alpha^{-8} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Therefore the zero $d \alpha$ of the polynomial $x^{8}-(d \alpha)^{8}$ is an algebraic integer. Hence if $\mathfrak{m}$ ramifies in $L_{s} / K_{s}\left(\alpha^{8}, \zeta_{8}\right)$ then $\mathfrak{m} \mid 8(d \alpha)^{8}$ (see [7, Chapter II, §2]). Similarly if $\mathfrak{m}$ ramifies in $L_{s} / K_{s}\left(\alpha^{8}, \zeta_{8}\right)$ then $\mathfrak{m} \mid 8\left(d \alpha^{-1}\right)^{8}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{m}$ divides $8(d \alpha)^{8} \cdot 8\left(d \alpha^{-1}\right)^{8}=64 d^{16}$, so $\mathfrak{m} \mid 2 d$. Hence if $\mathfrak{m}$ ramifies in $L_{s} / K_{s}\left(\alpha^{8}, \zeta_{8}\right)$ then $\mathfrak{m} \mid 2 \mathfrak{d}_{s}$.

## Relating the symbols

In this section we prove Proposition 5.5 (actually we prove a stronger result, namely Proposition 5.10 below) and Theorem 5.6. Let $s \in K$. Recall the definitions of $L_{s}, L_{s}^{\prime}, K_{s}^{\prime \prime}, K_{s}^{\prime}$ and $K_{s}$ of Chapter 4.

Proposition 5.10. Let $s \in K$, take $p \in P(s)$ and set $n=\left[K_{s}: \mathbb{Q}\right]$. Define $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$ to be the ideal $\left(\sqrt[n]{2}^{p}-1\right)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K_{s}}$. Then we have:
(i) $s$ is a potential starting value,
(ii) $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$ is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K_{s}}$ of degree one over $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified in $L_{s}$,
(iii) $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{M}_{p}}$ generates the group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)$,
(iv) $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{M}_{p}^{\prime}}$ generates the group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}^{\prime}\right)$,
where $\mathfrak{M}_{p}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{p}^{\prime}$ are prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{L_{s}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{L_{s}^{\prime}}$ above $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$ respectively.
Proof. (i) The assumption $p \in P(s)$ implies by definition that $s$ is a starting value for $p$ and that $p$ is odd. Hence $s$ is by Theorem 3.2 a potential starting value.
(ii) By Proposition 4.4 the integer $\left[K_{s}: \mathbb{Q}(s)\right]$ equals 1 or 2 . Since $p \in P(s)$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}(p,[\mathbb{Q}(s): \mathbb{Q}])=1$ and $p$ is odd. Hence we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p,\left[K_{s}: \mathbb{Q}\right]\right)=1$. Since $n$ is even, we see that the absolute norm of $\sqrt[n]{2}-1$ is $(-1)^{n} \cdot-M_{p}=-M_{p}$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$ is a prime of degree one and the fields $\mathcal{O}_{K_{s}} / \mathfrak{m}_{p}$ and $\mathbb{Z} / M_{p} \mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic. Since $p \in P(s)$, we can write $s=r / t$ with $r \in R_{p}$ and $t \in S_{p}$ (see Definition 2.5). By definition of $R_{p}$ and $S_{p}$ there is a positive integer $m \in n \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r, t \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[m]{2}]$ and $p \nmid m$. The prime $\mathfrak{M}_{p}=\left(\sqrt[m]{2}^{p}-1\right)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt[m]{2})$ lies above $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$. Since $t \in S_{p}$ and $S_{p}$ is the inverse image of $\left(\mathbb{Z} / M_{p} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$ under the map $\varphi_{p}: R_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / M_{p} \mathbb{Z}$ (see Chapter 2), the prime $\mathfrak{M}_{p}$ does not divide the ideal $(t)$
of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[m]{2})}$. Hence we have $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{m}_{p}}(s) \geq 0$, so $4-s^{2}$ maps naturally to $\mathcal{O}_{K_{s}} / \mathfrak{m}_{p}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$ does not divide the denominator ideal $\mathfrak{d}_{s}$ of $s$.

Since $s$ is a starting value for $p$, it follows that $4-s^{2}$ is a nonzero square in $\mathbb{Z} / M_{p} \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $4-s^{2}$ is a nonzero square in $\mathcal{O}_{K_{s}} / \mathfrak{m}_{p}$, so $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$ splits completely in $K_{s}\left(\sqrt{4-s^{2}}\right)$. Now Proposition 5.9 implies (ii).
(iii) From (ii) it follows that $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$ is unramified in $L_{s}$. In the proof of (ii) we showed that $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{m}_{p}}(s) \geq 0$. Since $s$ is a starting value for $p$, the elements $s-2$ and $-s-2$ are nonzero squares in $\mathbb{Z} / M_{p} \mathbb{Z}$. Hence the natural images of $s-2$ and $-s-2$ are nonzero squares in $\mathcal{O}_{K_{s}} / \mathfrak{m}_{p}$. From this it follows that $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$ splits completely in $K_{s}^{\prime \prime}=K_{s}(\sqrt{s-2}, \sqrt{-s-2})$. The primes above $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$ in $K_{s}^{\prime \prime}$ are inert in the extension $K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\left(\alpha^{4}+\alpha^{-4}\right)=K_{s}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{i})$ over $K_{s}^{\prime \prime}$ since $\left(\frac{-1}{M_{p}}\right)=-1$. Now Theorem 5.3 implies that $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{p}^{\prime \prime}, K_{s}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{i}) / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ generates $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{s}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{i}) / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{p}^{\prime \prime}$ is the prime of $K_{s}^{\prime \prime}$ below $\mathfrak{M}_{p}$. By Proposition 4.2 the extension $L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}$ is cyclic of order 8. By Proposition 5.4 the element $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{p}^{\prime \prime}, L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ generates $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is abelian and $\mathfrak{M}_{p}$ lies above $\mathfrak{m}_{p}^{\prime \prime}$, the element $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{p}^{\prime \prime}, L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ equals Frob $\mathfrak{M}_{p}$. This completes the proof of (iii).
(iv) Take $\mathfrak{M}_{p}$ above $\mathfrak{M}_{p}^{\prime}$. By (iii) we know that ( $\mathfrak{M}_{p}, L_{s} / K_{s}$ ) generates $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s} / K_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Using Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 5.4 for the extension $K_{s} \subset$ $L_{s}^{\prime} \subset L_{s}$ yields that $\left(\mathfrak{M}_{p}^{\prime}, L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}\right)$ generates $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{s}^{\prime} / K_{s}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof of Proposition 5.5. Directly from Proposition 5.10(ii) and (iii).
Proof of Theorem 5.6. Let $\mathcal{O}_{L_{s}}$ be the ring of integers of $L_{s}$. Since ring morphisms respect inverting, it follows that Theorem 5.3 can also be applied to elements $x$ in the local ring $\left(\mathcal{O}_{L_{s}}\right)_{\mathfrak{M}_{p}}$, where $\mathfrak{M}_{p}$ is as above.

Let $p \in P(s)$. Then $\left(s \bmod M_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} / M_{p} \mathbb{Z}$ is defined. Hence $\alpha$, a root of the polynomial $x^{16}-s x^{8}+1$, is an element of $\left(\mathcal{O}_{L_{s}}\right)_{\mathfrak{M}_{p}}$. By Theorem 5.3 we have $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{M}_{p}}(\alpha) \alpha+\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{M}_{p}}\left(\alpha^{-1}\right) \alpha^{-1}=\alpha^{M_{p}+1}+\alpha^{-\left(M_{p}+1\right)}=\left(\alpha^{8}\right)^{2^{p-3}}+\left(\alpha^{-8}\right)^{2^{p-3}}$ in the field $\mathcal{O}_{L_{s}} / \mathfrak{M}_{p}$. Recall that

$$
s_{i+1}=s_{i}^{2}-2
$$

From $s_{1}=s=\alpha^{8}+\alpha^{-8}$ we get $s_{p-2}=\left(\alpha^{8}\right)^{2^{p-3}}+\left(\alpha^{-8}\right)^{2^{p-3}}$. Note $\zeta_{8} \in L_{s}$ implies that $n$ is even. Hence $\sqrt{2}-2^{(p+1) / 2}=\sqrt{2}\left(1-\sqrt{2}^{p}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{p}\left|\left(1-\sqrt[n]{2}^{p}\right)\right|$ $\left(1-\sqrt{2}^{p}\right)$ imply

$$
\left(\alpha^{8}\right)^{2^{p-3}}+\left(\alpha^{-8}\right)^{2^{p-3}}=s_{p-2}=\epsilon(s, p) 2^{(p+1) / 2}=\epsilon(s, p) \sqrt{2}
$$

in the field $\mathcal{O}_{L_{s}} / \mathfrak{M}_{p}$. This means that the equality

$$
\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{M}_{p}}(\alpha) \alpha+\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{M}_{p}}\left(\alpha^{-1}\right) \alpha^{-1}\right) / \sqrt{2}=\epsilon(s, p)
$$

holds in the field $\mathcal{O}_{L_{s}} / \mathfrak{M}_{p}$. By Proposition 5.10 (iii) the element [Frob $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$ ] is in the domain of $\lambda_{s}$. Applying Proposition 4.5 we see that

$$
\epsilon_{s}=\lambda_{s} \circ \text { Frob. }
$$

