



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Insulin resistance in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus : effects of a very low calorie diet

Jazet, I.M.

Citation


Jazet, I. M. (2006, April 11). *Insulin resistance in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus : effects of a very low calorie diet*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4366>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4366>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

An abstract charcoal or pencil drawing of a human face, rendered in a soft, sketchy style. The drawing is oriented vertically, with the top of the head at the top of the page. The features are suggested with light, flowing lines and shading, particularly around the eyes, nose, and mouth. The overall tone is contemplative and artistic.

CHAPTER 1

Introduction and outline of the thesis

- 1.1 Obesity and type 2 diabetes: definitions, epidemiology and health problems.
- 1.2 Insulin
 - 1.2.1. Hormone production
 - 1.2.2. Hormone secretion
 - 1.2.3. Hormone action
- 1.3. Normal glucose regulation
 - 1.3.1 Glucose homeostasis at the whole-body level
 - 1.3.2 Insulin signalling, molecular mechanisms regulating glucose uptake
- 1.4. Type 2 diabetes mellitus
 - 1.4.1 Insulin resistance at the whole-body level
 - 1.4.2 Molecular mechanisms of insulin resistance
 - 1.4.3 How are changes in skeletal muscle insulin-resistance induced?
 - 1.4.4 Visceral adiposity and insulin resistance
- 1.5 Obesity and type 2 diabetes; treatment reasons, goals and options
 - 1.5.1 Bariatric surgery
 - 1.5.2 Very low calorie diets
- 1.6 Research questions and outline of the thesis

1.1. OBESITY AND TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS: DEFINITIONS, EPIDEMIOLOGY AND HEALTH PROBLEMS

The enormous increase in overweight and obesity, defined as a body mass index (BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the length in meters squared) > 25 and $> 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ respectively [Table 1]), has reached epidemic proportions. Worldwide 1 billion people are overweight and 300 million people are obese (<http://www.who.int/nut/#obs>, obesity and overweight: fact sheet). Of even greater concern is the increase of overweight and obesity in children: worldwide 22 million children under the age of 5 years and 155 million school-age children (<http://www.worldheart.org/pdf/press.factsheets.children.obesity.pdf>).

The reason for this concern is that overweight and obesity are associated with increased morbidity and mortality (Tables 2 and 3)¹⁻⁴. Relative risks for the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus^{5,6}, hypertension⁷, coronary heart disease^{8,9}, stroke^{10,11}, gallstones¹², osteoarthritis and arthrosis^{13,14}, infertility¹⁵ and certain types of cancer (breast, colon, endometrium)¹⁶⁻¹⁸ are substantially increased in this patient group (Table 2). Even after correction for diabetes mellitus, high blood pressure and other cardiovascular risk factors, overweight and obesity are in themselves independent risk factors for increased mortality¹⁹. The association between BMI and mortality has been described as a J-shaped curve with the lowest mortality for BMI values between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m^2 ; below 18.5 kg/m^2 the risk is increased and above 24.9 kg/m^2 the risk increases, and rises steeply when the BMI gets over 40 kg/m^2 ²⁰.

Insulin resistance is probably the common denominator, relating obesity with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Obesity somehow (visceral fat deposition?) evokes insulin resistance, a condition predisposing for type 2 diabetes mellitus²¹, a chronic disease characterised by impaired insulin secretion and insulin resistance of target organs leading to chronic hyperglycaemia²². In fact, in obese women who develop type 2 diabetes mellitus, in 53% of the cases the condition (diabetes) can be ascribed to obesity (Table 2). Therefore, it is not surprising that, along with the increased prevalence of overweight and obesity, the prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus has also steadily increased. It is estimated that nowadays over 190 million people worldwide have diabetes mellitus²³, more than 90-95% of them having type 2 diabetes melli-

Table 1. Classification of overweight in adults according to WHO1 criteria

Classification	BMI (kg/m ²)	Risk of comorbidities
Normal weight	18.5-24.9	average
Overweight	25.0-29.9	increased
Obesity		
Level I	30.0-34.9	moderately increased
Level II	35.0-39.9	severely increased
Level III (morbid)	≥ 40	very severely increased

¹ World Health Organization. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Technical Report Series, #894, 2000.

Table 2. Estimated health risk for obese (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) adults

	Women		Men	
	Prevalence 9.6%*		Prevalence 8.5%*	
	RR	PAR (%)	RR	PAR (%)
Type 2 diabetes	12.7	52.9	5.2	26.3
Hypertension	4.2	23.5	2.6	12.0
Myocardial infarction	3.2	17.4	1.5	4.1
Colonic carcinoma	2.7	14.0	3.0	14.5
Ischemic heart disease	1.8	7.1	1.8	6.4
Gallstones	1.8	7.1	1.8	6.4
Ovarian carcinoma	1.7	6.3	-	-
Arthrosis	1.4	3.7	1.9	7.1
Stroke	1.3	2.8	1.3	2.5

Prevalence rates concerning obesity are derived from the MORGEn-project RIVM, Int J Obes Rel Metab Dis 2002:1218. The relative risks (RR), are derived from "Tackling Obesity in England. Report by the comptroller and auditor general. London: National Audit Office 2001". This table was derived from the Executive Summary: obesity and overweight, Health Council of the Netherlands, 2003. PAR = population attributable risk, i.e. part of the disease that can be attributed to obesity.

Table 3. Body mass index and relative risk of death.

BMI	Relative risk of death
25.0-26.9	1.3
27.0-28.9	1.6
29.0-31.0	2.1

tus. It has been predicted that in the year 2030 366 million subjects worldwide will suffer from diabetes mellitus²⁴. These are crude estimates, however, that have not taken into account the increase in overweight and obesity; hence, actual numbers may even be much higher.

Genetic factors are without doubt of major significance in the development of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus. However, because the human genome does not change over just decades, genetic predisposition cannot explain the explosive increase in obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus of recent years. Environmental and social factors, like a lack of physical exercise and high caloric intake, are more likely explanations for the epidemic. A chronic imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure eventually leads to obesity.

In obese and obese type 2 diabetic patients, insulin resistance is of paramount pathogenetic significance^{21,25}. Insulin resistance not only impairs glucose homeostasis, but is also associated with hypertension²⁶⁻²⁸, dyslipidaemia²⁹⁻³¹ and abnormalities in coagulation and fibrinolysis^{32,33}, conditions that are independent cardiovascular risk factors³⁴⁻³⁸, seen in both obesity and type 2 diabetes. In addition, insulin resistance in (severely) obese type 2 diabetic patients makes it often difficult to achieve adequate glycaemic regulation. Sooner or later, insulin therapy will be instituted because normalisation of plasma glucose levels cannot be achieved with oral blood glucose-lowering agents alone. Insulin, however, induces weight gain³⁹, which in turn aggravates insulin resistance, thus requiring higher doses of insulin: a

vicious circle has arisen. Furthermore, insulin therapy can also induce or aggravate already existing hyperinsulinaemia, which could be an independent cardiovascular risk factor^{37,38,40,41}, although the relation may be weak⁴².

Weight reduction improves insulin resistance and its associated metabolic features (hypertension, dyslipidaemia, hyperglycaemia)^{43,44}. In obese patients this will lead to a lower risk for associated co-morbid conditions (Table 2). It has also been demonstrated that lifestyle intervention programmes (often combinations of behaviour therapy, diet therapy and exercise) in overweight and obese patients reduces the number of patients that develop type 2 diabetes mellitus^{45,46}. In severely obese type 2 diabetic patients weight loss is, in fact, the only reasonable therapeutic approach. By reducing insulin resistance, glycaemic regulation can be restored often with much less blood glucose-lowering medication.

Calorie restriction remains the hallmark for weight loss. However, only substantial caloric restriction or more moderate caloric restriction for a longer period of time, will lead to the considerable weight loss (probably > 15 kg⁴⁷) needed to restore peripheral insulin sensitivity in morbidly obese patients and (severely) obese type 2 diabetic patients^{47,48}. This can either be achieved through a very low calorie diet (VLCD) or bariatric surgery. The latter is very effective in improving insulin resistance and associated cardiovascular risk factors^{43,49-53}. In addition, bariatric surgery can prevent the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus^{43,54} (review bariatric surgery:^{56,57}). However, the procedure is invasive, costly and (also for logistic reasons) available for a limited number of subjects only. VLCDs are safe⁵⁸, commercially available, relatively cheap, and easy accessible. Given the enormous increase in incidence of obesity and (obese!) type 2 diabetes mellitus, VLCDs are, therefore, an interesting therapeutic option. Thus, the main focus of the studies described in this thesis was to investigate the short-term and long-term effects of calorie restriction *per se* versus weight loss *per se* on glucose and lipid metabolism, both at the whole-body and at the molecular level in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

In this introduction, firstly the main actions of the “master” hormone in glucoregulation, insulin, will be discussed. Secondly, the normal regulation of blood glucose levels will be considered, both at the whole-body level as well as at the molecular level. Thirdly, the pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus is discussed, with specific focus on insulin resistance, both at the whole-body and the molecular level, and potential mechanisms of insulin resistance will be stressed. Fourthly, the reason and goals of therapeutic interventions will be attended, along with possible therapies. Fifthly, our research aims will be formulated and the outline of this thesis will be presented.

1.2. INSULIN


1.2.1 Hormone production

Insulin is a hormone produced by the β -cells of the Islets of Langerhans in the pancreas. At birth about 3×10^5 islets are present, increasing to 1×10^6 islets during the first years of life. The islets contain various cell types which each produce different hormones. The β -cell produces insulin. Other important hormones are somatostatin, produced in the δ -cell, and glucagon, produced in the α -cell. The latter counteracts the effect of insulin in many ways. The β -cell is situated central in the islet of Langerhans whereas the other cells are located peripherally.

The human insulin gene is located on the short arm of chromosome 11. *Via* DNA/RNA re-synthesis, a precursor molecule known as pre-pro-insulin (98 amino acids, molecular weight [MW] 11.500) is produced in the endoplasmatic reticulum of the pancreatic β -cells. It is cleaved to proinsulin (86 amino acids, MW approximately 9000) directly after the molecule has left the ribosome. The proinsulin is transported to the Golgi apparatus, where packaging into clathrin-coated secretory granules takes place. Maturation of the secretory granule is associated with the loss of the clathrin coating. In addition, the proinsulin is converted into insulin and C-peptide (MW 3000) by proteolytic cleavage at two sites. Normal granules shed insulin and C-peptide in equimolar amounts, along with some proinsulin and so-called split-products (only partially cleaved proinsulin). Insulin (MW 5808) itself consists of an A-chain of 21 amino acids and a B-chain of 30 amino acids, which are connected by two disulfide bonds. The secreted insulin first passes the liver where a proportion of insulin is cleared *via* a receptor-mediated process after exerting its action⁵⁹⁻⁶¹. The proportion of insulin cleared during first-pass through the liver has been estimated to be about 50% in dogs⁶⁰ and approximately 40 to 80% in humans⁶²⁻⁶⁵. The plasma half-life time ($t_{1/2}$) of insulin is only 5-10 minutes. C-peptide, the 31 amino acid residue, has no known biological function. Since C-peptide is produced in equimolar amounts with insulin it can be used as a marker for insulin secretory capacity, because it is not cleared by the liver but by the kidney and has a longer $t_{1/2}$ than insulin^{66,67}.

1.2.2. Hormone secretion

The main trigger for insulin release is an increase in the plasma glucose concentration in the portal circulation. Plasma glucose is sensed and taken up by the β -cell *via* facilitated diffusion by the specific glucose transporter (GLUT)-2. Subsequently, glucose is metabolised by the cell, which sets free energy in the form of adenosine tri-phosphate (ATP). The increase in intracellular ATP induces a closure of the ATP-dependent potassium channel at the cell membrane of the β -cell. This causes a depolarisation of the cell membrane, which leads to an opening of the voltage-dependent calcium channels and an inflow of calcium ions into the cell. The increase in intracellular calcium concentration eventually leads to the release of insulin from the granulae *via* exocytosis (Fig. 1)^{66,67}

**Figure 1.**

See text for explanation (section 1.2.2 insulin secretion, page 18).

Several phases of insulin secretion can be identified: (i) basal insulin secretion is the way insulin is released in the post-absorptive state; (ii) the cephalic phase of insulin secretion is evoked by the sight, smell, and taste of food (before any nutrient is absorbed by the gut), and is mediated by pancreatic innervation; (iii) first-phase insulin secretion is defined as the initial burst of insulin, which is released in the first 5–10 min after the β -cells are exposed to a rapid increase in glucose (or other secretagogues); (iv) after the acute response, there is a second-phase insulin secretion, which rises more gradually and is directly related to the degree and duration of the stimulus; (v) finally, a third phase of insulin secretion has been described, albeit only *in vitro*. During all these stages, like many other hormones, insulin is secreted in a pulsatile fashion, resulting in oscillatory concentrations in peripheral blood. Oscillations include rapid pulses (recurring every 8–15 min) superimposed on slower, ultradian oscillations (recurring every 80–120 min) that are closely related to fluctuations in the glucose concentration^{68–71}. This pulsatile pattern of insulin delivery to the liver is regulated mainly by modulation of insulin pulse mass in response to stimuli. The mass of insulin pulses through the liver is the predominant determinant of hepatic insulin clearance⁶⁵.

Table 4. Metabolic actions of insulin at the whole-body level.

	Stimulation of	Inhibition of
Liver	glycogen synthesis	gluconeogenesis
	protein synthesis	glycogenolysis
	lipogenesis	ketogenesis
Muscle	glucose transport	
	glycogen synthesis	
	protein synthesis	proteolysis
Adipose tissue	glucose transport	
	lipogenesis	lipolysis

1.2.3 Hormone action

Insulin is an anabolic hormone, which means that insulin facilitates the storage of energy sources, such as fat and glycogen, and stimulates protein synthesis. Because, physiologically, insulin is secreted following energy intake, insulin not only directs these energy sources towards storage, but simultaneously prevents endogenous release of energy sources (free fatty acids through lipolysis, proteolysis, *de novo* glucose production by the liver and ketogenesis), because these substrates are redundant in times of plenty. The effects of insulin on the various tissues are depicted in Table 4^{66,67}.

1.3 NORMAL GLUCOSE REGULATION

1.3.1. Glucose homeostasis at the whole-body level

Blood glucose levels are usually tightly regulated between 4-8 mmol/L. Low blood glucose levels are dangerous because brain function depends on glucose, and lack of glucose in the brain can cause seizures, loss of consciousness and death. On the other hand, elevated blood glucose levels can lead to either ketoacidosis or hyperglycaemic hyperosmolar dehydration in the acute situation, which can both eventually result in a coma. Furthermore, prolonged elevation of blood glucose levels can result in micro- (retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy) and macrovascular long-term complications.

The tight regulation of plasma glucose levels is achieved by the finely tuned hormonal regulation of glucose uptake by the tissues (rate of disappearance, R_d) on the one hand and glucose production on the other hand (rate of appearance, R_a)⁷².

Glucose uptake by peripheral tissues is either insulin-independent (in the brain) or insulin-dependent (in muscle and adipose tissue). The brain cannot store glucose and, as mentioned before, is critically dependent on glucose for its function. Therefore, in the non-fed (= post-absorptive) state a certain level of endogenous glucose production is necessary. Glucose appearing in the post-absorptive state is mainly derived from the liver⁷³, although the kidney is also capable of glucose production. The amount of glucose produced by the kidney has been reported to be less than 5% after an overnight fast to 20% after a 60-h fast⁷³. However, higher estimates of the contribution of the kidney to total post-absorptive gluconeogenesis have been reported. These differences depend on the techniques used to quantify renal glucose production. A significant role for the kidney in carbohydrate metabolism in type 2 diabetes has recently been proposed^{74,75}. In healthy individuals the amount of endogenous glucose production (EGP, both liver and kidney) in the post-absorptive state averages 1.8-2.3 mg.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹^{73,76-78}, which is about 10.0-12.8 $\mu\text{mol.kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$.

Endogenous glucose production comprises 2 pathways: glycogenolysis, which is the breakdown of glucose stored as glycogen, and gluconeogenesis, which is the synthesis of new glucose molecules from precursor molecules like amino acids (mainly alanine), glycerol and lactate.

Endogenous glucose production is mainly regulated by fluctuations in the insulin/glucagon ratio in the portal vein^{79,80}. Following a meal, insulin secretion is stimulated and the increase in portal vein insulin concentration inhibits endogenous glucose production *via* inhibition of glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis. When the meal has been absorbed, plasma glucose levels decrease, even to a level a little below normal post-absorptive levels. This relative hypoglycaemia leads to increased secretion of glucagon. The subsequent elevation in portal vein glucagon concentration stimulates glycogenolysis and hepatic glucose production⁸¹. Endogenous glucose production is also influenced by other hormones (cortisol, growth hormone), free fatty acids (FFA), gluconeogenic precursors, paracrine substances (cytokines, prostaglandins) and the autonomic nervous system. All these factors keep endogenous glucose production relatively constant, a process called hepatic autoregulation⁸²⁻⁸⁴.

Insulin-stimulated glucose uptake primarily takes place in skeletal muscle and amounts about $0.5 \text{ mg.kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$ (the remainder of the average basal glucose uptake of $2.0\text{--}2.2 \text{ mg.kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$ being utilised by the brain [$1.0\text{--}1.2 \text{ mg.kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$] and red blood cells)^{85,86}. Glucose taken up in the muscle can either be oxidised to pyruvate (aerobic glycolysis) or lactate (anaerobic glycolysis) or stored as glycogen (non-oxidative glucose metabolism). Insulin-stimulated glucose oxidation seems to be bound to a maximum, making non-oxidative glucose disposal quantitatively the most important⁸⁷.

Of the three, for diabetes mellitus pathogenetically important, insulin-sensitive tissues, adipose tissue is the most sensitive for insulin. The EC_{50} value (i.e., the molar concentration of insulin that produces 50% of the maximum possible response that insulin is capable of) for suppression of lipolysis by insulin is between 7 and $16 \text{ } \mu\text{U/mL}$ ^{76,88-92}, whereas the EC_{50} values




Figure 2.

The sight, smell and taste of food already stimulate insulin secretion. However, the rise of serum glucose levels following the consumption of a meal elicits a much more pronounced response (see text on page 19). Subsequently, insulin suppresses endogenous glucose production and lipolysis and stimulates whole-body glucose uptake. The duration of the increased insulin secretion following a meal is related to the degree and duration of hyperglycaemia.

for suppression of EGP of the liver and stimulation of glucose uptake in skeletal muscle, in normal subjects, are 26 $\mu\text{U/mL}$ and 58 $\mu\text{U/mL}$, respectively⁹³.

The differences in the insulin dose-response curve between the various tissues are necessary for normal glucose and lipid metabolism. During an overnight fast, serum insulin levels are sufficiently low as to not to inhibit lipolysis (which provides free fatty acids and hence ketone bodies for the brain and glycerol for gluconeogenesis) and endogenous glucose production (providing glucose for the brain), but, on the other hand, are not high enough for maximum stimulation of (skeletal muscle) glucose uptake. After a meal, serum insulin levels rise, which stimulates glucose uptake and inhibits lipolysis and glucose production. The latter is achieved directly, by inhibition of gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis, as well as indirectly, *via* inhibition of lipolysis, which diminishes the supply of glycerol and free fatty acids to the liver^{66,67}. Fig. 2 shows what happens when a meal has been consumed.

1.3.2. Insulin signalling, molecular mechanisms regulating glucose uptake

Glucose transport and metabolism, protein synthesis and gene expression are all regulated by activation of the insulin-signalling pathway. Insulin signalling aimed at increasing the rate of glucose transport will be discussed below.

Glucose cannot pass the lipid bilayers of the cell membrane and needs a transporter to enter the cell. GLUT-4 is the main insulin-responsive glucose transporter and is located primarily in skeletal muscle cells and adipocytes. In unstimulated fat or muscle cells, 3-10% of GLUT-4 is located at the cell surface and more than 90% is located inside the cell in distinct vesicles⁹⁴. In response to insulin, exercise and contraction, GLUT-4- containing vesicles move to and fuse with the plasma membrane, thereby increasing the number of GLUT-4 molecules in the membrane and, hence, increasing the rate of glucose transport into the cell⁹⁴. Insulin elevates the exocytic rate of GLUT-4 and reduces its endocytotic rate only minimally. A review⁹⁵ on the different intracellular compartments containing GLUT-4 and the proteins that form the cytoskeleton along which GLUT-4 travels is beyond the scope of this thesis; it has not been investigated here.

Insulin is an important mediator of insulin-stimulated glucose transport that begins with binding of insulin at its receptor leading to a signalling cascade that eventually leads to the translocation of GLUT-4 to the cell membrane.

The heterotetrameric insulin receptor consists of 2 extracellular, ligand binding α -subunits and 2 transmembrane β -subunits containing tyrosine kinase domains^{96,97}. When insulin binds to specific regions of the α -subunit, a rapid conformational change results in phosphorylation of the intracellular tyrosine residues on one half of the receptor dimer by the kinase domain of the other half, a process called autophosphorylation⁹⁸⁻¹⁰⁰. The phosphotyrosines on the insulin receptor can now serve as docking sites for phosphotyrosine binding (PTB)-domains on other proteins, such as insulin receptor substrates (IRS-1 to 4), Shc and Gab-1¹⁰¹.




Figure 3.

Binding of insulin at the insulin receptor leads to phosphorylation of the receptor and insulin receptor substrates (IRS). Activated IRS-1 and -2 form a complex with phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and this IRS/PI3K complex subsequently catalyses the formation of 3'-phosphoinositides (phosphatidyl-inositol-3,4-bisphosphate [PIP₂] and phosphatidyl-inositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate [PIP₃]). PIP₃ attracts phosphoinositide-dependent kinase-1 (PDK-1) to the cell membrane and the complex subsequently activates protein kinase C (PKC) or protein kinase B (PKB/Akt), which are both involved in GLUT-4 trafficking to the cell membrane. The PKB/Akt substrate AS160 has recently been discovered as an intermediate in this process. Insulin-independent pathways involved in GLUT-4 translocation involve adenosine monophosphate-activated kinase (AMPK)-dependent (contraction, hypoxia) and -independent pathways.

IRS-1 and -2 appear to be the important mediators of insulin signalling in humans. IRS-1 is specifically involved in skeletal muscle and IRS-2 in adipose tissue insulin signalling¹⁰². Tyrosine phosphorylated IRS recruits and activates signalling molecules with src2-homology (SH2) domains, including phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)¹⁰³.

The IRS-PI3K complex catalyses the formation of 3'-phosphoinositides (phosphatidyl-inositol-3,4-bisphosphate [PIP₂] and phosphatidyl-inositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate [PIP₃]). PIP₃ serves as an allosteric regulator of phosphoinositide-dependent kinase (PDK), attracting PDK-1 to the cell membrane. There, PDK-1 activates (by phosphorylation) downstream mediators, such as protein kinase B (PKB/Akt) and atypical protein kinase C (aPKC, PKCζ/λ).

PKB/Akt is a serine/threonine kinase with 3 different isoforms, Akt 1, 2 and 3. Akt 2 is essential for normal glucose homeostasis^{104,105}. After co-localisation with PDK-1¹⁰⁶, PKB/Akt is activated by phosphorylation of its two principal regulatory sites, Thr308 and Ser473¹⁰⁷. Phosphorylation of both sites is essential for activation of PKB/Akt. Following activation, PKB/Akt dissociates from the cell membrane to affect metabolic processes^{108,109}. Parts of the activated PKB/Akt also translocate to the nucleus to affect gene expression (see Fig. 3). The metabolic processes affected by PKB/Akt are glucose transport (*via* a stimulatory effect on GLUT-4 translocation) and glycogen synthesis. By inactivating glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3) the inhibitory action of GSK-3 on glycogen synthase¹¹⁰ is abrogated and glycogen synthesis is stimulated¹¹¹.

With respect to the stimulatory effect of activated PKB/Akt on the translocation of GLUT-4 to the cell membrane, numerous studies have linked PKB/Akt to the regulation of glucose metabolism but the endogenous substrates regulating these responses are only beginning to be identified. Recent evidence suggests that the protein Akt substrate of 160 kDa (AS160) is involved as an intermediary in this process. AS160 is a protein containing a GTPase-activating domain (GAP) for Rab proteins, which are small G-proteins required for membrane trafficking^{112,113}. Phosphorylation of AS160 is required for the insulin-induced translocation of GLUT4 to the plasma membrane in 3T3-L1 adipocytes¹¹⁴. Another recently discovered PKB/Akt substrate, proline-rich Akt-substrate 40 (PRAS40, also known as Akt1 substrate 1 (Akt1S1))^{115,116}, is ubiquitously expressed and appears to be localised in the nucleus^{116,117}. In response to growth factors, PRAS40 is phosphorylated on Thr246 *via* a PI3K- and PKB/Akt-dependent mechanism^{115,117}. Phosphorylation of PRAS40 facilitates the binding of 14-3-3-proteins *in vitro*, and this protein complex has been implicated in nerve growth factor (NGF) mediated neuroprotection from ischaemia¹¹⁷. Although, PRAS40 is phosphorylated in response to insulin-treatment of cultured cell lines^{115,118}, it is as yet unknown whether this protein is involved in physiological insulin action.

As mentioned earlier, GLUT-4 translocation and, hence, glucose uptake can also be mediated *via* insulin-independent pathways, involving AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)¹¹⁹ and other intermediates¹²⁰. Interestingly, AS160 contains motifs similar to sequences of proteins that are phosphorylated by protein kinase C (PKC)¹²¹ and AMPK¹²². In fact, muscle contraction phosphorylated AMPK, Akt and AS160 in isolated rodent muscle and chemical activation of AS160 caused AS160 phosphorylation¹²³. Possibly, AS160 may act as a common effector of insulin and exercise signalling to recruit GLUT-4 to the plasma membrane.

Another PDK-1 substrate (*via* PI3-kinase) is atypical protein kinase C. In the liver aPKC regulates the expression of sterol regulatory element binding protein-1c (SREBP-1c), a transcription factor that activates numerous genes, including fatty acid synthase (FAS) and acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase, that control lipid synthesis in the liver¹²⁴.

The insulin signal also has to be terminated in order to maintain metabolic control; this is established *via* specific phosphatases. Protein tyrosine phosphatase-1B (PTP1B) is a physiologic negative inhibitor of insulin signalling. By dephosphorylating the activated insulin receptor it terminates the insulin signal transduction¹²⁵. In addition, SH2-domain-containing inositol phosphatases SHIP1 and SHIP 2 terminate PI3K signalling *via* dephosphorylation of the 5-position of the inositol ring of PIP₃, to produce PI(3,4)P₂. The phosphatase PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homologue) dephosphorylates the 3-position on PIP₃, producing PI(4,5)P₂¹²⁶. All three phosphatases can be regarded as potential therapeutic targets for type 2 diabetes mellitus.

1.4. TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a chronic, multifactorial disease characterised by a combination of impaired insulin secretion by the pancreatic β -cells and insulin resistance of target organs, leading to hyperglycaemia. A diagnosis of diabetes mellitus is made when at least one of these three criteria is met: (i) symptoms of diabetes (polyuria, polydipsia, unexplained weight loss) with a casual blood glucose concentration > 11.1 mmol/L, (ii) fasting plasma glucose (FPG) level over 7.0 mmol/L, (iii) 2-h plasma glucose level > 11.1 mmol/L during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT)^{127,128}. If no symptoms are present, one of these criteria must be present on a subsequent day.

Both conditions, i.e., deficient insulin secretion and insulin resistance, are necessary for diabetes mellitus to occur. Insulin resistance and a disturbed first-phase insulin response occur at an early stage in the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus. There seems to be a continuum from normal glucose tolerance to diabetes mellitus. Insulin resistance leads to increased insulin secretion by the pancreatic β -cell. This increase in insulin secretion is sufficient to offset hepatic insulin resistance (thereby maintaining a normal rate of basal hepatic glucose production) and to overcome the defect in muscle glucose uptake. At this moment, normal glucose levels are achieved at the expense of elevated serum insulin levels. In the second phase, the β -cells fail to compensate for the insulin resistance during glucose loads (as occurs during meals), leading to a condition known as impaired glucose tolerance (IGT). The cause is a disturbed first-phase insulin response, which normally suppresses endogenous glucose production. Over the years, the β -cell function deteriorates and when insulin secretion is no longer able to compensate for the insulin resistance hyperglycaemia ensues and a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus is made^{22,129,130}. The relation between insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity is shown in Fig. 4 and the time-course of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Fig. 5.




Figure 4.

In people with normal glucose tolerance (NGT), the relation between insulin sensitivity and β -cell function is curvilinear. See text for explanation (page 25).




Figure 5.

Time course of type 2 diabetes mellitus. See text (page 25) for explanation.

1.4.1. Insulin resistance at the whole-body level

Insulin resistance at target organs leads to decreased glucose uptake, increased glucose production and increased whole-body lipolysis. Therefore, in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, basal glucose production is significantly elevated, leading to fasting hyperglycaemia. In addition, following a meal, insulin resistance leads to inadequate stimulation of (skeletal muscle) glucose uptake and insufficient suppression of endogenous glucose production and lipolysis. The result is postprandial hyperglycaemia.

The incapability to suppress whole-body lipolysis substantially contributes to the increased endogenous glucose production and diminished glucose uptake. Firstly, NEFAs increase endogenous glucose production by stimulating key enzymes involved in gluconeogenesis and by providing the energy needed for glucose production²². Secondly, the glycerol formed by triglyceride hydrolysis serves as a gluconeogenic substrate. Thirdly, free fatty acids impair insulin stimulated glucose uptake. Besides substrate competition (Randle effect)¹³¹, impairment of insulin signalling appears to be responsible for this effect¹³² (see next section).

1.4.2 Molecular mechanisms of insulin resistance

Skeletal muscle

Over 80% of insulin-stimulated glucose disposal takes place in skeletal muscle⁸⁶. The main defect in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus seems to reside in non-oxidative glucose disposal (NOGD), i.e., glycogen synthesis¹³³, the major pathway for overall glucose metabolism. With increasing obesity and insulin resistance, insulin-stimulated NOGD becomes more

impaired^{134,135}. In patients with overt diabetes mellitus, the rate of glycogen formation was 60% that of normal subjects¹³³.

Possible mechanisms involved in decreased glycogen synthesis could either be decreased hexokinase activity, diminished glycogen synthase activity or impaired GLUT-4 translocation. Shulman *et al.* using ³¹P- and ¹³C-nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy showed that the defects were not at the level of hexokinase¹³⁶ or glycogen synthase¹³⁷ activity, but that impaired glucose transport appears to be the prime defect in insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis in type 2 diabetic patients. The defects in glucose transport can either be due to defects in the glucose transporter itself or in translocation of GLUT-4 to the cell membrane.

Polymorphisms of the gene encoding GLUT-4 are very rare¹³⁸⁻¹⁴⁰ in patients with type 2 diabetes and have the same prevalence in non-diabetic subjects. In addition, GLUT-4 protein and mRNA expression are equal^{141,142} or even higher¹⁴³ as compared with normal subjects. However, GLUT-4 does have an abnormal subcellular distribution in insulin-resistant subjects with or without diabetes¹⁴⁴. This indicates that translocation of GLUT-4 from intracellular compartments to the plasma membrane is the prime defect. Hence, defects in the signalling cascade leading to GLUT-4 translocation have been extensively investigated. It appeared that exercise (i.e., non-insulin dependent)-induced GLUT-4 translocation is normal in type 2 diabetic patients¹⁴⁵, but that insulin-stimulated GLUT-4 translocation is impaired¹⁴⁶. Several defects in the insulin-signalling pathway have already been found and will be discussed below.

Insulin binding at the insulin receptor and protein expression of the insulin receptor are normal in skeletal muscle of patients with type 2 diabetes¹⁴⁷⁻¹⁴⁹. Both impaired^{147,150,151} and normal^{149,152,153} receptor tyrosine kinase phosphorylation and/or activity have been reported in subjects with diabetes. However, it is widely believed that the disturbance in GLUT-4 translocation in type 2 diabetes mellitus is due to a post-receptor defect.

IRS-1 is the first molecule downstream in the insulin-signalling cascade and plays a key role in skeletal muscle insulin signalling. In humans, IRS-1 polymorphisms are significantly more common in type 2 diabetic patients than in controls^{154,155}, but their role in the development of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes is unclear¹⁰³. Furthermore, in obese insulin-resistant subjects^{156,157} and moderately overweight type 2 diabetic patients^{149,156,158-160}, insulin-stimulated IRS-1 phosphorylation in skeletal muscle is decreased as compared to control subjects, whereas protein expression is not altered^{149,156,159}. This defect can already be found in normoglycaemic relatives of type 2 diabetic patients¹⁶¹. The cause seems to be serine/threonine phosphorylation of IRS-1, which thereby loses its ability to act as a substrate for the tyrosine kinase activity of the insulin receptor and inhibits its capacity to bind to and activate downstream effector molecules such as PI3K^{162,163}. Here, a link with adipocyte biology (and obesity) can be made, since circulating FFAs and TNF- α have been found to increase serine phosphorylation of IRS-1¹³².

PI3-kinase is central in the insulin-signalling cascade; however, its activation is necessary but not sufficient for the metabolic actions of insulin. A common polymorphism of the p85- α subunit of PI3K (Met326Ile) was found in two percent of a Caucasian study population in homozygous form, leading to a 32% reduction in insulin sensitivity during an intravenous glucose tolerance test as compared to wild type and heterozygous carriers. The frequency of the polymorphism is not increased in diabetes however¹⁶⁴, but insulin-stimulated PI3K activity is impaired in obese subjects¹⁵⁶, as well as in moderately overweight type 2 diabetic patients^{156,158,159,165}.

Little is known about the physiological regulation of PDK-1, but thus far insulin action on PDK-1 appears to be normal in insulin-resistant skeletal muscle¹⁵⁸. With respect to PKB/Akt, unravelling its role in insulin resistance has been complicated by the existence of three isoforms. It appears that Akt 2 is essential in glucose homeostasis, Akt 2 knockout mice having insulin resistance and a diabetes mellitus-like syndrome¹⁰⁴. In humans, recent studies have detected a missense mutation in the kinase domain of PKB- β (Akt2) in a family of severely insulin-resistant patients that was preserved over three generations¹⁶⁶. Not only was the mutant Akt unable to phosphorylate downstream effectors in the insulin-signalling pathway, but it also inhibited phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK), a gluconeogenic enzyme. In humans with type 2 diabetes mellitus, basal PKB/Akt activity was similar to controls. Two *in vivo* studies showed normal insulin-stimulated activation of PKB/Akt^{165,167} in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, although one study used supraphysiological concentrations of insulin¹⁶⁵. In contrast, *in vitro* experiments showed decreased insulin-stimulated PKB/Akt activity at high levels and normal activity at low insulin levels¹⁶⁸ in human muscle strips of type 2 diabetic patients. The defect seems to be isoform specific¹⁶⁹ and a defect in one isoform might be masked by increased activity of the other.

With respect to the recently discovered Akt substrate AS160, Karlsson *et al.* showed that AS160 phosphorylation is impaired in skeletal muscle from type 2 diabetic patients¹⁷⁰.

Liver

Insulin signalling in the liver differs from that in skeletal muscle (and adipose tissue). In muscle, IRS-1 (*via* PI3K) controls both activation of aPKC and PKB/Akt, whereas in the liver aPKC is controlled (again *via* PI3K) by IRS-2 and PKB/Akt by IRS-1. In muscle and adipocytes, aPKC and PKB/Akt stimulate the transportation of GLUT-4 to the cell membrane. In the liver, aPKC regulates the expression of SREBP-1c, a transcription factor that activates numerous genes, including FAS and acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase, that control lipid synthesis in the liver. PKB/Akt in the liver is involved in the control of glucose production¹²⁴.

When insulin activates PKB/Akt (*via* IRS-1), this results in the phosphorylation of Foxo-family transcription factors (Foxo-1a,-3a and -4). These Foxo-transcription factors can bind to so-called insulin response elements (IRE) on the promotor regions of (among others) two key gluconeogenic enzymes: PEPCK and the glucose-6-phosphatase catalytic subunit (G6Pase),

thereby inhibiting their expression^{171,172}. Defective IRS-1 signalling to PKB/Akt leads to lack of inhibition of these two enzymes and increased glucose production^{124,173}.

IRS-2-mediated signalling to aPKC in the liver of diabetic rodents is largely intact or elevated. This might explain the increased very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL)-triglyceride synthesis in type 2 diabetes¹²⁴.

Hepatocyte nuclear factor (HNF) may also play a role in insulin-mediated glucose metabolism in the liver. HNF-1 enhances the effect of insulin on the promoter of the gene encoding G6Pase *via* interaction with an IRE¹⁷⁴. Knockout mice that are homozygous for a null mutation in the HNF-3 gene have a complex impairment of glucose metabolism with persistent hypoglycaemia¹⁷⁵. Finally, HNF-4 is involved in the PI3K/PKB/Akt-dependent stimulation of glucokinase gene expression by insulin, a mechanism involved in increasing glycolysis¹⁷⁶. On the molecular level HNF-4 seems to interact with Foxo-1¹⁷⁷. However, although genetic defects of some of the HNF transcription factors play a role in some forms of maturity-onset diabetes of the young (MODY), thus far no evidence exists that HNF-transcription factors are involved in type 2 diabetes mellitus.

GSK-3, an enzyme regulating glycogen synthesis, is a substrate of PKB/Akt. Normally, GSK-3 is constitutively active, phosphorylating glycogen synthase (GS), which becomes inactive and thus glycogen synthesis is inhibited. Insulin promotes glycogen synthesis *via* PKB-mediated inhibition of GSK-3. Defective glycogen synthesis is not only evident in skeletal muscle of patients with insulin resistance but also in the liver. Polymorphisms in the glycogen synthase gene have been described in insulin-resistant patients, the most frequent being the XbaI and Met416Val mutations within intron 14 and exon 10, respectively¹⁷⁸.

In conclusion, in the liver impaired insulin signalling from IRS-1 to PKB/Akt leads to increased glucose production *via* inhibition of gluconeogenic enzymes. In addition, glycogen synthesis is inhibited and, at least in rodents, impaired IRS-2 signalling to aPKC leads to increased VLDL synthesis. Unfortunately, ethical considerations do not permit liver biopsies in humans to study the pathogenetic abnormalities in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Adipose tissue

About 10% of whole-body glucose uptake occurs in adipose tissue. This might suggest that adipose tissue is of minor importance in insulin-stimulated glucose disposal and in insulin resistance. However, in mice, adipose-tissue-specific GLUT-4 knockout leads to a similar degree of insulin resistance in muscle and liver as muscle-specific GLUT-4 ablation^{179,180}. In addition, muscle GLUT-4 depletion is associated with a markedly enhanced glucose uptake in adipose tissue¹⁸¹. Hence, there seems to be cross-talk between adipose tissue and skeletal muscle, and adipose tissue seems to be of major importance in the development of insulin resistance. This will be discussed in Chapter 2.

Insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in adipose tissue takes place *via* the same mechanism as in skeletal muscle: insulin signalling leading to GLUT-4 translocation. However, discrepan-

cies have been found as to the defects in the insulin-signalling cascade in type 2 diabetic patients, between adipose tissue and skeletal muscle cells. In adipose tissue defects are related to decreased protein expression, whereas this is normal in skeletal muscle. Hence, IRS-1 phosphorylation in adipose tissue of patients with type 2 diabetes is decreased because of a decreased IRS-1 protein expression (by 70%) and PI3K activity is decreased to the same extent by decreased protein expression¹⁸². In addition, in adipose tissue IRS-2 is capable to compensate for changes in IRS-1¹⁸², a phenomenon that does not seem to occur in skeletal muscle¹⁴⁹.

PKB/Akt activation is also impaired in adipose tissue of type 2 diabetic subjects, primarily *via* a reduction in insulin-stimulated serine phosphorylation¹⁸³. GLUT-4 protein and mRNA expression are also substantially reduced in adipose tissue of type 2 diabetic patients¹⁸⁴, in contrast to the normal expression in skeletal muscle^{141,142,185}.

The main interest in the role of adipose tissue in whole-body insulin resistance has been on so called adipocytokines (or even better, adipokines, since not all proteins secreted by adipocytes are cytokines), proteins secreted by the adipocyte that might induce insulin resistance. This will be discussed shortly below and more extensively in Chapter 2.

1.4.3 How are changes in skeletal muscle insulin resistance induced?

Both FFAs and several adipokines derived from adipose tissue can influence insulin sensitivity.

It has been recognised for some time that insulin sensitivity is inversely related to fasting plasma FFA levels¹⁸⁶⁻¹⁸⁸. Furthermore, a strong inverse relationship has been demonstrated between intramyocellular lipid (IMCL) levels and skeletal muscle insulin sensitivity¹⁸⁹⁻¹⁹². Endurance-trained athletes also have high levels of IMCLs, but they have a high insulin sensitivity¹⁹³. It seems that the capacity to oxidise these IMCL is of prime importance in inducing insulin resistance. This has also been called metabolic flexibility^{194,195}. It appears that metabolically-flexible persons (lean, aerobically fit, healthy individuals) have a preference for fat oxidation in muscle during fasting and that during insulin stimulation this fat oxidation is suppressed and glucose oxidation is stimulated¹⁹⁶. In metabolically-inflexible people there is both a blunted preference for fat oxidation in the fasted state and a blunted suppression of fat oxidation upon insulin stimulation¹⁹⁷⁻¹⁹⁹. Hence, athletes appear to have a high IMCL content because they prefer to oxidise fat, with the intramyocellular triglycerides (present in high concentration) serving as an energy reservoir. Whereas in obese and/or type 2 diabetic patients, elevated IMCL seem to be secondary to a structural imbalance between plasma FFA availability, fatty acid re-esterification and oxidation. The defect in fat oxidation seems to reside in the mitochondria²⁰⁰.

Apart from defects in intracellular fatty acid oxidation and or re-esterification, another mechanism leading to increased IMCL might be *via* increased fatty acid uptake. Long-chain fatty acids (LCFA) enter cells mainly by protein-mediated membrane transport, along with

passive diffusional uptake²⁰¹. One of these proteins is the fatty acid transporter (FAT)/CD36. FAT/CD 36, like GLUT-4, is usually located in the cytoplasm and can be acutely translocated to the sarcolemma by stimuli such as contraction and insulin²⁰²⁻²⁰⁶. Both in animal models²⁰⁷ of insulin resistance, as well as in obese non-diabetic and non-obese diabetic humans²⁰², FAT/CD36 membrane expression was increased as compared to lean controls. Moreover, this increased sarcolemmal FAT/CD36 expression was associated with an increase in LCFA uptake^{202,208}. In the human study, the increase in LCFA transport led to a 3-fold increase in fatty acid esterification, whereas fatty acid oxidation remained the same, again indicating that the core defect is in mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation²⁰².

Hence, any perturbation that leads to a defect in mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation (aging, potential type 2 diabetes genes) and/or increased delivery of fatty acids (increased caloric intake, obesity, increase in FAT/CD36) can lead to intramyocellular lipid accumulation.

ICML, in turn, can impair insulin signal transduction. It has been proposed that fatty acid metabolites induce a sustained activation of serine/threonine kinases, like protein kinase C isoforms²⁰⁹⁻²¹¹, I κ B kinase- β ^{212,213} and Jun N-terminal kinase^{163,214}, which phosphorylate IRS-1 and IRS-2 on serine and threonine sites. Serine-phosphorylated forms of IRS-1 and -2 cannot associate with and activate PI3K, resulting in a decreased activation of GLUT4-regulated glucose transport.

Another adipocyte product, TNF- α , also induces insulin resistance *via* serine/threonine phosphorylation of IRS-1, thereby inhibiting insulin signalling²¹⁵⁻²¹⁷.

An extensive review of adipokines and their potential impact on insulin sensitivity is presented in Chapter 2.

1.4.4. Visceral obesity and insulin resistance

A chronic imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure will eventually lead to obesity. Epidemiological studies have shown an association between severe obesity and increased mortality^{20,218,219}. In more moderate obesity, regional distribution of fat seems to play an important role in the risk for (cardiovascular) morbidity and mortality²²⁰⁻²²⁴. As early as 1947 Vague put forward that "android or male-type obesity", is more often associated with increased mortality and risk for diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia and atherosclerosis than the "gynoid" (lower body or gluteofemoral) female-type of fat distribution²²⁵. Later, studies using imaging techniques (computer tomography [CT] and magnetic resonance imaging [MRI]) showed that the detrimental influence of abdominal obesity on metabolic processes is related to the intra-abdominal, i.e., visceral, fat depot and not to subcutaneous fat deposition²²⁶⁻²³⁰. However, other investigators have challenged a primary role for visceral adipose tissue in insulin resistance and showed that truncal subcutaneous adipose tissue is also strongly and inversely related to insulin-stimulated glucose disposal (reviewed by Garg *et al.*²³¹). Moreover, given the fact that visceral adipose tissue contributes only 10-15% of the total systemic free fatty acid flux (the majority of FFAs being derived from non-splanchnic

adipose tissue from the rest of the body)^{232,233}, they questioned the impact of excess visceral adipose tissue on peripheral insulin sensitivity. However, liposuction of subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue does not improve insulin sensitivity¹⁵². Moreover, although only 10–15% of fatty acids are derived from visceral adipose tissue, their drainage *via* the portal vein directly to the liver could imply another, more deleterious mechanism of action than delivery of FFAs (and adipokines) to the liver *via* the hepatic artery. Hence, it is not clear yet whether visceral adipose tissue is the culprit or whether the combination of truncal subcutaneous adipose tissue with visceral adipose tissue are involved in insulin resistance. Finally, it is also unclear whether abdominal obesity causes insulin resistance or is merely the reflection of the pathologic state.

Notwithstanding these uncertainties, available evidence does support an important role for adipose tissue in, possibly, generating and, at least, maintaining whole-body insulin resistance. Several theories have been put forward to explain the link between obesity and insulin resistance. The portal/visceral hypothesis²³⁴ states that visceral fat cells are metabolically more active (especially lipolytic activity) and are less responsive to the antilipolytic effects of insulin as compared to other adipose tissue depots. Subsequently, the high flux of FFAs and glycerol derived from these visceral fat cells, through their unique drainage directly into the liver *via* the vena portae, would induce hepatic insulin resistance, increase hepatic glucose production and increase VLDL-triglyceride production. However, as mentioned in the previous paragraph, the portal/visceral hypothesis cannot link visceral adiposity to peripheral insulin resistance given the fact that only 10–15% of the total FFA flux is derived from visceral adipose tissue, unless some other factor released by visceral adipose tissue induces peripheral insulin resistance and/or visceral fat cells have impaired functioning in insulin-resistant states leading to decreased triglyceride storage and partitioning of fat storage into other organs. This is where 2 new theories emerge: (i) the adipocyte as an endocrine organ and (ii) the ectopic fat storage theory²³⁵.

To begin with the first theory, adipose tissue not merely stores triglycerides but actively secretes lipid moieties such as FFAs and proteins that are called adipokines^{236,237}. Quantitatively, FFAs are the most important. Moreover, elevated FFAs play a major role in inducing whole-body insulin resistance. Chronically elevated FFA levels stimulate hepatic glucose production and VLDL-triglyceride synthesis, leading to hyperglycaemia and dyslipidaemia²². Furthermore, chronically elevated FFA concentrations impair insulin signalling *via* serine/threonine phosphorylation of IRS-1, thereby decreasing insulin-stimulated glucose transport¹³². In addition, chronic exposure to high FFA levels to the pancreas can impair insulin secretion^{238–240}. Several of the adipokines produced by adipose tissue (adiponectin, leptin, TNF- α) can also induce insulin resistance, this will be discussed in Chapter 2.

The theory of ectopic fat storage states that a diminished capacity of fat cells to store fat as triglycerides leads to lipid storage in other organs, such as the liver, pancreas and muscle (overflow hypothesis²⁴¹/ectopic fat storage²³⁵). This causes steatosis hepatitis with hepatic in-

ulin resistance, impaired insulin secretion and skeletal muscle insulin resistance (*via* IMCL and impaired insulin signalling, see previous section)²⁴². The cause of ectopic fat storage is unclear but an association with enlarged adipocytes has been found²⁴³. This might be the result of impaired proliferation or differentiation of adipocytes. On the other hand, impaired whole-body fat oxidation might account for the ectopic accumulation of fat²⁴⁴.

Hence, adipose tissue plays an important role in generating and maintaining insulin resistance *via* the excessive production of FFAs and insulin-resistance-provoking adipokines (TNF- α , IL-6, resistin, leptin and many others), possibly related to specific fat depots (visceral fat mass) and/or malfunctioning of adipocytes (in these specific depots?). Moreover, a diminished capacity to store fat leads to ectopic fat storage with lipotoxicity-induced impairments in function of insulin-responsive tissues such as the liver, muscle and pancreas.

1.5. OBESITY AND TYPE 2 DIABETES; TREATMENT REASONS, GOALS AND OPTIONS

Both obesity associated with insulin resistance (Table 1) and type 2 diabetes mellitus impose a major health risk. Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus have an increased morbidity and mortality due to long-term micro- (retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy) and macrovascular complications. Patients with type 2 diabetes have a 2-4 fold increased relative risk (RR) for the development of myocardial infarction (MI), peripheral arterial disease and stroke²²⁰ and approximately 65% of patients with type 2 diabetes die as a result of a cardiovascular event²⁴⁵. This increased risk is associated with chronic hyperglycaemia and an increase in cardiovascular risk factors such as hyperglycaemia, dyslipidaemia and hypertension. Hypertension occurs in up to 60% of patients with diabetes²⁴⁶, and if diabetes and hypertension co-exist they exert a multiplicative effect on the absolute risk of a cardiovascular event²⁴⁷. Small dense LDL-cholesterol, high serum triglycerides and low HDL-cholesterol characterise diabetic dyslipidaemia. Hence, treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes should not only focus on glucoregulation but also on hypertension and dyslipidaemia.

Mainly based on two large prospective randomised studies investigating the effect of intensive blood glucose-lowering therapy on glycaemic control and occurrence of micro- and macrovascular complications in type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients^{248,249}, the treatment goals for glucoregulation in patients with type 2 diabetes as set by the ADA are: fasting plasma glucose level < 7.0 mmol/L, postprandial glucose level < 10 mmol/L and HbA_{1c} < 7%. In addition, systolic blood pressure should be lower than 130 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure under 80 mmHg. LDL-cholesterol should be < 2.6 mmol/L, triglycerides < 1.7 mmol/L and HDL-cholesterol > 1.1 mmol/L²⁵⁰.

Theoretically, treatment of hyperglycaemia in patients with type 2 diabetes can consist of decreasing the need for insulin and/or increasing available insulin. The need for insulin

can be diminished either by decreasing postprandial glucose levels (diet, acarbose²⁵¹) or improving insulin sensitivity. The latter can be achieved *via* restriction of caloric intake²⁵², weight loss²⁵², exercise²⁵³, or with drugs: metformin^{254,255} or thiazolidinediones^{256,257} (perhaps also rimonabant²⁵⁸ and sibutramine²⁵⁹, because of their weight-loss-inducing properties, their anorexic effects and possibly *via* a direct beneficial effect on insulin sensitivity). Increasing available insulin can be achieved with insulin secretagogues (sulfonylurea derivatives²⁵⁴, meglitinides²⁶⁰) or by giving exogenous insulin.

Weight loss improves multiple aspects of insulin resistance: glucoregulation, dyslipidaemia, hypertension and others. In addition, it decreases the risk for arthrosis, low back pain, gallstones, cancer, etc. So ideally, weight loss should always be a component of the treatment regimen in obese patients.

Weight loss also improves insulin resistance in obese non-diabetic patients. A beneficial effect of even 5-10% loss of overweight has been shown on dyslipidaemia, hypertension, hyperinsulinaemia and glucose values²⁶¹⁻²⁶³. To date, no effect on incidence rates of myocardial infarction, stroke, cancer and mortality has been demonstrated, however.

Weight loss regimens have been proven difficult to adhere to. In addition, weight loss achieved through diet is often followed by weight regain. Regimens combining a hypocaloric diet (500 to 600 kCal less than needed per day) with behaviour therapy and exercise have been proven the most beneficial with respect to outcomes after 1 year²⁶⁴. However, hypocaloric diets often lead to only modest weight loss, whereas morbidly obese patients and obese type 2 diabetic patients need larger weight losses to restore peripheral insulin sensitivity^{47,48}. VLCDs and bariatric surgery have been advocated for this purpose.

1.5.1 Bariatric surgery

Surgical procedures to treat obesity have been performed since the 1950s²⁶⁵ and include truncal vagotomy²⁶⁶, jaw wiring²⁶⁷, intragastric balloons and liposuction. Bariatric (weight loss) surgery can be divided into purely restrictive procedures (vertical banded gastroplasty [VBG], laparoscopic adjustable silicone gastric banding [LASBG]) and combined restrictive and malabsorptive procedures (Roux-en-Y gastric bypass [GBP], biliopancreatic diversion [BPD])^{57,268}. The latter induce larger weight losses and, hence, greater improvements in hypertension, dyslipidaemia, glucose metabolism and hyperinsulinaemia as compared to the purely restrictive techniques^{50,56}. However, they are irreversible, sometimes leading to greater weight losses than necessary and also to nutritional deficiencies. Patients have to take vitamin supplements for the rest of their lives. LASBG is the most popular form of bariatric surgery in the Netherlands (and the rest of Europe), because it can be performed laparoscopic and therefore has fewer perioperative complications and it is reversible. In addition, some influence as to the amount of food intake can be exerted *via* inflation/deflation of the saline-filled gastric ring^{57,268}. This procedure also has disadvantages however, an estimated 7-17% of the

patients has to be re-operated because of band erosion, dislocation or leakage or because of esophageal dilatation^{269,270}.

Bariatric surgery can induce large weight losses (20-50% of body weight) with a higher likelihood of maintaining weight loss (especially the combined restrictive and malabsorptive procedures) as compared to other weight loss interventions^{55,271}.

The Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) study showed that surgically-treated obese subjects had about 25% percent greater weight loss at 10 years follow-up, along with a greater number of persons who no longer had diabetes (if present), hypertriglyceridaemia, low HDL-cholesterol concentrations, hypertension and hyperurikaemia as compared with conventionally treated obese subjects. The surgery group also had lower 2- and 10-year incidence rates of diabetes and hypertriglyceridaemia, but not hypercholesterolaemia⁴³. Others have reported similar beneficial metabolic effects of bariatric surgery.

Bariatric surgery has also been performed in patients with type 2 diabetes. Although in some studies the number of patients with diabetes were small^{52,55}, the impressive results found were confirmed in larger studies^{51,53}. A recent meta-analysis by Buchwald *et al.* showed that 1417 out of 1846 patients (76.8%) completely recovered from their diabetes following bariatric surgery (in the studies that mentioned complete resolution). The mean reduction in BMI was 14 kg/m² and a graded response with respect to diabetes resolution was noted with the greatest effect with BPD, whereas gastric banding was the least effective⁵⁶. A recently published, retrospective chart review of 312 obese patients with type 2 diabetes that underwent biliopancreatic surgery (gastric bypass with biliopancreatic diversion), showed that the beneficial effects on glucose metabolism, dyslipidaemia and hypertension were maintained in most patients even after 10 years follow-up⁵³.

With respect to the underlying metabolic processes leading to the improvement in glucose metabolism following bariatric surgery, studies in morbidly obese patients have shown an improvement in insulin-stimulated glucose disposal, as assessed with the hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp technique^{47,50,272,273}. Data on endogenous glucose production and whole-body lipolysis are not available. Moreover, in obese type 2 diabetic patients no studies using either of these sophisticated techniques have been performed to date.

1.5.2 Very low calorie diets

VLCDs typically provide less than 800 kCal/day. This can be achieved *via* adjustments of "normal" food intake or *via* commercially available packages. The advantage of the latter is that these products contain all the necessary vitamins, minerals and trace elements, so patients need not to figure out what to eat and what not.

VLCDs are safe⁵⁸ and can be used for several weeks to months or even up to one year^(274 and own observations). VLCDs can also induce large weight losses²⁷⁵. Maintenance of weight loss is usually a problem, necessitating the need for regular dietary counselling and preferably also behaviour therapy.

Both in obese patients and in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, VLCDs lead to substantial weight loss and improvements in hyperglycaemia, hyperinsulinaemia, dyslipidaemia and hypertension^{58,275-280}.

In obese type 2 diabetic patients hyperglycaemia improves already within 4-10 days after the beginning of an energy restricted diet^{277,278,281,282}. This appears to be due primarily *via* a decrease in endogenous glucose production. These studies have been performed when some (4-5 kg) weight loss had already occurred, with varying degrees of calorie restriction or in mild type 2 diabetic patients. Surprisingly, there are no studies documenting to what extent carbohydrate and lipid metabolism improve in obese, insulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients after substantial weight loss using a sophisticated method such as the hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp technique with [6,6-²H₂]-glucose and [³H₅]-glycerol.

1.6. AIMS OF THE STUDIES AND OUTLINE OF THE THESIS

Most patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus are obese and both obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus are associated with insulin resistance. Therefore our **first aim** was to evaluate the role of adipose tissue (which indeed is present in excess in obese and obese diabetic patients) in insulin resistance. For this purpose we reviewed the literature and present a hypothesis which links adipose tissue to insulin resistance (**Chapter 2**).

In **Chapter 3**, we present an example of a hormone produced by adipose tissue (leptin) that is associated with insulin resistance. The relation between serum insulin and leptin is well established in obese patients and patients with diabetes, but not in very obese, largely insulin-treated patients with diabetes. Our **second aim** was to evaluate the relation between fasting serum leptin and fasting serum insulin levels, as well as between fasting serum leptin levels and insulin secretion in a group severely obese type 2 diabetic patients at various moments of energy restriction and weight loss.

Insulin resistance in very obese type 2 diabetic patients makes it often difficult to achieve adequate glycaemic regulation. Energy restriction and weight loss improve insulin resistance and its associated metabolic abnormalities. VLCDs can induce large weight losses but most type 2 diabetic patients are afraid to use these diets along with their blood glucose-lowering medication for fear of hyperglycaemia. Therefore, we wanted to stop all blood glucose-lowering agents at the start of the VLCD. This would also facilitate weight loss and enable us to study glucose metabolism without interfering medication. However, we did not want to induce severe hyperglycaemia or other metabolic derangements. Therefore, our **third aim** was to evaluate whether it is safe to treat very obese, insulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients with a VLCD (Modifast®, 450 kCal/day) and simultaneously discontinue all blood glucose-lowering medication, including insulin (**Chapter 4**).

Other studies mentioned a decline in blood glucose levels before weight loss occurred, even as early as 7 days after the initiation of a VLCD. Our own clinical observations suggested that blood glucose levels decrease already within 2 days after starting a VLCD. Because we wanted to differentiate later on between the effects of energy restriction *per se* and weight loss *per se* on glucose metabolism, our **fourth aim** was to establish whether blood glucose levels indeed decline as early as 2 days after the initiation of a VLCD and the discontinuation of all blood glucose-lowering agents, including insulin (**Chapter 4**).

Because we wanted to study the effect of calorie restriction and weight loss on lowering blood glucose levels, the patients entering our later studies should preferentially react to the VLCD with a decline in blood glucose levels. Therefore, our **fifth aim** was to find out whether there are discriminating factors that will tell in advance which patients will show a decline in blood glucose levels during weight loss with a VLCD and which patients will not (**Chapter 4**).

Subsequently, our **sixth aim** was to investigate, using the hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp technique with stable isotopes, at the whole-body level, the mechanisms by which calorie restriction *per se* (2-day VLCD) decreases blood glucose levels in obese insulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients in whom all blood glucose-lowering medication was discontinued at the start of the VLCD (**Chapter 5**). In this same study, our **seventh aim** was to unravel the blood glucose-lowering effect of a 2-day VLCD at the molecular level. To this end, we studied components of the insulin-signalling cascade, GLUT-4 and FAT-CD36 translocation and intramyocellular triglycerides in skeletal muscle biopsies taken on day 0 and day 2 of the diet, both in the basal as well as in the insulin-stimulated situation (**Chapter 6**).

In addition, our **eighth aim** was to differentiate between the effects of calorie restriction *per se* (day 2 of a VLCD) and those of weight loss *per se* (until 50% of overweight was lost), on whole-body glucose and lipid metabolism in obese insulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients in whom again all blood glucose-lowering medication was discontinued at the start of the VLCD (day 0) (**Chapter 7**). Our **ninth aim**, carried out in the same study, was to investigate whether calorie restriction *per se* and weight loss have differential effects on insulin signalling, GLUT-4 and FAT/CD36 translocation and the amount of intramyocellular triglycerides in skeletal muscle biopsies obtained on day 2 of a VLCD and again when 50% of overweight was lost, in the basal situation and during hyperinsulinaemia (**Chapter 8**).

Our **tenth aim** was to investigate whether the weight loss and beneficial metabolic effects of a once-only 30-day VLCD in obese type 2 diabetic patients, who were taken off all blood glucose-lowering therapy during that diet and who received standard outpatient care thereafter (blood glucose-lowering therapy was restarted if deemed necessary by their own doctor), were sustained at 18 months regular outpatient follow-up (**Chapter 9**).

In **chapter 10** the results of our studies are discussed and integrated.

REFERENCES

1. Pi-Sunyer FX. Medical hazards of obesity. *Ann Intern Med* 1993; 119(7 Pt 2):655-660.
2. Overweight, obesity, and health risk. National Task Force on the Prevention and Treatment of Obesity. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; 160(7):898-904.
3. Field AE, Coakley EH, Must A, Spadano JL, Laird N, Dietz WH et al. Impact of overweight on the risk of developing common chronic diseases during a 10-year period. *Arch Intern Med* 2001; 161(13):1581-1586.
4. Willett WC, Dietz WH, Colditz GA. Guidelines for healthy weight. *N Engl J Med* 1999; 341(6):427-434.
5. Chan JM, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Obesity, fat distribution, and weight gain as risk factors for clinical diabetes in men. *Diabetes Care* 1994; 17(9):961-969.
6. Colditz GA, Willett WC, Rotnitzky A, Manson JE. Weight gain as a risk factor for clinical diabetes mellitus in women. *Ann Intern Med* 1995; 122(7):481-486.
7. Itallie TB van . Health implications of overweight and obesity in the United States. *Ann Intern Med* 1985; 103(6 (Pt 2)):983-988.
8. Manson JE, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Rosner B, Monson RR et al. A prospective study of obesity and risk of coronary heart disease in women. *N Engl J Med* 1990; 322(13):882-889.
9. Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Sullivan L, Parise H, Kannel WB. Overweight and obesity as determinants of cardiovascular risk: the Framingham experience. *Arch Intern Med* 2002; 162(16):1867-1872.
10. Kurth T, Gaziano JM, Berger K, Kase CS, Rexrode KM, Cook NR et al. Body mass index and the risk of stroke in men. *Arch Intern Med* 2002; 162(22):2557-2562.
11. Rexrode KM, Hennekens CH, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Rich-Edwards JW et al. A prospective study of body mass index, weight change, and risk of stroke in women. *JAMA* 1997; 277(19):1539-1545.
12. Stampfer MJ, Maclure KM, Colditz GA, Manson JE, Willett WC. Risk of symptomatic gallstones in women with severe obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1992; 55(3):652-658.
13. Hochberg MC, Lethbridge-Cejku M, Scott WW, Jr., Reichle R, Plato CC, Tobin JD. The association of body weight, body fatness and body fat distribution with osteoarthritis of the knee: data from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. *J Rheumatol* 1995; 22(3):488-493.
14. Manninen P, Riihimäki H, Heliovaara M, Mäkelä P. Overweight, gender and knee osteoarthritis. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 1996; 20(6):595-597.
15. Grodstein F, Goldman MB, Cramer DW. Body mass index and ovulatory infertility. *Epidemiology* 1994; 5(2):247-250.
16. Garfinkel L. Overweight and cancer. *Ann Intern Med* 1985; 103(6 (Pt 2)):1034-1036.
17. Giovannucci E, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Physical activity, obesity, and risk of colorectal adenoma in women (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 1996; 7(2):253-263.
18. Huang Z, Hankinson SE, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Hunter DJ, Manson JE et al. Dual effects of weight and weight gain on breast cancer risk. *JAMA* 1997; 278(17):1407-1411.
19. Hubert HB, Feinleib M, McNamara PM, Castelli WP. Obesity as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease: a 26-year follow-up of participants in the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 1983; 67(5):968-977.

20. Calle EE, Thun MJ, Petrelli JM, Rodriguez C, Heath CW, Jr. Body-mass index and mortality in a prospective cohort of U.S. adults. *N Engl J Med* 1999; 341(15):1097-1105.
21. Kahn BB, Flier JS. Obesity and insulin resistance. *J Clin Invest* 2000; 106(4):473-481.
22. DeFronzo RA. Pathogenesis of type 2 (non-insulin dependent) diabetes mellitus: a balanced overview. *Diabetologia* 1992; 35(4):389-397.
23. International Diabetes Federation. *Diabetes Atlas 2003*. Brussels, International Diabetes Federation 2003.
24. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care* 2004; 27(5):1047-1053.
25. Olefsky JM, Kolterman OG. Mechanisms of insulin resistance in obesity and noninsulin-dependent (type II) diabetes. *Am J Med* 1981; 70(1):151-168.
26. Welborn TA, Breckenridge A, Rubinstein AH, Dollery CT, Fraser TR. Serum-insulin in essential hypertension and in peripheral vascular disease. *Lancet* 1966; 1(7451):1336-1337.
27. Lucas CP, Estigarribia JA, Darga LL, Reaven GM. Insulin and blood pressure in obesity. *Hypertension* 1985; 7(5):702-706.
28. Ferrannini E, Buzzigoli G, Bonadonna R, Giorico MA, Oleggini M, Graziadei L et al. Insulin resistance in essential hypertension. *N Engl J Med* 1987; 317(6):350-357.
29. Howard BV. Insulin resistance and lipid metabolism. *Am J Cardiol* 1999; 84(1A):28J-32J.
30. Brunzell JD, Ayyobi AF. Dyslipidemia in the metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Am J Med* 2003; 115 Suppl 8A:24S-28S.
31. Garvey WT, Kwon S, Zheng D, Shaughnessy S, Wallace P, Hutto A et al. Effects of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes on lipoprotein subclass particle size and concentration determined by nuclear magnetic resonance. *Diabetes* 2003; 52(2):453-462.
32. Pergola G de, Pannacciulli N. Coagulation and fibrinolysis abnormalities in obesity. *J Endocrinol Invest* 2002; 25(10):899-904.
33. Yudkin JS. Abnormalities of coagulation and fibrinolysis in insulin resistance. Evidence for a common antecedent? *Diabetes Care* 1999; 22 Suppl 3:C25-C30.
34. Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2002; 360(9349):1903-1913.
35. Austin MA, Hokanson JE, Edwards KL. Hypertriglyceridemia as a cardiovascular risk factor. *Am J Cardiol* 1998; 81(4A):7B-12B.
36. Gordon DJ, Probstfield JL, Garrison RJ, Neaton JD, Castelli WP, Knoke JD et al. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol and cardiovascular disease. Four prospective American studies. *Circulation* 1989; 79(1):8-15.
37. Despres JP, Lamarche B, Mauriege P, Cantin B, Dagenais GR, Moorjani S et al. Hyperinsulinemia as an independent risk factor for ischemic heart disease. *N Engl J Med* 1996; 334(15):952-957.
38. Glueck CJ, Lang JE, Tracy T, Sieve-Smith L, Wang P. Contribution of fasting hyperinsulinemia to prediction of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease status in 293 hyperlipidemic patients. *Metabolism* 1999; 48(11):1437-1444.
39. Weight gain associated with intensive therapy in the diabetes control and complications trial. The DCCT Research Group. *Diabetes Care* 1988; 11(7):567-573.

40. Muis MJ, Bots ML, Bilo HJ, Hoogma RP, Hoekstra JB, Grobbee DE et al. High cumulative insulin exposure: a risk factor of atherosclerosis in type 1 diabetes? *Atherosclerosis* 2005; 181(1):185-192.
41. Feskens EJ, Kromhout D. Hyperinsulinemia, risk factors, and coronary heart disease. The Zutphen Elderly Study. *Arterioscler Thromb* 1994; 14(10):1641-1647.
42. Ruige JB, Assendelft WJ, Dekker JM, Kostense PJ, Heine RJ, Bouter LM. Insulin and risk of cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. *Circulation* 1998; 97(10):996-1001.
43. Sjöström L, Lindroos AK, Peltonen M, Torgerson J, Bouchard C, Carlsson B et al. Lifestyle, diabetes, and cardiovascular risk factors 10 years after bariatric surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 351(26):2683-2693.
44. Case CC, Jones PH, Nelson K, O'Brian SE, Ballantyne CM. Impact of weight loss on the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2002; 4(6):407-414.
45. Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hamalainen H, Ilanne-Parikka P et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med* 2001; 344(18):1343-1350.
46. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 346(6):393-403.
47. Greco AV, Mingrone G, Giancaterini A, Manco M, Morroni M, Cinti S et al. Insulin resistance in morbid obesity: reversal with intramyocellular fat depletion. *Diabetes* 2002; 51(1):144-151.
48. Petersen KF, Dufour S, Befroy D, Lehrke M, Hendler RE, Shulman GI. Reversal of nonalcoholic hepatic steatosis, hepatic insulin resistance, and hyperglycemia by moderate weight reduction in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2005; 54(3):603-608.
49. Luyckx FH, Scheen AJ, Desaive C, Dewe W, Gielen JE, Lefebvre PJ. Effects of gastroplasty on body weight and related biological abnormalities in morbid obesity. *Diabetes Metab* 1998; 24(4):355-361.
50. Muscelli E, Mingrone G, Camastra S, Manco M, Pereira JA, Pareja JC et al. Differential effect of weight loss on insulin resistance in surgically treated obese patients. *Am J Med* 2005; 118(1):51-57.
51. Schauer PR, Burguera B, Ikramuddin S, Cottam D, Gourash W, Hamad G et al. Effect of laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass on type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Ann Surg* 2003; 238(4):467-484.
52. Polyzogopoulou EV, Kalfarentzos F, Vagenakis AG, Alexandrides TK. Restoration of euglycemia and normal acute insulin response to glucose in obese subjects with type 2 diabetes following bariatric surgery. *Diabetes* 2003; 52(5):1098-1103.
53. Scopinaro N, Marinari GM, Camerini GB, Papadia FS, Adami GF. Specific effects of biliopancreatic diversion on the major components of metabolic syndrome: a long-term follow-up study. *Diabetes Care* 2005; 28(10):2406-2411.
54. Long SD, O'Brien K, MacDonald KG, Jr., Leggett-Frazier N, Swanson MS, Pories WJ et al. Weight loss in severely obese subjects prevents the progression of impaired glucose tolerance to type II diabetes. A longitudinal interventional study. *Diabetes Care* 1994; 17(5):372-375.
55. Dixon JB, O'Brien PE. Health outcomes of severely obese type 2 diabetic subjects 1 year after laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding. *Diabetes Care* 2002; 25(2):358-363.
56. Buchwald H, Avidor Y, Braunwald E, Jensen MD, Pories W, Fahrenbach K et al. Bariatric surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2004; 292(14):1724-1737.

57. Ferchak CV, Meneghini LF. Obesity, bariatric surgery and type 2 diabetes--a systematic review. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2004; 20(6):438-445.
58. Amatruda JM, Richeson JF, Welle SL, Brodows RG, Lockwood DH. The safety and efficacy of a controlled low-energy ('very-low-calorie') diet in the treatment of non-insulin-dependent diabetes and obesity. *Arch Intern Med* 1988; 148(4):873-877.
59. Field JB. Extraction of insulin by liver. *Annu Rev Med* 1973; 24:309-314.
60. Polonsky K, Jaspan J, Emmanouel D, Holmes K, Moossa AR. Differences in the hepatic and renal extraction of insulin and glucagon in the dog: evidence for saturability of insulin metabolism. *Acta Endocrinol (Copenh)* 1983; 102(3):420-427.
61. Duckworth WC, Bennett RG, Hamel FG. Insulin degradation: progress and potential. *Endocr Rev* 1998; 19(5):608-624.
62. Meistas MT, Rendell M, Margolis S, Kowarski AA. Estimation of the secretion rate of insulin from the urinary excretion rate of C-peptide. Study in obese and diabetic subjects. *Diabetes* 1982; 31(5 Pt 1):449-453.
63. Eaton RP, Allen RC, Schade DS. Hepatic removal of insulin in normal man: dose response to endogenous insulin secretion. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1983; 56(6):1294-1300.
64. Waldhausl W, Bratusch-Marrain P, Gasic S, Korn A, Nowotny P. Insulin production rate following glucose ingestion estimated by splanchnic C-peptide output in normal man. *Diabetologia* 1979; 17(4):221-227.
65. Meier JJ, Veldhuis JD, Butler PC. Pulsatile insulin secretion dictates systemic insulin delivery by regulating hepatic insulin extraction in humans. *Diabetes* 2005; 54(6):1649-1656.
66. DeFronzo RA, Ferrannini E, Keen H, Zimmet PZ. *International Textbook of Diabetes*. 3rd edition. Wiley, 2004.
67. Pickup J, Williams G 3rd. *Textbook of Diabetes*. 3rd edition. Blackwell Science, 2002.
68. Lefebvre PJ, Paolisso G, Scheen AJ, Henquin JC. Pulsatility of insulin and glucagon release: physiological significance and pharmacological implications. *Diabetologia* 1987; 30(7):443-452.
69. Polonsky KS, Sturis J, Cauter E van. Temporal profiles and clinical significance of pulsatile insulin secretion. *Horm Res* 1998; 49(3-4):178-184.
70. Porksen N, Munn S, Steers J, Vore S, Veldhuis J, Butler P. Pulsatile insulin secretion accounts for 70% of total insulin secretion during fasting. *Am J Physiol* 1995; 269(3 Pt 1):E478-E488.
71. Porksen N, Nyholm B, Veldhuis JD, Butler PC, Schmitz O. In humans at least 75% of insulin secretion arises from punctuated insulin secretory bursts. *Am J Physiol* 1997; 273(5 Pt 1):E908-E914.
72. Gerich JE. Control of glycaemia. *Baillieres Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1993; 7(3):551-586.
73. Ekberg K, Landau BR, Wajngot A, Chandramouli V, Efendic S, Brunengraber H et al. Contributions by kidney and liver to glucose production in the postabsorptive state and after 60 h of fasting. *Diabetes* 1999; 48(2):292-298.
74. Gerich JE, Meyer C, Woerle HJ, Stumvoll M. Renal gluconeogenesis: its importance in human glucose homeostasis. *Diabetes Care* 2001; 24(2):382-391.
75. Meyer C, Woerle HJ, Dostou JM, Welle SL, Gerich JE. Abnormal renal, hepatic, and muscle glucose metabolism following glucose ingestion in type 2 diabetes. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2004; 287(6):E1049-E1056.

76. Groop LC, Bonadonna RC, DelPrato S, Ratheiser K, Zyck K, Ferrannini E et al. Glucose and free fatty acid metabolism in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Evidence for multiple sites of insulin resistance. *J Clin Invest* 1989; 84(1):205-213.
77. Ferrannini E, Bjorkman O, Reichard GA, Jr., Pilo A, Olsson M, Wahren J et al. The disposal of an oral glucose load in healthy subjects. A quantitative study. *Diabetes* 1985; 34(6):580-588.
78. DeFronzo RA, Ferrannini E, Simonson DC. Fasting hyperglycemia in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: contributions of excessive hepatic glucose production and impaired tissue glucose uptake. *Metabolism* 1989; 38(4):387-395.
79. Sindelar DK, Chu CA, Venson P, Donahue EP, Neal DW, Cherrington AD. Basal hepatic glucose production is regulated by the portal vein insulin concentration. *Diabetes* 1998; 47(4):523-529.
80. Sindelar DK, Igawa K, Chu CA, Balcom JH, Neal DW, Cherrington AD. Effect of a selective rise in hepatic artery insulin on hepatic glucose production in the conscious dog. *Am J Physiol* 1999; 276(4 Pt 1):E806-E813.
81. Tse TF, Clutter WE, Shah SD, Cryer PE. Mechanisms of postprandial glucose counterregulation in man. Physiologic roles of glucagon and epinephrine vis-a-vis insulin in the prevention of hypoglycemia late after glucose ingestion. *J Clin Invest* 1983; 72(1):278-286.
82. Clore JN, Glickman PS, Nestler JE, Blackard WG. In vivo evidence for hepatic autoregulation during FFA-stimulated gluconeogenesis in normal humans. *Am J Physiol* 1991; 261(4 Pt 1):E425-E429.
83. Jenssen T, Nurjhan N, Consoli A, Gerich JE. Failure of substrate-induced gluconeogenesis to increase overall glucose appearance in normal humans. Demonstration of hepatic autoregulation without a change in plasma glucose concentration. *J Clin Invest* 1990; 86(2):489-497.
84. Boden G. Effects of free fatty acids on gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis. *Life Sci* 2003; 72(9):977-988.
85. Ferrannini E, Smith JD, Cobelli C, Toffolo G, Pilo A, DeFronzo RA. Effect of insulin on the distribution and disposition of glucose in man. *J Clin Invest* 1985; 76(1):357-364.
86. DeFronzo RA, Jacot E, Jequier E, Maeder E, Wahren J, Felber JP. The effect of insulin on the disposal of intravenous glucose. Results from indirect calorimetry and hepatic and femoral venous catheterization. *Diabetes* 1981; 30(12):1000-1007.
87. Thiebaud D, Jacot E, DeFronzo RA, Maeder E, Jequier E, Felber JP. The effect of graded doses of insulin on total glucose uptake, glucose oxidation, and glucose storage in man. *Diabetes* 1982; 31(11):957-963.
88. Campbell PJ, Carlson MG, Nurjhan N. Fat metabolism in human obesity. *Am J Physiol* 1994; 266(4 Pt 1):E600-E605.
89. Campbell PJ, Carlson MG, Hill JO, Nurjhan N. Regulation of free fatty acid metabolism by insulin in humans: role of lipolysis and reesterification. *Am J Physiol* 1992; 263(6 Pt 1):E1063-E1069.
90. Nurjhan N, Campbell PJ, Kennedy FP, Miles JM, Gerich JE. Insulin dose-response characteristics for suppression of glycerol release and conversion to glucose in humans. *Diabetes* 1986; 35(12):1326-1331.
91. Bonadonna RC, Groop L, Kraemer N, Ferrannini E, Del Prato S, DeFronzo RA. Obesity and insulin resistance in humans: a dose-response study. *Metabolism* 1990; 39(5):452-459.
92. Groop LC, Bonadonna RC, Simonson DC, Petrides AS, Shank M, DeFronzo RA. Effect of insulin on oxidative and nonoxidative pathways of free fatty acid metabolism in human obesity. *Am J Physiol* 1992; 263(1 Pt 1):E79-E84.

93. Campbell PJ, Mandarino LJ, Gerich JE. Quantification of the relative impairment in actions of insulin on hepatic glucose production and peripheral glucose uptake in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Metabolism* 1988; 37(1):15-21.
94. Gould GW, Holman GD. The glucose transporter family: structure, function and tissue-specific expression. *Biochem J* 1993; 295 (Pt 2):329-341.
95. Thong FS, Dugani CB, Klip A. Turning signals on and off: GLUT4 traffic in the insulin-signaling highway. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 2005; 20:271-284.
96. Ullrich A, Bell JR, Chen EY, Herrera R, Petruzzelli LM, Dull TJ et al. Human insulin receptor and its relationship to the tyrosine kinase family of oncogenes. *Nature* 1985; 313(6005):756-761.
97. Ebina Y, Ellis L, Jarnagin K, Edery M, Graf L, Clauser E et al. The human insulin receptor cDNA: the structural basis for hormone-activated transmembrane signalling. *Cell* 1985; 40(4):747-758.
98. Kasuga M, Karlsson FA, Kahn CR. Insulin stimulates the phosphorylation of the 95,000-dalton subunit of its own receptor. *Science* 1982; 215(4529):185-187.
99. Gustafson TA, Rutter WJ. The cysteine-rich domains of the insulin and insulin-like growth factor I receptors are primary determinants of hormone binding specificity. Evidence from receptor chimeras. *J Biol Chem* 1990; 265(30):18663-18667.
100. Lee J, Pilch PF, Shoelson SE, Scarlata SF. Conformational changes of the insulin receptor upon insulin binding and activation as monitored by fluorescence spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* 1997; 36(9):2701-2708.
101. White MF. The IRS-signalling system: a network of docking proteins that mediate insulin action. *Mol Cell Biochem* 1998; 182(1-2):3-11.
102. Kerouz NJ, Horsch D, Pons S, Kahn CR. Differential regulation of insulin receptor substrates-1 and -2 (IRS-1 and IRS-2) and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase isoforms in liver and muscle of the obese diabetic (ob/ob) mouse. *J Clin Invest* 1997; 100(12):3164-3172.
103. Virkamaki A, Ueki K, Kahn CR. Protein-protein interaction in insulin signaling and the molecular mechanisms of insulin resistance. *J Clin Invest* 1999; 103(7):931-943.
104. Cho H, Mu J, Kim JK, Thorvaldsen JL, Chu Q, Crenshaw EB, III et al. Insulin resistance and a diabetes mellitus-like syndrome in mice lacking the protein kinase Akt2 (PKB beta). *Science* 2001; 292(5522):1728-1731.
105. Bae SS, Cho H, Mu J, Birnbaum MJ. Isoform-specific regulation of insulin-dependent glucose uptake by Akt/protein kinase B. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278(49):49530-49536.
106. Alessi DR, James SR, Downes CP, Holmes AB, Gaffney PR, Reese CB et al. Characterization of a 3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase which phosphorylates and activates protein kinase Balpha. *Curr Biol* 1997; 7(4):261-269.
107. Filippa N, Sable CL, Hemmings BA, Obberghen E van. Effect of phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 on protein kinase B translocation and its subsequent activation. *Mol Cell Biol* 2000; 20(15):5712-5721.
108. Alessi DR, Cohen P. Mechanism of activation and function of protein kinase B. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 1998; 8(1):55-62.
109. Hanada M, Feng J, Hemmings BA. Structure, regulation and function of PKB/AKT--a major therapeutic target. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2004; 1697(1-2):3-16.
110. Embi N, Rylatt DB, Cohen P. Glycogen synthase kinase-3 from rabbit skeletal muscle. Separation from cyclic-AMP-dependent protein kinase and phosphorylase kinase. *Eur J Biochem* 1980; 107(2):519-527.

111. Harwood AJ. Regulation of GSK-3: a cellular multiprocessor. *Cell* 2001; 105(7):821-824.
112. Kane S, Sano H, Liu SC, Asara JM, Lane WS, Garner CC et al. A method to identify serine kinase substrates. Akt phosphorylates a novel adipocyte protein with a Rab GTPase-activating protein (GAP) domain. *J Biol Chem* 2002; 277(25):22115-22118.
113. Sano H, Kane S, Sano E, Miinea CP, Asara JM, Lane WS et al. Insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of a Rab GTPase-activating protein regulates GLUT4 translocation. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278(17):14599-14602.
114. Zeigerer A, McBrayer MK, McGraw TE. Insulin stimulation of GLUT4 exocytosis, but not its inhibition of endocytosis, is dependent on RabGAP AS160. *Mol Biol Cell* 2004; 15(10):4406-4415.
115. Kovacina KS, Park GY, Bae SS, Guzzetta AW, Schaefer E, Birnbaum MJ et al. Identification of a proline-rich Akt substrate as a 14-3-3 binding partner. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278(12):10189-10194.
116. Beausoleil SA, Jedrychowski M, Schwartz D, Elias JE, Villen J, Li J et al. Large-scale characterization of HeLa cell nuclear phosphoproteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004; 101(33):12130-12135.
117. Saito A, Narasimhan P, Hayashi T, Okuno S, Ferrand-Drake M, Chan PH. Neuroprotective role of a proline-rich Akt substrate in apoptotic neuronal cell death after stroke: relationships with nerve growth factor. *J Neurosci* 2004; 24(7):1584-1593.
118. Shimaya A, Kovacina KS, Roth RA. On the mechanism for neomycin reversal of wortmannin inhibition of insulin stimulation of glucose uptake. *J Biol Chem* 2004; 279(53):55277-55282.
119. Mu J, Brozinick JT, Jr., Valladares O, Bucan M, Birnbaum MJ. A role for AMP-activated protein kinase in contraction- and hypoxia-regulated glucose transport in skeletal muscle. *Mol Cell* 2001; 7(5):1085-1094.
120. Jessen N, Goodyear LJ. Contraction signaling to glucose transport in skeletal muscle. *J Appl Physiol* 2005; 99(1):330-337.
121. Nishikawa K, Toker A, Johannes FJ, Songyang Z, Cantley LC. Determination of the specific substrate sequence motifs of protein kinase C isozymes. *J Biol Chem* 1997; 272(2):952-960.
122. Hardie DG, Scott JW, Pan DA, Hudson ER. Management of cellular energy by the AMP-activated protein kinase system. *FEBS Lett* 2003; 546(1):113-120.
123. Bruss MD, Arias EB, Lienhard GE, Cartee GD. Increased phosphorylation of Akt substrate of 160 kDa (AS160) in rat skeletal muscle in response to insulin or contractile activity. *Diabetes* 2005; 54(1):41-50.
124. Farese RV, Sajan MP, Standaert ML. Insulin-sensitive protein kinases (atypical protein kinase C and protein kinase B/Akt): actions and defects in obesity and type II diabetes. *Exp Biol Med* (Maywood) 2005; 230(9):593-605.
125. Tonks NK. PTP1B: from the sidelines to the front lines! *FEBS Lett* 2003; 546(1):140-148.
126. Shepherd PR. Mechanisms regulating phosphoinositide 3-kinase signalling in insulin-sensitive tissues. *Acta Physiol Scand* 2005; 183(1):3-12.
127. Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 1997; 20(7):1183-1197.
128. World Health Organisation Consultation. Definition, Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus and its Complications, Part 1: Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Report of a WHO Consultation. Geneva:World Health Organisation, editor. 1999.
129. Kahn SE. The relative contributions of insulin resistance and beta-cell dysfunction to the pathophysiology of Type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2003; 46(1):3-19.

130. Weir GC, Bonner-Weir S. Five stages of evolving beta-cell dysfunction during progression to diabetes. *Diabetes* 2004; 53 Suppl 3:S16-S21.
131. Randle PJ, Garland PB, Hales CN, Newsholme EA. The glucose fatty-acid cycle. Its role in insulin sensitivity and the metabolic disturbances of diabetes mellitus. *Lancet* 1963; 1:785-789.
132. Perseghin G, Petersen K, Shulman GI. Cellular mechanism of insulin resistance: potential links with inflammation. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2003; 27 Suppl 3:S6-11.
133. Shulman GI, Rothman DL, Jue T, Stein P, DeFronzo RA, Shulman RG. Quantitation of muscle glycogen synthesis in normal subjects and subjects with non-insulin-dependent diabetes by ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *N Engl J Med* 1990; 322(4):223-228.
134. Felber JP, Meyer HU, Curchod B, Iselin HU, Rousselle J, Maeder E et al. Glucose storage and oxidation in different degrees of human obesity measured by continuous indirect calorimetry. *Diabetologia* 1981; 20(1):39-44.
135. Felber JP, Ferrannini E, Golay A, Meyer HU, Theibaud D, Curchod B et al. Role of lipid oxidation in pathogenesis of insulin resistance of obesity and type II diabetes. *Diabetes* 1987; 36(11):1341-1350.
136. Cline GW, Petersen KF, Krssak M, Shen J, Hundal RS, Trajanoski Z et al. Impaired glucose transport as a cause of decreased insulin-stimulated muscle glycogen synthesis in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 1999; 341(4):240-246.
137. Rothman DL, Shulman RG, Shulman GI. ³¹P nuclear magnetic resonance measurements of muscle glucose-6-phosphate. Evidence for reduced insulin-dependent muscle glucose transport or phosphorylation activity in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Invest* 1992; 89(4):1069-1075.
138. O'Rahilly S, Krook A, Morgan R, Rees A, Flier JS, Moller DE. Insulin receptor and insulin-responsive glucose transporter (GLUT 4) mutations and polymorphisms in a Welsh type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetic population. *Diabetologia* 1992; 35(5):486-489.
139. Bjorbaek C, Echwald SM, Hubricht P, Vestergaard H, Hansen T, Zierath J et al. Genetic variants in promoters and coding regions of the muscle glycogen synthase and the insulin-responsive GLUT4 genes in NIDDM. *Diabetes* 1994; 43(8):976-983.
140. Buse JB, Yasuda K, Lay TP, Seo TS, Olson AL, Pessin JE et al. Human GLUT4/muscle-fat glucose-transporter gene. Characterization and genetic variation. *Diabetes* 1992; 41(11):1436-1445.
141. Handberg A, Vaag A, Damsbo P, Beck-Nielsen H, Vinten J. Expression of insulin regulatable glucose transporters in skeletal muscle from type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetic patients. *Diabetologia* 1990; 33(10):625-627.
142. Pedersen O, Bak JF, Andersen PH, Lund S, Moller DE, Flier JS et al. Evidence against altered expression of GLUT1 or GLUT4 in skeletal muscle of patients with obesity or NIDDM. *Diabetes* 1990; 39(7):865-870.
143. Eriksson J, Koranyi L, Bourey R, Schalin-Jantti C, Widen E, Mueckler M et al. Insulin resistance in type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetic patients and their relatives is not associated with a defect in the expression of the insulin-responsive glucose transporter (GLUT-4) gene in human skeletal muscle. *Diabetologia* 1992; 35(2):143-147.
144. Garvey WT, Maianu L, Zhu JH, Brechtel-Hook G, Wallace P, Baron AD. Evidence for defects in the trafficking and translocation of GLUT4 glucose transporters in skeletal muscle as a cause of human insulin resistance. *J Clin Invest* 1998; 101(11):2377-2386.

145. Kennedy JW, Hirshman MF, Gervino EV, Ocel JV, Forse RA, Hoenig SJ et al. Acute exercise induces GLUT4 translocation in skeletal muscle of normal human subjects and subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 1999; 48(5):1192-1197.
146. Zierath JR, Krook A, Wallberg-Henriksson H. Insulin action in skeletal muscle from patients with NIDDM. *Mol Cell Biochem* 1998; 182(1-2):153-160.
147. Arner P, Pollare T, Lithell H, Livingston JN. Defective insulin receptor tyrosine kinase in human skeletal muscle in obesity and type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* 1987; 30(6):437-440.
148. Ciaraldi TP, Carter L, Rehman N, Mohideen P, Mudaliar S, Henry RR. Insulin and insulin-like growth factor-1 action on human skeletal muscle: preferential effects of insulin-like growth factor-1 in type 2 diabetic subjects. *Metabolism* 2002; 51(9):1171-1179.
149. Krook A, Bjornholm M, Galuska D, Jiang XJ, Fahlman R, Myers MG, Jr. et al. Characterization of signal transduction and glucose transport in skeletal muscle from type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes* 2000; 49(2):284-292.
150. Maegawa H, Shigeta Y, Egawa K, Kobayashi M. Impaired autophosphorylation of insulin receptors from abdominal skeletal muscles in nonobese subjects with NIDDM. *Diabetes* 1991; 40(7):815-819.
151. Nolan JJ, Freidenberg G, Henry R, Reichart D, Olefsky JM. Role of human skeletal muscle insulin receptor kinase in the in vivo insulin resistance of noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and obesity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1994; 78(2):471-477.
152. Klein HH, Vestergaard H, Kotzke G, Pedersen O. Elevation of serum insulin concentration during euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp studies leads to similar activation of insulin receptor kinase in skeletal muscle of subjects with and without NIDDM. *Diabetes* 1995; 44(11):1310-1317.
153. Meyer MM, Levin K, Grimmsmann T, Beck-Nielsen H, Klein HH. Insulin signalling in skeletal muscle of subjects with or without Type II-diabetes and first degree relatives of patients with the disease. *Diabetologia* 2002; 45(6):813-822.
154. Almind K, Bjorbaek C, Vestergaard H, Hansen T, Echwald S, Pedersen O. Aminoacid polymorphisms of insulin receptor substrate-1 in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Lancet* 1993; 342(8875):828-832.
155. Laakso M, Malkki M, Kekalainen P, Kuusisto J, Deeb SS. Insulin receptor substrate-1 variants in non-insulin-dependent diabetes. *J Clin Invest* 1994; 94(3):1141-1146.
156. Cusi K, Maezono K, Osman A, Pendergrass M, Patti ME, Pratipanawatr T et al. Insulin resistance differentially affects the PI 3-kinase- and MAP kinase-mediated signaling in human muscle. *J Clin Invest* 2000; 105(3):311-320.
157. Goodyear LJ, Giorgino F, Sherman LA, Carey J, Smith RJ, Dohm GL. Insulin receptor phosphorylation, insulin receptor substrate-1 phosphorylation, and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity are decreased in intact skeletal muscle strips from obese subjects. *J Clin Invest* 1995; 95(5):2195-2204.
158. Kim YB, Kotani K, Ciaraldi TP, Henry RR, Kahn BB. Insulin-stimulated protein kinase C lambda/zeta activity is reduced in skeletal muscle of humans with obesity and type 2 diabetes: reversal with weight reduction. *Diabetes* 2003; 52(8):1935-1942.
159. Bjornholm M, Kawano Y, Lehtihet M, Zierath JR. Insulin receptor substrate-1 phosphorylation and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity in skeletal muscle from NIDDM subjects after in vivo insulin stimulation. *Diabetes* 1997; 46(3):524-527.

160. Bouzakri K, Roques M, Gual P, Espinosa S, Guebre-Egziabher F, Riou JP et al. Reduced activation of phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase and increased serine 636 phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate-1 in primary culture of skeletal muscle cells from patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2003; 52(6):1319-1325.
161. Pratipanawatr W, Pratipanawatr T, Cusi K, Berria R, Adams JM, Jenkinson CP et al. Skeletal muscle insulin resistance in normoglycemic subjects with a strong family history of type 2 diabetes is associated with decreased insulin-stimulated insulin receptor substrate-1 tyrosine phosphorylation. *Diabetes* 2001; 50(11):2572-2578.
162. Aguirre V, Werner ED, Giraud J, Lee YH, Shoelson SE, White MF. Phosphorylation of Ser307 in insulin receptor substrate-1 blocks interactions with the insulin receptor and inhibits insulin action. *J Biol Chem* 2002; 277(2):1531-1537.
163. Lee YH, Giraud J, Davis RJ, White MF. c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) mediates feedback inhibition of the insulin signaling cascade. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278(5):2896-2902.
164. Hansen T, Andersen CB, Echwald SM, Urhammer SA, Clausen JO, Vestergaard H et al. Identification of a common amino acid polymorphism in the p85alpha regulatory subunit of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase: effects on glucose disappearance constant, glucose effectiveness, and the insulin sensitivity index. *Diabetes* 1997; 46(3):494-501.
165. Kim YB, Nikoulina SE, Ciaraldi TP, Henry RR, Kahn BB. Normal insulin-dependent activation of Akt/protein kinase B, with diminished activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase, in muscle in type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Invest* 1999; 104(6):733-741.
166. George S, Rochford JJ, Wolfrum C, Gray SL, Schinner S, Wilson JC et al. A family with severe insulin resistance and diabetes due to a mutation in AKT2. *Science* 2004; 304(5675):1325-1328.
167. Beeson M, Sajan MP, Dizon M, Grebnev D, Gomez-Daspert J, Miura A et al. Activation of protein kinase C-zeta by insulin and phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-(PO4)3 is defective in muscle in type 2 diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance: amelioration by rosiglitazone and exercise. *Diabetes* 2003; 52(8):1926-1934.
168. Krook A, Roth RA, Jiang XJ, Zierath JR, Wallberg-Henriksson H. Insulin-stimulated Akt kinase activity is reduced in skeletal muscle from NIDDM subjects. *Diabetes* 1998; 47(8):1281-1286.
169. Brozinick JT, Jr., Roberts BR, Dohm GL. Defective signaling through Akt-2 and -3 but not Akt-1 in insulin-resistant human skeletal muscle: potential role in insulin resistance. *Diabetes* 2003; 52(4):935-941.
170. Karlsson HK, Zierath JR, Kane S, Krook A, Lienhard GE, Wallberg-Henriksson H. Insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of the Akt substrate AS160 is impaired in skeletal muscle of type 2 diabetic subjects. *Diabetes* 2005; 54(6):1692-1697.
171. Schmolli D, Walker KS, Alessi DR, Grempler R, Burchell A, Guo S et al. Regulation of glucose-6-phosphatase gene expression by protein kinase Balpha and the forkhead transcription factor FKHR. Evidence for insulin response unit-dependent and -independent effects of insulin on promoter activity. *J Biol Chem* 2000; 275(46):36324-36333.
172. Hall RK, Yamasaki T, Kucera T, Waltner-Law M, O'Brien R, Granner DK. Regulation of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase and insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1 gene expression by insulin. The role of winged helix/forkhead proteins. *J Biol Chem* 2000; 275(39):30169-30175.
173. Barthel A, Schmolli D. Novel concepts in insulin regulation of hepatic gluconeogenesis. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2003; 285(4):E685-E692.

174. Streeper RS, Eaton EM, Ebert DH, Chapman SC, Svitek CA, O'Brien RM. Hepatocyte nuclear factor-1 acts as an accessory factor to enhance the inhibitory action of insulin on mouse glucose-6-phosphatase gene transcription. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998; 95(16):9208-9213.
175. Kaestner KH, Katz J, Liu Y, Drucker DJ, Schutz G. Inactivation of the winged helix transcription factor HNF3alpha affects glucose homeostasis and islet glucagon gene expression in vivo. *Genes Dev* 1999; 13(4):495-504.
176. Roth U, Curth K, Unterman TG, Kietzmann T. The transcription factors HIF-1 and HNF-4 and the coactivator p300 are involved in insulin-regulated glucokinase gene expression *via* the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B pathway. *J Biol Chem* 2004; 279(4):2623-2631.
177. Hirota K, Daitoku H, Matsuzaki H, Araya N, Yamagata K, Asada S et al. Hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 is a novel downstream target of insulin *via* FKHR as a signal-regulated transcriptional inhibitor. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278(15):13056-13060.
178. Schinner S, Scherbaum WA, Bornstein SR, Barthel A. Molecular mechanisms of insulin resistance. *Diabet Med* 2005; 22(6):674-682.
179. Zisman A, Peroni OD, Abel ED, Michael MD, Mauvais-Jarvis F, Lowell BB et al. Targeted disruption of the glucose transporter 4 selectively in muscle causes insulin resistance and glucose intolerance. *Nat Med* 2000; 6(8):924-928.
180. Abel ED, Peroni O, Kim JK, Kim YB, Boss O, Hadro E et al. Adipose-selective targeting of the GLUT4 gene impairs insulin action in muscle and liver. *Nature* 2001; 409(6821):729-733.
181. Kim JK, Michael MD, Previs SF, Peroni OD, Mauvais-Jarvis F, Neschen S et al. Redistribution of substrates to adipose tissue promotes obesity in mice with selective insulin resistance in muscle. *J Clin Invest* 2000; 105(12):1791-1797.
182. Rondinone CM, Wang LM, Lonnroth P, Wesslau C, Pierce JH, Smith U. Insulin receptor substrate (IRS) 1 is reduced and IRS-2 is the main docking protein for phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase in adipocytes from subjects with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1997; 94(8):4171-4175.
183. Carvalho E, Eliasson B, Wesslau C, Smith U. Impaired phosphorylation and insulin-stimulated translocation to the plasma membrane of protein kinase B/Akt in adipocytes from Type II diabetic subjects. *Diabetologia* 2000; 43(9):1107-1115.
184. Garvey WT, Maianu L, Huecksteadt TP, Birnbaum MJ, Molina JM, Ciaraldi TP. Pretranslational suppression of a glucose transporter protein causes insulin resistance in adipocytes from patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and obesity. *J Clin Invest* 1991; 87(3):1072-1081.
185. Garvey WT, Maianu L, Hancock JA, Golichowski AM, Baron A. Gene expression of GLUT4 in skeletal muscle from insulin-resistant patients with obesity, IGT, GDM, and NIDDM. *Diabetes* 1992; 41(4):465-475.
186. Reaven GM, Hollenbeck C, Jeng CY, Wu MS, Chen YD. Measurement of plasma glucose, free fatty acid, lactate, and insulin for 24 h in patients with NIDDM. *Diabetes* 1988; 37(8):1020-1024.
187. Gulli G, Ferrannini E, Stern M, Haffner S, DeFronzo RA. The metabolic profile of NIDDM is fully established in glucose-tolerant offspring of two Mexican-American NIDDM parents. *Diabetes* 1992; 41(12):1575-1586.
188. Perseghin G, Ghosh S, Gerow K, Shulman GI. Metabolic defects in lean nondiabetic offspring of NIDDM parents: a cross-sectional study. *Diabetes* 1997; 46(6):1001-1009.

189. Szczepaniak LS, Babcock EE, Schick F, Dobbins RL, Garg A, Burns DK et al. Measurement of intracellular triglyceride stores by H spectroscopy: validation in vivo. *Am J Physiol* 1999; 276(5 Pt 1): E977-E989.
190. Krssak M, Falk PK, Dresner A, DiPietro L, Vogel SM, Rothman DL et al. Intramyocellular lipid concentrations are correlated with insulin sensitivity in humans: a ¹H NMR spectroscopy study. *Diabetologia* 1999; 42(1):113-116.
191. Jacob S, Machann J, Rett K, Brechtel K, Volk A, Renn W et al. Association of increased intramyocellular lipid content with insulin resistance in lean nondiabetic offspring of type 2 diabetic subjects. *Diabetes* 1999; 48(5):1113-1119.
192. Perseghin G, Scifo P, De Cobelli F, Pagliato E, Battezzati A, Arcelloni C et al. Intramyocellular triglyceride content is a determinant of in vivo insulin resistance in humans: a ¹H-¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy assessment in offspring of type 2 diabetic parents. *Diabetes* 1999; 48(8):1600-1606.
193. Goodpaster BH, He J, Watkins S, Kelley DE. Skeletal muscle lipid content and insulin resistance: evidence for a paradox in endurance-trained athletes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2001; 86(12):5755-5761.
194. Kelley DE, Mandarino LJ. Fuel selection in human skeletal muscle in insulin resistance: a reexamination. *Diabetes* 2000; 49(5):677-683.
195. Storlien L, Oakes ND, Kelley DE. Metabolic flexibility. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2004; 63(2):363-368.
196. Kelley DE, Reilly JP, Veneman T, Mandarino LJ. Effects of insulin on skeletal muscle glucose storage, oxidation, and glycolysis in humans. *Am J Physiol* 1990; 258(6 Pt 1):E923-E929.
197. Kelley D, Mokan M, Veneman T. Impaired postprandial glucose utilization in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Metabolism* 1994; 43(12):1549-1557.
198. Kelley DE, Goodpaster B, Wing RR, Simoneau JA. Skeletal muscle fatty acid metabolism in association with insulin resistance, obesity, and weight loss. *Am J Physiol* 1999; 277(6 Pt 1):E1130-E1141.
199. Colberg SR, Simoneau JA, Thaete FL, Kelley DE. Skeletal muscle utilization of free fatty acids in women with visceral obesity. *J Clin Invest* 1995; 95(4):1846-1853.
200. Schrauwen P, Hesselink MK. Oxidative capacity, lipotoxicity, and mitochondrial damage in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2004; 53(6):1412-1417.
201. Glatz JF, Bonen A, Luiken JJ. Exercise and insulin increase muscle fatty acid uptake by recruiting putative fatty acid transporters to the sarcolemma. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2002; 5(4):365-370.
202. Bonen A, Parolin ML, Steinberg GR, Calles-Escandon J, Tandon NN, Glatz JF et al. Triacylglycerol accumulation in human obesity and type 2 diabetes is associated with increased rates of skeletal muscle fatty acid transport and increased sarcolemmal FAT/CD36. *FASEB J* 2004; 18(10):1144-1146.
203. Bonen A, Dyck DJ, Ibrahimi A, Abumrad NA. Muscle contractile activity increases fatty acid metabolism and transport and FAT/CD36. *Am J Physiol* 1999; 276(4 Pt 1):E642-E649.
204. Bonen A, Luiken JJ, Arumugam Y, Glatz JF, Tandon NN. Acute regulation of fatty acid uptake involves the cellular redistribution of fatty acid translocase. *J Biol Chem* 2000; 275(19):14501-14508.
205. Koonen DP, Glatz JF, Bonen A, Luiken JJ. Long-chain fatty acid uptake and FAT/CD36 translocation in heart and skeletal muscle. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2005; 1736(3):163-180.

206. Luiken JJ, Dyck DJ, Han XX, Tandon NN, Arumugam Y, Glatz JF et al. Insulin induces the translocation of the fatty acid transporter FAT/CD36 to the plasma membrane. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2002; 282(2):E491-E495.
207. Memon RA, Fuller J, Moser AH, Smith PJ, Grunfeld C, Feingold KR. Regulation of putative fatty acid transporters and Acyl-CoA synthetase in liver and adipose tissue in ob/ob mice. *Diabetes* 1999; 48(1):121-127.
208. Ibrahimi A, Bonen A, Blinn WD, Hajri T, Li X, Zhong K et al. Muscle-specific overexpression of FAT/CD36 enhances fatty acid oxidation by contracting muscle, reduces plasma triglycerides and fatty acids, and increases plasma glucose and insulin. *J Biol Chem* 1999; 274(38):26761-26766.
209. Yu C, Chen Y, Cline GW, Zhang D, Zong H, Wang Y et al. Mechanism by which fatty acids inhibit insulin activation of insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1)-associated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity in muscle. *J Biol Chem* 2002; 277(52):50230-50236.
210. Itani SI, Ruderman NB, Schmieder F, Boden G. Lipid-induced insulin resistance in human muscle is associated with changes in diacylglycerol, protein kinase C, and I κ B- α . *Diabetes* 2002; 51(7):2005-2011.
211. Griffin ME, Marcucci MJ, Cline GW, Bell K, Barucci N, Lee D et al. Free fatty acid-induced insulin resistance is associated with activation of protein kinase C θ and alterations in the insulin signaling cascade. *Diabetes* 1999; 48(6):1270-1274.
212. Yin MJ, Yamamoto Y, Gaynor RB. The anti-inflammatory agents aspirin and salicylate inhibit the activity of I κ B kinase- β . *Nature* 1998; 396(6706):77-80.
213. Kim JK, Kim YJ, Fillmore JJ, Chen Y, Moore I, Lee J et al. Prevention of fat-induced insulin resistance by salicylate. *J Clin Invest* 2001; 108(3):437-446.
214. Hirosumi J, Tuncman G, Chang L, Gorgun CZ, Uysal KT, Maeda K et al. A central role for JNK in obesity and insulin resistance. *Nature* 2002; 420(6913):333-336.
215. Kanety H, Feinstein R, Papa MZ, Hemi R, Karasik A. Tumor necrosis factor α -induced phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1). Possible mechanism for suppression of insulin-stimulated tyrosine phosphorylation of IRS-1. *J Biol Chem* 1995; 270(40):23780-23784.
216. Paz K, Hemi R, LeRoith D, Karasik A, Elhanany E, Kanety H et al. A molecular basis for insulin resistance. Elevated serine/threonine phosphorylation of IRS-1 and IRS-2 inhibits their binding to the juxtamembrane region of the insulin receptor and impairs their ability to undergo insulin-induced tyrosine phosphorylation. *J Biol Chem* 1997; 272(47):29911-29918.
217. Hotamisligil GS. Mechanisms of TNF- α -induced insulin resistance. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 1999; 107(2):119-125.
218. Feinleib M. Epidemiology of obesity in relation to health hazards. *Ann Intern Med* 1985; 103(6 (Pt 2)):1019-1024.
219. Mann GV. The influence of obesity on health (second of two parts). *N Engl J Med* 1974; 291(5):226-232.
220. Kannel WB. Lipids, diabetes, and coronary heart disease: insights from the Framingham Study. *Am Heart J* 1985; 110(5):1100-1107.
221. Lapidus L, Bengtsson C, Larsson B, Pennert K, Rybo E, Sjostrom L. Distribution of adipose tissue and risk of cardiovascular disease and death: a 12 year follow up of participants in the population study of women in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1984; 289(6454):1257-1261.

222. Larsson B, Svarsdudd K, Welin L, Wilhelmsen L, Bjorntorp P, Tibblin G. Abdominal adipose tissue distribution, obesity, and risk of cardiovascular disease and death: 13 year follow up of participants in the study of men born in 1913. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1984; 288(6428):1401-1404.
223. Ohlson LO, Larsson B, Svarsdudd K, Welin L, Eriksson H, Wilhelmsen L et al. The influence of body fat distribution on the incidence of diabetes mellitus. 13.5 years of follow-up of the participants in the study of men born in 1913. *Diabetes* 1985; 34(10):1055-1058.
224. Ducimetiere P, Richard J, Cambien F. The pattern of subcutaneous fat distribution in middle-aged men and the risk of coronary heart disease: the Paris Prospective Study. *Int J Obes* 1986; 10(3):229-240.
225. Vague J. La differenciation sexuelle, facteur determinant des formes de l'obesite. *Presse Medicine* 2005; 55:339-340.
226. Fujioka S, Matsuzawa Y, Tokunaga K, Tarui S. Contribution of intra-abdominal fat accumulation to the impairment of glucose and lipid metabolism in human obesity. *Metabolism* 1987; 36(1):54-59.
227. Despres JP, Nadeau A, Tremblay A, Ferland M, Moorjani S, Lupien PJ et al. Role of deep abdominal fat in the association between regional adipose tissue distribution and glucose tolerance in obese women. *Diabetes* 1989; 38(3):304-309.
228. Pouliot MC, Despres JP, Nadeau A, Moorjani S, Prud'Homme D, Lupien PJ et al. Visceral obesity in men. Associations with glucose tolerance, plasma insulin, and lipoprotein levels. *Diabetes* 1992; 41(7):826-834.
229. Park KS, Rhee BD, Lee KU, Kim SY, Lee HK, Koh CS et al. Intra-abdominal fat is associated with decreased insulin sensitivity in healthy young men. *Metabolism* 1991; 40(6):600-603.
230. Gautier JF, Mourier A, Kerviler E de, Tarentola A, Bigard AX, Villette JM et al. Evaluation of abdominal fat distribution in noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: relationship to insulin resistance. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1998; 83(4):1306-1311.
231. Garg A. Regional adiposity and insulin resistance. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004; 89(9):4206-4210.
232. Guo Z, Hensrud DD, Johnson CM, Jensen MD. Regional postprandial fatty acid metabolism in different obesity phenotypes. *Diabetes* 1999; 48(8):1586-1592.
233. Jensen MD, Johnson CM. Contribution of leg and splanchnic free fatty acid (FFA) kinetics to postabsorptive FFA flux in men and women. *Metabolism* 1996; 45(5):662-666.
234. Bjorntorp P. "Portal" adipose tissue as a generator of risk factors for cardiovascular disease and diabetes. *Arteriosclerosis* 1990; 10(4):493-496.
235. Ravussin E, Smith SR. Increased fat intake, impaired fat oxidation, and failure of fat cell proliferation result in ectopic fat storage, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2002; 967:363-378.
236. Fruhbeck G, Gomez-Ambrosi J, Muruzabal FJ, Burrell MA. The adipocyte: a model for integration of endocrine and metabolic signaling in energy metabolism regulation. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2001; 280(6):E827-E847.
237. Kershaw EE, Flier JS. Adipose tissue as an endocrine organ. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004; 89(6):2548-2556.
238. Carpentier A, Mittelman SD, Lamarche B, Bergman RN, Giacca A, Lewis GF. Acute enhancement of insulin secretion by FFA in humans is lost with prolonged FFA elevation. *Am J Physiol* 1999; 276(6 Pt 1):E1055-E1066.

239. Carpentier A, Mittelman SD, Bergman RN, Giacca A, Lewis GF. Prolonged elevation of plasma free fatty acids impairs pancreatic beta-cell function in obese nondiabetic humans but not in individuals with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2000; 49(3):399-408.
240. Paolisso G, Gambardella A, Amato L, Tortoriello R, D'Amore A, Varricchio M et al. Opposite effects of short- and long-term fatty acid infusion on insulin secretion in healthy subjects. *Diabetologia* 1995; 38(11):1295-1299.
241. Danforth E Jr. Failure of adipocyte differentiation causes type II diabetes mellitus? *Nat Genet* 2000; 26(1):13.
242. Garg A, Misra A. Hepatic steatosis, insulin resistance, and adipose tissue disorders. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2002; 87(7):3019-3022.
243. Weyer C, Foley JE, Bogardus C, Tataranni PA, Pratley RE. Enlarged subcutaneous abdominal adipocyte size, but not obesity itself, predicts type II diabetes independent of insulin resistance. *Diabetologia* 2000; 43(12):1498-1506.
244. Heilbronn L, Smith SR, Ravussin E. Failure of fat cell proliferation, mitochondrial function and fat oxidation results in ectopic fat storage, insulin resistance and type II diabetes mellitus. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2004; 28 Suppl 4:S12-S21.
245. Gu K, Cowie CC, Harris MI. Mortality in adults with and without diabetes in a national cohort of the U.S. population, 1971-1993. *Diabetes Care* 1998; 21(7):1138-1145.
246. Arauz-Pacheco C, Parrott MA, Raskin P. Treatment of hypertension in adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2003; 26 Suppl 1:S80-S82.
247. Epstein M, Sowers JR. Diabetes mellitus and hypertension. *Hypertension* 1992; 19(5):403-418.
248. Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. *Lancet* 1998; 352(9131):837-853.
249. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 329(14):977-986.
250. Standards of medical care in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004; 27 Suppl 1:S15-S35.
251. Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Hunt JA, Palmason C, Rodger NW, Ross SA et al. The efficacy of acarbose in the treatment of patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. A multicenter controlled clinical trial. *Ann Intern Med* 1994; 121(12):928-935.
252. Manco M, Mingrone G. Effects of weight loss and calorie restriction on carbohydrate metabolism. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2005; 8(4):431-439.
253. Holloszy JO. Exercise-induced increase in muscle insulin sensitivity. *J Appl Physiol* 2005; 99(1):338-343.
254. DeFronzo RA. Pharmacologic therapy for type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Ann Intern Med* 1999; 131(4):281-303.
255. DeFronzo RA, Goodman AM. Efficacy of metformin in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. The Multicenter Metformin Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1995; 333(9):541-549.
256. Yki-Jarvinen H. Thiazolidinediones. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 351(11):1106-1118.
257. Diamant M, Heine RJ. Thiazolidinediones in type 2 diabetes mellitus: current clinical evidence. *Drugs* 2003; 63(13):1373-1405.

258. Gaal LF van, Rissanen AM, Scheen AJ, Ziegler O, Rossner S. Effects of the cannabinoid-1 receptor blocker rimonabant on weight reduction and cardiovascular risk factors in overweight patients: 1-year experience from the RIO-Europe study. *Lancet* 2005; 365(9468):1389-1397.
259. Finer N, Bloom SR, Frost GS, Banks LM, Griffiths J. Sibutramine is effective for weight loss and diabetic control in obesity with type 2 diabetes: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2000; 2(2):105-112.
260. Landgraf R. Meglitinide analogues in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Drugs Aging* 2000; 17(5):411-425.
261. Goldstein DJ. Beneficial health effects of modest weight loss. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 1992; 16(6):397-415.
262. Mertens IL, Gaal LF van. Overweight, obesity, and blood pressure: the effects of modest weight reduction. *Obes Res* 2000; 8(3):270-278.
263. Vidal J. Updated review on the benefits of weight loss. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2002; 26 Suppl 4:S25-S28.
264. Norris SL, Zhang X, Avenell A, Gregg E, Brown TJ, Schmid CH et al. Long-term non-pharmacologic weight loss interventions for adults with type 2 diabetes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005;(2): CD004095.
265. Kremen AJ, Linner JH, Nelson CH. An experimental evaluation of the nutritional importance of proximal and distal small intestine. *Ann Surg* 1954; 140(3):439-448.
266. Kral JG, Gortz L, Hermansson G, Wallin GS. Gastroplasty for obesity: long-term weight loss improved by vagotomy. *World J Surg* 1993; 17(1):75-78.
267. Garrow JS, Gardiner GT. Maintenance of weight loss in obese patients after jaw wiring. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1981; 282(6267):858-860.
268. Greenway SE, Greenway FL, III, Klein S. Effects of obesity surgery on non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Arch Surg* 2002; 137(10):1109-1117.
269. Byrne TK. Complications of surgery for obesity. *Surg Clin North Am* 2001; 81(5):1181-viii.
270. Zinzindohoue F, Chevallier JM, Douard R, Elian N, Ferraz JM, Blanche JP et al. Laparoscopic gastric banding: a minimally invasive surgical treatment for morbid obesity: prospective study of 500 consecutive patients. *Ann Surg* 2003; 237(1):1-9.
271. DeMaria EJ, Sugerman HJ, Kellum JM, Meador JG, Wolfe LG. Results of 281 consecutive total laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypasses to treat morbid obesity. *Ann Surg* 2002; 235(5):640-645.
272. Pereira JA, Lizarin MA, Pareja JC, de Souza A, Muscelli E. Insulin resistance in nondiabetic morbidly obese patients: effect of bariatric surgery. *Obes Res* 2003; 11(12):1495-1501.
273. Fabris R, Mingrone G, Milan G, Manco M, Granzotto M, Dalla PA et al. Further lowering of muscle lipid oxidative capacity in obese subjects after biliopancreatic diversion. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004; 89(4):1753-1759.
274. Hove WR ten, de Meijer PH, Meinders AE. [Very-low-calorie diet in treatment of morbidly obese patient with diabetes mellitus type 2]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2000; 144(23):1089-1092.
275. Anderson JW, Brinkman-Kaplan VL, Lee H, Wood CL. Relationship of weight loss to cardiovascular risk factors in morbidly obese individuals. *J Am Coll Nutr* 1994; 13(3):256-261.
276. Pekkarinen T, Takala I, Mustajoki P. Weight loss with very-low-calorie diet and cardiovascular risk factors in moderately obese women: one-year follow-up study including ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 1998; 22(7):661-666.

- 277. Henry RR, Scheaffer L, Olefsky JM. Glycemic effects of intensive caloric restriction and isocaloric refeeding in noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1985; 61(5):917-925.
- 278. Kelley DE, Wing R, Buonocore C, Sturis J, Polonsky K, Fitzsimmons M. Relative effects of calorie restriction and weight loss in noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1993; 77(5):1287-1293.
- 279. Hughes TA, Gwynne JT, Switzer BR, Herbst C, White G. Effects of caloric restriction and weight loss on glycemic control, insulin release and resistance, and atherosclerotic risk in obese patients with type II diabetes mellitus. *Am J Med* 1984; 77(1):7-17.
- 280. Hanefeld M, Weck M. Very low calorie diet therapy in obese non-insulin dependent diabetes patients. *Int J Obes* 1989; 13 Suppl 2:33-37.
- 281. Markovic TP, Jenkins AB, Campbell LV, Furler SM, Kraegen EW, Chisholm DJ. The determinants of glycemic responses to diet restriction and weight loss in obesity and NIDDM. *Diabetes Care* 1998; 21(5):687-694.
- 282. Christiansen MP, Linfoot PA, Neese RA, Hellerstein MK. Effect of dietary energy restriction on glucose production and substrate utilization in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2000; 49(10):1691-1699.