Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/32024</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Grewal, Nimrat Title: Aortopathy in patients with a bicuspid aortic valve : determining susceptibility for aortic complications Issue Date: 2015-02-19

CHAPTER 6

Histopathology of aortic complications in bicuspid aortic valve versus Marfan syndrome: relevance for therapy?

Nimrat Grewal MD^{1,2,} Romy Franken MD³, Barbara J.M. Mulder MD, PhD³, Marie-José Goumans PhD⁴, Johannes H.N. Lindeman MD, PhD⁵, Monique R.M. Jongbloed MD, PhD^{2,6}, Marco C. DeRuiter PhD², Robert J.M. Klautz MD, PhD¹, Ad J.J.C. Bogers MD, PhD⁷, Robert E. Poelmann PhD^{6,8}, Adriana C. Gittenberger-de Groot PhD^{2,6}

¹Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

²Department of Anatomy and Embryology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

³Department of Cardiology, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands ⁴Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

⁵Department of Vascular Surgery, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

⁶Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands ⁷Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery and Heart Valve Bank, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

⁸Department of Biology, Integrative Zoology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

Submitted

ABSTRACT

Background: Patients with bicuspid aortic valve (BAV) and patients with Marfan syndrome (MFS) are more prone to develop aortic dilation and dissection compared to patients with a tricuspid aortic valve (TAV). To elucidate potential common as well as distinct pathways of clinical relevance, we compared the histopathological substrates of aortic pathology.

Methods and results: Ascending aortic wall biopsies were divided in five groups: BAV (n=36) and TAV (n=23) without and with dilation and non-dilated MFS (n=8). General histologic features, apoptosis, and the expression of markers for VSMCs maturation, markers predictive for ascending aortic dilation in BAV and expression of fibrillin-1 were investigated. Both MFS and BAV showed an altered distribution and decreased fibrillin-1 expression in the aorta and a significantly lower level of differentiated VSMCs markers. Interestingly, markers predictive for aortic dilation in BAV were not expressed in the MFS aorta. The aorta in MFS was similar to the aorta in dilated TAV with regard to the presence of medial degeneration and apoptosis, while other markers for degeneration and ageing like inflammation and progerin expression were low in MFS, comparable to BAV.

Conclusions: Both MFS and BAV aortas have immature VSMCs, while MFS and TAV patients have a similar increased rate of medial degeneration. However, the mechanism leading to apoptosis is different, being *fibrillin-1* mutation induced increased angiotensin-receptor-pathway signaling in MFS and cardiovascular ageing and increased progerin in TAV. Our findings could explain why angiotensin inhibition is successful in MFS and less effective in TAV and BAV patients.

INTRODUCTION

Bicuspid aortic valve (BAV) is the most common congenital cardiac malformation, with a prevalence of 1% in the general population (1). This anomaly is associated with complications as aortic stenosis and/ or regurgitation as well as critical aortic dilation, with an increased risk of dissection and rupture. Aortic dilation is also a key feature of the clinical presentation in patients with Marfan syndrome (MFS). In MFS, mutations in the *fibrillin-1* gene, encoding for the fibrillin-1 protein, account for approximately 70-93% of patients who meet the diagnostic criteria (2). Due to the *fibrillin-1* mutation, the aorta in MFS exhibits markedly abnormal elastic properties which are assumed to lead to a decrease in compliance and progressive increase in dilation (3).

Both patients with MFS and BAV show aortic dilation but the anatomic site of vulnerability is distinct in both conditions. While maximal aortic dilation is observed above the sinotubular junction in BAV, in the MFS population it is mainly found at the level of the sinuses of Valsalva, also referred to as aortic root (4).

To unravel the pathogenetic mechanism leading to aortic wall pathology in BAV, differences between the diseased aortic wall of patients with BAV and patients with a tricuspid aortic valve (TAV) were studied previously (5). We found that the ascending aorta in BAV is intrinsically different from TAV patients. The vascular smooth muscle (VSMC) cell layer is less well differentiated in BAV while inflammation and accelerated ageing attribute to the aortic pathology in TAV (5).

Despite the VSMC immaturity, not all patients with BAV carry an increased risk for aortic dilation. In a previous study we defined a panel of markers which could differentiate the non-dilated BAV patients, in a susceptible and non-susceptible subgroup for future dilation, being c-Kit, a marker for dedifferentiated VSMCs, and its phosphorylated state (pc-Kit) triggered by the presence of matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP9) influencing Hypoxia-Inducible-Factor-1alpha (HIF1 α) and endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) (6).

The aortic wall in MFS and BAV has been described to have similarities, like an increased MMP activity and decreased fibrillin-1 expression (7,8). However, cytolytic necrosis, (also termed medial degeneration), defined as VSMC dropout, apoptosis and elastic fiber degeneration, highly characteristic for

MFS and the dilated aorta in TAV, are far less obvious in BAV (5,7). Although clinically, symptoms of aortic wall pathology in MFS and BAV overlap, still some striking differences remain less well understood. For instance, BAV patients rarely possess Marfanoid characteristics, conversely in patients with MFS the risk of concomitant BAV syndrome is only slightly increased (4.7%) (9). Furthermore, the risk for a rtic dilation in BAV, although higher than in the general population, remains low when compared to MFS where the majority of patients is prone to severe aortic wall disease. In MFS moreover, not only aortic dilation is a critical aortic complication, but also dissections in a non-dilated aortic wall and at a younger age, as compared to the BAV, have been reported (10,11). In MFS furthermore, angiotensin-receptorblockers (ARBs) as Losartan have been identified as a potentially therapeutic agent to prevent progressive dilation of the ascending aorta in (12-18). A similar positive effect in preventing aortic complications, by reducing the angiotensin pathway signaling is however not seen in the BAV population (19). It is therefore interesting to study which similarities are actually present immunohistochemically between the ascending aortic wall in both diseases and the dilated aortic wall in the TAV, despite possible different clinical sequelae in future. What factors cause increased weakness of the aortic wall in MFS and are these similar in BAV? Why is a ortic wall pathology seen in BAV and MFS not comparable to the aortic dilation complications in patients with TAV?

To study this we compared the aortic wall between MFS, BAV and TAV, starting with the investigation of general histopathological features, including cytolytic necrosis, inflammation, elastin lamellae degradation and VSMC apoptosis. The level of expression of fibrillin-1 was also studied in these groups. As VSMCs play a role in the synthesis and assembly of fibrillin-1, we compared our findings of fibrillin-1 expression to that of differentiation and maturation of the vascular wall, being the VSMC differentiation markers lamin A/C and progerin (5). We further studied the expression of the pc-Kit pathway (c-Kit, pc-Kit, MMP9, HIF1 α and eNOS) to investigate whether these markers, indicative of BAV aortic dilation (6) are also applicable in the MFS group.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Ethical statement

The institutional ethics committee at the Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden approved this study. The Academic Medical Center (AMC), Amsterdam provided us with eight MFS biopsy aorta specimen, with approval of the Medical Ethical Committee. The Heart Valve Bank, Thoraxcenter, Erasmus Medical Center (EMC), Rotterdam, provided six non-dilated BAV aortic wall samples which were not suitable for transplantation, approved by their Scientific Advisory Board.

Patients and tissue samples

Ascending aortic wall samples were collected from non-MFS individuals with TAV and BAV, with and without dilation. Based on the ACC/AHA guidelines, dilation was clinically defined by surpassing an ascending aortic wall diameter of 44 mm (20). The study population was divided in five groups: 1) TAV without dilation, termed TA (n = 11, mean age 64.5 ± 9.0 years) obtained post mortem, 2) TAV with dilation, termed TAD (n = 12, mean age 72.3 ± 11.2 years) collected during elective replacement, 3) BAV without dilation, termed BA (n = 17, mean age 55.8 \pm 9.8 years) representing a unique group from patients with stentless root replacement (the biopsy material was collected as residue waste material from the proximal anastomosis), and the 6 biopsies received from the EMC, 4) BAV with dilation, termed BAD (n = 19, mean age 60.7 \pm 7.8 years), collected during elective replacement and 5) MFS aortic wall without ascending aorta dilation, termed MFS (n = 8, mean age 34.2 ± 11.0 years), derived after elective replacement of the dilated root (the biopsy material was collected as residue waste material from the proximal anastomosis). Group one to four have been reported previously (5,6) and in the current study additional staining with fibrillin-1 and apoptosis markers were performed for comparison with the newly described MFS group. In this study we paid additional attention to the underlying aortic valve pathology of the study population. The TA group showed no valve pathology and the TAD group showed variably either no valve pathology or aortic valve stenosis or regurgitation. In the non-dilated BAVs six patients had a non-pathologic aortic valve, these were the specimen we received from the EMC, the remainder of the BA group showed aortic valve stenosis, aortic valve regurgitation or a combination of both. In the dilated BAV group 3 patients had a non-

138 | CHAPTER 6

pathologic aortic valve. All MFS patients had a non-pathologic valve and a dilated aortic root, specimen were hence obtained during a valve sparing root replacement, which was performed in this patient group.

Sample processing and routine histology

Specimens were sectioned and stained as described previously (5,6). Briefly, following excision, all specimens were fixed, decalcified and paraffin embedded. Transverse sections (5 μ m) of the paraffin-embedded tissue were deparaffinated and rehydrated after which they were stained with hematoxylin-eosin (HE) and resorcin fuchsin (RF) to study the morphology of the vessel wall. Aortic inflammation was quantified using the HE stained sections, indexed from zero (no inflammatory cells) to 6 (large clusters of cells). In RF stained sections the maximum intimal thickness was quantified in μ m and the organization of the elastic lamellae in the media was evaluated.

Immunohistochemistry

Sections were stained following the protocol described previously (5,6). An overview of the primary and secondary antibodies used is given in Table 1.

Histologic parameters, immunohistochemical analyses and morphometry

Sections were studied with a Leica BM500 microscope equipped with plan achromatic objectives (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Cytolytic necrosis and elastic fiber degeneration were defined qualitatively, in alpha-smooth muscle actin (α SMA) and Resorcin Fuchsin (RF) stained sections respectively. The cytoplasmatic level of expression of α SMA, smooth-muscle22-alpha (SM22alpha), smoothelin and MMP-9, intra- and extracellular expression of fibrillin-1, cytoplasmatic and extracellular matrix expression of Transforming Growth Factor- beta (TGF β), nuclear expression of lamin A/C, progerin, cleaved-caspase-3, eNOS, pc-Kit and Hif1α were analyzed on three predetermined locations (left, middle and right) of every section, that we refer to as 'microscopic fields' maintained in evaluation of all staining on sister sections. In each microscopic field the level of expression was indexed on the three anatomical layers of the aortic wall (tunica intima, media, adventitia) as 0 (no expression in the respective layer), 2 (expression in less than one third of the layer), 4 (expression in two thirds of the layer) and 6 (expression in the whole layer). To determine the level of lamin

Table 1	Immunohistochemistry reagents
---------	-------------------------------

	, ,				
Primary antibody	Vendor, order number	Concen- tration	Secondary antibody	Mechanism	
Anti-αSMA	A2547, Sigma- Aldrich Chemie, Darmstadt, Germany	1:5000	RAM-PO (1:250)	Smooth muscle cell differentiation	
			(DAKO p0260)		
Anti- cleaved Caspase-3	9661, Cell Signaling, Beverly, United States	1:250	GAR (1:200) & NGS (1:66)	Apoptosis	
			(Vector Laboratories, USA, BA-1000 and S1000)		
Anti- SM22α	AB10135, Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom	1:100	GAR & NGS	Smooth muscle cell differentiation	
Anti- Smoothelin	16101, ProgenBiotechnik, Heidelberg, Germany	1:200	HAM (1:200) & NHS (1:66)	Smooth muscle cell differentiation	
			(Vector Laboratories, USA, BA-2000) (Brunschwig Chemie, Switserland, S-2000)		
Anti-lamin A/C	MAB3211, Millipore, Billerica, USA	1:50	HAM & NHS	Myoblast differentiation	
Anti- progerin	SC-81611, Bio- Connect, Huissen, The Netherlands	1:50	GAR & NGS	Cardiovascular ageing	
Anti-eNOS	PA1037, Thermo scientific, Rockford, USA	1:100	GAR & NGS	Susceptibility for aortopahty in BAV	
Anti-TGFβ	MO-C40009E, Anogen, Ontario, Canada	1:1000	HAM & NHS	Susceptibility for aortopahty in BAV	
Anti-MMP9	MCA2736, ThermoFisher, Waltham, USA	1:100	HAM & NHS	Susceptibility for aortopahty in BAV	
Anti-c-Kit	A4502, Dako, Heverlee, Belgium	1:00	GAR & NGS	Susceptibility for aortopahty in BAV	
Anti-pc-Kit	ab62154, Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom	1:100	GAR & NGS	Susceptibility for aortopahty in BAV	
Anti-HIF1α	SC-53546, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Texas, USA	1:500	НАМ	Susceptibility for aortopahty in BAV	
Anti- <i>FBN1</i>	MAB1919, Millipore, Billerica, Germany	1:100	HAM & NHS	Fibrillin-1 expression	

αSMA: alpha smooth muscle actin, SM22α: smooth-muscle-22-alpha,eNOS: endothelial nitric oxide, TGFβ: transforming growth factor-beta, MMP9: matrix metalloproteinase-9, pc-Kit: phosphorylated c-Kit, HIF1α: Hypoxia-Inducible-Factor-1-alpha, FBN1: fibrillin-1, GAR: goat-anti-rabbit-biotin, NGS: normal goat serum, HAM: horse-anti-mouse-biotin, NHS: normal horse serum and RAM-PO: peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse

6

A/C, progerin, cleaved-caspase-3, eNOS, pc-Kit and Hif1 α expression, the number of positively stained nuclei was counted following previously described methods (6). All specimens were re-evaluated by an independent, experienced histopathologist who was blinded to the clinical data.

Statistical analyses

All numerical data are presented as mean \pm SD of three microscopic fields on each stained slide. Statistical differences were evaluated with the Mann-Whitney U-test for comparison between the groups. We also performed a one, two and three way ANCOVA test to correct for age and gender. Significance was assumed when p<0.05 using SPSS 20.0 software program (SPSS Inc. Chicago, USA). Graphpad software was used to create graphics of statistical analysis.

Characteristics	ТА	TAD	BA	BAD	MFS
	N=11	N=12	N=17	N=19	N=8
Age (years)	64.5 ±	72.3 ±	55.8 ± 9.8	60.7 ±	34.1 ±
	9.0	11.2		7.8	11.8
Males (%)	54.5%	33.3%	70.1%	84.2%	62.5%
Females (%)	45.5%	66.7%	29.4%	15.8%	37.5%
Ascending aorta	*	55.0 ±	36.5 ±	52.7 ±	28.4 ±
diameter (mean)		10.7	7.4**	6.2	12.8
Aortic root	***	***	***	***	48.1 ± 3.0
diameter (mean)					
Aortic valve pathology					
-No valve pathology	N=11	N=6	N=6	N=3	N=7
-Aortic stenosis	N=0	N=1	N=4	N=8	N=0
-Aortic regurgitation	N=0	N=5	N=1	N=5	N=1
-Aortic stenosis and	N=0	N=0	N=5	N=3	N=0
regurgitation					

* data unavailable, clinically defined as non-dilated by pathologist. ** data unavailable for 5 patients, clinically defined as non-dilated by pathologist. *** aortic root diameters unavailable

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

Patient characteristics of all five groups are shown in Table 2. The MFS patients were evidently the youngest, followed by the BAV patients. In MFS, male and female were almost equally affected, the BAVs, however, showed a marked male predominance. There was thus a noticeable variance in age and gender distribution in our study. Statistically, both age and gender were not found confounding in our study. All MFS patients showed typical aortic root dilation (diameter 48.1 \pm 3.0 mm), with a non-dilated ascending aorta (diameter 28.4 \pm 12.8 mm). Marked root dilation was not present in the other four groups (TA, BA, TAD, BAD).

General histopathologic features in aortic walls of MFS, BAV and TAV

The ascending aortic wall, consisting of a tunica intima, media and adventitia, was compared between the MFS, BAV (BA, BAD) and TAV (TA, TAD) groups. The total vessel wall thickness, excluding the highly variable adventitia, was not different between the 5 groups.

Intima: Similar to BAV (5), in MFS the intima was significantly thinner as compared to the TAV groups (MFS vs TAD p<0.001) (Fig1A,B, graph 1F). Previously we described that in all specimens from BAV patients, the intima also showed a significantly lower intimal expression of TGF β as compared to TAV (6). When analyzed in the MFS group, we found that in this group the intima also had a very low TGF β expression (Fig1C).

Media: Of all groups only the aortic wall of MFS and TAD showed significant pathology in the media, with more profound cytoclytic necrosis (Fig 1D) and a more fragmented pattern of the elastic lamellae in which the inter-lamellar distance was enlarged (Fig 1E). These signs of pathology were not observed in the TA, BA, and BAD group (not shown). Expression of the apoptosis marker cleaved-caspase-3 was markedly elevated in the media of MFS and TAD as compared to the BAD (p=0.033 and p=0.0286 respectively) (Graph 1H).

Adventitia: The adventitia consisted of loose fibrous tissue containing nerve fibers, fibroblasts, adipocytes and vasa vasorum, lined by endothelium and VSMCs in all groups. Adventitial inflammatory cells were most outspoken in the TAD group as compared to the MFS and BAD group (p<0.0001, p<0.001 respectively) (Fig1A, graph 1G).



Figure 1 *Transverse histologic sections (5µm) stained with Resorcin Fuchsin (RF), Hematoxylin-Eosin (HE) and alpha Smooth Muscle Actin (\alphaSMA) in Marfan syndrome (MFS).* HE stained overview section (A) shows the tunica intima (i), which was significantly thinner (B), (graph F) and lacked TGF- β expression in all MFS (C) and BAV patients as compared to the TAV groups, tunica media (m) and tunica adventitia (a). The aortic media of MFS and TAD showed significant pathology in the media, with more profound cytolytic necrosis (CN) (D) and a more fragmented pattern of the elastic lamellae in which the inter-lamellar distance (arrow) was enlarged (E). Adventitial inflammatory cells were absent in the MFS (A) and most outspoken in the TAD group (graph G). Expression of the apoptosis marker cleaved-caspase-3 was significantly elevated in the media of MFS and TAD as compared to the BAD (graph H). Magnification: A 4x; B-E 40x; *** = p<0.001; **** = p<0.0001

In conclusion intimal thickness and lack of adventitial inflammation were similar between BAV and MFS. Aortic media pathology was comparable between the non-dilated MFS and dilated TAV.

Fibrillin-1, differentiating and mature VSMCs, lamin A/C, progerin and the pc-Kit pathway

To further understand the pathobiology we focused on the differentiation state of the aortic wall and the expression of the pc-Kit pathway and fibrillin-1 in all patient groups.

Fibrillin-1 expression was identified in the aortic media of all groups. The level of expression was significantly lower in all patients with MFS and BAV (BA, BAD) as compared to TAV (TA, TAD) (p<0.05) (Fig. 2A-E, graph 2F). The localization of the staining was different between the groups. In the TAV (TA and TAD) the staining was mainly seen extracellular, whereas in the MFS and BAV besides being decreased, the expression was mainly observed intracellular (cytoplasmic) in the VSMCs (Fig. 2A-E).

We further observed that in MFS the expression of α SMA (Graph 3E), SM22 α (Fig. 3A, graph 3F) and smoothelin (Fig. 3B) was similar to the BAVs and significantly lower as compared to the TAVs group. Expression of lamin A/C (Fig. 3C, graph 3G) and progerin (Fig. 3D, graph 3H) in MFS was as seen in BAV, being lower as compared to the TAVs. Thus the aortic wall in MFS shows features of less differentiation comparable with the BAV group. The results are summarized in Table 3. We further investigated markers predictive for ascending aortic dilation in BAV, including pc-Kit (6). We found that these markers were not expressed in the MFS group (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Our study describes an in-depth effort to compare the ascending aortic wall of patients with MFS (non-dilated), BAV (non- and dilated) and TAV (nonand dilated) in one study. A specific aortic wall architecture associated with aortic wall pathology in both MFS and BAV is an extensively discussed, yet controversial, subject. Previous studies compared the aortic wall of MFS and BAV histologically and found that the media was characterized by cytolytic necrosis and elastic fiber degeneration in both diseases (21). An increased MMP activity and decreased fibrillin-1 in the aortic wall, without comparable



Figure 2 Transverse histologic sections (5µm) stained with fibrillin-1. TA (tricuspidy without dilation), TAD (tricuspidy with dilation), BA (bicuspidy without dilation), BAD (bicuspidy with dilation), MFS (Marfan syndrome without dilation).

FBN-1 expression was observed in the aortic media (M) of all groups, with each picture (A-E) showing an insert with magnification 100x to illustrate the expression on cellular level. The level of expression was significantly lower in all patients with MFS (E), BA (C) and BAD (D) as compared to TA (A) and TAD (B) (Graph F). Staining was mainly extracellular in the TA and TAD, whereas in the MFS and BAV, the decreased expression, was mainly observed intracellular (cytoplasmic) in the VSMC. Magnification: A-E 40x; * = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01

reduction in matrix components elastin and collagen was further noticed (4,7,8). Similarities have thus been noted, though without getting grip on a possible common defect. In this study we attempted to shed light on intrinsic defects of the aortic wall leading to the observed similarities by comparing the ascending aortic wall of both diseases with each other and with patients with TAV without MFS. As recently several publications focused on a difference in dilation progress after aortic valve repair dependent on whether the diseased aortic valve was stenotic or regurgitant with concomitant root dilation (22) in the latter, we also paid additional attention to the underlying aortic valve pathology besides structural histopathologic features in the patient groups. In the literature it is argued that the aortic dilation in the stenotic type is a functional haemodynamic induced problem, while the aortic wall problem in the root phenotype is genetically determined. Therefore, an isolated aortic valve replacement in stenotic BAV patients is believed to halt the aortic wall dilation. Girdauskas et al further state that BAV patients with root dilation require a more aggressive surgical approach, being a genetic, connective tissue disorder-like form of aortic disease which is independent of transvalvular flow perturbations (22). We however do not agree on this differentiation into two main groups for a several reasons. Firstly, all BAVs are intrinsically a congenital malformation and most probably also involve the wall of the ascending aorta independent of a stenotic or a root phenotype (23). Secondly, as shown in table 2, in our study population aortic valve pathology in non- and dilated BAV and dilated TAV was highly variable showing either stenosis or no stenosis, with or without regurgitation. The dilated BAV and MFS group even consisted of patients without valve pathology, which indicates that even in the absence of specific aortic valve pathology aortic complications can occur. We can however not completely refute the influence of haemodynamics on the development of aortic wall complications, as we do not have follow up data of the operated patients. This is a limitation of our study and an important aspect which should be taken into account in future research.

Histopathologically, in our study patients in the BAV group did not show marked degenerative features in the aortic media (5), which has also been reported by Bechtel et al (24). The media of the ascending aorta in MFS however showed resemblance with the TAD with significant cytolytic necrosis, VSMC apoptosis and degradation of the elastic lamellae, which could predispose the aortic wall for dissection. The MFS group thus showed





Figure 3 *Transverse histologic sections* (5µm) *stained with smooth muscle 22 alpha* (SM22 α), *smoothelin, lamin A/C and progerin, markers for differentiation state of VSMCs.* SM22 α (A, graph 3F), α SMA (Graph E), and smoothelin (B) expression in MFS was similar to BAD and significantly lower as compared to TAD. Expression of lamin A/C (C, graph 3G) and progerin (D, graph 3H) in MFS was also significantly lower than in TAD. Magnification: A-D 100x; * = p<0.05; ** = p<0.01

	TA	TAD	MFS	BA	BAD
Intimal thickness	+++	+++	+/-	+/-	+/-
TGFβ intima expression	++	++	-	-	-
Inflammation	+/-	+++	+/-	+/-	+/-
Cytolytic necrosis	-	+	+	-	-
Apoptosis	++	+++	++	+	+
Elastic lamellae degeneration	-	+	+	-	-
Fibrillin-1	+++	+++	+	+	+
Smooth muscle cell expression	+++	+++	+/-	+/-	+/-
(αSMA, SM22α, smoothelin)					
Lamin A/C	+++	+++	+	+	+
Progerin	+	+++	+	+	+

 Table 3 Summary of the results

characteristics of a weak aortic wall, although strikingly the pathologic aorta was not even markedly dilated in the MFS study population as compared to the TAD (Table 2).

In order to further elucidate the high incidence of aortic wall pathology in MFS and BAV, we studied the level of fibrillin-1 expression. The immature aortic wall of BAV and MFS shared a marked resemblance in the level of expression of fibrillin-1. Decreased fibrillin-1 mRNA and fibrillin-1 protein have been demonstrated before in BAV individuals (8) and can lead to dissociation of VSMCs from medial matrix components (25). The diagnosis of MFS is however clinical and relies on a set of defined clinical criteria (the Ghent nosology) (2). The new diagnostic criteria emphasize cardiovascular manifestations of the disorder, in which aortic root aneurysm is one of the prime features. Recently Pape et al. (26) identified two fibrillin-1 mutations in a population of eight BAV patients. These mutations had never been detected in MFS patients before. Moreover, these BAV patients did not meet the clinical MFS criteria; therefore they were not diagnosed with MFS (26). We can conclude that in the present study and previous studies a decrease in fibrillin-1 has been reported in four conditions: patients with BAV without a *fibrillin-1* mutation (8) (current study), patients with BAV and a *fibrillin-1* mutation with (9) or without (26) clinical MFS features and finally patients with clinically MFS and a fibrillin-1 mutation but no BAV (27).

As fibrillin-1 is produced by VSMCs (28), a significant decrease (of structurally normal) fibrillin-1 is plausible in an aortic wall which constitutes less well differentiated VSMCs, also without apparent *fibrillin-1* mutations. As described in this study, in both MFS and BAV the aortic wall is primarily less well differentiated which can explain the secondary decrease in the (structurally normal) expression of fibrillin-1. Besides a decreased amount



Figure 4 Schematic overview of our hypothesis regarding similarities and differences in aortic wall pathology between bicuspid aortic valve (BAV), tricuspid aortic valve (TAV) and Marfan syndrome (MFS).

According to this schematic overview the ascending aortic wall can be classified as being either mature or less well differentiated. Patients with a TAV have a differentiated/ mature vascular wall (differentiated vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs) and lamin A/C) in which cardiovascular ageing (increased expression of progerin with increased apoptosis, atherosclerose and inflammation) accompanies degeneration with features of cytolytic necrosis (CN) This progressive aortic wall pathology in TAV thus leads to a weakened aortic media causing complications as aortic dilation and dissection.

In BAV and MFS weakness of the aorta is however caused by immaturity of the aortic wall (deficient differentiated VSMCs and lamin A/C expression) instead of ageing. Fibrillin-1, pivotal for structural stability of the vessel wall is produced by VSMCs. Immaturity of the vessel thus leads to a quantitative decrease of fibrillin-1 in both BAV and MFS. In MFS additionally the FBN1 (fibrillin-1) mutation leads to VSMC apoptosis through an increased signaling of Angiotensin II receptors (AT2 receptor). CN, caused by VSMC apoptosis, in combination with the immature state of the aortic media renders the vascular wall extremely weak. Most probably presenting a different pathogenesis of aortic dissection in MFS as compared to the TAV.

of fibrillin-1 in the aortic wall, in line with earlier research we found that the distribution and localization of fibrillin-1 was different in the MFS and BAV, with more accumulation within the VSMCs (7,29,30), whereas it was mostly seen extracellular in the TAVs. As earlier described by Nataatmadja et al. the few extracellular fibers observed in BAV and MFS were thick and short (7). Hollister et al. also found a deficiency in the amount of microfibrillar fibers, analyzed immunohistochemically (30), comparable with our results.

As described above, cytolytic necrosis and VSMC apoptosis are observed in both MFS and TAV. However, VSMC apoptosis, which leads to cytolytic necrosis, seems to occur due to a different pathogenetic mechanism in MFS as compared to TAV. In TAV ageing, accompanied by an increased progerin expression, and atherosclerosis causes VSMC apoptosis (5,31), whereas as we have seen in this study in MFS these features are not apparent. In MFS the media of the aortic wall contains less well differentiated VSMCs similar to BAV. Therefore there must be a different pathway leading to cytolytic necrosis in MFS, which is on the one hand not related to cardiovascular ageing, but on the other hand can also not be associated directly to the immature state of the VSMCs as in BAV where cytolytic necrosisis scarce (5.24).

VSMC apoptosis and subsequent cytolytic necrosis in MFS has earlier been described to occur at a much younger age as compared to the TAV and as a direct consequence of the fibrillin-1 mutation which leads to an increased Angiotensin II (AT2) receptors signaling and subsequently induction of TGFβ signalling (32-34). Two different pathologic pathways can thus be distinguished leading to aortic dissections. For clarification we have schematically hypothesized (Figure 4) how the various differentiation pathways and the involved gene pathways can lead to the observed histopathological similarities and differences we have seen between the investigated groups. In MFS there is thus a combination of immaturity of the aortic media and cytolytic necrosis, due to increased VSMC apoptosis, related to increased AT2 receptor signaling (31,32), rendering the aortic wall very weak. These observations could explain why angiotensin-receptor-blockers (ARBs), as Losartan, have been identified as a potentially therapeutic agent to prevent progressive dilation of the ascending aorta in MFS. ARBs reduce the signalling that occurs through both AT receptors, (AT1 and AT2 receptor). Many trials have emerged in recent years investigating the efficacy of ARB's in human patients with MFS (12-18). The first results from these studies show reduced dilation of the aortic root in MFS with Losartan (17).

A similar positive effect has not been reported in a population of BAV patients that were treated with an angiotensin inhibitor (ACE inhibitor) (19) which can be understood on the basis of our current results. In BAV medical treatment is not effective because the aortic wall in BAVs is not characterized by apoptosis and cytolytic necrosis. In conclusion, comparison of the aortic wall samples in BAV, MFS and TAV demonstrates that aortic dissections in MFS have a different pathogenesis as compared to the TAV, explaining the higher incidence and the younger age of occurrence. These findings could be relevant for understanding why medical treatment to inhibit angiotensin are seemingly successful in MFS and less effective in TAV and BAV patients also being prone for aortic wall pathology.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Heart Valve Bank Rotterdam, The Netherlands, for providing the described cryopreserved valves.

REFERENCES

- 1 Huntington K, Hunter AG, Chan KL (1997) A prospective study to assess the frequency of familial clustering of congenital bicuspid aortic valve. J Am Coll Cardiol 30:1809-1812
- 2 Loeys BL, Dietz HC, Braverman AC, Callewaert BL, De BJ, Devereux RB, Hilhorst-Hofstee Y, Jondeau G, Faivre L, Milewicz DM, Pyeritz RE, Sponseller PD, Wordsworth P, De Paepe AM (2010) The revised Ghent nosology for the Marfan syndrome. J Med Genet 47:476-485
- 3 Jeremy RW, Huang H, Hwa J, McCarron H, Hughes CF, Richards JG (1994): Relation between age, arterial distensibility, and aortic dilatation in the Marfan syndrome Am J Cardiol 74:369-373
- 4 Detaint D, Michelena HI, Nkomo VT, Vahanian A, Jondeau G, Sarano ME (2014) Aortic dilatation patterns and rates in adults with bicuspid aortic valves: a comparative study with Marfan syndrome and degenerative aortopathy. Heart 100:126-134.
- 5 Grewal N, Gittenberger-de Groot AC, Poelmann RE, Klautz RJ, Lindeman JH, Goumans MJ, Palmen M, Mohamed SA, Sievers HH, Bogers AJ, DeRuiter MC (2014) Ascending aorta dilation in association with bicuspid aortic valve: A maturation defect of the aortic wall. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 148(4):1583-1590
- 6 Grewal N, Gittenberger-de-Groot AC, DeRuiter MC, Klautz RJM, Poelmann RE, Duim SN, Lindeman JHN, Koenraadt WMC, Jongbloed MRM, Mohamed SA, Sievers HH, Bogers AJJC, Goumans MJ (2014) Bicuspid aortic valve: phosphorylation of c-Kit and downstream targets are prognostic for future aortopathy. Eur J Card Thor Surg 46(5):831-839
- 7 Nataatmadja M, West M, West J, Summers K, Walker P, Nagata M, Watanabe T (2003) Abnormal extracellular matrix protein transport associated with increased apoptosis of vascular smooth muscle cells in marfan syndrome and bicuspid aortic valve thoracic aortic aneurysm. Circulation 108 Suppl 1:II329-II334
- 8 Fedak PW, de Sa MP, Verma S, Nili N, Kazemian P, Butany J, Strauss BH, Weisel RD, David TE (2003) Vascular matrix remodeling in patients with bicuspid aortic valve malformations: implications for aortic dilatation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 126:797-806
- 9 Nistri S, Porciani MC, Attanasio M, Abbate R, Gensini GF, Pepe G (2012) Association of Marfan syndrome and bicuspid aortic valve: frequency and outcome. Int J Cardiol 155:324-325.
- 10 Michelena HI, Khanna AD, Mahoney D, Margaryan E, Topilsky Y, Suri RM, Eidem B, Edwards WD, Sundt TM, III, Enriquez-Sarano M (2011) Incidence of aortic complications in patients with bicuspid aortic valves. JAMA 306:1104-1112
- 11 Tzemos N, Therrien J, Yip J, Thanassoulis G, Tremblay S, Jamorski MT, Webb GD, Siu SC (2008) Outcomes in adults with bicuspid aortic valves. JAMA 300:1317-1325
- 12 Brooke BS, Habashi JP, Judge DP, Patel N, Loeys B, Dietz HC, III (2008)

Angiotensin II blockade and aortic-root dilation in Marfan's syndrome. N Engl J Med 358(26):2787-2795

- 13 Gambarin FI, Favalli V, Serio A, Regazzi M, Pasotti M, Klersy C, Dore R, Mannarino S, Vigano M, Odero A, Amato S, Tavazzi L, Arbustini E (2009) Rationale and design of a trial evaluating the effects of losartan vs. nebivolol vs. the association of both on the progression of aortic root dilation in Marfan syndrome with FBN1 gene mutations. J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown) 10(4):354-362
- 14 Detaint D, Aegerter P, Tubach F, Hoffman I, Plauchu H, Dulac Y, Faivre LO, Delrue MA, Collignon P, Odent S, Tchitchinadze M, Bouffard C, Arnoult F, Gautier M, Boileau C, Jondeau G (2010) Rationale and design of a randomized clinical trial (Marfan Sartan) of angiotensin II receptor blocker therapy versus placebo in individuals with Marfan syndrome. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 103(5):317-325
- 15 Radonic T, de WP, Baars MJ, Zwinderman AH, Mulder BJ, Groenink M (2010) Losartan therapy in adults with Marfan syndrome: study protocol of the multicenter randomized controlled COMPARE trial. Trials 11:3-11.
- 16 Moberg K, De NS, Devos D, Goetghebeur E, Segers P, Trachet B, Vervaet C, Renard M, Coucke P, Loeys B, De PA, De BJ (2012) The Ghent Marfan Trial--a randomized, double-blind placebo controlled trial with losartan in Marfan patients treated with beta-blockers. Int J Cardiol 157(3):354-358.
- 17 Groenink M, den Hartog AW, Franken R, Radonic T, de W, V, Timmermans J, Scholte AJ, van den Berg MP, Spijkerboer AM, Marquering HA, Zwinderman AH, Mulder BJ (2013) Losartan reduces aortic dilatation rate in adults with Marfan syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. Eur Heart J 34(45):3491-3500.
- 18 Chiu HH, Wu MH, Wang JK, Lu CW, Chiu SN, Chen CA, Lin MT, Hu FC (2013) Losartan added to beta-blockade therapy for aortic root dilation in Marfan syndrome: a randomized, open-label pilot study. Mayo Clin Proc 88(3):271-276.
- 19 Ohnemus D, Oster ME, Gatlin S, Jokhadar M, Mahle WT (2014) The Effect of Angiotensin-converting Enzyme Inhibitors on the Rate of Ascending Aorta Dilation in Patients with Bicuspid Aortal Valve. Congenit Heart Dis doi: 10.1111/chd.12184
- 20 Hiratzka LF, Bakris GL, Beckman JA, Bersin RM, Carr VF, Casey DE, Jr., Eagle KA, Hermann LK, Isselbacher EM, Kazerooni EA, Kouchoukos NT, Lytle BW, Milewicz DM, Reich DL, Sen S, Shinn JA, Svensson LG, Williams DM (2010) 2010 ACCF/AHA/AATS/ACR/ASA/SCA/SCAI/SIR/STS/SVM guidelines for the diagnosis and management of patients with thoracic aortic disease: executive summary. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American College of Radiology, American Stroke Association, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Interventional Radiology, Society of Thoracic Surgeons, and Society for Vascular Medicine. Catheter Cardiovascular Interv 76:E43-E86.
- 21 Niwa K, Perloff JK, Bhuta SM, Laks H, Drinkwater DC, Child JS, Miner PD (2001) Structural abnormalities of great arterial walls in congenital heart disease: light

and electron microscopic analyses. Circulation 103:393-400.

- 22 Girdauskas E, Disha K, Secknus M, Borger M, Kuntze T (2013) Increased risk of late aortic events after isolated aortic valve replacement in patients with bicuspid aortic valve insufficiency versus stenosis. J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino) 54(5):653-659.
- 23 Grewal N, DeRuiter MC, Jongbloed MR, Goumans MJ, Klautz RJ, Poelmann RE, Gittenberger-de Groot AC: Normal and abnormal development of the aortic wall and valve: correlation with clinical entities. Neth Heart J 2014;22:363-369.
- 24 Matthias Bechtel JF, Noack F, Sayk F, Erasmi AW, Bartels C, Sievers HH (2003) Histopathological grading of ascending aortic aneurysm: comparison of patients with bicuspid versus tricuspid aortic valve. J Heart Valve Dis 12:54-59.
- 25 Fedak PW, Verma S, David TE, Leask RL, Weisel RD, Butany J (2002) Clinical and pathophysiological implications of a bicuspid aortic valve. Circulation 106:900-904.
- 26 Pepe G, Nistri S, Giusti B, Sticchi E, Attanasio M, Porciani C, Abbate R, Bonow RO, Yacoub M, Gensini GF (2014) Identification of fibrillin 1 gene mutations in patients with bicuspid aortic valve (BAV) without Marfan syndrome. BMC Med Genet 15:23.
- 27 Dietz HC, Pyeritz RE (1995) Mutations in the human gene for fibrillin-1 (FBN1) in the Marfan syndrome and related disorders. Hum Mol Genet 4:1799-1809.
- 28 Kielty CM, Shuttleworth CA (1993) Synthesis and assembly of fibrillin by fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells. J Cell Sci 106 (Pt 1):167-173.
- 29 Milewicz DM, Pyeritz RE, Crawford ES, Byers P (1992) Marfan syndrome: defective synthesis, secretion, and extracellular matrix formation of fibrillin by cultured dermal fibroblasts. J Clin Invest 89(1):79-86.
- 30 Hollister DW, Godfrey M, Sakai LY, Pyeritz RE (1990) Immunohistologic abnormalities of the microfibrillar-fiber system in the Marfan syndrome. N Engl J Med;323(3):152-159.
- 31 Bokenkamp R, Raz V, Venema A, DeRuiter MC, van MC, Olive M, Nabel EG, Gittenberger-de Groot AC (2011) Differential temporal and spatial progerin expression during closure of the ductus arteriosus in neonates. PLoS One 6:e23975.
- 32 Nagashima H, Sakomura Y, Aoka Y, Uto K, Kameyama K, Ogawa M, Aomi S, Koyanagi H, Ishizuka N, Naruse M, Kawana M, Kasanuki H (2011) Angiotensin II type 2 receptor mediates vascular smooth muscle cell apoptosis in cystic medial degeneration associated with Marfan's syndrome. Circulation 104(12 Suppl 1):1282-1287.
- 33 Milewicz DM (1994) Identification of defects in the fibrillin gene and protein in individuals with the Marfan syndrome and related disorders. Tex Heart Inst J 21(1):22-29.
- 34 Kagami S, Border WA, Miller DE, Noble NA (1994) Angiotensin II stimulates extracellular matrix protein synthesis through induction of transforming growth factor-beta expression in rat glomerular mesangial cells. J Clin Invest 93:2431-2437.