Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/21951</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Hensgens, Marjolein Title: Risk factors, course and outcome of Clostridium difficile infections Issue Date: 2013-10-15

Chapter 10

Predicting a complicated course of Clostridium difficile infection

at the bedside

Marjolein P.M. Hensgens¹, Olaf M. Dekkers^{2, 3}, Abraham Goorhuis⁴, Saskia Le Cessie^{2, 5}, Ed J. Kuijper¹

¹ Department of Medical Microbiology, LUMC, Albinusdreef 2, 2333ZA Leiden, the Netherlands; ² Department of Clinical Epidemiology, LUMC, Albinusdreef 2, 2333ZA Leiden, the Netherlands; ³ Department of Endocrinology and Metabolic diseases, LUMC, Albinusdreef 2, 2333ZA Leiden, the Netherlands; ⁴ Department of Infectious Diseases, AMC, Meibergdreef 9, 1105 AZ Amsterdam, the Netherlands; ⁵ Department of Medical Statistics, LUMC, Albinusdreef 2, 2333ZA Leiden, the Netherlands

Submitted

Abstract

Background: *Clostridium difficile* infections (CDI) are a common cause of antibiotic associated diarrhea and associated with CDI-related mortality in approximately 10%. To date, there is no prediction model in use that guides clinicians to identify patients at high risk for complicated CDI.

Methods: From 2006 to 2009, nine Dutch hospitals included hospitalized CDI patients in a prospective cohort. Potential predictors of a complicated course (ICU admission, colectomy or death due to CDI) were evaluated in uni- and multivariate logistic regression. A score was constructed which was internally validated by bootstrapping. Furthermore, a pilot external validation was performed.

Results: Twelve percent of 395 CDI patients had a complicated course within 30 days after diagnosis. Age (\geq 85 years OR 4.96; 50-84 years 1.83), admission due to diarrhea (OR 3.27), diagnosis at the ICU department (OR 7.03), recent abdominal surgery (OR 0.23) and hypotension (OR 3.25) were independent predictors of a complicated course. These variables were used to construct a prediction model. A score subsequently classified patients into high risk (39% with a complicated course), intermediate (16%), low (5%) or virtually no risk to experience a complicated course. The score performed well after internal validation (AUC 0.78) and a pilot external validation among 139 patients showed similar good performance (AUC 0.73).

Conclusions: We present an easy-to-use, clinically useful risk score that is capable of categorizing CDI patients according to their outcome. Since classification is available at diagnosis, it could have major implications for e.g. treatment choice.

Introduction

Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) commonly presents as a colitis, which occurs when toxin is produced by the bacterium. Symptoms may include cramps, fever, abdominal pain or signs of an ileus or peritonitis; diarrhea is almost always present. Inflammation of the gut may be so severe that hypotension, perforation or a toxic megacolon occurs^{1, 2}. The number of patients that die as a consequence of CDI increased when a virulent *C. difficile* strain, PCR ribotype 027, emerged in 2002. CDI is now found to increase the absolute risk of death within 30 days by approximately 10 percent^{3, 4}.

Vancomycin and metronidazole are currently the most frequently used drugs to treat CDI, but newer treatment options, such as the recently licensed drug fidaxomicin, are now available⁵. This drug has been shown to be as effective as vancomycin in the treatment of CDI, but the population that benefits most from this new but costly treatment remains to be determined. In patients with severe symptoms of CDI, vancomycin treatment is superior to metronidazole^{6, 7}. Because severe symptoms are associated with a complicated course (e.g. death), it is important to identify patients at risk of a complicated course and use this as a guide towards treatment^{2, 8}. In an attempt to characterize patients who die due to CDI, several risk factors have been described, including advanced age, concomitant use of antibiotics, fever, admission to the intensive care unit and presence of leucocytosis, elevated creatinine or low serum albumin^{7, 9-12}. Furthermore, *C. difficile* specific factors such as PCR ribotype have been associated with mortality due to CDI⁹. In spite of evidence for useful predictors of a complicated course, no clinically useful prediction model has been developed to date¹³.

In this study, we aim to define prognostic markers for a complicated course of CDI, using variables that are available at a patient's bedside at time of diagnosis. Next, we aimed to develop an easy-to-use prediction rule that could help physicians to identify patients at risk of a complicated course of CDI.

Patients and methods

Patient selection

From March 2006 to May 2009, nine Dutch hospitals (5 academic, 4 community) prospectively included hospitalized patients with CDI in a cohort study. Hospitals participated for a minimum of six consecutive months in the three year study

period. Patients from all departments and co-morbidities were considered eligible. CDI was defined as the presence of diarrhea (\geq 3 unformed stools per 24-h period) and a positive *C. difficile* toxin test. In addition to testing on clinical suspicion of the treating physician, all patients with diarrhea who were hospitalized for two or more days were routinely tested for *C. difficile*. The toxin test that was used differed per hospital according to the local standard. Four hospitals used the ImmunoCard Toxins A&B (Meridian), three used a cytotoxicity assay, one used the Premier Toxins A&B (Meridian) and another hospital used the VIDAS *C. difficile* A&B test (bioMerieux). For every patient, only a single inclusion in the study was possible. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Ethics Boards.

Data collection

Patient information was collected by a study physician (AG) and registered on a standardized questionnaire, using patient records, the electronic medical information system and by consulting the physician in charge. Demographic characteristics such as age, sex, hospital and department of diagnosis were collected. Information on risk factors for CDI present in the three months prior to the onset of diarrhea was collected and included previous medication (antibiotics, immunosuppressive agents, chemotherapeutic agents, antacids and proton-pump inhibitors) and hospital admissions. Data concerning underlying medical conditions were classified using the 10th edition of the International Classification of Diseases and the Charlson's Comorbidity Index¹⁴. At the day of diagnosis (plus or minus one day), signs and symptoms during physical examination were recorded, i.e.: fever (temperature >38.5°C), macroscopic blood in the stool, hypotension (systolic blood pressure below 100 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure below 60 mmHg), abdominal pain. Serum creatinine was recorded before the onset of diarrhea.

Variables had missing data in less than 3% of patients, except for fever, hypotension and bloody diarrhea, which were incomplete in 10-13%. Creatinine values were not registered in one hospital (13%). To account for missing data in multivariable analysis, values were imputed using multiple imputation. This method is appropriate when values are missing at random (MAR)¹⁵, which seemed reasonable to assume in our study because variables that were predictive of the missing data were determined. All potential predictors, the outcome variable and nine additional variables were included in the imputation procedure.

Identification of *C. difficile* was done at the LUMC by the detection of the *gluD* gene using a PCR. All positive isolates were subsequently PCR-ribotyped as previously described^{16, 17}.

Outcome measurement

Thirty days after diagnosis the course of CDI was considered by consensus of the treating physician and a study physician (MH or AG). A complicated course was defined according to international recommendations^{18, 19}: (1) death as a direct or indirect consequence of CDI, (2) admission to the intensive care unit due to CDI, (3) colectomy due to CDI. Survival status of all patients was checked using the Dutch Civil Registration System in which all Dutch inhabitants are registered.

Predictors of a complicated course of CDI

Based on previous research we selected potential predictors of a complicated course of CDI that could be obtained at time of diagnosis, including age, department of diagnosis, use of antibiotic agents, Charlson's Comorbidity Index and creatinine count^{3, 9-11, 20, 21}. Additionally, we selected sex, hospital of diagnosis (academic or community), location of onset diarrhea (healthcare or community), reason for admission (diarrhea or other), some well known risk factors for acquiring CDI (medication and interventions) and signs and symptoms that were recorded during physical examination as potential predictors, with the exception of abdominal pain, which was deemed too subjective. Potential predictors were analyzed in univariate logistic regression analysis. Multivariable logistic regression was performed for all potential predictors with a p-value <0.50 in univariate analysis. Subsequently, the model was reduced by stepwise excluding variables with a p-value of >0.10 based on the log likelihood ratio test (backward selection). Therefore, the strongest predictors remained in the final model. Results were displayed as Odds ratios (OR).

Prediction rule development, performance and internal validation

Any prognostic model shows too optimistic performance in the dataset from which it is developed (over-fitting)²². To adjust for this optimism and to validate the model, we used bootstrapping techniques. During this process, the model is constructed numerous times (n=200) using a subset of the dataset to predict the outcome of the other part of the dataset. This way, the optimism can be quantified with a number (shrinkage factor). The regression coefficients of the final model were multiplied with the shrinkage factor and subsequently rounded to integers to construct a simple

prediction rule. For each patient we calculated a summed score. The discriminative ability of our model was expressed by calculation of the area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic curve (ROC area), which ranges from no discrimination (0.5) to perfect discrimination (1.0). A simplified version of the prognostic rule was constructed, to divide patients into a low, medium and high risk category. Similarly, this simplified rule was tested for its discriminative ability, sensitivity and specificity. Furthermore, performance was assessed by calculating the positive and negative predictive values and diagnostic accuracy.

Sensitivity analyses and pilot external validation

Several sensitivity analyses were performed including (1) restriction to patients ≥15 years, (2) restriction to patients who were treated for CDI with metronidazole and (3) a complete case analysis. A small cohort (n=139) was used as a pilot of external validation. This cohort consisted of all CDI patients diagnosed between May 2009 and May 2011 in a single hospital (Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands). This hospital also participated in the derivation study between 2006 and 2009; definitions of CDI and outcome were equal to those used to construct the prediction rule.

Analyses were done in PASW Statistics version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago) and R version 2.12.2, package Design and pROC (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna).

Results

In total, 395 patients with CDI were included. Their median age was 65 years (IQR 52-77), 55.7% of the population was male. Three months prior to the onset of diarrhea, 85.0% had used antibiotic therapy and 54.7% had been admitted to a healthcare facility. Abdominal pain (54%), fever (60%) and hypotension (30%) were frequently present at time of diagnosis, whereas bloody diarrhea (15%) was present in a minority of the patients. Patient characteristics are displayed in Table 1. **Table 1.** Univariate analysis of potential predictors for the development of a complicated course due to CDI.

	CDI patients (N=395)		Severe course due to CDI *						
			yes no		no		Odds ratio		
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	95% CI		P-value
Demographic characteristics									
Age									
≤ 49 years	85	22%	6	13%	79	23%	1	reference	0.01
50 - 84 years	275	70%	31	67%	237	70%	1.72	0.69-4.28	
≥ 85 years	35	9%	9	20%	23	7%	5.15	1.66-16.0	
Male sex	220	56%	24	52%	191	56%	0.85	0.46-1.57	0.59
Academic hospital	266	67%	23	50%	239	71%	0.42	0.22-0.78	0.01
Department of diagnosis									
Other departments	293	74%	35	76%	251	74%	1	reference	< 0.01
Surgery	83	21%	4	9%	78	23%	0.37	0.13-1.07	
Intensive Care Unit	19	5%	7	15%	10	3%	5.02	1.80-14.0	
Medication and intervention history **									
Cytostatic agents	64	16%	7	15%	55	16%	0.91	0.39-2.15	0.84
Immunosuppressive agents	172	44%	21	47%	146	44%	1.13	0.60-2.10	0.71
Proton pump inhibitors	251	64%	34	76%	211	63%	1.82	0.89-3.71	0.10
Recent abdominal surgery	110	28%	4	9%	105	31%	0.21	0.07-0.59	<0.01
Recent admission	210	55%	28	61%	177	54%	1.37	0.71-2.49	0.38
Antibiotic agents	335	85%	34	74%	293	87%	0.44	0.21-0.90	0.03
Clinical characteristics									
Charlson Index									
0	59	15%	7	15%	52	15%	1	reference	0.53
1 - 2	150	38%	14	30%	134	40%	0.78	0.30-2.03	
3 - 4	120	31%	15	33%	101	30%	1.10	0.42-2.87	
> 5	64	16%	10	22%	50	15%	1.49	0.53-4.21	
Diarrhea as reason for admission	104	27%	23	50%	78	23%	3.31	1.76-6.22	<0.01
Healthcare onset diarrhea	283	72%	28	61%	248	74%	0.55	0.29-1.04	0.06
Fever	208	60%	25	66%	174	59%	1.36	0.67-2.76	0.40
Hypotension	117	30%	25	63%	88	30%	3.86	1.94-7.68	<0.01
Bloody diarrhea (macroscopic)	52	15%	7	16%	44	15%	1.14	0.48-2.71	0.77
Laboratory parameter Creatinine count prior to									
start of diarrhea									
<90	199	58%	17	43%	178	61%	1	reference	0.05
>90	109	32%	16	40%	89	30%	1.88	0.91-3.90	
Dialysis	33	10%	7	18%	25	9%	2.93	1.11-7.77	

* Outcome is missing for 10 patients (2.5%), therefore the maximum number of patients is 46 with a severe course and 339 without a severe course.

 ** Medication and intervention history was gathered from the three months prior to the start of diarrhea.

10

Chapter 10

Within the first 30 days after diagnosis, 88.2% of the patients received antibiotic treatment for CDI. Most frequently, metronidazole was used (74.3%). A combination of metronidazole and vancomycin was used in 11.3% and vancomycin monotherapy in 2.6%. Sixty-five patients (16.5%) died within 30 days after the diagnosis, of which 38 (9.9%) were related to CDI. Five patients had a colectomy and three were admitted to the intensive care unit due to CDI, therefore, a complicated course due to CDI was observed in 46 patients (11.9%).

The PCR ribotype causing CDI was known for 206 patients (52.2%); the most frequently found types were 014 (16.9%), 078 (12.1%), 001 (8.7%) and 027 (8.2%).

Prediction rule

Seventeen variables were selected as potential predictors and included in univariate analysis (Table 1). Age, department of diagnosis, admission to an academic hospital, recent abdominal surgery, the prior use of antibiotic agents, diarrhoea as a reason for admission and hypotension were significantly associated with a complicated course of CDI after 30 days in this analysis. Sex, prior use of cytostatic or immunosuppressive agents, bloody diarrhea and Charlson's Comorbidity index, were discarded after univariate analysis due to a p-value of >0.50. The remaining twelve variables were included in multivariable logistic regression. After reduction of the model by backward selection, five variables remained strongly associated with a complicated course of CDI: age (OR 4.96 for age ≥85 years; OR 1.83 for age 50-84 years), department of diagnosis (OR 0.98 for surgery; OR 7.03 for the ICU department), recent abdominal surgery (OR 0.23), hypotension (OR 3.25) and admission because of diarrhea (OR 3.27) (Table 2). The regression coefficients of these variables were multiplied by 0.86 (shrinkage factor), after which they were converted into a score. For each patient the total score was calculated, ranging between -3 and 10. All 395 patients were stratified according to their summed score in Table 3. No patients had a summed score of >8. The observed probability to develop a complicated course due to CDI was calculated for each stratum, which showed that a high score correlated with a high risk for development of a complicated course of CDI and vise versa (Table 3).

Based on these results, four risk categories were defined: no risk (<0 points), low risk (0-1 points), medium risk (2-3 points) and a high risk (\geq 4 points) to develop a complicated course of CDI. A patient that is categorized in the highest group has approximately 40% chance of developing a complicated course, whereas a patient categorized in the lowest group has virtually no chance of developing a complicated course due to CDI. After internal validation of the model, the ROC area was 0.80 for the complete risk score and 0.78 for the simplified risk score.

	Odds ra	ntio (95% CI)	P-value	Regression coefficient before shrinkage	Regression coefficient after shrinkage	Score
Age						
≤ 49 years	1	reference	reference	0.00	0.00	0
50 - 84 years	1.83	(0.68-4.97)	0.24	0.61	0.52	1
≥ 85 years	4.96	(1.40-17.6)	0.01	1.60	1.38	3
Department of diagnosis						
Other departments	1	reference	reference	0.00	0.00	0
Surgery	0.98	(0.30-3.17)	0.97	-0.02	-0.02	0
Intensive Care Unit	7.03	(2.02-24.4)	< 0.01	1.95	1.68	3
Recent abdominal surgery	0.23	(0.07-0.73)	0.01	-1.47	-1.26	-3
Hypotension Diarrhea as reason for	3.25	(1.53-6.91)	<0.01	1.18	1.01	2
admission	3.27	(1.57-6.80)	< 0.01	1.18	1.01	2

 Table 2. Strongest, independent predictors of a complicated course of CDI in multivariable analyses.

These predictors, selected in multivariable analyses, were included in the final model. Their regression coefficients were shrunk in order to correct for optimism and subsequently, a score was developed. The chance that an individual patient develops a complicated course due to CDI can be predicted by the following formula: $p=1/(1+exp-(-3.15+0.52*age50-84+1.38*age\geq85+-0.02*department of surgery + 1.68* department of ICU + -1.26* recent abdominal surgery + 1.01* hypotension + 1.01* diarrhea as a reason for admission)).$

Complete score	Patients (N)	complicated course		Simplified score	Patients (N)	Observed con course (CI 95)	nplicated %)
-3	15	0%			63	0%	-
-2	40	0%	•	<0			
-1	7	0%					
0	65	3%		0.1	156	5%	(2%-9%)
1	92	7%		0-1			
2	26	11%		2-3	121	17%	(10%-23%)
3	95	18%		2-3			
4	7	34%			55	39%	(26%-52%)
5	35	32%					
6	3	31%		≥4			
7	6	63%					
8	3	100%					

Table 3. Derivation of the risk score: predicting a complicated course of CDI.

Observed

Using our prediction rule, several cut-off points can be used to define patients as 'at risk of a complicated course'. Sensitivity and specificity were 84% and 61% respectively for a cut-off point of \geq 2, which changed to 43% and 90% for a cut-off point of \geq 4. Performance of the prediction rule using different cut-off points is displayed in Table 4.

	Cut-off point for a complicated course					
	≥0	≥2	≥4			
NPV	1	0.96	0.92			
PPV	0.15	0.24	0.39			
Sensitivity	1	0.84	0.43			
Specificity	0.18	0.61	0.90			
Accuracy	0.28	0.64	0.84			

Table 4. Performance of the simplified risk score, using 3 different cut-off points to define acomplicated course.

NPV: Negative predictive value.

PPV: Positive predictive value.

Sensitivity analyses and pilot external validation

We performed sensitivity analyses on two different patient selections: patients treated with metronidazole only and patients aged \geq 15 years old (95% of the original cohort). Furthermore, we performed a complete case analysis in which 260 patients (66%) were eligible for multivariable analysis and 326 patients (83%) had complete data for the final prediction rule. All analyses yielded the same strongest five predictors of a complicated course due to CDI: diarrhea as a reason for admission, department of diagnosis, age, recent abdominal surgery and hypotension; identical to the predictors selected in the original analysis. Furthermore, similar ROC areas were found (\geq 0.77 in both selected patient groups and the complete case analysis).

A pilot for external validation was performed in a cohort of 139 patients. Seven of these patients (5.0%) developed a complicated course of CDI within 30 days after diagnosis. Although numbers were limited, a higher score corresponded with a higher chance on a complicated course: patients with score <0 (n=18) had 0% chance to experience a complicated course, score 0-1 (n=55) had 4% chance, score 2-3 (n=52) had 4% chance, score \geq 4 had 21% chance (n=14). The risk score also performed relatively well, with an ROC area of 0.73 and a sensitivity and specificity of 43% and 92% respectively at a cut-off point of \geq 4.

Discussion

In literature, *Clostridium difficile* infections are associated with high mortality risks of around 10% in the first 30 days^{3, 4}. In our study, the CDI-related mortality was also 10%, and 12% of the CDI patients experienced a complicated course within 30 days after diagnosis. A complicated course was associated with advanced age, admission because of diarrhea and diagnosis at the ICU department. Furthermore, recent abdominal surgery (negative predictor) and hypotension were independent predictors of a complicated course. Here, we present a multivariable risk score for a complicated course of CDI, composed of these factors that are easily accessible at diagnosis. The score can distinguish patients with a high risk (39%) of developing a complicated course.

Several studies previously attempted to construct a prediction rule and classify patients according to their outcome. However, none reached clinical practice due to small sample sizes and the lack of internal or external validation¹³. Two of 13 published prediction rules on the outcome of CDI were validated, however, the inclusion of subjective parameters (altered metal status) and parameters that are not available at diagnosis (radiologic findings), limited their use²³⁻²⁶. A validated risk score using recurrences as an outcome does exist²⁷, though its value is questioned, because it was constructed with less than 50 patients in the derivation and validation cohorts. Our prediction rule is internally validated and based on simple, clinical parameters that are available after completion of history and physical examination. This enables the physician to use it at a patient's bedside and on time for treatment guidance.

The prediction rule we present here is capable to define a high risk population: the positive predictive value rises from 12% (prevalence of a complicated course in the CDI population) to 39% when a cut-off of \geq 4 is used. This high risk population is in strong need for treatment options other than metronidazole and might benefit most from novel but expensive treatments. Current evidence favours vancomycin above metronidazole in patients with severe symptoms of CDI 7, therefore, it is likely that the high risk group benefits from vancomycin. Overall, our prediction rule could guide more diverse treatment modalities, however, the exact threshold (e.g. cut-off of \geq 4 or \geq 2) for an treatment other than metronidazole should be determined based on careful consideration regarding the harms versus the benefits of the treatment. It should be emphasized that the majority of our patients were treated, including those with approximately no chance to develop a complicated course. This prediction rule Chapter 10

therefore does not confirm watchful waiting in patients with a low risk to experience a complicated course.

Advanced age has frequently been associated with mortality and a complicated course of CDI^{10, 28-32}. Diagnosis at the ICU department³³ and hypotension^{30, 33-35} have also been associated with a complicated course in previous research. A guarter of the patients in our study were admitted because of diarrhea, which was associated with a complicated course after 30 days. Morrison et al. found a similar percentage and association in their large cohort of 485 patients³² and hypothesized that this could be due to a more complicated course of community-acquired infections. In our population however, 63% of the patients who were admitted because of diarrhea had been admitted to a healthcare facility in the preceding three months and were therefore not community-acquired. We hypothesize that admission due to diarrhea is a proxy for patients with severe symptoms and consequently at risk of a complicated course. Patients with recent abdominal surgery less frequently experienced a complicated course in our study. Several studies report this^{10, 29, 36} and the explanation of Bhangu et al.²⁹ is that these patients are probably often younger and fitter compared to patients without recent surgery. This explanation seems reasonable, however, in our study the mean age (59.5 vs 61.9 years) and Charlson's Comorbidity Index (category of \geq 5: 14.5% vs 17.3%) only slightly differ between patients with vs without previous surgery. Therefore, other yet unknown factors probably contribute to the difference between patients with and without recent abdominal surgery.

Serum creatinine was related to a complicated course in univariate analysis, however, it was discarded after multivariable analysis. Other laboratory parameters, such as a hypoalbuminemia and leucocytosis, were in our study not measured at diagnosis but during the course of the disease. We recently concluded that timing of these measurement highly influence the usefullness of these laboratory predictors³⁷. For this reason, these potential predictors were not included in our analysis. Rapid subtyping of *C. difficile* is unavailable in most laboratories and typing data is not available at diagnosis. The presence of a hypervirulent strain such as PCR ribotype 027 was therefore not evaluated as a potential predictor in our analysis.

Although our prediction rule is constructed using strong methodology and is based on a clinically relevant outcome, our study has several limitations. First of all, the measurement of outcome is based on clinical judgement which can be subjective. To minimize ascertainment bias, outcome was based on consensus of two physicians and death within 30 days was verified by using the highly reliable Dutch National Registration System. Although our model performed well after internal validation (ROC area 0.78) and a small external validation, its generalizability should be tested again in a setting with different researchers, locations and time. Interestingly, in our derivation and pilot-validation cohorts, the frequency of a complicated course differed (12% and 5%, respectively). Pilot-validation was done in a single center that also had a better survival during the derivation period (when 8% of the patients had a complicated course), which explains the difference.

In summary, we present a multivariable risk score that is designed to identify patients who are at risk of a complicated course of CDI. Because these patients might benefit from a different treatment, classification of patients according to their outcome could have major implications. Guidance of treatment decisions⁶ and selection of high risk patients as a target population for new, but expensive, treatments may be one of the future applications⁵. Additionally, the population of different trials can now be compared and our score enables surveillances to more objectively classify patients at risk for a complicated course of CDI. External validation and determination of the clinical threshold for initiating the complicated course-treatment are aims for further research.

Reference List

- 1 Bartlett JG. Narrative review: the new epidemic of Clostridium difficile-associated enteric disease. Ann Intern Med 2006;145:758-764.
- 2 Bauer MP, Kuijper EJ, van Dissel JT. European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID): treatment guidance document for Clostridium difficile infection (CDI). Clin Microbiol Infect 2009;15:1067-1079.
- 3 Loo VG, Poirier L, Miller MA, Oughton M, Libman MD, Michaud S, Bourgault AM, Nguyen T, Frenette C, Kelly M, Vibien A, Brassard P, Fenn S, Dewar K, Hudson TJ, Horn R, Rene P, Monczak Y, Dascal A. A predominantly clonal multi-institutional outbreak of Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea with high morbidity and mortality. N Engl J Med 2005;353:2442-2449.
- 4 Oake N, Taljaard M, van WC, Wilson K, Roth V, Forster AJ. The effect of hospitalacquired Clostridium difficile infection on in-hospital mortality. Arch Intern Med 2010;170:1804-1810.
- 5 Louie TJ, Miller MA, Mullane KM, Weiss K, Lentnek A, Golan Y, Gorbach S, Sears P, Shue YK. Fidaxomicin versus vancomycin for Clostridium difficile infection. N Engl J Med 2011;364:422-431.
- 6 Pepin J. Vancomycin for the treatment of Clostridium difficile Infection: for whom is this expensive bullet really magic? Clin Infect Dis 2008;46:1493-1498.
- 7 Zar FA, Bakkanagari SR, Moorthi KM, Davis MB. A comparison of vancomycin and metronidazole for the treatment of Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea, stratified by disease severity. Clin Infect Dis 2007;45:302-307.
- 8 Cohen SH, Gerding DN, Johnson S, Kelly CP, Loo VG, McDonald LC, Pepin J, Wilcox MH. Clinical practice guidelines for Clostridium difficile infection in adults: 2010 update by the society for healthcare epidemiology of America (SHEA) and the infectious diseases society of America (IDSA). Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2010;31:431-455.
- 9 Miller M, Gravel D, Mulvey M, Taylor G, Boyd D, Simor A, Gardam M, McGeer A, Hutchinson J, Moore D, Kelly S. Health care-associated Clostridium difficile infection in Canada: patient age and infecting strain type are highly predictive of severe outcome and mortality. Clin Infect Dis 2010;50:194-201.
- 10 Pepin J, Valiquette L, Alary ME, Villemure P, Pelletier A, Forget K, Pepin K, Chouinard D. Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea in a region of Quebec from 1991 to 2003: a changing pattern of disease severity. CMAJ 2004;171:466-472.
- 11 Miller M, Louie TJ, Mullane KM, Weiss K, Lentnek A, Golan Y, Robinson J, Shue YK. Correlation of the *ATLAS* Bedside Scoring System and Its Components with Cure, Recurrence and Global Cure of Clostridium Difficile Infection (CDI). 2010.
- 12 Fujitani S, George WL, Murthy AR. Comparison of Clinical Severity Score Indices for Clostridium difficile Infection. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2011;32:220-228.
- 13 Abou Chakra CN, Pepin J, Valiquette L. Prediction tools for unfavourable outcomes in Clostridium difficile infection: a systematic review. PLoS One 2012;7:e30258.
- 14 Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis 1987;40:373-383.
- 15 Sterne JA, White IR, Carlin JB, Spratt M, Royston P, Kenward MG, Wood AM, Carpenter JR. Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. BMJ 2009;338:b2393.
- 16 Bidet P, Lalande V, Salauze B, Burghoffer B, Avesani V, Delmee M, Rossier A, Barbut F, Petit JC. Comparison of PCR-ribotyping, arbitrarily primed PCR, and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis for typing Clostridium difficile. J Clin Microbiol 2000;38:2484-2487.

- 17 Paltansing S, van den Berg RJ, Guseinova RA, Visser CE, van d, V, Kuijper EJ. Characteristics and incidence of Clostridium difficile-associated disease in The Netherlands, 2005. Clin Microbiol Infect 2007;13:1058-1064.
- 18 Kuijper EJ, Coignard B, Tull P. Emergence of Clostridium difficile-associated disease in North America and Europe. Clin Microbiol Infect 2006;12 Suppl 6:2-18.
- 19 McDonald LC, Coignard B, Dubberke E, Song X, Horan T, Kutty PK. Recommendations for surveillance of Clostridium difficile-associated disease. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007;28:140-145.
- 20 Pepin J, Routhier S, Gagnon S, Brazeau I. Management and outcomes of a first recurrence of Clostridium difficile-associated disease in Quebec, Canada. Clin Infect Dis 2006;42:758-764.
- 21 Henrich TJ, Krakower D, Bitton A, Yokoe DS. Clinical risk factors for severe Clostridium difficile-associated disease. Emerg Infect Dis 2009;15:415-422.
- 22 Steyerberg E.W. Clinical Prediction Models. A Practical Approach to Development, Validation, and Updating. 1-497. 2009. New York, Springer. 2009.
- 23 Velazquez-Gomez IMD, Rocha-Rodriguez RMD, Toro DHMDFA, Gutierrez-Nunez JJMDF, Gonzalez GMD, Saavedra S. A Severity Score Index for Clostridium difficile Infection. Infectious Diseases in Clinical Practice 2008;16: 376-378. 2012.
- 24 Belmares J, Gerding DN, Parada JP, Miskevics S, Weaver F, Johnson S. Outcome of metronidazole therapy for Clostridium difficile disease and correlation with a scoring system. J Infect 2007;55:495-501.
- 25 Valiquette L, Pepin J, Do XV, Nault V, Beaulieu AA, Bedard J, Schmutz G. Prediction of complicated Clostridium difficile infection by pleural effusion and increased wall thickness on computed tomography. Clin Infect Dis 2009;49:554-560.
- 26 Toro DH, Amaral-Mojica KM, Rocha-Rodriguez R, Gutierrez-Nunez J. An innovative severity score index for clostridium difficile infection: A prospective study. Infectious Diseases in Clinical Practice. 2011. 19(5): 336-339. 2012.
- 27 Hu MY, Katchar K, Kyne L, Maroo S, Tummala S, Dreisbach V, Xu H, Leffler DA, Kelly CP. Prospective derivation and validation of a clinical prediction rule for recurrent Clostridium difficile infection. Gastroenterology 2009;136:1206-1214.
- 28 Welfare MR, Lalayiannis LC, Martin KE, Corbett S, Marshall B, Sarma JB. Co-morbidities as predictors of mortality in Clostridium difficile infection and derivation of the ARC predictive score. J Hosp Infect 2011;79:359-363.
- 29 Bhangu S, Bhangu A, Nightingale P, Michael A. Mortality and risk stratification in patients with Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea. Colorectal Dis 2010;12:241-246.
- 30 Zilberberg MD, Shorr AF, Micek ST, Doherty JA, Kollef MH. Clostridium difficileassociated disease and mortality among the elderly critically ill. Crit Care Med 2009;37:2583-2589.
- 31 Rubin MS, Bodenstein LE, Kent KC. Severe Clostridium difficile colitis. Dis Colon Rectum 1995;38:350-354.
- 32 Morrison RH, Hall NS, Said M, Rice T, Groff H, Brodine SK, Slymen D, Lederman ER. Risk factors associated with complications and mortality in patients with Clostridium difficile infection. Clin Infect Dis 2011;53:1173-1178.
- 33 Kenneally C, Rosini JM, Skrupky LP, Doherty JA, Hollands JM, Martinez E, McKinzie WE, Murphy T, Smith JR, Micek ST, Kollef MH. Analysis of 30-day mortality for clostridium difficile-associated disease in the ICU setting. Chest 2007;132:418-424.
- 34 Sailhamer EA, Carson K, Chang Y, Zacharias N, Spaniolas K, Tabbara M, Alam HB, DeMoya MA, Velmahos GC. Fulminant Clostridium difficile colitis: patterns of care and predictors of mortality. Arch Surg 2009;144:433-439.

- 35 Dudukgian H, Sie E, Gonzalez-Ruiz C, Etzioni DA, Kaiser AM. C. difficile colitis-predictors of fatal outcome. J Gastrointest Surg 2010;14:315-322.
- 36 Gravel D, Miller M, Simor A, Taylor G, Gardam M, McGeer A, Hutchinson J, Moore D, Kelly S, Boyd D, Mulvey M. Health care-associated Clostridium difficile infection in adults admitted to acute care hospitals in Canada: a Canadian Nosocomial Infection Surveillance Program Study. Clin Infect Dis 2009;48:568-576.
- 37 Bauer MP, Hensgens MP, Miller MA, Gerding DN, Wilcox MH, Dale AP, Fawley WN, Kuijper EJ, Gorbach SL. Renal Failure and Leukocytosis Are Predictors of a Complicated Course of Clostridium difficile Infection if Measured on Day of Diagnosis. Clin Infect Dis 2012;55 Suppl 2:S149-S153.