



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Mechanisms of Ewing sarcoma metastasis : biochemistry and biophysics**

Beletkaia, E.

### **Citation**

Beletkaia, E. (2015, December 9). *Mechanisms of Ewing sarcoma metastasis : biochemistry and biophysics*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/37000>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/37000>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/37000> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Beletkaia, Elena

**Title:** Mechanisms of Ewing sarcoma metastasis : biochemistry and biophysics

**Issue Date:** 2015-12-09

# Mechanisms of Ewing Sarcoma Metastasis

Biochemistry and Biophysics

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op woensdag 9 december 2015  
klokke 10.00 uur

door

Elena Beletkaia

geboren te Chişinău (Moldova)  
in 1989

Promotor: Prof. dr. T. Schmidt  
Co-promotor: Dr. B. E. Snaar-Jagalska

Promotiecommissie:

Dr. S. F. Fenz (Julius-Maximilians University, Würzburg, Germany)  
Prof. dr. M. Bünemann (Philipps University, Marburg, Germany)  
Prof. dr. M. Dogterom  
Prof. dr. E. Eliel  
Prof. dr. P. C. W. Hogendorn

ISBN 978-90-8593-234-5

Casimir PhD series Delft-Leiden 2015-28

An electronic version of this thesis can be found at  
<https://openaccess.leidenuniv.nl>

This work is part of the research programme TOPGO.L.10.064, which is  
financed by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO).

For Katherine



---

# CONTENTS

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Ewing sarcoma . . . . .	3
1.2	Ewing sarcoma's origin . . . . .	4
1.3	EWS/FLI fusion protein . . . . .	5
1.4	CXCR4 . . . . .	6
1.4.1	CXCR4 structure . . . . .	6
1.4.2	Activation mechanism . . . . .	8
1.4.3	CXCR4 signaling . . . . .	8
1.4.4	CXCR4 in cancer metastasis . . . . .	9
1.5	Ewing sarcoma metastasis . . . . .	10
1.5.1	CXCR4 in ES metastasis . . . . .	10
1.5.2	Other modulators of ES metastasis . . . . .	10
1.5.3	Anchorage-independent growth . . . . .	11
1.6	Cancer mechanics . . . . .	12
1.6.1	Cellular stiffness . . . . .	12
1.6.2	Role of the extracellular matrix . . . . .	12
1.7	Thesis outline . . . . .	14
1.8	Appendix . . . . .	16
1.9	References . . . . .	19
<b>2</b>	<b>CXCR4 signaling control</b>	<b>29</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	31
2.2	Materials and Methods . . . . .	33
2.2.1	Cell culture and transfection . . . . .	33
2.2.2	Sample preparation . . . . .	33
2.2.3	Global CXCL12 stimulation assay . . . . .	33
2.2.4	Calcium assay . . . . .	33
2.2.5	Actin depolymerization . . . . .	34

2.2.6	Endocytosis inhibition . . . . .	34
2.2.7	$G_{\alpha i}$ inhibition . . . . .	34
2.2.8	Single-molecule imaging . . . . .	34
2.2.9	Time-lapse microscopy . . . . .	36
2.2.10	Simulation of diffusion on a vesicle . . . . .	36
2.3	Results . . . . .	37
2.3.1	CXCR4-eYFP is functional in A673-CXCR4 cells .	37
2.3.2	CXCR4 do not homodimerize upon activation in A673 cells . . . . .	39
2.3.3	Activation of CXCR4 causes immobilization of the receptors . . . . .	41
2.3.4	Actin cytoskeleton is not responsible for CXCR4 immobilization . . . . .	43
2.3.5	CXCR4 in endocytotic vesicles contribute to the immobile receptor fraction . . . . .	45
2.3.6	G-proteins control CXCR4 immobilization . . . . .	47
2.3.7	Cross-talk between G-protein dependent and inde- pendent pathways . . . . .	48
2.4	Discussion . . . . .	50
2.5	Supplemental figures . . . . .	55
2.6	Acknowledgement . . . . .	57
2.7	References . . . . .	58
<b>3</b>	<b>G-protein activation mode by CXCR4</b>	<b>65</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	67
3.2	Materials and Methods . . . . .	69
3.2.1	Cell culture and transfection . . . . .	69
3.2.2	Confocal microscopy . . . . .	69
3.2.3	Single-molecule imaging . . . . .	69
3.3	Results . . . . .	70
3.3.1	Receptor interaction is required for G-protein lo- calization to the plasma membrane . . . . .	70
3.3.2	Mobility of membrane proteins is unaltered on cell- substrate adhesion . . . . .	74
3.3.3	Binding of $G_{\alpha i}$ to CXCR4 requires receptor acti- vation . . . . .	76
3.3.4	$G_{\alpha q}$ is pre-coupled to an inactive CXCR4 receptor	78
3.4	Discussion . . . . .	79
3.5	Acknowledgement . . . . .	82



---

3.6	References . . . . .	83
<b>4</b>	<b>Construction of optoCXCR4</b>	<b>87</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	89
4.2	Materials and Methods . . . . .	91
4.2.1	Cell culture . . . . .	91
4.2.2	Sequences alignments . . . . .	91
4.2.3	Whole-plasmid cloning . . . . .	92
4.2.4	Cell transfection . . . . .	92
4.2.5	Microscopy . . . . .	92
4.2.6	Dual reporter activity assay . . . . .	93
4.3	Design and characterization of an optoCXCR4 receptor . .	94
4.3.1	Design of optoCXCR4 . . . . .	94
4.3.2	Cloning of various optoCXCR4 constructs . . . . .	96
4.3.3	Optimization of cell transfection . . . . .	99
4.3.4	Localization of the chimeric receptors . . . . .	100
4.3.5	Functionality of the optoCXCR4 constructs . . . . .	102
4.3.6	Fast optoCXCR4 internalization . . . . .	103
4.4	Conclusions . . . . .	104
4.5	Supplemental figures . . . . .	106
4.6	Acknowledgement . . . . .	108
4.7	References . . . . .	109
<b>5</b>	<b>Mechanics of ES metastasis</b>	<b>113</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	115
5.2	Materials and Methods . . . . .	116
5.2.1	Cell culture and viral transfection . . . . .	116
5.2.2	Collagen gel assay . . . . .	117
5.2.3	Micropillar preparation . . . . .	117
5.2.4	Microscopy . . . . .	118
5.2.5	Force detection . . . . .	119
5.2.6	Immunostaining . . . . .	119
5.2.7	Cell area detection . . . . .	119
5.2.8	2D migration rate detection . . . . .	119
5.3	Results . . . . .	120
5.3.1	Cell phenotype depends on substrate modification	120
5.3.2	Cell invasion in 3D is stiffness dependent . . . . .	122
5.3.3	Ewing sarcoma cells change their mechanical phe- notype on micropillar arrays of varying stiffness .	124

---

5.3.4	Dynamic force development depends on substrate stiffness . . . . .	126
5.3.5	Activation of cells did not alter their morphological and mechanical phenotype . . . . .	128
5.4	Discussion . . . . .	130
5.5	Supplemental figures . . . . .	133
5.6	References . . . . .	136
	<b>Summary</b>	<b>141</b>
	<b>Samenvatting</b>	<b>145</b>
	<b>Publications</b>	<b>149</b>
	<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>151</b>