## THE HIPPIMAURI: A NOTE

In SPP XX 98 we find the well-known Aurelia Charite giving a receipt to a soldier Flavius Doratianus (see for his name J.G.Keenan, ZPE XIII, 1974, 287 159; the reading as proposed in BL II, 2, 163 is certainly right as a clear photograph provided by Dr. H. Harrauer [Vienna] shows). Doratianus is styled "ἱππιμαύρων σκουταρίων" and this has been interpreted by C.Wessely (Führer durch die Ausstellung Papyrus Erzherzog Rainer, Wien 1894<sup>2</sup>, Nr.312) as "berittenen maurischen Schützen". F.Preisigke, WB III, Abschn. 10, duly lists this army-unit under " † ἡππίμοῦροι σκουτάριοι".

Now it is well known that a unit of the Mauri scutarii was stationed in Hermopolis (see BGU XII 2141, 6 n.; D.Hoffmann, Das spätrömische Bewegungsheer und die Notitia Dignitatum, Bd.II, 62<sup>412</sup>) and except for the SPP text the Hippimauri are mentioned nowhere else in the papyri.

Furthermore, it strikes one as odd that there is no article  $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$  before  $\tilde{l}\pi \pi \iota \mu \alpha i \rho \omega v$ . Everything, however, will be in perfect order if  $\tilde{l}\pi \pi \iota$  is separated from  $\mu \alpha i \rho \omega v$ .  $\tilde{l}\pi \tilde{\iota}$  is nothing more than a iotacistic spelling of  $\tilde{l}\pi \pi \epsilon \tilde{\iota} = cavalryman$ , and one has to print  $M \alpha i \rho \omega v$ .

The name of their commander is given in SPP XX 98,7 as Almesianus. In P.Cairo Preisigke 39,5 however we find a commander Alaesianus. Both papyri date from approximately the same time (22.X.347/27.V.348). A remarkably clear photo of P.Cairo Preisigke 39 provided by Dr Harrauer makes it certain, that both commanders are identical. Line 5 of this document has λλμισιανόν which is only a spelling variant of λλμεσιανόν (SPP XX 98,7).

Amsterdam K.A. Worp

MET CONFE PORCAS STUDY TO BE WILL TO CAUSE FOR A STUDY