



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Human rights elephants in an era of globalisation : commodification, crimmigration, and human rights in confinement

Berlo, P. van

Citation

Berlo, P. van. (2020, January 21). *Human rights elephants in an era of globalisation : commodification, crimmigration, and human rights in confinement*. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/83277>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/83277>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/83277> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Berlo, P. van

Title: Human rights elephants in an era of globalisation : commodification, crimmigration, and human rights in confinement

Issue Date: 2020-01-21

Human Rights Elephants in an Era of Globalisation

Human Rights Elephants in an Era
of Globalisation

Commodification, Crimmigration, and
Human Rights in Confinement

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 21 januari 2020
klokke 16.15 uur

door

Patrick van Berlo

geboren te Deurne

in 1990

Promotores: prof. dr. J.P. van der Leun
prof. dr. M.A.H. van der Woude

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. M.M. Boone
mr. dr. M. den Heijer (Universiteit van Amsterdam)
prof. dr. B.M. Oomen (Universiteit Utrecht)

Omslagontwerp: Primo!Studio, Delft
Opmaak binnenwerk: Anne-Marie Krens – Tekstbeeld – Oegstgeest

© 2020 P. van Berlo

ISBN 978 94 6240 565 3

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden veelevoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.

Voor zover het maken van reprografische veelevoudigingen uit deze uitgave is toegestaan op grond van artikel 16h Auteurswet dient men de daarvoor wettelijk verschuldigde vergoedingen te voldoen aan de Stichting Reprorecht (Postbus 3051, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.reprorecht.nl). Voor het overnemen van (een) gedeelte(n) uit deze uitgave in bloemlezingen, readers en andere compilatiewerken (art. 16 Auteurswet) kan men zich wenden tot de Stichting PRO (Stichting Publicatie- en Reproductierechten Organisatie, Postbus 3060, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.stichting-pro.nl).

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission from the publisher.

Dankwoord (acknowledgments)

Wie het laatste woord van de conclusie van dit boek bereikt, zal zien: ik heb mijn proefschrift met liefde afgerond. Dat is letterlijk zo, maar ook figuurlijk, en op deze plek wil ik graag mijn dank uiten aan allen die daaraan hebben bijgedragen.

Allereerst wil ik mijn promotoren bedanken. Joanne, jouw bewonderenswaardige optimisme heeft me aldoor gemotiveerd tijdens dit avontuur. Maartje, je bevlogenheid voor onderzoek is inspirerend. Jullie lieten me vrij om te doen waar mijn hart lag en spoorden me aan over grenzen heen te kijken. Dank voor jullie onvoorwaardelijke vertrouwen. Dankzij jullie is dit proefschrift qua omvang tot een 'struisvogelei' beperkt gebleven!

I would like to express my gratitude to all interview respondents. This research could not have been performed without your input and cooperation, and I would like to thank all of you for your time, effort, and thoughtfulness. I am greatly indebted to the Kaldor Centre for International Refugee Law and the Border Crossing Observatory for hosting me on various occasions and for welcoming me to Australia. Ik wil het Meijers Instituut, het Leids Universitair Fonds en het EP-Nuffic danken voor financiering van dit onderzoek.

Pinar Ölçer, Kelly Pitcher, Maarten den Heijer, Mariana Gkliati en Thijs Beumers: bedankt voor jullie consciëntieuze commentaar op concepthoofdstukken. Dankzij jullie bleef ik scherp.

De collega's van Strafrecht & Criminologie en het VVI dank ik voor de fijne samenwerking. Ik heb mogen ervaren hoe speciaal deze plek is – ik ken geen betere omgeving om mijn quasi-idoel Caroline Tensen te bespreken, en dat zegt veel. In het bijzonder dank ik de mede-strafrechtpromovendi. Jelle en Danny, dankzij jullie werd de werkomgeving dag in dag uit zoveel gezelliger. Mojan en Lucas, jullie zijn oprechte steunpilaren en uitmuntende chefs maar bovenal mijn lievelings*draka's* – ik zie reikhalzend uit naar onze gezamenlijke kersenboerderij-taartenbakkerij-boekenwinkelonderneming.

Ik ben enorm blij met mijn fantastische paranimfen. Renée, wie anders had ik als paranimf kunnen vragen dan de persoon die me ruim tien jaar geleden aanspoorde mijn eerste boek te schrijven en die me al bijna achttien jaar met een half woord begrijpt? Jelmer, ik ben blij dat we dit avontuur samen doorlopen hebben, van het delen van een appartement in Melbourne en het bijna vast komen te zitten tussen hordes kangoeroes op de legendarische *Telegraph Road* tot onze talloze IPA-sessies en het indienen van onze manuscripten op exact dezelfde dag.

Het geeft me een rijk gevoel terug te kunnen vallen op (schoon)familie en vrienden. Er zijn te veel mensen om uitgebreid te bedanken, maar ik wil allen – in het bijzonder de families Van Berlo, Liebeek, Macquoy, Van Dommen en Versnel, Else, Evie, Karin, Natanja, Bastiaan, Gareth, Paul André, Esmeë, Michiel – bedanken voor de interesse, steun en vriendschap.

Pap en mam, dank dat ik van jullie altijd heb kunnen en mogen zijn wie ik ben, ook toen ik daar zelf nog naar zocht; weet dat ik enorm trots op jullie ben. Jullie hebben gelijk: ook halverwege de berg kan het uitzicht al prachtig zijn. Marco, bedankt dat je als grote broer altijd voor me klaarstaat en dat je onverminderd geïnteresseerd blijft in waar ik me mee bezighoud. Oma Christa Liebeek, ik ben dankbaar voor de manier waarop u en opa mij naar de wereld hebben leren kijken – de allerlaatste zin van de conclusie van dit proefschrift verwijst naar uw trouwgelofte en draag ik op aan u.

Lieve Sander, je gelooft onophoudelijk in mijn kunnen, ook waar dat in mijn ogen niet altijd gerechtvaardigd is, en neemt mijn eigengereidheid op de koop toe. Met jou voelt alles zo veel completer. Het volgende hoofdstuk in mijn leven draag ik, iedere keer weer, op aan jou.

Table of contents

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV
1 INTRODUCTION: HUMAN RIGHTS ELEPHANTS IN A GLOBALISING WORLD	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Focus of this research	3
1.2.1 Focusing on contexts of confinement	3
1.2.1.1 A choice for confinement	3
1.2.1.2 Some remarks on confinement in migration control	5
1.2.2 Focusing on the 'glocal' level	7
1.3 Research questions	10
1.4 Research design	13
1.4.1 Case study selection	13
1.4.2 Methods	14
1.4.2.1 Review of literature and documents	15
1.4.2.2 Doctrinal legal analysis	16
1.4.2.3 Semi-structured interviews	16
1.4.3 Qualitative interviews: RPC Nauru	17
1.4.3.1 Access to the research site	17
1.4.3.2 Ethical considerations	19
1.4.3.3 Before the interviews	19
1.4.3.4 During the interviews	24
1.4.3.5 After the interviews	26
1.4.4 Qualitative interviews: PI Norgerhaven	27
1.4.4.1 Access to the research site	27
1.4.4.2 The interviews	28
1.5 Introducing the case studies: from Nauru to Norgerhaven	29
1.5.1 Offshore processing in the Pacific: an Australian-Nauruan immigration detention setting	31
1.5.1.1 The lead-up to Operation Sovereign Borders	31
1.5.1.2 The introduction of Operation Sovereign Borders	34
1.5.1.3 Controversies relating to offshore processing	36
1.5.2 Offshore imprisonment in Northern Europe: a Norwegian-Dutch penal experiment	47
1.5.2.1 Background of the Norwegian-Dutch cooperation: a novel Belgian-Dutch penal construction	47
1.5.2.2 'The Garden of Norway': Norwegian-Dutch penal cooperation	48
1.5.2.3 PI Norgerhaven: from extensive compliments to the occasional controversy	49

1.6	Definitional issues	51
1.7	Roadmap	52

PART I – THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM

	Commodification & crimmigration as challenges to international human rights law accountability, effectiveness, and legitimacy	57
--	---	----

2	BARS WITH BARCODES: THE COMMODIFICATION OF CONFINEMENT	59
2.1	Introduction	59
2.2	Theorising commodification: nodal governance and anchored pluralism	62
2.3	Commodification in confinement on a global level	66
2.3.1	Privatisation	66
2.3.1.1	Privatising prisons	67
2.3.1.2	Privatising immigration detention	75
2.3.1.3	The private actors	78
2.3.2	Offshoring	81
2.3.2.1	Offshoring immigration detention	81
2.3.2.2	Offshoring prisons	84
2.4	Commodification in confinement on a local level: examining the case studies	87
2.4.1	RPC Nauru: a nodal perspective	87
2.4.1.1	The Australian Government and the Nauruan Government	87
2.4.1.2	Construction services	90
2.4.1.3	Service providers for garrison, welfare, security, health services, and claims assistance	90
2.4.1.4	Health care: IHMS and the Republic of Nauru Hospital	97
2.4.1.5	Policing and incidents: The Nauru Police Force and the Australian Federal Police	97
2.4.1.6	Resettlement	98
2.4.1.7	RPC Nauru: a nodal picture	98
2.4.2	PI Norgerhaven: a nodal perspective	104
2.5	The commodification challenge to international human rights law from a ‘glocal’ perspective	109
2.5.1	The first fundamental tenet: the ‘rights’ aspect of human rights	110
2.5.2	The commodification challenge to international human rights law	117
2.5.2.1	The commodification challenge to international human rights law accountability	118
2.5.2.2	The commodification challenge to international human rights law effectiveness	121
2.5.2.3	The commodification challenge to international human rights law legitimacy	122
2.6	Conclusion	127

3	LOCKED UP, LOCKED OUT, LOCKED AWAY: CRIMMIGRATION IN CONFINEMENT	129
3.1	Introduction	129
3.2	Theorising crimmigration: the merger of criminal justice and migration control	132
3.3	Crimmigration in confinement on a global level	139
	3.3.1 The 'criminalisation of immigration detention'	140
	3.3.2 The 'immigrationisation of prisons'	142
	3.3.3 The shades of crimmigration	148
3.4	The crimmigration-commodification nexus	149
	3.4.1 Crimmigration and the privatisation of prisons	149
	3.4.2 Crimmigration and the privatisation of immigration detention	151
	3.4.3 Crimmigration and the offshoring of prisons	152
	3.4.4 Crimmigration and the offshoring of immigration detention	153
3.5	Crimmigration on a local level: examining the case studies	154
	3.5.1 RPC Nauru: a crimmigration perspective	154
	3.5.2 PI Norgerhaven: a crimmigration perspective	158
3.6	The crimmigration challenge to international human rights law from a 'glocal' perspective	160
	3.6.1 The second fundamental tenet: equal individuals	161
	3.6.2 The crimmigration challenge to international human rights law	164
	3.6.2.1 The crimmigration challenge to international human rights law accountability	165
	3.6.2.2 The crimmigration challenge to international human rights law effectiveness	169
	3.6.2.3 The crimmigration challenge to international human rights law legitimacy	169
3.7	Conclusion	171
PART II – THE TUSKLESS ELEPHANT		
	A promise under strain? The veracity and resilience of international human rights law in the books	173
4	THE LAST AMONG EQUALS? LIMITING HUMAN RIGHTS IN CONFINEMENT	175
4.1	Introduction	175
4.2	The fundamental tenets: international human rights law as a Janus-faced phenomenon	177
4.3	Interfering with human rights entitlements	180
	4.3.1 Interfering with civil rights on account of individuals' confinement	182
	4.3.1.1 The core right at stake: the right to liberty	182
	4.3.1.2 The prohibition of forced or compulsory labour	187
	4.3.1.3 The right to family life	190
	4.3.2 Interfering with political rights on account of membership status: disenfranchisement	195

4.4	The case study contexts	202
4.4.1	RPC Nauru	203
4.4.1.1	Prior to the introduction of open centre arrangements	203
4.4.1.2	After the introduction of open centre arrangements	207
4.4.2	PI Norgerhaven	209
4.4.2.1	Identifying the relevant principles from the case law of the ECtHR	210
4.4.2.2	Applying the principles to PI Norgerhaven	216
4.5	Conclusion	220
5	WIDENING THE NET: TOWARDS PRIVATE HUMAN RIGHTS OBLIGATIONS?	225
5.1	Introduction	225
5.2	Private obligations: between resilience and veracity	225
5.3	'Positive obligations' and 'horizontal application' as effective resilient efforts?	233
5.4	The case study context: RPC Nauru	237
5.5	Conclusion	241
6	SOPHISTICATING THE NET I: STATE RESPONSIBILITY FOR CONDUCT	243
6.1	Introduction	243
6.2	Responsibility for conduct: attribution	245
6.3	The ILC Draft Articles	247
6.3.1	Conduct of organs of a state (Article 4)	247
6.3.2	Conduct of persons or entities exercising elements of governmental authority (Article 5)	249
6.3.3	Conduct of organs placed at the disposal of a State by another State (Article 6)	252
6.3.4	Excess of authority or contravention of instructions (Article 7)	253
6.3.5	Conduct directed or controlled by a State (Article 8)	254
6.3.6	Conduct acknowledged and adopted by a State as its own (Article 11)	257
6.4	Attributing conduct to multiple states: joint responsibility	257
6.4.1	Multiple states acting independently	258
6.4.2	Joint acts	259
6.4.3	Derived responsibility	260
6.4.3.1	Aid or assistance	261
6.4.3.2	Direction or control	263
6.4.3.3	Coercion	264
6.5	Applying the framework: RPC Nauru	265
6.5.1	Articles 4 and 6 Draft Articles	265
6.5.2	Article 5 Draft Articles	266
6.5.2.1	Service providers providing safety and garrison services	266
6.5.2.2	The Nauru (RPC) Corporation	273
6.5.3	Article 8 Draft Articles	274
6.5.4	Joint responsibility	278

6.6	Applying the framework: PI Norgerhaven	281
6.6.1	Articles 4 and 6 Draft Articles	281
6.6.2	Joint responsibility	283
6.7	Positive obligations: a modern-day panacea for state responsibility?	284
6.8	Conclusion	288
7	SOPHISTICATING THE NET II: THE SCOPE OF STATE OBLIGATIONS	291
7.1	Introduction	291
7.2	Staying veracious to the fundamental tenet of territorial state obligations	293
7.2.1	The ICCPR	294
7.2.2	The ICESCR	295
7.2.3	The CAT	296
7.2.4	The OAS Charter system	297
7.2.5	The ACHR	298
7.2.6	The ECHR	300
7.3	Showing resilience in the face of extraterritorial state conduct	301
7.3.1	The ICCPR	301
7.3.2	The ICESCR	305
7.3.3	The CAT	310
7.3.4	The OAS Charter system	311
7.3.5	The ACHR	312
7.4	The complexity of resilience: The ECHR as a showcase example	314
7.4.1	The European Commission of Human Rights	315
7.4.2	The European Court of Human Rights	318
7.4.2.1	The European Court of Human Rights: a spatial model	319
7.4.2.2	The European Court of Human Rights: a personal model	324
7.4.2.3	Exploring six complexities	328
7.4.2.4	ECtHR: Concluding remarks	351
7.5	Rules of derived responsibility as <i>lex specialis</i> ?	352
7.6	Applying the framework: RPC Nauru	356
7.6.1	Nauru's human rights obligations	356
7.6.2	Australia's human rights obligations	358
7.7	Applying the framework: PI Norgerhaven	364
7.7.1	The Netherlands' human rights obligations	364
7.7.2	Norway's human rights obligations	365
7.8	Conclusion	366
INTERMEZZO		
	Ambiguity and Abundance of Control: The Contextualised Challenge for International Human Rights Law in Nauru and Norgerhaven	376

PART III – ELEPHANTS’ DESIRE PATHS

Reconceptualising human rights protection: Towards holistic assessments	383
8 FROM JANUS TO BRAHMA: A HOLISTIC RECONCEPTUALISATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS	385
8.1 Introduction	385
8.2 Responses to the commodification impasse	388
8.3 In search of a socio-legal alternative: legal pluralism, legal consciousness, & legal alienation	393
8.4 From law to human rights	398
8.4.1 Four schools of human rights	399
8.4.2 From Janus to Brahma	402
8.5 A holistic approach to understanding the role of human rights	403
8.5.1 From legal pluralism, consciousness, & alienation to human rights pluralism, consciousness, & alienation	403
8.5.2 The dimensions’ constitutive function: four human rights consciousnesses	406
8.5.2.1 The four bases for human rights consciousness	406
8.5.2.2 The construction of ‘unique’ human rights consciousnesses: norm internalisation and norm socialisation	408
8.5.3 The dimensions’ directional function: four human rights vernacularisation mechanisms	411
8.5.3.1 Human rights through deliberative processes	412
8.5.3.2 Human rights through implemented frameworks of morality	414
8.5.3.3 Human rights through protest activities	418
8.5.3.4 Human rights through discourse	423
8.5.4 The dimensions’ instrumental function: four human rights instruments	425
8.5.4.1 Human rights as deliberative principles	425
8.5.4.2 Human rights as natural entitlements	429
8.5.4.3 Human rights as protest tools	430
8.5.4.4 Human rights as discursive expressions	431
8.5.5 A synergistic complexity: dimensional crossovers	432
8.5.6 From conceptual synergy to methodology: a few notes	440
8.5.6.1 Assessing deliberative processes	442
8.5.6.2 Assessing frameworks of morality	442
8.5.6.3 Assessing protest activities	443
8.5.6.4 Assessing discourse	444
8.6 Conclusion	446

9	HUMAN RIGHTS AS A HOLISTIC PROTECTION MECHANISM IN RPC NAURU	449
9.1	Introduction	449
9.2	Vernacularisation opportunities of lawyers and (quasi-judicial) monitoring bodies	451
9.2.1	The limited potential of private human rights obligations in action	452
9.2.2	The precarious position of human rights in the Asia-Pacific context	458
9.2.3	The troubling set-up and particularities of RPC Nauru	461
9.3	Vernacularisation opportunities of welfare workers	463
9.3.1	Human rights consciousness: internalisation and socialisation	464
9.3.2	Discretionary decision-making	472
9.3.2.1	Constrained discretionary decision-making	472
9.3.2.2	Scope for discretionary decision-making	479
9.4	Vernacularisation opportunities of institutionalised NGOs	486
9.4.1	Human rights consciousness	488
9.4.2	Vernacularisation through humanitarian aid	490
9.4.3	Vernacularisation through advocacy	493
9.4.3.1	Advocacy opportunities	493
9.4.3.2	The instrumental role of human rights as an advocacy tool	498
9.5	Towards synergy: commodification, crimmigration, and human rights vernacularisation	505
9.5.1	The impact of crimmigration	506
9.5.2	The impact of commodification	510
9.6	Conclusion	514
10	CONCLUSION: ELEPHANTS NEVER FORGET	519
10.1	Introduction	519
10.2	Answering the sub-questions of this research	521
10.3	Answering the main research question	528
10.4	Reflections	532
10.4.1	Commodification	532
10.4.2	Crimmigration	539
10.4.3	International human rights law	543
10.4.4	Final notes on the 'human rights elephant'	551
	SUMMARY	557
	SAMENVATTING (DUTCH SUMMARY)	573
	REFERENCES	591
	ANNEX I: TOPIC LIST RPC NAURU	653
	ANNEX II: TOPIC LIST PI NORGERHAVEN	655
	CURRICULUM VITAE	657

List of abbreviations

ABF	Australian Border Force
ACHR	Inter-American Convention on Human Rights
ADF	Australian Defence Force
AFP	Australian Federal Police
AHRC	Australian Human Rights Commission
AMES	Adult Multicultural Education Service
ASRC	Asylum Seekers Resource Centre
ATS	Alien Tort Statute (United States)
CAP	Claims Assistance Provider
CAT	Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment
CATee	Committee Against Torture
CCA	Corporate Corrections of America
CDA	Critical Discourse Analysis
CEDAW	Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CESCR	United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
CFREU	Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union
CIA	Central Intelligence Agency (United States)
CJEU	Court of Justice of the European Union
CPED	International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance
CPT	European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment
CRC	Convention on the Rights of the Child
CRPD	Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities
CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
DCMF	Design, Construction, Management, and Financing model
DHA	Department of Home Affairs (Australia)
DHS	Department of Homeland Security (United States)
DIAC	Department of Immigration and Citizenship (Australia)
DIBP	Department of Immigration and Border Protection (Australia)
DJI	Dienst Justitiële Inrichtingen (Dutch Custodial Institutions Agency)
DOJ	Department of Justice (United States)
DV&O	Dienst Vervoer en Ondersteuning (Dutch Transportation and Support Service)
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights

ECommHR	European Commission of Human Rights
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EU	European Union
FNP	Foreign National Prisoner
FRY	Federal Republic of Yugoslavia
G4S	Group 4 Securicor
HCA	High Court of Australia
HCJ	High Court of Justice (Israel)
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
HRC	United Nations Human Rights Council
HRCee	United Nations Human Rights Committee
HRLC	Human Rights Law Centre
HRW	Human Rights Watch
HU	Hermeneutic Unit
IACommHR	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights
IACtHR	Inter-American Court of Human Rights
IBM	International Business Machines Corporation
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICERD	International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination
ICESCR	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
ICJ	International Court of Justice
ICRMW	International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
IHMS	International Health and Medical Services
IHRL	International Human Rights Law
ILC	International Law Commission
ILO	International Labor Organization
IMA	Irregular Maritime Arrival
INS	Immigration and Naturalization Service (United States)
IOM	International Organization for Migration
IRC	Immigration Removal Centre (United Kingdom)
KDI	Kriminalomsorgensdirektoratet (Norwegian Correctional Service)
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
KRUS	Kriminalomsorgens høyskole og utdanningscenter (Training Institute of the Norwegian Prison System)
MDA	Multicultural Development Association
MNF	Multi-National Force
MOC	Migrants Operation Centre (at Guantánamo Bay)
MoU	Memorandum of Understanding
MP	Member of Parliament
MRT	Moldovan Republic of Transnistria
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NCP	National Contact Point
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
NHRI	National Human Rights Institution

NKR	Nagorno-Karabakh Republic
NPF	Nauru Police Force
NPFR	Nauru Police Force Reserve
OAS	Organization of American States
OECD	Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development
OHCHR	Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights
OSB	Operation Sovereign Borders (Australia)
OSSTT	Overseas Services to Survivors of Torture and Trauma
PI	Penitentiare Inrichting (Dutch Penitentiary Institution)
PNG	Papua New Guinea
PPP	Public-Private Partnership
PTSD	Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder
QC	Queen's Counsel
RAID	Rights and Accountability in Development
RCOA	Refugee Council of Australia
RPC	Regional Processing Centre
SCA	Save the Children Australia
SFIR	Stabilization Force Iraq
TNC	Transnational Corporation
Trb.	Tractatenblad (Dutch Treaty Series)
TRNC	Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus
TWA	Trans World Airlines
UDHR	Universal Declaration of Human Rights
UN	United Nations
UNFICYP	United Nations Peacekeeping Force in Cyprus
UNGA	United Nations General Assembly
UNGP	United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
UNHCR	United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
USP	University of the South Pacific
USSR	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties

