

STARCHY SHELLS: RESIDUE ANALYSIS OF PRECOLONIAL NORTHERN CARIBBEAN CULINARY PRACTICES*

A. J. CIOFALO† 

Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University, Einsteinweg 2 2333 CC Leiden, the Netherlands

P. T. SINELLI

Department of Anthropology, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, USA

and C. L. HOFMAN

Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University, Einsteinweg 2 2333 CC Leiden, the Netherlands

*Determining culinary practices is critical for understanding phyto-cultural complexes, transported landscapes and human niche constructions. Starch analysis is an exemplary method for reconstructing human–plant dependencies. However, certain types of artefacts from the Greater Caribbean region, such as flaked lithics, lithic griddles, coral artefacts and shells, have not been extensively analysed for starch remains. Moreover, there has been no comparison of culinary practices between The Bahama archipelago and the Greater Antilles (the presumed origin of foodways transported to The Bahama archipelago). The paper investigates 60 bivalve shell artefacts for starch remains, which were recovered from three archaeological sites: El Flaco and La Luperona (Dominican Republic), and Palmetto Junction (Turks & Caicos Islands). In contrast to ethnohistorical narratives that characterize shell tools exclusively as manioc peelers, the starch remains recovered in this study suggest a broader suite of plants and functions. The results provide evidence that a diversity of plants (*Dioscorea* spp., *Dioscorea trifida* L., Fabaceae, *Ipomoea batatas* L., *Manihot esculenta* Crantz, cf. *Zea mays* L., cf. *Acrocomia media* O. F. Cook, and Zingiberales) were prepared with these shells. This new evidence contributes to ongoing discussions about culinary practices in the Caribbean and other related late precolonial (c.800–1500 CE) foodways.*

El estudio de las prácticas culinarias es esencial para comprender los complejos fitoculturales, el transporte de paisajes vegetales y la construcción de nichos humanos. En particular, el análisis de almidones es un método ejemplar para la reconstrucción de las interacciones entre humanos y plantas. A pesar de ello, los residuos amiláceos depositados en ciertos tipos de artefactos provenientes del Gran Caribe –como la lítica tallada, las posibles planchas [de cocción] líticas, los corales modificados y las herramientas en concha– no han sido examinados exhaustivamente. Asimismo, no se han efectuado trabajos comparativos de las prácticas culinarias entre el archipiélago de las Bahamas y las Antillas Mayores (el posible lugar de origen de las tradiciones alimentarias a Bahamas). Con el objeto de remediar esta limitación, el presente estudio ha sido diseñado para investigar los residuos (almidones) extraídos de 60 herramientas de bivalvos. Estas herramientas fueron recuperadas en tres sitios contemporáneos: El Flaco y La Luperona (noroeste de la República Dominicana), y Palmetto Junction (Islas Turcas y Caicos). Los almidones recuperados explican el posible uso de los bivalvos, estableciendo que las herramientas de concha etnohistóricamente asociadas exclusivamente al raspado de la yuca fueron incorporadas en el procesamiento de una gama más amplia de especies y funciones. Nuestros resultados proveen evidencia empírica de que

*Received 24 May 2019; accepted 12 November 2019

†Corresponding author: email a.j.ciofalo@arch.leidenuniv.nl

© 2019 The Authors. Archaeometry published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of University of Oxford

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

una diversidad de plantas (Dioscorea sp., Dioscorea trifida L., Fabaceae, Ipomoea batatas L., Manihot esculenta Crantz, cf. Zea mays L., cf. Acrocomia media O.F. Cook, and Zingiberales) fueron procesadas o preparadas con las herramientas deconcha. En particular, los nuevos datos ayudan a ampliar los debates en curso en torno a las prácticas culinarias y las dimensiones de la alimentación en el norte del Caribe precolonial (c.800–1500 CE).

KEYWORDS: STARCH ANALYSIS, CARIBBEAN, ARCHAEOLOGY, CULINARY PRACTICES, SHELL ARTEFACTS, FOODWAYS, ARCHAEOBOTANY

INTRODUCTION

Based upon macroscopic and microscopic analyses, the presumed use of shells by Indigenous Caribbean Peoples was diverse, including bodily adornments, butchery knives, celts, chisels/gouges, fish hooks and descalers, hammers, knippers, net weights, perforators and more (Petitjean-Roget 1963; Boomert 2000, 324; O'Day and Keegan 2001; Van Gijn *et al.* 2008). Lammers-Keijsers (2007) carried out extensive use wear and experimental work to understand better the functions of archaeological shells. Suggestions of bivalve shell functions embedded within botanical foodways include adzes, axes, cutters, scoops, peelers and scrapers (Sauer 1966, 51; Boomert 2000). However, because European written sources suggested bivalves were used to peel manioc (Fernández de Oviedo 1851 [1535], 270; Las Casas 1876 [1561], 147), the predominant assumption in the Caribbean had been that they were used primarily or even exclusively for this purpose (Loven 1935, 359; Sturtevant 1969; Keegan 2007, 149). Previous research that investigated starchy residues recovered from two shell artefacts from The Bahamas provided evidence that they were used to process or prepare maize, zamia and manioc (Ciofalo *et al.* 2018). Worldwide, only two published have investigated more than two shell artefacts for starch remains (Barton and White 1993; Allen and Ussher 2013). Thus, shell artefacts should receive more attention in order to reveal their potential roles within ancient foodways.

Archaeological background

Determining botanical foodways (Welch and Scarry 1995) has been critical for understanding phytocultural dynamics (Pagán-Jiménez 2013), transported vegetal environments (Berman and Pearsall 2008), and human niche constructions. Human niche construction describes processes in which practices effect changes to their environments that modify the selection pressures on themselves and their descendants, and foodways is a major component of these practices (Laland *et al.* 2007; Wollstonecroft 2011). Berman and Pearsall (2000) provide evidence for the use of domesticated geophytes and interpretations of maize agriculture on San Salvador, The Bahamas. While their studies focused on a time frame predating the present study, their questions and answers about transported landscapes to The Bahamas have provided avenues for these investigations to be extended (Berman and Pearsall 2008). The shell artefacts analysed in the present study were recovered from three chronologically contemporaneous archaeological sites in these regions. Two of the sites are located in the northern Dominican Republic, which is an area of the Greater Antilles for the presumed origin of foodways transported to The Bahama archipelago (Keegan 1992, 47; Berman and Pearsall 2008). Thus, this region is worthy for a comparison of foodways.

El Flaco (FL) (Fig. 1) was interpreted as a large hamlet with some permanent households and cooking huts (Keegan and Hofman 2017, 129). This site has cultural sequences dated to cal. 1309 ± 81 CE (see Table S1 in the additional supporting information). FL's bivalve shell remains are characterized by *Chione cancellate*, *Crassostrea rhizophorae*, *Brachidontes exustus*, *Donax*



Figure 1 The northern Caribbean showing the locations of the El Flaco, La Luperona and Palmetto Junction archaeological sites. Courtesy: Eduardo Herrera Malatesta. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

denticulatus and *Codakia orbicularis* in descending order of frequency based on the minimum number of individuals (MNI). Zooarchaeological evidence suggests that the inhabitants of FL focused on the consumption of terrestrial animals, based on the prevalence of bird, reptile and mammal remains in the faunal assemblage, compared with that of marine-sourced animal remains (Shev 2018, 177). Mollusc meat may not have been a common ingredient at FL, but several shells were modified and used for bodily adornments (Guzzo Falci *et al.* n.d.) and are prevalent enough to have been possibly used as tools for agricultural activities, processing plants (including wood) and working clay.

Both Dominican Republic sites in this study were interpreted as hamlets of permanent households operating in a network of settlements (Hofman *et al.* 2018). La Luperona (LU) (Fig. 1) (cal. 1352 ± 60 CE) (see Table S1 in the additional supporting information) lies 9 km north of FL, across the northern mountain range. It is situated approximately 11 km from the coastal zone. Dwelling structures appear to have been surrounded by food preparation areas with hearths, and there were land snails, marine vertebrate and sea shell remains along with terrestrial fauna, all which characterized the subsistence remains (Hofman and Hoogland 2015a).

Palmetto Junction (PJ) (Fig. 1) is located on a cape along the western end of Providenciales, Turks & Caicos Islands, roughly 225 km from the northern coast of the Dominican Republic. This archaeology site has cultural sequences dated to cal. 1391 ± 41 CE (see Table S1 in the additional supporting information), and has been interpreted as a large village that possibly functioned as a trading hub. The site is directly adjacent to both a creek and a bay, which provide access to a large fringing reef and open ocean to the west and the Caicos Bank to the south,




Figure 2 Selected shell artefacts. Macroscopically visible wear produced a relief of radial ribs and grooves at the ventral margins, which produced polishing (solid line), micro-detachments (dots) and combinations of polishing and micro-detachments (dashed lines): (a) FL66; (b) FL69; (c) LU27; (d) LU104; (e) PJ110; and (f) PJ57. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

respectively. PJ is one of the largest sites in The Bahama archipelago encompassing approximately 2 ha of habitation and activity areas. More than 20 individual middens, which appear to be contemporaneous with each other based on the radiocarbon dates and material culture remains so far, have been investigated archaeologically, and each contained a high frequency and density of pottery fragments and faunal remains, including fish, molluscs and mammals. With less frequency, reptile remains were recovered from the middens. PJ's bivalve shell remains are characterized by *C. orbicularis*, *Tellina* sp., *Liophora paphia* and *Chione* sp. in descending order of frequency based on the MNI. With an abundance of marine resource remains, apparently a substantial amount of seafood was prepared at PJ (DuChemin 2005).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study examined 20 bivalve shells from each site, for a total sample of 60 (for examples, see Fig. 2; for details, see Table 1). At FL, the sampled shells were recovered from stratigraphic layers with contexts areas outside archaeological features (hearths, burials, post holes, etc.). At LU, shell artefacts were recovered from middens, habitation contexts and areas outside archaeological features. At PJ, sampled shells were recovered from midden and habitation contexts.

Table 1 Sample details. Zara Ali provided assistance in identifying archaeological shells.

<i>Lab ID</i>	<i>Shell taxa</i>	<i>Sample volume (ml)</i>	<i>Sample weight (g)</i>
<i>El Flaco</i>			
FL14	<i>Codakia orbicularis</i>	1.00	0.21
FL62	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.40	0.02
FL63	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.40	0.01
FL64	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	2.00	0.53
FL66	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	1.40	0.23
FL69	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	2.50	0.41
FL70	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	2.00	0.25
FL87	<i>Phacoides pectinatus</i>	1.50	0.31
FL99	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	1.20	0.25
FL104	<i>Codakia sp.</i>	1.00	0.28
FL134	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	2.00	0.23
FL135	<i>Phacoides sp.</i>	1.00	0.10
FL136	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	1.00	0.23
FL137	<i>Phacoides sp.</i>	1.00	0.06
FL138	<i>Phacoides sp.</i>	1.50	0.12
FL140	<i>Phacoides sp.</i>	1.00	0.05
FL309	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.50	0.05
FL311	<i>P. pectinatus</i>	1.00	0.34
FL651	<i>Phacoides sp.</i>	1.20	0.34
FL652	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	1.00	0.37
<i>La Luperona</i>			
LU27	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.80	0.04
LU28	<i>Chione cancellata</i>	0.50	0.01
LU55	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	2.50	0.33
LU58	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	1.50	0.45
LU63	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.50	0.12
LU66	<i>P. pectinatus</i>	0.40	0.05
LU67	<i>C. cancellata</i>	1.00	0.27
LU88	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.50	0.01
LU89	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.50	0.03
LU90	<i>Codakia sp.</i>	0.40	0.01
LU91	<i>C. cancellata</i>	0.50	0.15
LU92	<i>C. cancellata</i>	0.50	0.02
LU93	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.80	0.08
LU94	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.80	0.12
LU95	<i>Ctena orbiculata</i>	0.40	0.01
LU96	<i>C. orbiculata</i>	0.40	0.01
LU97	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.40	0.01
LU101	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.40	0.01
LU104	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.30	0.01
LU106	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.40	0.11
<i>Palmetto Junction</i>			
PJ3	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.10	0.10
PJ4	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.20	0.31
PJ5	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.05	0.11
PJ8	No ID	0.10	0.23
PJ30	<i>Chione sp.</i>	0.50	0.15
PJ31	<i>Chione sp.</i>	0.80	0.01

(Continues)

Table 1 (Continued)

Lab ID	Shell taxa	Sample volume (ml)	Sample weight (g)
PJ32	Lucinidae	0.80	0.01
PJ34	No ID	0.50	0.04
PJ45	No ID	0.30	0.03
PJ46	<i>Liophora paphia</i>	1.00	0.09
PJ56	No ID	0.20	0.03
PJ57	<i>L. paphia</i>	0.20	0.05
PJ58	No ID	0.80	0.19
PJ97	<i>L. paphia</i>	0.60	0.06
PJ110	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.50	0.01
PJ111	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	0.20	0.01
PJ113	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	1.00	0.01
PJ115	<i>Codakia</i> sp.	0.50	0.09
PJ117	<i>C. orbicularis</i>	2.00	0.35
PJ147	<i>L. paphia</i>	0.40	0.02




Figure 3 Bivalve shell used to peel modern yam (*Dioscorea cayennensis* subsp. *rotundata* (Poir.) J. Miège). Dashed ellipses highlight the visible areas of concentrated starch collection in the magnified image of a used shell: (a) sample of starch grains recovered from the experimental bivalve shell tool under polarized light and dark-field view; and (a1) the same sample of starch grains recovered from the experimental bivalve shell tool under a bright-field view. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Because scores of shells were recovered from each study site, a random selection of 20 was created to generate a representative sample of each of the three assemblages. The excavated shells were individually bagged in the field, handled minimally and assigned a starch laboratory identification number which was entered into a random number generator on random.org (Haahr and Haahr 2018). Twenty integers were generated, and the corresponding shells were sampled and analysed for starch content with the following procedure.

Starch extraction

To help comprehend how starch grains may accumulate on bivalve shells used for plant processing (and, therefore, hone our extraction method), we used a *Donax* sp. shell to peel modern yam. The experiment displayed in Figure 3 was designed to better determine where starchy residues collected during tool use, and to demonstrate that even small shells (2.5 cm wide) could effectively peel underground storage organs. The shell used in this experiment was then sampled with the same protocols employed for the archaeological shells. The results indicate that small shells can function as geophyte peelers. In addition, it was observed that plant material collected on interior ventral margins and significantly in the interior aspect of the umbo. The plant material in the interior aspect of the umbo has the potential to counter claims that only botanical residues from the edge of a shell with demonstrated use-wear resulted from ancient plant processing (Barton and White 1993). Indeed, the results demonstrate that a shell tool can retain thousands of diagnostic starch grains from across much of its surface.

The protocols used to extract starch from our bivalve samples were derived from Pagán-Jiménez (2007a), and modified from Barton *et al.* (1998), Dickau *et al.* (2012), Pearsall *et al.* (2004) and Piperno *et al.* (2004). Each artefact was handled separately and lightly washed with ultra-purified water. This washing procedure removed much of the loosely adhered sediment, which was less likely to have been a part of the artefact's use-history (Barton and Torrence 2015). Each shell was then placed into a new plastic bag, covered with ultra-purified water and allowed to soak for 24 h. The bagged shells were then placed into an ultrasonic bath and sonicated for 9 min. After sonication, the aqueous sediments were transferred to new 50 ml tubes. As the shells were removed from the bags, particular attention was given to the interior aspect of the umbo and interior ventral margins, which means when macroscopically visible residues remained after the ultrasonic bath, those areas were scraped directly into the tubes with a sterilized dental pic, because those sections were where starchy tissues were visibly concentrated during our experiment (Fig. 3). The tubes that contained the samples were centrifuged at 3400 rpm for 6 min and the excess water was decanted. Samples were allowed to dry in a controlled laboratory environment, volumes and weights were then measured (Table 1).

For this study, sediment samples from the artefactual contexts were not investigated for starch content. If residues recovered from artefacts are not also evident in the proximal soil, then starches extracted from the artefact's surfaces were more likely to have resulted from use of the artefact than depositional contamination. However, based on other studies, it is the authors' understanding that starches recovered from sediments surrounding artefacts were more related to transference from the artefact to the depositional contexts than vice versa (Pearsall *et al.* 2004).

As an alternative to investigating soil for starch content, a few ordinary objects (lithics lacking macroscopic wear traces) from the middens at PJ were sampled and analysed for starch content. There were no detected starches from these control samples. In addition, 34 (57%) of samples extracted from the shell artefacts contained no recovered starch content (Table 2), which is an additional argument against ancient soil contamination and modern laboratory contamination.

Table 2 Identified starches per sample

	FL14	FL62	FL63	FL64	FL66	FL69	FL70	FL87	FL99	FL104	FL134
<i>El Flaco</i>											
<i>Manihot esculenta</i>											1
<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>						1					
cf. <i>Ipomoea batatas</i>						4					
Fabaceae									1		
cf. Fabaceae									1		
cf. <i>Zea mays</i>			1								
Unidentified	1	2	1			3+ CL 5*			1		
Individual starches	1	2	2	0	0	8	0	0	3	0	1
Minimum species richness [†]	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Heat damage [‡]	Y	N	Y			Y			Y		N
Macroscopic wear [§]	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
<hr/>											
	FL135	FL136	FL137	FL138	FL140	FL309	FL311	FL651	FL652	Total	
<i>El Flaco</i>											
<i>Manihot esculenta</i>										1	
<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>										1	
cf. <i>Ipomoea batatas</i>										4	
Fabaceae										1	
cf. Fabaceae										1	
cf. <i>Zea mays</i>						1				2	
Unidentified			1					*CL 3*		9+ CL 8*	
Individual starches	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	19	
Minimum species richness [†]	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	–	
Heat damage [‡]			N			Y		Y		6	
Macroscopic wear [§]	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	20	

Contamination both archaeologically and, in particular, within the laboratory is always a possible issue (Loy 1994; Barton *et al.* 1998; Hart 2011; Crowther *et al.* 2014). Work surfaces were consistently cleaned between each sample by thoroughly scrubbing with ultra-purified water and a new washcloth. Because starch has the potential to ‘piggy-back’ (be transferred) on human hair, hairnets, facemasks and gloves should be worn frequently (Crowther *et al.* 2014). However, during a routine contamination test on powder-free nitrile gloves, an unidentifiable starch was recovered (Ciofalo *et al.* 2018). Thus, no gloves were worn during laboratory procedures; instead, we washed our hands thoroughly throughout all laboratory protocols. In addition to gloves, all laboratory consumables were routinely tested for starch content, which produced negative results.

Table 2 (Continued)

	LU27	LU28	LU55	LU58	LU63	LU66	LU67	LU88	LU89	LU90	LU91
<i>La Luperona</i>											
cf. <i>Manihot</i>											
<i>esculenta</i>											
<i>Ipomoea</i>											
<i>batatas</i>											
Zingiberales											
Fabaceae										1	
Unidentified						*CL 7*					2
Individual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
starches											
Minimum	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
species											
richness [†]											
Heat damage [‡]						N				Y	N
Macroscopic	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
wear [§]											

	LU92	LU93	LU94	LU95	LU96	LU97	LU101	LU104	LU106	Total
<i>La Luperona</i>										
cf. <i>Manihot</i>								1		1
<i>esculenta</i>										
<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>						1				1
Zingiberales								2		2
Fabaceae									1	2
Unidentified										2+ *CL 7*
Individual	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	8
starches										
Minimum	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	–
species										
richness [†]										
Heat damage [‡]						Y		N	Y	3
Macroscopic	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	19
wear [§]										

The dried samples were submitted to a flotation procedure to separate starches from other particles not of interest for the study. We prepared a solution of heavy-liquid caesium chloride (CsCl) to 1.79 g cm^{-3} because starches were demonstrated to have an average specific gravity of $\geq 1.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ (Banks and Greenwood 1975). We subsequently added the same volume of solution as sample volume per 50 ml tube. We placed each tube into an ultrasonic bath for 1 min. This step in the procedure was deemed necessary for two reasons. First, because it has been inferred that plants were cooked before shell tool processing, the sonication presumably assisted in breaking apart any carbonized or conglomerated residues (Ciofalo *et al.* 2018). Second, the ultrasonic bath aided mixing the CsCl solution and residues. To mix the solution and solid residues further, cleaned separate glass-stirring rods were used to agitate the mixture per sample. The samples

Table 2 (Continued)

	PJ3	PJ4	PJ5	PJ8	PJ30	PJ31	PJ32	PJ34	PJ45	PJ46	PJ56
<i>Palmetto Junction</i>											
<i>Manihot</i>											
<i>esculenta</i>											
<i>Dioscorea</i> sp.			1					1			
<i>Dioscorea trifida</i>								1			
cf. <i>Dioscorea</i> sp.											
cf. <i>Acrocomia media</i>								1			
Fabaceae		1								1	
cf. Fabaceae											
Unidentified		3+ *CL ~65*						3	1	1	
Individual	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	6	1	2	0
starches											
Minimum species richness [†]	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0
Heat damage [‡]		Y	N					Y	N	Y	
Macroscopic wear [§]	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y

	PJ57	PJ58	PJ97	PJ110	PJ111	PJ113	PJ115	PJ117	PJ147	Total
<i>Palmetto Junction</i>										
<i>Manihot</i>										
<i>esculenta</i>										
<i>Dioscorea</i> sp.	206							45	9	262
<i>Dioscorea trifida</i>										1
cf. <i>Dioscorea</i> sp.	5							8	3	16
cf. <i>Acrocomia media</i>										1
Fabaceae								1		3
cf. Fabaceae		1						1		2
Unidentified	2	6	4					1		21 + *CL ~65*
Individual	213	7	4	0	0	0	1	56	12	307
starches										
Minimum species richness [†]	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	–
Heat damage [‡]	Y	Y	N				N	Y	Y	7
Macroscopic wear [§]	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	16

*CL, starch cluster. Clusters were not included in the individual starch totals because they could not always be counted.

[†]Minimum species richness combined both tentative ('cf.†') and secure identifications, which excluded starches that were not identified because they could have been produced by some of the already identified taxa.

[‡]Heat damage is the presence/absence indicator of at least one starch recovered from the sample that was affected by heat, where Y = yes and N = no.

[§]Macroscopic wear is the presence/absence indicator of visible wear-produced relief of radial ribs and grooves at the ventral margins, micro-detachments or combinations of polishing and micro-detachments, where Y = yes and N = no.

and published sources (Piperno and Holst 1998; Perry 2002; Horrocks and Rechtman 2009; Pagán-Jiménez 2015a, 54–7). From sample FL99, there were two starches identified as originating from beans: one was identified as Fabaceae (Fig. 4, b), the other as cf. Fabaceae (Fig. 4, c). The securely identified bean starch grain measured $24.6 \times 19.1 \mu\text{m}$ and had an elliptical shape with no compression facets. An eccentric, 'X'-shaped extinction cross, with curved arms, was visible. Light and concentric lamellae were also visible. The diagnostic characteristics are consistent with Fabaceae starch grains of our reference collection and published sources (Piperno and Dillehay 2008; Pagán-Jiménez 2015a, 70–87). This starch had partial loss of birefringence and was encrusted in particles, which are damage signs that have been associated with alterations from a dry cooking environment (Henry *et al.* 2009). From sample FL63, a cf. maize starch is shown in Figure 4 (n, n1). The tentatively identified starch measured $13.7 \times 13 \mu\text{m}$ and had a quadrangular shape with no compression facets. A centric, 'X'-shaped extinction cross, with two angular arms, was visible. No lamellae were visible. However, a prominent double border was visible. The surface of the starch appeared bumpy. These features are found within our modern starch reference collection and published sources (Pearsall *et al.* 2004; Pagán-Jiménez *et al.* 2016). This starch is noticeably different, particularly the size, shape and extinction cross from the LU sweet potato starch (Fig. 4, d, d1) described below.

The starches recovered from LU's sampled shells totalled eight individual starches, of which six were identified. We identified two starches as bean, two as Zingiberales, one as sweet potato and one as potentially manioc (Fig. 4, f). This starch from sample LU104 tentatively identified as manioc measured $33.5 \times 27.0 \mu\text{m}$ and had a truncated bell shape with an undulating 'X'-shaped extinction cross. No lamellae were visible. There was a diagnostic stellate fissure. Most of these features fit diagnostic characteristics of bell-shaped manioc starches of our reference collection and published sources (Pagán-Jiménez 2007a, 220–1; 2015a, 68–9; Perry 2002; Piperno 2006, 56–8; Ciofalo *et al.* 2018; Ciofalo *et al.* 2019). From sample LU97, there was one starch securely identified as a sweet potato starch grain (Fig. 4, d, d1), which measured $10 \times 8.8 \mu\text{m}$ and had a triangular shape with two flat compression facets displaying distinct margins. There was a diagnostic 'T'-shaped extinction cross that had two thin arms and two arms that appeared depressed in the distal areas. No lamellae were visible. The hilum was open and centric. These features are consistent with sweet potato starches of our reference collection and published sources (Piperno and Holst 1998; Perry 2002; Horrocks *et al.* 2004; Pagán-Jiménez 2015a, 54–7). There was also an observed large central depression, known as a 'fold', that occurred as part of the starch gelatinization process, and only in the presence of both humidity and heat (Biliaderis 2009; Henry *et al.* 2009). In addition, this starch had a border crack, which is evidence of exerted pressure or heat on the plant organ that generated this starch (Babot and Apella 2003; Vinton *et al.* 2009).

We recovered 307 individual starches from the PJ samples. We identified the majority as yam (*Dioscorea* sp.) followed by beans (Fabaceae) (Table 2). We tentatively identified one starch (Fig. 4, j, j1) as palm (cf. *A. media*) coming from the pith (the living tissue inside the tree trunk). This starch from sample PJ34 measured $9.6 \times 9.1 \mu\text{m}$, had a truncated bell shape with a slightly eccentric, three angular arms, 'X'-shaped extinction cross. No lamellae were visible. The hilum was open. There was a single, distal flat compression facet. Two examples of the recovered yam starch grains can be found in Figure 4 (k, k1, l, l1). The starch in Figure 4 (k, k1) from sample PJ57 measured $11.8 \times 9.6 \mu\text{m}$, had an oval shape with an eccentric, undulating, 'X'-shaped extinction cross. No lamellae were visible. The hilum was open and eccentric. There was a diagnostic distal concave compression facet. A different starch in Figure 4 (l, l1) identified as yam from sample PJ57 measured $8.1 \times 7.1 \mu\text{m}$, had an oval shape with an eccentric, undulating, 'X'-shaped extinction cross. No lamellae were visible. The hilum was open and eccentric. There was a

diagnostic distal concave compression facet. These two starches are exceptionally different from the yam species of *Dioscorea trifida*, so we postulate that many of the yam starches identified from the PJ samples were from a variety of yam that is absent from our reference collection. However, morphologically similar starches of archaeological and modern wild yam are present in published sources (Piperno and Holst 1998; Piperno *et al.* 2000; Berman and Pearsall 2008; Dickau *et al.* 2012, 193). The starch in Figure 4 (m) identified as domesticated yam (*D. trifida*) from sample PJ34 measured $74.5 \times 40.2 \mu\text{m}$, had a triangular shape with a highly eccentric, undulating, 'X'-shaped extinction cross. A soft projection of angular, concentric lamellae was visible. These diagnostic features are consistent with our reference collection and published sources (Loy *et al.* 1992; Piperno and Holst 1998; Corteletti *et al.* 2015). In addition, this starch had a crack in its border, which is evidence of exerted pressure on the plant organ that generated this starch (Babot and Apella 2003).

DISCUSSION

Of the 334 recovered individual starches, 20 had signs of enzymatic degradation (including symmetric or irregular surface fractions, furrowing lines, pits) (Vinton *et al.* 2009; Pagán-Jiménez 2015b; Wang *et al.* 2017; Liu *et al.* 2018). The starches with signs of enzymatic degradation were possibly altered during storage of the plants when they naturally became degraded by enzymes before being manipulated with these shell artefacts. This process may have been intentional as in the case of certain recipes (Elias *et al.* 2000; Ray and Sivakumar 2009), or as a method of preservation (Flibert *et al.* 2016).

The culinary practices that caused damage to the starches were primarily from heat and pressure. Regarding the starches with identified folds, which can occur when food is cooked in clay vessels (Pagán-Jiménez *et al.* 2017), but they can also result from baking entire geophytes (Henry *et al.* 2009). Baking geophytes that have natural water content generates a partially humid cooking environment, yet not all starches are gelatinized. Damage signs in the appearance of folds and loss of birefringence result from the starch gelatinization process, and only occur in the presence of both humidity and heat (Biliaderis 2009). The temperatures that starch typically completely gelatinizes range from 50 to 80°C in humid cooking environments (Barton *et al.* 1998). At no point post-excavation were the starches exposed to water and heat near these temperatures. If the folds were caused by laboratory processing, we would expect more than 11 of 334 starches to exhibit this type of heat damage. This leaves ancient culinary processes as a probable explanation for folds observed on the recovered starches. In these cases, some starches exhibit a range of damage signs due to various degrees of gelatinization including folds. Therefore, we put forth the possibility that some sweet potatoes were lightly baked before being peeled or further processed for incorporation in meals. The advantage of lightly baking geophytes would make processing easier or conceivably to release/exterminate other substances or entities (Pané *et al.* 1999, 26). Whereas damage signs from pressure were plausibly generated from the use of the shell as a plant modification tool, heat damage was possibly caused from cooking plant organs before processing plants with the shell. Because more than 50% of the shells from each site had at least one starch recovered with damage characteristics due to heat, heating plants before, with the shells, or after use of the shells (i.e., peel-cook-scoop) was possibly a regionally embedded culinary practice (Table 2).

We postulate two possible explanations for the presence of palm (cf. *A. media*) starch on a shell artefact from PJ. Either the shell was used to process fibre from the pith of a tree (Barton 2007), or alternatively, it was prepared with food that was cooked in palm oil (Kiple and Ornelas 2000, 1805). The use of oils in ancient Caribbean culinary practices is a relatively underexplored




Figure 4 Examples of starch residues recovered from the 60 sampled shells. Grey background pictures are starches under a bright-field view; black background images are starches under polarized light and a dark-field view: (a) FL69, sweet potato starch; (a1) FL69, sweet potato starch; (b) FL99, bean starch; (c) FL99, cf. bean; (d) LU97, sweet potato starch; (d1) LU97, sweet potato starch; (e) LU90, bean starch; (f) LU104, cf. manioc starch; (g) LU104, Zingiberales starch; (h) PJ4, bean starch; (i) PJ46, bean starch; (j) PJ34, cf. palm starch; (j1) PJ34, cf. palm starch; (k) PJ57, yam starch; (k1) PJ57, yam starch; (l) PJ57, yam starch; and (l1) PJ57, yam starch. Scale bar = 20 μm . AA, angular extinction cross; C, crack; CD, central depression; CF, compression facet; DA, depressed extinction cross; DB, double border; H, hilum; L, lamellae; LF, lineal fissure; P, particles; and SF, stellate fissure.

topic (for exceptions, see Rodríguez Suárez 2004; and VanderVeen 2006). In any event, this starch resembled those from the pith more than starches from palm seeds because of the size, shape and smooth visual appearance, suggesting that palm fruit was not processed with this shell.

We also posit three possible interpretations for the presence of bean starch on shell artefacts. First, we propose bean pods were cut or prepared with the shells. Second, the shells were used to scrape bean pods, which would warrant further investigations of the starch content of modern bean pods. Third, cooked bean products were prepared and shells used to scoop/spoon the dish (Pagán-Jiménez 2007b). We envision these shells not solely as scrapers, but rather as manipulators (collectors, movers) of both raw and cooked.

Because five of the 20 shell artefacts from PJ had starches identified as tentatively wild yam and wild yam was not naturally dispersed in the Turks & Caicos Islands, it is possible wild yam from the Greater Antilles meaningfully contributed to PJ's culinary practices (GBIF.org 2018). Future research will focus on expanding our reference collection to include more wild varieties of yam and beans. Wild or semi-managed plants such as zamia, yam and beans were likely embedded in the phytocultural complexes of the Indigenous Caribbean Peoples who prepared meals at these sites. It will enlighten future studies to compare faunal and archaeobotanical data sets from these sites to evaluate relationships between the procurement of faunal and botanical resources.

Because the recovered remains of bivalve shells from LU were more abundant than from FL's contexts (Hofman and Hoogland 2015b), and the shells from PJ had both more starches and a higher minimum species average recovered (Table 2), it is possible that the distance from marine resources created a difference in the use of bivalve shells. Perhaps the proximity to the coast or a variation of culinary practices contributed to this difference of archaeological starch remains. In addition, The Bahama archipelago has poor quality lithic resources for making plant-processing tools (Keegan 1997, 45). Thus, it is possible shells were more frequently used and perhaps used for longer durations to process plants, which contributed to more recovered starches and a higher minimum species average at PJ. Alternatively, there is a possible taphonomic dilemma regarding the preservation of starch at the sites in the Dominican Republic from this study. Because the two Dominican Republic sites in the present study, their clay griddles (Ciofalo *et al.* 2019) and shell artefacts have had fewer starches recovered than sites from The Bahama archipelago (Ciofalo *et al.* 2018), bacterial, fungal, enzymatic and changed chemical depositional environments could have contributed to less preservation (Barton 2009; Hutschenreuther *et al.* 2017).

Identifying culinary practices may reveal one of the most vital junctures ever produced by a cultural niche construction: the humanization and devouring of the vegetal environment. For the present study, the examined cultural niche was the way humans processed or prepared starchy plants with shells. If the culinary practices were successful, they were likely positively reinforced through cultural transmissions in the communities or regionally (Eerkens and Lipo 2005). From the data, it appears that processing or preparing heated plants with shells was a successful and reinforced culinary practice spanning these three sites. This does not imply that all three sites were connected or interacting, but perhaps they were situated within a constellation of practice (Wenger 1998, 126–130). Interpretations from these data offer explanations regarding how cultural niches were constructed and which foodways offered modes of stability in dynamic environments. Manioc, sweet potato, beans, certain types of yams and maize were exogenous to the Greater Antilles and The Bahama archipelago. In addition, they require human assistance for cultivation (Tian *et al.* 2009). Accordingly, recoveries of remains of these plants imply mobility and exchange or ultimately transported landscapes from different areas to these islands. The comparison of results has exposed particular human niche constructions, several exogenous plant taxa that were mobilized and possibly situated these sites within a constellation of practice.

CONCLUSIONS

We systematically excavated and then analysed these shell artefacts to reconstruct elements of human–plant dependencies. It is evident that more than seven types of siliceous plants were processed or prepared with the shells from these sites. The difficulty for wear-trace studies to determine differences between shells that processed siliceous or non-siliceous plants has been demonstrated (Lammers-Keijsers 2007). Further, analysing wear traces alone will never be able to indicate which plant species were processed by a shell tool. Expedient shell tools probably used as scrapers have been interpreted from artefacts recovered from coastal sites in The Bahama archipelago (O'Day and Keegan 2001), but tools used only once do not always preserve detectable use-wear traces (Lammers-Keijsers 2007, 138). However, remains of the starchy plants, which expedient tools processed may preserve for archaeologists to discover. This is not to argue for the primacy of one analysis over another; instead, we agree that an interdisciplinary approach of wear trace and residue analyses to create richer understandings of past tool uses is the way forward (Van Gijn *et al.* 2008).

The novel information drawn out of the recovered residues from these shells argues for a wider application of this type of research, particularly in research areas with limited organic preservation. This study has identified the remains of a diversity of cultigens that were exogenous to these islands, which adds to previous interpretations of transported landscapes of both the Greater Antilles and The Bahama archipelago, demonstrating provisioning, access and processing of plants that were transported to these islands (Berman and Pearsall 2008; Pagán-Jiménez 2013; Rodríguez Ramos *et al.* 2013). The findings have provided more evidence for the deliberate use of plants and have contributed to the discovery of culinary practices at these sites. In addition, we have created a richer understanding of culinary practices, phytocultural complexes, transported vegetal environments and human niche constructions that incorporated bivalve shells.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the financial support of the European Research Council (ERC-Synergy NEXUS1492 project grant agreement number 319209). Research was also made possible by a study trip to the Turks & Caicos Islands (TCI) through the Leiden University Fund/Byvanck Fonds (grant number 76OI/M/I 9.01.2017/Bck). The authors thank both Leiden University and the University of Central Florida for providing support for the work. The authors are also grateful to Department of Environment and Maritime Affairs (DEMA) in the TCI for granting permission to carry out the study. Thanks to Zara Ali for assistance in identifying archaeological shells. The work carried out with the shells of El Flaco was achieved within the structure of paleoethnobotanical research designed and carried out by Dr Jaime Pagán-Jiménez for NEXUS1492. Without his guidance, the present work would have been next to impossible. The authors are also grateful to the anonymous reviewers for insightful feedback. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- Allen, M. S., and Ussher, E., 2013, Starch analysis reveals prehistoric plant translocations and shell tool use, Marquesas Islands, Polynesia, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **40**(6), 2799–812.

- Babot, M. P., 2003, Starch grain damage as an indicator of food processing, in *Phytolith and starch research in the Australian–Pacific–Asian regions: The state of the art D* (eds. M. Hart and A. W. Lynley), Pandanus Books, Canberra, Australia.
- Babot, M. P., 2006, Damage on starch from processing Andean food plants, in *Ancient starch research* (eds. R. Torrence and H. Barton), 66–7, Left Coast Press Inc., Walnut Creek, California.
- Babot, M. P., and Apella, M. C., 2003, Maize and bone: Residues of grinding in northwestern Argentina, *Archaeometry*, **45**(1), 121–32.
- Banks, W., and Greenwood, C. T., 1975, *Starch and its components: Edinburgh*, Edinburgh University Press.
- Barton, H., 2007, Starch residues on museum artefacts: Implications for determining tool use, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **34**(10), 1752–62.
- Barton, H., 2009, Starch granule taphonomy: The results of a two year field experiment, in *Archaeological science under a microscope: Studies in residue and ancient DNA analysis in honour of tom Loy* (eds. M. Haslam, G. Robertson, A. Crowther, S. Nugent, and L. Kirkwood), 129–40, ANU Press, Canberra, Australia.
- Barton, H., and Torrence, R., 2015, Cooking up recipes for ancient starch: Assessing current methodologies and looking to the future, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **56**, 194–201.
- Barton, H., and White, J. P., 1993, Use of stone and shell artifacts at Balof 2, New Ireland, Papua New Guinea, *Asian Perspectives*, **169–181**.
- Barton, H., Torrence, R., and Fullagar, R., 1998, Clues to stone tool function re-examined: Comparing starch grain frequencies on used and unused obsidian artefacts, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **25**(12), 1231–8.
- Berman, M. J., and Pearsall, D. M., 2000, Plants, people, and culture in the prehistoric Central Bahamas: A view from the three dog site, an early Lucayan settlement on San Salvador Island, Bahamas, *Latin American Antiquity*, **11**(03), 219–39.
- Berman, M. J., and Pearsall, D. M., 2008, At the crossroads: Starch grain and phytolith analyses in Lucayan prehistory, *Latin American Antiquity*, **19**(2), 181–203.
- Biliaderis, C. G., 2009, Structural transitions and related physical properties of starch, in *Starch (third edition)* (eds. J. BeMiller and R. Whistler), 293–372, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Boomert, A., 2000, *Trinidad, Tobago, and the lower Orinoco interaction sphere, an archaeological/ethnohistorical study*, Leiden University, Unpublished.
- Ciofalo, A. J., Keegan, W. F., Pateman, M. P., Pagán-Jiménez, J. R., and Hofman, C. L., 2018, Determining precolonial botanical foodways: Starch recovery and analysis, Long Island, The Bahamas, *Journal of Archaeological Science-Reports*, **21**, 305–17.
- Ciofalo, A. J., Sinelli, P. T., and Hofman, C. L., 2019, Late precolonial culinary practices: Starch analysis on griddles from the northern Caribbean, *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*, 1–33.
- Corteletti, R., Dickau, R., DeBlasis, P., and Iriarte, J., 2015, Revisiting the economy and mobility of southern proto-Jê (Taquara-Itararé) groups in the southern Brazilian highlands: Starch grain and phytoliths analyses from the Bonin site, Urubici, Brazil, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **58**, 46–61.
- Crowther, A., Haslam, M., Oakden, N., Walde, D., and Mercader, J., 2014, Documenting contamination in ancient starch laboratories, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **49**, 90–104.
- Dickau, R., Bruno, M. C., Iriarte, J., Prumers, H., Betancourt, C. J., Holst, I., and Mayle, F. E., 2012, Diversity of cultivars and other plant resources used at habitation sites in the llanos de mojos, Beni, Bolivia: Evidence from macrobotanical remains, starch grains, and phytoliths, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **39**(2), 357–70.
- DuChemin, G., 2005, *Animal use and exploitation at palmetto junction, Turks and Caicos Islands*, Unpublished Master's thesis, University of Florida, Gainesville.
- Eerkens, J. W., and Lipo, C. P., 2005, Cultural transmission, copying errors, and the generation of variation in material culture and the archaeological record, *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*, **24**(4), 316–34.
- Elias, M., Rival, L., and McKey, D., 2000, Perception and management of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) diversity among Makushi Amerindians of Guyana (South America), *Ethnobiology*, **20**, 239–65.
- Fernández de Oviedo, G., 1851 [1535], *Historia general y natural de las Indias, Islas y Tierra Firme del Mar Océano: Madrid*, Real Academia de la Historia, Spain.
- Flibert, G., Abel, T., and Aly, S., 2016, African cassava traditional fermented food: The Microorganism's contribution to their nutritional and safety values—a review, *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **5**(10), 664–87.
- GBIF.org, 2018, GBIF occurrence download, 15 August.
- Ge, W., Liu, L., Chen, X., and Jin, Z., 2011, Can noodles be made from millet? An experimental investigation of noodle manufacture together with starch grain analyses, *Archaeometry*, **53**(1), 194–204.

- Guzzo Falci, C., Ngan-Tillard, D., Hofman, C. L., and Van Gijn, n.d., *The biographies of bodily ornaments from indigenous settlements of the Dominican Republic (AD 800–1600)*, Antiquity, Latin American.
- Haahr, M., and S. Haahr, 2018, Random.org, 30 July.
- Hart, T. C., 2011, Evaluating the usefulness of phytoliths and starch grains found on survey artifacts, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **38**(12), 3244–53.
- Henry, A. G., Hudson, H. F., and Piperno, D. R., 2009, Changes in starch grain morphologies from cooking, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **36**(3), 915–22.
- Hofman, C. L., and Hoogland, M. L. P., 2015a, *Archaeological investigations along the Ruta de Colón: The sites of El Flaco (Loma de Guayacanes), La Luperona (UNIJICA) and El Carril (Laguna Salada), Dominican Republic, in 26th IACA, St. Maarten.*
- Hofman, C. L., and Hoogland, M. L. P., 2015b, Investigaciones arqueológicas en los sitios El Flaco (Loma de Guayacanes) y La Luperona (Unijica). Informe pre-liminar, *Boletín del Museo del Hombre Dominicano*, **46**(42), 61–74.
- Hofman, C. L., Ulloa Hung, J., Herrera Malatesta, E., Jean, J. S., Sonnemann, T., and Hoogland, M. L. P., 2018, Indigenous Caribbean perspectives: Archaeologies and legacies of the first colonised region in the New World, *Antiquity*, **92**(361), 200–16.
- Horrocks, M., and Reichtman, R. B., 2009, Sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) and banana (*Musa* sp.) microfossils in deposits from the Kona Field System, Island of Hawaii, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **36**(5), 1115–26.
- Horrocks, M., Irwin, G., Jones, M., and Sutton, D., 2004, Starch grains and xylem cells of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) and bracken (*Pteridium esculentum*) in archaeological deposits from northern New Zealand, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **31**(3), 251–8.
- Hutschenreuther, A., Watzke, J., Schmidt, S., Budel, T., and Henry, A. G., 2017, Archaeological implications of the digestion of starches by soil bacteria: Interaction among starches leads to differential preservation, *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, **15**, 95–108.
- Keegan, W. F., 1992, *The people who discovered Columbus: The prehistory of the Bahamas: Gainesville Florida*, Vol. **280**, Florida Museum of Natural History.
- Keegan, W. F., 1997, *Bahamian archaeology: Life in the Bahamas and Turks and Caicos before Columbus: Nassau*, Media Publishing.
- Keegan, W. F., 2007, *Taino Indian myth and practice: The arrival of the stranger king*, 230, University Press of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.
- Keegan, W. F., and Hofman, C. L., 2017, *The Caribbean before Columbus New York*, Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- Kiple, K. F., and Ornelas, K. C., 2000, *Cambridge world history of food*, Vol. **2**, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom.
- Laland, K. N., Kendal, J. R., and Brown, G. R., 2007, The niche construction perspective: Implications for evolution and human behaviour, *Journal of Evolutionary Psychology*, **5**(1), 51–66.
- Lammers-Keijsers, Y., 2007, *Tracing traces from present to past: A functional analysis of pre-Columbian Shell and stone artefacts from Anse à la gourde and Morel*, Leiden, PhD diss., Leiden University Press, Guadeloupe, FWI.
- Las Casas, B., 1876 [1561], *Historia de las Indias*: Madrid, Imprenta de Miguel Ginesta.
- Liu, L., Wang, J., Rosenberg, D., Zhao, H., Lengyel, G., and Nadel, D., 2018, Fermented beverage and food storage in 13,000 y-old stone mortars at Raqefet cave, Israel: Investigating Natufian ritual feasting, *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, **21**, 783–93.
- Loven, S., 1935, *Origins of the Tainan culture*, Göteborg, Elanders, West Indies.
- Loy, T. H., 1994, Methods in the analysis of starch residues on prehistoric stone tools, in *Tropical archaeobotany: Applications and new developments* (ed. J. G. Hather), 86–114, Routledge, London.
- Loy, T. H., Spriggs, M., and Wickler, S., 1992, Direct evidence for human use of plants 28,000 years ago—starch residues on stone artifacts from the northern Solomon-Islands, *Antiquity*, **66**(253), 898–912.
- Mickleburgh, H. L., and Pagán-Jiménez, J. R., 2012, New insights into the consumption of maize and other food plants in the pre-Columbian Caribbean from starch grains trapped in human dental calculus, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **39**(7), 2468–78.
- O'Day, S. J., and Keegan, W. F., 2001, Expedient shell tools from the northern West Indies, *Latin American Antiquity*, **12**(3), 274–90.
- Pagán-Jiménez, J. R., 2007a, De antiguos pueblos y culturas botánicas en el Puerto Rico indígena: el archipiélago borincano y la llegada de los primeros pobladores agroceramistas, Paris Monographs in American Archaeology Series 18, British Archaeological Reports International Series 1687: Paris Monographs in American Archaeology Series 18, v. **1067**: Oxford, Archaeopress.

- Pagán-Jiménez, J. R., 2007b, Uso de plantas en una comunidad Saladoide tardío del Este de Puerto Rico (Punta Candeleró): Estudio de residuos vegetales (almidones) en artefactos líticos, cerámicos y de concha, in *Informe Investigación Arqueológica Fase III, Parcela RC-1A, Punta Candeleró, Humacao, Puerto Rico* (eds. M. Ramos-Vélez and K. Anderson-Córdova), P.-J. A., Consejo para la Protección del Patrimonio Arqueológico Terrestre de Puerto Rico, San Juan. Copies available at the
- Pagán-Jiménez, J. R., 2013, Human–plant dynamics in the precolonial Antilles, in *The Oxford handbook of Caribbean archaeology* (eds. W. F. Keegan, C. L. Hofman, and R. Rodríguez Ramos), 391–406, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Pagán-Jiménez, J. R., 2015a, *Almidones. Guía de material comparativo moderno del Ecuador Para los estudios paleoetnobotánicos en el Neotrópico*, Buenos Aires, Argentina, ASPHA.
- Pagán-Jiménez, J. R., 2015b, Evaluando algunos mecanismos de conservación/degradación en almidones modernos por medio de ensayos y experimentos controlados que replican ciertas formas antiguas de procesamiento y cocción de órganos almidonosos, in *Elaboración de dos tipos de chicha de maíz: Chicha fermentada con saliva y otra con levadura*, 17, Instituto Nacional de Patrimonio Cultural, Quito.
- Pagán-Jiménez, J. R., Guachamín-Tello, A. M., Romero-Bastidas, M. E., and Constantine-Castro, A. R., 2016, Late ninth millennium B.P. use of *Zea mays* L. at Cubilán area, highland Ecuador, revealed by ancient starches, *Quaternary International*, **404**, 137–55.
- Pagán-Jiménez, J. R., Guachamín-Tello, A. M., Romero-Bastidas, M. E., and Vásquez-Ponce, P. X., 2017, Cocción experimental de tortillas de casabe (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) y de camote (*Ipomoea batatas* [L.] lam.) en planchas de Barro: Evaluando sus efectos en la morfometría de los almidones desde una perspectiva paleoetnobotánica, *America*, **2**, 27–44.
- Pané, F. R., Arrom, J. J., and Griswold, S. C., 1999, *An account of the antiquities of the Indians*, Duke University Press, Durham.
- Pearsall, D. M., Chandler-Ezell, K., and Zeidler, J. A., 2004, Maize in ancient Ecuador: Results of residue analysis of stone tools from the real alto site, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **31**(4), 423–42.
- Perry, L., 2002, Starch granule size and the domestication of manioc (*Manihot Esculenta*) and sweet potato (*Ipomoea Batatas*)1, *Economic Botany*, **56**(4), 335–49.
- Perry, L., Dickau, R., Zarrillo, S., Holst, I., Pearsall, D. M., Piperno, D. R., Berman, M. J., Cooke, R. G., Rademaker, K., Ranere, A. J., Raymond, J. S., Sandweiss, D. H., Scaramelli, F., Tarble, K., and Zeidler, J. A., 2007, Starch fossils and the domestication and dispersal of chili peppers (*Capsicum* spp. L.) in the Americas, *Science*, **315**(5814), 986–8.
- Petitjean-Roget, J., 1963, The Caribs as seen through the dictionary of the reverend father Breton: Proceedings of the first international convention for the study of pre-Columbian culture in the Lesser Antilles, 43–68.
- Piperno, D. R., 2006, Identifying manioc (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) and other crops in pre-Columbian tropical America through starch grain analysis: A case study from Central Panama, in *Documenting domestication: New genetic and archaeological paradigms*, 46–67, Z. M.a., B. D.G., E. E., and S. B.D., eds., University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Piperno, D. R., and Dillehay, T. D., 2008, Starch grains on human teeth reveal early broad crop diet in northern Peru, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **105**(50), 19622–7.
- Piperno, D. R., and Holst, I., 1998, The presence of starch grains on prehistoric stone tools from the humid neotropics: Indications of early tuber use and agriculture in Panama, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **25**(8), 765–76.
- Piperno, D. R., Ranere, A. J., Holst, I., and Hansell, P., 2000, Starch grains reveal early root crop horticulture in the Panamanian tropical forest, *Nature*, **407**(6806), 894–7.
- Piperno, D. R., Weiss, E., Holst, I., and Nadel, D., 2004, Processing of wild cereal grains in the upper Palaeolithic revealed by starch grain analysis, *Nature*, **430**(7000), 670–3.
- Ramsey, C. B., 2009, Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates, *Radiocarbon*, **51**(1), 337–60.
- Ray, R. C., and Sivakumar, P. S., 2009, Traditional and novel fermented foods and beverages from tropical root and tuber crops: Review, *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, **44**(6), 1073–87.
- Reichert, E. T., 1913, *The differentiation and specificity of starches in relation to genera, species, etc.: Stereochemistry applied to protoplasmic processes and products, and as a strictly scientific basis for the classification of plants and animals*, Carnegie institution of Washington, Washington, D. C.
- Reimer, P. J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J. W., Blackwell, P. G., Ramsey, C. B., Buck, C. E., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., and Friedrich, M., 2013, IntCal13 and Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal. BP, *Radiocarbon*, **55**(4), 1869–87.
- Rodríguez Ramos, R., Pagán-Jiménez, J. R., Santiago-Blay, J., Lambert, J. B., and Craig, P. R., 2013, Some indigenous uses of plants in pre-Columbian Puerto Rico, *Life Excitement of Biology*, **1**, 83–90.
- Rodríguez Suárez, R., 2004, Huellas de restos en la cerámica precolombina: El caso del sitio Lagunas de Limonas, Maisí, Cuba, *El Caribe Arqueológico*, **8**, 86–90.
- Sauer, C. O., 1966, *The early Spanish Main: Berkley and Los Angeles*, 306, University of California Press, California.

- Shev, G. T., 2018, *Feeding Opiyelguobirán: A multi-disciplinary analysis of human–canid relations in pre-colonial Hispaniola*, Unpublished Master's Thesis, Leiden University.
- Sturtevant, W. C., 1969, History and ethnography of some west Indian starches, in *The domestication and exploitation of plants and animals* (eds. P. J. Ucko and G. W. Dimbleby), 177–99, Gerald Duckworth & Co. Ltd., London.
- Tian, F., Stevens, N. M., and Buckler, E. S. t., 2009, Tracking footprints of maize domestication and evidence for a massive selective sweep on chromosome 10, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **106**(Suppl 1), 9979–86.
- Van Gijn, A. L., Lammers-Keijsers, Y., and Briels, I., 2008, Tool use and technological choices: An integral approach toward functional analysis of Caribbean tool assemblages, in *Crossing the Borders: New methods and techniques in the study of archaeology materials from the Caribbean* (eds. C. L. Hofman, M. L. P. Hoogland, and A. L. Van Gijn), 101–14, University of Alabama Press, Tuscaloosa.
- VanderVeen, J. M., 2006, *Subsistence patterns as markers of cultural exchange: European and Taino interactions in the Dominican Republic*, Indiana University.
- Vinton, S. D., Perry, L., Reinhard, K. J., Santoro, C. M., and Teixeira-Santos, I., 2009, Impact of empire expansion on household diet: The Inka in northern Chile's Atacama Desert, *PLoS ONE*, **4**(11), e8069.
- Wang, J. J., Liu, L., Georgescu, A., Le, V. V., Ota, M. H., Tang, S. L., and Vanderbilt, M., 2017, Identifying ancient beer brewing through starch analysis: A methodology, *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, **15**, 150–60.
- Welch, P. D., and Scarry, C. M., 1995, Status-related variation in Foodways in the Moundville chiefdom, *American Antiquity*, **60**(3), 397–419.
- Wenger, E., 1998, *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Wollstonecroft, M. M., 2011, Investigating the role of food processing in human evolution: A niche construction approach, *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences*, **3**(1), 141–50.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Table S1. The dates for these sites were provided by the authors, calibrated using OxCal 4.3 software (Ramsey,) and the IntCal 13 atmospheric curve (Reimer et al.,).