



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Towards adequate care for sexual health and fertility in chronic kidney disease: Perspective of patients, partners and care providers

Ek, G.F.van

Citation

Ek, G. Fvan. (2019, November 7). *Towards adequate care for sexual health and fertility in chronic kidney disease: Perspective of patients, partners and care providers*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/80204>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/80204>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/80204> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Ek, G.F.van

Title: Towards adequate care for sexual health and fertility in chronic kidney disease: Perspective of patients, partners and care providers

Issue Date: 2019-11-07

5. Unravelling current sexual care in chronic kidney disease; perspective of social workers

Gaby F. van Ek
Dirry Keurhorst
Esmée M. Krouwel
Melianthe P.J. Nicolai
Marjolein E.M. Den Ouden
Henk W. Elzevier
Hein Putter
Rob C.M. Pelger
Brenda L. Den Oudsten

Journal of Renal Care 2018 March;44(1):30-37

Department of Urology, Leiden University Medical Center, the Netherlands

Department of Medical Decision Making, Leiden University Medical Center, the Netherlands

Department of Social Work, Westfries Hospital, the Netherlands

Saxion, University of Applied Sciences, the Netherlands

Department of Medical Statistics, Leiden University Medical Center, the Netherlands

Department of Medical and Clinical Psychology and Centre of Research on Psychological and Somatic Disorders, Tilburg University, the Netherlands

Introduction

Sexual dysfunction (SD) is the inability of a person to respond sexually or to experience sexual pleasure¹. A person's sexuality can be deteriorated on several levels: arousal, orgasmic, sexual desire, and pain disorders^{1,2}. Multiple causes could underlie the existence of SD, including chronic illnesses^{3,4}. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is one of those illnesses causing deterioration of sexuality.

Literature review

SD is a common problem in both male and female patients with CKD⁵. The aetiology of SD in CKD is often multifactorial and SD may occur throughout all stages of disease; effects worsening as renal function declines^{5,6}. Physical factors like hormone imbalances, a uremic milieu, and side effects of the medication play an important role in the development^{5,6}. Other CKD-related problems contributing to the existence of SD are changes in physical appearance causing a decline in body-image^{6,7}. This poor self-image is experienced by many patients undergoing dialysis, for instance due to the catheter that is implemented in their body to require access for this intense treatment^{6,7}. Male patients may also encounter another body-image issue with 30% of them developing gynecomastia during dialysis⁶. In addition, patients with CKD are at risk of development of SD, because of the high prevalence of depression, anxiety and social withdrawal⁶⁻⁸.

In male CKD patients, 62–77% might suffer from erectile dysfunction and anejaculation, with the percentage dependant on the stage of renal disease^{5,6,9}. In female patients, 70% of them may encounter sexual issues during their course of disease. This could manifest in reduced lubrication and pain during intercourse^{5,6,10}. Reduced libido is experienced by both male and female CKD patients; the prevalence is ranging from 48-56% in men up to 87-90% in women^{5,6}.

The best treatment for both SD and CKD is a donor kidney, as renal transplantation will improve patients' energy level, sexual function and fertility¹¹⁻¹³. Unfortunately, this does not apply to all patients, since SD often persists after transplantation. Approximately 50% of both male and female renal transplant recipients still experience SD, partially induced by the use of immunosuppressive medication^{14,15}. Even after renal transplantation up to 70% of patients encounter disturbances in body-image that could negatively influence sexuality, including changes in body shape and unusual hair growth¹⁵.

Several care providers contribute to the treatment and counselling of patients with CKD, including nephrologists, dialysis nurses, social workers, and transplant surgeons. Some are present during the whole patient journey, others only in a specific stage of disease. In the Netherlands, social workers specialised in nephrology support their patients throughout the whole course of disease; starting at end-stage kidney disease and continuing even after renal transplantation. Frequency of consultation can differ from three times per year up to once every month, depending on the stage of disease and patients' need. Providing adequate health care for patients depends on multiple factors, including the discussion of SD. Unfortunately, skipping the discussion of SD with patients during consultation is a tendency that exists amongst most renal care providers. Only a few studies are published about sexual care as a part of renal health care and results exposed the undervaluation of SD by renal care providers¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Burnett et al. (2009) showed that Canadian social workers specialised in nephrology did not inquire about patients' sexuality due to lack of knowledge, the lack of a private setting for such a discussion, personal discomfort, and because patients did not raise the topic themselves¹⁸. Until recently, no similar research was performed in the Netherlands.

Aim of the study

The aim of this cross-sectional study was to explore the perspective of Dutch social workers working in nephrology on current sexual care for patients with CKD and how this part of renal care is structured within their departments. This survey focused on current practice patterns, attitudes, professional responsibility and barriers of social workers towards discussing SD. Furthermore, the survey focused on social workers' level of knowledge about SD and received sexual education during social work studies.

Methods

Study design

This cross-sectional survey was conducted between September and October of 2013 using an online questionnaire. The sample consisted of all practicing Dutch Nephrology social workers (N = 129) who were members of the Dutch Federation of Social Workers Nephrology (VMWN). All members were certified social workers with a degree of an accredited school of social work, following four years of education. E-mail addresses of the social workers were obtained from the VMWN and an invitation,

including an URL link, was sent by e-mail to complete the online questionnaire. In the Netherlands, no formal ethical approval was needed to perform this study since no patients or interventions were involved. Informed consent was obtained electronically from all individual participants included in the study.

Instrument design and development

The authors composed the content of the questionnaire; structure and design were derived from questionnaires used in previous studies on sexuality and health care providers¹⁹⁻²². These previously used questionnaires were developed by a team of experts, including a urologist-sexologist, and shown to be reliable instruments. General items relating to all type of care providers were reused, other questions were altered to the specific situation of social workers specialised in nephrology. These alterations were made based on issues described in the literature and additional themes identified by the authors. The survey was pilot tested by nine social workers; eight were working in the Westfries hospital (Westfriesgasthuis), one in the VU University Medical Centre. As a result of the pilot study, Dianet (an organisation specialising in haemodialysis at home) was added as an option to the list of clinics in the demographic section and respondents were given the opportunity to list additional workshops or education regarding sexual health that they received after becoming a licensed social worker. The digital questionnaire was distributed by an online platform called Easion Survey (Parantion).

Survey and procedure

The 41-item questionnaire contained multiple choice and open-ended questions. The first seven items addressed demographic characteristics. The focus of the following questions was aimed at:

- current practice regarding sexual care (19 items)
- barriers towards providing sexual care (1 item)
- self-reported level of knowledge and received education on SD during social workers studies (4 items)
- the need for training on SD (1 item)
- social workers' perspective on who should be responsible for discussing SD with patients (6 items) and
- how sexual care is structured and embedded in the nephrology departments of social workers (4 items).

Ninety-seven non-respondents (75.1%) received a reminder email, including URL link, one week after the initial mailing and a second reminder was sent after two weeks to 68 social workers (52.7%). Three months before the survey started, an oral presentation at a regional conference for social workers nephrology was held to raise awareness of the study and to emphasise the importance of participation. Furthermore, in an attempt to increase the response rate, six copies of the book 'Healthcare basics for sexuality' (Zorgbasics seksualiteit) were raffled among participants.

Analysis

Data analyses were performed by using IBM SPSS statistics 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Demographic information and answers to the survey were analysed using descriptive statistics. With the use of Cochran-Armitage Trend Test, bivariate associations were calculated between categorical variables with two or more categories. Outcomes were considered statistically significant if the two-sided p-values were <0.05.

Results

Survey Participants

Eighty-four of the 129 social workers in nephrology participated in the online survey, resulting in a response rate of 65.1%. Five surveys were excluded for non-completion. A total of 79 questionnaires were analysed (61.2%). A list of respondents' characteristics is presented in Table 1.

Discussion of SD

Half of the social workers (n=41, 51.9%) experienced that patients (almost) never addressed sexual concerns spontaneously. Thirty-five respondents (44.3%) said that less than 50% of their patients spontaneously addressed their sexual concerns. In the daily practice of two respondents (2.5%), 50% of the patients expressed their concerns spontaneously and one respondent (1.3%) stated this occurred in more than 50% of the cases. Several questions focused on the discussion of SD by social workers working in nephrology. These questions and answers of respondents are listed in Table 2. If social workers had more years of experience (Linear-by-Linear Association, p=0.03) or if they received additional workshop of education regarding sexuality after they become a certified social worker (Linear-by-Linear Association, p=0.006), they were more likely to discuss SD with a new patient.

Table 1. Respondent characteristics (n=79)

	n ^a (%)
Age (years)	
Mean: 46.91 (SD ^b : 9.99)	79 (100.0)
Gender	
Female	63 (79.7)
Male	16 (20.3)
Department(s) of employment	
Pre-dialysis	63 (79.7)
Dialysis	75 (94.9)
Transplantation	15 (19.0)
Other ^c	15 (19.0)
Area(s) of expertise	
Pre-dialysis	69 (86.1)
Hemodialysis	76 (96.2)
Peritoneal dialysis	67 (84.8)
Nocturnal centre dialysis	32 (40.5)
Hemodialysis at home	40 (50.6)
Transplantation	33 (41.8)
Other ^d	8 (10.1)
Work experience (years)	
< 1	5 (6.3)
1-2	10 (12.7)
3-5	29 (36.7)
6-10	23 (29.1)
11-15	6 (7.6)
>15	6 (7.6)
Clinical setting	
Tertiary referral hospital (or university hospital)	15 (19.0)
General teaching hospital	31 (39.2)
District general hospital	25 (31.6)
Dialysis clinic, outside the hospital	20 (25.3)
Dianet ^e	2 (2.5)
Other: Kidney centre	1 (1.3)
Received supplementary workshops/education regarding sexual health after becoming a licensed social worker	
Yes	32 (40.5)
No	47 (59.5)

^a n may differ due to multiple answers that could be given to questions

^b SD: standard deviation

^c include e.g. gynaecology, intensive care, paediatrics, oncology

^d include e.g. paediatrics, gastroenterology, donor screening

^e organisation specialised in haemodialysis at home

Table 2. Discussing sexual dysfunction

How often do you discuss SD^a:	(almost) Never n (%)	<50% of the cases n (%)	50% of the cases n (%)	>50% of the cases n (%)	(almost) Always n (%)
With a new patient	22 (27.8)	23 (29.1)	12 (15.2)	11 (13.9)	11 (13.9)
During follow-up	27 (34.2)	34 (43.0)	6 (7.6)	4 (5.1)	8 (10.1)
In presence of the partner	28 (35.4)	26 (32.9)	12 (15.2)	13 (16.5)	0 (0.0)

^a SD: sexual dysfunction

Practice patterns

Several questions were addressed regarding respondents' practice patterns in the past year. The results are listed in Table 3. Social workers with more experience discussed sexual health with a higher percentage of their patients (Linear-by-Linear Association, $p=0.049$). Eleven social workers (13.9%) did not provide information or sexual health counselling to any of their patients in the past year and 50.6% ($n=40$) referred up to 20% of their patients to a physician specialised in SD.

Table 3. Practice patterns

In the past year, with what percentage of your patients:	0% n(%)	1-20% n (%)	21-40% n(%)	41-60% n (%)	61-80% n (%)	81-100% n (%)
Did you discuss their sexual health?	2 (2.5)	45 (57.0)	18 (22.8)	7 (8.9)	5 (6.3)	2 (2.5)
Did you offer to discuss their sexual concerns?	6 (7.6)	36 (45.6)	15 (19.0)	13 (16.5)	3 (3.8)	6 (7.6)
Did you provide information or counselling on sexuality?	11 (13.9)	42 (53.2)	12 (15.2)	7 (8.9)	4 (5.1)	3 (3.8)
Did you refer to a physician for sexual counselling?	34 (43.0)	40 (50.6)	4 (5.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.3)	0 (0.0)

Barriers

The survey contained a list of potential barriers that could prevent social workers from discussing SD with CKD patients. Respondents were asked to which extent they agreed or disagreed with each of the barriers listed. Answers are showed in Table 4. Barriers mostly agreed on by the social workers were “barriers based on culture or religion” (51.9%), “barriers based on language and ethnicity” (49.4%), and “presence of a third person” (45.6%). Social workers disagreed the most with the barriers “SD is not relevant in CKD” (92.4%) and “My colleagues find it inappropriate when I discuss SD” (94.9%).

Table 4. Barriers to discussing SD^a

	Totally agree /Agree n(%)	Indecisive n(%)	Totally disagree /Disagree n(%)
Barriers based on culture or religion	41 (51.9)	23 (29.1)	15 (19.0)
Barriers based on language and ethnicity	39 (49.4)	24 (30.4)	16 (20.3)
Presence of a third person	36 (45.6)	25 (31.6)	18 (22.8)
The patient is of old age	30 (38.0)	19 (24.1)	30 (38.0)
Could not find a suitable moment	28 (35.4)	29 (36.7)	22 (27.8)
Patients do not express SD* spontaneously	24 (30.4)	17 (21.5)	38 (48.1)
SD ^a is not a problem for the patient	23 (29.1)	31 (39.2)	25 (31.6)
Insufficient training	22 (27.8)	27 (34.2)	30 (38.0)
Patient is too ill to discuss SD ^a	21 (26.6)	32 (40.5)	26 (32.9)
High complexity of SD ^a	15 (19.0)	26 (32.9)	38 (48.1)
Patient is not ready to discuss SD ^a	15 (19.0)	25 (31.6)	39 (49.4)
Afraid to raise patients’ concerns	15 (19.0)	17 (21.5)	47 (59.5)
Sex is private	13 (16.5)	32 (40.5)	34 (43.0)
Insufficient knowledge	12 (15.2)	28 (35.4)	39 (49.4)
I feel uncomfortable to discuss SD ^a	11 (13.9)	23 (29.1)	45 (57.0)
Sense of shame	10 (12.7)	23 (29.1)	46 (58.2)
Afraid to offend the patient	12 (15.2)	12 (15.2)	55 (69.6)
Age difference between yourself and the patient	11 (13.9)	8 (10.1)	60 (75.9)
Sexuality is not a matter of life or death	8 (10.1)	19 (24.1)	52 (65.8)

Survival is more important than personal issues	7 (8.9)	19 (24.1)	53 (67.1)
I have no confidence in treatment options for SD ^a	6 (7.6)	14 (17.7)	59 (74.7)
Someone else is accountable for discussing SDA	3 (3.8)	14 (17.7)	62 (78.5)
Insufficient time	5 (6.3)	12 (15.2)	62 (78.5)
Patient is of the opposite sex	6 (7.6)	8 (10.1)	65 (82.3)
Patient is of the same sex	5 (6.3)	0 (0.0)	74 (93.7)
My colleagues find it inappropriate when I discuss SD ^a	2 (2.5)	2 (2.5)	75 (94.9)
SD ^a is not relevant in Chronic Kidney Disease	0 (0.0)	6 (7.6)	73 (92.4)

Note: n differs because the questions were not answered consistently, some were skipped or forgotten

^a SD: sexual dysfunction

Knowledge and training

Respondents were asked to rate their current knowledge about two subjects: a) knowledge of SD as a consequence of CKD and b) knowledge of SD as a consequence of treatment for CKD. Another question was aimed at indicating the amount of attention paid to SD during social workers' education. Respondents' answers are listed in Table 5. The more knowledge nephrology social workers noted to possess, the more they inquired after sexuality in their current practice and the more likely they were to refer their patients for sexual counselling. The p-values ranged from 0.002 up to 0.036 (Linear-by-Linear Association). To the question "Are you in need of extending your knowledge on the discussing of sexual dysfunction?", 69.6% (n=55) of the social workers answered affirmatively.

Table 5. Level of knowledge and education

How much knowledge do you have on:	None at all n (%)	Not much n (%)	Some n (%)	Sufficient n (%)	A lot n (%)
SD ^a as a consequence of CKD ^b	1 (1.3)	14 (17.7)	40 (50.6)	22 (27.8)	2 (2.5)
SD ^a as a consequence of treatment for CKD ^b	1 (1.3)	20 (25.3)	37 (46.8)	19 (24.1)	2 (2.5)
To what extent do you agree with the following statement?	Totally agree n (%)	Agree n (%)	Indecisive n (%)	Disagree n (%)	Totally disagree n (%)
Sufficient attention was paid to SD ^a during my social work study	3 (1.8)	4 (5.1)	24 (30.4)	33 (41.8)	15 (19.0)

^a SD: sexual dysfunction


^b CKD: Chronic Kidney Disease

Organization and responsibility

Protocols about the topic sexual care were available in less than half of social workers' departments (n=33, 41.8%). Half of the respondents (n=40) worked at a department where no protocols existed and six social workers (7.6%) were unaware of such protocols within their departments. If social workers were aware of protocols regarding sexual care, they discussed this subject more often with their new patients (Linear-by-Linear Association, $p < 0.007$). Figure 1 illustrates all answers to the question "Who do you think is responsible for the discussion of SD as a consequence of patients' disease or treatment?". Ninety-six percent (n= 76) of the respondents indicated the nephrologist should be responsible. Sixty-four social workers (81.0%) thought social workers should be responsible for this themselves.

Discussion

This study provides insight into the current practice of Dutch nephrology social workers with regards to sexual care for patients with CKD. Results showed that the majority do not discuss SD consistently during consultation. A recent study among Canadian nephrology social workers had comparable results and the authors posed that similar situations might be present in other countries as well. Their findings showed that 52.4% of the social workers initiated discussing SD at some point during treatment, but none of their respondents did this routinely¹⁸.



In the current study, only a fourth of the Dutch social workers, often those with more years of experience, discussed sexual health on a regular basis. It is possible that the skills, knowledge, and confidence that social workers acquired during their years of practice enabled them to discuss sexuality with their patients, or it might be that they are more aware of patients' (unspoken) concerns about SD. In practice, patients rarely express those concerns spontaneously since they are afraid care providers would not take them seriously^{23,24}.

Culture, religion, language, and ethnicity were the most important frequently cited barriers for nephrology social workers to avoid discussing SD. Skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary to provide health care in a multi-cultural population can be defined as cultural competence. Due to increasing diversity in the community, among both patients and care providers, difficulties with cultural competence are experienced often throughout several medical departments^{25,26}. Although precise information on cultural competence in the context of providing sexual care is missing, one could imagine that care providers would even need more competence due to the sensitive subjects that need to be discussed. Another important barrier hindering social workers in bringing up SD is the absence of a private setting to discuss this subject. Burnett et al. (2009) showed similar data figures among Canadian nephrology social workers as they stated 'lack of privacy' to be the number one reason not to initiate a discussion

around sexuality with their patients¹⁸. These findings may be partially explained by the fact that patients undergoing haemodialysis often have the consultation with their social worker on the haemodialysis unit. In this haemodialysis unit, they receive dialysis together with 10–15 other patients, so most of the time privacy is absent.

Outcomes of the present study underline social workers' sense of responsibility for the discussion of sexual health with patients. However, most respondents thought the responsibility should be multidisciplinary. Previous results from our research group showed this opinion is shared by nephrologists¹⁹. Unfortunately, clear and multidisciplinary protocols concerning the format of sexual care for CKD patients are absent in most nephrology departments, while findings in this study indicate that those would be beneficial to the discussion of SD^{19,20}.

In order to achieve improvement, this study underlined the importance of self-reported knowledge and adequate education in enabling social workers to provide sexual care. Unfortunately, sufficient knowledge on patients' sexuality is not self-evident¹⁸. This might be a result of insufficient education. Although several social workers received supplementary sexual health workshops after obtaining their social work degree, shortcomings in the current educational system for future social workers regarding sexual education were identified. Insufficient attention to sexuality during training is not an issue confined to the renal social workers, as omissions in sexual education can occur throughout all health care departments in nephrology^{16,19,20}.

Strengths and limitations

This study was one of the first to examine sexual care provided by social workers working in nephrology and had a high response rate compared to another online questionnaire among nephrology social workers (65.1% vs. 37%)¹⁸. However, non-response bias may still have occurred as the remaining 35% of Dutch nephrology social workers may have a different perspective on the topic sexual care in CKD patients. A non-validated questionnaire was used to perform this study as no validated questionnaires were available that assessed the specific study aims. Furthermore, as a consequence of the self-reported character of the survey, over- or underestimation might have occurred as social workers may have given socially desirable answers leading to response bias.

Conclusion

The majority of the Dutch nephrology social workers do not consistently provide sexual care for their patients due to insufficient knowledge, the absence of privacy during consultations, the lack of protocols within their departments and barriers based on cultural diversity. A sense of responsibility is present in this group of renal care providers, however they feel this responsibility should be multidisciplinary.

Recommendations for practice

In order to enhance current sexual care provided by social workers in nephrology, the following changes are recommended: 1) *The improvement of knowledge on cultural diversity*: Introducing education on cultural differences in the context of providing health care, especially sexual care, could improve competence among nephrology social workers²⁴. As a result, existing barriers might diminish^{25,26}, 2) *The improvement of knowledge on sexuality in CKD patients*: By improving current education on SD and providing supplementary training, knowledge on SD and confidence of social workers will improve; a need pointed out by the majority of the respondents in this study as well as by Canadian nephrology social workers¹⁸, 3) *The facilitation of a private appointment to discuss sexual health*: It might be important to facilitate a scheduled consultation where privacy and confidentiality are assured. Such an environment could lead to trust, comfort, and openness for both social worker and patient and therefore facilitate the discussion of sexuality and sexual concerns²⁴, and 4) *The development of multidisciplinary protocols*: These protocols should be available in all renal care departments so sexual care for CKD patients will be provided in a multidisciplinary manner.

References

1. Lewis RW, Fugl-Meyer KS, Corona G, et al. Definitions/epidemiology/risk factors for sexual dysfunction. *The journal of sexual medicine*. 2010;7(4 Pt 2):1598-1607.
2. Berger MH, Messori M, Pastuszak AW, Ramasamy R. Association Between Infertility and Sexual Dysfunction in Men and Women. *Sexual medicine reviews*. 2016;4(4):353-365.
3. Lou WJ, Chen B, Zhu L, et al. Prevalence and Factors Associated with Female Sexual Dysfunction in Beijing, China. *Chinese medical journal*. 2017;130(12):1389-1394.
4. McVary KT. Clinical practice. Erectile dysfunction. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2007;357(24):2472-2481.
5. Rathi M, Ramachandran R. Sexual and gonadal dysfunction in chronic kidney disease: Pathophysiology. *Indian J.Endocrinol.Metab*. 2012;16(2):214-219.
6. Palmer BF, Clegg DJ. Gonadal dysfunction in chronic kidney disease. *Reviews in endocrine & metabolic disorders*. 2017;18(1):117-130.
7. Oyekcin DG, Gulpek D, Sahin EM, Mete L. Depression, anxiety, body image, sexual functioning, and dyadic adjustment associated with dialysis type in chronic renal failure. *International journal of psychiatry in medicine*. 2012;43(3):227-241.
8. Theofilou PA. Sexual functioning in chronic kidney disease: the association with depression and anxiety. *Hemodial.Int*. 2012;16(1):76-81.
9. Vecchio M, Palmer S, De BG, et al. Prevalence and correlates of erectile dysfunction in men on chronic haemodialysis: a multinational cross-sectional study. *Nephrol.Dial.Transplant*. 2012;27(6):2479-2488.
10. Strippoli GF. Sexual Dysfunction in Women with ESRD Requiring Hemodialysis. *Clinical journal of the American Society of Nephrology : CJASN*. 2012;7(6):974-981.
11. Filocamo MT, Zanazzi M, Li M, V, et al. Sexual dysfunction in women during dialysis and after renal transplantation. *J.Sex Med*. 2009;6(11):3125-3131.
12. Schulz T, Niesing J, Homan van der Heide JJ, Westerhuis R, Ploeg RJ, Ranchor AV. Great expectations? Pre-transplant quality of life expectations and distress after kidney transplantation: a prospective study. *British journal of health psychology*. 2013;19(4):823-838.
13. Wang GC, Zheng JH, Xu LG, et al. Measurements of serum pituitary-gonadal hormones and investigation of sexual and reproductive functions in kidney transplant recipients. *Int.J.Nephrol*. 2010;2010:612126.
14. Pertuz W, Castaneda DA, Rincon O, Lozano E. Sexual dysfunction in patients with chronic renal disease: does it improve with renal transplantation? *Transplant.Proc*. 2014;46(9):3021-3026.

15. Muehrer RJ. Sexuality, an important component of the quality of life of the kidney transplant recipient. *Transplant.Rev.(Orlando.)*. 2009;23(4):214-223.
16. Ho TM, Fernandez M. Patient's sexual health: do we care enough? *Journal of renal care*. 2006;32(4):183-186.
17. Weisbord SD, Fried LF, Mor MK, et al. Renal provider recognition of symptoms in patients on maintenance hemodialysis. *Clin.J.Am.Soc.Nephrol.* 2007;2(5):960-967.
18. Burnett J, Canter A, Rubin M, Verdirame M. Let's Talk About Sex: Understanding Social Workers' Approaches to Discussing Sexuality With CKD Patients. *The journal of Nephrology Social Work*. 2009;32:9-14.
19. van Ek GF, Krouwel EM, Nicolai MP, et al. Discussing Sexual Dysfunction with Chronic Kidney Disease Patients: Practice Patterns in the Office of the Nephrologist. *The journal of sexual medicine*. 2015;12(12):2350-2363.
20. Van Ek GF KE, Van der Veen E, Nicolai MP, Van den Ouden BL, Ringers J, Putter H, Pelger RC, Elzevier HW. The discussion of sexual dysfunction before and after kidney transplantation from the perspective of the renal transplant surgeon. *Progress in Transplantation*. 2017; Accepted for publication.
21. Krouwel EM, Nicolai MP, AQ vS-vT, et al. Addressing changed sexual functioning in cancer patients: A cross-sectional survey among Dutch oncology nurses. *Eur.J.Oncol.Nurs.* 2015;19(6):707-715.
22. Nicolai MP, Liem SS, Both S, et al. What do cardiologists know about the effects of cardiovascular agents on sexual function? A survey among Dutch cardiologists. Part I. *Neth.Heart J.* 2013;21(12):540-544.
23. Marwick C. Survey says patients expect little physician help on sex. *JAMA.* 1999;281(23):2173-2174.
24. Althof SE, Rosen RC, Perelman MA, Rubio-Aurioles E. Standard operating procedures for taking a sexual history. *J.Sex Med.* 2013;10(1):26-35.
25. Kai J, Beavan J, Faull C, Dodson L, Gill P, Beighton A. Professional uncertainty and disempowerment responding to ethnic diversity in health care: a qualitative study. *PLoS medicine*. 2007;4(11):e323.
26. Fleckman JM, Dal Corso M, Ramirez S, Begaliev M, Johnson CC. Intercultural Competency in Public Health: A Call for Action to Incorporate Training into Public Health Education. *Frontiers in public health*. 2015;3:210.