

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/79982> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Berman- de Jong, E.P.

Title: Viral infections in young infants : epidemiologic and diagnostic aspects of ToRCH, enterovirus and human parechovirus

Issue Date: 2019-10-31

6

**CEREBRAL IMAGING AND NEURODEVELOPMENTAL
OUTCOME AFTER ENTERO- AND HUMAN
PARECHOVIRUS SEPSIS IN YOUNG INFANTS**

Eveline P. de Jong, Herma C. Holscher, Sylke J. Steggerda,
Jeanine M.M. Van Klink, Erika P.M. van Elzakker,
Enrico Lopriore, Frans J. Walther, Frank Brus

Eur J Pediatr 2017;176:1595-1602

ABSTRACT

Background: Enterovirus (EV) and human parechovirus (HPeV) are major causes of sepsis-like illness in infants under 90 days of age and have been identified as neurotropic. Studies about acute and long term neurodevelopment in infants with sepsis-like illness without the need for intensive care are few. This study investigates cerebral imaging and neurodevelopmental outcome following EV and HPeV infection in these infants.

Methods: We studied infants under 90 days of age who were admitted to a medium care unit with proven EV or HPeV induced sepsis-like illness. In addition to standard care, we did a cerebral ultrasound and cerebral magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), as well as neurodevelopmental follow-up at 6 weeks and 6 months and Bayley Scale of Infant and Toddler Development 3rd edition (BSID-III) investigation at one year of age.

Results: Twenty-six infants, 22 with EV and 4 with HPeV, were analysed. No abnormalities were detected at cerebral imaging. At one year of age, two infants had a moderate delay on both the motor and cognitive scale, one on the cognitive scale only and three others on the gross motor scale only.

Conclusion: Although our study population, especially the number of HPeV positive infants is small, our study shows that these infants do not seem to develop severe neurodevelopmental delay and neurologic sequelae more often than the normal Dutch population. Follow-up to school age allows for more reliable assessments of developmental outcome and is recommended for further studies to better assess outcome.

INTRODUCTION

Approximately half of all infants younger than 90 days of age that are hospitalized with sepsis-like illness have an infection with enterovirus (EV) and human parechovirus (HPeV)¹⁻⁵. Both EV and HPeV have been identified as neurotropic viruses. Several studies, performed at paediatric or neonatal intensive care units (PICU/NICU), describe cerebral white matter abnormalities in infants with severe EV and HPeV infection. Moreover, long-term impairment, such as neurodevelopmental delay, cerebral palsy and epilepsy, have been reported in survivors after NICU admittance for EV or HPeV infection^{6,7}.

However, most infants diagnosed with EV or HPeV induced sepsis-like illness do not need intensive care treatment. In this less severely affected population only two studies, both over 20 years old, about neurodevelopmental follow-up and occurrence of neurologic sequelae exist^{8,9}.

The aim of this study was to investigate cerebral imaging and neurodevelopment up to one year after infection in infants who had EV or HPeV induced sepsis-like illness during their first 90 days after birth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective cohort study was performed at the Juliana Children's Hospital, The Hague, Netherlands. Patients were included in the study from July 2011 until October 2012, after written informed consent from parents. The study was approved of by the regional medical ethics committee (METC Southwest Holland, ref. 10-158).

We included infants under 90 days of age who were admitted to our medium care unit with proven EV or HPeV induced sepsis-like illness. The definition of sepsis-like illness was based on age specific criteria (Table 6.1). A positive diagnosis for EV or HPeV infection was made from a positive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) result on either plasma or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or both. PCR was performed as reported earlier⁵. Exclusion criteria were congenital anomalies (including cerebral malformations), known or suspected immunologic disorders and previous infection with EV or HPeV.

Baseline patient characteristics at admission were recorded after inclusion. As part of the standard sepsis work-up, all infants underwent blood and (if lumbar puncture was successful) CSF sampling for biochemical analysis, viral analysis for EV and HPeV, and bacterial cultures. Herpes simplex virus PCR was performed only on CSF.

Table 6.1: Criteria for sepsis-like illness*

	0–28 days	29–90 days
Clinical signs and symptoms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - One or more: - Toxic appearance - Temperature < 36.0°C or > 38.0°C - Feeding problems - Lethargy or irritability - Tachypnea - Tachycardia - Capillary refill > 2 sec 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One or more: - Toxic appearance - Temperature < 36.0°C or > 39.0°C - Fever > 48 hours - Lethargy or irritability - Capillary refill > 2 sec - Bulging fontanel
Criteria for toxic appearance	Rochester criteria	Yale observation scale > 10

* These criteria are a local adaptation of the national guidelines for management of children with fever without source (Dutch association of Paediatrics, NvK).

Study protocol

Figure 6.1 shows the flowchart of the study protocol. One to two days after admission neonatal cranial ultrasound (cUS) views were obtained using a 7.5–10MHz transducer¹⁰. Evaluation of cUS was performed using the following criteria: inhomogeneity and/or diffuse echogenicity of the white matter, cystic abnormalities, haemorrhages and echogenicity in the basal ganglia.

Four to six weeks after hospital discharge, the infants returned to our outpatient clinic for their first follow-up visit (t1) during which physical and neurological examination, cerebral magnetic resonance imaging (cMRI) and hearing screening were done. Physical and neurologic examination were performed by two trained paediatric residents who were unaware of the diagnosis of the patient.

cMRI images were obtained using a Philips 1.0 Tesla scanner (GYROSCAN T10NT PT3000) with 5mm coupes. Sagittal T1, transversal T1, T2 dual, T2 flair and diffusion weighed images were obtained. Criteria for abnormalities were: white matter abnormalities (defined as diffuse high signal intensity in the white matter on T2-weighted images and/or punctate white matter lesions), cystic white matter lesions, petechial haemorrhages, signs of white matter atrophy. During cMRI no sedation was administered. Infants were fed immediately prior to the MRI, after which most infants fell asleep and were placed on a cushion for minimal movement. All MR-images were reviewed by a paediatric radiologist (HH) and neonatologist (SS), who were unaware of the clinical condition or diagnosis of the patient.

Standard hearing screening was performed during the second or third week of life by means of oto-acoustic emission¹¹, as part of a national newborn screening program. If children




Figure 6.1: Study protocol and numbers of evaluated patients.
Numbers between brackets indicate absolute number of patients.

were not screened after hospital discharge, we performed automated auditory brain stem response hearing screening during their first follow-up visit.

The second follow-up visit was scheduled at the age of 6 months ($t = 2$) to perform a full physical and neurologic examination and to complete a checklist for developmental milestones.

At the third follow-up visit at one year of age ($t = 3$), the examinations performed at 6 months of age were repeated and the Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development 3rd edition (BSID-III), cognitive and motor scales were investigated, performed by two certified paediatric physiotherapists who were unaware of the diagnosis of the patient. We defined moderate delay as BSID-III cognitive or motor scale scores < -1 to -2 SDS, and severe delay as scale scores < -2 SDS ^{12 13}.

Statistics

SPSS was used for data management (PASW statistics version 17.0) and statistical analysis (IBM SPSS statistics version 23.0). Data were checked for normality before analysis, using descriptive statistics and histograms with z-scores for skewness and kurtosis. Categorical data are shown as absolute number/total (percentage) and numerical data as median (interquartile range). P-values < 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. Mann-Whitney-U tests and Kruskal Wallis tests were used for numerical data and Fisher's Exact tests for categorical data.

RESULTS

During the study period 34 infants met the inclusion criteria, while none fulfilled the exclusion criteria. In eight cases the parents did not give informed consent for the study. We included 26 infants (22 with EV and 4 with HPeV) in our study. Of the 22 EV positive infants, 10 had a positive PCR in plasma only, in 6/10 cases no or not enough CSF was obtained. EV PCR was positive in CSF only in 3 cases. In 9 both plasma and CSF were positive. Of the 4 HPeV infants, 2 had positive PCR in plasma only due to failure to obtain CSF, the remaining 2 had positive PCR in both plasma and CSF.

Basic patient characteristics are shown in Table 6.2. All infants were born at term and had a normal birth weight and normal Apgar scores. None were previously admitted to a hospital ward with perinatal infection. All infants presented to the paediatric emergency room with two or more signs of sepsis-like illness. None of the infants presented with seizures or had abnormal signs at neurologic examination at admission or during their hospital stay.

Table 6.2: Basic patient characteristics

	Total study population (n = 26)	EV positive (n = 22)	HPeV positive (n = 4)
Age at presentation (days)	24 (10–45)	27 (14–49)	10 (8–29)
Duration of symptoms (hrs.)	12 (12–24)	15 (12–24)	12 (9–18)
Feeding problems	15/26 (58%)	14/22 (64%)	1/4 (25%)
Heart frequency (beats/min)	170 (158–180)	169 (155–180)	180 (171–207)
Temperature (°C)	38.6 (38.1–38.9)	38.6 (38.1–39.1)	38.3 (38.0–38.7)
Prolonged capillary refill (>2 sec)	6/26 (23%)	5/22 (23%)	1/4 (25%)
Behavioural symptoms	26/26 (100%)	22/22 (100%)	4/4 (100%)
Lethargy	4/26 (15%)	3/22 (14%)	1/4 (25%)
Irritability	22/26 (85%)	19/22 (86%)	3/4 (75%)
White blood cell count (x10 ⁹ /L)	6.9 (5.5–7.9)	7.5 (5.7–8.6)	5.6 (5.1–5.8)
Thrombocyte count (x10 ⁹ /L)	336 (254–396)	328 (268–395)	356 (197–464)
Haemoglobin (mmol/L)	8.3 (6.5–10.3)	8.2 (6.4–10.2)	8.9 (7.2–10.5)
Glucose (mmol/L)	5.2 (4.9–5.6)	5.2 (4.8–5.6)	5.2 (4.9–5.5)
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	3 (1–6)	3 (1–10)	3 (1–5)

Data are shown as absolute number/total (percentage) or as median (interquartile range). No statistically significant differences were found between EV and HPeV positive infants.

The results of the study investigations are shown in Tables 6.3 and 6.4. CSF pleocytosis was found in 8/17 (47%) infants with EV infection and in none of the HPeV positive infants. None of the patients had abnormal findings at cUS.

At the first follow-up visit 4–6 weeks after infection, 22/26 (85%) infants were evaluated. All had normal findings at physical examination and hearing tests. Neurologic examination was abnormal in one case (1/22, 5%) showing slight hypertonia of the lower extremities (case #1, Table 6.4). In this infant, hypertonia had recovered completely at subsequent follow-up visits. Cerebral MRI was successfully performed in 19/26 (73%) of infants and showed no abnormal findings.

At 6 months of age, 18/26 (69%) infants were re-examined. One infant (case #2, Table 6.4) had general hypotonia and slipping through, but milestones were normal. In this infant, hypotonia had recovered at 12 months of age, but on both the BSID-III cognitive and motor scales, this infant had moderate developmental delay.

At 12 months of age, 20/26 (77%) infants were assessed with the BSID-III. Two of them had experienced an HPeV infection and 18 had an EV infection. The remaining 6 infants were lost to follow-up, two because of moving of the family and four for unknown reason. One infant had a severe delay on the gross motor scale (case #8, Table 6.4), the other tested

Table 6.3: Neurologic deficit at testing

	Total (n = 26)	EV pos (n = 22)	HPEv pos (n = 4)	Details
Hospital admission (n = 26):				
Neurologic examination	0/26	0/22	0/4	
CSF pleocytosis [#]	8/20 (40%)	8/17 (47%)	0/3	
Cerebral ultrasound	0/20	0/17	0/3	
t = 1: 4–6 weeks FU visit (n = 22):				
Neurologic examination	1/22 (5%)	1/19* (5%)	0/3	*Slight hypertonia of the lower extremities (recovered at subsequent follow-up visits)
Cerebral MRI (cMRI)	0/19	0/16	0/3	
Hearing screening	0/22	0/19	0/3	
t = 2: 6m FU visit (n = 18):				
Neurologic examination (incl. milestones)	1/18 (6%)	1/16~ (6%)	0/2	~Generalized hypotonia with slipping through (recovered at the age of one year)
t = 3: 12m FU visit (n = 20):				
Neurologic examination (incl. milestones)	0/20	0/18	0/2	
BSID III[^]:				
Cognitive scale	3/20 (15%) [^]	2/18 (11%)	1/2 (50%)	[^] Two infants with moderate cognitive delay also had moderate gross motor delay (Table 6.4).
Fine motor scale	0/20	0/18	0/2	
Gross motor scale	6/20 (30%)*	6/18 (33%)	0/2	*5/6 infants had a scaled score of 4–7, one had < 4.

Numbers indicate: number of infants with abnormalities / total number of infants tested (percentage).

No statistically significant differences were found between EV and HPEv positive infants.

*(< 2 SD = scaled score < 4), (-1 to -2 SD = scaled score 4–7).

[#] We corrected CSF white blood cell count (WBC) for traumatic puncture if the CSF red blood cell count was > 1000 cells/ μ L, using a 1000:1 ratio¹⁷. CSF pleocytosis was defined after correction for traumatic puncture as a CSF WBC > 19 cells/ μ L for children < 28 days of age, > 9 cells/ μ L for children 28–58 days of age and > 5 cell/ μ L for children 59–90 days of age^{18,19}.

domains were normal. Two had a moderate delay on both the motor and cognitive scale (case #2–3, Table 6.4), one on the cognitive scale only (case #4, Table 6.4) and the others on the gross motor scale only (cases #5–7, Table 6.4). None of these infants had abnormalities on cerebral imaging. All infants that had a delay at BSID-III testing were thereafter treated with physiotherapy.

Table 6.4: Clinical details and findings on cerebral imaging in infants with abnormalities at neurologic evaluation

Sex, Age (days)	Symptoms	Diagnosis Plasma PCR CSF PCR Pleocytosis	Neur. exams 1. 4–6 weeks after infection 2. Age 6 months 3. Age 12 months	cUS cMRI	BSID-III*, overall result • Cognitive - scaled score • Fine motor - scaled score • Gross motor - scaled score
Case #1 Male 25	Fever, irritability, feeding problems	EV Positive Failed Failed	1. Slight hypertonia of lower extremities 2. Normal 3. Normal (Milestones: slight lead)	Normal Normal	Normal • Cognitive - 11 • Fine motor - 8 • Gross motor - 16
Case #2 Female 42	Fever, irritability	EV Positive Failed Failed	1. Normal 2. Generalized hypotonia. Normal milestones 3. Full recovery, no hypotonia. Normal	Failed Normal	Moderate delay; • Cognitive - 6 • Fine motor - 9 • Gross motor - 5
Case #3 Female 10	Fever, irritability, feeding problems	EV Negative Positive Yes	1. Normal 2. Normal 3. Normal	Normal Normal	Moderate delay; • Cognitive - 6 • Fine motor - 8 • Gross motor - 6
Case #4 Female 6	Fever, lethargy	HPeV Positive Failed Failed	1. Normal 2. Normal 3. Normal	Failed Normal	Moderate delay; • Cognitive - 6 • Fine motor - 10 • Gross motor - 7
Case #5 Male 22	Fever, irritability, feeding problems	EV Positive Negative Yes	1. Normal 2. Normal 3. Normal (Milestones: slight delay gross motor development)	Normal Normal	Moderate delay; • Cognitive - 7 • Fine motor - 9 • Gross motor - 4
Case #6 Male 15	Fever, irritability	EV Positive Positive No	1. Normal 2. Normal 3. Normal	Normal Normal	Moderate delay; • Cognitive - 10 • Fine motor - 11 • Gross motor - 5
Case #7 Male 50	Fever, irritability	EV Positive Positive Yes	1. Failed 2. Normal 3. Normal	Normal Failed	Moderate delay; • Cognitive - 9 • Fine motor - 9 • Gross motor - 6
Case #8 Male 69	Fever, irritability, feeding problems	EV Positive Positive No	1. Normal 2. Normal 3. Normal	Failed Normal	Severe delay; • Cognitive - 10 • Fine motor - 14 • Gross motor - 3

* < 2 SD = scaled score < 4, -1 to -2 SD = scaled score 4–6, -1 to +1 SD = scaled score 7–13, +1 to +2 SD = scaled score 13–15, > +2 SD = scaled score > 15.

DISCUSSION

This study reports on cerebral imaging and neurodevelopmental outcome of young infants with EV or HPeV induced sepsis-like illness in their first 90 days of life, who did not need paediatric or neonatal intensive care admission. We investigated the presence of neurologic signs and symptoms at three time points after EV or HPeV induced sepsis-like illness during the first year of life. In this relatively small study, one infant had a severe gross motor neurodevelopmental delay at one year of age. Two infants had a moderate delay on both the gross motor and cognitive scales, one on the cognitive scale only and three others on the gross motor scale only. Two infants had transient mild abnormalities at neurologic examination.

Neurodevelopment after EV infection has been reported previously, but only two studies investigated this after EV sepsis/meningitis in the first 90 days of life. Baker et al. describe subtle deficits in receptive language processing, but no differences in motor or cognitive development in their study group of 16 infants compared to healthy matched controls during a three year follow-up period⁸. In 1981, a case control study including 9 children after EV meningitis also reported deficits in receptive language functioning compared to 9 healthy matched controls. No differences in head circumference, sensorineural hearing loss or intellectual functioning between groups were found⁹. Our population was too young to investigate language development reliably. However, none of the children required speech-language therapy. Comparing our results with these studies is difficult, since different (versions of) developmental tests were used 20 years ago.

Only a few studies reported on the neurodevelopmental outcome following HPeV infection. One Australian study describes of 9 young infants with a median age at diagnosis of 13 days requiring intensive care treatment for HPeV encephalitis and reports 'significant or some developmental concern' in 7/9 (78%) of them one year after infection, two were diagnosed with cerebral palsy and one with visual impairment. All had scores below the cut-off of < 2 SD below the population mean in the gross motor subscale of the Ages and Stages questionnaire¹⁴. This study shows more severe sequelae than our study does, which can be expected from this more ill population.

Our study was performed before a Dutch normation for the BSID-III was available, therefore we used the USA normation. One recent study showed that the USA normation over-estimates cognitive and fine motor development in Dutch healthy infants, but significantly under-estimates the gross-motor development. This leads to a much higher percentage of infants with low gross motor scores, 43% of Dutch infants scored < 1 SD and 15% < 2 SD¹². Therefore, in our cohort, no major difference in neurodevelopmental delay compared to healthy Dutch children of the same age was detected¹².

We found no abnormalities on cUS during admission and on cMRI four to six weeks after the infection. A retrospective Norwegian study described neurodevelopmental outcome and cerebral imaging in 15 HPeV positive infants. They were admitted to a level 2 or 3 hospital ward. In 3 cases a cMRI was obtained showing signs of white matter necrosis in two of them. One infant recovered completely within 8 days, the other had normal neurodevelopment at one year of age ¹⁵.

Two studies describe cerebral imaging in detail in NICU-admitted infants with HPeV infection. One study reports white matter damage and severe periventricular echogenicity in 9 out of 10 infants, resulting to severe neurodevelopmental delay in 2 infants and minor deficits in two others. Two of these infants were also born extremely premature and although cUS abnormalities developed after infection with HPeV, these might not be the only cause of cerebral damage ⁷. Another study describes normal sequential cUS imaging in 11 HPeV positive infants admitted to a NICU ¹⁶.

Cerebral imaging data of EV positive infants is only available from (neonatal) intensive care studies. In 2006, Verboon et al. reported 6 infants, 5 infants had periventricular echogenicity on cUS and cMRI showed diffuse high signal intensity in the white matter, punctate white matter lesions or cystic leucomalacia in all of them. Two infants developed cerebral palsy and epilepsy, one was suspect for neurodevelopmental delay at 18 months of age and three developed normally ⁶.

A major difference with previous studies is that we did not investigate a NICU population and none of the infants in our study developed seizures. Our patients were somewhat older (up to 90 days of age at admission) and less ill than the NICU-population. Possibly, the younger and more severely ill infants with haemodynamic instability and/or prolonged seizures, needing intensive care treatment, are at higher risk for development of neurologic sequelae.

Our study has its limitations, including the relatively small cohort and missing values. Although previous studies included cohorts of similar size, the number of patients is too still small to allow firm conclusions, especially in terms of HPeV infection (n = 4, at one year of age n = 2). We followed our study population only for one year and therefore it is possible that some neurodevelopmental problems may appear later in life, or will spontaneously resolve as children develop. Our data must therefore be interpreted with caution and longer follow-up studies are necessary. Follow-up to school age allows for more reliable assessments of developmental outcome.

We did not perform typing of EV and HPeV, as this has no consequences for treatment. Nevertheless, it would be interesting to define if the more pathogenic EV or HPeV serotypes ¹³⁴

were present in our population. This might affect treatment if IVIG is used and might allow for more targeted follow-up of these specific infants.

Finally, MRI scanning was not performed during the acute stage of the illness but 4–6 weeks after the infection. Therefore we may have missed possible abnormalities on the diffusion weighted images that disappear after the acute phase and were only able to look for subacute signs of white matter injury such as cystic white matter lesions, focal/punctate white matter lesions, delayed myelination, dilatation of the lateral ventricles, and other signs of white matter volume loss and did not find any of these white matter abnormalities in our population. Of note, the MRI sequences used in this study had a scan thickness of 5 mm and therefore we may have missed some of the more subtle lesions.

Young infants with sepsis-like illness are regularly admitted to paediatric wards, especially during the EV and HPeV epidemic season in late spring and summer. We show data from cerebral imaging in a large proportion of our study population (19/26). Further, negative hearing screening tests and results from our systematic physical and neurologic examination are valuable information for paediatricians.

Our study shows that these infants do not seem to develop neurodevelopmental delay and neurologic sequelae more often than the normal population after a one year follow-up period. But, considering our studies limitations, larger and longer follow-up studies are needed to provide a more definite advice.

Acknowledgements

We kindly thank C. Mesman and A. Hotke, paediatric physiotherapists, for executing BSID-III testing. This study was performed with financial support from the HAGA Hospital Scientific Fund and the Foundation 'Friends of Juliana Children's Hospital'.

Ethical approval

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. This study was approved of by the regional medical ethics committee (METC Southwest Holland, ref. 10-158). This article does not contain any studies with animals performed by any of the authors. Written informed consent was obtained from parents of all individual participants included in the study.

REFERENCES

1. Rotbart HA, McCracken GH, Jr., Whitley RJ, et al. Clinical significance of enteroviruses in serious summer febrile illnesses of children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1999;18(10):869-74.
2. Verboon-Macielek MA, Krediet TG, Gerards LJ, et al. Clinical and Epidemiologic Characteristics of Viral Infections in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit During a 12-Year Period. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2005;24(10):901-04.
3. Boivin G, Abed Y, Boucher FD. Human parechovirus 3 and neonatal infections. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005;11(1):103-5.
4. Benschop KS, Schinkel J, Minnaar RP, et al. Human parechovirus infections in Dutch children and the association between serotype and disease severity. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;42(2):204-10.
5. De Jong EP, Van den Beuken MGA, Elzakker EPM, et al. Epidemiology of Sepsis-like Illness in Young Infants: Major Role of Enterovirus and Human Parechovirus. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, 2018;37(2):113-118.
6. Verboon-Macielek MA. White matter damage in neonatal enterovirus meningoencephalitis. *Neurology* 2006;66(8):1267-69.
7. Verboon-Macielek MA, Groenendaal F, Hahn CD, et al. Human parechovirus causes encephalitis with white matter injury in neonates. *Annals of Neurology* 2008;64(3):266-73.
8. Baker RC, Kummer AW, Schultz JR, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcome of infants with viral meningitis in the first three months of life. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 1996;35(6):295-301.
9. Wilfert CM, Thompson RJ, Jr., Sunder TR, et al. Longitudinal assessment of children with enteroviral meningitis during the first three months of life. *Pediatrics* 1981;67(6):811-5.
10. Wezel-Meijler Gv. *Neonatal Cranial Ultrasonography : Guidelines for the Procedure and Atlas of Normal Ultrasound Anatomy*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag 2007.
11. van der Ploeg CPB, Uilenburg NN, Kauffman-de Boer MA, et al. Newborn hearing screening in youth health care in the Netherlands: National results of implementation and follow-up. *International Journal of Audiology* 2012;51(8):584-90.
12. Steenis LJ, Verhoeven M, Hessen DJ, et al. Performance of Dutch children on the Bayley III: a comparison study of US and Dutch norms. *PLoS one* 2015;10(8):e0132871.
13. Lees CC, Marlow N, van Wassenaer-Leemhuis A, et al. 2 year neurodevelopmental and intermediate perinatal outcomes in infants with very preterm fetal growth restriction (TRUFFLE): a randomised trial. *The Lancet*;385(9983):2162-72.
14. Britton PN, Dale RC, Nissen MD, et al. Parechovirus Encephalitis and Neurodevelopmental Outcomes. *Pediatrics* 2016;137(2):e20152848.
15. Skram MK, Skanke LH, Krokstad S, et al. Severe parechovirus infection in Norwegian infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2014;33(12):1222-5.
16. Davis J, Fairley D, Christie S, et al. Human parechovirus infection in neonatal intensive care. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2015;34(2):121-4.
17. Greenberg RG, Smith PB, Cotten CM, et al. Traumatic lumbar punctures in neonates: test performance of the cerebrospinal fluid white blood cell count. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2008;27(12):1047-51.
18. Kliegman RM SB, St. Geme J, Schor NF. *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics*, 20th edition. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Inc 2016.
19. Kestenbaum LA, Ebberson J, Zorc JJ, et al. Defining Cerebrospinal Fluid White Blood Cell Count Reference Values in Neonates and Young Infants. *Pediatrics* 2010;125(2):257-64.