



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Viral infections in young infants : epidemiologic and diagnostic aspects of ToRCH, enterovirus and human parechovirus

Berman-De Jong, E.P.

Citation

Berman-De Jong, E. P. (2019, October 31). *Viral infections in young infants : epidemiologic and diagnostic aspects of ToRCH, enterovirus and human parechovirus*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/79982>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/79982>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/79982> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Berman- de Jong, E.P.

Title: Viral infections in young infants : epidemiologic and diagnostic aspects of ToRCH, enterovirus and human parechovirus

Issue Date: 2019-10-31

1

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

INFECTIOUS DISEASES IN PAEDIATRICS

Diagnosis and treatment of infectious diseases are a large part of everyday work of paediatricians. About 35% of all paediatric emergency room consultations concern febrile children ¹⁻³, and in children under one year of age, infectious diseases account for approximately 10% of hospital admissions in the Netherlands ⁴. When visiting the emergency room due to febrile illness, the incidence of hospital admission increases with decreasing age of the child. In addition, younger age is a risk factor for more severe illness ⁵. Besides infection of the young infant, vertical transmission of micro-organisms from mother-to-foetus during pregnancy or childbirth can lead to morbidity in the neonate and cause late onset symptoms. A more thorough understanding of the epidemiology, diagnostic options and clinical spectrum of infectious diseases, both prenatal, perinatal and postnatal, will contribute towards improvements in treatment and follow-up.

The first part of this thesis investigates toxoplasmosis, rubella virus, cytomegalovirus (CMV) and herpes simplex virus (HSV), also known under the acronym 'ToRCH', a group of micro-organisms that can cause severe symptoms when vertically transmitted from mother to foetus. The second part of this thesis describes the epidemiology, and clinical signs and symptoms of Enterovirus and Human Parechovirus induced sepsis-like illness and their cardiac and neurologic sequelae.

TORCH

Pathophysiology and epidemiology

The 'ToRCH' acronym was first proposed in 1971 by Nahmias et al., and groups the micro-organisms *Toxoplasma gondii*, rubella, CMV and HSV because vertical transmission and concurrent neonatal symptoms have been described in all pathogens included in the acronym ⁶.

Toxoplasma gondii

Although *Toxoplasma gondii* is a protozoan parasite and not a virus, it has been included in this acronym since its first appearance in the literature and although we are aware of this 'non-viral pathogen' in this 'viral' thesis, we decided not to exclude *Toxoplasma gondii* from it.

Vertical transmission of *Toxoplasma gondii* can occur if the mother has a 'primo' infection during her pregnancy. The highest risk of giving birth to a child with symptomatic congenital

toxoplasmosis (about 10%) is when seroconversion occurs at 24–30 weeks' gestation ^{7,8}. The classic triad of symptoms consists of chorioretinitis, hydrocephalus and intracranial calcifications. Since description of this triad, several other signs and symptoms have been associated with *Toxoplasma gondii* infection. Clinical signs and symptoms of congenital toxoplasmosis, if present, are not always recognised at birth and ocular sequelae sometimes go unrecognised until school age ⁹. Congenital toxoplasmosis results in chorioretinitis and retinal scarring in approximately 12% of children and neurological abnormalities, such as cerebral calcifications and hydrocephalus, are seen in about 12%–16% of cases ^{10–12}.

Rubella virus

When primary maternal rubella infection occurs during the first trimester of pregnancy, the virus will cross the placenta and cause foetal infection in about 80% of cases. The risk for foetal infection declines thereafter, as does the risk for congenital defects ¹³. The features of congenital rubella syndrome were originally described as a triad of cataracts, heart defects and sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) ¹⁴. Since then, almost every foetal organ has been described to be infected by rubella and the clinical spectrum ranges from miscarriage or stillbirth, to severe multiple birth defects, to no apparent defect at birth ¹⁵.

Cytomegalovirus

The risk of in utero transmission of CMV is highest (approximately 32%) following primary maternal infection. But, in contrast to congenital rubella and toxoplasmosis, the relative immunocompromised state of pregnancy can result in maternal re-infection (with a different strain) or viral reactivation which can also lead to congenital infection ^{16,17}. About 10%–15% of congenitally infected newborns have symptoms of disease at birth, including low birth weight, central nervous system (CNS) damage, liver involvement and ocular or auditory damage (sensorineural hearing loss, SNHL) ^{18–20}. Blueberry muffin spots are another symptom of congenital CMV, indicative of extramedullary haematopoiesis. Approximately half of infected children who are symptomatic at birth eventually show CNS involvement ¹⁸. However, almost 90% of the congenitally infected children are asymptomatic at birth and an estimated 13.5% of them will develop long term neurological sequelae, predominantly SNHL ²¹.

Herpes simplex virus

True primary HSV infection (a first infection with HSV in the individual) has the highest risk for vertical transmission, about 50% ²². Neonatal infection with HSV is symptomatic in almost all cases and is categorized into localised, CNS disease, and disseminated disease. Localised congenital HSV infection is limited to the skin, eye or mouth, whereas CNS disease results in encephalitis and disseminated disease leads to multiple organ involvement ⁹.

Lenticulostriate vasculopathy and ToRCH infections

Lenticulostriate vasculopathy (LSV) is one of the cerebral signs that has been associated with ToRCH infections. LSV is an echodensity of the lenticulostriate branches of the middle cerebral arteries in the region of the basal ganglia and/or thalamus. It is thought to be a non-specific marker of a previous insult to the developing brain²⁴. LSV occurs in approximately 5% of neonates²⁴⁻²⁶. The clinical significance on long-term neurodevelopmental outcome is not clear. LSV has been associated with a wide variety of diseases including chromosomal disorders, perinatal asphyxia, non-immune foetal hydrops, twin-twin transfusion syndrome, congenital heart disease and metabolic disorders²⁷⁻²⁹. Some authors also suggest a clinical co-occurrence of congenital infections and LSV and advise routine ToRCH screening in all infants with LSV³⁰⁻³². However, this co-occurrence of ToRCH infections and LSV is based on few casuistic reports or small case series, most of which lack a control group^{25-27 29-35}. To date, there is no consensus regarding the relevance of efforts to diagnose congenital infections in newborns with LSV detected on cerebral ultrasound scans. In chapter 2 the prevalence of congenital infections was investigated in a large series of neonates with LSV, detected on routine cerebral ultrasound examinations, to determine the role of ToRCH testing in neonates with LSV.

Small for gestational age and ToRCH screening

The exact definition of small for gestational age (SGA) is an ongoing discussion in scientific literature³⁶⁻³⁹. For this thesis we decided to use a neonatal birth weight below 2 standard deviations (SD) for gestational age. Congenital ToRCH infections are one of many signs, symptoms and diseases that have been associated with SGA⁴⁰. But since congenital infections are a diagnostic consideration in SGA neonates, some authors have suggested that ToRCH screening should be part of the routine diagnostic work-up in SGA neonates, but this suggestion is based on limited data⁴¹⁻⁴⁴. The objective of the study in chapter 3 was therefore to evaluate the co-occurrence of congenital ToRCH infections in a larger series of neonates with SGA and describe the yield of ToRCH screening in SGA neonates.

ToRCH screening

As is summarized in Table 1.1, not all pathogens of the ToRCH acronym cause the same symptoms, but 'ToRCH screening' has been increasingly used during the last decades and questions have been raised concerning the indications and cost-effectiveness of ToRCH testing^{40 45 46}. We aimed to answer whether ToRCH screening as a 'package' screening tool for a wide scale of symptoms is really necessary and what is the yield of this screening?

Table 1.1: Signs and symptoms of pathogens of the ToRCH acronym

	Neurologic	Cardiac	Abdominal	Sensory organs	Other organs
Toxoplasmosis <i>Classic triad: chorioretinitis, hydrocephalus and intracranial calcifications.</i>	Hydrocephalus (ventriculomegaly), intracranial calcifications, LSV*, subependymal (pseudo-)cysts, encephalitis		Hepatosplenomegaly	Chorioretinitis, retinal scarring	Erythroblastosis, hydrops foetalis, lymphadenopathy
Rubella virus <i>Classic triad: cataract, heart defects and sensorineural hearing loss.</i>	Subependymal (pseudo-)cysts, microcephaly	Congenital heart defects [#]		Congenital cataract, sensorineural hearing loss	Small for gestational age
Cytomegalovirus	Hydrocephalus (ventriculomegaly) calcifications, LSV*, microcephaly		Extramedullary haematopoiesis (blueberry muffin spots), liver involvement	Sensorineural hearing loss, ocular damage	Small for gestational age
Herpes simplex virus	Subependymal (pseudo-)cysts, meningoencephalitis	Sepsis		Localised infection; skin, eye and mouth infection.	Disseminated HSV infection; multiple organ failure.

* LSV; lenticulostriate vasculopathy.

[#] Congenital heart defects most commonly described are pulmonary artery stenosis and patent ductus arteriosus ²³.

In chapter 4 the indications and interpretations of ToRCH testing are reviewed in further detail in light of the signs and symptoms of each.

ENTEROVIRUS AND HUMAN PARECHOVIRUS

Viral classification and epidemiology

Enterovirus (EV) and human parechovirus (HPeV) are both genera of the viral family Picornaviridae. This is a viral family that contains small, 'pico', RNA-viruses ⁴⁷. More than 80 different EV serotypes can cause infections in humans, among them are coxsackievirus A (classified amongst EV type A), coxsackievirus B (classified amongst EV type B), rhinoviruses (classified as type A, B and C) and polioviruses (classified amongst EV type C) ⁴⁸. Echoviruses 22 and 23 initially belonged to the Enterovirus genus ⁴⁹, but were later reclassified into

the parechoviruses (PeV) and re-named HPeV-1 and HPeV-2 respectively ^{50 51}. HPeV's are currently classified as parechovirus A species. Currently, 19 different types (HPeV-1 – HPeV-19) of HPeV are known ⁵².

Studies regarding epidemiology of EV and HPeV have shown that both viruses are more prevalent during summer and early fall (May to September). EV shows little annual variation except from a small 4–5 yearly peak in incidence ⁴⁸. However, the prevalence of HPeV infection shows a biannual cycle ^{53 54}. Remarkably, in Europe HPeV is absent during odd years, whereas in the United States, HPeV is absent during even years ^{54 55}.

Clinical manifestations of febrile children with EV and HPeV infections

In the Netherlands, the majority of febrile children has a viral infection. Bacteria are the causing agents of febrile illness in children under one year of age only in about 8% of cases ⁵⁶. But in febrile children with fever of unknown origin or sepsis-like symptoms, the distinction between a bacterial or viral pathogen remains difficult as the range of signs and symptoms is similar in both ⁵. Therefore, a sepsis work-up is often performed, including determining blood parameters, blood culture, urine sediment analysis, and, if required by the attending paediatrician, a lumbar puncture. Furthermore, the majority of these children is admitted to the hospital for treatment with broad-spectrum antibiotics, although many of them have a viral infection. Viral infections often have mild symptoms, but some can cause serious disease. Approximately half of all infants younger than 90 days of age that are hospitalized with sepsis-like illness have an infection with EV and HPeV ⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹.

EV and HPeV show overlap in symptoms and are often discussed together ^{53 54}. EV infection may cause minor disease, such as rhinitis and gastro-intestinal symptoms, but numerous EV-types, especially of the enterovirus B species, have been associated with febrile-illness and aseptic meningitis in infants ^{60 61}. Moreover, EV's are the most common cause of viral myocarditis in young infants, which presents itself with hemodynamic instability and respiratory failure that can warrant intensive care admission. In 30% of cases this is lethal, and in 60% of survivors a cardiomyopathy develops ^{62 63}.

HPeV infection can also cause serious infection. It has been associated with neonatal sepsis and CNS (central nervous system) involvement like paralysis, encephalitis, and meningitis ⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶. HPeV-1, at the time of this publication ⁶⁷ known as echovirus 22, has been reported as a fatal cause of myocarditis. HPeV-3 is related to sepsis, meningitis, and encephalitis in young infants ⁶⁸⁻⁷¹. HPeV-4 is associated with fever ⁷². HPeV-6 has been isolated in a child suffering from Reye's syndrome ⁷³. HPeV-8 was isolated from faecal samples in Brazil during an outbreak of enteritis ^{74 75}.

Previous studies that describe epidemiology and clinical signs and symptoms of EV and HPeV have been conducted from a laboratory-based perspective or are limited by small sample size⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹. We hypothesized that a larger proportion of infants with sepsis-like illness is caused by EV or HPeV infections than has been previously described. To investigate this hypothesis and to describe epidemiologic patterns and clinical characteristics of EV and HPeV infections, we conducted a prospective study that is described in chapter 5 of this thesis.

Neurologic sequelae after intensive care treatment for EV or HPeV infection

Both EV and HPeV have been identified as neurotropic viruses. Neurologic complications and long term neurodevelopmental effects after EV-71 infection have been reported, but in Europe and the United States of America EV infections are mostly caused by other genotypes than EV-71, that cause different symptoms⁷⁶⁻⁷⁹. Several studies, performed in paediatric or neonatal intensive care units (PICU/NICU), describe cerebral sequelae, i.e. white matter abnormalities in infants with severe EV and HPeV infection associated with long-term impairment, such as neurodevelopmental delay, cerebral palsy and epilepsy⁶⁵⁻⁸⁰.

However, most young infants diagnosed with EV or HPeV induced sepsis-like illness do not need intensive care treatment. In this less severely affected population no recent studies about neurodevelopmental follow-up and occurrence of neurologic sequelae exist. In chapter 6 we investigate whether infants who do not need intensive care treatment show neurologic sequelae and describe cerebral imaging and neurodevelopment at three time points in infants who had EV or HPeV induced sepsis-like illness during their first 90 days after birth.

Cardiac sequelae after intensive care treatment

Myocarditis is a rare and severe condition that, in young infants, is often caused by a viral infection. Various types of EV have been associated with myocarditis, amongst them coxsackievirus type B has been described most frequently⁶²⁻⁶³. In a series of 35 cases, 31% of the infants died and 66% of the survivors developed severe dilated cardiomyopathy⁶². HPeV has also been associated with myocarditis, be it less frequently than EV⁶⁷. However, myocardial involvement in young infants with a viral infection will only be diagnosed in case of severe symptoms of heart failure and need of intensive care treatment⁸¹. It is unknown whether signs of myocardial involvement occur in infants who are less ill because early diagnosis of acute myocarditis is challenging in infants without overt clinical symptoms of heart failure⁸².

It can be hypothesized that if EV and HPeV, being such a common cause of illness in young infants, cause myocardial involvement, early detection by screening for EV or HPeV sepsis-like illness can detect myocardial involvement at an early stage in order to enable early treatment and potentially improve prognosis.

In chapter 7 of this thesis, we measured cardiac markers and performed repeated echocardiography and electrocardiogram exams to investigate whether EV or HPeV infection causes cardiac involvement in young infants with sepsis-like illness who do not need intensive care.

OUTLINE OF THIS THESIS

Part A: ToRCH

- Chapter 2; evaluation of the indication for ToRCH screening in infants with LSV on cerebral ultrasound.
- Chapter 3; evaluation of the indication for ToRCH screening in small for gestational age infants.
- Chapter 4; an overview of the pathogenesis, epidemiology and clinical consequences of congenital ToRCH infections and discusses the evidence for the indications and interpretation of ToRCH screening.

Part B: Enterovirus en human parechovirus

- Chapter 5; a large prospective cohort study that reports on the epidemiology and clinical aspects of EV and HPeV induced sepsis-like illness in young infants.
- Chapter 6; will investigate on neurologic sequelae after EV or HPeV induced sepsis-like illness in a non-intensive care population.
- Chapter 7; signs and symptoms of myocardial involvement after EV/HPeV sepsis are described.

REFERENCES

1. Bleeker SE, Moons KG, Derksen-Lubsen G, et al. Predicting serious bacterial infection in young children with fever without apparent source. *Acta Paediatr* 2001;90(11):1226-32. [published Online First: 2002/01/26]
2. Baraff LJ, Lee SI. Fever without source: management of children 3 to 36 months of age. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1992;11(2):146-51.
3. McGowan JE, Jr., Bratton L, Klein JO, et al. Bacteremia in febrile children seen in a "walk-in" pediatric clinic. *N Engl J Med* 1973;288(25):1309-12. doi: 10.1056/nejm197306212882501
4. statline. Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek. <http://statline.cbs.nl/Statweb/publication/?DM=SLNL&PA=71540ned&D1=0&D2=0&D3=1&D4=0&D5=0-8,22,25,28,35,41,44-45,57-87,91,102,113,122,125-126,131,143&D6=I&D7=I&HDR=T,G5,G1,G6,G3&STB=G4,G2&VW=T> [accessed 07-04-2017 2017].
5. Bleeker SE, Derksen-Lubsen G, Grobbee DE, et al. Validating and updating a prediction rule for serious bacterial infection in patients with fever without source. *Acta Paediatrica* 2007;96(1):100-04. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2006.00033.x
6. Nahmias AJ, Walls KW, Stewart JA, et al. The ToRCH complex-perinatal infections associated with toxoplasma and rubella, cytomegalo- and herpes simplex viruses. . *Pediatr Res* 1971;5:405-06.
7. Dunn D, Wallon M, Peyron F, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of toxoplasmosis: risk estimates for clinical counselling. *Lancet* 1999;353(9167):1829-33. doi: S0140-6736(98)08220-8 [pii] 10.1016/S0140-6736(98)08220-8 [published Online First: 1999/06/08]
8. Villena I, Ancelle T, Delmas C, et al. Congenital toxoplasmosis in France in 2007: first results from a national surveillance system. *Euro Surveill* 2010;15(25) doi: 19600 [pii] [published Online First: 2010/07/01]
9. Remington JS, Klein JO, Wilson CB, et al. *Infectious Diseases of the Fetus and Newborn*. 7th edition ed: Elsevier Saunders 2007.
10. Foulon W, Villena I, Stray-Pedersen B, et al. Treatment of toxoplasmosis during pregnancy: a multicenter study of impact on fetal transmission and children's sequelae at age 1 year. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1999;180(2 Pt 1):410-5. doi: S0002937899001325 [pii] [published Online First: 1999/02/13]
11. Hoekstra F, Buzing C, Sporken JM, et al. [Congenital toxoplasmosis: severe ocular and neurological complications]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2011;155(18):A2853. [published Online First: 2011/05/12]
12. Tan HK, Schmidt D, Stanford M, et al. Risk of visual impairment in children with congenital toxoplasmic retinochoroiditis. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2007;144(5):648-53. doi: S0002-9394(07)00635-6 [pii] 10.1016/j.ajo.2007.07.013 [published Online First: 2007/09/15]
13. Miller E, Cradock-Watson JE, Pollock TM. Consequences of confirmed maternal rubella at successive stages of pregnancy. *Lancet* 1982;2(8302):781-4. [published Online First: 1982/10/09]
14. Gregg NM. Congenital cataract following German measles in the mother. *Trans Ophthalmol Soc Aust* 1941;3:35.
15. Best JM. Rubella. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2007;12(3):182-92. doi: S1744-165X(07)00018-2 [pii] 10.1016/j.siny.2007.01.017 [published Online First: 2007/03/06]
16. Tian C, Ali SA, Weitkamp J. Congenital Infections, Part 1: Cytomegalovirus, Toxoplasma, Rubella and Herpes Simplex. *NeoReviews* 2010;11(8):e436-e46.
17. Boppana SB, Rivera LB, Fowler KB, et al. Intrauterine transmission of cytomegalovirus to infants of women with preconceptional immunity. *N Engl J Med* 2001;344(18):1366-71. doi: 10.1056/nejm200105033441804 [published Online First: 2001/05/03]

18. de Vries LS, Verboon-Macielek MA, Cowan FM, et al. The role of cranial ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging in the diagnosis of infections of the central nervous system. *Early Hum Dev* 2006;82(12):819-25. doi: S0378-3782(06)00229-5 [pii] 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2006.09.006 [published Online First: 2006/10/25]
19. Malm G, Engman ML. Congenital cytomegalovirus infections. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2007;12(3):154-9. doi: S1744-165X(07)00013-3 [pii] 10.1016/j.siny.2007.01.012 [published Online First: 2007/03/06]
20. Dollard SC, Grosse SD, Ross DS. New estimates of the prevalence of neurological and sensory sequelae and mortality associated with congenital cytomegalovirus infection. *Rev Med Virol* 2007;17(5):355-63. doi: 10.1002/rmv.544 [published Online First: 2007/06/02]
21. Korver AM, de Vries JJ, Konings S, et al. DECIBEL study: Congenital cytomegalovirus infection in young children with permanent bilateral hearing impairment in the Netherlands. *J Clin Virol* 2009;46 Suppl 4:S27-31. doi: S1386-6532(09)00423-5 [pii] 10.1016/j.jcv.2009.09.007 [published Online First: 2009/10/20]
22. Prober CG, Sullender WM, Yasukawa LL, et al. Low risk of herpes simplex virus infections in neonates exposed to the virus at the time of vaginal delivery to mothers with recurrent genital herpes simplex virus infections. *N Engl J Med* 1987;316(5):240-4. doi: 10.1056/nejm198701293160503 [published Online First: 1987/01/29]
23. Oster ME, Riehle-Colarusso T, Correa A. An update on cardiovascular malformations in congenital rubella syndrome. *Birth defects research Part A, Clinical and molecular teratology* 2010;88(1):1-8. doi: 10.1002/bdra.20621 [published Online First: 2009/08/22]
24. Wang HS, Kuo MF, Chang TC. Sonographic lenticulostriate vasculopathy in infants: some associations and a hypothesis. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1995;16(1):97-102.
25. Coley BD, Rusin JA, Boue DR. Importance of hypoxic/ischemic conditions in the development of cerebral lenticulostriate vasculopathy. *Pediatr Radiol* 2000;30(12):846-55. doi: 10.1007/s002470000322
26. Makhoul IR, Eisenstein I, Sujov P, et al. Neonatal lenticulostriate vasculopathy: further characterisation. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2003;88(5):F410-4.
27. Weber K, Riebel T, Nasir R. Hyperechoic lesions in the basal ganglia: an incidental sonographic finding in neonates and infants. *Pediatr Radiol* 1992;22(3):182-6.
28. Te Pas AB, van Wezel-Meijler G, Bokenkamp-Gramann R, et al. Preoperative cranial ultrasound findings in infants with major congenital heart disease. *Acta Paediatr* 2005;94(11):1597-603. doi: 10.1080/08035250510041150
29. El Ayoubi M, de Bethmann O, Monset-Couchard M. Lenticulostriate echogenic vessels: clinical and sonographic study of 70 neonatal cases. *Pediatr Radiol* 2003;33(10):697-703. doi: 10.1007/s00247-003-0948-z [published Online First: 2003/08/09]
30. Grant EG, Williams AL, Schellinger D, et al. Intracranial calcification in the infant and neonate: evaluation by sonography and CT. *Radiology* 1985;157(1):63-8. doi: 10.1148/radiology.157.1.2994172
31. Teele RL, Hernanz-Schulman M, Sotrel A. Echogenic vasculature in the basal ganglia of neonates: a sonographic sign of vasculopathy. *Radiology* 1988;169(2):423-7. doi: 10.1148/radiology.169.2.2845473
32. Hughes P, Weinberger E, Shaw DW. Linear areas of echogenicity in the thalami and basal ganglia of neonates: an expanded association. Work in progress. *Radiology* 1991;179(1):103-5. doi: 10.1148/radiology.179.1.1848713
33. Shefer-Kaufman N, Mimouni FB, Stavorovsky Z, et al. Incidence and clinical significance of echogenic vasculature in the basal ganglia of newborns. *Am J Perinatol* 1999;16(6):315-9. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-993878 [published Online First: 1999/12/10]
34. Cabanas F, Pellicer A, Morales C, et al. New pattern of hyperechogenicity in thalamus and basal ganglia studied by color Doppler flow imaging. *Pediatr Neurol* 1994;10(2):109-16.

35. Chamnanvanakij S, Rogers CG, Luppino C, et al. Linear hyperechogenicity within the basal ganglia and thalamus of preterm infants. *Pediatr Neurol* 2000;23(2):129-33. doi: S0887-8994(00)00146-6 [pii] [published Online First: 2000/10/06]
36. Resnik R. Intrauterine growth restriction. *Obstet Gynecol* 2002;99(3):490-6.
37. Ananth CV, Vintzileos AM. Distinguishing pathological from constitutional small for gestational age births in population-based studies. *Early Hum Dev* 2009;85(10):653-8. doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2009.09.004
38. Zeve D, Regelman MO, Holzman IR, et al. Small at Birth, but How Small? The Definition of SGA Revisited. *Horm Res Paediatr* 2016;86(5):357-60. doi: 10.1159/000449275
39. Clayton PE, Cianfarani S, Czernichow P, et al. Management of the child born small for gestational age through to adulthood: a consensus statement of the International Societies of Pediatric Endocrinology and the Growth Hormone Research Society. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2007;92(3):804-10. doi: 10.1210/jc.2006-2017
40. Khan NA, Kazzi SN. Yield and costs of screening growth-retarded infants for torch infections. *Am J Perinatol* 2000;17(3):131-5. doi: 10.1055/s-2000-9288 [published Online First: 2000/09/30]
41. Vandenbosche RC, Kirchner JT. Intrauterine growth retardation. *Am Fam Physician* 1998;58(6):1384-90, 93-4. [published Online First: 1998/11/06]
42. Lepercq J, Mahieu-Caputo D. Diagnosis and management of intrauterine growth retardation. *Horm Res* 1998;49 Suppl 2:14-9.
43. Sheridan C. Intrauterine growth restriction--diagnosis and management. *Aust Fam Physician* 2005;34(9):717-23.
44. Primhak RA, Simpson RM. Screening small for gestational age babies for congenital infection. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 1982;21(7):417-20. [published Online First: 1982/07/01]
45. van der Weiden S, Steggerda SJ, Te Pas AB, et al. Routine TORCH screening is not warranted in neonates with subependymal cysts. *Early Human Development* 2010;86(4):203-07. doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2010.02.009
46. Abdel-Fattah SA, Bhat A, Illanes S, et al. TORCH test for fetal medicine indications: only CMV is necessary in the United Kingdom. *Prenatal Diagnosis* 2005;25(11):1028-31. doi: 10.1002/pd.1242
47. Melnick JL. The discovery of the enteroviruses and the classification of poliovirus among them. *Biologicals* 1993;21(4):305-9. doi: S1045-1056(83)71088-2 [pii] 10.1006/biol.1993.1088 [published Online First: 1993/12/01]
48. Baek K, Yeo S, Lee B, et al. Epidemics of enterovirus infection in Chungnam Korea, 2008 and 2009. *Virology* 2011;8:297. doi: 1743-422X-8-297 [pii] 10.1186/1743-422X-8-297 [published Online First: 2011/06/15]
49. Wigand R, Sabin AB. Properties of ECHO types 22, 23 and 24 viruses. *Arch Gesamte Virusforsch* 1961;11:224-47. [published Online First: 1961/01/01]
50. Stanway G, Hyypia T. Parechoviruses. *J Virol* 1999;73(7):5249-54. [published Online First: 1999/06/11]
51. Stanway G, Kalkkinen N, Roivainen M, et al. Molecular and biological characteristics of echovirus 22, a representative of a new picornavirus group. *J Virol* 1994;68(12):8232-8. [published Online First: 1994/12/01]
52. http://www.picornaviridae.com/parechovirus/parechovirus_a/parechovirus_a.htm [Available from: http://www.picornaviridae.com/parechovirus/parechovirus_a/parechovirus_a.htm accessed 6th May 2018.
53. Verboon-Macielek MA, Krediet TG, Gerards LJ, et al. Severe neonatal parechovirus infection and similarity with enterovirus infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2008;27(3):241-5. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e31815c1b07 [published Online First: 2008/02/19]

54. Harvala H, McLeish N, Kondracka J, et al. Comparison of human parechovirus and enterovirus detection frequencies in cerebrospinal fluid samples collected over a 5-year period in edinburgh: HPeV type 3 identified as the most common picornavirus type. *J Med Virol* 2011;83(5):889-96. doi: 10.1002/jmv.22023 [published Online First: 2011/03/18]
55. Selvarangan R, Nzabi M, Selvaraju SB, et al. Human parechovirus 3 causing sepsis-like illness in children from midwestern United States. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2011;30(3):238-42. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e3181fbefc8 [published Online First: 2010/10/16]
56. Byington CL, Enriquez FR, Hoff C, et al. Serious Bacterial Infections in Febrile Infants 1 to 90 Days Old With and Without Viral Infections. *Pediatrics* 2004;113(6):1662-66. doi: 10.1542/peds.113.6.1662
57. Rotbart HA, McCracken GH, Jr., Whitley RJ, et al. Clinical significance of enteroviruses in serious summer febrile illnesses of children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1999;18(10):869-74. [published Online First: 1999/10/26]
58. Verboon-Macielek MA, Krediet TG, Gerards LJ, et al. Clinical and Epidemiologic Characteristics of Viral Infections in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit During a 12-Year Period. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2005;24(10):901-04. doi: 10.1097/01.inf.0000180471.03702.7f
59. Benschop KS, Schinkel J, Minnaar RP, et al. Human parechovirus infections in Dutch children and the association between serotype and disease severity. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;42(2):204-10. doi: CID37374 [pii] 10.1086/498905 [published Online First: 2005/12/16]
60. Miller DG, Gabrielson MO, Bart KJ, et al. An epidemic of aseptic meningitis, primarily among infants, caused by echovirus 11-prime. *Pediatrics* 1968;41(1):77-90.
61. Lee BE, Davies HD. Aseptic meningitis. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2007;20(3):272-77. doi: 10.1097/QCO.0b013e3280ad4672
62. Freund MW, Kleinveld G, Krediet TG, et al. Prognosis for neonates with enterovirus myocarditis. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2010;95(3):F206-12. doi: 95/3/F206 [pii] 10.1136/adc.2009.165183 [published Online First: 2010/05/07]
63. Goldberg MF, McAdams AJ. Myocarditis possibly due to Coxsackie Group A, type 16, virus. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 1963;62(5):762-65. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3476(63)80047-5
64. Walters B, Penaranda S, Nix WA, et al. Detection of human parechovirus (HPeV)-3 in spinal fluid specimens from pediatric patients in the Chicago area. *J Clin Virol* 2011;52(3):187-91. doi: S1386-6532(11)00283-6 [pii] 10.1016/j.jcv.2011.07.008 [published Online First: 2011/08/05]
65. Verboon-Macielek MA, Groenendaal F, Hahn CD, et al. Human parechovirus causes encephalitis with white matter injury in neonates. *Annals of Neurology* 2008;64(3):266-73. doi: 10.1002/ana.21445
66. Verboon-Macielek MA, Krediet TG, Gerards LJ, et al. Severe Neonatal Parechovirus Infection and Similarity With Enterovirus Infection. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2008;27(3):241-45. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e31815c1b07
67. Maller HM, Powars DF, Horowitz RE, et al. Fatal myocarditis associated with ECHO virus, type 22, infection in a child with apparent immunological deficiency. *J Pediatr* 1967;71(2):204-10. [published Online First: 1967/08/01]
68. Ito M, Yamashita T, Tsuzuki H, et al. Isolation and identification of a novel human parechovirus. *J Gen Virol* 2004;85(Pt 2):391-8. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.19456-0
69. Boivin G, Abed Y, Boucher FD. Human parechovirus 3 and neonatal infections. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005;11(1):103-5. [published Online First: 2005/02/12]
70. Harvala H, Robertson I, Chieochansin T, et al. Specific association of human parechovirus type 3 with sepsis and fever in young infants, as identified by direct typing of cerebrospinal fluid samples. *J Infect Dis* 2009;199(12):1753-60. doi: 10.1086/599094 [published Online First: 2009/05/22]
71. Selvarangan R, Nzabi M, Selvaraju SB, et al. Human Parechovirus 3 Causing Sepsis-like Illness in Children From Midwestern United States. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2011;30(3):238-42. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e3181fbefc8

72. Benschop KS, Schinkel J, Luken ME, et al. Fourth human parechovirus serotype. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2006;12(10):1572-5. [published Online First: 2006/12/21]
73. Watanabe K, Oie M, Higuchi M, et al. Isolation and characterization of novel human parechovirus from clinical samples. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2007;13(6):889-95. [published Online First: 2007/06/08]
74. Li L, Victoria J, Kapoor A, et al. Genomic characterization of novel human parechovirus type. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2009;15(2):288-91. [published Online First: 2009/02/06]
75. Drexler JF, Grywna K, Stocker A, et al. Novel human parechovirus from Brazil. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2009;15(2):310-3. [published Online First: 2009/02/06]
76. Chang LY, Lin TY, Huang YC, et al. Comparison of enterovirus 71 and coxsackie-virus A16 clinical illnesses during the Taiwan enterovirus epidemic, 1998. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1999;18(12):1092-6.
77. Huang CC, Liu CC, Chang YC, et al. Neurologic complications in children with enterovirus 71 infection. *N Engl J Med* 1999;341(13):936-42. doi: 10.1056/nejm199909233411302 [published Online First: 1999/09/25]
78. Chang LY, Huang LM, Gau SS, et al. Neurodevelopment and cognition in children after enterovirus 71 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356(12):1226-34. doi: 356/12/1226 [pii] 10.1056/NEJMoa065954 [published Online First: 2007/03/23]
79. Li J, Chen F, Liu T, et al. MRI findings of neurological complications in hand-foot-mouth disease by enterovirus 71 infection. *The International Journal of Neuroscience* 2012;122(7):338-44. doi: 10.3109/00207454.2012.657379 [published Online First: 2012/01/18]
80. Verboon-Macielek MA. White matter damage in neonatal enterovirus meningoencephalitis. *Neurology* 2006;66(8):1267-69. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000208429.69676.23
81. Simpson KE, Canter CE. Acute myocarditis in children. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther* 2011;9(6):771-83. doi: 10.1586/erc.11.70
82. Freedman SB, Haladyn JK, Floh A, et al. Pediatric myocarditis: emergency department clinical findings and diagnostic evaluation. *Pediatrics* 2007;120(6):1278-85. doi: 10.1542/peds.2007-1073