



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Cleared for take-off: Game-based learning to prepare airline pilots for critical situations

Kuindersma, E.C.

Citation

Kuindersma, E. C. (2019, October 24). *Cleared for take-off: Game-based learning to prepare airline pilots for critical situations*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/77168>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/77168>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The following handle holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation:
<http://hdl.handle.net/1887/77168>

Author: Kuindersma, E.C.

Title: Cleared for take-off: Game-based learning to prepare airline pilots for critical situations

Issue Date: 2019-10-24

Cleared for take-off

**Game-based learning to prepare
airline pilots for critical situations**

Cleared for take-off

**Game-based learning to prepare
airline pilots for critical situations**

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C. J. J. M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 24 oktober 2019
klokke 15.00 uur

door

Esther Christine Kuindersma
geboren te Holten
in 1977

Samenstelling van de promotiecommissie:

Promotores:

Prof. dr. H. J. van den Herik

Prof. dr. A. Plaat

Copromotor:

Dr. J. van der Pal

Netherlands Aerospace Centre (NLR)

Promotiecommissie:

Prof. dr. M. E. H. van Reisen

Prof. dr. ir. F. J. Verbeek

Prof. dr. J. J. G. van Merriënboer Maastricht University

Prof. dr. E. O. Postma Tilburg University

Dr. G. P. H. Band

Dr. F. Bellotti University of Genova



The research reported in this thesis has been funded by and performed at the Netherlands Aerospace Centre (NLR), Amsterdam, the Netherlands.



The research reported in this thesis has been performed at Leiden Centre of Data Science (LCDS), hosted by Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science (LIACS) at the Faculty of Science, Leiden University, the Netherlands.



SIKS Dissertation Series No. 2019-28

The research reported in this thesis has been carried out under the auspices of SIKS, the Dutch Research School for Information and Knowledge Systems.

© 2019 Esther Kuindersma

Cover design: Esther Kuindersma, Sjoerd Huijg

Printed by: Gildeprint, Enschede

ISBN 978-94-6323-546-4

An electronic version of this thesis is available at <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/77168>

Voor mijn jongens

Preface

The book that you are holding right now marks an era. An era of my life that I had not envisioned until September 2014. That was when I came across a vacancy, posted on Twitter. It read "serious gaming and didactics", and it was at the Netherlands Aerospace Centre (NLR). When I read it, a fire inside me ignited. Working on training methods for airline pilots. This was what I wanted to do!

It took a while before I realised the vacancy was for a PhD position. I had never considered doing a PhD or even doing research. I hesitated: I was not sure that I could do this, and it would involve a pretty steep cutback in my paycheck.

At that time, there was a song on the radio that I liked very much. It was "Geronimo" by the Australian band "Sheppard". The title of the song is a reference to the famous Apache military leader Geronimo, and the custom of yelling his name before doing a courageous act. I took it as an inspiration and decided to take the leap.

Time flies by, and now it is 2019. Finishing my thesis took a bit longer than I hoped for, but I have made it. You are holding my book in your hands. It has been fun. It has been tough. It has been an adventure.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to everyone who contributed to the completion of this work. I received the support and help of many people. Too many to thank everyone by name.

I would like to start by expressing my gratitude to my supervisors Jaap van den Herik and Aske Plaat from the Leiden Centre of Data Science (LCDS) at Leiden University, and my co-supervisor Jelke van der Pal at the Netherlands Aerospace Centre (NLR). I am grateful to all three of them for their guidance and support, but I owe Jaap a special thank you for his unfailing drive and enthusiasm to critique my writings in order to make me a real scientist. Thank you for working through all my puzzles.

Next, I wish to thank the participants in all my experiments and every teacher and flight instructor that helped me find my participants. Without them, I would not have had anything to write about.

I thank NLR for giving me the opportunity to do this research, and I thank my colleagues at NLR for all they did for me. For helping me create the games for my research, contributing to my experiments and my data analysis, giving me feedback on my ideas and my writings, and for the great talks at the coffee machine and the fun during extra-curricular activities.

Thank you to my family and family-in-law for their continued interest in the progress of my research. Thank you to my friends for their encouragement and their patience when I needed to blow off some steam.

A special thank you to my paranymphs, Armon and Alistair.

Armon, it was good to have you as a companion during my time at NLR. I enjoyed our discussions during our carpool rides about your research and mine, and the many days that we spent sequestered in our "cave".

Alistair, I am happy to have met you as one of my new colleagues at the HvA. Our coffee conversations have saved me from quite a few panic attacks during the final stages of my PhD.

Finally, thank you and lots of love to Sjoerd, who supported my decision to quit a good job and dive into this scientific adventure, and to Jasper and Thomas, who accepted many times that I did not have much time for them, because I had to write my book. Thank you for all your love and patience. My book is done now. Let's play.

*Esther Kuindersma
Almere, 2019*

Contents

Preface	vii
Contents	ix
List of Abbreviations	xv
List of Definitions	xvii
List of Figures	xix
List of Tables	xxi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Exploring the experience deficit	3
1.1.1 The lack of experience	3
1.1.2 Providing experience through training	4
1.2 Two perspectives on game-based learning	5
1.2.1 The suitability of games to train competencies	6
1.2.2 The acceptance of game-based learning by airline pilots	6
1.3 Problem statement and three research questions	6
1.4 Research methodology	7
1.5 Thesis structure	8
1.6 Contributions	9
1.7 Working environment for the research	9
2 Background	11
2.1 Airline pilots	12
2.1.1 A short history of the airline pilot profession	12
2.1.2 Airline pilots of the future	12
2.2 Airline pilot training	13
2.2.1 Before standardised airline pilot training	13
2.2.2 Standardised licensing and training	14
2.2.3 The traditional approach: Airline Transport Pilot Licence	14
2.2.4 A modern approach: Multicrew Pilot Licence	16

2.2.5	Innovations in training delivery	19
2.3	Critical situations	21
2.3.1	Normal and non-normal situations	21
2.3.2	Defining critical situations	21
2.4	Competencies	22
2.4.1	Defining competencies and competency development	22
2.4.2	Training design for competency development	23
2.4.3	Competency-based training	25
2.5	Game-based learning	26
2.5.1	Defining game-based learning and serious games	27
2.5.2	Serious games research	29
2.5.3	The voluntariness of gaming	32
2.5.4	Game-based learning for aviation	33
2.6	Chapter conclusion	34
3	Towards a design model	37
3.1	Three requirements for competency development	38
3.2	Translating 4C/ID into game characteristics	39
3.3	Authentic learning tasks	42
3.4	Identifying the elements of serious games	44
3.4.1	Eleven elements for gameplay	45
3.4.2	Ten elements for learning	45
3.5	SG4CD model: Serious Games for Competency Development	49
3.6	Chapter conclusion	50
3.6.1	Answering research question 1 (RQ 1)	50
3.6.2	Outlook	51
4	The CloudAtlas game: Voluntary play in serious games	53
4.1	Measuring the effect of voluntariness	54
4.1.1	The outcomes of serious games	54
4.1.2	Expectations	56
4.1.3	General set-up of the experiments	57
4.1.4	Comparing the experiments	59
4.2	Experiment 1: Informal setting	61
4.2.1	Design	61
4.2.2	Participants	62
4.2.3	Procedure	62
4.2.4	Materials	63
4.2.5	Results	67
4.2.6	Discussion	71
4.2.7	Section conclusion	72
4.3	Experiment 2: Formal setting	73
4.3.1	Design	73
4.3.2	Participants	74
4.3.3	Procedure	76

4.3.4	Adaptations to the materials	76
4.3.5	Results	78
4.3.6	Discussion	82
4.3.7	Section conclusion	84
4.4	Experiment 3: Formal setting with mandatory participation	84
4.4.1	Design	85
4.4.2	Participants	86
4.4.3	Procedure	87
4.4.4	Adaptations to the materials	88
4.4.5	Results	89
4.4.6	Discussion	94
4.4.7	Section conclusion	97
4.5	Overall discussion of the experiments	97
4.6	Limitations	98
4.6.1	Number of participants	98
4.6.2	Mandatory participation	98
4.7	Chapter conclusion	98
4.7.1	Answering research question 2 (RQ 2)	98
4.7.2	The implications of the outcomes	99
4.7.3	Future research	100
5	Creating Shuttle to Mars: a game to provide experience	101
5.1	Competencies for critical situations	102
5.1.1	Airline pilot competencies	102
5.1.2	A job analysis to identify the essential competencies	102
5.2	The Shuttle to Mars game	106
5.2.1	Beta versions	106
5.2.2	Game description	106
5.3	Game design based on the SG4CD model	109
5.3.1	Designing the elements of Shuttle to Mars	110
5.3.2	Meaningful events	113
5.4	Playtesting Shuttle to Mars	114
5.4.1	The purpose of playtesting	114
5.4.2	Shuttle to Mars playtest	114
5.4.3	Results	118
5.4.4	Playtest conclusion	118
5.5	Chapter conclusion	118
5.5.1	Outcomes of the playtest	119
5.5.2	Improvements to the game	119
6	Measuring the Shuttle to Mars experience	121
6.1	Evaluating the Shuttle to Mars game experience	121
6.2	Research set-up	123
6.2.1	Methodology	123
6.2.2	Participants	123

6.2.3	Procedure	124
6.2.4	Materials	125
6.3	Results	128
6.3.1	Start session results	128
6.3.2	Block 1 results	129
6.3.3	Block 2 results	132
6.3.4	Block 3 results	134
6.3.5	Repeating questions	135
6.3.6	Interviews	137
6.4	Discussion	139
6.4.1	Motivation	139
6.4.2	Game experience	140
6.4.3	Games as a training method for competencies	141
6.4.4	Quality of the Shuttle to Mars game	141
6.5	Chapter conclusion	142
6.5.1	Answering research question 3 (RQ 3)	143
6.5.2	Future research	143
7	Conclusions and discussion	145
7.1	Answers to the research questions	145
7.1.1	Answer to research question 1	145
7.1.2	Answer to research question 2	146
7.1.3	Answer to research question 3	147
7.2	Addressing the problem statement	148
7.2.1	The suitability of games to train competencies	148
7.2.2	The acceptance of game-based learning by airline pilots	148
7.2.3	Answer to the problem statement	149
7.2.4	Conclusions	150
7.3	Limitations and outlook	150
7.3.1	The difficulty of game design	150
7.3.2	The number of participants	151
7.3.3	Outlook	151
7.3.4	The future of game-based learning for airline pilots	153
	References	155
	Appendix A Background	167
A.1	Training delivery methods	169
	Appendix B The CloudAtlas game: Voluntary play in serious games	171
B.1	CloudAtlas pre-experiment questionnaire (Q1)	173
B.2	CloudAtlas post-experiment questionnaire (Q2)	176
B.3	CloudAtlas final questionnaires (Q3)	188
B.4	CloudAtlas written materials	193

Appendix C Creating Shuttle to Mars: a game to provide experience	207
C.1 Semi-structured interview scheme for job analysis	209
C.2 ICAO core competencies and behavioural indicators	211
C.3 Shuttle to Mars Playtest protocol (Dutch)	214
C.4 Shuttle to Mars Playtest questionnaire (Dutch)	217
Appendix D Measuring the Shuttle to Mars experience	221
D.1 Questionnaire 1: StM Start	223
D.2 Questionnaire 2: StM Block 1	227
D.3 Questionnaire 3: StM Block 2	230
D.4 Questionnaire 4: StM Block 3	233
D.5 StM semi-structured interview	237
Summary	239
Samenvatting	243
Curriculum Vitae	247
List of publications	249
SIKS Dissertation Series	251

List of Abbreviations

4C/ID	Four Components for Instructional Design (model)
AFPM-A	Aircraft Flight Path Management - Automation (ICAO core competency)
AFPM-M	Aircraft Flight Path Management - Manual (ICAO core competency)
AP	Application of Procedures (ICAO core competency)
AR	Augmented Reality
ATPL	Airline Transport Pilot Licence
BI	Behavioural Indicator
CBE	Competency-Based Education
CBT	Computer-Based Training
CBTA	Competency-Based Training and Assessment
CEGE	Core Elements of Gaming Experience
CEGEQ	Core Elements of Gaming Experience Questionnaire
COM	Communication (ICAO core competency)
CPL	Commercial Pilot Licence
EASA	European Aviation Safety Agency
EBT	Evidence-Based Training
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
GBL	Game-Based Learning
IATA	International Air Transport Association
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organization
IFALPA	International Federation of Airline Pilots Associations
IMI	Intrinsic Motivation Inventory

KNVvL	Royal Netherlands Aeronautical Association
KSA	Knowledge, Skills and Attitudes
L&T	Leadership & Teamwork (ICAO core competency)
MG	Mandatory Gameplay (experiment condition)
MP	Mandatory Participation (experiment condition)
MPL	Multicrew Pilot Licence
MPMG	Mandatory Participation, Mandatory Gameplay (experiment group)
MPVG	Mandatory Participation, Voluntary Gameplay (experiment group)
NG	No Gameplay (experiment condition)
NLR	Netherlands Aerospace Centre
PPL	Private Pilot Licence
PS&DM	Problem Solving & Decision Making (ICAO core competency)
SA	Situation Awareness (ICAO core competency)
SG4CD	Serious Games for Competency Development (model)
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
StM	Shuttle to Mars (game)
UEQ	User Experience Questionnaire
VG	Voluntary Gameplay (experiment condition)
VP	Voluntary Participation (experiment condition)
VPMG	Voluntary Participation, Mandatory Gameplay (experiment group)
VPVG	Voluntary Participation, Voluntary Gameplay (experiment group)
VR	Virtual Reality
WM	Workload Management (ICAO core competency)

List of Definitions

- 1.1 Suitable training method 2
- 1.2 Viable training method 2
- 1.3 Game-based learning 5

- 2.1 Airline pilot 12
- 2.2 Serious games 19
- 2.3 Augmented reality 20
- 2.4 Virtual reality 20
- 2.5 Critical situation 21
- 2.6 Competency 22
- 2.7 Competency development 23
- 2.8 Competency-based training 25
- 2.9 Games 28
- 2.10 Purpose 28
- 2.11 Goal 28
- 2.12 Objective 28
- 2.13 Voluntariness 32

- 3.1 Playable 38
- 3.2 Attractive 38
- 3.3 Transfer 40
- 3.4 Near transfer 41
- 3.5 Far transfer 41
- 3.6 Behavioural indicator 42
- 3.7 Working condition 42
- 3.8 Task characteristic 43

- 4.1 Learning objective 54
- 4.2 Learning outcome 54
- 4.3 Learning effect 54
- 4.4 Effective training method 55
- 4.5 Motivation 55
- 4.6 Enjoyment 55

4.7	Engagement	55
4.8	Utility	60

List of Figures

- 2.1 Four Components for Instructional Design (4C/ID) 25
- 3.1 Six characteristics that support competency development 39
- 3.2 Competency development and (far) transfer through a game 41
- 3.3 Competency and behavioural indicators 42
- 3.4 Working conditions and task characteristics to match game and job 43
- 3.5 Matching meaningful game events with job tasks 44
- 3.6 Game elements - elements that make the game playable and attractive . . . 45
- 3.7 Elements *inside* the game that support learning 45
- 3.8 Elements *outside* the game that provide instruction support 46
- 3.9 The Serious Games for Competency Development model (SG4CD model) . . . 49
- 4.1 Structure and number of participants of Exp. 1 58
- 4.2 Structure and number of participants of Exp. 2 58
- 4.3 Structure and number of participants of Exp. 3 59
- 4.4 Schematic representation of the procedure and corresponding materials . . . 63
- 4.5 CloudAtlas screenshot 66
- 4.6 Screenshot of CloudAtlas test item: application question 67
- 4.7 Means and SE for Time spent playing the game in Exp. 1 69
- 4.8 Means and SE for Enjoyment in Exp. 1 70
- 4.9 Means and SE for Test score in Exp. 2 78
- 4.10 Means and SE for Time spent playing the game in Exp. 2 80
- 4.11 Means and SE for Enjoyment in Exp. 2 80
- 4.12 Means and SE for Motivation in Exp. 2 82
- 4.13 CloudAtlas screenshot: feedback on dangerous action 89
- 4.14 Means and SE for Test score in VP and MP conditions in Exp. 3 91
- 4.15 Means and SE for Time spent playing the game in Exp. 3 92
- 4.16 Means and SE for Enjoyment in Exp. 3 92
- 5.1 Shuttle to Mars screenshot: cockpit 107
- 5.2 Shuttle to Mars screenshot: on-screen instructions in tutorial 108
- 5.3 Shuttle to Mars screenshot: flight path shown as blue bubble trail 109
- 5.4 Shuttle to Mars playtest set-up 117

5.5	Means and SE for playtest questionnaire results	118
6.1	Kirkpatrick's four levels of training evaluation	122
6.2	Procedure of the small-scale qualitative study for Shuttle to Mars (StM) .	124
6.3	Importance of ICAO core competencies: comparing instructors with pilots	130
6.4	Shuttle to Mars' average UEQ scores per subscale with error bars	131
6.5	Shuttle to Mars comparison to UEQ benchmark	132
6.6	After Start session and StM Block 3: Purpose, Control, Graphics	136
6.7	After each StM Block: Players feelings about completing the StM block .	137
6.8	After each StM Block: Motivation, Look forward, Frustrated, Commercial	138

List of Tables

- 1.1 Research methods for answering the research questions 8
- 1.2 Overview of relations between chapters and the PS and RQs 9

- 2.1 ICAO licence requirements for ATPL and MPL 18
- 2.2 ICAO core competencies 18
- 2.3 Advantages and disadvantages of Multicrew Pilot Licence (MPL) 19

- 3.1 Connecting 4C/ID components to competency development characteristics 40
- 3.2 Examples of working conditions and task characteristics 43
- 3.3 Description of serious games elements for gameplay and learning 46

- 4.1 Comparing the experiments 61
- 4.2 Means and SD of results for VG and MG conditions 68
- 4.3 Subsets in Voluntary and Mandatory Gameplay groups 69
- 4.4 Subsets in Voluntary Gameplay group 69
- 4.5 Participation and Gameplay conditions in Exp. 2 74
- 4.6 Distribution of participants for Participation and Gameplay in Exp. 2 75
- 4.7 IMI subscales and items 76
- 4.8 Means and SD of results for Participation and Gameplay conditions in Exp. 2 79
- 4.9 Actual gameplay versus reported willingness to play the game voluntarily . 81
- 4.10 Significance levels of between-subject effects for the IMI subscales 81
- 4.11 Participation and Gameplay conditions in Exp. 3 85
- 4.12 Distribution of participants for Participation and Gameplay in Exp. 3 87
- 4.13 Assignment of registered participants to Gameplay condition in Exp. 3 . . . 87
- 4.14 Means and SD of results for Participation and Gameplay conditions in Exp. 3 90
- 4.15 Levels of Motivation separated for the Participation conditions in Exp. 3 . 93
- 4.16 Significance levels of between-subject effects for the IMI subscales in Exp. 3 94
- 4.17 Means and SD of Engagement for Participation and Gameplay conditions 94
- 4.18 Outcomes significantly correlating with Age 96

- 5.1 Combining handling guidelines with ICAO core competencies 104
- 5.2 Shuttle to Mars events 109
- 5.3 Meaningful events matched with job tasks 115

5.4	Playtest protocol	117
6.1	Categorisation of User Experience Questionnaire (UEQ) scales	126
6.2	Votes for most suitable training method per ICAO core competency	131