

Evolutionary diversification and historical biogeography of orchidaceae in Central America with emphasis on Costa Rica and Panama Bogarin Chaves, D.G.

Citation

Bogarin Chaves, D. G. (2019, July 2). *Evolutionary diversification and historical biogeography of orchidaceae in Central America with emphasis on Costa Rica and Panama*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/74526

Version:Not Applicable (or Unknown)License:Leiden University Non-exclusive licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/74526

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/74526</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Bogarin Chaves, D.G. Title: Evolutionary diversification and historical biogeography of orchidaceae in Central America with emphasis on Costa Rica and Panama Issue Date: 2019-07-02

Chapter 7

Floral anatomy and evolution of pollination syndromes in *Lepanthes* and close relatives

Diego Bogarín, Melania Fernández, Adam P. Karremans, Franco Pupulin, Erik Smets and Barbara Gravendeel

In: Pridgeon, A.M. (Ed.), 22nd Proceedings of the World Orchid Conference. Guayaquil, Ecuador, pp. 396–410. 2018.

Abstract. Pleurothallidinae is the largest Neotropical orchid subtribe encompassing >5100 species that are mainly dipteran pollinated. Various pollination syndromes, targeting hump-backed flies (Phoridae), fungal gnats (Sciaridae) and vinegar flies (Drosophilidae) have been documented in detail for Acianthera, Dracula, Lepanthes, Octomeria, Pleurothallis and Specklinia, all belonging to different clades. Among them, the highly diverse genus Lepanthes, including about 25% of the species of the Pleurothallidinae, is most closely related to Anathallis, Draconanthes, Frondaria, Lankesteriana, Lepanthopsis, Trichosalpinx and Zootrophion. Members of this "Lepanthes clade" display high floral divergence and are likely adapted to different pollinators. However, only two pollination studies have been published for the group; one for Lepanthes, pollinated by Bradvsia (fungal gnats) and another for Trichosalpinx, pollinated by Forcipomyia (biting midges). Floral traits present in Trichosalpinx, such as purple flowers and a mobile, ciliate lip evolved to accommodate pollination by biting midges. These traits are also found in other plant species pollinated by biting midges such as Bulbophyllum, Ceropegia spp. (Asclepiadaceae) and Theobroma cacao (Malvaceae). Because some members of the Lepanthes clade exhibit similar floral traits we hypothesize that pollination by biting midges evolved in these orchids as well. In this study, we discuss the micromorphological and histochemical features of the flowers among some of the members of the Lepanthes clade in order to test the hypothesis on floral convergence in plants pollinated by biting midges and also which other pollination strategies can be inferred from flower anatomy in the sister genera. Based on histochemistry, LM and SEM we found similar floral secretions such as carbohydrates, proteins and lipids in different organs of Anathallis, Lankesteriana and Trichosalpinx supporting the hypothesis of floral parallelism in these genera. Lepanthopsis with a papillose lip and secretory glenion and Zootrophion with closing flowers and vertucose-papillose inner surface of sepals might employ different pollination systems. This study provides additional micromorphological and histochemical data to support future pollination studies of other members of the Lepanthes clade.

7.1 Introduction

With more than 5100 species, Pleurothallidinae (Epidendreae) is the largest Neotropical orchid subtribe (Pridgeon et al., 2005). The species diversity of the group is concentrated in few genera. One of these is Lepanthes Sw., containing over 1200 species (about 25% of the species of Pleurothallidinae). The genus is phylogenetically most closely related to Anathallis Barb.Rod., Draconanthes (Luer) Luer, Frondaria Luer, Lankesteriana Karremans, Lepanthopsis (Cogn.) Ames, Trichosalpinx Luer and Zootrophion Luer, all considered members of the Lepanthes clade (Chase et al., 2015; Karremans, 2016) (Fig. 7.1). These genera are much less diverse than Lepanthes and account for only 1% of the species of the clade (Bogarín et al., 2018c). Studies on the evolution of the Pleurothallidinae showed that the most speciose lineages of the subtribe diversified recently (within the last 15 Ma) and the Lepanthes clade underwent the highest rate of species diversification (Pérez-Escobar et al., 2017a). However, the factors that have shaped this incredible species diversity in the Pleurothallidinae such as the role of plant-pollinator interactions are still largely unknown because the lack of knowledge on pollination strategies. Shifts in pollination strategies or adaptations to new pollinators exert evolutionary forces that enhance rapid speciation in angiosperms (Johnson, 2010; Kay and Schemske, 2008; Smith, 2010). In other plant groups, however, species radiations without changes in pollinator specialization have been documented (Ollerton et al., 2009) and other studies pointed out that certain pollination systems may increase species diversification independently of the pollination shift (Valente et al., 2012). In the Lepanthes clade, nothing is known about the pollination strategies of the members of the clade besides the pollination studies of a few species of Lepanthes and Trichosalpinx, and this hampers the understanding of evolutionary relationships within this group and the associated pollination shifts. Lepanthes flowers, which are mostly characterized by a bilaminate lip with a central appendix, exhibit a highly specialized pollination system involving sexual deception. Flowers are specifically pollinated by male fungus gnats of the genus Bradysia (Diptera, Sciaridae) probably attracted by a pheromone-mimicking strategy (Blanco and Barboza, 2005). On the other hand, the closely related *Trichosalpinx s.s.* (further referred to simply as *Trichosalpinx*), exhibits very different floral traits as compared to Lepanthes and consequently a different pollination mechanism. Trichosalpinx targets exclusively females of genus Forcipomvia (Diptera, Ceratopogonidae). Flowers attract the insects with the motile, ciliate, papillose surface of the lip blade, which secretes proteins and carbohydrates. The presence of females, the absolute absence of males and secretion of protein rewards indicate that *Trichosalpinx* imitates a model aimed at stimulating the protein collection behavior of females for egg production through a complex deceptive system likely related to kleptomyophyly (Bogarín et al., 2018a).

Some members of the clade exhibit similar floral traits, suggesting they may share similar pollination syndromes. For example, purple flowers with motile lips attached to the column foot by a thin ligament, as found in *Trichosalpinx*, are present in both *Anathallis* and *Lankesteriana*. These traits most likely evolved to accommodate pollination by biting midges since these features are also present in *Trichosalpinx* and other angiosperm pollinated by similar strategies such as *Bulbophyllum* Thouars, *Ceropegia* L. spp. (Asclepiadaceae) and *Theobroma cacao* L. (Malvaceae) (Bartareau, 1994; Bogarín et al., 2018a; O'Doherty and Zoll, 2012; Ollerton et al., 2009). However, other genera of the *Lepanthes* clade display floral traits that suggest pollination



Figure 7.1. Phylogeny summary of the *Lepanthes* clade showing the main lineages/taxa, the number of species in each taxa and pollinator information based on our unpublished data using ITS and matK.

through different syndromes. For example, *Lepanthopsis* flowers exhibit reduced petals, a glenion at the base of the lip and a short column with bilobed stigma, much more similar to what is found in many *Pleurothallis* R.Br. and *Stelis* Sw. species rather than any of its closest relatives. In Zootrophion, the flowers are very fleshy, with the sepals fused at the base and apex, and leaving only a window-like aperture at each side of the flower, features which are unique among its close relatives but found as well in a few unrelated genera, including *Acianthera* Scheidw., *Phloeoph-ila* Hoehne & Schltr., *Specklinia* Lindl. and *Stelis*. In *Trichosalpinx* subgenus *Tubella* (=further referred to simply as *Tubella* Archila) flowers are mostly white with an entire lip blade, which contrast with the purple ciliated lips of *Trichosalpinx* (Luer, 1997; Luer, 2006, 2004).

To make inferences on the pollination strategies of the remaining groups of the *Lepanthes* clade and to test the hypothesis of floral parallelism/divergence, we investigated the anatomy, micromorphology and possible rewards of flowers of some members of the clade by combining histochemistry, light microscopy (LM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) techniques. In this study, we discuss the anatomical features of the flowers among some of the members of the *Lepanthes* clade in order to assess (1) the characters shared among *Anathallis, Lankesteriana* and *Trichosalpinx* that suggest adaptation to pollination by biting midges and thus parallelism (2) pollination syndromes in the *Lepanthes* clade that can be inferred from floral anatomy and (3) micromorphological traits that are useful in distinguishing the groups within the *Lepanthes* clade.

7.2 Materials and Methods

7.2.1 Study site and sample collection

Plant samples were collected in the wild and cultivated in the greenhouses of the Lankester Botanical Garden (JBL) of the University of Costa Rica (Cartago, Costa Rica) and the Hortus botanicus of Leiden University (Leiden, The Netherlands). We studied species of *Anathallis, Lankesteriana, Lepanthes, Lepanthopsis, Trichosalpinx* s.s, *Tubella* (Luer) Archila and *Zootrophion.* Due to material unavailability, we did not include specimens of *Draconanthes, Frondaria, Trichosalpinx* subgenus *Pseudolepanthes* Luer and *T.* subgenus *Xenia* Luer. Vouchers of plant specimens were deposited at CR, JBL (spirit), L, and USJ.

7.2.2 Digital Imaging and Microscopy

Photographs were taken with a Nikon D7100 and AF-S VR Micro-Nikkor 105mm f/2.8G IF-ED lens and PB-6 bellows with a Nikon AF Nikkor 50mm f/1.8D lens and Broncolor® Siros 800 S flashes. Stacking was performed with Zeiss Stereo Discovery V20 and AxioCam MRc 5 Zeiss camera. Digital images of light microscopy were taken with a Zeiss® AXIO Imager.M2 motorized microscope with an AxioCam MRc 5 Zeiss camera. Final digital images and composite figures were processed in Adobe Photoshop CS6®.

7.2.3 Microscopy fixation

Samples were stored in FAA (ethanol 50%, acetic acid and formalin at 18:1:1 v/v) or 70% ethanol. For Epon and LR White embedding, dissected fresh flowers were fixed for 3 hours in a modified Karnovsky fixative (2.5% glutaraldehyde, 2% formaldehyde, pH 7.2) and rinsed three times in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) prior to embedding. Staining was performed for 2 hours in 2% osmium tetroxide and rinsed in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4).

7.2.4 Light Microscopy (LM) and Histochemistry

Entire fresh flowers and hand-cut sections of flowers were stained to detect lipids, polysaccharides and proteins. Flowers fixed in 70% ethanol for several days were also used for staining. Heavily pigmented tissue areas of fresh flowers were cleared for 10-60 min in 10% (v/v) commercial solution of sodium hypochlorite and rinsed in 30% ethanol for 1 hour before staining to avoid the interference of tissue coloration in staining results (Ruzin, 1999). Neutral or acidic lipids, phospholipids and fatty acids were detected with a solution of Nile Blue A 1% (NBA) (w/v, demi water) at 37°C for 1 minute and differentiated in 1% acetic acid for 30 seconds at 37°C and rinsed in demi water (Ruzin, 1999). Sudan IV 0.5% (SIV) (w/v, 70% ethanol) and Sudan Black B (SBB) 0.07% (w/v, ethanol 70%) were used to detect lipids (fats, oils and waxes) (Bronner, 1975; Ruzin, 1999). Insoluble polysaccharides and starch were detected with a periodic acid-Schiff reaction (PAS) by oxidizing the samples in aqueous solution of periodic acid (HIO₄) 5% (m/v) for 10 minutes, rinsing 3 times in distilled water for 2 minutes and submerging for 15 minutes in Schiff's reagent, and finally submerging in tap water at 50-60°C for 5 minutes (Ruzin, 1999). Mucilage-secreting areas with acidic compounds, pectic acids or hexuronic acids were detected with a solution of Ruthenium Red 0.05% (RR) (w/v, tap water) for 15-20 minutes (Southworth, 1973). Proteins were detected with Aniline Blue-Black (ABB) 1% in 7% acetic acid for 10 minutes at 50-60°C (Jensen, 1962; Fisher, 1968) and Coomassie brilliant blue R-250 (CBB) in a solution of 0.25% CBB, 50% ethanol, and 7% acetic acid for 3 minutes and rinsed in tap water (Fisher, 1968; Jensen, 1962). Areas of fragrance emission were detected by submerging the samples in a solution of Neutral Red 0.1% (NR) (w/v, tap water) for 15-20 minutes and differentiated with tap water (Ruzin, 1999).

7.2.5 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Fixed flowers were dehydrated for 20 minutes in a series of ethanol solutions (70%–96%– \geq 99.9%) and twice in fresh \geq 99.8% acetone. Critical-point drying using \geq 99.8% acetone and liquid CO₂ as exchange fluids was performed in Automated Critical Point Dryer Leica EM CPD300 (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). The drying protocol included a cooling step at 15°C, 50% stirrer speed with auto version, slow CO₂ influx in the pressure chamber, with a delay of 120 seconds after influx CO₂ and before starting the exchange process, 18 exchange cycles (CO₂: 99.8% acetone) at a speed of 5, a fast heating speed and medium gas out speed. Dried samples were mounted in stubs with adhesive carbon conductive tabs and sputter-coated with 20 nm of Pt/Pd in a Quorum Q150TS sputter-coater. Resulting samples were observed with a JEOL JSM-7600F field emission scanning electron microscope, at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV.

7.3 Results

Anathallis: As in *Trichosalpinx* and *Lankesteriana*, the lip of the members of this genus is motile because it is hinged to the column foot by a thin membranous ligament. The papillose lip shows slightly striated cuticles and various secretions such as lipids (SIV), insoluble polysaccharides (PAS) but most notably proteins (ABB, CBB) on the apex of the papillae and occasionally prismatic crystals of calcium oxalate, indicating a secretory function similar to *Trichosalpinx* (Fig.



Figure 7.2. Flower morphology of some representatives of *Anathallis, Lankesteriana, Trichosalpinx* and *Tubella.* A-C: *Anathallis lewisiae*: A. Flower. B. Papillose surface of the lip. C. Detection of proteins with CBB in the epidermis of the lip. D. *T. reflexa.* D-F: *Trichosalpinx reflexa*: Flower. E. Papillose surface of the lip. F. Detection of proteins with CBB in the epidermis of the lip. G-I: *Lankesteriana fractiflexa*: D. Flower. E. Papillose surface of the lip. F. Detection of proteins with CBB in the epidermis of the lip. J-O: *Tubella arbuscula*: J. Flower. K. Papillose surface of the lip. L. Papillose apex of the lip with the median groove. M. Apex of the lip with positive detection of carbohydrates (PAS). N. Papillose side of the lip with positive detection of carbohydrates (PAS). O. Apex of the lip with negative detection of proteins (CBB) Photos: D. Bogarín).



Figure 7.3. SEM micrographs of the epidermis of the lip of: A. Anathallis lewisiae. B. Lankesteriana barbulata. C. G. chamaelepanthes. D. T. pergrata. E. T. reflexa. F. T. ringens. G. T. cf. patula. H. Tubella dura. (Photos: D. Bogarín).



Figure 7.4. SEM micrographs of the epicuticular secretions in the epidermis of the lip of: **A.** *Anathallis funerea*. **B.** *Lankesteriana barbulata*. **C.** *Lepanthes chameleon*. **D.** *Stellamaris pergrata*. **E.** *T. reflexa*. F. *T. ringens*. **G.** *Tubella dura*. **H.** *Z. endresianum*. (Photos: D. Bogarín).



Figure 7.5. SEM micrographs of the epidermis of the petals of: A. Anathallis lewisiae. B. Lankesteriana fractiflexa. C. Lepanthes chameleon. D. Lepanthopsis prolifera. E. T. reflexa. F. S. pergrata (Photos: D. Bogarín).

7.1A-C, 7.3A, 7.4A). Differences with *Trichosalpinx* and *Lankesteriana* include the absence of elongated cells towards the margins and the raised callus at the base of the lip. In *A. lewisiae* (Ames) Solano & Soto Arenas, the petals are also papillose, with striated cuticles and secretions at the apices unlike the petals of *Trichosalpinx* (Fig. 7.5E). Flowers of some *Anathallis* species have purple colors, but other species have white or yellowish flowers.

Lankesteriana: Species belonging to the genus also show similarities with the lip of *Trichosalpinx* and *Anathallis* species, including the papillose surface of the lip with striated cuticle and secretory activity (Fig. 7.1G-I, 7.3B, 7.4B). We detected lipids (SIV), insoluble polysaccharides (PAS) and again proteins on top of the papillae (ABB, CBB) (Fig. 7.1I). Also, the lip shows elongated cells with widened apices scattered towards the lip apex unlike the elongated



Figure 7.6. Flower anatomy and histochemistry of: A-H: *Lepanthes horichii*. **A.** Flower. **B.** SEM of the lip and petals. **C.** papillose surface of petals. **D.** LM of cells of the epidermis of the petal stained with SBB showing lipid droplets (black). **E.** LM of the epidermal cells the petal stained with PAS (carbohydrates). **F.** SEM micrographs of the hairy appendix of the lip. **G.** detail of the appendix. **H.** detail of the elongated cells (hairs) of the appendix. **I.** Histochemistry of *Lepanthes bradei* showing the positive reaction with stains, from left to right: flower with natural pigmentation, CBB, ABB, NR (Photos by D. Bogarín and M.M. Chabert).



Figure 7.7. Flower anatomy and histochemistry of: A-I: *Lepanthopsis floripecten*, A. Flowers. B. SEM of the petals, lip and column. C. papillose surface of the glenion. D. LM of cells of the glenion stained with SIV showing lipids surrounding the cell walls of the papillae. E. LM of cells of the glenion stained with PAS detecting carbohydrates. F. LM of cells of the glenion stained with CBB detecting proteins. G. detail of the papillae of the glenion with some epicuticular secretions. H. detail of the smooth surface of the petals. I. LM of the papillose lip stained with CBB detecting proteins (Photos by D. Bogarín and M.M. Chabert).

cells of *Trichosalpinx* that are restricted to the margins and not widened at apex (Fig. 7.3B). The combination of brown-purple with white in the flowers of some *Lankesteriana* is similar to the flowers of *Trichosalpinx* (Fig. 7.1D, G). The lip of *Lankesteriana* is grooved unlike that of *Trichosalpinx* and *Anathallis*. Like *Anathallis*, the apices of the petals are papillose, secretory, with striated cuticles, but sometimes with elongated cells (Fig. 7.5A-B). Some *Lankesteriana* species have elongated, ciliated or papillose petals, ending in widened apices such as *L. fractiflexa* (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Karremans. Other species such as *L. barbulata* (Lindl.) Karremans have *Trichosalpinx*-like petals without cilia but with irregular margins.

Trichosalpinx: in *Trichosalpinx* species [including the relatives of *P. berlineri* (Luer) Karremans & Mel.Fernández], the base of the lip is attached to the column foot by a membranous ligament. The lip blade is papillose with elongated cells appearing towards the margins. The papillae of the lip blade exhibit a noticeable striated cuticle and towards the apices the cuticle is smooth and se-

cretory. We detected lipophilic compounds (SBB), polysaccharides (PAS) and proteins (CBB and ABB) within and on the apex of the papillae, indicating a secretory function (Fig. 7.1D-F, 7.3C, E, F, G, 7.4D, E, F). Tests with (NR) were positive in the apices of the papillae. In particular, the lip of *T. ringens* Luer has elongated hairs with some striations in the cuticle unlike the short papillae of most of *Trichosalpinx* species (Fig. 7.3F). Crystals of calcium oxalate were exuded on the apices of the papillae but were not present in all the specimens analyzed (Fig. 7.4E). The petals are oriented parallel to the column and they do not have papillose surfaces (Fig. 7.5E) and no secretory activities were detected because histochemical tests yielded no positive results. The column is arcuate with a rounded foot where the lip is attached. At the base of the column foot, there are similar papillae to those observed on the sepals and lip.

Lepanthes: flowers of *Lepanthes* are characterized in general by a bilobed lip with an appendix at the base, and an elongated column with apical anther and stigma (Fig. 7.6A, B, F-H). Our studies indicate a generalized pattern in the epidermal surface of the sepals and petals. The sepals have flattened, smooth cells and, in contrast, the petals and lip are always papillose (Fig. 7.5C, 7.6B, C). The sepals did not react to histochemical stains but the petals reacted to all the stains applied for carbohydrates (PAS, RR), lipids (SBB, SIV), proteins (ABB, CBB) and scents (NR) (Fig. 7.6D, E, I). The appendix of the lip is ciliated, hirsute or with a combination of elongated and flattened cells (Fig. 7.6F-H). Prismatic crystals and compounds on the surface of the cells were observed (Fig. 7.4C).

Lepanthopsis: it is easily recognized by the flattened flowers with reduced petals and the presence of a glenion at the base of the papillose lip, a feature not found in any of the sister genera (Fig. 7.7). In *L. floripecten*, the glenion is made up of an aggregation of papillose and secretory cells just in front of the very reduced column. Flowers of some species resemble those of *Platystele* Schltr. (Fig. 7.7B-C, 7.8A-D). In *L. astrophora*, the glenion is also papillose but sunken (Fig. 7.8B). The papillose lip reacts for proteins on top of the papillae over the lip (ABB, CBB) but most notably in the glenion (Fig. 7D). SEM images show several compounds in the surface of the glenion that also react positively for lipids (SIV) and insoluble polysaccharides (PAS) (Fig. 7.7 D, E). Petals and sepals have flattened cells and do not react for proteins and carbohydrates but probably contain epicuticular waxes (SIV). However, other species such as *L. prolifera* have epidermal cells with characteristic projections (Fig. 7.5D). We observed prismatic crystals on the surface of the cells but mostly concentrated on the glenion (Fig. 7.7G).

Tubella: Flowers of this group are generally white-greenish to yellowish (Fig. 7.1, J). The lip is papillose with striated cuticles like in *Anathallis, Trichosalpinx* and *Lankesteriana* but with a median groove of flattened cells and without cilia along the margins (Fig. 7.2K-M). Some areas of the lip at the sides and towards the apex contain insoluble polysaccharides (PAS) but the blade does not react for proteins (ABB, CBB) and lipids (SIV) (Fig. 7.2M-O). Some species are fragrant such as *T. arbuscula* (Lindl.) Luer. The petals are flattened, not ciliated and without papillae. The sepals are elongated and also entire. Some species classified have smooth papillose surfaces on the lip such as *G. chamaelepanthes* (Rchb.f.) Bogarín & Karremans and *S. pergrata* (Ames) Luer (Fig. 7.3C, D). Also, the apex of the petals of *S. pergrata* are papillose in contrast of those of *T. arbuscula* and *T. dura* (Lindl.) Luer (Fig. 7.5E).



Figure 7.8. SEM micrographs of the column and glenion at the base of the lip of: A. Lepanthopsis astrophora. B. Detail of the glenion of Lepanthopsis astrophora. C. Platystele sp. D. Detail of the glenion of Platystele sp. E. Stelis sp. F. Detail of the glenion of Stelis sp. (Photos: D. Bogarín).

Zootrophion: Flowers of this genus are unique within the group. The basally and apically fused sepals form a closed flower with only two open sides, resembling windows. The color of the flower varies from white and yellow to pinkish and purple, sometimes with spotted sepals and petals (Fig. 7.9A). The inner surface of the synsepal is made up of papillose or rugose surfaces that react to carbohydrates (PAS) and lipids (SB). In *Z. vulturiceps* (Luer) Luer, a species with white flowers, we did not detect proteins (CBB) on the rugose surface (Fig. 7.9B-F). However,



Figure 7.9. Flower anatomy and histochemistry of *Zootrophion*: **A.** Flower of *Z. vulturiceps* (upper), *Zootrophion* sp. (lower). **B.** Inner view of the flower of *Zootrophion* sp. showing the papillose surface of sepals and the shorter column, lip and petals. **C.** Inner view of the flower of *Z. vulturiceps* showing the rugose surface of sepals and the shorter column, lip and petals. **D.F:** Histochemistry of cells of the epidermis of the synsepal of *Z. vulturiceps*. **D.** staining with PAS (carbohydrates). **E.** staining with SBB showing lipids (black). **F.** staining with CBB showing proteins (blue). **G-F:** SEM micrographs of the rugose surface of the lip of *Z. endresianum*. **G.** epicuticular compounds on the epidermis. **H.** view of the papillose mid part of the lip. **I.** rugose surface of the base of the lip.

the papillose area of the synsepal of the purple spotted *Zootrophion* sp. shows a positive reaction for proteins (CBB). The dorsal sepal is smooth and does not react with the stains. The lip is very reduced and motile and it is attached to the column foot by a membranose tissue securing mobility. The surface is papillose and rugose with various evident secretions on the epidermal cells (Fig. 7.4H, 7.9G-H). The column is footed and elongated like in *Anathallis, Trichosalpinx* and *Lankesteriana*. The petals are parallel to the column and enclose the column and lip.

7.4 Discussion

7.4.1 Floral micromorphology and histochemistry

The epidermal secretory papillae of the lip of Anathallis, Lankesteriana and Trichosalpinx is also present in other myophilous species of Bulbophyllum and fly-pollinated Pleurothallidinae (De Pádua Teixeira et al., 2004; Nunes et al., 2015, 2014; Pridgeon and Stern, 1985). Secretion of proteins on the lip occurs in species of Bulbophyllum sect. Racemosae Benth. & Hook.f., possibly as floral rewards for female flies (Davies and Stpiczyńska, 2014). In addition, flowers of B. wendlandianum contained protein secretions in the epithelium (Kowalkowska et al., 2014), as observed previously in Trichosalpinx and in the species of Anathallis and Lankesteriana studied here. Positive reaction with NR and lipophilic compounds also indicate scent synthesis in the papillose epidermis. (Vogel, 1990) documented fragrance emission in the epithelium of the distal lobar ends of the corolla of Ceropegia, which is mostly pollinated by biting midges. These structures are purple, papillose or hairy, like the lip of some species of Anathallis, Lankesteriana and Trichosalpinx. The striated cuticle of the papillae is another feature shared by species of these genera and also with some myophilous Bulbophyllum species (Davies and Stpiczyńska, 2014; Kowalkowska et al., 2014; Nunes et al., 2015, 2014; Stpiczyńska et al., 2015). Striated cuticles have been associated with light diffraction producing more intense "structural colors" acting as a visual effect on pollinators (Antoniou Kourounioti et al., 2012). Nunes et al., (2015), postulated that the striated cuticular patterns in Bulbophyllum sect. Napellii Rchb.f. are related to these visual cues. Our findings on Anathallis and Lankesteriana support previous observations in *Trichosalpinx* and *Bulbophyllum* in which the striated cuticles are present only in the purple-colored areas of the lip and petals, whereas the whitish or translucent areas have flattened and smooth epidermal cells. The papillose epidermal areas of flowers might increase the area of emission of scents or "emission layer" (Vogel, 1990). In Lepanthes, the active parts of the flower in terms of compound synthesis are the papillose epidermis of petals and scattered colleters of sepals. The role of this tissue in the production of pheromone-like odors that attract male fungus gnats as pollinators has to be tested experimentally but our histochemical evidence indicates that the papillose petals are involved in scent synthesis. In addition, this papillose epidermis is mostly not striated and does not concentrate the secretions on the apex of the papillae as observed in Anathallis, Lankesteriana and Trichosalpinx, indicating that Lepanthes flowers do not produce collectable rewards. This is consistent with the hypothesis of sexual deception and behavior of pollinators in the flowers that do not search for rewards. On the other hand, papillose or verrucose areas of the synsepal of Zootrophion are secretory and the epicuticular compounds on the cells of the epidermis of the lip observed with SEM may indicate that Zootrophion flowers offer rewards.

The parallel position of the petals with respect to the column and the lack of rewards in the species with smooth epidermis suggests that the function of the petals is to keep the insects directed towards the base of the lip preventing them to exit from the sides. This is probably true for *Trichosalpinx* and some *Lankesteriana* and *Zootrophion*. However, in *Anathallis* and other species of *Lankesteriana* some areas of the petals are papillose and secretory, probably acting as visual/olfactory attractants for pollinators like in some *Bulbophyllum* species (Kowalkowska et al., 2014; Nunes et al., 2014; Pridgeon and Stern, 1983; Vogel, 1990).

The detection of proteins and carbohydrates on the apex of the papillae of the lip and particularly in the glenion of *Lepanthopsis floripecten* (Rchb.f.) Ames suggests that pollinators are guided towards this point. The glenion has been defined as a circular structure or callus at the base of the labellum, placed right in front of the reduced column, which occurs in several unrelated genera of Pleurothallidinae, namely *Brachionidium* Lindl., *Lepanthopsis, Platystele, Pleurothallis* R.Br.. *Stelis* Sw. and *Teagueia* (Luer) Luer (Pridgeon et al., 2005) (Fig. 7.8). The function of the glenion in the pollination of species of these genera is discussed in further detail by Karremans and Díaz-Morales (2018). Initial evidence indicates that this structure is an aggregation of papillose or flattened cells (sometimes sunken) of secretory activity. The anatomy of the glenion varies across these genera and more ultrastructural and histochemical comparative studies are needed to characterize the micromorphology and its role in pollination.

Crystals occur in the sepals, petals and lip of many Pleurothallidinae (pers. observ.). The function of these non-protoplasmic inclusions is not entirely clear and little is known about their role (if any) in pollination. (Chase and Peacor, 1987) propose that the refractile properties of crystals in *Stelis* might mimic nectar droplets (or pseudonectar), which act as visual attractants that lure pollinators. Nunes et al. (2015) attributed a possible function as a visual signal, enhancing the reflection of light emitted in conjunction with the vacuoles containing pigments. Other studies suggest that they may be involved in regulation of high levels of calcium ions and calcium oxalate, that eventually precipitate in epicuticular crystals (Franceschi and Horner, 1980).

7.4.2 Pollination syndromes in the *Lepanthes* clade

The recent discovery of the pollination of *Trichosalpinx* by biting midges allows us to make inferences about the pollination systems of other members of the *Lepanthes* clade (Bogarín et al., 2018a). *Trichosalpinx, Lankesteriana* and the *P. berlineri* group have a close affinity according to the latest phylogenetic analyses of the Pleurothallidinae and unpublished data (Karremans, 2016, 2014; Pérez-Escobar et al., 2017a) (Fig. 7.1). Species of *Lankesteriana* and the *P. berlineri* group have a mobile, ciliate lip that is almost indistinguishable from those of *Trichosalpinx* and some *Bulbophyllum* (Bartareau, 1994; Luer, 2006). Although no data on pollination of *Lankesteriana* and *P. berlineri* group are available, our findings suggest pollination by biting midges. The papillose epidermis with a striated cuticle and secretion of proteins are consistent with the anatomical features found previously in the *Trichosalpinx* species pollinated by females of *Forcipomyia* that search for proteins (Bogarín et al., 2018a). In addition, this hypothesis is strengthened by floral traits present in other angiosperm groups pollinated by biting midges such some *Aristolochia L.* and *Pararistolochia* Hutch. & Dalziel in the Aristolochiaceae, *Caralluma* R.Br., *Ceropegia L.* in the Apocynaceae and *Abroma* Jacq., *Herrania* Goudot and *Theobroma* L. in Malvaceae (Davies

and Stpiczyńska, 2014; Kowalkowska et al., 2014; Nunes et al., 2015, 2014; Stpiczyńska et al., 2015; Vogel, 1990). In the Orchidaceae, the flowers of the distantly related Australian *Bulbo-phyllum macphersonii* Rupp., a species pollinated by biting midges, are very similar to those of *Trichosalpinx, Lankesteriana* and some *Bulbophyllum* spp. of sections *Hybochilus* Schltr., *Oxysepalum* Schltr. and *Polyblepharon* Schltr. (Bartareau, 1994). Common features among these species are again the ciliated, purple, mobile lip with two basal auricles, and the purple sepals and petals. *Trichosalpinx* and *B. macphersonii* are an example of evolutionary convergence towards a common mechanism of pollination, and this is likely occuring in *Lankesteriana* and the species of the *P. berlineri* group as well.

Similarity in floral traits are also present in some *Anathallis* species, such as *A. lewisiae* (Fig. 7.2A), *A. microgemma* (Schltr. ex Hoehne.) Pridgeon & M.W. Chase and *A. nanifolia* (Foldats) Luer as noted by Luer (1997). *Anathallis* appears to be related to species of *Tubella* in the phylogenetic analysis and are not embedded within the *Trichosalpinx* clade in the strict sense (Bogarín et al., 2018c) (Fig. 7.1). However, some species have purple flowers and a mobile lip (though not ciliated) hinged by a membrane at the bottom of the column foot. Pollinators of *Anathallis* are not yet known, but we hypothesize that some species showing striated papillae and secretion of proteins in the epidermis of the lip represent another case of evolutionary parallelism to attract the same type of pollinator guild as *Lankesteriana* and some *Bulbophyllum* and *Trichosalpinx* species.

Floral morphology of *Stellamaris pergrata* (Ames) Luer, *T. ringens* Luer and *T. sanctuarii* Mel. Fernández & Bogarín is different from the species of *Trichosalpinx* s.s. For example, the absence of a trembling lip with a flexible membrane and the *Acianthera*-like flowers of *T. ringens* and *T. sanctuarii* indicate that these species may be pollinated by different pollinator groups. The red flowers of *S. pergrata* with papillose apices of petals and two nectary-like structures in the column also suggest another, yet unknown, pollination mechanism (Fernández and Bogarín, 2013, 2011; Luer, 1997).

The species of *Tubella* (T. subgenus *Tubella*) are not strictly embedded within the *Tricho*salpinx clade (Karremans, 2016; Pridgeon et al., 2001; Rykaczewski et al., 2017). Species of Tubella have white or yellowish flowers and the lip is not ciliate. Besides the preference of biting midges for flowers with purple and hirsute structures, they have also been documented to visit plants like rubber, Hevea brasiliensis (Willd. ex A.Juss.) Müll.Arg. (Euphorbiaceae) and mango, Mangifera indica L. (Anacardiaceae), with white flowers (Borkent and Spinelli, 2007). Males and females seek nectar in these small white flowers to meet their energy needs. Art Borkent (pers. comm.) observed biting midges of the genera Atrichopogon and Dasyhelea Kieffer, a group with reduced mouthparts and without blood sucking behaviour, visiting flowers of Epidendrum piliferum Rchb.f. in Monteverde, Costa Rica, an orchid with white flowers and purple nectar guides on the blade of the lip. (Pedersen, 1995) recorded biting midges of the genus Forcipomyia as a visitor of Dendrochilum longibracteatum Pfitzer, an orchid species with white flowers and a brownish lip. As already noted by (Luer, 1997), white flowers with caudate petals are present in species of Specklinia subgen. Hymenodanthae, such as S. calyptrostele (Schltr.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, which resemble flowers of Tubella. Karremans (2016) recorded pollination of S. calypstrotele by a Ceratopogonidae species, possibly Atrichopogon. Therefore, the pollinators of *Tubella* may be biting midges as well but the operating mechanism is probably

different, similar to the anthophilous nectar-seeking flies pollinating the white flowers of *Hevea* or *M. indica*. Although more pollination observations and anatomical and histological studies are needed in this genus, the presence of carbohydrates found in the papillae of the lip instead of proteins support these hypotheses.

Of the other genera belonging to the Lepanthes clade, there is no information available on pollinators yet. The floral morphology of these groups is unlike those already studied suggesting that at least two additional mechanisms may be in place. Lepanthopsis is unique amongst its close relatives in having a papillose secretory glenion of the lip. The sepals and petals are generally flat and the sepals are caudate. The column is short, broad and footless, the anther is apical with a bilobed stigma (except for a few species). This type of column suggests that the pollinarium is positioned either on the head, antenna, or legs, but most likely not on the dorsal part of the thorax or abdomen of the pollinator. Undoubtedly, this represents another pollination mechanism yet unknown but different from the currently documented cases in Lepanthes and Trichosalpinx. Other unrelated genera like Brachionidium, Platystele, Pleurothallis, Stelis and Teagueia exhibit similar floral traits, specifically flat flowers with a short column with a bilobed stigma and glenion at the base of the lip (Luer, 1990). Some of these groups are pollinated by Mycetophilidae and Sciaridae (Duque-Buitrago et al., 2014), and these families may be involved in the pollination of Lepanthopsis as well, as further discussed by (Karremans and Díaz-Morales, 2018). Some species of the aberrant group T. subgenus Xenia such as Trichosalpinx ballatrix Luer & Escobar, T. escobarii Luer and T. tenuiflora (Schltr.) Luer are somewhat florally similar to some Teagueia (such as T. barbeliana L.Jost & A.Shepard and T. puroana L.Jost & A.Shepard). Unfortunately, we do not have any, anatomical, phylogenetic or pollination data available for these groups vet (Luer, 1997).

Because of the unique morphology of the flowers of *Zootrophion*, there is no doubt that a different pollinating mechanism operates in this genus. Flowers probably attract pollinators that enter through one of the so-called lateral windows of the sepals, reaching the warty, papillose lip. In other Pleurothallidinae, such as *Dracula*, *Masdevallia* and *Specklinia* the papillose warty sepals attract the pollinators, which initially land on these surfaces and spend most of the time collecting floral rewards (Endara et al., 2010; Karremans et al., 2015b). Later, they are guided to the entrance of the tiny lip initiating pollination. The combination of a footed column and motile lip that act as a hinge in *Anathallis, Lankesteriana, Tubella* and *Zootrophion* is similar to some *Bulbophyllum* species (Bartareau, 1994; Borba and Semir, 1998; Humeau et al., 2011). The mobility of the lip is crucial in the pollination mechanism, in which the insect normally walks towards the base of the lip, where its weight activates a lever movement. Consequently, the lip pushes the body of the insect to the column thereby sticking the pollinarium to the scutellum. This is observed for *Trichosalpinx* and likely also occurs in *Anathallis, Lankesteriana, Tubella* and *Zootrophion* (Bogarín et al., 2018a).

In *Lepanthes* the combination of apical anthers and sticky viscidium are morphological traits linked to pollination by pseudocopulation in which the insect visits the flowers to mate with them but not to collect compounds. It is still unclear whether the pollination shift *per se* or the evolution towards a pseudocopulation system involving a diverse group of Diptera underpins the astonishing diversification of *Lepanthes* (Bogarín et al., 2016; Valente et al., 2012).

7.5 Conclusions

In addition to macromorphological similarities of the flowers of *Lankesteriana* and *Trichosalpinx* and some *Anathallis*, the species of these genera share micromorphological and histological characters that support a hypothesis of pollination by biting midges and thus parallelism. One of the most important shared characters is the secretion of proteins in the papillae of the lip and the striated cuticle of their epidermis. Species of *Trichosalpinx* employ this strategy to attract females of *Forcipomyia* for pollination and this might occur in *Lankesteriana* and some *Anathallis* as well.

Two different families of Diptera, Sciaridae and Ceratopogonidae, carry out the pollination of *Lepanthes* and *Trichosalpinx*, respectively. It is likely that other members of the group are pollinated by Diptera and at least in *Tubella*, *Lepanthopsis* and *Zootrophion*, the pollination systems are probably different from those already known. Apart from the pollination system, in *Anathallis, Frondaria, Lankesteriana, Tubella, Trichosalpinx s.l.* and *Zootrophion*, the pollinarium is deposited on the thorax of the pollinator since the columns are long and arcuate with an incumbent anthers and a pollinarium with sticky caudicles. In contrast, in *Lepanthopsis* the pollinarium is likely not deposited on the thorax of the pollinator since the column is short and bilobed and the flower therefore does not allow for an entrance and exit as described for the genera mentioned above. Therefore, *Lepanthopsis* might employ a similar pollination strategy as *Platystele, Stelis* or *Pleurothallis*.

Among the most important micromorphological characters to characterize the groups in the *Lepanthes* clade are the location of papillose tissues, the striations of the cuticle of the lip and the secretion of proteins or carbohydrates at the apex of the papillae. The presence of a papillose, secretory glenion is unique in *Lepanthopsis* and this feature does not occur in other members of the clade. The movable lip attached by a ligament to the column foot evolved several times in the clade and is probably linked to the pollination systems of *Anathallis*, *Frondaria*, *Lankesteriana*, *Tubella*, *Trichosalpinx s.l.* and *Zootrophion*.