



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Identification of neural and non-neural contributors to joint stiffness in upper motor neuron disease

Gooijer-van de Groep, K.L. de

Citation

Gooijer-van de Groep, K. L. de. (2019, June 20). *Identification of neural and non-neural contributors to joint stiffness in upper motor neuron disease*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/74470>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)
License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)
Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/74470>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The following handle holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation:

<http://hdl.handle.net/1887/74470>

Author: Gooijer-van de Groep, K.L. de

Title: Identification of neural and non-neural contributors to joint stiffness in upper motor neuron disease

Issue Date: 2019-06-20

CHAPTER I

Introduction



Chapter 1

In upper motor neuron diseases, like spinal cord injury, multiple sclerosis, cerebral palsy and stroke, motor areas in the brain and/or spinal cord are damaged or fail to develop normally. Cerebral palsy is due to abnormal development or damage in the developing brain and occurs in about 2 per 1000 life births^{1,2}. Stroke is now the second leading cause of death worldwide and third most common cause of disability-adjusted life-years³⁻⁵ and the incidence of stroke increases with the graying of the society^{6,7}. Improvement in acute stroke care results in a greater proportion of patients surviving stroke, but consequently a larger number of people that needs to deal with stroke related disabilities. Activities of daily living and quality of life are affected by motor, cognitive, speech and language disorders, depression and dementia^{8,9}. Interfering motor disorders are stiffness, i.e. increased resistance to movement, decreased range of motion, flexion deformity resulting in a shift of joint rest angle towards flexion and paresis, i.e. the inability to voluntarily and selectively generate muscle strength and power¹⁰.

Rehabilitation interventions at the ICF¹¹ level of body functions and structures focus on reduction of stiffness and maintenance or increasing joint range of motions and correction of abnormal joint rest angle shifts. Clinically, the combination of stiffness and muscle over-activity is often called “spasticity”, but the definition is under debate^{10,12}. In stroke about 20-30% of all patients suffer from spasticity¹³. Spasticity was assumed to originate from neurally induced reflexive stiffness, i.e. velocity dependent resistance of joint to passive stretching, according to the definition of Lance¹⁴. However nowadays it becomes accepted that in “spasticity”, the neural and non-neural components intermingle¹⁵⁻¹⁷. Neural and non-neural tissue components continuously interact within a closed loop: The tight coupling between afferent sensory information, the central neural system, efferent commands and motor properties^{16,18}. Neural activity may modulate tissue properties; tissue shortening may alter reflexive thresholds. Moreover, the neural and non-neural properties are environment- and task dependent^{10,16}.

This poses a challenge to assess and quantify the neural, i.e. reflexive stiffness and involuntary background activation, and non-neural, i.e. muscle shortening and stiffening, components^{15,19}. At these contributors selective treatment should be aimed, i.e. either targeted at the neural component or at the non-neural component. The clinical Ashworth and Tardieu tests²⁰⁻²² are applied to quantify the joint stiffness by the observer while manually rotating the joint throughout its range of motion. These clinical tests do not differentiate between the neural

reflexive and non-neural tissue components, besides being notoriously insensitive, ordinal and insufficient valid and reliable as a measure for spasticity^{16;23;24}.

The neural and non-neural components can be disentangled using a system identification and parameter estimation approach (SIPE). System identification uses robotic devices to deliver precise force and position perturbations to a joint to allow for assessment of input- output relations which can be used to describe the properties of a system^{18;25-27}. Subsequent neuromuscular modeling allows for describing the neuromuscular system into clinically interpretable parameters. Linear SIPE techniques have been applied for analysis of the underlying neuromuscular system in patients with dystonia and stroke^{28;29} and analysis of standing balance in Parkinson's disease and healthy elderly³⁰⁻³². In these SIPE techniques, the non-linearity, e.g. increased resistance against movement near the maximal range of motion, of the (neuromuscular) system is described by a linear technique. Use of these linear techniques requires small deviations of e.g. joint angle and muscle contraction. These small deviations in movements and contraction do not resemble functional movement. Furthermore, the non-linear force-length and force-velocity properties of the connective and contractile tissue and the quantification of thresholds of spinal reflexes require non-linear methods^{16;33;34}. Non-linear models were applied to predict recorded forces from ramp-and-hold joint perturbations by optimizing parameter values of the model of the wrist and ankle in healthy subjects and to discriminate groups of patient with stroke from controls^{35;36}.

Non-linear models are necessary to quantify underlying neural and non-neural contributors of increased joint stiffness, diminished range of motion and shift of joint rest angle. This quantification is important for understanding of underlying mechanisms of functional recovery and the effect of therapy on the underlying components to diminish or eventually prevent impairments of movement function.

Chapter I

The aim of the present thesis is:

1. To quantify neural reflexive and non-neural tissue contributors in patients with upper motor neuron disease to understand underlying pathophysiological mechanism of increased joint stiffness, diminished range of motion and shifted joint rest angle. Therefore, an instrumented and EMG driven non-linear neuromuscular modeling approach is developed and validated that allows for high precision quantification of behavior of the neuromuscular system and by disentanglement of its underlying components (chapter 2, 3 and 4).
2. The method will be used to understand underlying mechanisms of functional recovery and the effect of therapy in stroke:
 - a) The development of aforementioned components over time will be analyzed in the sub-acute phase post-stroke to address possible targeted preventive measures and to improve understanding of underlying mechanisms of functional recovery (chapter 5).
 - b) The effect of botulinum toxin A treatment on the neural reflexive and non-neural tissue properties in patients post-stroke will be determined to make a first step in predicting which patients will benefit from this therapy (chapter 6).

The present thesis was founded on two research projects, i.e. ROBIN (STW) and Explicit Stroke (ZonMw). ROBIN (ROBot aided system identification: novel tools for diagnosis and assessment in Neurological rehabilitation) aimed to develop the required tools for applying SIPE techniques to clinical practice. The techniques developed in ROBIN were applied to clinical data obtained in Explicit-Stroke. The Explicit-Stroke project was a multi-center randomized controlled trial which studied the effect of early therapy on post-stroke recovery of the upper limb^{37;38}. It further aimed to understand the underlying mechanisms of upper extremity functional recovery, encompassing brain plasticity by fMRI³⁹ and end-point wrist neuromechanics by haptic robotics^{40;41}.

References

- (1) Prevalence and characteristics of children with cerebral palsy in Europe. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2002;44:633-640.
- (2) Odding E, Roebroeck ME, Stam HJ. The epidemiology of cerebral palsy: incidence, impairments and risk factors. *Disabil Rehabil* 2006;28:183-191.
- (3) Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380:2197-2223.
- (4) Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380:2095-2128.
- (5) World Health Organization. The top 10 causes of death. 24-5-2018. Online Source.
- (6) Sanossian N, Ovbiagele B. Prevention and management of stroke in very elderly patients. *Lancet Neurol* 2009;8:1031-1041.
- (7) Krishnamurthi RV, Feigin VL, Forouzanfar MH et al. Global and regional burden of first-ever ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke during 1990-2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet Glob Health* 2013;1:e259-e281.
- (8) Skolarus LE, Burke JF, Brown DL, Freedman VA. Understanding stroke survivorship: expanding the concept of poststroke disability. *Stroke* 2014;45:224-230.
- (9) Crichton SL, Bray BD, McKeivitt C, Rudd AG, Wolfe CD. Patient outcomes up to 15 years after stroke: survival, disability, quality of life, cognition and mental health. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2016;87:1091-1098.
- (10) van der Krogt HJ, Meskers CG, de Groot JH, Klomp A, Arendzen JH. The gap between clinical gaze and systematic assessment of movement disorders after stroke. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2012;9:61.
- (11) World Health Organization. *International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health: ICF*. 2011.
- (12) Dressler D, Bhidayasiri R, Bohlega S et al. Defining spasticity: a new approach considering current movement disorders terminology and botulinum toxin therapy. *J Neurol* 2018;265:856-862.

Chapter I

- (13) Sommerfeld DK, Gripenstedt U, Welmer AK. Spasticity after stroke: an overview of prevalence, test instruments, and treatments. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2012;91:814-820.
- (14) Lance JW. Spasticity: Disordered Motor Control. In: Feldman R, Young R, Koella W, eds. *Symposium Synopsis*. C: Year Book Medical Publishers; 1980;485-495.
- (15) Dietz V, Sinkjaer T. Spastic movement disorder: impaired reflex function and altered muscle mechanics. *Lancet Neurol* 2007;6:725-733.
- (16) Meskers CG, de Groot JH, de Vlugt E, Schouten AC. NeuroControl of movement: system identification approach for clinical benefit. *Front Integr Neurosci* 2015;9:48.
- (17) van den Noort JC, Bar-On L, Aertbelien E et al. European consensus on the concepts and measurement of the pathophysiological neuromuscular responses to passive muscle stretch. *Eur J Neurol* 2017;24:981-e38.
- (18) van der Helm FC, Schouten AC, de Vlugt E, Brouwn GG. Identification of intrinsic and reflexive components of human arm dynamics during postural control. *J Neurosci Methods* 2002;119:1-14.
- (19) Burne JA, Carleton VL, O'Dwyer NJ. The spasticity paradox: movement disorder or disorder of resting limbs? *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2005;76:47-54.
- (20) Ashworth B. Preliminary trial of carisoprodol in multiple sclerosis. *Practitioner* 1964;192:540-542.
- (21) Tardieu C, Huet dIT, Bret MD, Tardieu G. Muscle hypoextensibility in children with cerebral palsy: I. Clinical and experimental observations. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1982;63:97-102.
- (22) Haugh AB, Pandyan AD, Johnson GR. A systematic review of the Tardieu Scale for the measurement of spasticity. *Disabil Rehabil* 2006;28:899-907.
- (23) Lorentzen J, Grey MJ, Crone C, Mazevet D, Biering-Sorensen F, Nielsen JB. Distinguishing active from passive components of ankle plantar flexor stiffness in stroke, spinal cord injury and multiple sclerosis. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2010;121:1939-1951.
- (24) Fleuren JF, Voerman GE, Erren-Wolters CV et al. Stop using the Ashworth Scale for the assessment of spasticity. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2010;81:46-52.
- (25) Kearney RE, Stein RB, Parameswaran L. Identification of intrinsic and reflex contributions to human ankle stiffness dynamics. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 1997;44:493-504.
- (26) van der Kooij H, van der Helm FC. Observations from unperturbed closed loop systems cannot indicate causality. *J Physiol* 2005;569:705.

- (27) Schouten AC, de Vlugt E, Van Hilten JJ, van der Helm FC. Design of a torque-controlled manipulator to analyse the admittance of the wrist joint. *J Neurosci Methods* 2006;154:134-141.
- (28) Schouten AC, Van de Beek WJ, Van Hilten JJ, van der Helm FC. Proprioceptive reflexes in patients with reflex sympathetic dystrophy. *Exp Brain Res* 2003;151:1-8.
- (29) Meskers CG, Schouten AC, de Groot JH et al. Muscle weakness and lack of reflex gain adaptation predominate during post-stroke posture control of the wrist. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2009;6:29.
- (30) Boonstra TA, Schouten AC, van Vugt JP, Bloem BR, van der Kooij H. Parkinson's disease patients compensate for balance control asymmetry. *J Neurophysiol* 2014;112:3227-3239.
- (31) Engelhart D, Pasma JH, Schouten AC et al. Impaired standing balance in elderly: a new engineering method helps to unravel causes and effects. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2014;15:227.
- (32) Pasma JH, Engelhart D, Maier AB et al. Reliability of System Identification Techniques to Assess Standing Balance in Healthy Elderly. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0151012.
- (33) Klomp A, de Groot JH, de Vlugt E, Meskers CG, Arendzen JH, van der Helm FC. Perturbation amplitude affects linearly estimated neuromechanical wrist joint properties. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2014;61:1005-1014.
- (34) Klomp A, de Vlugt E, de Groot JH, Meskers CGM, Arendzen JH, van der Helm FCT. Perturbation velocity affects linearly estimated neuromechanical wrist joint properties. *J Biomech* 2018;74:207-212.
- (35) Van Eesbeek S, de Groot JH, van der Helm FC, de Vlugt E. In vivo estimation of the short-range stiffness of cross-bridges from joint rotation. *J Biomech* 2010;43:2539-2547.
- (36) de Vlugt E, de Groot JH, Schenkeveld KE, Arendzen JH, van der Helm FC, Meskers CG. The relation between neuromechanical parameters and Ashworth score in stroke patients. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2010;7:35.
- (37) Kwakkel G, Meskers CG, van Wegen EE et al. Impact of early applied upper limb stimulation: the EXPLICIT-stroke programme design. *BMC Neurol* 2008;8:49.
- (38) Kwakkel G, Winters C, van Wegen EE et al. Effects of Unilateral Upper Limb Training in Two Distinct Prognostic Groups Early After Stroke: The EXPLICIT-Stroke Randomized Clinical Trial. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2016;30:804-816.
- (39) Buma FE, Raemaekers M, Kwakkel G, Ramsey NF. Brain Function and Upper Limb Outcome in Stroke: A Cross-Sectional fMRI Study. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0139746.

Chapter I

- (40) Klomp A, van der Krogt JM, Meskers CGM et al. Design of a concise and comprehensive protocol for post stroke neuromechanical assessment. *J Bioengineer & Biomedical Sci* 2012.
- (41) van der Krogt HJ, Klomp A, de Groot JH et al. Comprehensive neuromechanical assessment in stroke patients: reliability and responsiveness of a protocol to measure neural and non-neural wrist properties. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2015;12.