

Valley-momentum locking in a graphene superlattice with Y-shaped Kekulé bond texture

Gamayun, O.; Ostroukh, V.; Gnezdilov, N.; Adagideli, I.; Beenakker, C.W.J.

Citation

Gamayun, O., Ostroukh, V., Gnezdilov, N., Adagideli, I., & Beenakker, C. W. J. (2018). Valley-momentum locking in a graphene superlattice with Y-shaped Kekulé bond texture. *New Journal Of Physics*, *20*, 023016. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/aaa7e5

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown) License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](https://hdl.handle.net/1887/license:3) Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/68667>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

New Journal of Physics

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft **DDPG** IOP Institute of Physics

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Valley-momentum locking in a graphene superlattice with Y-shaped Kekulé bond texture

To cite this article: O V Gamayun et al 2018 New J. Phys. **20** 023016

View the [article online](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aaa7e5) for updates and enhancements.

Recent citations

- Opening a band gap in graphene by C-C [bond alternation: a tight binding approach](http://iopscience.iop.org/2053-1591/6/4/045605)
Doan Q Khoa *et al*
- [Generation of valley pump currents in](http://iopscience.iop.org/1674-1056/28/1/017204) [silicene](http://iopscience.iop.org/1674-1056/28/1/017204)
- John Tombe Jada Marcellino et al
- [Topological magnon insulator with a](http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/31/8/085802) <u>[Kekulé bond modulation](http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/31/8/085802)</u>
Pierre A Pantaleón *et al*

IOP ebooks™

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

New Journal of Physics

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft **ODPG IOP** Institute of Physics

Published in partnership with: Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft and the Institute of Physics

PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

CrossMark

RECEIVED 11 October 2017

REVISED 12 January 2018

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 15 January 2018

PUBLISHED 7 February 2018

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0) [Commons Attribution 3.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0) [licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0)

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Valley-momentum locking in a graphene superlattice with Y-shaped Kekulé bond texture

O V Gamayun 1,3 , V P Ostroukh 1 , N V Gnezdilov 1 , İ Adagideli 2 and C W J Beenakker 1

¹ Instituut-Lorentz, Universiteit Leiden, PO Box 9506, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

² Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Sabanci University, Orhanli-Tuzla, 34956 Istanbul, Turkey
³ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed

Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: o.gamayun@uva.nl

Keywords: graphene, Kekule distortion, valley-momentum locking, massless Dirac fermions

Abstract

Recent experiments by Gutiérrez et al (2016 Nat. Phys. 12 950) on a graphene–copper superlattice have revealed an unusual Kekulé bond texture in the honeycomb lattice—a Y-shaped modulation of weak and strong bonds with a wave vector connecting two Dirac points. We show that this so-called 'Kek-Y' texture produces two species of massless Dirac fermions, with valley isospin locked parallel or antiparallel to the direction of motion. In a magnetic field B , the valley degeneracy of the B -dependent Landau levels is removed by the valley-momentum locking but a B-independent and valley-degenerate zero-mode remains.

1. Introduction

The coupling of orbital and spin degrees of freedom is a promising new direction in nano-electronics, referred to as'spin-orbitronics', that aims at non-magnetic control of information carried by charge-neutral spin currents $[1–3]$ $[1–3]$ $[1–3]$ $[1–3]$ $[1–3]$. Graphene offers a rich platform for this research $[4, 5]$ $[4, 5]$ $[4, 5]$ $[4, 5]$ $[4, 5]$, because the conduction electrons have three distinct spin quantum numbers: in addition to the spin magnetic moment $s = \pm 1/2$, there is the sublattice pseudospin $\sigma = A$, B and the valley isospin $\tau = K$, K'. While the coupling of the electron spin s to its momentum p is a relativistic effect, and very weak in graphene, the coupling of σ to p is so strong that one has a pseudospin-momentum locking: the pseudospin points in the direction of motion, as a result of the helicity operator $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} \equiv p_x \sigma_x + p_y \sigma_y$ in the Dirac Hamiltonian of graphene.

The purpose of this paper is to propose a way to obtain a similar handle on the valley isospin, by adding a term $p \cdot \tau$ to the Dirac Hamiltonian, which commutes with the pseudospin helicity and locks the valley to the direction of motion. We find that this valley-momentum locking should appear in a superlattice that has recently been realized experimentally by Gutiérrez et al $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$: a superlattice of graphene grown epitaxially onto Cu(111), with the copper atoms in registry with the carbon atoms. One of six carbon atoms in each superlattice unit cell $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ larger than the original graphene unit cell) have no copper atoms below them and acquire a shorter nearest-neighbor bond. The resulting Y-shaped periodic alternation of weak and strong bonds(see figure [1](#page-3-0)) is called a Kekulé-Y (Kek-Y) ordering, with reference to the Kekulé dimerization in a benzene ring (called Kek-O in this context) [[7](#page-12-0)].

The Kek-O and KeK-Y superlattices have the same Brillouin zone, with the K and K' valleys of graphene folded on top of each other. The Kek-O ordering couples the valleys by opening a gap in the Dirac cone $[8-12]$ $[8-12]$ $[8-12]$ $[8-12]$ $[8-12]$, and it was assumed by Gutiérrez et al that the same applies to the Kek-Y ordering [[6](#page-12-0), [7](#page-12-0)]. While it is certainly possible that the graphene layer in the experiment is gapped by the epitaxial substrate (for example, by a sublattice-symmetry breaking ionic potential $[13–15]$ $[13–15]$ $[13–15]$ $[13–15]$ $[13–15]$), we find that the Y-shaped Kekulé bond ordering by itself does not impose a mass on the Dirac fermions⁴. Instead, the valley degeneracy is broken by the helicity operator

 4 That the Kek-Y bond ordering by itself preserves the massless nature of the Dirac fermions in graphene could already have been deduced from [[15](#page-12-0)] (it is a limiting case of their equation (4)), although it was not noticed in the experiment [[6](#page-12-0)]. We thank Dr Gutiérrez for pointing this out to us.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd on behalf of Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft

p · *t*, which preserves the gapless Dirac point while locking the valley degree of freedom to the momentum. In a magnetic field the valley-momentum locking splits all Landau levels except for the zeroth Landau level, which remains pinned to zero energy.

2. Tight-binding model

2.1. Real-space formulation

A monolayer of carbon atoms has the tight-binding Hamiltonian

$$
H = -\sum_{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} t_{r,\ell} \ a_r^{\dagger} b_{r+s_{\ell}} + \text{H.c.}, \tag{1}
$$

describing the hopping with amplitude $t_{r,\ell}$ between an atom at site $r = na_1 + ma_2$ $(n, m \in \mathbb{Z})$ on the A sublattice (annihilation operator a_r) and each of its three nearest neighbors at $r+s_\ell$ on the B sublattice (annihilation operator $b_{r+s_{\ell}}$). The lattice vectors are defined by $s_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}, -1)$, $s_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}, 1)$, $s_3 = (0, 1)$, $a_1 = s_3 - s_1$, $a_2 = s_3 - s_2$. All lengths are measured in units of the unperturbed C–C bond length $a_0 \equiv 1$.

For the uniform lattice, with $t_{r,\ell} \equiv t_0$, the band structure is given by [[16](#page-12-0)]

$$
E(\mathbf{k}) = \pm |\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})|, \quad \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) = t_0 \sum_{\ell=1}^3 e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\ell}}.
$$
 (2)

There is a conical singularity at the Dirac points $K_\pm = \frac{2}{9} \pi \sqrt{3} \, (\pm 1, \, \sqrt{3} \,)$, where $E(K_\pm) = 0$. For later use we note the identities

$$
\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{k} + 3\mathbf{K}_{\pm}) = e^{2\pi i/3} \varepsilon(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}_{+} + \mathbf{K}_{-}).
$$
\n(3)

The bond-density wave that describes the Kek-O and Kek-Y textures has the form

$$
t_{r,\ell}/t_0 = 1 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\Delta e^{i(pK_+ + qK_-) \cdot s_{\ell} + iG \cdot r} \right]
$$

= 1 + 2\Delta_0 \cos \left[\phi + \frac{2}{3}\pi (m - n + N_{\ell}) \right], (4a)

$$
N_1 = -q, \ N_2 = -p, \ N_3 = p + q, \ p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_3. \tag{4b}
$$

The Kekulé wave vector

$$
G \equiv K_{+} - K_{-} = \frac{4}{9}\pi\sqrt{3} (1, 0)
$$
\n(5)

couples the Dirac points. The coupling amplitude $\Delta = \Delta_0 e^{i\phi}$ may be complex, but the hopping amplitudes $t_{r,\ell}$ are real in order to preserve time-reversal symmetry. (We note that our definition of Δ differs by a factor 3 from that of $[8]$ $[8]$ $[8]$.)

As illustrated in figure [1,](#page-3-0) the index

$$
\nu = 1 + q - p \mod 3 \tag{6}
$$

distinguishes the Kek-O texture ($\nu = 0$) from the Kek-Y texture ($\nu = \pm 1$). Each Kekulé superlattice has a $2\pi/3$ rotational symmetry, reduced from the $2\pi/6$ symmetry of the graphene lattice. The two $\nu = \pm 1$ Kek-Y textures are each others mirror image⁵.

2.2. Transformation to momentum space

The Kek-O and Kek-Y superlattices have the same hexagonal Brillouin zone, with reciprocal lattice vectors K_{\pm} —smaller by a factor $1/\sqrt{3}$ and rotated over 30° with respect to the original Brillouin zone of graphene (see figure [1](#page-3-0)). The Dirac points of unperturbed graphene are folded from the corner to the center of the Brillouin zone and coupled by the bond-density wave.

To study the coupling we Fourier transform the tight-binding Hamiltonian ([1](#page-3-0)),

$$
H(\mathbf{k}) = -\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})a_{k}^{\dagger}b_{k} - \Delta\varepsilon(\mathbf{k} + p\mathbf{K}_{+} + q\mathbf{K}_{-})a_{k+G}^{\dagger}b_{k}
$$

- $\Delta^{*}\varepsilon(\mathbf{k} - p\mathbf{K}_{+} - q\mathbf{K}_{-})a_{k-G}^{\dagger}b_{k} + \text{H.c.}$ (7)

The momentum *k* still varies over the original Brillouin zone. In order to restrict it to the superlattice Brillouin zone we collect the annihilation operators at k and $k \pm G$ in the column vector

 $c_k = (a_k, a_{k-G}, a_{k+G}, b_k, b_{k-G}, b_{k+G})$ and write the Hamiltonian in a 6 \times 6 matrix form:

$$
H(\mathbf{k}) = -c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{E}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} c_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{8a}
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix}\n\varepsilon_0 & \tilde{\Delta} \varepsilon_{\nu+1} & \tilde{\Delta}^* \varepsilon_{-\nu-1} \\
\tilde{\Delta}^* \varepsilon_{1-\nu} & \varepsilon_{-1} & \tilde{\Delta} \varepsilon_{\nu} \\
\tilde{\Delta} \varepsilon_{\nu-1} & \tilde{\Delta}^* \varepsilon_{-\nu} & \varepsilon_1\n\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(8*b*)

$$
\tilde{\Delta} = e^{2\pi i (p+q)/3} \Delta, \quad \varepsilon_n = \varepsilon (\mathbf{k} + n\mathbf{G}), \tag{8c}
$$

where we used equation ([3](#page-3-0)).

3. Low-energy Hamiltonian

3.1. Gapless spectrum

The low-energy spectrum is governed by the four modes $u_k = (a_{k-G}, a_{k+G}, b_{k-G}, b_{k+G})$, which for small **k** lie near the Dirac points at $\pm G$. (We identify the K valley with $+G$ and the K' valley with $-G$.) Projection onto this subspace reduces the six-band Hamiltonian (8) to an effective four-band Hamiltonian,

$$
H_{\text{eff}} = -u_k^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_{\nu} \\ h_{\nu}^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_k, \quad h_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{-1} & \tilde{\Delta} \varepsilon_{\nu} \\ \tilde{\Delta}^* \varepsilon_{-\nu} & \varepsilon_1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{9}
$$

Corrections to the low-energy spectrum from virtual transitions to the higher bands are of order Δ_0^2 . We will include these corrections later, but for now assume $\Delta_0 \ll 1$ and neglect them.

⁵ There are three sets of integers $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ for a given index $\nu = 1 + q - p$ mod 3, corresponding to textures on the honeycomb lattice that are translated by one hexagon, or equivalently related by a $\pm 2\pi/3$ phase shift of Δ .

Figure 2. Dispersion relation near the center of the superlattice Brillouin zone, for the Kek-O texture (blue dashed curves) and for the Kek-Y texture (black solid). The curves are calculated from the full Hamiltonian ([8](#page-4-0)) for $|\tilde{\Delta}| = \Delta_0 = 0.1$.

The **k**-dependence of ε_n may be linearized near $\mathbf{k} = 0$,

$$
\varepsilon_0 = 3t_0, \quad \varepsilon_{\pm 1} = \hbar v_0 (\mp k_x + ik_y) + \text{order } (k^2), \tag{10}
$$

with Fermi velocity $v_0 = \frac{3}{2} t_0 a_0 \big/ \hbar$. The corresponding 4-component Dirac equation has the form

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(\begin{matrix}\Psi_{K'}\\ \Psi_{K}\end{matrix}\right)=E\left(\begin{matrix}\Psi_{K'}\\ \Psi_{K}\end{matrix}\right),\quad \mathcal{H}=\left(\begin{matrix}\nu_{0}\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma} & \tilde{\Delta}Q_{\nu}\\ \tilde{\Delta}^{*}Q_{\nu}^{\dagger} & \nu_{0}\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\end{matrix}\right),\tag{11a}
$$

$$
\Psi_{K'} = \begin{pmatrix} -\psi_{B,K'} \\ \psi_{A,K'} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_K = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{A,K} \\ \psi_{B,K} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11b}
$$

$$
Q_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{-\nu}^* & 0\\ 0 & -\varepsilon_{\nu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} 3t_0 \sigma_z & \text{if } \nu = 0, \\ \nu_0(\nu p_x - \mathrm{i}p_y)\sigma_0 & \text{if } |\nu| = 1. \end{cases}
$$
(11*c*)

The spinor Ψ_K contains the wave amplitudes on the A and B sublattices in valley K and similarly $\Psi_{K'}$ for valley K', but note the different ordering of the components $[17]^\circ$ $[17]^\circ$ $[17]^\circ$. We have defined the momentum operator $p = -i\hbar \partial/\partial r$, with $p \cdot \sigma = p_x \sigma_x + p_y \sigma_y$. The Pauli matrices σ_x , σ_y , σ_z , with σ_0 the unit matrix, act on the sublattice degree of freedom.

For the Kek-O texture we recover the gapped spectrum of Kekulé dimerized graphene [[8](#page-12-0)],

$$
E^2 = \nu_0^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 + (3t_0 \Delta_0)^2 \text{ for } \nu = 0.
$$
 (12)

The Kek-Y texture, instead, has a gapless spectrum,

$$
E_{\pm}^{2} = v_0^2 (1 \pm \Delta_0)^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2, \text{ for } |\nu| = 1,
$$
 (13)

consisting of a pair of linearly dispersing modes with different velocities $v_0(1 \pm \Delta_0)$. The two qualitatively different dispersions are contrasted in figure 2.

3.2. Valley-momentum locking

The two gapless modes in the Kek-Y superlattice are helical, with both the sublattice pseudospin and the valley isospin locked to the direction of motion. To see this, we consider the $\nu = 1$ Kek-Y texture with a real $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta_0$. (Complex $\tilde{\Delta}$ and $\nu = -1$ are equivalent upon a unitary transformation.) The Dirac Hamiltonian (11) can be written in the compact form

$$
\mathcal{H} = \nu_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \otimes \tau_0 + \nu_{\tau} \sigma_0 \otimes (\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}), \qquad (14)
$$

with the help of a second set of Pauli matrices τ_x, τ_y, τ_z and unit matrix τ_0 acting on the valley degree of freedom⁷. The two velocities are defined by $v_{\sigma} = v_0$ and $v_{\tau} = v_0 \Delta_0$.

⁶ The ordering of the spinor components in equation (11*b*) is the so-called valley-isotropic representation of Dirac fermions.
⁷ Equations as we get that the unitam transformation from Western developed that the late

For reference, we note that the unitary transformation from $\Psi = (-\psi_{B,K'}, \psi_{A,K'}, \psi_{A,K}, \psi_{B,K})$ to $\Psi' = (\psi_{B,K'}, \psi_{A,K'}, \psi_{A,K'}, \psi_{B,K})$ transforms $H = v_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}) \otimes \tau_0 + v_{\tau} \sigma_0 \otimes (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{\tau})$ into $\mathcal{H} = -v_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}) \otimes \tau_z + v_{\tau} \sigma_z \otimes (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{\tau})$.

Figure 3. Landau levels in the Kek-Y superlattice ($\Delta_0 = 0.1$, $\phi = 0$, $\nu = 1$). The data points are calculated numerically [[22](#page-12-0)] from the tight-binding Hamiltonian ([1](#page-3-0)) with bond modulation ([4](#page-4-0)). The lines are the analytical result from equations (18) and ([19](#page-7-0)) for the first few Landau levels. Lines of the same color identify the valley-split Landau level, the zeroth Landau level (red line) is not split.

An eigenstate of the current operator

$$
j_{\alpha} = \partial \mathcal{H}/\partial p_{\alpha} = v_{\sigma} \sigma_{\alpha} \otimes \tau_0 + v_{\tau} \sigma_0 \otimes \tau_{\alpha}
$$
 (15)

with eigenvalue $v_{\sigma} \pm v_{\tau}$ is an eigenstate of σ_{α} with eigenvalue $+1$ and an eigenstate of τ_{α} with eigenvalue ± 1 . (The two Pauli matrices act on different degrees of freedom, so they commute and can be diagonalized independently.) This valley-momentum locking does not violate time-reversal symmetry, since the timereversal operation in the superlattice inverts all three vectors $p, \sigma,$ and $\tau,$ and hence leaves ${\cal H}$ unaffected⁸:

$$
(\sigma_y \otimes \tau_y) \mathcal{H}^*(\sigma_y \otimes \tau_y) = \mathcal{H}.
$$
 (16)

The valley-momentum locking does break the sublattice symmetry, since H no longer anticommutes with σ_z but another chiral symmetry involving both sublattice and valley degrees of freedom remains:

$$
(\sigma_z \otimes \tau_z)\mathcal{H} = -\mathcal{H}(\sigma_z \otimes \tau_z). \tag{17}
$$

3.3. Landau level quantization

A perpendicular magnetic field B in the z-direction (vector potential A in the $x-y$ plane), breaks the time-reversal symmetry (16) via the substitution $p \mapsto -i\hbar \partial/\partial r + eA(r) \equiv \Pi$. The chiral symmetry (17) is preserved, so the Landau levels are still symmetrically arranged around $E = 0$, as in unperturbed graphene. Because the two helicity operators $\Pi \cdot \sigma$ and $\Pi \cdot \tau$ do not commute for $A \neq 0$, they can no longer be diagonalized independently. In particular, this means the Landau level spectrum is not simply a superposition of two spectra of Dirac fermions with different velocities.

It is still possible to calculate the spectrum analytically (see appendix [A](#page-9-0)). We find Landau levels at energies E_n^+ , E_n^- , $-E_n^+$, $-E_n^-$, $n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$ given by

$$
E_n^{\pm} = E_B[2n + 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + n(n+1)(4\nu_\sigma\nu_\tau)^2 \bar{\nu}^{-4}}]^{1/2},
$$
\n(18)

with the definitions $\bar{v} = \sqrt{v_a^2 + v_r^2}$ and $E_B = \bar{v} \sqrt{\hbar eB}$.

In unperturbed graphene all Landau levels have a twofold valley degeneracy $^{\circ}$: $E_n^+=E_{n+1}^-$ for $v_\tau=0.$ This includes the zeroth Landau level: $E_0^- = 0 = -E_0^-$. A nonzero v_τ breaks the valley degeneracy of all Landau levels at $E \neq 0$, but a valley-degenerate zero-mode $E_0^- = 0$ remains, see figure 3.

The absence of a splitting of the zeroth Landau level can be understood as a topological protection in the context of an index theorem [[18](#page-12-0)–[21](#page-12-0)], which requires that *either* $\Pi_+ \equiv \Pi_x + i \Pi_y$ or $\Pi_- \equiv \Pi_x - i \Pi_y$ has a zeromode. If we decompose $H = \Pi_+ S_+ + \Pi_- S_+$, with $S_{\pm} = v_{\sigma} (\sigma_x \pm i \sigma_y) + v_{\tau} (\tau_x \pm i \tau_y)$, we see that both S_+ and

⁸ The time-reversal operation $\mathcal{T} = (\sigma_y \otimes \tau_y)\mathcal{C}$ from equation (16) (with $\mathcal C$ complex conjugation) squares to +1 because the electron spin is not explicitly included. If we do include it, we would have $T = (s_y \otimes \sigma_y \otimes \tau_y)C$, which squares to −1 as expected for a fermionic quasiparticle. The combination of the time-reversal symmetry (16) and the chiral symmetry (17) places the superlattice in the BDI symmetry classification of topological states of matter.

 9 The Landau levels also have a twofold spin degeneracy, which could be resolved by the Zeeman energy but is not considered here.

S_ have a rank-two null space¹⁰, spanned by the spinors $\psi^{(1)}_\pm$ and $\psi^{(2)}_\pm.$ So if $\Pi_\pm f_\pm=0$, a twofold degenerate zero-mode of ${\cal H}$ is formed by the states $f_\pm \psi^{(1)}_\mp$ and $f_\pm \psi^{(2)}_\mp.$

All of this is distinctive for the Kek-Y bond order: for the Kek-O texture it is the other way around—the Landau levels have a twofold valley degeneracy except for the nondegenerate Landau level at the edge of the band $gap¹¹$.

4. Effect of virtual transitions to higher bands

So far we have assumed $\Delta_0 \ll 1$, and one might ask how robust our findings are to finite- Δ_0 corrections, involving virtual transitions from the ε_{+1} bands near $E = 0$ to the ε_0 band near $E = 3t_0$. We have been able to include these to all orders in Δ_0 (see appendix [B](#page-10-0)), and find that the entire effect is a renormalization of the velocities v_{σ} and v_{τ} in the Hamiltonian ([14](#page-5-0)), which retains its form as a sum of two helicity operators. For real $\Delta = \Delta_0$ the renormalization is given by $v_{\sigma} = v_0 \rho_+$, $v_{\tau} = v_0 \rho_-$ with

$$
\rho_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \Delta_0) \left(\frac{1 + 2\Delta_0}{\sqrt{1 + 2\Delta_0^2}} \pm 1 \right). \tag{19}
$$

For complex $\Delta = \Delta_0 e^{i\phi}$ the nonlinear renormalization introduces a dependence on the phase ϕ modulo $2\pi/3$.

What this renormalization shows is that, as expected for a topological protection, the robustness of the zeroth Landau level to the Kek-Y texture is not limited to perturbation theory—also strong modulations of the bond strength cannot split it away from $E = 0$.

5. Pseudospin-valley coupling

In zero magnetic field the low-energy Hamiltonian ([14](#page-5-0)) does not couple the pseudospin σ and valley τ degrees of freedom. A $\sigma \otimes \tau$ coupling is introduced in the Kek-Y superlattice by an ionic potential μ_Y on the carbon atoms that line up with the carbon vacancies—the atoms located at each center of a red Y in figure [1](#page-3-0). We consider this effect for the $\nu = 1$ Kek-Y texture with a real $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta_0$.

The ionic potential acts on one-third of the A sublattice sites, labeled r_Y . (For $\nu = -1$ it would act on onethird of the *B* sublattice sites.) Fourier transformation of the on-site contribution $\mu_Y\sum_{r_Y}a_{r_Y}^{\dagger}a_{r_Y}$ to the tightbinding Hamiltonian ([1](#page-3-0)) gives with the help of the lattice sum

$$
\sum_{r_Y} e^{ikr_Y} \propto \delta(\mathbf{k}) + \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{G}) + \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}) \tag{20}
$$

the momentum-space Hamiltonian

$$
H(\mathbf{k}) = -c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mathrm{Y}} & \mathcal{E}_{1}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \mathcal{E}_{1}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} c_{\mathbf{k}},\tag{21a}
$$

$$
M_{\mathcal{Y}} = -\mu_{\mathcal{Y}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{21b}
$$

The \mathcal{E}_1 block is still given by equation ([8](#page-4-0)). The additional M_Y -block breaks the chiral symmetry.

Projection onto the subspace spanned by $u_k = (a_{k-G}, a_{k-G}, b_{k-G}, b_{k+G})$ gives the effective Hamiltonian

$$
H_{\rm eff} = -u_k^{\dagger} \binom{m_Y h_1}{h_1^{\dagger} 0} u_k, \quad m_Y = -\mu_Y \binom{1}{1} \tag{22}
$$

The corresponding Dirac Hamiltonian has the form ([11](#page-5-0)) with an additional $\sigma \otimes \tau$ coupling,

$$
\mathcal{H} = v_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \otimes \tau_0 + v_{\tau} \sigma_0 \otimes (\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{\Upsilon} \n+ \frac{1}{2} \mu_{\Upsilon} (\sigma_x \otimes \tau_x + \sigma_y \otimes \tau_y - \sigma_z \otimes \tau_z).
$$
\n(23)

¹⁰ If we define the eigenstates $|α, β⟩$ by $σ_z|α, β⟩ = α|α, β⟩$, $τ_z|α, β⟩ = β|α, β⟩$, then S_+ annihilates $ψ_+^{(1)} = |1, 1⟩$ and $ψ_+^{(2)} = v_7|1, -1⟩ - v_σ|1, -1⟩$, while S_- annihilates $ψ_-^{(1)} = |-1, -1⟩$ and $ψ_-^{(2)} = v_7|1, -1⟩ - v$

 $\psi_+^{(2)} = v_r|-1, 1\rangle - v_o|1, -1\rangle$, while *S*_ annihilates $\psi_-^{(1)} = |-1, -1\rangle$ and $\psi_-^{(2)} = v_r|1, -1\rangle - v_o| - 1, 1\rangle$.
¹¹ In a Kek-O superlattice the Landau levels are given by $E_n^2 = (3t_0\Delta_0)^2 + 2n\hbar e Bv_0^2$, $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$, w $n \geqslant 1$ and a nondegenerate zeroth Landau level at $\pm 3t_0 \Delta_0$.

The energy spectrum,

$$
E_{\pm}^{(1)} = \pm (\nu_{\sigma} - \nu_{\tau}) |\mathbf{p}|,
$$

\n
$$
E_{\pm}^{(2)} = \mu_{\text{Y}} \pm \sqrt{(\nu_{\sigma} + \nu_{\tau})^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 + \mu_{\text{Y}}^2},
$$
\n(24)

has two bands that cross linearly in p at $E = 0$, while the other two bands have a quadratic p-dependence (see figure 4). The pseudospin and valley isospin orientation for the two bands is illustrated in figure 5.

The three bands $E_+^{(1)}, E_-^{(1)}, E_-^{(2)}$ that intersect at $p=0$ are reminiscent of a spin-one Dirac one. Such a dispersion is a known feature of a potential modulation that involves only one-third of the atoms on one sublattice [[14](#page-12-0), [15](#page-12-0)]. The spectrum remains gapless even though the chiral symmetry is broken. This is in contrast to the usual staggered potential between A and B sublattices, which opens a gap via a $\sigma_z \otimes \tau_z$ term [[16](#page-12-0)].

6. Discussion

In summary, we have shown that the Y-shaped Kekulé bond texture (Kek-Y superlattice) in graphene preserves the massless character of the Dirac fermions. This is fundamentally different from the gapped band structure resulting from the original Kekulé dimerization [[8](#page-12-0)–[11](#page-12-0)] (Kek-O superlattice), and contrary to expectations from its experimental realization [[6](#page-12-0), [7](#page-12-0)].

The gapless low-energy Hamiltonian $H = v_a \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} + v_r \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ is the sum of two helicity operators, with the momentum p coupled independently to both the sublattice pseudospin σ and the valley isospin τ . This valleymomentum locking is distinct from the coupling of the valley to a pseudo-magnetic field that has been explored as an enabler for valleytronics[[23](#page-12-0)], and offers a way for a momentum-controlled valley precession. The broken valley degeneracy would also remove a major obstacle for spin qubits in graphene [[24](#page-12-0)].

A key experimental test of our theoretical predictions would be a confirmation that the Kek-Y superlattice has a gapless spectrum, in stark contrast to the gapped Kek-O spectrum. In the experiment by Gutiérrez et al on a graphene/Cu heterostructure the Kek-Y superlattice is formed by copper vacancies that are in registry with one out of six carbon atoms[[6,](#page-12-0) [7](#page-12-0)]. These introduce the Y-shaped hopping modulations shown in figure [1,](#page-3-0) but in addition will modify the ionic potential felt by the carbon atom at the center of the Y. Unlike the usual staggered

potential between A and B sublattices, this potential modulation in an enlarged unit cell does not open a gap [[14,](#page-12-0) [15](#page-12-0)]. We have also checked that the Dirac cone remains gapless if we include hoppings beyond nearest neighbor. All of this gives confidence that the gapless spectrum will survive in a realistic situation.

Further research in other directions could involve the Landau level spectrum, to search for the unique feature of a broken valley degeneracy coexisting with a valley-degenerate zero-mode. The graphene analogs in optics and acoustics[[25](#page-12-0)] could also provide an interesting platform for a Kek-Y superlattice with a much stronger amplitude modulation than can be realized with electrons.

Acknowledgments

We have benefited from discussions with A Akhmerov, V Cheianov, J Hutasoit, P Silvestrov, and D Varjas. This research was supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO/OCW) and an ERC Synergy Grant.

Appendix A. Calculation of the Landau level spectrum in a Kek-Y superlattice

We calculate the spectrum in a perpendicular magnetic field of a graphene sheet with a Kekulé-Y bond texture. We start by rewriting the Hamiltonian ([14](#page-5-0)), with $\Pi = p + eA$, in the form

$$
\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\Pi_{+}S_{-} + \frac{1}{2}\Pi_{-}S_{+} + \mu\sigma_{z} \otimes \tau_{z},\tag{A1}
$$

in terms of the raising and lowering operators

$$
\Pi_{\pm} = \Pi_x \pm i\Pi_y, \quad \sigma_{\pm} = \sigma_x \pm i\sigma_y, \quad \tau_{\pm} = \tau_x \pm i\tau_y,
$$

\n
$$
S_{\pm} = \nu_\sigma \sigma_{\pm} \otimes \tau_0 + \nu_\tau \sigma_0 \otimes \tau_{\pm}.
$$
\n(A2)

The chiral-symmetry breaking term $\mu \sigma_z \otimes \tau_z$ that we have added will serve a purpose later on.

We know that the Hermitian operator $\Omega = \Pi_+ \Pi_-$ has eigenvalues $\omega_n = 2n \hbar \epsilon B$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, in view of the commutator $[\Pi_-, \Pi_+] = 2\hbar eB$. So the strategy is to express the secular equation det $(E - H) = 0$ in a form that involves only the mixed products $\Pi_+ \Pi_-$, and no Π_+^2 or Π_-^2 . This is achieved by means of a unitary transformation, as follows.

We define the unitary matrix

$$
U = \exp\left[\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{i}\pi(\sigma_0 + \sigma_z) \otimes \tau_y\right]
$$
 (A3)

and reduce the determinant of a 4 \times 4 matrix to that of a 2 \times 2 matrix:

$$
\det(\mathcal{H} - E) = \det U^{\dagger}(\mathcal{H} - E)U
$$

=
$$
\det \begin{pmatrix} -E + \mu & R^{\dagger} \\ R & -E - \mu \end{pmatrix}
$$

=
$$
\begin{cases} \det(E^2 - \mu^2 - RR^{\dagger}) & \text{if } E \neq \mu, \\ \det(E^2 - \mu^2 - R^{\dagger}R) & \text{if } E \neq -\mu, \end{cases}
$$
 (A4)

with
$$
R = \begin{pmatrix} -\nu_{\tau} \Pi_{-} & \nu_{\sigma} \Pi_{-} \\ -\nu_{\sigma} \Pi_{+} & \nu_{\tau} \Pi_{+} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (A5)

The matrix product RR^{\dagger} is not of the desired form, but $R^{\dagger}R$ is,

$$
R^{\dagger}R = \begin{pmatrix} v_{\sigma}^{2}\Pi_{-}\Pi_{+} + v_{\tau}^{2}\Pi_{+}\Pi_{-} & -v_{\sigma}v_{\tau}(\Pi_{-}\Pi_{+} + \Pi_{+}\Pi_{-}) \\ -v_{\sigma}v_{\tau}(\Pi_{-}\Pi_{+} + \Pi_{+}\Pi_{-}) & v_{\sigma}^{2}\Pi_{+}\Pi_{-} + v_{\tau}^{2}\Pi_{-}\Pi_{+} \end{pmatrix},
$$
(A6)

involving only $\Pi_+ \Pi_- = \Omega$ and $\Pi_- \Pi_+ = \Omega + \omega_1$. Hence the determinant is readily evaluated for $E \neq -\mu$,

$$
\det(\mathcal{H} - E) = \det(E^2 - \mu^2 - R^{\dagger}R) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \det\left(\frac{E^2 - \mu^2 - \bar{v}^2\omega_n - v_{\sigma}^2\omega_1}{v_{\sigma}v_{\tau}(2\omega_n + \omega_1)} - \frac{v_{\sigma}v_{\tau}(2\omega_n + \omega_1)}{E^2 - \mu^2 - \bar{v}^2\omega_n - v_{\tau}^2\omega_1}\right), \quad (A7)
$$

where we have abbreviated $\bar{v} = \sqrt{v_{\sigma}^2 + v_{\tau}^2}$.

Equating the determinant to zero and solving for Ewe find four sets of energy eigenvalues E_n^+ , E_n^- , $-E_n^+$, $-E_n^-$, given by

$$
(E_n^{\pm})^2 - \mu^2 = \left(\omega_n + \frac{1}{2}\omega_1\right)\bar{v}^2 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega_1^2\bar{v}^4 + (4\nu_\sigma\nu_\tau)^2\omega_n\omega_{n+1}} = E_B^2[2n + 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + n(n+1)(4\nu_\sigma\nu_\tau)^2\bar{v}^{-4}}].
$$
\n(A8)

In the second equation we introduced the energy scale $E_B = \hbar \bar{\nu}/l_m$, with $l_m = \sqrt{\hbar/eB}$ the magnetic length. The *B*-independent level $E_0^- = \mu$ becomes a zero-mode in the limit $\mu \to 0$.

As a check on the calculation, we note that for $\mu = 0$, $\nu_{\tau} = 0$ we recover the valley-degenerate Landau level spectrum of graphene [[16](#page-12-0)],

$$
E_n^- = (\hbar v_\sigma / l_m) \sqrt{2n} \,, \quad E_n^+ = E_{n+1}^-.
$$
 (A9)

Another special case of interest is $\mu = 0$, $\nu_{\sigma} = \nu_{\tau} \equiv \nu_0$, when the two modes of Dirac fermions have velocities $v_{\sigma} \pm v_{\tau}$ equal to 0 and 2 v_0 . From equation ([A8](#page-9-0)) we find the Landau level spectrum

$$
E_n^- = 0, \quad E_n^+ = 2(\hbar v_0 / l_m) \sqrt{2n + 1}.
$$
\n(A10)

The mode with zero velocity remains B-independent, while the mode with velocity $2v_0$ produces a sequence of Landau levels with a $1/2$ offset in the *n*-dependence.

Appendix B. Calculation of the low-energy Hamiltonian to all orders in the Kek-Y bond modulation

We seek to reduce the six-band Hamiltonian ([8](#page-4-0)) to an effective 4 \times 4 Hamiltonian that describes the low-energy spectrum near $k = 0$. For $\Delta_0 \ll 1$ we can simply project onto the 2 \times 2 lower-right subblock of \mathcal{E}_ν , which for the |*v*| = 1 Kek-Y bond modulation vanishes linearly in **k**. This subblock is coupled to the ε_0 band near $E = 3t_0$ by matrix elements of order Δ_0 , so virtual transitions to this higher band contribute to the low-energy spectrum in order $\Delta_0^2.$ We will now show how to include these effects to all order in $\Delta_0.$

One complication when we go beyond the small- Δ_0 regime is that the phase ϕ of the modulation amplitude can no longer be removed by a unitary transformation. As we will see, the low-energy Hamiltonian depends on ϕ modulo 2 $\pi/3$ —so we do not need to distinguish between the phase of $\tilde{\Delta} = e^{2\pi i (p+q)/3} \Delta$ and the phase of Δ . The choice between $\nu = \pm 1$ still does not matter, the two Kek-Y modulations being related by a mirror symmetry. For definiteness we take $\nu = +1$.

We define the unitary matrix

$$
V = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\phi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix},
$$
(B1*a*)

$$
\mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{2D_0} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2\Delta_0 & -2\Delta_0 \\ 2\Delta_0 & 1 + D_0 & 1 - D_0 \\ 2\Delta_0 & 1 - D_0 & 1 + D_0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
(B1*b*)

with $D_0 = \sqrt{1 \, + \, 2 \Delta_0^2}$ and evaluate

$$
V^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{E}_1 \\ \mathcal{E}_1^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix} V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1 \\ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (B2*a*)

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1 = \mathcal{V}^\dagger \mathcal{E}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} D_0 \varepsilon_0 & \rho_0^* \varepsilon_{-1} & \rho_0 \varepsilon_1 \\ 0 & \rho_+ \varepsilon_{-1} & \rho_-^* \varepsilon_1 \\ 0 & \rho_- \varepsilon_{-1} & \rho_+^* \varepsilon_1 \end{pmatrix},\tag{B2b}
$$

$$
\rho_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2D_0} [1 - 2\Delta_0^2 \pm D_0 + e^{-3i\phi} \Delta_0 (1 \mp D_0)], \tag{B2c}
$$

$$
\rho_0 = \frac{\Delta_0}{D_0} (2 + e^{3i\phi} \Delta_0). \tag{B2d}
$$

The matrix elements that couple the lower-right 2 \times 2 subblock of $\tilde{\mathcal E}_1$ to ε_0 are now of order k , so the effect on the low-energy spectrum is of order k^2 and can be neglected—to all orders in Δ_0 .

The resulting effective low-energy Hamiltonian has the 4×4 form ([9](#page-4-0)), with h_1 replaced by

$$
h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_+ \varepsilon_{-1} & \rho_-^* \varepsilon_1 \\ \rho_- \varepsilon_{-1} & \rho_+^* \varepsilon_1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{B3}
$$

The phases of $\rho_\pm=|\rho_\pm|e^{i\theta_\pm}$ can be eliminated by one more unitary transformation, with the 4 \times 4 diagonal matrix

Figure B1. Velocities $v_1 = v_\sigma + v_\tau$ and $v_2 = v_\sigma - v_\tau$ of the two gapless modes in the Kek-Y superlattice, as a function of the bond modulation amplitude Δ_0 for two values of the modulation phase ϕ . The ϕ -dependence modulo 2π/3 appears to second order in Δ_0 . The curves are calculated from equation (B7). Note that positive and negative values of v_1 , v_2 are equivalent.

the bonds refer to three different bond strengths, adding up to 3 t_0 . For $\phi = 0$ two of the bond strengths are equal to $t_0(1 - \Delta_0)$ and the third equals $t_0 (1 + 2\Delta_0)$. This is the case shown in figure [1.](#page-3-0) For $\phi = \pi/6$ the bond strengths are equidistant: $t_0 (1 - \Delta_0 \sqrt{3})$, t_0 , and t_0 (1 + $\Delta_0\sqrt{3}$). The value of Δ_0 where a bond strength vanishes shows up in figure B1 as a point of vanishing velocity.

$$
\Theta = \text{diag}(e^{i\theta_-}, e^{i\theta_+}, e^{i\theta_+ + i\theta_-}, 1),\tag{B4}
$$

which results in

$$
\Theta^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_1 \\ \tilde{h}_1^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{h}_1 \\ \tilde{h}_1^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{h}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} |\rho_+|\varepsilon_{-1} & |\rho_-|\varepsilon_1 \\ |\rho_-|\varepsilon_{-1} & |\rho_+|\varepsilon_1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (B5)

Finally, we arrive at the effective Hamiltonian ([14](#page-5-0)), with renormalized velocities:

$$
\mathcal{H} = \nu_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \otimes \tau_0 + \nu_{\tau} \sigma_0 \otimes (\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}), \ \ \nu_{\sigma} = |\rho_+| \nu_0, \ \ \nu_{\tau} = |\rho_-| \nu_0,
$$
 (B6)

$$
|\rho_{\pm}|^2 = \frac{1}{2D_0^2}(1 + 3\Delta_0^4 \pm D_0(1 - 3\Delta_0^2) + 2\Delta_0^3(\pm D_0 - 2)\cos 3\phi). \tag{B7}
$$

To third order in Δ_0 we have

$$
\nu_{\sigma}/\nu_0 = 1 - \frac{3}{2}\Delta_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_0^3 \cos 3\phi, \quad \nu_{\tau}/\nu_0 = \Delta_0 - \frac{3}{2}\Delta_0^2 \cos 3\phi + \frac{1}{16}\Delta_0^3 (1 - 9\cos 6\phi) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta_0^4).
$$
 (B8)

For real Δ , when $\phi = 0$ and ρ_+ is real, equation ([B7](#page-11-0)) simplifies to

$$
\rho_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \Delta_0) \left(\frac{1 + 2\Delta_0}{\sqrt{1 + 2\Delta_0^2}} \pm 1 \right). \tag{B9}
$$

The velocities of the two Dirac modes are then given by

$$
\begin{aligned} v_1 &= v_\sigma + v_\tau = v_0 \frac{(1 - \Delta_0)(1 + 2\Delta_0)}{\sqrt{1 + 2\Delta_0^2}}, \\ v_2 &= v_\sigma - v_\tau = v_0 (1 - \Delta_0). \end{aligned} \tag{B10}
$$

More generally, for complex $\Delta = \Delta_0 e^{i\phi}$ both ν_1 and ν_2 become ϕ -dependent to second order in Δ_0 , see figure [B1](#page-11-0).

Note that the asymmetry in $\pm \Delta_0$ vanishes for $\phi = \pi/6$. For this phase the superlattice has three different bond strengths (see figure [B2](#page-11-0)) that are symmetrically arranged around the unperturbed value t_0 .

ORCID iDs

C W J Beenakke[r](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4748-4412) ^{to} [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4748-4412)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-4748-4412](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4748-4412)

References

- [1] Fert A 2008 Origin, development, and future of spintronics Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 47 [5956](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200801093)
- [2] Awschalom D and Samarth N 2009 Spintronics without magnetism Physics 2 [50](https://doi.org/10.1103/Physics.2.50)
- [3] Kuschel T and Reiss G 2015 Spin orbitronics: charges ride the spin wave Nat. Nanotechnol. [10](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2014.279) 22
- [4] Recher P and Trauzettel B 2011 A defect controls transport in graphene Physics 4 [25](https://doi.org/10.1103/Physics.4.25)
- [5] Pesin D and MacDonald A H 2012 Spintronics and pseudospintronics in graphene and topological insulators Nat. Mater. 11 [409](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3305)
- [6] Gutiérrez C, Kim C-J, Brown L, Schiros T, Nordlund D, Lochocki E B, Shen K M, Park J and Pasupathy A N 2016 Imaging chiral symmetry breaking from Kekulé bond order in graphene Nat. Phys. 12 [950](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3776) Commentary by Mudry C 2016 Heavy going Nat. Phys. 12 [895](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3798)
- [7] Gutiérrez C 2015 Visualizing ordered electronic states in epitaxial graphene PhD Thesis Columbia University Academic Commons
- [8] Chamon C 2000 Solitons in carbon nanotubes Phys. Rev. B 62 [2806](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.2806)
- [9] Hou C-Y, Chamon C and Mudry C 2007 Electron fractionalization in two-dimensional graphenelike structures Phys. Rev. Lett. [98](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.186809) [186809](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.186809)
- [10] Cheianov V V, Fal'ko V I, Syljuåsen O and Altshuler B L 2009 Hidden Kekulé ordering of adatoms on graphene Solid State Commun. 149 [1499](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2009.07.008)
- [11] Cheianov V V, Syljuåsen O, Altshuler B L and Fal'ko V 2009 Ordered states of adatoms on graphene Phys. Rev. B 80 [233409](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.233409)
- [12] Gomes K K, Mar W, Ko W, Guinea F and Manoharan H C 2012 Designer Dirac fermions and topological phases in molecular graphene Nature [483](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10941) 306
- [13] Giovannetti G, Khomyakov P A, Brocks G, Kelly P J and van den Brink J 2007 Substrate-induced bandgap in graphene on hexagonal boron nitride Phys. Rev. B 76 [073103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.073103)
- [14] Giovannetti G, Capone M, van den Brink J and Ortix C 2015 Kekulé textures, pseudo-spin one Dirac cones and quadratic band crossings in a graphene-hexagonal indium chalcogenide bilayer Phys. Rev. B 91 [121417](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.121417)
- [15] Ren Y, Deng X, Qiao Z, Li C, Jung J, Zeng C, Zhang Z and Niu Q 2015 Single-valley engineering in graphene superlattices Phys. Rev. B 91 [245415](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.245415)
- [16] Castro Neto A H, Guinea F, Peres N M R, Novoselov K S and Geim A K 2009 The electronic properties of graphene Rev. Mod. Phys. 81 [109](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.81.109)
- [17] See Beenakker CW J 2008 Andreev reflection and Klein tunneling in graphene Rev. Mod. Phys. 80 [1337](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.1337)
- [18] Aharonov Y and Casher A 1979 Ground state of a spin-1/2 charged particle in a two-dimensional magnetic field Phys. Rev. A 19 [2461](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.19.2461)
- [19] Wen X G and Zee A 1989 Winding number, family index theorem, and electron hopping in a magnetic field Nucl. Phys. B [316](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(89)90062-X) 641
- [20] Katsnelson M I and Prokhorova M F 2008 Zero-energy states in corrugated bilayer graphene Phys. Rev. B 77 [205424](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.205424)
- [21] Kailasvuori J 2009 Pedestrian index theorem à la Aharonov–Casher for bulk threshold modes in corrugated multilayer graphene Europhys. Lett. 87 [47008](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/87/47008)
- [22] Groth CW, Wimmer M, Akhmerov A R and Waintal X 2014 Kwant: a software package for quantum transport New J. Phys. 16 [063065](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/6/063065)
- [23] Wang S K and Wang J 2015 Valley precession in graphene superlattices Phys. Rev. B 92 [075419](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.075419)
- [24] Trauzettel B, Bulaev D V, Loss D and Burkard G 2007 Spin qubits in graphene quantum dots Nat. Phys. 3 [192](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys544)
- [25] Polini M, Guinea F, Lewenstein M, Manoharan H C and Pellegrini V 2013 Artificial honeycomb lattices for electrons, atoms and photons Nat. Nanotechnol. 8 [625](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2013.161)