



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Optimizing triage and treatment strategies in urinary tract infection

Stalenhoef, J.E.

Citation

Stalenhoef, J. E. (2019, May 8). *Optimizing triage and treatment strategies in urinary tract infection*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/72409>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/72409>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden




The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/72409> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Stalenhoef, J.E.

Title: Optimizing triage and treatment strategies in urinary tract infection

Issue Date: 2019-05-08



CHAPTER 3

Biomarker guided triage can reduce hospitalization rate in community acquired febrile urinary tract infection

Janneke E. Stalenhoef, Cees van Nieuwkoop, Darius Cameron Wilson, Willize E. van der Starre, Tanny J.K. van der Reijden, Nathalie M. Delfos, Eliane M.S. Leyten, Ted Koster, Hans C. Ablij, Jan W. van 't Wout, Jaap T. van Dissel.

Journal of Infection 2018 Jul;77(1):18-24

ABSTRACT

Objectives

Febrile urinary tract infections (fUTI) can often be treated safely with oral antimicrobials in an outpatient setting. However, a minority of patients develop complications that may progress into septic shock. An accurate assessment of disease severity upon emergency department (ED) presentation is therefore crucial in order to guide the most appropriate triage and treatment decisions.

Methods

Consecutive patients were enrolled with presumptive fUTI across 7 EDs in the Netherlands. The biomarkers mid-regional proadrenomedullin (MR-proADM), procalcitonin (PCT), C-reactive protein (CRP), and a clinical score (PRACTICE), were compared in their ability to predict a clinically severe course of fUTI, initial hospital admission and subsequent readmission using area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) curves.

Results

Biomarker concentrations were measured in 313 patients, with 259 (83%) hospitalized upon ED presentation, and 54 (17%) treated as outpatients. Of these outpatients, 12 (22%) were later hospitalized. MR-proADM had the highest diagnostic accuracy for predicting a complicated fUTI (AUROC [95% CI]: 0.86 [0.79-0.92]), followed by PCT (AUROC [95% CI]: 0.69 [0.58-0.80]). MR-proADM concentrations were unique in being significantly elevated in patients directly admitted and in outpatients requiring subsequent hospitalization, compared to those completing treatment at home. A virtual triage algorithm with an MR-proADM cut-off of 0.80 nmol/L resulted in a hospitalization rate of 66%, with only 2% secondary admissions.

Conclusion

MR-proADM could accurately predict a severe course in patients with fUTI, and identify greater patient numbers who could be safely managed as outpatients. An initial assessment on ED presentation may focus resources to patients with highest disease severities.

INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infections (UTI) are amongst the most common infectious diseases found in the emergency department (ED), and usually result in a mild, low severity illness. Nevertheless, these conditions may rapidly develop in a minority of patients into a life-threatening condition, such as septic shock or multiple organ failure. Due to this potential risk, many patients are initially hospitalized, leading to a potential over treatment of low severity patients and increased healthcare costs.^{1,2} Previous studies, however, have found that uncomplicated pyelonephritis in women can be safely treated at home with oral antibiotics,³ whilst elderly patients, men and those with comorbidities may also be potentially eligible for outpatient treatment.⁴

It is therefore surprising that no tools have been established to rapidly identify UTI disease severity on ED admission, unlike the specialized scores such as CURB-65 and PSI developed for community acquired pneumonia.⁵ Recently, we assessed the use of a clinical score - the Prediction Rule for Admission policy in Complicated urinary Tract Infection LEiden (PRACTICE) - to guide admission policy in a randomized clinical trial of fUTI patients. Although implementation of this score resulted in a decrease in hospital admissions, a subsequent readmission rate of more than 25% was observed in patients who were initially discharged.⁶ Consequently, more accurate tools of disease severity are required to not only assess the requirement for initial hospitalization, but to also prevent subsequent readmissions.

The use of blood biomarkers has shown considerable promise in resolving this unmet clinical requirement in several infectious diseases. In adults with community acquired UTI, procalcitonin (PCT) has been shown to be an accurate marker of bacteremia,⁷⁻⁹ whilst mid-regional proadrenomedullin (MR-proADM) has been shown to strongly predict a complicated course of treatment, the need for ICU admission, as well as identifying patients at risk of mortality.^{10,11} Consequently, a combination of these biomarkers, or their use in isolation, may aid in determining the most appropriate setting for treatment.

This study therefore enrolled patients presenting to the emergency department with febrile urinary tract infections (fUTI), and aimed to compare the performance of biomarkers (MR-proADM, PCT and CRP) with the existing clinical score (PRACTICE) in order to (i) assess initial fUTI disease severity, (ii) predict the requirement for hospitalization, and (iii) predict the readmission rate in patients initially selected for outpatient treatment.

METHODS

Design and study population

This was a secondary analysis of the Hospitalization for community-acquired febrile urinary tract infection: validation and impact assessment of a clinical prediction rule study ⁶; a stepped wedge cluster-randomized trial involving consecutive patients presenting with a presumptive diagnosis of fUTI at the emergency departments of 7 hospitals in the Netherlands, between January 2010 and June 2014.

All participating centers started with a control period, in which routine clinical practice regarding hospitalization policy was applied. The intervention (use of the PRACTICE) was introduced at the participating centers sequentially, in random order. By the end of the allocation all sites, except one, used the PRACTICE to guide admission policy. The PRACTICE is a prediction rule allocating points to age, sex, nursing home residency, comorbidities, and vital signs at presentation (see Supplementary Table 1). The score ranges from 8 to >125 points and is divided into the following risk classes: low <75 points (recommendation towards ambulant care); intermediate 75 – 100 points (consider ambulant care); high > 100 points (recommendation towards hospital admission), based on the validation cohort.⁶

Inclusion criteria were age ≥ 18 years, fever ($\geq 38.0^{\circ}\text{C}$) and/or a history of fever or shaking chills within 24 hours before presentation, a positive nitrite dipstick test or leukocyturia, and at least one symptom of UTI (dysuria, perineal pain or flank pain). Exclusion criteria included pregnancy, haemo- or peritoneal-dialysis, and a history of kidney transplantation or polycystic kidney disease. In the current analysis, only patients with blood samples available for biomarker analysis were included (Supplementary Appendix Figure S1). The study protocol was approved by the local ethical committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The original study was monitored by a data safety monitoring board and was stopped prematurely on their advice, due to the rate of secondary admissions in the interventional group exceeding the predefined stopping criterion.⁶

Biomarker and clinical score measurements

CRP was measured at the local laboratories upon patient enrolment using an immunoturbidimetric assay, with cut-offs varying from 5 - 10 mg/L. Surplus EDTA plasma samples were additionally collected, centrifuged and stored at -80°C within 2 hours of patient enrolment. MR-proADM and PCT were batch-measured in a blinded fashion by TRACE technology (Time Resolved Amplified Cryptate Emission) using a new sandwich immunoassay (Kryptor Compact Plus Analyzer, BRAHMS, Hennigsdorf, Germany), with a limit of detection of 0.05 nmol/L and 0.02 ng/L, respectively. The PRACTICE score (Supplementary Appendix Table S1) was calculated in the total patient population, regardless of whether they were enrolled as part of the control or interventional group in the original study.⁶

Endpoints

Severe course of febrile urinary tract infection was defined as a composite of all-cause 30-day mortality, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and extended hospitalization (>10 days). Patient

disposition was noted upon initial ED presentation, and classified as either being (i) admitted for hospital treatment, (ii) discharged for outpatient treatment, or (iii) admitted for treatment after initial outpatient therapy.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics are expressed as counts (percentage), means (standard deviation) or medians [first quartile - third quartile], as appropriate. Biomarker values were log-normalized before analysis. Univariate analysis was performed using ANOVA, Student's t-test or Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables, and Chi-square test for categorical variables. Area under the receiver operating characteristics (AUROC) curves with 95% confidence intervals [95% CI] were used to compare the predictive value of the biomarkers and clinical score. Differences between AUROCs were assessed using DeLong's test for significance.¹²

Based on disease severity observations, biomarker suitability for guiding triage decisions was further investigated. Biomarker concentrations in relation to predetermined cut-offs allowed patients to be allocated to either virtual hospitalization or outpatient treatment groups. Patients allocated to outpatient care who were later hospitalized were counted as readmissions. The virtual admission and readmission rates, as well as instances of bacteraemia, ICU admission and 30-day mortality were subsequently calculated. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS software (SPSS Inc. Chicago, version 23.0) was used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

A total of 313 patients with a presumptive diagnosis of fUTI were analysed (details provided in the Flowchart in the Supplementary Appendix Figure S1). Patient characteristics in terms of urologic history, comorbidities and presenting symptoms are outlined in Table 1. The 30-day mortality rate across the total population was 2% (N = 5), with 114 (36%) patients undergoing existing antimicrobial treatment prior to ED presentation. Patients had an average age of 58 (40 - 75) years, with females comprising the majority of enrolled patients (N = 186; 59%).

Upon presentation to the ED, 259 (83%) patients were hospitalized, with 54 (17%) selected for outpatient treatment. Of these outpatients, 12 (22%) subsequently re-presented to the ED and were hospitalized. Bacteraemia was found in 74 (24%) patients (Supplementary Appendix Table S2), and 9 (3%) patients were admitted onto the ICU. Median biomarker concentrations across the total patient population were as follows: MR-proADM: 1.0 [0.71 - 1.54] nmol/L; PCT: 0.60 [0.16 - 2.5] mg/mL; and CRP: 115 (52 - 199) mg/L. Both MR-proADM and PCT were significantly correlated to the PRACTICE score (p <0.001), albeit weakly (R² = 0.28 and 0.05, respectively; Supplementary Appendix Figure S2). There was no significant correlation between the PRACTICE score and CRP concentrations.

Table 1. Patient characteristics and outcome.

Patient characteristics	Control group (N = 185)	Intervention group (N = 128)	Total (N = 313)
Age in years; median (IQR)	58 (40-73)	61 (42-76)	58 (40-75)
Sex – female	117 (63)	69 (54)	186 (59)
Febrile uncomplicated UTI	45 (24)	28 (22)	73 (23)
Antimicrobial pre-treatment at inclusion	73 (39)	41 (32)	114 (36)
Urologic history			
Present urinary catheter	11 (6)	9 (7)	20 (6)
History of urinary tract disorder	58 (31)	33 (26)	91 (29)
Co-morbidities			
Any	94 (51)	76 (59)	170 (54)
Diabetes mellitus	24 (13)	29 (23)	53 (17)
Malignancy	10 (5)	11 (9)	21 (7)
Heart failure	22 (12)	12 (9)	34 (11)
Cerebrovascular disease	10 (5)	20 (16)	30 (10)
Cirrhosis	1 (0)	2 (2)	3 (1)
Renal insufficiency	8 (4)	20 (16)	28 (9)
Immunocompromised	11 (6)	10 (8)	21 (7)
Presentation			
Shaking chills	124 (67)	92 (72)	216 (69)
Systolic BP (mmHg), mean \pm SD	130 \pm 22	132 \pm 22	130 \pm 22
Diastolic BP (mmHg), mean \pm SD	71 \pm 14	74 \pm 14	72 \pm 14
Heart rate (b.p.m.), mean \pm SD	96 \pm 18	98 \pm 18	97 \pm 14
Fever duration at presentation, median hours [IQR]	28 [12-72]	24 [12-48]	24 [12-72]
Need for percutaneous nephrostomy	5 (3)	4 (3)	9 (3)
Outcome			
Hospitalization			
Total hospitalization	169 (91)*	102 (80)*	271 (87)
- Primary admission	167 (90)*	92 (72)*	259 (83)
- Outpatient treatment	18 (10)*	36 (28)*	54 (17)
- Readmission	2/18 (11)	10/36 (28)	12/54 (22)
Mortality			
- 30-day mortality	2 (1)	3 (2)	5 (2)
- 90-day mortality	3 (2)	5 (4)	8 (3)
Need for ICU admission	8 (4)	1 (1)	9 (3)
Hospital admission > 10 days	11 (6)	10 (8)	21 (7)
Length of hospital stay [median; IQR]	5; 4-7	5; 3-6	5; 4-7
Severe course of fUTI	22 (9)	12 (9)	34 (9)
Bacteraemia	44/177 (25)	30/125 (24)	74/302 (24)
Clinical cure	146/165 (79)	94/117 (80)	240/282 (85)
Microbiological cure	139/154 (90)	102/108 (94)	241/262 (92)


Data are presented as n (%) unless stated otherwise. BP: blood pressure. SD: standard deviation. Bpm: beats per minute. IQR: interquartile range. Readmission: after initial outpatient treatment. ICU: intensive care unit.

* $p < 0.05$. Severe course: composite of 30-day mortality, need for ICU-admission or >10 days hospitalization. Clinical and microbiological cure: assessed at day 30. * $p < 0.01$.

Disease severity: the prediction of severe course of fUTI

The performance of individual biomarkers and the PRACTICE score in predicting a severe course of treatment was assessed using AUROC analysis (Figure 1). MR-proADM exhibited the strongest performance (AUROC [95% CI]: 0.86 [0.79 - 0.92]), which was significantly greater than that of PCT (AUROC [95% CI]: 0.69 [0.58 - 0.80]; $p < 0.001$) and CRP (AUROC [95% CI]: 0.55 [0.44 - 0.66]; $p < 0.001$). There were no significant differences between the performance of MR-proADM and the PRACTICE score (AUROC [95% CI]: 0.80 [0.74 - 0.87]). The combination of MR-proADM, PCT or PRACTICE with one another did not significantly increase predictive ability more than the use of MR-proADM alone (e.g. MR-proADM + PRACTICE: AUROC [95% CI]: 0.88 [0.82 - 0.93]; Supplementary Appendix Table S3).

Figure 1. Biomarker and clinical score accuracy in the prediction of a severe course of fUTI



proADM: Mid-regional proadrenomedullin; PCT: Procalcitonin; CRP: C-reactive protein; fUTI: febrile urinary tract infection; AUC: area under the curve.


Prediction of the need for hospital admission in the total population

Biomarker measurements upon presentation to the ED (Figure 2) found significantly higher concentrations of MR-proADM and PCT in patients who were hospitalized compared to those who were treated as outpatients (MR-proADM: 1.05 [0.73 – 1.61] vs. 0.83 [0.57 – 1.15] nmol/L, $p < 0.01$; PCT: 0.68 [0.20 – 2.69] vs. 0.29 [0.13 – 1.07] mg/mL, $p < 0.05$). Conversely, there were no significant differences in CRP concentrations between the two groups.

AUROC analysis indicated that the PRACTICE score had the highest accuracy in predicting the need for hospitalization (AUROC [95% CI]: 0.72 [0.64 - 0.79]), although there were no significant differences compared to the performance of either MR-proADM or PCT (AUROC [95% CI]: 0.68 [0.60 - 0.76] and 0.63 [0.54 - 0.72], respectively; Supplementary Appendix Figure S3). Furthermore, there were no significant improvements in accuracy when MR-proADM, PCT or the PRACTICE score were combined in any order (Supplementary Appendix Table S4).

Figure 2. Biomarker concentrations in different patient treatment settings

Distribution of (a) MR-proADM, (b) PCT and (c) CRP in patients treated as who completed treatment as an outpatient, patients who were hospitalized after initial outpatient treatment, and patients who were hospitalized from the start of treatment. * p



<0.05, ** p < 0.01.

Prediction of hospitalization in the outpatient population

Interestingly, in the subgroup of patients that were initially treated as outpatients but who later re-presented to the emergency department and were hospitalized, MR-proADM concentrations were significantly elevated upon initial presentation (1.21 [0.81 – 1.86] nmol/L) compared to those who completed outpatient treatment at home (0.78 [0.55 – 1.02] nmol/L; p < 0.01). There were no significant differences in either PCT or CRP concentrations between the two groups.

AUROC analysis for the prediction of hospitalization in patients who were initially deemed suitable for outpatient treatment found that MR-proADM had the greatest performance (AUROC [95% CI]: 0.74 [0.58 - 0.90]) followed by the PRACTICE score (AUROC [95% CI]: 0.72 [0.52 - 0.91]), although differences were not significant (Supplementary Appendix Figure S4). There was no significant association using either PCT or CRP.

Potential effects on triage decisions


Based on the previous analysis, MR-proADM was chosen for the virtual biomarker guided treatment allocation. Four different cut-off values were subsequently used based on those found in the literature, which included: 0.55 nmol/L;¹³ 0.80 nmol/L; 1.0 nmol/L;¹⁰ and 1.25 nmol/L.

The potential impact of this virtual triage algorithm on both hospitalization and outpatient treatment decisions is shown in Figure 3. Compared to the actual hospitalization rate of 83% (N = 259), a decreased hospitalization rate of 66%, 49% and 34% could be found at MR-proADM cut-offs of 0.80, 1.0 and 1.25 nmol/L, respectively. Only at the lowest cut-off of 0.55 nmol/L, did the hospitalization rate (86%) exceed that of the actual hospitalization rate. Interestingly, the secondary admission rate at all MR-proADM cut-offs did not exceed 3%, compared to the actual

readmission rate of 22%. PCT and CRP had less value in the virtual triage, since commonly used cut-off points did not lower the primary admission rate when compared to MR-proADM, without assigning outpatient treatment to patients with actual ICU admission or mortality within 30 days.

Figure 3. 10x10 dot plot of virtual triage based on MR-proADM at different cut off levels


Data are presented as N. Admission: hospitalization after initial outpatient treatment; N = 12 in all patients. Bacteraemia: N = 74 in all patients. ICU: admission on Intensive Care Unit, N = 9 in all patients. Mortality: assessed at day 30; N = 5 (all admitted to hospital in each of the triage scenarios). proADM: mid-regional proadrenomedullin.



In comparison to the conventional hospital triage and interventional PRACTICE guided triage arms of the original study, MR-proADM guided triage at a cut-off of 0.80 nmol/L could decrease initial hospital admissions from 90% and 72%, respectively, to 66% (Figure 4). Furthermore, outpatient readmissions could also be decreased from 11% in the conventional triage and 28% in the PRACTICE guided triage, to 2% in the virtual MR-proADM guided triage.

Figure 4. Comparisons of hospital admission and outpatient admission in different triage models

a. Conventional triage in control period. b. PRACTICE guided triage. c. Virtual triage algorithm based on an MR-proADM with cut off 0.8 nmol/L. ED: emergency department. proADM: mid-regional proadrenomedullin.



DISCUSSION

This study highlights the ability of MR-proADM in accurately predicting a severe course of febrile urinary tract infection (fUTI) in patients presenting to the emergency department (ED), and in turn, demonstrates its potential use in safely decreasing emergency department admissions, increasing outpatient numbers, and lowering subsequent outpatient hospitalization.

Urinary tract infections are the second most frequent infection diagnosed within the ED,¹⁴ and many patients with low disease severities are hospitalized due to concerns regarding infectious progression towards sepsis, septic shock and multiple organ failure. Indeed, 20 - 30% of all sepsis cases originate in the urogenital tract,¹⁵ and despite relatively low mortality rates compared to other origins of septic shock, deaths due to urosepsis can still reach up to 60% in specific patient groups.¹⁶ Conversely, the unnecessary hospitalization of low disease severity patients can result in potential overcrowding and overtreatment issues, subsequently leading to an increase in associated clinical costs. An accurate assessment of initial disease severity and likelihood of disease progression, therefore, are crucial in order to facilitate a more personalized patient treatment strategy at the most appropriate setting.

This study therefore compared the use of commonly used biomarkers, such as procalcitonin (PCT) and C-reactive protein (CRP), and a pre-established clinical score (PRACTICE),⁶ with that of mid-regional proadrenomedullin (MR-proADM) in order to predict a severe course of fUTI, and provide an appropriate model of triage. MR-proADM was found to be the most accurate parameter in identifying patients at risk of a severe course, which was significantly greater than that of either PCT or CRP. Similar results in a previous study of fUTI patients found that MR-proADM performance was also greater than that of either PCT or CRP in predicting 30 day mortality, and indeed, confirm the lack of prognostic ability of CRP found within this study.¹⁰ Whilst only a limited number of studies have investigated MR-proADM performance in urinary tract infections, numerous studies have been conducted in patients with lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI). In accordance with the findings of our study, the use of MR-proADM as a stand-alone parameter in LRTI patients has been shown to have either a greater or comparable accuracy in predicting mortality or the development of adverse events compared to established clinical scores, such as CURB-65 or PSI.¹⁷⁻²⁷ Numerous clinical scores have now been developed for assessing severity in several infectious diseases, with the recent addition of qSOFA in sepsis patients.²⁸ The use of a single biomarker to provide a simple and rapid assessment of disease severity across all infectious disease subsets, independently on the aetiology of the infectious source, may therefore be of significant clinical value.

This study also found that MR-proADM may play a significant role in the triage of fUTI patients. Using a cut-off of 0.80 nmol/L, MR-proADM guided triage could decrease ED admissions and allow a higher proportion of patients to be safely treated as outpatients. Indeed, an additional 80 (25.6%) patients could have been treated on an outpatient basis as opposed to being hospitalized. Furthermore, the use of such a cut-off resulted in only 2% of outpatient re-presentations to the ED, as well as no mortalities within 30 days and no requirement for ICU admission. Despite

decreases in initial hospitalization numbers, results in the original study using the PRACTICE score found an unacceptably high admission rate in patients who were initially deemed suitable for outpatient treatment.⁶ This failure of the PRACTICE guided triage was also partially due to 4 “misdiagnosed” patients with primary bacteraemia from another source other than the urinary tract. These subjects were initially treated as outpatients, but later re-presented to the ED and were hospitalized. All of these patients with primary bacteraemia would have been admitted if the MR-proADM cut-off was set at 0.80 nmol/L. We therefore consider MR-proADM to be the optimal biomarker for UTI triage, and 0.80 nmol/L the optimal cut-off concerning patient safety, which should be further explored in any future clinical interventional trial.

To our knowledge, only one previous study addressed the use of MR-proADM for triage decisions in urinary tract infections. Litke et al described a virtual treatment algorithm combining a MR-proADM level of 1.5 nmol/L with clinical criteria in UTI patients, and found a non-significant 7% decrease in hospitalization without a corresponding increase in adverse events.¹ The primary admission rate of 78% in this cohort of 123 patients was high, although 33% of these patients were diagnosed with cystitis, possibly due to a higher age and comorbidities as compared to our cohort. Application of their cut off on our population could have further decreased the hospitalization rate. In our cohort, 4 out of 9 patients in need for ICU admission and 7 out of 12 patients who were readmitted after being send home from the ED had an MR-proADM level below 1.5 nmol/L. It is unknown whether these patients would have met their clinical criteria for hospitalization.

It should be noted that in a Dutch clinical setting most patients with acute febrile UTI consult their general practitioner first, and are subsequently referred to the ED if required. Based on the early kinetic profile of MR-proADM in infectious patients,^{29,30} MR-proADM may also be of use in the general practitioner’s office in order to provide guidance concerning hospital referrals. This in turn could lead to the more efficient use of hospital resources and a considerable reduction in costs. Indeed, Dutch general practitioners are familiar with point-of-care CRP testing since its introduction in primary care, in order to reduce antibiotic administration in respiratory tract infections.³¹ In this study, we show that CRP is not a reliable marker in patients with febrile UTI concerning severity, thus, point-of-care testing in a primary setting should be expanded to MR-proADM.

The strength of this study lies within its prospective design, in which both men and women presenting with presumptive community acquired fUTI were included, thus reflecting the full spectrum of invasive UTI found at the emergency department. Detailed clinical and microbiological information was recorded in each patient, allowing for the adjustment of final diagnosis. A retrospective analysis found that some patients meeting the inclusion criteria of presumptive fUTI were in fact diagnosed with infections other than UTI, but were nevertheless included in our analysis, since such diagnostic errors are reflective of real-life patient care. Indeed, the use of clinical judgement only can often be deceptive in patients with unspecific symptoms such as fever and back pain. If these patients could be identified by the use of MR-proADM as being bacteraemic and separate from the remainder of patients that could be safely managed as outpatients, the biomarker could be of great use in clinical guidance.

Our study also has a number of limitations. We included the PRACTICE score in the analysis for the prediction of hospitalization, but acknowledge the fact that this endpoint is influenced by the use of the PRACTICE score in the interventional patient group of the original study. Implementation of the PRACTICE score, on the other hand, will not have affected the prediction of a severe course of fUTI. A composite endpoint was subsequently created due to the low number of mortality and ICU admission events within this study, therefore making direct comparisons with end points from other studies difficult. Finally, biomarker guided triage can only be considered as hypothesis generating, and potential adverse events that would have led to outpatient hospitalization might have been prevented by inpatient care.

We did not include any clinical parameters in our virtual triage, because reasons for (re) admission were diverse and addition of manageable number of parameters criteria did not improve our virtual triage. Optimally, a tool to guide triage designed for the ED should be easy to use. Furthermore, any decision based on a triage algorithm should be critically appraised for the use in an individual patient. Clinical conditions such as comorbidity, patients' preference, compliance, lack of family support cannot easily all be incorporated in a practicable decision tool. For example, in the current era of rising antimicrobial resistance, the likelihood of a causative resistant uropathogen will also influence where and how to manage fUTI.³²

In conclusion, we show that the use of MR-proADM can accurately predict the development of severe febrile urinary tract infections compared to either PCT or CRP. Consequently, MR-proADM guided triage can identify patients who may benefit from a period of hospitalization from those with a low severity infection who can be managed as outpatients. Accordingly, resources can be focused towards patients with the greatest clinical requirements.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the patients, research nurses, emergency room physicians, nurses and laboratory staff for their cooperation. We thank Thermo Fisher Scientific / Brahms, Hennigsdorf, Germany for measurement of ProADM and PCT in the blinded samples. This study was supported by unrestricted grants by ZonMW (projectnumber 171101003), the Bronovo Research Foundation and the Franje1 Foundation. There was no role of the funding organizations in design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and preparation or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

FUNDING SOURCES

This study was supported by unrestricted grants by ZonMW (projectnumber 171101003), the Bronovo Research Foundation and the Franje1 Foundation. There was no role of the funding organizations in design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and preparation or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

1. Litke A, Bossart R, Regez K, et al. The potential impact of biomarker-guided triage decisions for patients with urinary tract infections. *Infection* 2013;41:799-809.
2. Brown P, Ki M, Foxman B. Acute pyelonephritis among adults: cost of illness and considerations for the economic evaluation of therapy. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2005;23:1123-42.
3. Colgan R, Williams M, Johnson JR. Diagnosis and treatment of acute pyelonephritis in women. *American family physician* 2011;84:519-26.
4. C. vN, van't Wout JW, Spelt IC, et al. Prospective cohort study of acute pyelonephritis in adults: safety of triage towards home based oral antimicrobial treatment. *J Infect* 2010;60:114-21.
5. Fine MJ, Auble TE, Yealy DM, et al. A prediction rule to identify low-risk patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *N Engl J Med* 1997;336:243-50.
6. Stalenhoef JE, van der Starre WE, Vollaard AM, et al. Hospitalization for community-acquired febrile urinary tract infection: validation and impact assessment of a clinical prediction rule. *BMC infectious diseases* 2017;17:400.
7. van Nieuwkoop C, Bonten TN, van't Wout JW, et al. Procalcitonin reflects bacteremia and bacterial load in urosepsis syndrome: a prospective observational study. *Crit Care* 2010;14:R206.
8. Park JH, Wee JH, Choi SP, Park KN. Serum procalcitonin level for the prediction of severity in women with acute pyelonephritis in the ED: value of procalcitonin in acute pyelonephritis. *Am J Emerg Med* 2013;31:1092-7.
9. Ha YE, Kang CI, Wi YM, et al. Diagnostic usefulness of procalcitonin as a marker of bacteremia in patients with acute pyelonephritis. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 2013;73:444-8.
10. van der Starre WE, Zunder SM, Vollaard AM, et al. Prognostic value of pro-adrenomedullin, procalcitonin and C-reactive protein in predicting outcome of febrile urinary tract infection. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2014;20:1048-54.
11. Andaluz-Ojeda D, Nguyen HB, Meunier-Beillard N, et al. Superior accuracy of mid-regional proadrenomedullin for mortality prediction in sepsis with varying levels of illness severity. *Annals of intensive care* 2017;7:15.
12. DeLong ER, Vernon WB, Bollinger RR. Sensitivity and specificity of a monitoring test. *Biometrics* 1985;41:947-58.
13. Caruhel P, Mazier C, Kunde J, Morgenthaler NG, Darbouret B. Homogeneous time-resolved fluoroimmunoassay for the measurement of midregional proadrenomedullin in plasma on the fully automated system B.R.A.H.M.S KRYPTOR. *Clinical biochemistry* 2009;42:725-8.
14. Curns AT, Holman RC, Sejvar JJ, Owings MF, Schonberger LB. Infectious disease hospitalizations among older adults in the United States from 1990 through 2002. *Arch Intern Med* 2005;165:2514-20.
15. Brun-Buisson C. The epidemiology of the systemic inflammatory response. *Intensive Care Med* 2000;26 Suppl 1:S64-74.
16. Rosser CJ, Bare RL, Meredith JW. Urinary tract infections in the critically ill patient with a urinary catheter. *Am J Surg* 1999;177:287-90.
17. Courtais C, Kuster N, Dupuy AM, et al. Proadrenomedullin, a useful tool for risk stratification in high Pneumonia Severity Index score community acquired pneumonia. *The American journal of emergency medicine* 2013;31:215-21.
18. Christ-Crain M, Morgenthaler NG, Stolz D, et al. Pro-adrenomedullin to predict severity and outcome in community-acquired pneumonia [ISRCTN04176397]. *Crit Care* 2006;10:R96.
19. Huang DT, Angus DC, Kellum JA, et al. Midregional proadrenomedullin as a prognostic tool in community-acquired pneumonia. *Chest* 2009;136:823-31.
20. Schuetz P, Wolbers M, Christ-Crain M, et al. Prohormones for prediction of adverse medical outcome in community-acquired pneumonia and lower respiratory tract infections. *Crit Care* 2010;14:R106.
21. Bello S, Laserra AB, Mincholé E, et al. Prognostic power of proadrenomedullin in community-acquired pneumonia is independent of aetiology. *Eur Respir J* 2012;39:1144-55.
22. Cavallazzi R, El-Kersh K, Abu-Atherah E, et al. Midregional proadrenomedullin for prognosis in community-acquired pneumonia: a systematic review. *Respir Med* 2014;108:1569-80.
23. Kruger S, Ewig S, Giersdorf S, Hartmann O, Suttrop N, Welte T. Cardiovascular and inflammatory biomarkers to predict short- and long-term survival in community-acquired pneumonia: Results from the German Competence Network, CAPNETZ. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;182:1426-34.
24. Sarda Sanchez M, Hernandez JC, Hernandez-Bou S, Teruel GC, Rodriguez JV, Cubells CL. Pro-adrenomedullin usefulness in the management of children with community-acquired pneumonia, a preliminar prospective observational study. *BMC Res Notes* 2012;5:363.
25. Renaud B, Schuetz P, Claessens YE, Labarere J, Albrich W, Mueller B. Proadrenomedullin improves Risk of Early Admission to ICU score for predicting early severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Chest* 2012;142:1447-54.
26. Espana PP, Capelastegui A, Mar C, et al. Performance of pro-adrenomedullin for identifying adverse outcomes in community-acquired pneumonia. *J Infect* 2015;70:457-66.
27. Bello S, Fandos S, Laserra AB, et al. Red blood cell distribution width [RDW] and long-term mortality after community-acquired pneumonia. A comparison with proadrenomedullin. *Respir Med* 2015;109:1193-206.

28. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 2016;315:801-10.
29. Decker SO, Sigl A, Grumaz C, et al. Immune-Response Patterns and Next Generation Sequencing Diagnostics for the Detection of Mycoses in Patients with Septic Shock-Results of a Combined Clinical and Experimental Investigation. *Int J Mol Sci* 2017;18.
30. Gille J, Ostermann H, Dragu A, Sablotzki A. MR-proADM: A New Biomarker for Early Diagnosis of Sepsis in Burned Patients. *J Burn Care Res* 2017;38:290-8.
31. Verlee L, Verheij TJ, Hopstaken RM, Prins JM, Salome PL, Bindels PJ. [Summary of NHG practice guideline 'Acute cough']. *Nederlands tijdschrift voor geneeskunde* 2012;156:A4188.
32. van der Starre WE, van NC, Paltansing S, et al. Risk factors for fluoroquinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli* in adults with community-onset febrile urinary tract infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011;66:650-6.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Workflow of enrolled patients




Figure S2. Relationship between MR-proADM, PCT and CRP with the PRACTICE score






Figure S3. Prediction for hospitalization upon initial ED presentation



MR-proADM: Mid-regional proadrenomedullin; PCT: Procalcitonin; CRP: C-reactive protein

Figure S4. Prediction for hospitalization in patients originally treated as outpatients



MR-proADM: Mid-regional proadrenomedullin; PCT: Procalcitonin; CRP: C-reactive protein

Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Composition of the PRACTICE score

Characteristic	Allocated points*
Demographic	
Age (men)	Age (years)
Age (women)	Age (years) - 10
Nursing home resident	+10
Comorbidity †	
Malignancy	+30
Congestive heart failure	+10
Cerebrovascular disease	+10
Liver cirrhosis	+20
Renal disease	+10
Signs & Symptoms	
Altered mental status	+20
Respiratory rate ≥ 30/min	+20
Systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg	+20
Pulse ≥ 125/min	+10
Temperature ≥ 40 °C	+15

*A total score individual patient score is obtained by summing the points for each characteristic.
†Malignancy is defined as any cancer except basal- or squamous-cell cancer of the skin that was active within the previous year of presentation. Congestive heart failure is defined as ventricular dysfunction for which the patient is prescribed medication and/or consults a hospital-based medical specialist. Cerebrovascular disease is defined as a history of stroke or transient ischemic attack. Liver disease is defined as a clinical diagnosis of cirrhosis. Renal disease is defined as a history of chronic renal disease.

According to risk class the following recommendations will apply:

< 75 points	strong recommendation towards home-based management
75-100 points	consider home-based management
>100 points	strong recommendation towards hospital admission

Table S2. Bacteria isolated from baseline cultures

	Control period (N = 185)	Intervention period (N = 128)
Urine cultures		
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	94 (53)	49 (42)
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp	11 (6)	7 (6)
<i>Proteus</i> spp	5 (3)	4 (3)
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp	2 (1)	-
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	-	1 (1)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	1 (1)	1 (1)
Other	7 (4)	5 (4)
Contaminated / mixed flora	20 (11)	24 (20)
Total positive urine cultures	140/176 (79)*	91/117 (78)*
Blood cultures		
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	36 (20)	21 (17)
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp	4 (2)	4 (3)
<i>Proteus</i> spp	-	1 (1)
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp	1 (1)	-
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	1 (1)	2 (2)
Beta haemolytic streptococcus	1 (1)	2 (2)
<i>Citrobacter</i> spp	1 (1)	-
<i>Salmonella paratyphi</i>	-	1 (1)
Total positive blood cultures	44/177 (25)#	31/125 (25)#

Data are presented as N (%). *Urine cultures were not performed in 9 patients in the control period and 11 patients in the intervention period. #Blood cultures were not obtained in 8 patients in the control period and 3 patients in the intervention period.

Table S3. ROC values for predicting a severe course of fUTI

Variable	AUC	SE	95% CI
MR-proADM	0.86	0.035	0.79 - 0.92
PCT	0.69	0.056	0.58 - 0.80
PRACTICE	0.80	0.039	0.74 - 0.87
MR-proADM + PRACTICE	0.88	0.028	0.82 - 0.93
PCT + PRACTICE	0.82	0.035	0.77 - 0.86
MR-proADM + PCT	0.86	0.034	0.81 - 0.90
MR-proADM + PCT + PRACTICE	0.86	0.033	0.82 - 0.90

Table S4. ROC values for predicting the need for hospital admission

Variable	AUC	SE	95% CI
MR-proADM	0.68	0.042	0.60 - 0.76
PCT	0.63	0.047	0.54 - 0.72
PRACTICE	0.72	0.038	0.64 - 0.79
MR-proADM + PRACTICE	0.72	0.037	0.65 - 0.79
PCT + PRACTICE	0.72	0.038	0.67 - 0.77
MR-proADM + PCT	0.68	0.042	0.62 - 0.73
MR-proADM + PCT + PRACTICE	0.72	0.039	0.66 - 0.77