



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The role of collective redress actions to achieve full compensation for violations of European Union Competition Law

Juska, Z.

Citation

Juska, Z. (2019, April 23). *The role of collective redress actions to achieve full compensation for violations of European Union Competition Law*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/71940>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/71940>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/71940> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Juska, Z.

Title: The role of collective redress actions to achieve full compensation for violations of European Union Competition Law

Issue Date: 2019-04-23

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Abele H.A., Kodek G.E. and Schaefer G.K. (2013). Proving Causation in Private Antitrust Cases. *Journal of Competition Law & Economics* 7(4): 847-869.
- Allain M. et al. (2013). Are Cartel Fines Optimal? Theory and Evidence from the European Union. *Center for Interuniversity Research and Analysis on Organization*, Scientific Publications 2013s-24, <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2342180> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Anderson P.L., Bolema T.R. and Geckil I.K. (2007). Damages in Antitrust Cases. *Anderson Economic Group*, Working Paper 2007-2, <<http://www.andersoneconomicgroup.com/portals/0/upload/doc2066.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Andreangeli A. (2012). Collective Redress in EU Competition Law: An Open Question with Many Possible Solutions. *World Competition* 35(3): 529-558.
- Andriychuk O. (2011). The Concept of Perfect Competition as the Law of Economics: Addressing the Homonymy Problem. *Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly* 62(4): 523-538.
- Antunes H.S. (2007). Class Actions, Group Litigation & Other Forms of Collective Litigation (Portuguese Report). *Global Class Actions Exchange*, <http://globalclassactions.stanford.edu/sites/default/files/documents/Portugal_National_Report.pdf> accessed 2 May 2017.
- Atanasiu I. and Ehlermann C. (eds). (2003) *European Competition Law Annual 2001: Effective Private Enforcement of EC Antitrust Law*. Oxford/Portland: Hart Publishing.
- Audzevičius R., Juška Ž. and Saladis V. (2015) Lithuania. In Ilene Knable Gotts (ed), *Private Competition Enforcement Review*. London: Law Business Research Ltd., 8th edn.
- Audzevičius R. and Saladis V. (2015) *Lithuania. International Comparative Legal Guide: Competition Litigation 2016*. London: Global Legal Group.
- Bailey D. and Wish R. (2006) *Competition Law*. Oxford: OUP Oxford, 2nd edn.
- Baker J.R. and Bloch R.E. (2012). Legal and Practical Considerations Influencing Whether and When to Opt Out of a Class Action. <<https://www.mayerbrown.com/pt/publications/Legal-and-Practical-Considerations-Influencing-Whether-and-When-to-Opt-Out-of-a-Class-Action-01-09-2012/>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Baker J.B. (1988). Private Information and the Deterrent Effect of Antitrust Damage Remedies. *The Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* 4(2): 385-408.
- Basedow J. (ed). (2008) *Private Enforcement of EC Commission*. Alphen aan den Rijn: Kluwer Law International.
- Beeston S. and Rutten A. (2015). The Dutch Torpedo Case. *Competition Law Insight*: 14-15.
- Bergh R. and Visscher L. (2008). The Preventive Function of Collective Actions. *Erasmus Law Review* 1(2): 5-30.
- Bergh R. (2013). Private Enforcement of European Competition Law and the Persisting Collective Action Problem. *Maastricht Journal* 20(1): 12-34.
- Black S.B. and Zabinski Z. (2013). The Deterrent Effect of Tort Law: Evidence from Medical Malpractice Reform. *Northwestern Law & Econ*, Research Paper No. 13-09 <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2161362> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Boies D. (2004) *Courting Justice: From NY Yankees v. Major League Baseball to Bush v. Gore, 1997-2000*. Miramax, 1st edn.

- Boyer M. and Kotchoni R. (2012). How Much Do Cartels Typically Overcharge?. *Center for Interuniversity Research and Analysis on Organization*, Scientific Publications No. 2012s-15
- Breit W. and Elzinga K.G. (1985). Private Antitrust Enforcement: The New Learning. *Journal of Law and Economics* 28: 405-443.
- Breit W. and Elzinga K.G. (1986) *Antitrust Penalty Reform: An Economic Analysis*. AEI Press.
- Breit W. and Elzinga K.G. (1988) *The Antitrust Casebook in Economic Regulation*. Mason: South-Western College Publishing.
- Buccirossi P. and Carpagano M. (2013). Is it Time for the European Union to Legislate in the Field of Collective Redress in Antitrust (and how)?. *Journal of European Competition Law & Practice* 4(1): 3-15.
- Buxbaum H.L. (2007) Private Enforcement of Competition Law in the United States – of Optimal Deterrence and Social Costs. In Basedow (ed.) *Private Enforcement of EC Competition Law*. Alphen aan den Rijn: Kluwer Law International.
- Büyüksagis E. (2015). Standing and Passing-on in the New EU Directive on Antitrust Damages Actions. *Swiss Review of Business Law* 87(1): 18-30.
- Camilleri E. (2013). A Decade of EU Antitrust Private Enforcement: Chronicle of a Failure Foretold?. *European Competition Law Review* 34(10): 531-537.
- Campbell S. et. al. (2017). Actions for Damages in the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, and Germany. *Journal of European Competition Law & Practice* 8(1): 47-65.
- Carmeliet T. (2011-2012). How Lenient is the European Leniency System? An Overview of Current (dis)Incentives to Blow the Whistle. *Jura Falconis* 48(3): 463-512.
- Carozza P.G., Glendon M.A. and Picker C.B. (2008) *Comparative Legal Traditions*. Saint Paul: Thomson West, 3d edn.
- Cavanagh E.D. (2005). Antitrust Remedies Revisited. *Oregon Law Review* 84: 147-225.
- Cavanagh E.D. (2010). The Private Antitrust Remedy: Lessons from the American Experience. *Loyola Law Journal* 41: 629-649.
- Chavez J.A. (2006). The Carrot and the Stick Approach to Antitrust Enforcement. *Practising Law Institute Corporate Law and Practice*, Course Handbook Series No. 8736.
- Chieua T. (2010). Class Actions in the European Union?: Importing Lessons Learned from the United States' Experience into European Community Competition Law. *Cardozo Journal of International & Comparative Law* 18: 123-158.
- Christensen L.B., Robert B. and Johnson R.B. (2010) *Educational Research: Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Approaches*. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications, 4th edn.
- Coffee J.C. (1986). Understanding the Plaintiff's Attorney: The Implications of Economic Theory for Private Enforcement of Law through Class and Derivative Actions. *Columbia Law Review* 86: 669-727.
- Coffee J.C. (2015) *Entrepreneurial Litigation*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Collins P. (2007). What are the Problems with EC Antitrust Damage Actions in Europe? Does the Private Pillar Require Reinforcement?. *Office of Fair Trading*, Background Paper, <<http://vartotojuteises.lt/content/download/412/2587/file/Philip%20Collins%20Paper.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Combe E., Monnier C. and Legal R. (2008). Cartels: the Probability of Getting Caught in the European Union. *Bruges European Economic Research papers* 12, <<http://www.coleurop.be/eco/publications.htm>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Combe E. and Monnier-Schlumberger C. (2009). Les Amendes Contre les Cartels: La Commission Européenne en Fait-Elle Trop?. *Concurrences* 4: 41-50.

- Connor J.M. (2009). Cartels & Antitrust Portrayed: Private International Cartels from 1990 to 2008. *American Antitrust Institute*, Working Paper No. 09-06, <<http://ssrn.com/abstract=1467310>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Connor J.M. (2011). Problems with Prison in International Cartel Cases. *The Antitrust Bulletin* 56(2): 311-344.
- Connor J.M. (2012). Private Recoveries in International Cartel Cases Worldwide: What Do the Data Show?. *American Antitrust Institute*, Working Paper No. 12-03, <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2165431> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Connor J.M. and Helmers C.G. (2007). Statistics on Modern International Cartels 1990–2005. *American Antitrust Institute*, Working Paper No. 07-01, <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers2.cfm?abstract_id=%201103610> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Connor J.M. and Lande R.H. (2008). Cartel Overcharges and Optimal Cartel Fines. *Competition Law and Policy* 3 (ABA Sections of Antitrust Law 2008).
- Connor J.M. and Lande R.H. (2012). Cartels as Rational Business Strategy: Crime Pays. *Cardozo Law Review* 34: 427-490.
- Connor J.M. and Lande R.H. (2015). Not Treble Damages: Cartel Recoveries Are Mostly Less Than Single Damages. *Iowa Law Review* 100: 1997-2023.
- Connor J.M. and Miller D.J. (2013). Determinants of EC Antitrust Fines for Members of Global Cartels. <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2229358> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Craig P. and de Burca G. (2011) *The Evolution of EU Law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2nd edn.
- Crane D.A. (2010). Optimizing Private Antitrust Enforcement. *Vanderbilt Law Review* 63: 675-723.
- Crane D.A. (2011) *The Institutional Structure of Antitrust Enforcement*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1st edn.
- Davis J.P. and Lande R.H. (2008). Benefits from Private Antitrust Enforcement: An Analysis of Forty Cases. *University of San Francisco Law Review* 42: 879-918.
- Davis J.P. and Lande R.H. (2008). Benefits from Antitrust Private Antitrust Enforcement: Forty Individual Case Studies. *University of San Francisco Law*, Research Paper No. 2011-22, <<http://ssrn.com/abstract=1105523>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Davis J.P. and Lande R.H. (2011). Summaries of Twenty Cases of Successful Private Antitrust Enforcement, *University of San Francisco*, Research Paper No. 2013-01, <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1961669> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Davis J.P. and Lande R.H. (2011). Comparative Deterrence from Private Enforcement and Criminal Enforcement of the U.S. Antitrust Laws. *Brigham Young University Law Review* 2: 315-387.
- Davis J.P. and Lande R.H. (2013). Defying Conventional Wisdom: The Case for Private Antitrust Enforcement. *Georgia Law Review* (48)1: 1-82.
- Davis J.P. and Lande R.H. (2013). Toward an Empirical and Theoretical Assessment of Private Antitrust Enforcement. *Seattle University Law Review* 36: 1269-1335.
- Davis K. (1959). The Myth of Functional Analysis as a Special Method in Sociology and Anthropology. *American Sociological Review* 24: 757-772.
- Dehousse R. (1994). Comparing National EU Law: The Problem of Level of Analysis. *American Journal of Comparative Law* 42(4): 761-781.

- Diallo A. and Gateau C. (2014). How Does the New French Class Actions Law fit in the EU Framework?. <<http://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=5d60d9ff-261a-49fc-975c-363e1124c80e>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Dickerson A.T. (2016) *Class Actions: The Law of 50 States*. New York: Law Journal Press.
- Drexel J. (2015). Consumer Actions after the Adoption of the EU Directive on Damage Claims for Competition Law Infringements. *Max Planck Institute for Innovation and Competition*, Research Paper No. 15-10, <<http://ssrn.com/abstract=2689521>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Driessen-Reilly M. (2015). Private Damages in EU Competition Law and Arbitration: A Changing Landscape. *Arbitration International* 31(4): 567-587.
- Duke A., Duns J. and Sweeney B. (eds) (2016) *Comparative Competition Law*. Cheltenham/Northampton: Edward Elgar Pub.
- Eisenberg T. and Miller G. (2004). The Role of Opt-outs and Objectors in Class Action Litigation: Theoretical and Empirical Issues. *Vanderbilt Law Review* (57): 1529-1567.
- Eisenberg T. and Miller G. (2010). Attorneys' Fees and Expenses in Class Action Settlements: 1993–2008. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies* 7(2): 248-281.
- Editorial comments (2008). A little more action please! – The White Paper on Damages Actions for Breach of the EC Antitrust Rules. *Common Market Law Review* 45(3): 609-615.
- Faure M. (2017) Economic Optimization of Tort Law. In Koziol H. (ed.) *The Aims of Tort Law: Chinese and European Perspectives*. Arnhem: Jan Sramek Verlag KG.
- Ferro M.S. (2015). Collective Redress: Will Portugal Show the Way?. *Journal of European Competition Law & Practice* 6(5): 299-300.
- Fitzpatrick B.T. (2010). An Empirical Study of Class Action Settlements and Their Fee Awards. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies* 7(4): 811-846.
- Fitzpatrick B.T. (2010). Do Class Action Lawyers Make Too Little?, *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* 158: 2043-2083.
- Fitzpatrick B.T. and Gilbert R.C. (2015). An Empirical Look at Compensation in Consumer Class Actions. *New York University Journal of Law & Business* 11(4): 707-792.
- Friedman G.B. and Gilles M.E. (2006). Exploding the Class Action Agency Costs Myth: The Social Utility of Entrepreneurial Lawyers. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* 155: 103-164.
- Gac M. (2015). Poland: Private Enforcement of Antitrust Law – Unfulfilled Dream?. *Concurrences* 3: 217-222.
- Geler-Noch K. and Kirchner S. (2012). Compensation under the European Convention on Human Rights for Expropriations Enforced Prior to the Applicability of the Convention. *Jurisprudence* 19(1): 21-29.
- Geradin D. and Lianos I. (eds). (2013) *Handbook on European Competition Law: Enforcement and Procedure*. Cheltenham/Northampton: Edward Elgar Pub.
- Gerber D. (2008). Two Forms of Modernization in European Competition Law. *Fordham International Law Journal* 31(5): 1253-1254.
- Glick M. (2018). The Unsound Theory Behind the Consumer (and Total) Welfare Goal in Antitrust. *Roosevelt Institute Working Paper*, <<http://rooseveltinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/The-Unsound-Theory-Behind-the-Consumer-and-Total-Welfare-Goal-in-Antitrust-final.pdf>> accessed 7 February 2019.
- Graels A. and Marcos F. (2008). Towards a European Tort Law? Damages Actions for Breach of the EC Antitrust Rules: Harmonising Tort Law through the Back Door?. *European Review of Private Law* 16(3): 469-488.
- Gramlich F. (1986). Scrip Damages in Antitrust Cases. *The Antitrust Bulletin* 31: 261-285.

- Greer T. and Jacobson J.M. (1998). Twenty-one Years of Antitrust Injury: Down the Alley with Brunswick v. Pueblo Bowl-O-Mat. *Antitrust Law Journal* 66: 273-312.
- Grigienė J. and Čerka P. (2012). Advokato Atlyginimo, Priklausančio nuo Bylos Baigties, Reglamentavimas bei Problemos Lietuvoje. *VDU Law Review* 2(9): 5-16.
- Guthrie C. and Korobkin R. (1994). Psychological Barriers to Litigation Settlement: an Experimental Approach. *Michigan Law Review* 93(1): 107-192.
- Günsberg P. (2015). Criminalizing Business Cartels in Europe - A Comparative Perspective. *Doctoral dissertation, University of Helsinki*, <<https://helda.helsinki.fi/handle/10138/154265>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Han M.A., Schinkel M.P. and Tuinstra J. (2008). The Overcharge as a Measure for Antitrust Damages. *Amsterdam Center for Law & Economics*, Working Paper No. 2008-08, <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1387096> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Harrison F. and Jones K. (2014). Criminal Sanctions: An Overview of EU and National Case Law. *e-Competitions*, <<http://awa2015.concurrences.com/articles-awards/business-articles-awards/article/criminal-sanctions-an-overview-of-eu-and-national-case-law>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Hay B. and Rosenberg D. (2000). Sweetheart and Blackmail Settlements in Class Actions: Reality and Remedy. *Notre Dame Law Review* 75(4): 1377-1408.
- Hemphill S.C., McDavid J.L., Pincus A.J. and Stern R.A., panellists (2007). Roundtable Discussion: Mark D. Whitener and Andrew I. Gavil, Moderators. *Antitrust* 22: 8-20.
- Hensler D. et al. (2000) *Class Action Dilemmas: Pursuing Public Goals for Private Gains*. RAND Corporation, 1st edn.
- Hensler D. (2014). Third-Party Financing of Class Action Litigation in the United States: Will the Sky Fall?. *DePaul Law Review* 63(2): 499-526.
- Hodges C. (2008) *The Reform of Class and Representative Actions in European Legal Systems: A New Framework for Collective Redress in Europe*. Oxford: Hart Publishing.
- Hodges C. (2014). Collective Redress: A Breakthrough or a Damp Squibb?. *Journal of Consumer Policy* 37(1): 67-89.
- Hurd M.D. and Rohwedder S. (2012). Stock Price Expectations and Stock Trading. *National Bureau of Economic Research*, Working Paper 17973, <<http://www.nber.org/papers/w17973>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Hüschelrath K., Laitenberger U. and Smuda F. (2012). Cartel Enforcement in the European Union: Determinants of the Duration of Investigations. *Centre for European Economic Research*, Discussion Paper No. 12-071, <<http://ftp.zew.de/pub/zew-docs/dp/dp12071.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Hüschelrath K. and Peyer S. (2013). Public and Private Enforcement of Competition Law – A Differentiated Approach. *Centre for European Economic Research*, Discussion Paper No. 13-029, 6 <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2278839> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Ioannidou M. (2015) *Consumer Involvement in Private EU Competition Law Enforcement*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1st edn.
- Issacharoff S. (2002). Preclusion, Due Process and the Right to Opt-out. *Notre Dame Law Review* 77(4): 1057-1082.
- Jaworski T. and Radzimierski P. (2010). Ustawa o Dochodzeniu Roszczeń w Postępowaniu Grupowym. Komentarz. Warszawa.

- Johnston J. (2013). Cy Pres Comme Possible to Anything Is Possible: How Cy Pres Creates Improper Incentives in Class Action Settlements. *Journal of Law, Economics & Policy* 9(2): 277-304.
- Jones C.A. (2004). Private Antitrust Enforcement in Europe: A Policy Analysis and Reality Check. *World Competition* 27(1): 13-24.
- Jones C.A. (2012). Deterrence and Compensation in New Competition Regimes: The Role of Private Enforcement. In Whish R. and Townley C. (eds) *New Competition Jurisdictions: Shaping Policies and Building Institutions*. Edward Elgar Pub.
- Jones A. and Sufrin B. (2010) *EU Competition Law: Text, Cases and Materials*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Jones A. and Sufrin B. (2004) *EU Competition Law: Text, Cases and Materials*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Jones A. (2016). Private Enforcement of EU Competition Law: A Comparison with, and Lessons from, the US. In Bergström M. Iacovides M and Strand M. (eds) *Harmonising EU Competition Litigation: The New Directive and Beyond*. Portland: Hart Publishing.
- Jurkowska-Gomułka A. Comparative Competition Law Private Enforcement and Consumer Redress in the EU 1999-2012. *Centre for Antitrust and Regulatory Studies, University of Warsaw*, <<http://www.clcpecreu.co.uk/pdf/final/Poland%20report.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Juska Ž. (2015). The Future of Collective Antitrust Redress: Is Something New Under the Sun?. *Global Competition Litigation Review* 8(1): 14-24.
- Juška Ž. (2016). The Impact of Contingency Fees on Collective Antitrust Actions: Experiments from Lithuania and Poland. *Review of Central and East European Law* 41 (3-4): 368-395.
- Komninos A. (2008) *EC Private Antitrust Enforcement: Decentralised Application of EC Competition Law by National Courts*. Oxford and Portland/Oregon: Hart Publishing.
- Kortmann J.S. and Swaak C.R.A. (2009). The EC White Paper on Antitrust Damage Actions: Why the Member States Are (Right to be) Less than Enthusiastic. *European Competition Law Review* 30(7): 340-351.
- Kötz H. and Zweigert K. (1998) *Introduction to Comparative Law*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 3rd edn.
- Kozel R.J. (2010). Stare Decisis as Judicial Doctrine. *Washington and Lee Law Review* 67(2): 411-466.
- Krattenmaker T.G., Lande R.H. and Salop S.C. (1987). Monopoly Power and Market Power in Antitrust Law. *Airlie House Conference on the Antitrust Alternative*, <<https://www.justice.gov/atr/monopoly-power-and-market-power-antitrust-law>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Kutin B. (2011). Consumer Movement in Central and Eastern Europe. *Consumatori, Diritti e Mercato*: 102-110, <<http://www.consumatoridirittimercato.it/wp-content/uploads/2012/12/2011-02-consumer-movement-in-central-and-eastern-europe-n543470.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Laborde J.F. (2017). Cartel Damages Claims in Europe: How Courts Have Assessed Overcharges. *Concurrences* 4: 1-7.
- Lande R.H. (1993). Are Antitrust "Treble" Damages Really Single Damages?. *Ohio State Law Journal* 54: 115-174.
- Lande R.H. (2016). Class Warfare: Why Antitrust Class Actions Are Essential for Compensation and Deterrence. *Antitrust* 30(2): 81-85.

- Landers J.M. (1974). Of Legalized Blackmail and Legalized Theft: Consumer Class Actions and the Substance-Procedure Dilemma. *Southern California Law Review* 47: 842-900.
- Landes W.M. (1983). Optimal Sanctions for Antitrust Violations. *University of Chicago Law Review* 50: 652-678.
- Leskinen C. (2010). Collective Antitrust Damages Actions in the EU: The Opt-In v. The Opt-Out Model. *IE Law School, Working Paper WPLS 10-03*, <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1612731> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Leskinen C. (2011). Collective Actions: Rethinking Funding and National Cost Rules. *The Competition Law Review* 8(1): 87-121.
- Lopatka J.E. and Page W.H. (2003). Indirect Purchaser Suits and the Consumer Interest. *The Antitrust Bulletin* 48: 531-570.
- Lutz R.E. (2006). *A Lawyer's Handbook for Enforcing Foreign Judgments in the United States and Abroad*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Maier-Rigaud F. P. (2014). Toward a European Directive on Damages Actions. *Journal of Competition Law and Economics* 10(2): 341-360.
- Malinauskaite J. and Cauffman C. (2018). The Transposition of the Antitrust Damages Directive in the Small Member States of the EU—A Comparative Perspective. *Journal of European Competition Law & Practice* 9(8): 496–512.
- Mestmäcker E.J. (2000). The EC Commission's Modernization of Competition Policy: A Challenge to the Community's Constitutional Order. *European Business Organization Law Review* 1(3): 401-444.
- Michaels R. (2006). The Functional Method of Comparative Law. In Reimann M. and Zimmermann R. (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Moisejevas R. (2015). Development of Private Enforcement of Competition Law in Lithuania. *Yearbook of Antitrust and Regulatory Studies* 8(11): 35-51.
- Montvydienė I. (2008). Pacta de Quota Litis, Kaip Alternatyva Valstybės Garantuojamai Teisinei Pagalbai Užtikrinti Civilinėse Bylose. *Jurisprudencija* 7(109): 85-91.
- Mulheron R. (2004) *The Class Actions in Common Law Legal Systems: A Comparative Perspective*. Oxford: Hart Publishing.
- Mullenix L.S. (2014). Ending Class Actions as We Know Them: Rethinking the American Class Action. *Emory Law Journal* 64: 399-449.
- Murray R.C. and Richman B.D. (2007). Rebuilding Illinois Brick: A Functionalist Approach to the Indirect Purchaser Rule. *Southern California Law Review* 81: 69-110.
- Nagy C.I. (2013). Comparative Collective Redress from a Law and Economics Perspective: Without Risk There is No Reward!. *Columbia Journal of European Law* 19(3): 469-498.
- Nebbia P. (2008). Damages Actions for the Infringement of EC Competition Law: Compensation or Deterrence?. *European Law Review* 33(1): 23-43.
- Odudu O. and Sanchez-Graells A. (2017). The Interface of EU and National Tort Law: Competition Law. In Giliker P. (ed), *Research Handbook on EU Tort Law*. Cheltenham/Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Ottervanger T. (2013). Designing a Balanced System: Damages, Deterrence, Leniency and Litigants' Rights. In Marquis M. (ed). *Perchance to Dream: Well Integrated Public and Private Antitrust Enforcement in the European Union*. *European University Institute - Department of Law*, <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2371338> accessed 2 September 2018.

- Oxera. (2014). Passing Game: The Ongoing Debate about Pass-on in Damages Actions. <<http://www.oxera.com/Latest-Thinking/Agenda/2014/Passing-game-the-ongoing-debate-about-pass-on-in-d.aspx>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Page W.H. (1985). The Scope of Liability for Antitrust Violations. *Stanford Law Review* 37(6): 1445-1512.
- Page W.H. (2011). Indirect Purchaser Suits after the Class Action Fairness Act, <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1862218> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Page W.H. (2012). Indirect Purchaser Suits after the Class Action Fairness Act: Reconciling Multilayer Interests in Antitrust Litigation. In Wrba S. et al (eds) *Collective Actions: Enhancing Access to Justice and Reconciling Multilayer Interests?*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Paha J. (2012). The Economics of Competition (Law). *Justus-Liebig-University*, <[http://www.uni-giessen.de/fbz/fb02/fb/professuren/vwl/goetz/kontakt/mitarbeiter/pahaordner/WPuS%20Begleitt ext](http://www.uni-giessen.de/fbz/fb02/fb/professuren/vwl/goetz/kontakt/mitarbeiter/pahaordner/WPuS%20Begleitt%20ext)> accessed 7 February 2019
- Pais S. O. and Piszcz A. (2014). Package on Actions for Damages Based on Breaches of EU Competition Rules: Can One Size Fit All? *Yearbook of Antitrust and Regulatory Studies* 7(10): 209-234.
- Peritz R.J.R. (2001) *Competition Policy in America: History, Rhetoric, Law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, revised edn.
- Peters A. (2003) *Einführung in die Europäische Menschenrechtskonvention*. Munich: Verlag C. H. Beck, 1st edn.
- Peyer S. (2011). Cartel Members Only-Revisiting Private Antitrust Policy in Europe. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly* 60: 627-657.
- Peyer S. (2015). Compensation and the Damages Directive. *Centre for Competition Policy*, Working Paper 15-10, <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Papers.cfm?abstract_id=2654187> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Posner R.A. (2001) *Antitrust Law*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2nd edn.
- Piszcz A. (2015). Czy nieuczciwą Konkurencję Można Zwalczać Tylko Indywidualnie? Postanowienie Sądu Okręgowego w Warszawie z 12 Lipca 2013 r., XVI GC 595/11, Link4. *Kwartalnik Antymonopolowy i Regulacyjny* 4(4): 142-144.
- Power J.T. (2012). Comment: Tearing Down a House of Coupons: CAFA's Effect on Class Action Settlements. *University of St. Thomas Law Journal* 9(3): 906-932.
- Redish M.H., Julian P. and Zyontz S. (2009). Cy Pres Relief and the Pathologies of the Modern Class Action: A Normative and Empirical Analysis. *Florida Law Review* 62: 617-666.
- Rodgers A. and et al. Emerging Issues in Third-Party Litigation Funding: What Antitrust Lawyers Need to Know. *The Antitrust Source*: 1-16,
- Rodger B.J. (2015). The Consumer Rights Act 2015 and Collective Redress for Competition Law Infringements in the UK: A Class Act?. *Journal of Antitrust Enforcement* 3(2): 258-286.
- Rosenberg D. (1987). Class Actions for Mass Torts: Doing Individual Justice by Collective Means. *Indiana Law Journal* 62(3): 561-596.
- Rosenberg D. (2002). Decoupling Deterrence and Compensation Functions in Mass Tort Class Actions for Future Loss. *Vanderbilt Law Review* 88(8): 1871-1919.
- Rubenstein W.B. (2006). Why Enable Litigation? A Positive Externalities Theory of the Small Claims Class Action. *UCLA School of Law Public Law and Legal Theory*, Research Paper Series No. 06-10, <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=890303> accessed 2 September 2018.

- Rubenstein W.B. (2006). On What a “Private Attorney General” is – and why it Matters. *Vanderbilt Law Review* 57: 2129-2173.
- Sagers C.L. (2011) *Examples & Explanations: Antitrust*. Aspen Publishers.
- Salop S.C. and White L.J. (1986). Economic Analysis of Private Antitrust Litigation. *Georgetown Law Journal* (74): 1001-1051.
- Samuel G. (2014) *An Introduction to Comparative Law Theory and Method*. Oxford and Portland: Hart Publishing 2014.
- San Pedro L.A.V. et al. (eds). (2011) *Private Enforcement of Competition Law*. Valladolid: Lex Nova, 1st edn.
- Schreiber T. (2012). A Short Analysis of the Judgment of the General Court in the Case CDC HP v Commission. *Journal of European Competition Law & Practice* 3 (3): 268-270.
- Schwartz J.C. (2015). The Cost of Suing Business. *UCLA School of Law*, Research Paper No. 15-19, <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2589208> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Schwartz W.F. (1980). An Overview of the Economic of Antitrust Enforcement. *The Georgetown Law Journal* 68: 1075-1102.
- Shiel C.C. (2015). A New Generation of Class Action Cy Pres Remedies: Lessons from Washington State. *Washington Law Review*: 90(2): 943-991, <<https://digital.law.washington.edu/dspace-law/bitstream/handle/1773.1/1467/90WLR0943.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Sieradzka M. (2010). Ustawa o Dochodzeniu Roszczeń w Postępowaniu Grupowym. Komentarz. *Warszawa*.
- Siems M. (2014). Bringing in Foreign Ideas: The Quest for 'Better Law' in Implicit Comparative Law. *Journal of Comparative Law* 9: 119-136.
- Smuda F. (2012). Cartel Overcharges and the Deterrent Effect of EU Competition Law. *Centre for European Economic Research*, No. 12-050, <<http://ftp.zew.de/pub/zew-docs/dp/dp12050.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Spamann H. (2009) Large-Sample, Quantitative Research Designs for Comparative Law?. *Harvard Law School*, Discussion Paper No. 32, <http://www.law.harvard.edu/programs/olin_center/> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Stephan A. (2014). Four Key Challenges to the Successful Criminalization of Cartel Laws. *Journal of Antitrust Enforcement* 2(2): 333-362.
- Stucke M.E. (2006). Morality and Antitrust. *Columbia Business Law Review* 3: 443-547.
- Talev L. (2010). What Threats Exist to the Successful Operation of the EU 2006 Leniency Notice?. <www.varadinovlaw.com/en/wp.../clasf_paper_talev_leniency_final_10-09-2010.doc> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Tidmarsh J. (2014). Cy Pres and the Optimal Class Action. *George Washington Law Review* 82(3): 767-797.
- Tzakas D.L. (2011). Effective Collective Redress in Antitrust and Consumer Protection Matters: A Panacea or a Chimera?. *Common Market Law Review* 48(4): 1125-1174.
- Ulen T.S. (2011). An Introduction to the Law and Economics of Class Action Litigation. *European Journal of Law and Economics* 32: 185-203.
- Veljanovski C. (2012). Third-Party Litigation Funding in Europe. *Journal of Law, Economics & Policy* 8(3): 405-449.

- Veljanovski C. (2018). Collective Certification in UK Competition Law – Commonality, Costs and Funding. *Case Associates*. <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3191027> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Van Hoecke M. (2015). Methodology of Comparative Legal Research. *Law and Method*: 1-35, <<https://www.bjutijdschriften.nl/tijdschrift/lawandmethod/2015/12/RENM-D-14-00001.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Wagener W.H. (2003). Modeling the Effect of One-Way Fee Shifting on Discovery Abuse in Private Antitrust Litigation. *New York University Law Review* 78: 1887-1928.
- Walle S.V. (2013) *Private Antitrust Litigation in the European Union and Japan – A Comparative Perspective*. Antwerpen-Apeldoorn: Maklu Publishers.
- Warren III M.G. (2012). The U.S. Securities Fraud Class Action: An Unlikely Export to the European Union. *Brooklyn Journal of International Law* 37(3): 1075-1114.
- Wasserman R. (2015). Cy Pres in Class Action Settlements. *Southern California Law Review* 88: 97-164.
- Werlauff E. (2009) Class Actions in Denmark. In Hensler D., Hodges C. and Tulibacka M. (eds) *The Globalization of Class Actions*. Sage Publications (Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science), Vol. 622.
- Whelan P. (2012). Criminal Sanctions: An Overview of EU and National Case Law. *e-Competitions* <<https://www.concurrences.com/en/bulletin/special-issues/Criminal-sanctions/Criminal-sanctions-An-overview-of>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Wijck P. and Winters J.K. (2001). The Principle of Full Compensation in Tort Law. *European Journal of Law and Economics* 11(3): 319-332.
- Wils W.P.J. (2002) *The Optimal Enforcement of EC Antitrust Law*. The Hague: Kluwer Law International.
- Wils W.P.J. (2003). The Principle of ‘Ne Bis in Idem’ in EC Antitrust Enforcement: A Legal and Economic Analysis. *World Competition* 26(2): 131-148.
- Wils W.P.J. (2005). Is Criminalization of EU Competition Law the Answer?. *World Competition* 28(2): 1-52.
- Wils W.P.J. (2006). Optimal Antitrust Fines: Theory and Practice. *World Competition* 29: 183-208.
- Wils W.P.J. (2007). The European Commission's 2006 Guidelines on Antitrust Fines: A Legal and Economic Analysis. *World Competition: Law and Economics Review* 30(2), <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=962654&high=%20wils> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Wils W.P.J. (2008) *Efficiency and Justice in European Antitrust Enforcement*. Oxford and Portland: Hart Publishing, 1st edn.
- Wils W.P.J. (2009). The Relationship between Public Antitrust Enforcement and Private Actions for Damages. *World Competition* 32(1): 3-26.
- Wils W.P.J. (2013). Ten Years of Regulation 1/2003 - A Retrospective. *Journal of European Competition Law and Practice* 4(4): 293-301.
- Wils W.P.J. (2016). The Use of Leniency in EU Cartel Enforcement: An Assessment after Twenty Years. *King's College London Centre of European Law*, <<http://ssrn.com/author=456087>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Wish R. (2006) *Competition Law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Yospe S. (2009). Cy Pres Distributions in Class Action Settlements. *Columbia Business Law Review* 3: 1014-1063.

MISCELLANEOUS

- Ackerman W.M. (2013). Class Action Settlement Structures. *Conference Paper*, presented at the *Federation of Defense & Corporate Counsel*, San Antonio, March 2013, <<http://www.thefederation.org/documents/13.Class%20Action-Structures.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Albæk S. (2013). Consumer Welfare in EU Competition Policy. <http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/competition/economist/consumer_welfare_2013_en.pdf> accessed 7 February 2019.
- Almunia J. (2013). Antitrust Damages in EU Law and Policy. *Conference Paper*, presented at *College of Europe GCLC annual conference*, Brussels, 7 November 2013, <http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_SPEECH-13-887_en.htm> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Almunia J. (2011). Public Enforcement and Private Damages Actions in Antitrust. Speech/11/598, presented at the European Parliament, ECON Committee, 22 September 2011, <http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_SPEECH-11-598_en.htm?locale=en> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Alphen F. (2010). Luchtvrachtkartel Krijgt Reuzeclaim van Verladers. *De Volkskrant* 30 September 2010, <<http://www.volkskrant.nl/economie/luchtvrachtkartel-krijgt-reuzeclaim-vanverladers~a1023970/>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Antitrust Modernization Commission. (2007). Report and Recommendations. <http://govinfo.library.unt.edu/amc/report_recommendation/amc_final_report.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Baer B. (2014). Public and Private Antitrust Enforcement in the United States. *Remarks prepared for delivery to European Competition Forum 2014*, Brussels, 11 February 2014, <<https://www.justice.gov/atr/file/517756/download>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Biard A. et al. (2017). Dutch Collective Redress Dangerous? A Call for a more Nuanced Approach. <<http://conflictoflaws.net/2017/dutch-collective-redress-dangerous-a-call-for-a-more-nuanced-approach/>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Buccirossi P. et al. (2009). Deterrence in Competition Law. *LEAR Study*, Discussion Paper No. 285, <<http://www.sfbtr15.de/uploads/media/285.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Buccirossi P. et al. (2012). Collective Redress in Antitrust. *A Study for the European Parliament's Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs*, <<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/document/activities/cont/201206/20120613ATT46782/20120613ATT46782EN.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Bueh S. (2013). Enforcing Competition Law in the Presence of Legal Uncertainty: An Economist's Perspective. *Conference Paper*, presented at the *20th St. Gallen International Competition Law Forum ICF*, 4-5 April 2013.
- Claims Funding International plc. (2010). Press Release (30 September 2010).
- Consumer Federation of America (1999). Microsoft Monopoly Caused Consumer Harm. <<http://www.consumerfed.org/pdfs/antitrustpr.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. (2015). Arbitration Study: Report to Congress Pursuant to Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act § 1028(A). <http://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/201503_cfpb_arbitration-study-report-to-congress-2015.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.

- Daly K. (2017). The Growth of Collective Redress in the EU: A Survey of Developments in 10 Member States. *Prepared for the U.S. Chamber Institute for Legal Reform*, <http://www.instituteforlegalreform.com/uploads/sites/1/The_Growth_of_Collective_Redress_in_the_EU_A_Survey_of_Developments_in_10_Member_States_April_2017.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- European Commission. Study on Judges' Training Needs in the Field of European Competition Law. (2016). <<http://ec.europa.eu/competition/publications/reports/kd0416407enn.pdf>> accessed 12 February 2019.
- European Commission. (2016). EU Competition Policy in Action. *Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union*, <<http://ec.europa.eu/competition/publications/kd0216250enn.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- European Commission. (2015). The Damages Directive – Towards More Effective Enforcement of the EU Competition Rules, Competition Policy Brief.
- Fabbio P. Private Actions for Damages. <<https://www.luc.edu/media/lucedu/law/centers/antitrust/pdfs/publications/newsviews/fabbio.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Frankel A. (2014). A Smoking Gun in Debate Over Consumer Class Actions?. *Reuters* 30 May 2014, <<http://blogs.reuters.com/alison-frankel/2014/05/09/a-smoking-gun-in-debate-over-consumer-class-actions/>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Frediani Z. and Stockford C. (2017). Merricks v MasterCard: What Went Wrong. *Global Competition Review*, <<https://globalcompetitionreview.com/article/1151133/merricks-v-mastercard-what-went-wrong>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Geistfeld M.A. (2013). The Coherence of Compensation-Deterrence Theory in Tort Law. *New York University Law and Economics Working Papers* 383 <http://lsr.nellco.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1350&context=nyu_lewp> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Geradin D. and Sadrak K. (2017). The EU Competition Law Fining System: A Quantitative Review of the Commission Decisions between 2000 and 2017. *TILEC*, Discussion Paper DP 2017-018, <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2958317> accessed 3 September 2018.
- Hittson H. and Hatch J.H. (2017). Multi-Defendant Antitrust Litigation: Lessons Learned from In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation. *Antitrust Law Blog*, <<https://www.pbwt.com/antitrust-update-blog/multi-defendant-antitrust-litigation-lessons-learned-from-in-re-automotive-parts-antitrust-litigation>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Howells G. and Micklitz H-W. (2009). Guidelines for Consumer Organisations on Enforcement and Collective Redress. *Consumer Law Enforcement Forum Project*, <http://www.cojef-project.eu/IMG/pdf/d_CLEFfinalguidelines_76647.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Int'l Competition Network (2005). Defining Hard Core Cartel Conduct, Effective Institutions, Effective Penalties. *Conference Paper*, presented at the *ICN 4th Annual Conference*, Bonn, 6-8 June 2005.
- Italianer A. (2013). Fighting Cartels in Europe and the US: Different Systems, Common Goals. *Conference Paper*, presented at the *Annual Conference of the International Bar Association*, Boston, 9 October 2013.
- Johnston J.S. and Zywicki T. (2015). The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's Arbitration Study: A Summary and Critique. *Mercatus Working Paper*, <<http://mercatus.org/sites/default/files/Johnston-CFPB-Arbitration.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.

- Juška Ž. (2016). Class Actions in Lithuania. *Global Class Actions Exchange*, <<http://globalclassactions.stanford.edu/category/authors/zygimantas-juška>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Keske S.E. (2009). Group Litigation in European Competition Law: A Law and Economics Perspective. Erasmus University Rotterdam, <[https://repub.eur.nl/pub/17790/Sonja%20Keske%20Thesis\[lr\].pdf](https://repub.eur.nl/pub/17790/Sonja%20Keske%20Thesis[lr].pdf)> accessed 2 September 2018
- Kominos A. et al. (2009). Quantifying Antitrust Damages. *Oxera*, Study Prepared for the European Commission, <http://ec.europa.eu/competition/antitrust/actionsdamages/quantification_study.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Kortmann J. Overview of Legislative Proposal on Collective Action (NL) - As Amended by the Amendment Bill of 11 January 2018. *Stibbe*, <<https://www.stibbe.com/en/news/2018/january/overview-of-legislative-proposal-on-collective-action-nl---as-amended-by-the-amendment-bill-of-11-j>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Kubas Kos Galkowski. (2016). Sandomierz Group Proceedings. <<http://www.kkg.pl/en/class-action/sandomierz-group-proceedings/>> accessed 20 March 2018.
- Lee E.G. III and Willging T.E. (2008). Impact of the Class Action Fairness Act on the Federal Courts. *Federal Judicial Center*, <<https://www.fjc.gov/sites/default/files/2012/CAFA1108.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Maciuleviciute A. (2012). Litigation Funding in Relation to the Establishment of a European Mechanism of Collective Redress. *The European Consumer Organisation*, <<http://www.beuc.org/publications/2012-00074-01-e.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Maciuleviciute A. Re-directing Justice. *The European Consumer Organisation*, <<http://www.beuc.eu/publications/2012-00561-01-e.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Maciuleviciute A. Has the Commission Convinced EU Countries to Introduce Collective Redress?. *The European Consumer Organisation*, <<http://www.beuc.eu/press-media/news-events/has-commission-convinced-eu-countries-introduce-collective-redress>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Mariniello M. (2013). Do European Fines Deter Price Fixing?. *Bruegel Policy Review* 4, <http://bruegel.org/wpcontent/uploads/imported/publications/Do_European_Union_fines_deter_price-fixing_-_English_.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Mayer Brown. (2013). Do Class Actions Benefit Class Members? An Empirical Analysis of Class Actions. <<https://www.mayerbrown.com/files/uploads/Documents/PDFs/2013/December/DoClassActionsBenefitClassMembers.pdf>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Monateri P.G. (2008). Legal Formants and Competitive Models: Understanding Comparative Law from Legal Process to Critique in Cross-System Legal Analysis. University of Torino. School of Law, <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1317302> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Mosso C.E. (2013). The Commission's Proposal for a Directive on Antitrust Damages Actions. *Conference Paper*, presented at the *Antitrust Damages: the European Commission's Proposal*, Brussels, June.
- O'Leary T. (2017). New Competition Class Action Regime off to a Slow Start. <<http://www.allenoverly.com/publications/en-gb/Pages/New-competition-class-action-regime-off-to-a-slow-start.aspx>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Pace N.M. and et al. (2007). Insurance Class Actions in the United States. *RAND Institute for Civil Justice*, <https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/monographs/2007/RAND_MG587-1.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.

- Peyer S. Has the CAT's Mastercard Decision Killed off Opt-Out Class Actions by Indirect Purchasers?. *Competition Policy Blog*, <<https://competitionpolicy.wordpress.com/2017/08/10/has-the-cats-mastercard-decision-killed-off-opt-out-class-actions-by-indirect-purchasers/>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Renda A. et al. (2006). Making Antitrust Damages Actions More Effective in the EU: Welfare Impact and Potential Scenarios. *Centre for European Policy Studies, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Luiss Guido Carli*, Report for the European Commission, <http://ec.europa.eu/competition/antitrust/actionsdamages/files_white_paper/impact_study.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Richman J.E. (2016). United States: Dutch Collective Actions vs. Collective Settlements. *Mondaq*, <<http://www.mondaq.com/unitedstates/x/536358/Shareholders/Dutch+Collective+Actions+vs+Collective+Settlements>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Riley A. (2010). The Modernisation of EU Anti-Cartel Enforcement: Will the Commission Grasp the Opportunity?. *Centre for European Policy Studies*, Special Report <<http://aei.pitt.edu/14570/>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Rosch J.T. (2011). Designing a Private Remedies System for Antitrust Cases-Lessons Learned from the U.S. Experience. *Conference Paper*, presented at the *16th Annual EU Competition Law and Policy Workshop*, Fiesole, Italy, 17 June 2011.
- Reis R.V. and Mesquita M. Portugal. *British Institute for International and Comparative Law*, <<http://www.collectiveredress.org/collective-redress/reports/portugal/overview>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Rosch J.T. (2006). Antitrust Modernization Commission Remarks. *Conference Paper*, presented at the *ABA Antitrust Modernization Commission Conference*, Georgetown University Law Center. Washington D.C., 8 June 2006.
- Rubenstein W.B. and Pace N.M. (2008). How Transparent Are Class Action Outcomes? Empirical Research on the Availability of Class Action Claims Data. *RAND Institute for Civil Justice*, WR-599-ICJ, <billrubenstein.com/Downloads/RAND%20Working%20Paper.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Simard L.S. (2013). A View from Within the Fortune 500: An Empirical Study of Negative Value Class Actions and Deterrence. *Suffolk University Legal Studies Research Paper Series*, Research Paper 13-32, <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2331676> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Singh R. (2012). 'Full' Compensation Criteria in the Law of Torts: An Enquiry into the Doctrine of Causation. *Centre for the Study of Law and Governance Jawaharlal Nehru University* <<https://www.jnu.ac.in/sites/default/files/u63/02Full%20Compensation%20%28Ram%20Singh%29.pdf>> accessed 16 February 2019.
- Scottish Government. (2013). Review of Expenses and Funding of Civil Litigation in Scotland. <<http://scotland.gov.uk/About/Review/taylor-review/Report>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Shavel S. (2002). Economic Analysis of Accident Law. *Harvard Law School*, Discussion Paper No. 396 <http://www.fd.unl.pt/docentes_docs/ma/LTF_MA_24338.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Slotboom M. (2013). Individual Liability for Cartel Infringements in the EU: An Increasingly Dangerous Minefield. *Kluwer Competition Law Blog*, <<http://kluwercompetitionlawblog.com/2013/04/25/individual-liability-for-cartel-infringements-in-the-eu-an-increasingly-dangerous-minefield/>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- The Law Society of England and Wales. (2011). Towards a Coherent European Approach to Collective

- Redress.<http://ec.europa.eu/competition/consultations/2011_collective_redress/law_society_of_england_and_wales_en.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Tzankova I. (2016). New Dutch Bill on Collective Damages Action. <<http://conflictoflaws.net/2016/new-dutch-bill-on-collective-damages-action/>> accessed 2 September 2018.
- UFC–Que Choisir. (2011). Consultation de la Commission Européenne sur les Recours Collectifs Contribution De L UFC-Choisir. http://ec.europa.eu/competition/consultations/2011_collective_redress/ufc_que_choisir_de_rennes_fr.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- U.S. Chamber Institute for Legal Reform. (2017). Supporting Safeguards: EU Consumer Attitudes Towards Collective Actions and Litigation Funding. <http://www.instituteforlegalreform.com/uploads/sites/1/EU_Paper_Web.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Which?. (2011). Response to European Commission Consultation on Collective Redress. <http://ec.europa.eu/competition/consultations/2011_collective_redress/which_en.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Which?. (2011). Public Consultation: Towards a Coherent European Approach to Collective Redress. <http://ec.europa.eu/competition/consultations/2011_collective_redress/which_en.pdf> accessed 2 September 2018.
- Živković P. (2017). Antitrust Arbitration in Europe (Part I): Improving Private Enforcement by Removing Procedural and Evidential Barriers in Arbitration. *Kluwer Arbitration Blog*, <<http://kluwerarbitrationblog.com/2017/03/17/booked-microsoft-v-sony-arbitrating-antitrust-damages-claims>> accessed 2 September 2018.

LIST OF LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS

European Union

Binding documents

- Directive 2014/104/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 November 2014 on certain rules governing actions for damages under national law for infringements of the competition law provisions of the Member States and of the European Union [2014] OJ L349
- Directive 2009/22/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 on injunctions for the protection of consumers' interests (O.J.E.U. L 110/30 of 1.5.2009) codifying Directive 98/27/EC
- Directive 2009/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on airport charges [2009] OJ L 70
- Directive 2009/22/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 on injunctions for the protection of consumers interests [2009] OJ L 110
- Consolidated Version of the Treaty on European Union [2008] OJ C115/13
- Council Regulation No 1/2003 of 16 December 2002 on the implementation of the rules on competition laid down in Articles 81 and 82 of the Treaty [2004] OJ L 1/1
- Directive 2004/48/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights [2004] OJ L 157
- Regulation (EC) No 261/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 February 2004 establishing common rules on compensation and assistance to passengers in the event of denied boarding and of cancellation or long delay of flights ECC No 295/91 [2004] OJ L 46
- Council Regulation No. 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdictions and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters [2001] OJ L12/1
- Council Regulation No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents [2001] OJ L145/43
- Directive 85/374/EEC of 25 July 1985 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States concerning liability for defective products (85/374/EEC)

Non-binding documents

- Report from the Commission to the European Parliament, The Council and the European Economic and Social Committee on the implementation of the Commission Recommendation of 11 June 2013 on common principles for injunctive and compensatory collective redress mechanisms in the Member States concerning violations of rights granted under Union law (2013/396/EU) COM(2018) 40 final
- Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Commission Work Programme 2018, an Agenda for a more United, Stronger and More Democratic Europe COM (2017) 650 final
- Press Release, Aviation: Commission reports increased transparency in setting of airport charges, but uneven implementation of rules by the Member States, IP-14-567 (19 May 2014)

Commission Recommendation of 11 June 2013 on common principles for collective redress mechanisms in the Member States for injunctions against and claims on damages caused by violations of EU rights COM(2013) 3539/3

Communication from the Commission on quantifying harm in actions for damages based on breaches of article 101 or 102 of the Treaty on the functioning of the European Union [2013] OJ C167/07

Press Release, Antitrust: Commission Proposes Legislation to Facilitate Damage Claims by Victims of Antitrust Violation, IP-13-525 (11 June 2013)

Practical Guide on quantifying harm in actions for damages based on breaches of article 101 or 102 of the Treaty on the functioning of the European Union [2013] SWD 205

Public Consultation: Towards a Coherent European Approach to Collective Redress (2011) SEC 173 final

Green Paper on Consumer Collective Redress—Questions and Answers, (2008) MEMO/08/741

White Paper on damages actions for breach of the EC antitrust rules COM (2008) 165 final

Guidelines on the Method of Setting Fines Imposed Pursuant to Article 23(2) of Regulation No 1/2003 [2006] OJ C210/2

Commission Notice on immunity from fines and reduction of fines in cartel cases [2006] OJ C298/17

Green Paper on damages actions for breach of the EC antitrust rules, COM (2005) 672 final

Commission Notice on co-operation within the Network of Competition Authorities [2004] OJ C101/43

Commission Notice on the non-imposition or reduction of fines in cartel cases [1996] OJ C 207/4

Member States of the European Union

Belgium

Act on Claims for Collective Redress of 28 March 2014 (Official Gazette of 29 April 2014, 35201)

Code of Economic Law of 12 December 2013, Book IV ‘Protection of competition’ (Official Gazette of 26 April 2013)

Code of Economic Law of 12 December 2013, Title 2 of Book XVII ‘Special Court Proceedings’ (Official Gazette of 26 April 2013, 35201)

Act on Market Practices and Consumer Protection of 6 April 2010 (Official Gazette of 12 April 2010, 20803).

Denmark

Competition Act (Consolidated Act No. 700 of 18 June 2013)

Consolidated Marketing Practices Act (Consolidated Act no. 58 of 20 January 2012 as amended by section 33 of Act no. 1231 of 18 December 2012, section 5 of Act no. 1387 of 23 December 2012 and section 1 of Act no. 378 of 17 April 2013)

Administration of Justice Act (Consolidated Act No. 1261 of 23 October 2007)

Marketing Practices Act (Act No. 428 of 1 June 1994)

France

Law on Consumer Rights (No. 2014-344 of 17 March 2014)

Law on the Consumer Code (No. 93-949 of 26 July 1993)

Germany

Act against Unfair Competition of 3 March 2010 (Federal Law Gazette Part I, 254)

Law on the Remuneration of Attorneys of 5 May 2004 (Federal Law Gazette I, 718, 788)

Civil Code (Federal Law Gazette, I 42, 2909; 2003 I 738)

Lithuania

Law on the Bar (No. IX-2066 of 18 March 2004)

Civil Procedure Code (No. IX-743 of 28 February 2002)

Civil Code (No. VIII-1864 of 18 July 2000)

Law on Competition (No. VIII-1099 of 23 March 1999)

Law on Administrative Proceedings (No. VIII-1029 of 14 January 1999)

Poland

Act on Pursuing Claims in Group Proceedings (No.7, item 44 of 17 December 2009)

Act on Combating Unfair Competition (No. 3 item 153 of 16 April 1993)

Law on Legal Advisors (No .19 item 145 of 6 July 1982)

Law on the Advocates' Profession (No.16 item 124 of 26 May 1982)

Portugal

Civil Procedure Code (No. 41 of 26 June 2013)

Competition Act (No. 19 of 8 May 2012)

The Law 83/95 of 31 August 1995

Civil Code (No. 47344/66 of 25 November 1966)

The Netherlands

Code of Civil Procedure of 12 April 2011

Act on Collective Settlements (Stb. 340 of 23 June 2005)

Competition Act of 22 May 1997

Civil Code of 1 January 1992

United Kingdom

Consumer Rights Act 2015 of 01 October 2015

Enterprise Act 2002 of 7 November 2002

Competition Act 1998 of 9 November 1998

United States

Antitrust Criminal Penalty Enhancement and Reform Act, Pub. L. No. 108-237, §§ 201-214, 118 Stat. 666–67 (2004)

Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 12–27 (1914)

Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1–7 (1890)

CASE LAW

Court of Justice of the European Union

C-130/10 *Parliament v. Council* [2012] ECR I 0000
C-360/09 *Pfleiderer AG v Bundeskartellamt* [2011] ECR I-05161
C-305/05 *Ordre des barreaux francophones et germanophone and others v Conseil des ministres* [2007] ECR I-5305
C-98/06 *Freeport v. Arnoldsson* [2007] ECR I-8319
C-397/03 *Archer Daniels Midland Co. and Archer Daniels Midland Ingredients LTD. v. Commission* [2006] ECR I-4429
C-539/03 *Roche Nederland BV et al. v. Primus et al.*, [2006] ECR I-6535
C-295/04 to 298/04 *Vincenzo Manfredi and Others v Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazioni SpA and Others* [2006] ECR I-6619
C-453/99 *Courage Ltd. v. Bernard Crehan* [2001] ECR I-6297
C-344/98 *Masterfoods* [2000] ECR I-11412
C-104/89 and C-37/90 *Mulder and others v Council and Commission* [2000] ECR I-203
C-242/87 *Commission v. Council (ERASMUS)* [1989] ECR 1425

Member States of the European Union

France

SAS Ma Liste de Courses v. Société HighCo 3.0 SA et al., Decision of 16 March 2012 of the Commercial Court of Paris, RG 2011/014911
Schlenzka v SA Fountain Pajot, Decision of 1 December 2010 of the Supreme Court for Judicial Matters, No 1090.
UFC Que Choisir v. SFR, Decision of 6 December 2007 of the Commercial Court of Paris, RG 2006/057440

German

Higher District Court, Decision of 18 February 2015, VI-U (Kart) 3/14, NZKart 2015
Regional Court, Decision of 4 May 2012, 7 O 436/11 (Kart)
Federal Court of Justice, Decision of 28 June 2011, No. KZR 75/10
Federal Court of Justice, Decision of June 4, 1992, BGH Sen. Z

Lithuania

Ukmergės rajono savivaldybė v. UAB 'Energijos taupymo centras' bei UAB 'Miesto energija', Decision of 10 July May 2015 of the Court of Appeals of Lithuania, civil case No.e2-816-157/2015
Naftos grupė v. AB Klaipėdos nafta, Decision of the Court of Appeals of Lithuania of 17 June 2014, civil case No. 2A-606/2014

AB Orlen Lietuva v. the Competition Council of the Republic of Lithuania UAB, Decision the Supreme Administrative Court of Lithuania of 14 July 2011, administrative case no. A502-3034/2011

UAB Klevo lapas v. AB Orlen Lietuva, Decision of 17 May 2010 of the Supreme Court of Lithuania, civil case No. 3K-3-207/2010

V. J. v. The Republic of Lithuania, Decision of 2 June 2009 of the Court of Appeals of Lithuania No. 2-492/2009

L. Z., M. Z., V. Z., G. Z. v. VšĮ Marijampolės ligoninė, Decision of 5 August 2008 of the Supreme Court of Lithuania, No. 3K-7-255/2005

AB flyLAL-Lithuanian Airlines v. Air Baltic Corporation A/S and Airport Riga, Decision of the Court of Appeals of Lithuania of 31 December 2008, civil case No. 2-949/2008

UAB Šiaulių Tara v. AB Stumbras Decision of 26 May 2006 of the Court of Appeals of Lithuania, civil case No. 2A-41/2006

Poland

Decision of the Regional Court of Warsaw of 12 July 2013, XVI GC 595/11

Decision of 8 April 2011 of the District Court of Warsaw, II C 121/11

Decision of 2 March 2006 of the Supreme Court, I CSK 83/05, nyr.

Resolution of 23 July 2008 of the Supreme Court, III CZP 52/08, OSNC 2009, No 7-8, item 107

Portugal

Observatório da Concorrência v. Sport TV, Decision of the Lisbon Judicial Court, No. 7074/15.8T8LSB

Cogeco v. Sport TV, Decision of the Lisbon Judicial Court, No. 5754/15.7T8LSB

The Netherlands

Amsterdam District Court, Decision of 7 January 2015, No. C/13/561169 / HA ZA 14–283

Supreme Court, Decision of 25 February 2000, No. R96/057 (8850)

United Kingdom

National Grid Electricity Transmission plc v. ABB ltd. et al. [2012] EWHC 869 (Ch)

National Grid Electricity Transmission plc v. ABB ltd. et al. [2011] EWHC 1717 (Ch)

Emerald Supplies Ltd. v. British Airways plc [2010] EWCA (Civ) 1284

Enrol Coal Services Ltd v English Welsh & Scottish Railway Ltd [2010] EWCA Civ 2

Safeway Stores Ltd. v. Twigger et al. [2010] EWCA (Civ) 1472

Emerald Supplies Ltd. v. British Airways plc [2009] EWHC 741 (Ch)

Devenish Nutrition Ltd. v. Sanofi-Aventis SA [2008] EWCA (Civ) 1086

Devenish etc. v. Sanofi -Aventis etc. [2007] EWHC 2394 (Ch) 2394

JJB Sports plc v Office of Fair Trading [2006] Court of Appeal, EWCA Civ 1318

Crehan v. Innentrepreneur Pub Co [2004] EWCA (Civ) 637

Arkin v. Borchard Lines Ltd. [2003] EWHC 687 (Comm)

United States

Redmond v. RadioShack, Corp., 768 F.3d 622 (7th Cir. 2014)

In re Baby Prods. Antitrust Litig., 708 F.3d 163 (3d Cir.2013)
Ira Holtzman, C.P.A. v. Turza, 728 F.3d 682 (7th Cir.2013)
Boeynaems v. LA Fitness International, LLC, 285 F.R.D. 331 (E.D. Pa. 2012)
In re Lupron Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation, 677 F.3d 21 (1st Cir. 2012)
Lane v. Facebook, Inc., 696 F.3d 811 (9th Cir. 2012)
Nachshin v. AOL, LLC, 663 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2011)
Klier v. Elf Atochem N. Am., Inc., 658 F.3d 468, 475 n.15 (5th Cir. 2011)
McDaniel v. County of Schenectady, 595 F.3d 411 (2d Cir. 2010)
Schweinfurth v. Motorola, Inc., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 82772 (N.D. Ohio Sept. 30, 2008)
Hydrogen Peroxide Antitrust Litigation, 552 F.3d 305 (3d Cir. 2008)
In re Hydrogen Peroxide Antitrust Litigation 552 F.3d 305 (3d Cir. 2008)
Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 (2007)
Diamond Chemical Co. v. Akzo Nobel Chemicals, B. V. 517 F. Supp. 2d 212 (D.D.C. 2007)
Fears v. Wilhelmina Model Agency, Inc., No. 02 Civ. 4911 (HB), 2007 WL 1944343 (S.D.N.Y. Jul. 5, 2007) (Opinion of Harold Baer Jr.)
Masters v. Wilhelmina Model Agency, Inc., 473 F.3d 423 (2d Cir. 2007)
Blades v. Monsanto Co., 400 F.3d 562, 566 (8th Cir. 2005)
In re Remeron End-Payor Antitrust Litig., Nos. Civ. 02-2007 FSH, Civ. 04-5126 FSH, 2005 WL 2230314, (D.N.J. Sept. 13, 2005)
In re Compact Disc Minimum Advertised Price Antitrust Litigation, 2005 WL 1923446 (D.Me. 2205)
Verizon Communications v. Law Offices of Curtis v. Trinko 540 U.S. 398 (2004)
Mirfasihi v. Fleet Mortg. Corp., 356 F.3d 781 (7th Cir. 2004)
LePage's, Inc. v. 3M Co., 324 F.3d 141, 164-66 (3d Cir. 2003) (en banc), cert.denied, 542 U.S. 953 (2004))
Staton v. Boeing Co., 327 F.3d 938 (9th Cir. 2003)
In re Cardizem CD Antitrust Litig., 332 F.3d 896 (6th Cir. 2003)
Block v. McDonald's Corporation (No. 01-409137, Cook County, Illinois, 2002)
In re Airline Comm'n Antitrust Litig., 307 F.3d 679 (8th Cir. 2002)
In re Airline Ticket Comm'n Antitrust Litig., 268 F.3d 619, 625-26 (8th Cir. 2001)
In re Motorsports Merchandise Antitrust Litigation, 160 F. Supp. 2d. 1392 (N.D. Ga. 2001)
Scott v. Blockbuster Inc. (No. D162-535, Jefferson County, Texas, 2001)
Lazy Oil Co. v. Witco Corp., 166 F.3d 581 (3d Cir. 1999)
Perish v. Intel Corp., No. CV-75-51-01 (Cal. Super. Ct. Santa Clara Co. June 22, 1998)
In re NASDAQ Market Makers Antitrust Litigation, 187 F.R.D. 465, 487 (S.D.N.Y. 1996)
In re High Fructose Corn Syrup Antitrust Litig., 936 F. Supp. 530 (C.D. Ill. 1996)
In re Gen. Motors Corp. Pick-Up Truck Fuel Tank Prods. Liab. Litig., 55 F.3d 768 (3d Cir. 1995)
Superior Beverage Co., v. Owens-Illinois, Inc., 827 F. Supp. 477 (N.D. Ill. 1993)
In re Domestic Air Transportation Antitrust Litigation, 148 F.R.D. 297, 305, 308 (N.D. Ga. 1993)
Coleman v Cannon Oil, 141 F.R.D. 516 (1992)
Wigod v. Chicago Mercantile Exchange, 981 F.2d 1510 (7th Cir. 1992)
California v. ARC Am. Corp., 490 U.S. 93 (1989)
Kirkpatrick v. JC Bradford & Co., 827 F.2d 718 (11th Cir. 1987)
Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc., 473 U.S. 614 (1985)
Northwest Wholesale Stationers, 472 U.S. 284 (1985)
In re Folding Carton Antitrust Litg., 744 F.2d 1252 (7th Cir. 1984)

In re Cuisinart Food Processor Antitrust Litigation, 1983-2-CCH Trade Cas. 65,680 (D. Conn. 1983)

Am. Soc. of Mech. Eng'rs v. Hydrolevel Corp., 456 U.S. 556 (1982)

Blue Shield of Va. v. McCready, 457 U.S. 465 (1982)

Tex. Indus., Inc. v. Radcliff Materials, Inc., 451 US 630 (1981)

Pfizer, Inc. v. Gov. 't of India 434 U.S. 308 (1978)

Brick Co. v. Illinois, 431 U.S. 720 (1977)

Illinois Brick Co. v. Illinois, 431 U.S. 720 (1977)

Miller v. Steinbach, No. 66 Civ. 356, 1974 WL 350 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 3, 1974)

City of Philadelphia v Chas. Pfizer & Co, Inc, 345 F Supp 454, 484 (S.D.N.Y. 1972)

Hawaii v. Standard Oil, 405 U.S. 251 (1972)

Trans World Airlines Inc v Hughees, 312 F Supp 478 (S.D.N.Y. 1970)

Canada

Infineon Technologies AG c Option Consommateurs, 2013 SCC 59, [2013] 3 S.C.R. 600

Pro-Sys Consultants Ltd v Microsoft Corporation, 2013 SCC 57, [2013] 3 S.C.R. 477

Sun-Rype Products Ltd v Archer Daniels Midland Company, 2013 SCC 58, [2013] 3 S.C.R. 545

LIST OF ENFORCEMENT DECISIONS BY COMPETITION AUTHORITIES

European Commission

Alternators and Starters (Case COMP/40028) Commission Decision of 27 January 2017, C(2016) 223 [2016] OJ C 137/05
Steel Abrasives (Case COMP 39.792) Commission Decision of 2 April 2014, C(2014) 2074 final
Airfreight (Case COMP 39258) Commission Decision of 9 November 2010, [2010] OJ C 371
DRAMs (Case COMP 38511) Commission Decision of 19 May 2010
MasterCard—EuroCommerce—Commercial Cards (Case COMP/34.579—COMP/36.518—COMP/38.580) Commission Decision of 17 December 2007 [2009] OJ C 264/8
Wanadoo Espana v Telefonica (Case COMP/38.784) Commission Decision of 4 July 2007 [2007] OJ C269/55
Hydrogen Peroxide and Perborate (Case COMP/F/38.620) Commission Decision of 5 June 2006, C(2006) 1766 final
Industrial Bags (Case COMP/38354) Commission Decision of 30 November 2005, [2007] OJ L 282/41
Microsoft (Case COMP C-3/37.792) Commission Decision of 24 March 2004, C(2004) 900 final
Volkswagen (Case COMP/F-2/36.693) Commission Decision 2001/711/EC, [2001] OJ L 262/14

Member States of the European Union

France

Orange France/SFR/Bouygues Télécom, Decision of 30 November 2005, No. 05-D-65

Lithuania

GAZPROM, Decision of 10 June 2014 of the Competition Council, No. 2S-3/2014
UAB G4S Lietuva, AB SEB, AB DNB AB Swedbank, Decision of 30 September 2014 of the Competition Council, No. 2S - 9/2014
UAB Mantinga, UAB Maxima, Decision 4 December 2014 of the Competition Council, No. 2S-14/2014
Stumbras, Decision of 30 May of the Competition Council 2002, No.6/b

Poland

Decision of 12 July 2007 the Court of Competition and Consumer Protection, XVII Ama 64/06
Order of 3 December 1997 of the Antimonopoly Court, XVII Amz 5/97, nyr.
Decision of 15 March 1995 of the Antimonopoly Court, XVII Amr 66/94, Wokanda 1996, No. 3.
Decision of 29 December 1993 of the Antimonopoly Court, XVII Amr 42/93, 5 Wokanda

United Kingdom

Pride Mobility Products Limited [2014] OFT (Case No CE/9578-12)
2 Travel Group plc (in liquidation) v. Cardiff City Transport Services Limited [2012] CAT 19
Emerson Electric Co. et al. v. Morgan Crucible Company plc et al. [2011] CAT 4

Albion Water Ltd v DŵR Cymru Cyfyngedig [2010] CAT 30

Consumers Association (Which?) v. JJB Sports Plc [2009] CAT 2 (Case No 1078/7/9/07)

BCL Old Co Ltd v. Aventis SA [2005] CAT 2

Umbro Holdings Ltd, Manchester United plc, Allsports Limited, JJB Sports plc v. Office of Fair Trading [2005] CAT 22

Allsports Limited, JJB Sports plc v. Office of Fair Trading [2004] CAT 17

STATISTICS

BarentKrans (2015). Cartel Damages Claims in Europe.

Federal Judicial Caseload Statistics Table C-2: U.S. District Courts—Civil Cases Commenced, by Basis of Jurisdiction and Nature of Suit, During 12-month Period Ending March 31, 2012 and 2013. <<http://www.uscourts.gov/Statistics/FederalJudicialCaseloadStatistics/caseload-statistics-2013.aspx>> accessed 23 February 2017.

Department of Justice. Antitrust Division, Division Update Spring 2018. <<https://www.justice.gov/atr/division-operations/division-update-spring-2018/doing-hard-time-antitrust-division-sets-records-number-individuals-sentenced-and-number-criminal>> accessed 7 February 2019.

Department of Justice. Antitrust Division, Workload Statistics (2005-2014). <<https://www.justice.gov/atr/file/630706/download>> accessed 23 February 2017.

Department of Justice. Antitrust Division, Workload Statistics (2006-2015). <<https://www.justice.gov/atr/file/788426/download>> accessed 23 February 2017.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2016). Sanctions in Antitrust Cases. <https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/attachments/us-submissions-oecd-other-international-competition-fora/sanctions_united_states.pdf> accessed 8 February 2019.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2002). Glossary of Statistical Terms. <<http://www.oecd.org/regreform/sectors/2376087.pdf>> accessed 23 February 2017.

Department of Justice. Antitrust Case Filings. <<https://www.justice.gov/atr/antitrust-case-filings#page=page-1>> accessed 23 February 2017.

Department of Justice. Criminal Enforcement Trends Charts. <<https://www.justice.gov/atr/criminal-enforcement-fine-and-jail-charts>> accessed 23 February 2017.

Federal Trade Commission. Competition Enforcement Database. <<https://www.ftc.gov/competition-enforcement-database>> accessed 23 February 2017.

Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics Online. Antitrust Cases filed in U.S. District Courts, <<http://www.albany.edu/sourcebook/pdf/t5412004.pdf>> accessed 23 February 2017.

European Commission. Cartel Statistics. <<http://ec.europa.eu/competition/cartels/statistics/statistics.pdf>> accessed 23 February 2017.

CURRICULUM VITAE

Žygimantas Juška was born on 19 September 1988 in Klaipėda, Lithuania. Žygimantas attended Mykolas Romeris University in Lithuania from 2007 until 2011, where he obtained the Bachelor in Laws. In 2012, he completed the LLM in European Business Law at Radboud University in the Netherlands. Žygimantas has become a PhD-candidate at Leiden University in 2013 July.

At the moment, Žygimantas works in the European Union Delegation to Ukraine as an Attaché for competition and energy. Prior to that, he worked in the European Union Office in Kosovo as an Attaché for political affairs; as well he was a focal point for Competition and State Aid. Žygimantas became the first Lithuanian to be awarded the EU Fulbright Schuman scholarship. As a consequence, he conducted research at Stanford University and the University of Michigan during the academic year 2015-2016. In 2014-2015, he worked in the Lithuanian law firm as a legal associate in the Dispute Resolution practice group. Before that, he did traineeships in the European Commission DG Competition and in the Asser institute. Žygimantas has also accumulated significant experience in international criminal law. Specifically, he worked in the high profile case *Prosecutor v. Radovan Karadžić* at the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia. He also worked as a legal consultant in the Embassy of Lithuania to the Kingdom of the Netherlands, representing the Embassy at the International Criminal Court and other international tribunals in The Hague.

Žygimantas is also a member of the Justice Research Lab at Mykolas Romeris University. He was a workshop speaker at the 11th ASCOLA Conference, presenting the relationship between antitrust class actions in the US and in the EU. During his time at the University of Michigan Law School, he organised the Student Research Roundtable entitled ‘The Impact of Class Actions on Antitrust Enforcement: Trans-Atlantic Dialogue between the US and the EU’.