

Impact of nitrogen fertilization on the soil microbiome and nitrous oxide emissions

Cassman, N.A.

Citation

Cassman, N. A. (2019, April 17). *Impact of nitrogen fertilization on the soil microbiome and nitrous oxide emissions*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/71732

Version:Not Applicable (or Unknown)License:Leiden University Non-exclusive licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/71732

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/71732</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Cassman, N.A.

Title: Impact of nitrogen fertilization on the soil microbiome and nitrous oxide emissions **Issue Date:** 2019-04-17

Chapter 2

Plant and soil fungal but not soil bacterial communities are linked in long-term fertilized grassland

Noriko A. Cassman, Marcio F.A. Leite, Yao Pan, Mattias de Hollander, Johannes A. van Veen and Eiko E. Kuramae

Published as:

Cassman NA, Leite MFA, Pan Y, de Hollander M, van Veen JA & Kuramae EE, 2016. "Plant and soil fungal but not soil bacterial communities are linked in long-term fertilized grassland." *Scientific Reports.* 6: 23680.

Abstract

Inorganic fertilization and mowing alter soil factors with subsequent effects -direct and indirect - on above- and below-ground communities. We explored direct and indirect effects of long-term fertilization (N, P, NPK, Liming) and twice yearly mowing on the plant, bacterial and fungal communities and soil factors. We analyzed co-variation using 16S and 18S rRNA genes surveys, and plant frequency and edaphic factors across treatments. The plant and fungal communities were distinct in the NPK and L treatments, while the bacterial communities and soil factors were distinct in the N and L treatments. Plant community diversity and evenness had low diversity in the NPK and high diversity in the liming treatment, while the diversity and evenness of the bacterial and fungal communities did not differ across treatments, except of higher diversity and evenness in the liming treatment for the bacteria. We found significant co-structures between communities based on plant and fungal comparisons but not between plant and bacterial nor bacterial and fungal comparisons. Our results suggest that plant and fungal communities are more tightly linked than either community with the bacterial community in fertilized soils. We found co-varying plant, bacterial and fungal taxa in different treatments that may indicate ecological interactions.

2.1 Introduction

The plant-soil feedback drives primary productivity and is fundamental to terrestrial ecosystem functioning[1]. Plant-derived litter and rhizo-deposits present C resources to soil microbes, and microbial decomposition of plant litter and soil organic matter (SOM) releases available nutrients to plants[2]. Furthermore, the plant-soil feedback underlies plant and soil microbial (bacteria and fungi) species interactions, many mediated by nutrient quality and availability. For example, plant species select a subset of the soil microbial (bacterial and fungal) community around the roots and in the topsoil through the biochemical composition of rhizo-deposits and leaves[3-5]. Nutrient competition, especially for N[6], plays an important role in structuring bacterial communities over time in cooperation with the overlying plant species [7, 8]. Moreover, plant and microbial species may be linked through negative pathogenic interactions or positive symbiotic associations, for example those of plants with Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF)[9] or N-fixing bacteria¹ to enhance plant nutrient uptake. Through micro-climatic change, such as modulation of soil pH and subsequent effects on soil nutrient status, plant species may also indirectly alter the composition of microbial communities[2]. Thus, above- and below-ground communities may have significant associations at the community level. While links between soil microbial community diversity and biomass, and plant productivity[1, 2, 10] or soil C storage[8] are known, links between above- and below-ground community compositions have not received as much attention.

Altered plant, bacterial and fungal species interactions may have consequences for ecosystem function. Long-term grassland management practices of adding nitrogen (N), potassium (K) and phosphate (P) intended to increase vegetation productivity affect the composition and diversity of the plant community and the composition of the soil bacterial community[11, 12]; this management practice can be considered a disturbance on above- and below-ground community compositions[13]. Nutrient additions can affect community compositions i) directly, e.g. by favoring species functionally adapted to the nutrient inputs and over time selecting those species so that they increase in abundance, or indirectly; these indirect effects include iia) the indirect effect of nutrient additions on soil physicochemical status, which can drive community composition changes (e.g. nutrient additions alter micronutrient availability which can structure microbial community compositions), iib) an indirect effect of nutrient inputs on the biomass of other communities which alters the composition of the original community; for example, nutrient additions increase plant productivity, which provides more C resources, accelerating soil microbial growth, and iic) an indirect effect of nutrient

inputs on the compositions of other communities inducing shifts in the original community, e.g. nutrient additions favor some plant species, which may also favor microbial symbionts of these plants.

Here, we explored the related effects of long-term nutrient addition on the composition, evenness and diversity of three grassland communities -plant and soil bacterial and fungal assemblages as measured by 16S and 18S rRNA gene sequence surveys. We examined the direct effect of nutrient addition on the plant, bacterial and fungal communities across the treatments. We hypothesized H1) that each treatment would affect plant, bacterial and fungal communities differently. To look at the indirect effect of nutrient additions through altering soil factors, we considered the co-variation in soil factor profiles and plant, bacterial and fungal communities. In addition, we included a treatment in which lime (L) was added to raise the pH of the soil to near-neutral values, consequently altering macro and micronutrients availability. We hypothesized H2) that each community - plant, bacterial and fungal - would be affected by the L treatment compared to the unfertilized treatment, confirming the indirect effect of nutrient additions through altering soil factors on each community. We examined the indirect effect of nutrients through changes in a different community, in which altering the composition or diversity of another communities alters the composition or diversity of the original community, by examining the co-variation in communities across the treatments. Because of the importance of the plant-soil feedback, we hypothesized H3) that each community would co-vary across the treatments. The third indirect effect, of plant litter structuring soil microbial community composition, was minimized by the management of removing the plant biomass. Soil bacterial (16S rRNA) and soil fungal (18S rRNA) OTU abundances, plant species frequencies and soil factor chemical profiles were analyzed using multivariate statistics. Covariation, or co-structures, between soil factor profiles and community compositions were determined using co-inertia analysis combining all four data sets. To our knowledge, this is the first study to apply co-inertia analysis to examine above- and below-ground community links in grasslands under long-term nutrient additions. Moreover, this is the first report on fungal community compositions sampled with 18S rRNA gene marker sequencing over these long-term fertilizer treatments.

2.2 Material and Methods

2.2.1 Site description

The Ossenkampen Grassland Experiment fields were established in 1958 in species-rich meadows on heavy-clay soil in Wageningen, The Netherlands with coordinates 51 degrees 58'15"N; 5 degrees 38'18"E. Prior to the experiment, the land was grazed and had been used in alternate years for haymaking. Fertilizer treatments of chalk (L; 1000 kg CaO ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), nitrogen (N; ammonium nitrate, 100 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), phosphate (P; superphosphate, 22 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and NP-potassium (NPK; ammonium nitrate, superphosphate and potassium sulfate, 160 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, 33 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and 311 kg K ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) were applied to duplicate 40 m² (16 m X 2.5 m) plots annually since 1958[34]. The control treatment fields were mown twice a year, in July and in October, and the biomass was removed toward the 2.5 m ends of the plots to prevent seeds from draining into the other treatment plots. Treatment and control plots were separated by unfertilized 2.5 m buffer strips, which were similarly maintained by mowing.

2.2.2 Plant sampling regime

The botanical composition was measured in September 2011, after peak plant growth[14]. Briefly, fifty samples of 25 cm² were clipped from each plot (2 plots X 5 treatments = 10 plant samples). The presence of each species was recorded to determine its frequency percentage (i.e. the proportion of 50 samples in which the species was present). In addition, the first, second and third most abundant species were recorded in each sample by visual estimation. The Dry Weight Rank (DWR) method was developed to estimate the species composition of grassland swards on a dry weight basis[35]. The DWR method calculates for each species its dry weight proportion (DW percentage for species A) from the percentages of cases the species takes the first (A1%), second (A2%) and third (A3%) rank. These proportions are multiplied by 0.702, 0.211 and 0.87, respectively, culminating in the following equation: DWA% = 0.702 (A1%) + 0.211 (A2%) + 0.087 (A3%). This method was tested with different sampling methods, including small samples, and it was concluded that the DWR method is well suited for studying vegetation changes in old, floristically diverse grasslands[36], such as ours. For each plant species, the functional classification was noted (grass, herb, legume or forb).

2.2.3 Soil sampling and total DNA extraction

In September 2011, nine random soil core samples (10 cm depth, two cm diameter) were taken from three independent areas within each duplicate plot of the five treatment fields. The nine soil cores were homogenized per area, sieved through 5 mm pores stored at -80 degrees C for molecular analyses (see below) or stored at -20°C for one night before being sent for physico-chemical analysis as follows. The soil samples were combined per plot for physicochemical analyses for a total of 10 soil factor samples (3 areas homogenized to 1 sample X 2 plots X 5 treatment fields). These samples were dried at 60°C to measure moisture content. Soil pH, extractable N, total carbon and nitrogen concentrations, available potassium, phosphate and sulfur, total organic matter and available trace elements such as Al, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Zn, Mn, Na, Ni and Pb were analyzed at the Soil Science Department of Wageningen University (Supplementary Table S4) [37]. For the molecular investigations, total DNA was extracted from 0.3 g fractions of each soil sample (3 areas X 2 plots X 5 treatments = total 30 soil samples). The Power Soil kit (MolBio, Carlsbad, CA) protocol was followed with the modification of 5.5 m s⁻¹ for 10 min. bead beating. Total DNA concentrations were measured using an ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop, Wilmington, DE).

2.2.4 16S rRNA amplicon library preparation

The V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene marker was amplified from each sample, for a total of 30 bacterial samples (3 areas X 2 plots X 5 treatments). Amplicons for barcoded pyrosequencing were obtained using the forward primer 515F (5'-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') and the reverse primer 806R (5'-GGAC-TACVSGGGTATCTAAT-3'). The 515F primer included the Roche 454-A adapter, a 10-bp barcode and a GT linker, and the reverse primer included the Roche 454-B adapter, the same 10-bp barcode as the 515F primer, and a GG linker. Amplification reactions were performed using 5 micromolar of each forward and reverse primer, 5 mM dNTPs (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 1 unit of Taq polymerase (Roche, Indianapolis, IN), and 1 microliter of sample DNA as the template in a total volume of 25 microliters. The PCR was conducted with an initial incubation of 5 min. at 94 °C, followed by 25 cycles of 1 min. at 94 °C, 1 min. at the annealing temperature of 53 °C and 1 min. at 72°C, followed by a final incubation of 10 min. at 72 °C. Each sample was amplified in four reactions, and resulting amplification products were pooled to achieve equal mass concentrations in the final mixture.

2.2.5 18S rRNA amplicon library preparation

The 18S rRNA gene marker was amplified from two of the three soil samples per plot, for a total of 20 fungal samples (2 areas x 2 plots x 5 treatments). The 18S rRNA gene marker was amplified from the total community DNA samples using the primers FR1 (5'AICCATTCAATCGGTAIT-3') and FF390.1 (5'-CGWTAACGAACGAGACCT-3') based on published methods[38]. The Roche MID tag IDs 24 to 26 and 61 to 69 were added to barcode the samples. Amplification reactions were performed using 5 micromolar of each primer, 2 mM dNTPS (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 0.5 microliters of BSA, 10 PCR buffer, 0.56 units of Fast Start Exp-Polymerase and 1 microliter of sample DNA template in a total reaction volume of 25 microliters. The PCR was conducted with initial incubation of 5 min at 95 °C C followed by 25 cycles of 30 sec. at 95 °C, 1 min. at the annealing temperature of 57 °C, 1 min. at the extension temperature of 72 °C, followed by a final extension for 10 min. at 72 °C. Each sample was amplified in two reactions, and resulting amplification products were pooled to achieve equal mass concentrations in the final mixture.

2.2.6 16S and 18S rRNA amplicon library sequencing and processing

Amplification products were cleaned using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit following the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The purified 16S and 18S rRNA amplicon products were sequenced on the Roche 454 FLX Titanium platform (Macrogen Inc, South Korea). The 18S rRNA sequence data was processed using QIIME v1.3.0-dev on a local installation of Galaxy[39]. The 16S rRNA sequence data was processed using MOTHUR on a local 64-node server running Ubuntu (Ubuntu-14.04-trusty). Low-quality sequences that were less than 150 bp in length or that had an average quality score of less than 25 were removed. Denoising and chimera checking were accomplished using USEARCH and UCHIME[40, 41]. Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) were identified using USEARCH with a phylotype defined at 97% sequence similarity level. For the 18S rRNA dataset, representative phylotype sequences were taxonomically assigned against the SILVA 104 database[42] using BLAST at an e-value of 0.001. For the 16S rRNA dataset, representative phylotype sequences were assigned to the SILVA database using the RDP classifier v10.

2.2.7 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted in R v3.1.1 (Team, R. C. R: A language and environment for statistical computing). The sequenced datasets (16S and 18S rRNA) were handled in R with the "phyloseq" package (McMurdie, P. & Holmes, S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data). Further methods can be found in the Supplementary Methods.

2.2.7.1 Treatment effects on each component

To determine the effect of treatment on the plant (n=10), bacterial (n=27)and fungal (n=20) community compositions and the soil factor profiles (n=10), the "ade4" R package was used[43]. Between-class analysis (BCA) was applied to explore the dissimilarity between treatments within each community (plant, bacterial, fungal) or soil factors (environmental). Correspondence Analysis (CA) was applied to each community dataset while correlation-based Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used for the soil factors[44]. A Monte-Carlo test of the treatment groups was conducted using 999 random permutations of sample rows. Ordination was used to visualize the BCA results. To determine the effect of treatment on the soil factors or community diversities (for calculations, see Supplementary Methods), multiple group comparisons were conducted in R. Of the 27 measured soil factors, the variable Cu was removed for having the same value for all treatments; similarly, the variables PO₄ and Fe were removed for containing values that fell below the detection limit for four or more treatments (Table S4). The remaining 24 soil factors were standardized and checked for normality with the Shapiro-Wilk test (normal variables: total N, Al, Mg, Mn, Na, Pb, Zn, NH₄, extractable N, C.1, OM, C.2; non-normal variables: total K, total P, As, Cd, Cr, K, Ni, P, S, NO₃, pH, moisture). The Kruskal-Wallis H or ANOVA statistical test was applied to compare the non-normal and normal variable median and means, respectively, between treatments. Post-hoc comparisons (two-tailed) were conducted using Tukey's HSD test (alpha level = 0.05) or Dunn's method (alpha level = 0.10), respectively, and boxplots were constructed.

2.2.7.2 Between-component analyses

To examine the common structure in plant, bacterial and fungal community compositions and soil factor profiles across the long-term treatments, co-inertia analysis was conducted. The "RV.test" R function was used to perform a Monte-Carlo test on the sum of eigenvalues in the co-inertia[45]. For the co-inertia analyses, the community and soil factor datasets were required to have the same number of samples per treatment. Because we had two plant samples and two soil fac-

tor samples per treatment, for these datasets we duplicated the values to result in four plant samples and four soil factor samples per treatment. First, co-inertia was performed for paired combinations of community CA ordinations and the soil factor PCA ordination, and visualizations were constructed. Additional co-inertia analyses were carried out between the community CA ordinations and PCA ordinations of three subsets of the soil factor dataset: macro-nutrients (total K, total P, extractable K, extractable P, NO₃-, Nt, NH₄+, extractable N, C and OM), micro-nutrients (As, Cd, Cr, Ni, Al, Mg, Mn, Na, Pb and Zn) and structural components (pH, moisture); the community-soil factor subset co-inertia analyses were visualized. To determine whether diversity of another community as well as Treatment had an effect on the composition of the original community, we tested these hypotheses using PERMANOVA ("vegan" R package).

2.3 Results

Overall, the long-term fertilization treatments resulted in considerable shifts in the soil factor profiles and plant, bacterial and fungal community compositions, but generally not in community diversity or evenness indexes, with the exception of the plant community. We found different plant and soil fungal communities under the nitrogen-phosphate-potassium (NPK) and liming (L) treatments compared to the unfertilized control (C) treatment; furthermore, we found different soil bacterial communities and soil factor profiles under nitrogen (N) and L treatments compared to the unfertilized treatment. Interestingly, the plant-fungal phylum co-structure was significant, while that of the plant-bacterial phylum-level and fungal (phylum) and bacterial (phylum) comparisons were not. From pairwise co-inertia comparisons of communities, we identified taxonomic groups that co-varied in the N, NPK or L treatments.

2.3.1Treatment effects on plant and fungal community compositions and diversity

Between-Class Analysis (BCA) revealed similar structures in the plant and fungal communities across treatments. For these communities, the unfertilized control (C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P) treatments clustered together while the liming (L) and N-P-potassium (NPK) treatments clustered separately (**Figure 1**). From the BCAs of plant and fungal community compositions, 86% and 72% of total variation, respectively, could be attributed to treatment (Monte-Carlo test of groups, both P = 0.001).

Of the fungal samples across all treatments, 65 to 96% of the sequences could be classified at the phylum level. The five most abundant fungal groups represented an average of 96% of the proportion of classified sequences across the five treatments, and these groups were *Agaricomycotina* (average over all treatments 64%), *Saccharomyceta* (24%), "mitosporic" (3%), *Ascomycota* (3%) and *Glomerales* (2%). Fungal groups that differed significantly between the treatments were "mitosporic", *Ascomycota*, *Glomerales*, *Paraglomerales*, *Chytridiales*, *Archaeosporales*, *Lobulomycetales* and *Rhizophydiales* (Tukey-Kramer, corrected p less than 0.05; **Supplementary Table S1**).

Fungal community evenness and diversity did not differ by treatment compared to the control treatment; similarly, plant community evenness did not differ by treatment compared to the control treatment (**Supplementary Figure S1 and S2**). However, the plant communities showed the lowest diversity in the NPK treatment while the highest diversity was present in the L treatment (**Supplementary Figure S2a**). In addition to treatment and interaction with treatment, plant richness, bacterial diversity indices were significant effects on plant community compositions. For fungal community compositions, treatment and interaction with treatment as well as fungal diversity indices had significant effects (**Supplementary Table S2**).

2.3.2Treatment effects on bacterial community composition and diversity, and soil factor profiles

In contrast to the structures of plant and fungal communities across treatments that was revealed by BCA, the bacterial communities and the soil factors in the C, P and NPK treatments were grouped together while the L and N treatments each formed separate clusters (**Figure 1**). The treatments could explain 55% and 72% of total variation in the BCAs of bacterial community compositions and soil factors, respectively (Monte-Carlo tests, P = 0.001). Of the 24 soil factors, 21 differed significantly between treatments; only the soil factors As, Cr and Na did not differ between treatments (**Supplementary Figure S3**). When the soil factors were divided into subsets of micronutrients, macronutrients and structural factors BCA revealed that treatment could explain 71% (P = 0.001), 83% (P = 0.001) and 74% (P = 0.002) of the variation in each soil factor profile subset, respectively (**Supplementary Figure S4**). Furthermore, while all treatments were distinct in the macronutrient profiles, only the L treatment was distinct when looking at micronutrient and structural factor profiles.



Figure 1. Between-Class Analysis (BCA) of the (A) plant, B) bacterial, C) fungal community compositions and D) soil factor factors based on correspondence analysis (A, B, C) or principal components analysis (D) over the long-term control (C), liming (L), nitrogen (N), nitrogen-potassium-phosphorus (NPK) and phosphorus (P) treatments of the Ossenkampen experiment are presented. Group significances were assessed by Monte-Carlo tests.

Between 79 and 91% of the bacterial sequences across all treatments could be classified at the Phylum level. Of the proportion of sequences classified within bacterial phyla, 97% were distributed across the treatments within the six most abundant Bacterial phyla, *Proteobacteria* (average across treatments 40%), *Acidobacteria* (22%), *Verrucomicrobia* (15%), *Actinobacteria* (13%), *Bacteroidetes* (3%) and *Planctomycetes* (1%). Significantly different bacterial phyla between treatments were *Proteobacteria*, *Acidobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Planctomycetes* and *Nitrospira* (Tukey-Kramer, corrected p less than 0.05, see **Supplementary Table S3**).

Bacterial community diversity and evenness was higher in the L treatment compared to the control treatment at all Renyi alpha levels but were the same among the other treatments (**Supplementary Figure S1 and S2**). In addition to treatment and interaction with treatment, bacterial diversity (Shannon and Inverse Simpson indexes) and plant richness had significant effects on bacterial community compositions (**Supplementary Table S3**).

2.3.3 Plant, bacterial and fungal community co-structures with soil factors

Co-inertia analysis allowed us to find the global similarity of the structures imposed by the long-term fertilization treatments on the grassland components. Co-structures resulting from the community-soil factor comparisons were each significant (**Table 1**). Further, we sub-categorized the soil factors into macronutrients (total K, total P, extractable K, P, NO3, total N, NH₄, extractable N, C and OM), micronutrients (As, Cd, Cr, Ni, Al, Mg, Mn, Na, Pb and Zn) and structural components (pH, moisture), and conducted co-inertia analysis between communities and the soil factor subsets. Each of the community comparisons with soil factors subsets (**Table 1**).

Table	1. C	o-inertia	analysis r	esults from	commu	nity-so	il facto	ors and	soil f	factor	subset	com	par-
isons	from	the Osse	enkampen	experiment	(n=20	per da	taset).	Signific	ance	of co	o-structu	ires	was
assess	ed by	Monte-O	Carlo tests.										

Co-inertia comparison		Cumulative projected inertia (%)	Observed Rv	Р
Plant species	All soil factors	85	0.763	0.001
-	Micronutrients	90	0.646	0.001
	Macronutrients	85	0.687	0.001
	Structural	100	0.650	0.001
Bacterial phyla	All soil factors	97	0.346	0.004
	Micronutrients	97	0.251	0.029
	Macronutrients	96	0.400	0.001
	Structural	100	0.221	0.087
Fungal phyla	All soil factors	87	0.437	0.001
• • •	Micronutrients	89	0.300	0.046
	Macronutrients	93	0.496	0.001
	Structural	100	0.308	0.025

2.3.4 Plant, bacterial and fungal co-structures and co-variates

Co-inertia analysis identified significant co-structures between the plant and fungal communities (Figure 2) but not the plant and bacterial (Figure 3) nor the bacterial and fungal (Figure 4) communities. The first two co-inertia axes captured 86, 88, and 92% of the total variance in the plant-fungal, plant-bacterial and bacterial-fungal comparisons, respectively. The co-inertia analysis additionally identified taxonomic groups that most contributed to each co-inertia axis, i.e. groups that contributed the most to the total co-variance between samples (summarized in Table 2).

In the plant-fungal co-inertia (Figure 2), the second co-inertial axis separated the NPK treatment from the other treatments, and this distinction was associated with Heracsph (herb), Anthrsyl (herb), Alopepra (dominant grass) and Elymurep (grass) plant species and *Monoblepharidales*, *Basidiomycetales*, *Ascomycetales* and *Paraglomerales* fungal groups. The P treatment was separated by the first co-inertia axis, and this was driven by Cerasfon (grass), Holculan (grass), Dactyglo (grass) and Luzulcam (sedge) plant species but not clearly any fungal groups. Last, Festupra (grass), Galiumol (herb), Bromuh H (grass), Glechhed (herb), Galiuuli (herb) and Ajugarep (herb) plant species and *Pucciniomycotina*, *Cladochytriales*, *Chytridiales* and *Spizellomycetales* fungal groups co-varied in the L treatment.

In the plant-bacterial co-inertia (**Figure 3**), the NPK treatment was separated by the first co-inertia axis, and Anthrsyl (herb), Heracsph (herb), Alopepra (grass) and Elymurep (grass) plant species co-varied with *Nitrospira* bacterial phyla. The second co-inertia axis separated the N treatment from the other treatments, and this distinction was related to Carexhir (sedge), Carexdit (sedge), Juncuart (sedge) and Filipulm (herb) plant species and the *Tenericutes* bacterial phylum. Last, the L treatment grouping in the co-inertia between plant and bacterial ordinations was driven mainly by Bromuh H (grass), Galiumol (herb), Galiuuli (herb) and Glechhed (herb) plant species and WS3 and *Bacteroidetes* bacterial Phyla.

In the bacterial-fungal comparison (**Figure 4**), treatments were not distinctly clustered. However, the L treatment was weakly separated by the first co-inertial axis, and the co-variation was driven by *Pucciniomycotina*, *Cladochytriales*, *Spizellomycetales* and *Chytridiales* fungal groups and the OD1 bacterial group. Furthermore, the N treatment was weakly separated by the second co-inertial axis, and this separation was driven by variation in the *Tenericutes* bacterial Phylum and the fungal groups *Ustilaginomycotina* and *Rhizophydiales*.

Table 2. List of co-variate taxonomic groups from pairwise co-inertia analysis of plant, bacterial and fungal community composition from the Ossenkampen experiment. Taxonomic groups that contributed to the co-inertia axes clearly separating the nitrogen (N), N-phosphate-potassium (NPK), P and chalk (L) treatments are listed. Plant functional group information is included (s=sedge, h=herb, g=grass).

C	Co-variates within treatment								
Community -	Ν	NPK	Р	L					
Plant	Filipulm (h) Carexhir (s) Carexdit (s) Juncuart (s)	Heracsph (h) Anthrsyl (h) Alopepra (g) Elymurep (g)	Cerasfon (g) Holculan (g) Dactyglo (g) Luzulcam (s)	Galiuuli (h) Glechhed (h) Ajugarep (h) Galiumol (h) Bromuh H (g) Festupra (g) Poatri (g)					
Bacteria	Tenericutes	Nitrospira		Bacterioidetes WS3 OD1					
Fungus	Ustilaginomycotina Rhizophydiales	Paraglomerales Basidiomycetales Ascomycetales Monoblepharidales		Pucciniomycotina Cladochytriales Chytridiales Spizellomycetales					



Figure 2. Co-inertia analysis (COIA) between correspondence analysis of plant and fungal community composition across the long-term control (C), liming (L), nitrogen (N), nitrogen-potassium-phosphorus (NPK) and phosphorus (P) treatments of the Ossenkampen experiment (cumulative projected inertia = 86%). Significance of co-structure was assessed by a Monte-Carlo test. Circle = Plant; Square = Fungi.



Figure 3. Co-inertia analysis (COIA) between correspondence analysis of plant and bacterial community composition across the long-term control (C), liming (L), nitrogen (N), nitrogen-potassium-phosphorus (NPK) and phosphorus (P) treatments of the Ossenkampen experiment (cumulative projected inertia = 88%). Significance of the co-structure was assessed by a Monte-Carlo test. Circle = Plant; Square = Bacteria.



Figure 4. Co-inertia analysis (COIA) between correspondence analysis of bacterial and fungal community composition across the long-term control (C), liming (L), nitrogen (N), nitrogen-potassium-phosphorus (NPK) and phosphorus (P) treatments of the Ossenkampen experiment (cumulative projected inertia = 92%). Significance of the co-structure was assessed by a Monte-Carlo test. Circle = Bacteria; Square = Fungi.

2.4 Discussion

Here we explored indirect effects (pH and composition of other communities but not biomass of the plant community) and the direct effect of nutrient additions on the composition of three communities (plant, soil bacterial and soil fungal) of improved grassland. Changes in the relative abundances of many taxonomic groups within one community resulted in community-level composition changes in some treatments. Our first hypothesis was supported, in that the bacterial communities were altered in the nitrogen (N) treatment but not phosphorus (P) nor NP-potassium(K) treatments, and the plant and fungal communities were altered in the NPK but not the P nor N treatments, compared to the unfertilized treatment. Combining the treatment groupings of each grassland community and the links between each community and macronutrients, we confirmed the direct effect of the nutrient additions on the communities.

The nutrient addition and mowing management practice provided selective pressures that drove changes in the relative abundances of some plant, bacterial and fungal groups. The treatments represented new habitats that allowed some functional types to succeed; for instance, in the NPK treatment, faster-growing individual plants better able to compete for light and uptake nutrients were most successful[14]. This trait selection led to changes in the relative abundances of grasses in the NPK treatment. We found a direct effect of the long-term N fertilizer treatment on the bacterial communities, compared to the unfertilized treatment, while no direct effect was found in the NPK treatment despite an equivalent rate of N application. In our previous work and here, we found so-called copiotrophic bacterial groups that were functionally adapted to the N additions to increase in relative abundance in the N treatment, which has been found at other grassland sites under long-term N additions[15, 16]. Furthermore, the NPK treatment, but not the N treatment, had a direct effect on plant and fungal community compositions compared to the unfertilized treatment.

Community compositions can be altered indirectly by nutrient additions through the effect on pH. The liming (L) treatment changed the soil pH, which alters other soil factors, such as Al concentration and micronutrient availability[17]. Thus, any changes in L community composition were due to the increase in pH and mowing management practice. Our second hypothesis, that each community would be affected by the L treatment when compared to the unfertilized control treatment, and that each community would show a link to soil factors, was supported. Each community was altered in the L treatment compared to the unfertilized treatment, supporting pH changes and related edaphic factor changes as a major driver of bacterial and fungal composition changes[18-20]. As shown previously, the near-neutral pH of the L treatment soils increased nutrient availability resulting in native plant species recolonizing the L plots and overall increased plant richness[14]. The L treatment was characterized by high plant diversity and evenness and additionally by non-pathogenic nematode and earthworm biomass, which characterize fertile (e.g. productive) grasslands[21].

Here we considered that some of the changes in the relative abundances of taxonomic groups reflected not only functional adaptation to the treatment but a link with taxonomic groups from other communities. Therefore, we explored the indirect effect of nutrient additions on the composition of a community through the composition of another community. In other words, these were community links apart from the direct effects of the nutrient additions. Most interestingly, our third hypothesis, that each community would be significantly linked to each other, was not supported. Community-level composition links were not found for plant and bacterial, and fungal and bacterial communities. Thus, the indirect effect of nutrient additions altering community compositions through changes in other community compositions was supported between plant and fungal (phylum) communities, and between each community when the soil microbial communities were compared at a lower taxonomic level. Recent work has found that the beta diversity, or site differences, of grassland soil microbial communities are predicted by the beta diversity of the overlying plant communities[12]. The authors also found that the beta diversities of plants and soil microbes were driven mutually by mean annual temperature and the C:N ratio. We speculate that our NPK bacterial communities may have shifted in association with the plant community if the plant biomass had remained on the fields. In support, Millard and Singh[22] suggested that while plant community composition drives fungal community composition, the bacterial community structure is more influenced by SOM quality, hence driven indirectly by plant community composition via plant biomass.

The acquisition-conservation trade-off hypothesis states that under soil resource limitation, the plant community is more dependent on the below-ground community for nutrients, resulting in more ecological links between plant and microbial species[23]. Conversely, during high nutrient availability, fewer links should be found between the plant and soil microbial communities, with plants less dependent on nutrients from fungal or bacterial sources. Here, plant community diversity decreased in the NPK treatment compared to the unfertilized treatment and grasses were better adapted to the high nutrient conditions. Consequently, fungal groups associated with the grasses might have shifted under the high nutrient conditions, thereby changing fungal community composition in the NPK treatment. Selection of the grasses on fungal groups that increase grass root nutrient uptake may have occurred, although whether the plant-fungal link describes positive or negative interactions is beyond the scope of the current study.

One interesting NPK fungal co-variate was *Paraglomerales*, which are a fungal group that includes arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF); these fungi form hyphal networks in association with plant roots to aid plant absorption of P. There is evidence that under conditions of high P availability, plants form fewer AMF associations because available P is directly absorbed from soil[24, 25], and this was supported in our results as the P treatment fungal communities had the lowest proportions of AMF compared to the other treatments. However, in the NPK treatment with N and K added, there was a high soil Al-content, which may block P uptake by plant by fixing it in the soil[26]. Thus, the NPK plants may be investing in AMF associations in order to improve soil P uptake despite the high Al content. Alternately, plant-AMF interactions can become competitive under high-nutrient conditions, and these results may indicate a negative interaction[27, 28].

An interesting bacterial NPK co-variate was the *Nitrospira* bacterial phyla, which are a group of autotrophic, nitrite-oxidizing bacteria[29]. This group was abundant in the NPK treatment but not in the N treatment, compared to the control treatment. *Nitrospira* are classified as K-strategists, that is, slow-growing, oligotrophic and with low affinity for N substrates[30]. Similarly, *Nitrospira* relative abundances decreased in grasslands fertilized for 27 years and agricultural fields under 8 years of N fertilization consistent with the rate in our plots[15]. However, under high nutrient fertilization, plant competition for macro-nutrients with bacteria may keep the soil nutrient status poor; thus, we hypothesize that the *Nitrospira* co-varied with NPK plants due to the relatively low-nutrient conditions available in these soils for the bacterial community, in contrast to the high N conditions available in the N treatment.

In the N treatment comparisons, microbial co-variates included potential pathogenic taxa. For instance, the N treatment co-variate *Rhizophydiales* are a zoosporic fungal taxa that are found in soils as pathogens and decomposers[31]. The *Tenericutes* bacterial Phyla encompasses the phytoplasmas, which are regarded as plant pathogens, infecting up to 98 plant families[32]. The *Ustilaginomycotina* are a group of plant parasitic fungi, also known as smut fungi[33]. These results suggest that plant health may be negatively impacted under N fertilization, with consequences on the long-term stability of plant communities regularly fertilized with N.

The current study presents a way to investigate concurrently the plant, bacterial and fungal communities using a not-widely used statistical analysis. However, we acknowledge that our results are limited by the low statistical power in- 42 -

duced by having only two plots per treatment for the plant and soil factor data. We sampled soil samples from independent sampling areas within each of the two plots, resulting in two samples within each plot for the fungal data (n=20), and three samples within each plot for the bacterial data (n=27).

In summary, we explored direct (long-term fertilizer treatments) and indirect (pH and composition of another community) effects of nutrient additions on plant, soil microbial community compositions from grassland. This is, to our knowledge, the first study of the topsoil fungal community composition to longterm inorganic fertilization treatments in grasslands using the 18S rRNA gene marker. In addition, this is the first study to examine concurrently the above- and below-ground community compositions in an improved grassland using co-inertia analysis. Nitrogen treatment had a direct effect on bacterial community compositions and soil factors while NPK treatment had a direct effect on plant and fungal community compositions. Co-inertia results highlighted the link between plant and fungal community compositions, suggesting that indirect effect of nutrient additions on plant community compositions are observed due to fungal community compositions, or vice versa, while the same is not true for plant and bacterial communities, nor for fungal and bacterial communities. However, there was also an effect between plant and bacterial community diversities impacting the plant and bacterial compositions, respectively, suggesting that these communities also are linked. To examine the indirect effects of nutrient additions on the grassland communities, we necessarily used symmetric co-inertia analyses; therefore, it should be emphasized that these results imply correlations and not causation. In addition to community-level links, we found potential association between plant, bacterial and fungal taxonomic groups in the N, NPK and L treatments that can be explored in future studies.

2.5 Declarations

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Robert HEM Geerts and H Korevaar for assistance with plant and soil sampling and analysis. This work was supported by The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) and FAPESP grant number 729.004.003. Publication number 6044 of the NIOO-KNAW, Netherlands Institute of Ecology.

Author contribution statement

NAC, JAV and EEK designed the study. YP and EEK collected the data. NAC, MH and EEK processed the data. NAC and MFAL performed data analysis. NAC wrote the paper with contributions from MFAL, EEK and JAV. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Accession codes

European Nucleotide Archive study accession number PRJEB11582.

2.6 Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table S1. Fungal community compositions in the long-term unfertilized control (C), liming (L), nitrogen (N), N-phosphate-potassium (NPK) and P treatments of the Ossenkampen experiment. Fungal phyla were included if the average proportion of classified sequences was above 1% in at least one treatment.

Europal Dhada	Effect	Average pro	ences in treat	s in treatments (%)		
Fungai Phyla	Effect size	С	L	Ν	NPK	Р
Agaricomycotina	0.506	61±17	46±21	62±10	63±9	89±3
Saccharomyceta	0.405	28±15	32±16	30±10	22±7	7±2
"mitosporic"+	0.679	2±2 a*	8±3 b	1±0 a	5±2 ab	1±0 a
Ascomycota	0.740	6±2 a	5±0 a	3±1 ab	1±1 b	1±1 b
Glomerales	0.682	1±0 a	3±2 b	2±1 ab	4±1 b	1±0 a
Paraglomerales	0.768	а	а	a	1±1 b	a
Chrytidiales	0.608	а	2±1 b	а	а	а
Pucciniomycotina	0.208		1±2		1±1	

+bolded phyla indicate significant difference among treatments (ANOVA, corrected p<0.05 from STAMP analysis) *Similar letters represent no significant differences between treatments (Tukey-Kramer, 95% CI, Benjamini-Hochberg FDR multiple test correction)

Community	Source of Variation	Pseudo-F	n-value
Community	Treatment	3 1/1/	0.045
	Diant Diahnass	14 9652	0.043
	Interaction	3 /186	0.001
	Treatmont	60.924	0.043
	Destorial Shannon	3 075	0.001
	Dacterial Shannon	3.975	0.014
	Treatmont	51 350	0.002
Plant	Destavial Inverse Simnson	2 001	0.001
species	Interaction	2.901	0.035
	Treatmont	42.371	
	Fungel Shannon	42.079	0.001
	Interaction	1.040 2.077	0.331
	Treatmont	2.077	0.041
	Fungal Inverse Simpson	0.862	0.001
	Fungal Inverse Simpson	0.802	0.430
	Treatmont	33 777	0.243
	Diant Diahnass	15 370	0.001
	Interaction	6 753	0.001
	Theraction Treatment	0.735	0.001
	I reatment Destavial Shannon	20.4142	0.001
	Bacterial Snannon	0.0201 3 2105	0.001
	Interaction	5.2105 13.0175	0.009
Destarial phylo	I reatment	13.0175 6 1752	0.001
Bacterial phyla	Bacterial Inverse Simpson	0.1/52	0.000
	Interaction	0.1269	0.462
	I reatment	9.1308	0.001
	Fungal Shannon	0.9903	0.393
	Interaction	0.7938	0.043
	Ireatment	8.5000	0.001
	Fungal Inverse Simpson	0.7469	0.4/3
	Interaction	0.0123	0.784
	Ireatment	5.3954	0.007
	Plant Richness	0.6262	0.458
	Interaction	3.11/8	0.048
	Treatment	3.3005	0.033
	Bacterial Shannon	0.6617	0.464
	Interaction	0.8668	0.548
Fungal	Treatment	3.2082	0.057
phyla	Bacterial Inverse Simpson	0.4438	0.583
	Interaction	0.8226	0.582
	Treatment	9.3895	0.002
	Fungal Shannon	3.6953	0.067
	Interaction	6.6252	0.005
	Treatment	9.0983	0.001
	Fungal Inverse Simpson	5.4546	0.023
	Interaction	5.8736	0.004

Supplementary Table S2. PERMANOVA test results of treatment and diversity effects on plant, bacterial and fungal community compositions in the Ossenkampen experiment.

Supplementary Table S3. Bacterial community compositions in the long-term unfertilized control (C), liming (L), nitrogen (N), N-phosphate-potassium (NPK) and P treatments of the Ossenkampen experiment. Phyla were included if the average proportion of classified sequences was above 1% in one or more treatments.

Destarial Dhyle	Effect	Average proportion of classified sequences (%)									
Dacterial Fliyla	size	С		L		Ν		NPK		Р	
Proteobacteria+	0.836	35±2	a*	51±1	с	41±4	b	38±3	ab	37±2	ab
Acidobacteria	0.501	28±4	а	19±4	b	20±3	b	23±2	ab	23±2	ab
Verrucomicrobia	0.642	24±7	ac	8±2	b	13±4	bc	19±4	а	20±2	а
Actinobacteria	0.675	5±2	а	9±3	ab	18±5	c	12±2	b	11±1	ab
Bacteroidetes	0.900	3±0	а	8±1	b	2±1	а	2±1	а	3±0	а
Firmicutes	0.285	2 ± 0		3±1		2±1		2±1		3±0	
Planctomycetes	0.709	2 ± 0	abc	1 ± 0	ab		ac	1 ± 0	abc	1 ± 0	ab
Gemmatimonadetes	0.527	1 ± 0				1 ± 0		1±1		1 ± 0	

+bolded phyla indicate significant difference among treatments (ANOVA, corrected p<0.05 from STAMP analysis) *Similar letters represent no significant differences between treatments (Tukey-Kramer, 95% CI, Benjamini-Hochberg FDR multiple test correction)

Supplementary Table S4. Additional information regarding the soil factors measured in the Ossenkampen experiment. Horizontal lines group measurements from the same method.

Soil Factor	Factor ID	Units	Method	Notes
Total K	Kt	mg/kg	F-AES	Aqua Regia digestion
Total N	Nt	g/kg	SFA-Nt/Pt	H ₂ SO ₄ -H ₂ O ₂ -Se digestion
Total P	Pt	mg/kg		
Al	Al	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	0,01M CaCl ₂ extraction
As	As	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	
Cd	Cd	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	
Cr	Cr	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	
Cu	Cu	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	Factor removed; values all the same
Fe	Fe	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	Factor removed; values below detection limi
Extractable K	Κ	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	
Mg	Mg	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	
Mn	Mn	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	
Na	Na	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	
Ni	Ni	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	
Extractable P	Р	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	
Pb	Pb	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	
S	S	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	
Zn	Zn	mg/kg	ICP-AES Thermo	
NH_{4}^{+}	NH4 ⁺	mg/kg	SFA-CaCl ₂	
NO ₃ -	NO ₃ -	mg/kg	SFA-CaCl ₂	
Extractable N	Nts	mg/kg	SFA-CaCl ₂	
PO ₄		mg/kg	SFA-CaCl ₂	factor removed; values below detection limi
С	C.1	mg/kg	SFA-TOC	, ,
Organic matter	OM	%	baking oven	Loss on ignition (105-550°C)
C	C.2	g/kg	spectrophotometer	Kurmies
pH	pН	at 20±1°C	pH-meter	pH-H ₂ O
Moisture	Moisture	dry-mat	dry matter	moisture determination



Supplementary Figure S1. Rarefaction curves of the sequenced communities from the Ossenkampen experiment, including the a) bacterial and b) fungal communities, are presented using (i) Shannon and (ii) Inverse Simpson diversity indices. Legend: control (C), liming (L), nitrogen (N), nitrogen-potassium-phosphorus (NPK and phosphorus (P) fertilizer treatments.



Supplementary Figure S2. Renyi diversities and evenness of a-b) plant (n=10), c-d) fungal (n=20) and e-f) bacterial (n=27) communities in the Ossenkampen experiment. Legend: control (C), liming (L), nitrogen (N), nitrogen-potassium-phosphorus (NPK) and phosphorus (P) fertilizer treatments.

a) Non-normal soil factors and diversity indices



Kruskal-Wallis H test significance: ** p<0.01, * p < 0.05, + p < 0.1 Dunn's post-hoc test significance: similar letters mean no difference at alpha level 0.10

Supplementary Figure S3. Boxplots of the a) non-normal soil physicochemical parameters and community diversity indices in the Ossenkampen experiment. Legend: control (C), liming (L), nitrogen (N), nitrogen-potassium-phosphorus (NPK) and phosphorus (P) fertilizer treatments. Asterisks by variable names indicate significantly different mean or median values across all treatments from Kruskal-Wallis or ANOVA tests, respectively. Soil factor identifiers (IDs) are listed in **Supplementary Table S4**. b) Normal soil factors and diversity indices



ANOVA test significance: *** p < 0.001, ** p = 0.001, * p = 0.01, + p = 0.1 Tukey-Kramer post-hoc test significance: similar letters represent no difference at alpha < 0.05

Supplementary Figure S3 cont'd. Boxplots of the b) normal soil physicochemical parameters and community diversity indices in the Ossenkampen experiment. Legend: control (C), liming (L), nitrogen (N), nitrogen-potassium-phosphorus (NPK) and phosphorus (P) fertilizer treatments. Asterisks by variable names indicate significantly different mean or median values across all treatments from Kruskal-Wallis or ANOVA tests, respectively. Soil factor identifiers (IDs) are listed in **Supplementary Table S4**.



Supplementary Figure S4. Between-Class Analysis (BCA) of the soil factor subsets (A) micronutrients, B) macronutrients, C) structural) over the long-term control (C), liming (L), nitrogen (N), nitrogen-potassium-phosphorus (NPK) and phosphorus (P) treatments of the Ossenkampen experiment are presented. Significance of groups was assessed by Monte-Carlo tests.

2.7 Supplementary Methods

2.6.1 Diversity and evenness calculations. Renyi diversities and evenness were calculated and visualized from the fungal (n=20), bacterial (n=27) datasets and the plant species (n=10) frequency dataset using the "BiodiversityR" R package. Plant richness was measured during sampling as the total number of species present. To obtain alpha diversity indices for the sequenced datasets, the bacterial and fungal OTU tables were rarified to the size of the smallest sample in each dataset. For the bacterial and the fungal samples, Renyi diversity indices at alpha = 1 (Shannon) and alpha = 2 (Inverse Simpson) were kept for group testing.

2.6.2 STAMP analysis. The fungal (n=20) and bacterial (n=27) datasets were agglomerated at the taxonomic rank of Phylum for the STAMP¹ analysis. Missing taxonomy information in the 18S rRNA dataset was resolved as follows: 1) if an entry was blank with classified entries before and after, then the blank was replaced with a copy of the entry after (eg. "Eukaryota", "", "Fungi", became "Eukaryota", "Fungi", "Fungi"), 2) if an entry was blank and the previous or following entry was "unclassified", it was replaced with "unclassified" (eg. "Eukaryota", "Fungi", "unclassified" became "Eukaryota", "Fungi", "unclassified", "unclassified") and 3) if an entry was blank and the previous entry was "Fungi" and the next entry was "fungal", the blank space was replaced with "Fungi." In addition, for the 18S rRNA dataset, ambiguous classifications (e.g. "environmental" and "unknown") were replaced with "unclassified". Unclassified reads were removed; then, the ANOVA statistical test was selected with a Tukey-Kramer post-hoc test (CI= 95%). A Benjamini-Hochberg FDR multiple test correction was applied.

2.6.3 Treatment effects on plant, bacterial and fungal communities and soil factor profiles. Between-Class Analysis (BCA) selects the orthogonal axis that maximizes between-group variance and measures the amount of variance restricted to the grouping factor as a percentage of the inertia captured through the new axis over the total inertia². This allowed us to assess the amount of variability that could be explained by treatment for each community. The bacterial and fungal OTU abundances were summarized at the Phylum and Genus, or Phylum and Class levels, respectively. The plant species frequencies and the bacterial and fungal abundances were converted to relative abundances (contingency tables), and the soil factors were normalized and scaled to unit variance.

2.6.4 Between-component analyses. Co-inertia analysis is a multivariate method that identifies the common structure in two tables related by the same samples³. We chose this analysis because it can tolerate a high variable-to-sample ratio. Furthermore, we leveraged the imposed structure of the long-term fertilizer treatments. The co-inertia of two ordinations is the sum of squares of the co-variances of the variables in each table; thus, co-varying variables can be identified through correlation with the co-inertia axis³. Here we could simultaneously identify, for example, the taxonomic groups from two communities that contributed to treatment groupings in the factor map. The degree of multivariate co-variance between two ordinations is summarized within an array correlation and co-variance coefficient, the RV value, which is a measure of the global similarity.

2.6.5 Supplementary Methods References

- 1. Parks, D. H., Tyson, G. W., Hugenholtz, P. & Beiko, R. G. Stamp: statistical analysis of taxonomic and functional profiles. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 3123–3124 (2014).
- Dray, S. & Dufour, A. B. The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for ecologists. J. Statist. Software, 22:1-20 (2007).
- 3. Dray, S., Chessel, D. & Thioulouse, J. Co-inertia analysis and the linking of ecological tables. *Ecology* 84: 3078-3089 (2003).

2.8 References

- 1. van der Heijden, M.G.A., R.D. Bardgett, and N.M. van Straalen, *The unseen majority: soil microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems.* Ecology Letters, 2008. **11**(3): p. 296-310.
- 2. Wagg, C., et al., *Soil biodiversity and soil community composition determine ecosystem multifunctionality.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2014. **111**(14): p. 5266-5270.
- 3. Cline, L.C. and D.R. Zak, Soil microbial communities are shaped by plant-driven changes in resource availability during secondary succession. Ecology, 2015. **96**(12): p. 3374-85.
- 4. Paterson, E., et al., *Rhizodeposition shapes rhizosphere microbial community structure in organic soil*. New Phytol, 2007. **173**(3): p. 600-10.
- 5. Mendes, L.W., et al., *Taxonomical and functional microbial community selection in soybean rhizosphere*. Isme Journal, 2014. **8**(8): p. 1577-1587.
- 6. Bardgett, R.D., T.C. Streeter, and R. Bol, Soil microbes compete effectively with plants for organic-nitrogen inputs to temperate grasslands. Ecology, 2003. 84(5): p. 1277-1287.
- 7. Reynolds, H.L., et al., *Grassroots ecology: Plant-microbe-soil interactions as drivers of plant community structure and dynamics*. Ecology, 2003. **84**(9): p. 2281-2291.
- 8. Kuzyakov, Y. and X. Xu, Competition between roots and microorganisms for nitrogen: mechanisms and ecological relevance. New Phytol, 2013. **198**(3): p. 656-69.
- 9. Bever, J.D., et al., *Host-Dependent Sporulation and Species Diversity of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in a Mown Grassland.* Journal of Ecology, 1996. **84**(1): p. 71-82.
- 10. Schnitzer, S.A., et al., *Soil microbes drive the classic plant diversity-productivity pattern*. Ecology, 2011. **92**(2): p. 296-303.
- 11. Honsová, D., et al., Species composition of an alluvial meadow after 40 years of applying nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizer. Preslia 2007. **79**: p. 245-258.
- 12. Leff, J.W., et al., Consistent responses of soil microbial communities to elevated nutrient inputs in grasslands across the globe. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2015. **112**(35): p. 10967-72.
- 13. Pickett, S.T.A., et al., *The Ecological Concept of Disturbance and Its Expression at Various Hierarchical Levels*. Oikos, 1989. **54**(2): p. 129-136.
- 14. Pierik, M., et al., *Recovery of plant species richness during long-term fertilization of a species-rich grassland*. Ecology, 2011. **92**(7): p. 1393-1398.
- 15. Ramirez, K.S., et al., Consistent effects of nitrogen fertilization on soil bacterial communities in contrasting systems. Ecology, 2010. **91**(12): p. 3463-3470.
- 16. Coolon, J.D., et al., Long-Term Nitrogen Amendment Alters the Diversity and Assemblage of Soil Bacterial Communities in Tallgrass Prairie. PLoS ONE, 2013. 8(6): p. e67884.
- 17. McBride, M.B., in Environ. Chem. Soils, New York : Oxford University Press. 1994.
- 18. Lauber, C.L., et al., *Pyrosequencing-Based Assessment of Soil pH as a Predictor of Soil Bacterial Community Structure at the Continental Scale*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2009. **75**(15): p. 5111-5120.
- 19. Rousk, J., et al., Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. Isme Journal, 2010. 4(10): p. 1340-1351.
- 20. de Vries, F.T., et al., *Abiotic drivers and plant traits explain landscape-scale patterns in soil microbial communities.* Ecol Lett, 2012. **15**(11): p. 1230-9.
- 21. van der Wal, A., et al., *Dissimilar response of plant and soil biota communities to long-term nutrient addition in grasslands*. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 2009. **45**(6): p. 663-667.
- 22. Millard, P. and B.K. Singh, *Does grassland vegetation drive soil microbial diversity*? Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 2010. **88**(2): p. 147-158.
- 23. Pérez-Ramos, I.M., et al., *Evidence for a 'plant community economics spectrum' driven by nutrient and water limitations in a Mediterranean rangeland of southern France.* Journal of Ecology, 2012. **100**(6): p. 1315-1327.
- 24. Bolan, N.S., *A critical review on the role of mycorrhizal fungi in the uptake of phosphorus by plants.* Plant and Soil, 1991. **134**(2): p. 189-207.

- 25. Mosse, B., *Plant Growth Responses to Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhiza. IV. In Soil Given Additional Phosphate.* The New Phytologist, 1973. **72**(1): p. 127-136.
- Larsen, J.E., G.F. Warren, and R. Langston, Effect of Iron, Aluminum and Humic Acid on Phosphorus Fixation by Organic Soils1. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 1959. 23(6).
- 27. Schreiner, R.P., et al., *Mycorrhizal fungi influence plant and soil functions and interactions*. Plant and Soil, 1997. **188**(2): p. 199-209.
- 28. van der Heijden, M.G.A., et al., *Mycorrhizal fungal diversity determines plant biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity.* Nature, 1998. **396**(6706): p. 69-72.
- 29. Xia, W., et al., Autotrophic growth of nitrifying community in an agricultural soil. Isme j, 2011. **5**(7): p. 1226-36.
- Attard, E., et al., Shifts between Nitrospira- and Nitrobacter-like nitrite oxidizers underlie the response of soil potential nitrite oxidation to changes in tillage practices. Environ Microbiol, 2010. 12(2): p. 315-26.
- 31. Letcher, P.M., et al., *Ultrastructural and molecular phylogenetic delineation of a new order, the Rhizophydiales (Chytridiomycota).* Mycol Res, 2006. **110**(Pt 8): p. 898-915.
- 32. Lee, I.M., R.E. Davis, and D.E. Gundersen-Rindal, *Phytoplasma: phytopathogenic mollicutes*. Annu Rev Microbiol, 2000. **54**: p. 221-55.
- 33. Begerow, D., M. Stoll, and R. Bauer, *A phylogenetic hypothesis of Ustilaginomycotina based on multiple gene analyses and morphological data.* Mycologia, 2006. **98**(6): p. 906-16.
- 34. Elberse, W.T., J.P. van der Berg, and J.G.P. Dirven, *Effect of use and mineral supply on the botanical composition and yield of old grassland on heavy-clay soil*. Netherlands Agriculture Science, 1983. **31**: p. 63-88.
- 35. Mannetje, L. and K.P. Haydock[†], *The dry-weight-rank method for the botanical analysis of pasture*. Grass and Forage Science, 1963. **18**(4): p. 268-275.
- 36. Neuteboom, J.H., E.A. Lantinga, and P.C. Struik, *Evaluation of the dry weight rank method for botanical analysis of grassland by means of simulation*. Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science, 1998. **46**(3/4): p. 285-304.
- 37. Pan, Y., et al., Impact of long-term N, P, K, and NPK fertilization on the composition and potential functions of the bacterial community in grassland soil. Fems Microbiology Ecology, 2014. **90**(1): p. 195-205.
- 38. Verbruggen, E., et al., *Testing Potential Effects of Maize Expressing the Bacillus thuringiensis Cry1Ab Endotoxin (Bt Maize) on Mycorrhizal Fungal Communities via DNA-and RNA-Based Pyrosequencing and Molecular Fingerprinting*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2012. **78**(20): p. 7384-7392.
- 39. Goecks, J., et al., Galaxy: a comprehensive approach for supporting accessible, reproducible, and transparent computational research in the life sciences. Genome Biology, 2010. 11(8).
- 40. Edgar, R.C., Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics, 2010. 26(19): p. 2460-2461.
- 41. Edgar, R.C., et al., UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics, 2011. 27(16): p. 2194-2200.
- 42. Quast, C., et al., *The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools.* Nucleic Acids Research, 2013. **41**(Database issue): p. D590-D596.
- 43. Dray, S. and A.-B. Dufour, *The ade4 Package: Implementing the Duality Diagram for Ecologists.* 2007, 2007. 22(4): p. 20.
- 44. Kenkel, N.C., *On selecting an appropriate multivariate analysis*. Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 2006. **86**(3): p. 663-676.
- 45. Moonseong, H. and K. Ruben Gabriel, *A permutation test of association between configurations by means of the rv coefficient.* Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation, 1998. **27**(3): p. 843-856.