

Impact of nitrogen fertilization on the soil microbiome and nitrous oxide emissions

Cassman, N.A.

Citation

Cassman, N. A. (2019, April 17). *Impact of nitrogen fertilization on the soil microbiome and nitrous oxide emissions*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/71732

Version:Not Applicable (or Unknown)License:Leiden University Non-exclusive licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/71732

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/71732</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Cassman, N.A.

Title: Impact of nitrogen fertilization on the soil microbiome and nitrous oxide emissions **Issue Date:** 2019-04-17

Chapter 1

General Introduction

Introduction

Nitrogen is an essential component of living systems. Nitrogen is abundant in the atmosphere as inert di-nitrogen gas and its transformation to bioavailable forms is limited by biological nitrogen fixation (N₂ \rightarrow NH₃; Canfield et al 2010). This latter process is carried out by a few genera of microbes and as the rate of conversion is slow, natural ecosystems are often N-limited (Kuypers et al 2018). The invention of synthetic nitrogen fixation by Fritz Haber (now called the Haber-Bosch process) in 1909 led to the mass production and widespread use of nitrogen fertilizers and corresponding high yields in agriculture in the next century (Ellis 2011). The agricultural boom of the past century has substantially attributed to a seven-fold increase in the human population, which is now over seven billion (Galloway et al 2008). About half of the world's population relies on food grown using synthetic N (Erisman et al 2008). This increased input of N fertilizers into agricultural systems has had serious impacts beyond increasing food productivity, including long-term decreases in biodiversity, in soil quality, waterway eutrophication and acidification, and greenhouse gas emissions (Foley et al 2011, Fowler et al 2015, Smith 2017).

As only about 50% of the input N to agricultural soils is used by plants, the excess nitrogen is leached out of the soil matrix and into the air and surrounding water sources, resulting in an imbalance of nitrogen in surrounding ecosystems which contributes to the degradation of surface and groundwater quality (Schlesinger et al 2009, Erisman et al 2013). Moreover, N transformations in the soil matrix include processes resulting in the greenhouse gases NO and N₂O. Before 2050, global food production is expected to double to feed the projected human population of 9 billion people (Godfray et al 2010, Tilman et al 2011). Updating nitrogen fertilizer management strategies toward long-term sustainability without decreasing crop productivity is therefore of global importance. This requires deep knowledge of the soil system, especially regarding the effects of nitrogen fertilizers on the soil microbes, which are the main players in nutrient cycling, litter decomposition and energy flows in terrestrial and agroecosystems (Baggs 2011; Hu et al 2014b). While the astronomical diversity of soil microbes has hampered detailed study of the soil microbiome, the recent advances in sequencing technology have allowed for an unprecedented glimpse into the "black box" of the microbial role in soil functioning (Torsvik et al 1990, Fierer et al 2012). Here, the overall research aim was to apply next-generation sequencing technology and associated advanced data analyses to gain detailed insight into the responses of soil microbial communities to various nitrogen fertilizer regimes,

including long term fertilization, with a focus on the potentially N₂O-producing microbial community.

1.1 Nitrous oxide emissions as a function of N fertilizer input

Reactive nitrogen generally is supplied to agricultural soils in the form of ammonium-based fertilizers, such as urea (CO(NH2)2), ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃), ammonium sulphate ((NH₄)₂SO₄) and synthetic ammonia (NH₃; Mosier 1994). About 220 Tg N yr⁻¹ of nitrogen fertilizers are applied to agricultural soils globally, of which about half is lost into groundwater as soluble NO_x or as gaseous NO_x species (Gruber & Galloway 2008, Fowler et al 2015). This follows the conceptual "hole-in-the-pipe" model, also known as the nitrogen cascade, which describes soil nitrogen transformations as limited by the availability of reactive nitrogen, which then "leak" through a cascade of reactions (Galloway et al 2003). Roughly 18.8 Tg of N-N₂O are emitted per year, with agricultural soils directly contributing to 16% of these emissions (Syakila & Kroeze 2011, Smith 2017). A general rule is to consider that 1% of applied fertilizer N is emitted as N₂O based on a rough estimation by IPCC (2007). However, recent studies show that this value may fluctuate from 0.2 to 4% depending on many factors, including site, soil type and management (Carmo et al 2013, Filoso et al 2015). Nitrous oxide emissions threaten the global climate because N₂O has a global warming potential 298 times that of CO₂ due to its radiative forcing and long presence (114 years/molecule) in the atmosphere (Robertson & Vitousek 2009, Snyder et al 2009). Further, once in the atmosphere it is converted to NO which reacts with tropospheric ozone; this implicates N₂O as a major ozone-depleting substance (Ravishankara et al 2009). Efforts to develop N₂O mitigation strategies focus on efficiency in N fertilizer utilization and more recently on identifying the controls and mechanisms of N₂O emissions, including the microbial role (Signor and Cerri 2013, Butterbach-Bahl et al 2013, Soares et al 2016, Pitombo et al 2016, Galloway et al 2017, Bakken & Frostegård 2017, Lourenco et al 2018, Kuypers et al 2018).

Nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural soils are mainly attributed to the cumulative effects of the biotic pathways nitrification and denitrification (Butterbach-Bahl 2013). Nitrification is the two-step oxidation of NH_{4^+} to NO_2^- and $-NO_2^-$ to NO_3^- , in which N₂O is an intermediate, while denitrification is the sequential reduction of NO_3^- , NO_2^- , NO, N₂O and N₂ in which N₂O is a product of NO reduction and a reactant of N₂O reduction to N₂ (Baggs et al 2011). In ammonia oxidation, the rate-limiting step is ammonia oxidation to hydroxylamine, which is generally catalyzed by ammonia monooxygenase and encoded by the gene amoA. The other main biotic pathway leading to N₂O, denitrification ($NO_3^- \rightarrow NO_2^- \rightarrow$ $NO \rightarrow N_2O \rightarrow N_2$, is catalyzed by a series of enzymes which are encoded by difal 2015). The first step (NO₃⁻ \rightarrow NO₂⁻) is carried out by the ferent genes (Hu et enzyme nitrate reductase, which is encoded by the *narG* or *napA* gene; the second step (NO₂⁻ \rightarrow NO) can be catalyzed by two types of nitrite reductases encoded respectively by the the nirK or nirS genes. The third step (NO \rightarrow N₂O) is carried out by the genes cnorB or qnorB; last, the enzyme nitric oxide reductase catalyzes the reduction of N₂O (N₂O \rightarrow N₂) and is encoded by the nosZ gene, which exists in two forms (nosZ I and II). The relative contributions of nitrification and denitrification to overall N₂O production are challenging to untangle due to the many interrelated reactions and microbes with overlapping function (Zhu et al 2013; Shcherbak, Millar and Robertson 2014). Other sources of N₂O emissions are denitrification by nitrifiers (nitrifier denitrification), anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox), complete nitrification (comammox) and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA, or nitrate ammonification (Hu et al 2015, Kuypers et al 2018). However, due to the main contributions of nitrification and denitrification to N_2O emissions in agriculture, in the current research the focus was on nitrification and denitrification

1.2 Nitrification and denitrification

Nitrification and denitrification are mediated by microbes (archaea, bacteria and fungi) which use these pathways to gain energy or assimilate N. Nitrifiers encompass a narrow phylogenetic range of a few bacterial and archaeal genera. Ammonia oxidation is mediated by the ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA), such as the Thaumarchaeota Nitrososphaera, and the ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB), such as the Betaproteobacteria Nitrosomonas and Nitrosospira; Upon ammonium oxidation, nitrite can be formed which can be further oxidized by nitrite oxidizing bacteria (NOB), including the Nitrospirae Nitrospira and the Alphaproteobacteria Nitrobacter. Further, the process of complete nitrification by the recently discovered comammox bacteria, which have so far been found in the NOB *Nitrospira* genus, might also contribute to N₂O emissions (Liu et al 2017). Comammox bacterial genomes have revealed the full set of nitrification genes, that is for ammonia oxidation (NH₃ \rightarrow NH₂OH, *amoA*) and hydroxylamine oxidation (NH₂OH, *hao*), as well as the genes for nitrite oxidation (NO₂⁻ \rightarrow NO₃⁻, *nxrB*; Daims et al 2015; van Kessel et al 2015; Camejo et al 2017). Both ammonia and nitrite oxidation is an obligately aerobic process, with nitrifiers being chemolithoheterotrophic and -autotrophic.

Denitrification is a facultative anaerobic process carried out by microorganisms widely dispersed over the bacterial, archaeal and fungal domains, and denitrification genes can also be carried by nitrifiers in what is termed nitrifier denitrification. Some denitrifiers contain the full suite of denitrification genes and are able to reduce NO_{3}^{-} to N_{2}^{-} ; these are known as full denitrifiers. Others contain a truncated set of denitrification pathway genes and may produce one of the intermediates, such as NO (which is rapidly converted to N₂O) or N₂O. The genetic potential of the denitrification community for full or incomplete denitrification is directly linked to the N₂O or N₂ output of the soil. A community with a higher proportion of nosZ to norB or nirS + nirK (full denitrifiers) may present a sink for N₂O (Jones, Graf et al 2013). In support, Philippot et al (2011) found increased N₂O emissions from soils when increasing dilutions of bacteria lacking NosZ were added to microcosms. Further, recent studies provided evidence for this as well (Domeignoz-Horta 2015 and 2018); for example, as the addition of non-denitrifier nosZII-containing bacteria in microcosms was linked to lower N₂O emissions (Domeingoz-Horta 2016). Thus, the overall genetic potential of a nitrifying or denitrifying community, along with environmental controls, impacts the amount of N₂O emitted.

1.3 Management factors influencing soil microbial nitrifiers and denitrifiers

The proximal, or immediate and short-term, factors influencing nitrifier and denitrifiers are carbon availability, NO3- concentrations, moisture levels and oxygen availability, while distal, or indirect, and long-term factors are plant growth, micronutrient availability, and pH (Hénault 2012 and Saggar, Jha et al 2013). When N fertilizers are applied, microbial decomposition can be increased or decreased, depending on recalcitrance of the organic substrate and N availability. Application of plant residues with low C:N ratios often result in high rates of N mineralization, or the conversion of organic N to plant-available NH₃ (usually by microbial death), while residues with higher C:N ratios stimulate N immobilization into microbial biomass (reviewed in Chen et al 2014). Soil organic matter directly affects N₂O production because it provides a diverse suite of substrates for heterotrophic denitrifier activity (Schmidt & Torn et al 2011). For instance, soluble sugars, or labile carbon, can easily dissolve into the water-filled spaces in soil and become available for microbial or plant uptake. In contrast, the insoluble compound lignin requires specialized microbial enzymes for degradation and otherwise remains in the soil as soil organic matter (SOM) (Swift et al 1979). Additionally, rapid decomposition can drive down oxygen levels faster than the rate of oxygen diffusion, establishing anaerobic conditions for denitrification. Parkin (1987) showed that the frequencies of N₂O emissions correlate with predictions based on the spatially heterogeneous distribution of organic compounds that are found in soils. Nitrite levels control the nitrification and denitrification processes as it is a reaction intermediate and reactant, respectively. Therefore, N and organic matter additions -- such as in agricultural management practices of fertilization with N and plant residues -- can either promote or reduce N_2O production by their effect on the factors controlling the activity and growth of nitrifiers and denitrifiers.

1.4 Sugarcane agriculture

The N₂O emissions of sugarcane production cycles has recently drawn attention due to the use of sugarcane bioethanol as a sustainable biofuel (Crutzen et al 2008, Lisboa et al 2011, Seabra et al 2011). The largest producer of sugarcane, Saccharum sp., is Brazil, which devotes almost 7.5 million hectares to sugarcane production mainly for its use as a biofuel (Christofoletti et al 2013). Sustainability of sugarcane production stems partly from the crop characteristics and partly because of efficiency in its production. After the sugarcane stalk is cut during a harvest, the regrowth yields another crop, known as the ratoon crop, during the following harvest season. The growth from the ratoon crop decreases each year, which warrants replanting of the plant crop every three to eight years, without tilling the soil in the intervening years, and this promotes SOM formation. Historically, sugarcane leaves were burned to remove the plant leaves from the sugarcontaining stalks prior to harvest. Now, most Brazilian sugarcane is harvested using a 'green harvest' method in which the stalks are stripped of leaves and this socalled "straw" is left on the field (Carvalho et al 2017). The amount of dry sugarcane straw on fields in Brazil ranges between 8-30 Mg ha⁻¹ dry mass of straw (Carvalho et al 2017). The green harvest method has several advantages over the burning method, namely, that application of the residues increases moisture retention and provides a long-term source of nutrients (Carvalho et al 2017) and contributes to overall lower greenhouse gas emissions (Capaz 2013). Depending on the cultivar and the conditions in which it was grown, sugarcane leaves have a C:N of roughly 125:1, which is relatively high (Carvalho et al 2017). Decomposition of plant residues with C:N of above 30 generally promotes N immobilization, or the uptake of available soil-borne N into microbial biomass. This immobilized N can turn into soil organic N following microbial death, which serves as a longterm source of N to subsequent crops (Otto et al 2013). Application of crop residues with high C:N content, such as sugarcane leaves, may lead to microbial decomposers using soil organic N for their N needs, ultimately lowering the soil N pool, unless combined with an N fertilization regime (Trivelin et al 2013, Ferreira et al 2015).

1.5 Sugarcane bioethanol and vinasse production

In the bioethanol production cycle, the sugarcane stalk is crushed, and the sugarcane juice is separated from the pulpy stalk residue. Sugarcane juice is heated, clarified with lime and cooled to crystallize sugar and molasses. The molasses is further fermented and heated to produce bioethanol and the waste product, vinasse. Up to 13 L of vinasse per liter of bioethanol may be generated (Boddey et al 2008). Essentially all of the vinasse is recycled onto the sugarcane fields as a K fertilizer source according to Brazilian agricultural practices (Moran-Salazar et al 2016). Vinasse is comprised of about 93% water and organic acids, solids and nutrients such as magnesium, calcium and potassium (Christofoletti et al 2013). It is effective as a K and P fertilizer (Moran-Salazar et al 2016) and is also used in animal feed and as a source of biogas (Christofoletti et al 2013). Benefits of using vinasse as fertilizer include improved soil quality due to the addition of moisture and micronutrients (Jiang et al 2012) and improved crop production and crop quality (Yi-Ding et al 2006, Zani et al 2018). However, when vinasse is used in conjunction with an N fertilizer, potentially detrimental effects on long-term soil fertility and greenhouse gas emissions have been observed, especially the emission of N₂O and reduction of soil C stocks due to the addition of labile C from vinasse (Fuess et al 2017, Pitombo et al 2016, do Carmo et al 2013). These negative consequences might outweigh the benefits of sugarcane bioethanol as an energy source (Lapola et al 2010, Erisman et al 2010). Further, microbial contaminants of the bioethanol process are thought to be present in vinasse (Costa et al 2015) with unknown effects on the soil microbiome upon fertilization.

1.6 Insight into microbial communities through sequencing

The soil matrix contains an astronomical number and diversity of microorganisms, which can reach up to 10¹³ cells and contain between 10⁴-10⁹ genotypes in one gram of soil (Torsvik and Øvreås 2002). This great diversity is a challenge to study, not least because the majority of soil microbes are unculturable. Recent advances in high-throughput sequencing technologies and computational methods, largely driven by the less diverse microbial communities of the marine and human gut environments, have enabled scientists to begin tackling the soil ecosystem (Zhou et al 2015). Briefly, a comparative metagenomics study encompasses experimental design, DNA or RNA extraction from environmental samples, sequencing, quality control of the reads, followed by taxonomic and/or functional potential identification of the reads and statistical analysis to address hypotheses. The data subjected to the statistical analyses generally come in the form of taxonomic or functional profiles. Multivariate statistics are then applied, e.g. to identify taxa differing between groups of samples, or to find the most represented metabolic pathways in a metagenome. Several molecular methods are used to generate this data, including amplicon of phylogenetic markers or functional genes and shotgun metagenomics (Luo et al 2014, Orellana et al 2017).

The PCR of phylogenetic markers from microbial DNA in soil samples allows for the surveying of the taxonomic composition and diversity of soil microbial communities (Pace 1997, Huse et al 2008). Generally, the 16S rRNA gene is used to profile the bacterial and archaeal community while the 18S rRNA gene and/or ITS region are used for eukaryotes, including fungi. Advantages to using this method are lower cost per sample and the availability of large databases of marker genes representing sequences from millions of species. However, this strategy, so-called amplicon metagenomics, is limited by the conservation of the primers used, which can miss highly novel, divergent sequences as well as viruses; further, only taxonomic information is obtained (Logares et al 2014). Regarding the latter, several bioinformatic analysis methods have tackled gaining functional information by matching 16S taxonomy information to the functional potential of similar genomes, for example Picrust and Tax4Fun (Langille et al 2013 and Aßhauer et al 2015). These tools depend on prior knowledge of full genomes in the reference databases, which might limit the accounting of the true functional diversity of the sample. Further, the precision of reference-based methods depend on which lineages are represented in the databases.

Similar to the information derived from amplicon metagenomics, PCR of functional markers can reveal taxonomic and diversity information about a functional subgroup of the soil microbial community, e.g. the amplification and sequencing of the *amoA* gene gives insight into the ammonia-oxidizing bacterial community (Ouyang et al 2016). As functional amplicon metagenome techniques are limited to revealing relative abundances of taxa in the sample, these surveys can be supplemented by alternatives to measuring microbial biomass, such as real-time PCR, which is a quantitative method for measuring the number of copies of a gene, as a proxy for the number of cells, in a sample. The FUNGENE database is one such tool that provides a platform for functional amplicon metagenomic analysis and includes databases and Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) of a range of functional genes, including the main genes involved in nitrification (*amoA*) and denitrification (*nirS*, *nirK*, *nosZ*; Fish et al 2013). Further, the database dbCAN provides a stand-alone database for the analysis of genes encoding for enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolism (Zhang et al 2018).

Functional potential as well as taxonomic information can be derived from shotgun metagenomes, which are genomic sequences derived from all the cells in a sample (Thomas et al 2012). Function is inferred by translating the sequences through a gene predictor followed by homology searching against a protein sequence or protein family database. Common databases for functional potential analysis include the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), in which the genes are cross-referenced into metabolic pathways, and the protein family database (Pfam), in which protein domains are represented as HMMs (Kanehisa et al 2014, Finn et al 2016). The model organism E. coli, humans and the human gut microbiome only have 90%, 82% and 75% functionally annotated genes, respectively. In a complex, less-studied environment such as soil, the percentage of functionally annotated genes may further drop to 55% (Prakash & Taylor 2012). There are several widely used platforms for metagenomic analysis, including the MG-RAST and EBI platforms which allow users to upload and store data and to run their samples through automated pipelines. In addition to the application of amplicon and shotgun metagenomics to DNA, these analyses have also been applied to RNA transcripts (metatranscriptomics) and protein sequences (proteomics), which allow for gene expression and protein sequence levels to quantify soil microbial activity (Urich et al 2008, Hirsch et al 2010). This is useful in studies linking the activity of microbes with a potential function, e.g. the abundance of amoA gene transcripts, to responses, e.g. N₂O emissions (Theodorakopoulos et al 2017). Further, the sheer volume of sequencing coupled with highthroughput analytical techniques have enabled the binning of draft genomes, or metagenome-assembled genomes, from environments with low and medium diversity, with soil on the horizon (Sharon & Banfield 2013, Orellana et al 2018). Further goals are the linking of metabolomes, or all the proteins in a sample, with the metatranscriptome, metagenome and genomic information.

1.7 Research aims and thesis outline

The purpose of this dissertation was to investigate the connected system of the soil microbial community, nitrogen and organic fertilizers, and N_2O emissions. This will help to devise strategies targeting the microbes specifically affected by nitrogen fertilization. To do this, I analyzed long- and short-term studies of the effects of different N fertilizer treatments on the microbial soil communities in Dutch pasture soils and in Brazilian sugarcane fields. This was to identify the microbial taxa that responded to the treatments, with a focus on the microbial taxa that were directly involved in N₂O emissions.

In **Chapter 2** I describe potential direct and indirect effects of long-term fertilization with N, P and K on the plant and soil bacterial and fungal communities. To this end I applied co-variation analysis to the taxonomic compositions of each community across the treatments and to a suite of soil physicochemical measurements. In **Chapter 3** I focus on the effects of long-term inorganic fertilization on soil physicochemical characteristics and the soil microbial taxa in Dutch pasture soils. This was done by combining shotgun metagenomic analysis with soil physicochemical measurements using multivariate statistics.

In **Chapter 4** I investigated the effect of different urea fertilization treatments with or without nitrification inhibitors on nitrous oxide fluxes, soil physicochemical characteristics and the soil microbial community in a field experiment. Using 16S rDNA amplicon metagenomes, I evaluated the effect of these treatments on the overall bacterial community composition and diversity, and on functional subgroups using qPCR of nitrification and denitrification genes. In **Chapter 5** I describe further the effect of these urea and nitrification inhibitor treatments on the abundance of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and other nitrifying species by the analysis of an *amoA* amplicon sequences combined with data mining of the previously published 16S rDNA dataset. Further, I identify the likely species directly responsible for the N₂O emissions in a tropical soil.

In **Chapter 6** I focused on vinasse, which contains a previously uncharacterized microbial assemblage. I obtained metagenome assembled genomes from vinasse samples taken over 1.5 years from a bioethanol factory in Brazil. Based on the functional potential described in these genomes, I describe potential effects of these vinasse bacteria on N_2O emissions in the field when used in fertirrigation.

Last, in **Chapter 7** I provide a general discussion of the research chapters, and present conclusions as well as some thoughts on future directions. This thesis showcases several advanced statistical and bioinformatic methods applied to metagenomic data. Further, the results of this thesis will contribute to the literature serving as a reference for farmers and policy-makers to steer the soil microbiome in agriculture toward long-term sustainability.

1.8 References

- Aßhauer, K.P., Wemheuer, B., Daniel, R., Meinicke, P., 2015. Tax4Fun: predicting functional profiles from metagenomic 16S rRNA data. Bioinformatics 31, 2882–2884.
- Baggs, E.M., 2011. Soil microbial sources of nitrous oxide: recent advances in knowledge, emerging challenges and future direction. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, Carbon and nitrogen cycles 3, 321–327.
- Bakken, L.R., Frostegård, Å., 2017. Sources and sinks for N₂O, can microbiologist help to mitigate N₂O emissions? Environmental Microbiology 19, 4801–4805.
- Boddey, R.M., Soares, L.H. de B., Alves, B.J.R., Urquiaga, S., 2008. Bio-Ethanol Production in Brazil, in: Pimentel, D. (Ed.), Biofuels, Solar and Wind as Renewable Energy Systems: Benefits and Risks. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 321–356.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Baggs, E.M., Dannenmann, M., Kiese, R., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., 2013. Nitrous oxide emissions from soils: how well do we understand the processes and their controls? Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 368, 20130122.
- Camejo, P.Y., Domingo, J.S., McMahon, K.D., Noguera, D.R., 2017. Genome-Enabled Insights into the Ecophysiology of the Comammox Bacterium "Candidatus Nitrospira nitrosa." mSystems 2, e00059-17.
- Canfield, D.E., Glazer, A.N., Falkowski, P.G., 2010. The Evolution and Future of Earth's Nitrogen Cycle. Science 330, 192–196.
- Capaz, R.S., Carvalho, V.S.B., Nogueira, L.A.H., 2013. Impact of mechanization and previous burning reduction on GHG emissions of sugarcane harvesting operations in Brazil. Applied Energy, Special Issue on Advances in sustainable biofuel production and use - XIX International Symposium on Alcohol Fuels - ISAF 102, 220–228.
- Carmo, J.B. do, Filoso, S., Zotelli, L.C., Neto, E.R. de S., Pitombo, L.M., Duarte-Neto, P.J., Vargas, V.P., Andrade, C.A., Gava, G.J.C., Rossetto, R., Cantarella, H., Neto, A.E., Martinelli, L.A., 2013. Infield greenhouse gas emissions from sugarcane soils in Brazil: effects from synthetic and organic fertilizer application and crop trash accumulation. GCB Bioenergy 5, 267–280.
- Carvalho, J.L.N., Nogueirol, R.C., Menandro, L.M.S., Bordonal, R. de O., Borges, C.D., Cantarella, H., Franco, H.C.J., 2017. Agronomic and environmental implications of sugarcane straw removal: a major review. GCB Bioenergy 9, 1181–1195.
- Chen, B., Liu, E., Tian, Q., Yan, C., Zhang, Y., 2014. Soil nitrogen dynamics and crop residues. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 34, 429–442.
- Christofoletti, C.A., Escher, J.P., Correia, J.E., Marinho, J.F.U., Fontanetti, C.S., 2013. Sugarcane vinasse: Environmental implications of its use. Waste Management 33, 2752–2761.
- Costa, O.Y.A., Souto, B.M., Tupinambá, D.D., Bergmann, J.C., Kyaw, C.M., Kruger, R.H., Barreto, C.C., Quirino, B.F., 2015. Microbial diversity in sugarcane ethanol production in a Brazilian distillery using a culture-independent method. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 42, 73–84.
- Crutzen, P.J., Mosier, A.R., Smith, K.A., Winiwarter, W., 2007. N₂O release from agro-biofuel production negates global warming reduction by replacing fossil fuels. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions 7, 11191–11205.
- Daims, H., Lebedeva, E.V., Pjevac, P., Han, P., Herbold, C., Albertsen, M., Jehmlich, N., Palatinszky, M., Vierheilig, J., Bulaev, A., Kirkegaard, R.H., von Bergen, M., Rattei, T., Bendinger, B., Nielsen, P.H., Wagner, M., 2015. Complete nitrification by *Nitrospira* bacteria. Nature 528, 504–509.
- Domeignoz-Horta, L.A., Philippot, L., Peyrard, C., Bru, D., Breuil, M.-C., Bizouard, F., Justes, E., Mary, B., Léonard, J., Spor, A., 2018. Peaks of in situ N₂O emissions are influenced by N₂O-producing and reducing microbial communities across arable soils. Global Change Biology 24, 360–370.

- Domeignoz-Horta, L.A., Putz, M., Spor, A., Bru, D., Breuil, M.C., Hallin, S., Philippot, L., 2016. Non-denitrifying nitrous oxide-reducing bacteria - An effective N₂O sink in soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 103, 376–379.
- Domeignoz-Horta1, L., Spor, A., Bru, D., Breuil, M.-C., Bizouard, F., Leonard, J., Philippot, L., 2015. The diversity of the N₂O reducers matters for the N₂O:N2 denitrification end-product ratio across an annual and a perennial cropping system. Front. Microbiol. 6.
- Ellis, E.C., 2011. Anthropogenic transformation of the terrestrial biosphere. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 369, 1010–1035.
- Erisman, J.W., Galloway, J.N., Seitzinger, S., Bleeker, A., Dise, N.B., Petrescu, A.M.R., Leach, A.M., Vries, W. de, 2013. Consequences of human modification of the global nitrogen cycle. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 368, 20130116.
- Erisman, J.W., Sutton, M.A., Galloway, J., Klimont, Z., Winiwarter, W., 2008. How a century of ammonia synthesis changed the world. Nature Geoscience 1, 636–639.
- Erisman, J.W., van Grinsven, H., Leip, A., Mosier, A., Bleeker, A., 2010. Nitrogen and biofuels; an overview of the current state of knowledge. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst 86, 211–223.
- Ferreira, D.A., Franco, H.C.J., Otto, R., Vitti, A.C., Fortes, C., Faroni, C.E., Garside, A.L., Trivelin, P.C.O., 2016. Contribution of N from green harvest residues for sugarcane nutrition in Brazil. GCB Bioenergy 8, 859–866.
- Fierer, N., Leff, J.W., Adams, B.J., Nielsen, U.N., Bates, S.T., Lauber, C.L., Owens, S., Gilbert, J.A., Wall, D.H., Caporaso, J.G., 2012. Cross-biome metagenomic analyses of soil microbial communities and their functional attributes. PNAS 109, 21390–21395.
- Finn, R.D., Coggill, P., Eberhardt, R.Y., Eddy, S.R., Mistry, J., Mitchell, A.L., Potter, S.C., Punta, M., Qureshi, M., Sangrador-Vegas, A., Salazar, G.A., Tate, J., Bateman, A., 2016. The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. Nucleic Acids Res 44, D279– D285.
- Fish, J.A., Chai, B., Wang, Q., Sun, Y., Brown, C.T., Tiedje, J.M., Cole, J.R., 2013. FunGene: the functional gene pipeline and repository. Front. Microbiol. 4.
- Foley, J.A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K.A., Cassidy, E.S., Gerber, J.S., Johnston, M., Mueller, N.D., O'Connell, C., Ray, D.K., West, P.C., Balzer, C., Bennett, E.M., Carpenter, S.R., Hill, J., Monfreda, C., Polasky, S., Rockström, J., Sheehan, J., Siebert, S., Tilman, D., Zaks, D.P.M., 2011. Solutions for a cultivated planet. Nature 478, 337–342.
- Fowler, D., Steadman, C.E., Stevenson, D., Coyle, M., Rees, R.M., Skiba, U.M., Sutton, M.A., Cape, J.N., Dore, A.J., Vieno, M., Simpson, D., Zaehle, S., Stocker, B.D., Rinaldi, M., Facchini, M.C., Flechard, C.R., Nemitz, E., Twigg, M., Erisman, J.W., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Galloway, J.N., 2015. Effects of global change during the 21st century on the nitrogen cycle. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 15, 13849–13893.
- Fuess, L.T., Garcia, M.L., 2014. Implications of stillage land disposal: A critical review on the impacts of fertigation. Journal of Environmental Management 145, 210–229.
- Galloway, J.N., Aber, J.D., Erisman, J.W., Seitzinger, S.P., Howarth, R.W., Cowling, E.B., Cosby, B.J., 2003. The Nitrogen Cascade. BioScience 53, 341–356.
- Galloway, J.N., Leach, A.M., Erisman, J.W., Bleeker, A., 2017. Nitrogen: the historical progression from ignorance to knowledge, with a view to future solutions. Soil Res. 55, 417–424.
- Galloway, J.N., Townsend, A.R., Erisman, J.W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J.R., Martinelli, L.A., Seitzinger, S.P., Sutton, M.A., 2008. Transformation of the Nitrogen Cycle: Recent Trends, Questions, and Potential Solutions. Science 320, 889–892.
- Godfray, H.C.J., Beddington, J.R., Crute, I.R., Haddad, L., Lawrence, D., Muir, J.F., Pretty, J., Robinson, S., Thomas, S.M., Toulmin, C., 2010. Food Security: The Challenge of Feeding 9 Billion People. Science 327, 812–818.
- Gruber, N., Galloway, J.N., 2008. An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle. Nature 451, 293–296.
- Hénault, C., Grossel, A., Mary, B., Roussel, M., Léonard, J., 2012. Nitrous Oxide Emission by Agricultural Soils: A Review of Spatial and Temporal Variability for Mitigation. Pedosphere, Special Issue on Bioremediation of Contaminated Soil and Water 22, 426–433.

- Hirsch, P.R., Mauchline, T.H., Clark, I.M., 2010. Culture-independent molecular techniques for soil microbial ecology. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 42, 878–887.
- Hu, H.-W., Chen, D., He, J.-Z., 2015. Microbial regulation of terrestrial nitrous oxide formation: understanding the biological pathways for prediction of emission rates. FEMS Microbiol Rev 39, 729–749.
- Huse, S.M., Dethlefsen, L., Huber, J.A., Welch, D.M., Relman, D.A., Sogin, M.L., 2008. Exploring Microbial Diversity and Taxonomy Using SSU rRNA Hypervariable Tag Sequencing. PLOS Genetics 4, e1000255.
- Jiang, Z.-P., Li, Y.-R., Wei, G.-P., Liao, Q., Su, T.-M., Meng, Y.-C., Zhang, H.-Y., Lu, C.-Y., 2012. Effect of Long-Term Vinasse Application on Physico-chemical Properties of Sugarcane Field Soils. Sugar Tech 14, 412–417.
- Jones, C.M., Graf, D.R., Bru, D., Philippot, L., Hallin, S., 2013. The unaccounted yet abundant nitrous oxide-reducing microbial community: a potential nitrous oxide sink. The ISME Journal 7, 417–426.
- Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., Sato, Y., Kawashima, M., Furumichi, M., Tanabe, M., 2014. Data, information, knowledge and principle: back to metabolism in KEGG. Nucleic Acids Res 42, D199–D205.
- Kuypers, M.M.M., Marchant, H.K., Kartal, B., 2018. The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. Nature Reviews Microbiology 16, 263–276.
- Langille, M.G.I., Zaneveld, J., Caporaso, J.G., McDonald, D., Knights, D., Reyes, J.A., Clemente, J.C., Burkepile, D.E., Vega Thurber, R.L., Knight, R., Beiko, R.G., Huttenhower, C., 2013. Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. Nature Biotechnology 31, 814–821.
- Lapola, D.M., Schaldach, R., Alcamo, J., Bondeau, A., Koch, J., Koelking, C., Priess, J.A., 2010. Indirect land-use changes can overcome carbon savings from biofuels in Brazil. PNAS 107, 3388–3393.
- Lisboa, C.C., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Mauder, M., Kiese, R., 2011. Bioethanol production from sugarcane and emissions of greenhouse gases known and unknowns. GCB Bioenergy 3, 277–292.
- Liu, S., Han, P., Hink, L., Prosser, J.I., Wagner, M., Brüggemann, N., 2017. Abiotic Conversion of Extracellular NH2OH Contributes to N₂O Emission during Ammonia Oxidation.
- Logares, R., Sunagawa, S., Salazar, G., Cornejo-Castillo, F.M., Ferrera, I., Sarmento, H., Hingamp, P., Ogata, H., Vargas, C. de, Lima-Mendez, G., Raes, J., Poulain, J., Jaillon, O., Wincker, P., Kandels-Lewis, S., Karsenti, E., Bork, P., Acinas, S.G., 2014. Metagenomic 16S rDNA Illumina tags are a powerful alternative to amplicon sequencing to explore diversity and structure of microbial communities. Environmental Microbiology 16, 2659– 2671.
- Lourenço, K.S., Cassman, N.A., Pijl, A.S., van Veen, J.A., Cantarella, H., Kuramae, E.E., 2018. Nitrosospira sp. Govern Nitrous Oxide Emissions in a Tropical Soil Amended With Residues of Bioenergy Crop. Front. Microbiol. 9.
- Luo, C., Rodriguez-R, L.M., Johnston, E.R., Wu, L., Cheng, L., Xue, K., Tu, Q., Deng, Y., He, Z., Shi, J.Z., Yuan, M.M., Sherry, R.A., Li, D., Luo, Y., Schuur, E.A.G., Chain, P., Tiedje, J.M., Zhou, J., Konstantinidis, K.T., 2014. Soil Microbial Community Responses to a Decade of Warming as Revealed by Comparative Metagenomics. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 80, 1777– 1786.
- Mosier, A.R., 1994. Nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural soils. Fertilizer Research 37, 191–200.
- Orellana, L.H., Chee-Sanford, J.C., Sanford, R.A., Löffler, F.E., Konstantinidis, K.T., 2018. Year-Round Shotgun Metagenomes Reveal Stable Microbial Communities in Agricultural Soils and Novel Ammonia Oxidizers Responding to Fertilization. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 84, e01646-17.
- Otto, R., Mulvaney, R.L., Khan, S.A., Trivelin, P.C.O., 2013. Quantifying soil nitrogen mineralization to improve fertilizer nitrogen management of sugarcane. Biol Fertil Soils 49, 893–904.

- Ouyang, Y., Norton, J.M., Stark, J.M., Reeve, J.R., Habteselassie, M.Y., 2016. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria are more responsive than archaea to nitrogen source in an agricultural soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 96, 4–15.
- Pace, N.R., 1997. A Molecular View of Microbial Diversity and the Biosphere. Science 276, 734–740.
- Parkin, T.B., 1987. Soil Microsites as a Source of Denitrification Variability 1. Soil Science Society of America Journal 51, 1194–1199.
- Philippot, L., Andert, J., Jones, C.M., Bru, D., Hallin, S., 2011. Importance of denitrifiers lacking the genes encoding the nitrous oxide reductase for N₂O emissions from soil. Global Change Biology 17, 1497–1504.
- Pitombo, L.M., Carmo, J.B. do, Hollander, M. de, Rossetto, R., López, M.V., Cantarella, H., Kuramae, E.E., 2016. Exploring soil microbial 16S rRNA sequence data to increase carbon yield and nitrogen efficiency of a bioenergy crop. GCB Bioenergy 8, 867–879.
- Prakash, T., Taylor, T.D., 2012. Functional assignment of metagenomic data: challenges and applications. Brief Bioinform 13, 711–727.
- Ravishankara, A.R., Daniel, J.S., Portmann, R.W., 2009. Nitrous Oxide (N₂O): The Dominant Ozone-Depleting Substance Emitted in the 21st Century. Science 326, 123–125.
- Robertson, G.P., Vitousek, P.M., 2009. Nitrogen in Agriculture: Balancing the Cost of an Essential Resource. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 34, 97–125.
- Saggar, S., Jha, N., Deslippe, J., Bolan, N.S., Luo, J., Giltrap, D.L., Kim, D.-G., Zaman, M., Tillman, R.W., 2013. Denitrification and N₂O:N2 production in temperate grasslands: Processes, measurements, modelling and mitigating negative impacts. Science of The Total Environment, Soil as a Source & Sink for Greenhouse Gases 465, 173–195.
- Schlesinger, W.H., 2009. On the fate of anthropogenic nitrogen. PNAS 106, 203-208.
- Schmidt, M.W.I., Torn, M.S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I.A., Kleber, M., Kögel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Manning, D.A.C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D.P., Weiner, S., Trumbore, S.E., 2011. Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property. Nature 478, 49–56.
- Seabra, J.E.A., Macedo, I.C., Chum, H.L., Faroni, C.E., Sarto, C.A., 2011. Life cycle assessment of Brazilian sugarcane products: GHG emissions and energy use. Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining 5, 519–532.
- Sharon, I., Banfield, J.F., 2013. Genomes from Metagenomics. Science 342, 1057–1058.
- Shcherbak, I., Millar, N., Robertson, G.P., 2014. Global metaanalysis of the nonlinear response of soil nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions to fertilizer nitrogen. PNAS 201322434.
- Signor, D., Cerri, C.E.P., 2013. Nitrous oxide emissions in agricultural soils: a review. Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical 43, 322–338.
- Smith, K.A., 2017. Changing views of nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural soil: key controlling processes and assessment at different spatial scales. European Journal of Soil Science 68, 137–155.
- Snyder, C.S., Bruulsema, T.W., Jensen, T.L., Fixen, P.E., 2009. Review of greenhouse gas emissions from crop production systems and fertilizer management effects. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, Reactive nitrogen in agroecosystems: Integration with greenhouse gas interactions 133, 247–266.
- Soares, J.R., Cassman, N.A., Kielak, A.M., Pijl, A., Carmo, J.B., Lourenço, K.S., Laanbroek, H.J., Cantarella, H., Kuramae, E.E., 2016. Nitrous oxide emission related to ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and mitigation options from N fertilization in a tropical soil. Scientific Reports 6, 30349.
- Swift, M.J., Heal, O.W., Anderson, Jonathan Michael, Anderson, J. M., 1979. Decomposition in Terrestrial Ecosystems. University of California Press.
- Syakila, A., Kroeze, C., 2011. The global nitrous oxide budget revisited. Greenhouse Gas Measurement and Management 1, 17–26.
- Theodorakopoulos, N., Lognoul, M., Degrune, F., Broux, F., Regaert, D., Muys, C., Heinesch, B., Bodson, B., Aubinet, M., Vandenbol, M., 2017. Increased expression of bacterial amoA

during an N₂O emission peak in an agricultural field. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 236, 212–220.

- Thomas, T., Gilbert, J., Meyer, F., 2012. Metagenomics a guide from sampling to data analysis. Microbial Informatics and Experimentation 2, 3.
- Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J., Befort, B.L., 2011. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. PNAS 108, 20260–20264.
- Torsvik, V., Goksøyr, J., Daae, F.L., 1990. High diversity in DNA of soil bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56, 782–787.
- Torsvik, V., Øvreås, L., 2002. Microbial diversity and function in soil: from genes to ecosystems. Current Opinion in Microbiology 5, 240–245.
- Trivelin, P.C.O., Franco, H.C.J., Otto, R., Ferreira, D.A., Vitti, A.C., Fortes, C., Faroni, C.E., Oliveira, E.C.A., Cantarella, H., 2013. Impact of sugarcane trash on fertilizer requirements for São Paulo, Brazil. Scientia Agricola 70, 345–352.
- Urich, T., Lanzén, A., Qi, J., Huson, D.H., Schleper, C., Schuster, S.C., 2008. Simultaneous Assessment of Soil Microbial Community Structure and Function through Analysis of the Meta-Transcriptome. PLOS ONE 3, e2527.
- van Kessel, M.A.H.J., Speth, D.R., Albertsen, M., Nielsen, P.H., Op den Camp, H.J.M., Kartal, B., Jetten, M.S.M., Lücker, S., 2015. Complete nitrification by a single microorganism. Nature 528, 555–559.
- Yi-Ding, W., Yun-Chuan, M., Wei-Hao, W., Yang-Rui, L., Yan-Ping, Y., 2006. Effect of vinasse irrigation on the activity of three enzymes and agronomic characters at seedling stage of sugarcane. Sugar Tech 8, 264–267.
- Zani, C.F., Barneze, A.S., Robertson, A.D., Keith, A.M., Cerri, C.E.P., McNamara, N.P., Cerri, C.C., 2018. Vinasse application and cessation of burning in sugarcane management can have positive impact on soil carbon stocks. PeerJ 6, e5398.
- Zhang, H., Yohe, T., Huang, L., Entwistle, S., Wu, P., Yang, Z., Busk, P.K., Xu, Y., Yin, Y., 2018. dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 46, W95–W101.
- Zhou, J., He, Z., Yang, Y., Deng, Y., Tringe, S.G., Alvarez-Cohen, L., 2015. High-Throughput Metagenomic Technologies for Complex Microbial Community Analysis: Open and Closed Formats. mBio 6, e02288-14.
- Zhu, X., Burger, M., Doane, T.A., Horwath, W.R., 2013. Ammonia oxidation pathways and nitrifier denitrification are significant sources of N₂O and NO under low oxygen availability. PNAS 201219993.