

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/65378> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Huisman, B.A.

Title: Peer feedback on academic writing : effects on performance and the role of task-design

Issue Date: 2018-09-12



References

References

- Admiraal, W., Huisman, B., & Pilli, O. (2015). Assessment in Massive Open Online Courses. *The Electronic Journal of e-learning*, 13(4), 207-216.
- Admiraal, W., Huisman, B., & van de Ven, M. (2014). Self- and peer assessment in Massive Open Online Courses. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 3(3), 119-128.
- Agricola, B., Prins, F. J., van der Schaaf, M. F., & van Tartwijk, J. (2016). Changes in students' self-regulated learning, feedback perception and Motivation during undergraduate research projects. Paper presented at the European Association for Research on Learning and Instruction (EARLI), Munich, Germany.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211. doi:10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T
- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (2005). The influence of attitudes on behavior. In D. Albarracin, B. T. Johnson, & M. P. Zanna (Eds.), *The handbook of attitudes* (pp. 173-221). NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates: Mahwah.
- Bailey, R., & Garner, M. (2010). Is the feedback in higher education assessment worth the paper it is written on? Teachers' reflections on their practices. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 15(2), 187-198. doi:10.1080/13562511003620019
- Ballantyne, R., Hughes, K., & Mylonas, A. (2002). Developing Procedures for Implementing Peer Assessment in Large Classes Using an Action Research Process. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 27(5), 427-441. doi:10.1080/0260293022000009302
- Biggs, J. (1996). Assessing Learning Quality: reconciling institutional, staff and educational demands. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 21(1), 5-16. doi:10.1080/0260293960210101
- Birjandi, P., & Tamjid, N. H. (2012). The role of self-, peer and teacher assessment in promoting Iranian EFL learners' writing performance. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 37(S), 513-533. doi:10.1080/02602938.2010.549204
- Bolzer, M., Strijbos, J. W., & Fischer, F. (2015). Inferring mindful cognitive-processing of peer-feedback via eye-tracking: role of feedback-characteristics, fixation-durations and transitions. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 31(5), 422-434. doi:10.1111/jcal.12091
- Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. T., & Rothstein, H. R. (2009). *Introduction to meta-analysis*. West Sussex, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Buckley, E., & Cowap, L. (2013). An evaluation of the use of Turnitin for electronic submission and marking and as a formative feedback tool from an educator's perspective. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 44(4), 562-570. doi:10.1111/bjet.12054
- Cahyono, B. Y., & Amrina, R. (2016). Peer Feedback, Self-correction, and Writing Proficiency of Indonesian EFL Students. *Arab World English Journal*, 7(1), 178-193. doi:10.24093/awej/vol7no1.12
- Chauhan, A. (2014). Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs): Emerging trends in assessment and accreditation. *Digital Education Review*, 25, 7-18.
- Chen, C. W.-Y. (2010). Graduate Students' Self-Reported Perspectives regarding Peer Feedback and Feedback from Writing Consultants. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 11(2), 151-158. doi:10.1007/s12564-010-9081-5
- Cheng, K. H., Liang, J. C., & Tsai, C. C. (2015). Examining the role of feedback messages in undergraduate students' writing performance during an online peer assessment activity.

- Internet and Higher Education*, 25, 78-84. doi:10.1016/j.iheduc.2015.02.001
- Cheng, W. N., & Warren, M. (1997). Having second thoughts: Student perceptions before and after a peer assessment exercise. *Studies in Higher Education*, 22(2), 233-239. doi:10.1080/03075079712331381064
- Cho, Y. H., & Cho, K. (2011). Peer reviewers learn from giving comments. *Instructional Science*, 39(5), 629-643. doi:10.1007/s11251-010-9146-1
- Cho, K., & MacArthur, C. (2010). Student revision with peer and expert reviewing. *Learning and Instruction*, 20(4), 328-338. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.08.006
- Cho, K., & MacArthur, C. (2011). Learning by reviewing. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 103(1), 73-84. doi:10.1037/a0021950
- Cho, K., & Schunn, C. D. (2007). Scaffolded writing and rewriting in the discipline: A web-based reciprocal peer review system. *Computers & Education*, 48(3), 409-426. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2005.02.004
- Cho, K., Schunn, C. D., & Charney, D. (2006). Commenting on writing - Typology and perceived helpfulness of comments from novice peer reviewers and subject matter experts. *Written Communication*, 23(3), 260-294.
- Cho, K., Schunn, C. D., & Wilson, R. W. (2006). Validity and reliability of scaffolded peer assessment of writing from instructor and student perspectives. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 98(4), 891-901. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.98.4.891
- Ciftci, H., & Kocoglu, Z. (2012). Effects of peer e-feedback on Turkish EFL students' writing performance. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 46(1), 61-84. doi:10.2190/EC.46.1.c
- Cohen, J. C. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Cortina, J. M. (1993). What Is Coefficient Alpha - an Examination of Theory and Applications. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78(1), 98-104. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.78.1.98
- Cowan, J. (2010). Developing the ability for making evaluative judgements. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 15(3), 323-334. doi:10.1080/13562510903560036
- Crossman, J. M., & Kite, S. L. (2012). Facilitating improved writing among students through directed peer review. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 13(3), 219-229. doi:10.1177/1469787412452980
- DeBoer, J., Ho, A. D., Stump, G. S., & Breslow, L. (2014). Changing "course": Reconceptualizing educational variables for massive open online courses. *Educational Researcher*, 43(2), 74-84.
- Dochy, F., Segers, M., & Sluijsmans, D. (1999). The use of self-, peer and co-assessment in higher education: a review. *Studies in Higher Education*, 24(3), 331-350. doi:10.1080/03075079912331379935
- Downes, S. (2008). Places to go: Connectivism & connected knowledge. *Innovate: Journal of Online Education*, 5(1), 1-6.
- Doyle, W., & Ponder, G. A. (1977). The practicality ethic in teacher decision-making. *Interchange*, 8(3), 1-12. doi:10.1007/bf01189290
- Ebben, M., & Murphy, J. S. (2014). Unpacking MOOC scholarly discourse: a review of nascent MOOC scholarship. [Article]. *Learning Media and Technology*, 39(3), 328-345.
- Evans, B. J., Baker, R. B., & Dee, T. S. (2016). Persistence Patterns in Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs). [Article]. *Journal of Higher Education*, 87(2), 206-242.
- Evans, C. (2013). Making Sense of Assessment Feedback in Higher Education. *Review of*

- Educational Research*, 83(1), 70-120. doi:10.3102/0034654312474350
- Falchikov, N., & Boud, D. (1989). Student Self-Assessment in Higher Education: A Meta-Analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 59(4), 395-430. doi:10.3102/00346543059004395
- Falchikov, N., & Goldfinch, J. (2000). Student peer assessment in higher education: A meta-analysis comparing peer and teacher marks. *Review of Educational Research*, 70(3), 287-322. doi:10.2307/1170785
- Flower, L., Hayes, J. R., Carey, L., Schriver, K., & Stratman, J. (1986). Detection, diagnosis, and the strategies of revision + composition. *College Composition and Communication*, 37(1), 16-55. doi:10.2307/357381
- Gielen, M., & de Wever, B. (2015). Structuring the peer assessment process: A multilevel approach for the impact on product improvement and peer feedback quality. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 31, 435-449.
- Gielen, S., Dochy, F., & Onghena, P. (2011). An inventory of peer assessment diversity. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 36(2), 137-155. doi:10.1080/02602930903221444
- Gielen, S., Peeters, E., Dochy, F., Onghena, P., & Struyven, K. (2010). Improving the effectiveness of peer feedback for learning. *Learning and Instruction*, 20(4), 304-315. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.08.007>
- Graham, S., & Perin, D. (2007). A meta-analysis of writing instruction for adolescent students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99(3), 445-476. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.99.3.445
- Greenberg, K. P. (2015). Rubric Use in Formative Assessment: A Detailed Behavioral Rubric Helps Students Improve Their Scientific Writing Skills. *Teaching of Psychology*, 42(3), 211-217. doi:10.1177/0098628315587618
- Groemping, U. (2006). Relative Importance for Linear Regression in R: The Package relaimpo. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 17(1), 1-27. doi:10.18637/jss.v017.i01
- Gunarsel, A. B., Simpson, N. J., Aufderheide, K. J., & Wang, L. (2008). Effectiveness of Calibrated Peer Review[TM] for Improving Writing and Critical Thinking Skills in Biology Undergraduate Students. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 8(2), 25-37. Retrieved from: <https://josotl.indiana.edu/issue/view/154>
- Handley, K., Price, M., & Millar, J. (2011). Beyond 'doing time': investigating the concept of student engagement with feedback. *Oxford Review of Education*, 37(4), 543-560.
- Hanrahan, S. J., & Isaacs, G. (2001). Assessing Self- and Peer-assessment: The students' views. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 20(1), 53-70. doi: 10.1080/07294360123776
- Hartberg, Y., Gunarsel, A. B., Simpson, N. J., & Balester, V. (2008). Development of Student Writing in Biochemistry Using Calibrated Peer Review. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 8(1), 29-44. Retrieved from Retrieved from: <https://josotl.indiana.edu/issue/view/153>
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112. doi:10.3102/003465430298487
- Hayes, J. R., & Flower, L. S. (1987). On the structure of the writing process. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 7(4), 19-30. Retrieved from: <http://journals.lww.com/topicsinlanguage/disorders/toc/1987/09000>
- Hu, G., & Lam, S. T. E. (2010). Issues of cultural appropriateness and pedagogical efficacy: Exploring peer review in a second language writing class. *Instructional Science*, 38(4), 371-394. doi:10.1007/s11251-008-9086-1
- Hu, L., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), 1-55. doi:10.1080/10705519909540118
- Huisman, B., Admiraal, W., Pilli, O., van de Ven, M., & Saab, N. (2018). Peer assessment in MOOCs: The relationship between peer reviewers' ability and authors' essay performance. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 49(1), 101-110. doi:10.1111/bjet.12520
- Huisman, B., Saab, N., van Driel, J., & van den Broek, P. (2017). Peer feedback on college students' writing: Exploring the relation between students' ability match, feedback quality and essay performance. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 36(7), 1433-1447. doi:10.1080/07294360.2017.1325854
- Hulleman, C. S., Durik, A. M., Schweigert, S. B., & Harackiewicz, J. M. (2008). Task values, achievement goals, and interest: An integrative analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 100(2), 398-416. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.100.2.398
- Kaufman, J. H., & Schunn, C. D. (2011). Students' perceptions about peer assessment for writing: Their origin and impact on revision work. *Instructional Science*, 39(3), 387-406. doi:10.1007/s11251-010-9133-6
- King, A. (1997). ASK to THINK-TEL WHY: A model of transactive peer tutoring for scaffolding higher level complex learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 32(4), 221-235. doi:10.1207/s15326985ep3204_3
- Knight, P. T. (2002). Summative Assessment in Higher Education: Practices in disarray. *Studies in Higher Education*, 27(3), 275-286. doi:10.1080/03075070220000662
- Landis, J. R., & Koch, G. G. (1977). Measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics*, 33(1), 159-174. doi:10.2307/2529310
- Kop, R. (2011). The Challenges to Connectivist Learning on Open Online Networks: Learning Experiences during a Massive Open Online Course. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning and Instruction*, 12(3).
- Kovanović, V., Joksimović, S., Gašević, D., Siemens, G., & Hatala, M. (2015). What public media reveals about MOOCs: A systematic analysis of news reports. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 46(3), S10-S27.
- Landis, J. R., & Koch, G. G. (1977). Measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics*, 33(1), 159-174. doi: 10.2307/2529310
- Lawton, W., & Lunt, K. (2013). *Would you credit that? The trajectory of the MOOCs juggernaut: The Observatory on Borderless Higher Education (OBHE)*. Retrieved from: http://www.obhe.ac.uk/documents/view_details?id=931
- Lazonder, A. W., & Harmsen, R. (2016). Meta-Analysis of Inquiry-Based Learning: Effects of Guidance. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(3), 681-718. doi:10.3102/0034654315627366
- Lee, C.-Y. (2015). The effects of online peer assessment and family entrepreneurial experience on students' business planning performance. *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 14(1), 123-132. Retrieved from: <http://www.tojet.net/articles/v14i1/14113.pdf>
- Li, L., & Steckelberg, A. L. (2004). *Using Peer Feedback to Enhance Student Meaningful Learning* (ED485111). Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED485111>
- Lin, S. S. J., Liu, E. Z. F., & Yuan, S. M. (2001). Web-based peer assessment: feedback for students with various thinking-styles. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 17(4), 420-432. doi: 10.1046/j.0266-4909.2001.00198.x
- Lindblom-Ylännne, S., Pihlajamäki, H., & Kotkas, T. (2006). Self-, peer- and teacher-

- assessment of student essays. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 7(1), 51-62. doi:10.1177/1469787406061148
- Lipsey, M. W., & Wilson, D. B. (1993). The efficacy of psychological, educational, and behavioral treatment: Confirmation from meta-analysis. *American Psychologist*, 48(12), 1181-1209. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.48.12.1181
- Lipsey, M. W., & Wilson, D. B. (2001). *Practical Meta-analysis*. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Liu, N., & Carless, D. (2006). Peer feedback: the learning element of peer assessment. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 11(3), 279-290. doi:10.1080/13562510600680582
- Lizzio, A., & Wilson, K. (2008). Feedback on assessment: students' perceptions of quality and effectiveness. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 33(3), 263-275. doi:10.1080/02602930701292548
- Ludemann, P. M., & McMakin, D. (2014). Perceived helpfulness of peer editing activities: First-year students' views and writing performance outcomes. *Psychology Learning & Teaching*, 13(2), 129-136. doi:10.2304/plat.2014.13.2.129
- Lundstrom, K., & Baker, W. (2009). To give is better than to receive: The benefits of peer review to the reviewer's own writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 18(1), 30-43. doi:10.1016/j.jslw.2008.06.002
- Luxton-Reilly, A. (2009). A systematic review of tools that support peer assessment. *Computer Science Education*, 19(4), 209-232. doi: 10.1080/08993400903384844
- Mawlawi Diab, N. (2011). Assessing the relationship between different types of student feedback and the quality of revised writing. *Assessing Writing*, 16(4), 274-292. doi:10.1016/j.asw.2011.08.001
- McCarthy, J. (2017). Enhancing feedback in higher education: Students' attitudes towards online and in-class formative assessment feedback models. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 18(2), 127-141. doi:10.1177/1469787417707615
- McConologue, T. (2015). Making judgements: investigating the process of composing and receiving peer feedback. *Studies in Higher Education*, 40(9), 1495-1506. doi:10.1080/030709.2013.868878
- McDowell, L. (2012). Assessment for learning. In L. Clouder, C. Broughan, S. Jewell, & G. Steventon (Eds.), *Improving student engagement and development through assessment: Theory and practice in higher education*. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- McGarr, O., & Clifford, A. M. (2013). 'Just enough to make you take it seriously': exploring students' attitudes towards peer assessment. *Higher Education*, 65(6), 677-693. doi:10.1007/s10734-012-9570-z
- Mostert, M., & Snowball, J. D. (2013). Where angels fear to tread: online peer-assessment in a large first-year class. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 38(6), 674-686. doi:10.1080/02602938.2012.683770
- Mulder, R. A., Pearce, J. M., & Baik, C. (2014). Peer review in higher education: Student perceptions before and after participation. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 15(2), 157-171. doi:10.1177/1469787414527391
- Narciss, S. (2008). Feedback strategies for interactive learning tasks. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. G. van Merriënboer, & M. P. Driscoll (Eds.), *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (3rd ed., pp. 125-143). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Nelson, M. M., & Schunn, C. D. (2009). The nature of feedback: How different types of peer

- feedback affect writing performance. *Instructional Science*, 37(4), 375-401. doi: 10.1007/s11251-008-9053-x
- Nicol, D. J., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: a model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(2), 199-218. doi:10.1080/03075070600572090
- Nicol, D. J., Thomson, A., & Breslin, C. (2014). Rethinking feedback practices in higher education: a peer review perspective. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 39(1), 102-122. doi:10.1080/02602938.2013.795518
- Norooz, O., Biemans, H., & Mulder, M. (2016). Relations between scripted online peer feedback processes and quality of written argumentative essay. *Internet and Higher Education*, 31, 20-31. doi:10.1016/j.iheduc.2016.05.002
- Novakovich, J. (2016). Fostering critical thinking and reflection through blog-mediated peer feedback. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 32(1), 16-30. doi:10.1111/jcal.12114
- OECD. (2016). *Education at a Glance 2016: OECD indicators*. Retrieved from OECD Publishing, Paris: <http://www.oecd.org/edu/education-at-a-glance-19991487.htm>
- Pajares, M. F. (1992). Teachers Beliefs and Educational Research-Cleaning up a Messy Construct. *Review of Educational Research*, 62(3), 307-332. doi:10.3102/00346543062003307
- Palmer, B., & Major, C. H. (2008). Using Reciprocal Peer Review to Help Graduate Students Develop Scholarly Writing Skills. *Journal of Faculty Development*, 22(3), 163-169.
- Patchan, M. M., Hawk, B., Stevens, C. A., & Schunn, C. D. (2013). The effects of skill diversity on commenting and revisions. *Instructional Science*, 41(2), 381-405. doi: 10.1007/s11251-012-9236-3
- Patchan, M. M., & Schunn, C. D. (2015). Understanding the benefits of providing peer feedback: How students respond to peers' texts of varying quality. *Instructional Science*. doi:10.1007/s11251-015-9353-x
- Perry, J. L., Nicholls, A. R., Clough, P. J., & Crust, L. (2015). Assessing model fit: Caveats and recommendations for confirmatory factor analysis and exploratory structural equation modeling. *Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science*, 19(1), 12-21. doi:10.1080/1091367X.2014.952370
- R Core team. (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from <http://www.r-project.org>
- Raffaghelli, J. E., Cucchiara, S., & Persico, D. (2015). Methodological approaches in MOOC research: Retracing the myth of Proteus. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 46(3), 488-509.
- Raudenbush, S. W. (2009). Analyzing effect sizes: Random-effects models. In H. Cooper, L. V. Hedges, J. C. Valentine, H. Cooper, L. V. Hedges, & J. C. Valentine (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis*, 2nd ed. (pp. 295-315). New York, NY, US: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Reich, J., Tingley, D., Leder-Luis, J., Roberts, M. E., & Stewart, B. M. (2015). Computer-assisted reading and discovery for student-generated text in massive open online courses. *Journal of Learning Analytics*, 2(1), 156-184.
- Rhoads, R. A., Sayil Camacho, M., Toven-Lindsey, B., & Berdan Lozano, J. (2015). The massive open online course movement, xMOOCs, and faculty labor. *The Review of Higher Education*, 38(3), 397-424.
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R Package for Structural Equation Modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 49(2), 1-36. doi:10.18637/lavaan_0.5-2.1

- Software*, 48(2), 36. doi:10.18637/jss.v048.i02
- Sadler, D. R. (1989). Formative Assessment and the Design of Instructional-Systems. *Instructional Science*, 18(2), 119-144. doi:10.1007/Bf00117714
- Sadler, D. R. (2010). Beyond feedback: developing student capability in complex appraisal. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 35(5), 535-550. doi:10.1080/02602930903541015
- Saito, H., & Fujita, T. (2004). Characteristics and user acceptance of peer rating in EFL writing classrooms. *Language Teaching Research*, 8(1), 31-54. doi:10.1191/1362168804lr133oa
- Sampson, V., & Walker, J. P. (2012). Argument-Driven Inquiry as a Way to Help Undergraduate Students Write to Learn by Learning to Write in Chemistry. *International Journal of Science Education*, 34(10), 1443-1485. doi:10.1080/09500693.2012.667581
- Schrivener, K. (1989). Evaluating text quality: the continuum from text-focused to reader-focused methods. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 32(4), 238-255. doi:10.1109/47.44536
- Shute, V. J. (2008). Focus on formative feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 78(1), 153-189. doi:10.3102/0034654307313795
- Sluijsmans, D. M. A. (2002). *Student involvement in assessment: The training of peer assessment skills*. (Doctoral dissertation), Open University, Heerlen, The Netherlands. Retrieved from <http://dspace.ou.nl/bitstream/1820/1034/1/dissertation%20Sluijsmans%20%202002.pdf>
- Sluijsmans, D. M. A., Brand-Gruwel, S., van Merriënboer, J. J. G., & Martens, R. L. (2004). Training teachers in peer-assessment skills: effects on performance and perceptions. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 41(1), 59-78. doi:10.1080/1470329032000172720
- Snowball, J. D., & Mostert, M. (2013). Dancing with the devil: formative peer assessment and academic performance. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 32(4), 646-659. doi:10.1080/07294360.2012.705262
- SoFoKleS. (2016). An exploration within in tertiary scientific education: The pressure to perform for scientific staff. [Verkenning in het WO: Prestatiedruk onder wetenschappelijk personeel]. Retrieved from https://www.sofokles.nl/wp-content/uploads/rapport_verkenning_prestatiedruk.pdf
- Stellmack, M. A., Keenan, N. K., Sandidge, R. R., Sippl, A. L., & Konheim-Kalkstein, Y. L. (2012). Review, revise, and resubmit: The effects of self-critique, peer review, and instructor feedback on student writing. *Teaching of Psychology*, 39(4), 235-244. doi:10.1177/0098628312456589
- Strijbos, J. W., & Sluijsmans, D. (2010). Unravelling peer assessment: Methodological, functional, and conceptual developments. *Learning and Instruction*, 20(4), 265-269. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.08.002
- Strijbos, J. W., Narciss, S., & Dünnebier, K. (2010). Peer feedback content and sender's competence level in academic writing revision tasks: Are they critical for feedback perceptions and efficiency? *Learning and Instruction*, 20(4), 291-303. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.08.008
- Taskforce Study Success (2009). *Study success [Studiesucces]*. Retrieved from Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands: <http://media.leidenuniv.nl/legacy/rapport-eindadvies-studiesucces.pdf>
- Terras, M. M., & Ramsay, J. (2015). Massive open online courses (MOOCs): Insights and

- challenges from a psychological perspective. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 46(3), 472-487.
- Topping, K. J. (1998). Peer assessment between students in colleges and universities. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(3), 249-276. doi:10.3102/00346543068003249
- Topping, K. J. (2009). Peer Assessment. *Theory into Practice*, 48(1), 20-27. doi:10.1080/00405840802577569
- Topping, K. J. (2010). Methodological quandaries in studying process and outcomes in peer assessment. *Learning and Instruction*, 20(4), 339-343. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.08.003
- Toven-Lindsey, B., Rhoads, R. A., & Berdan Lozano, J. (2015). Virtually unlimited classrooms: Pedagogical practices in massive open online courses. *Internet and Higher Education*, 24, 1-12.
- Tsai, Y.-C., & Chuang, M.-T. (2013). Fostering revision of argumentative writing through structured peer assessment. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 116(1), 210-221. doi:10.2466/10.2466/10.23.PMS.116.1.210-221
- Tsui, A. B. M., & Ng, M. (2000). Do secondary L2 writers benefit from peer comments? *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 9(2), 147-170. doi:10.1016/S1060-3743(00)00022-9
- van den Berg, I., Admiraal, W., & Pilot, A. (2006a). Design principles and outcomes of peer assessment in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(3), 341-356.
- van den Berg, I., Admiraal, W., & Pilot, A. (2006b). Peer assessment in university teaching: evaluating seven course designs. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 31(1), 19-36.
- van den Berg, I., Admiraal, W., & Pilot, A. (2006c). Designing student peer assessment in higher education: analysis of written and oral peer feedback. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 11(2), 135-147. doi:10.1080/13562510500527685
- van der Pol, J., van den Berg, B. A. M., Admiraal, W. F., & Simons, P. R. J. (2008). The nature, reception, and use of online peer feedback in higher education. *Computers & Education*, 51(4), 1804-1817. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2008.06.001
- van Gennip, N. A. E., Segers, M. S. R., & Tillemans, H. H. (2009). Peer assessment for learning from a social perspective: The influence of interpersonal variables and structural features. *Educational Research Review*, 4(1), 41-54. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2008.11.002
- van Popta, E., Kral, M., Camp, G., Martens, R. L., & Simons, P. R.-J. (2017). Exploring the value of peer feedback in online learning for the provider. *Educational Research Review*, 20, 24-34. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2016.10.003
- van Zundert, M., Sluijsmans, D., & van Merriënboer, J. (2010). Effective peer assessment processes: Research findings and future directions. *Learning and Instruction*, 20(4), 270-279. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.08.004
- VAWO. (2015). *Factsheet in response to Vision on Science 2025* [Factsheet naar aanleiding van Wetenschapsvisie 2025]. Retrieved from <https://www.vawo.nl/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/Factsheet-nav-Wetenschapsvisie-2025.pdf> <https://www.vawo.nl/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/Factsheet-nav-Wetenschapsvisie-2025.pdf>
- VAWO. (2015). *Factsheet student-staff ratio*. Retrieved from https://www.vawo.nl/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/VAWO-factsheet-student_staf_ratio.pdf
- Viechtbauer, W. (2010). Conducting Meta-Analyses in R with the metafor Package. *2010*, 36(3), 48. doi:10.18637/jss.v036.i03
- Visser-Wijnveen, G. J., van der Rijst, R. M., & van Driel, J. H. (2016). A questionnaire to capture

- students' perceptions of research integration in their courses. *Higher Education*, 71(4), 473-488. doi:10.1007/s10734-015-9918-2
- Walker, J. P., & Sampson, V. (2013). Argument-Driven Inquiry: Using the Laboratory To Improve Undergraduates' Science Writing Skills through Meaningful Science Writing, Peer-Review, and Revision. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 90(10), 1269-1274. doi:10.1021/ed300656p
- Wen, M. L., & Tsai, C. C. (2006). University students' perceptions of and attitudes toward (online) peer assessment. *Higher Education*, 51(1), 27-44. doi:10.1007/s10734-004-6375-8
- Wen, M. L., Tsai, C. C., & Chang, C. Y. (2006). Attitudes towards peer assessment: a comparison of the perspectives of pre-service and in-service teachers. *Innovations in Education & Teaching International*, 43(1), 83-92. doi:10.1080/14703290500467640
- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (2000). Expectancy-value theory of achievement motivation. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 68-81. doi:10.1006/ceps.1999.1015
- Wingate, U. (2010). The impact of formative feedback on the development of academic writing. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 35(5), 519-533. doi:10.1080/02602930903512909
- Wong, H., & Storey, P. (2006). Knowing and Doing in the ESL Writing Class. *Language Awareness*, 15(4), 283-300. doi:10.2167/la365/0
- Wright, D. B. (2006). Comparing groups in a before-after design: When t test and ANCOVA produce different results. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 76(3), 663-675. doi:10.1348/000709905X52210
- Xiao, Y., & Lucking, R. (2008). The impact of two types of peer assessment on students' performance and satisfaction within a Wiki environment. *Internet and Higher Education*, 11(3-4), 186-193. doi:10.1016/j.iheduc.2008.06.005
- Yang, M., Badger, R., & Yu, Z. (2006). A Comparative Study of Peer and Teacher Feedback in a Chinese EFL Writing Class. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 15(3), 179-200. doi:10.1016/j.jslw.2006.09.004
- Yang, Y. F., & Meng, W. T. (2013). The effects of online feedback training on students' text revision. *Language Learning & Technology*, 17(2), 220-238. Retrieved from: <http://llt.msu.edu/issues/june2013/index.html>
- Yoshizawa, S., Terano, T., & Yoshikawa, A. (2012). Assessing the Impact of Student Peer Review in Writing Instruction by Using the Normalized Compression Distance. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 55(1), 85-96. doi:10.1109/tpc.2011.2172833