



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Recognition of foreign bank resolution actions

Guo, S.

Citation

Guo, S. (2020, November 17). *Recognition of foreign bank resolution actions*. Meijers-reeks.
Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/138380>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/138380>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/138380> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Guo, S.

Title: Recognition of foreign bank resolution actions

Issue date: 2020-11-17

Recognition of Foreign Bank Resolution Actions

Recognition of Foreign Bank Resolution Actions

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 17 november 2020
klokke 15.00 uur

door

Shuai Guo

geboren te Jiangsu, China

in 1991

Promotoren: prof. dr. M. Haentjens
 prof. dr. R.D. Vriesendorp

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. S.J. Schaafsma
 prof. dr. J.L. Westbrook (The University of Texas at Austin,
 USA)
 prof. dr. J. Shi (Renmin University, Beijing, China)
 prof. dr. I. Tirado (Universidad Autónoma de Madrid,
 Spain)

This research project is funded by the China Scholarship Council (CSC) and the Leiden University Fund (LUF).

Lay-out: AlphaZet prepress, Bodegraven
Printwerk: Ipskamp Printing

© 2020 Shuai Guo

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet van 1912 gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enig andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de auteur.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission of the author.

Acknowledgements

I have received tremendous guidance and support from colleagues, friends and family members, who encouraged and helped me through this struggling yet fruitful journey. I am thankful to all of them, from whom I benefit a lot.

First of all, I give my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisors, Prof. Reinout Vriesendorp and Prof. Matthias Haentjens, who coached me with their broad knowledge and rich experience and led me to the academic world. Many thanks also go to Prof. Bob Wessels, who has always been supportive during my PhD times and provided me with a variety of research opportunities; to Prof. Qingjiang Kong, who first introduced me to the topic of bank resolution and encouraged me at the beginning to pursue an academic career; to Prof. Jay Westbrook who generously welcomed and guided me in Austin, where we had lively discussions about US law and acted as one of my doctorate committee members. I must thank also my other doctorate committee members, Prof. Jingxia Shi, Prof. Ignacio Tirado, and Prof. Sierd Schaafsma, who offered their precious time and efforts to read my manuscript and gave their insightful comments.

I am grateful to all the colleagues I met during various conferences and workshops, who provided me with valuable comments on my research. I have mentioned all their names in each chapter. I thank all my colleagues from the Private Law Institute, in particular, of the Company Law Department and Hazelhoff Centre for Financial Law, for creating a stimulating working environment. My appreciation also goes to my friends in the Netherlands, who have been a lot of help since I first arrived in Leiden, and living alone in a foreign country could not have been done without them.

Last but not least, I thank my parents, who are always confident in me and supported me financially and emotionally when I lived in the Netherlands. I am truly indebted to them, and this dissertation could never have been accomplished without their love.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV
TABLE OF CASES	XIX
 PART I INTRODUCTORY CHAPTERS	 1
1 INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 New bank resolution law and its international aspects	3
1.2 Research question	7
1.3 Terminology	9
1.4 Methodology	10
1.4.1 Positive law analysis: comparative law	11
1.4.2 Normative analysis: policy goals and legal doctrines	14
1.5 Outline	18
 2 CROSS-BORDER BANK RESOLUTION: DEFINITIONS AND SCENARIOS	 19
2.1 The concept of bank resolution	19
2.1.1 Corporate insolvency and bank insolvency	19
2.1.2 Bank resolution: a new administrative regime	23
2.1.3 Resolution within insolvency	27
2.1.4 Resolution toolbox	29
2.2 Recognition of foreign resolution actions: different scenarios	35
2.2.1 Scenarios	35
2.2.2 Single point of entry v multiple points of entry	37
2.2.3 Active recognition v passive recognition	39
 PART II COMPARATIVE STUDIES IN THE SELECTED JURISDICTIONS	 41
3 THE EU	43
3.1 Introduction	43
3.2 Regulation, supervision and resolution in the EU banking sector	44
3.2.1 Regulation and supervision	44
3.2.2 Resolution	47
3.3 Recognition of foreign resolution actions in the EU	54
3.3.1 Legal grounds for recognition	54

3.3.2	Public policy exception	66
3.4	Concluding remarks	68
4	THE US	71
4.1	Introduction	71
4.2	Regulation, supervision and resolution in the US banking sector	72
4.2.1	Regulation and supervision	72
4.2.2	Resolution	76
4.3	Recognition of foreign resolution actions in the US	82
4.3.1	Legal grounds for recognition	82
4.3.2	Public policy exception	95
4.4	Concluding remarks	98
5	CHINA	99
5.1	Introduction	99
5.2	Regulation, supervision and resolution in the Chinese banking sector	100
5.2.1	Regulation and supervision	100
5.2.2	Resolution	103
5.3	Recognition of foreign resolution actions in China	106
5.3.1	Legal grounds for recognition	106
5.3.2	Public policy exception	115
5.4	Concluding remarks	117
PART III	ANALYSIS FROM THE PERSPECTIVES OF PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW, FINANCIAL LAW AND INSOLVENCY LAW	119
6	GROUND FOR RECOGNITION	121
6.1	Introduction	121
6.2	Theoretical Framework	122
6.2.1	Recognition of foreign judgments	122
6.2.2	Recognition of foreign insolvency proceedings	130
6.2.3	Recognition of foreign resolution actions	137
6.3	Grounds for recognition in the selected jurisdictions	141
6.3.1	Prerequisites for recognition	141
6.3.2	Procedures for recognition	145
6.4	Comparison and evaluation	146
6.4.1	Should reciprocity be a pre-condition for recognition?	146
6.4.2	How should jurisdiction be determined?	148
6.4.3	What are the conditions for and effects of recognition of a foreign resolution proceeding?	154
6.4.4	What are the conditions for and effects of recognition of a foreign resolution measure?	159
6.5	Concluding remarks	169

7	FINANCIAL STABILITY AND RESOLUTION OBJECTIVES	171
7.1	Introduction	171
7.2	Theoretical framework	172
7.2.1	Financial stability as an overarching objective of international financial regulation	172
7.2.2	Financial stability and resolution objectives	183
7.3	Financial stability in the selected jurisdictions	188
7.3.1	Resolution objectives in domestic resolution	188
7.3.2	Foreign interest consideration in cross-border cases	195
7.4	Comparison and evaluation	197
7.4.1	Why should financial stability be invoked as a reason not to recognise foreign resolution actions?	197
7.4.2	How should local financial stability (and local critical functions) be interpreted?	200
7.4.3	How should national fiscal policies be evaluated?	204
7.5	Concluding remarks	209
8	CREDITORS' POSITION	211
8.1	Introduction	211
8.2	Theoretical framework	212
8.2.1	Creditors in recognition of foreign corporate insolvency proceedings	212
8.2.2	Creditors in recognition of foreign resolution measures	226
8.3	Creditors' positions in the selected jurisdictions	232
8.3.1	Equal treatment of creditors	232
8.3.2	Host creditors' interest in the recognition proceedings	236
8.4	Comparison and evaluation	237
8.4.1	How should circumstances be interpreted as discriminatory?	237
8.4.2	Should the difference in laws be as a reason to refuse to recognise or support foreign resolution measures?	240
8.4.3	Should governing law provisions be the reason to refuse to recognise or support foreign resolution actions?	253
8.5	Concluding remarks	255
	PART IV CONCLUSIONS	257
9	A FUTURE FRAMEWORK FOR RECOGNITION OF FOREIGN RESOLUTION ACTIONS	259
9.1	FSB standards and implementation in national law instruments	259
9.2	Choice of international instruments	262
9.2.1	International agreements	262

9.2.2	Model law (soft law)	266
9.2.3	Customary international law	268
9.3	Concluding remarks	272
10	CONCLUSIONS	273
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	283
	SUMMARY	303
	SAMENVATTING (SUMMARY IN DUTCH)	309
	CURRICULUM VITAE	317

List of Abbreviations

ABC	Agricultural Bank of China
AIG	American International Group
ALI	American Law Institute
AT1 Capital	Additional Tier 1 Capital
BCBS	Basel Committee on Banking Supervision
BCCI	Bank of Credit and Commerce International
BHCA	Banking Holding Company Act
BIS	Bank for International Settlements
BOC	Bank of China
BOE	Bank of England
BRI	Belt and Road Initiative
BRRD	Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive
Capital Guidance	Supervisory Guidance on Capital Instruments Innovation for Commercial Banks
Capital Rules	Measures for the Management of Capitals of Commercial Banks
CBIRC	China Banking and Insurance Regulatory Commission
CBIRRR	Commercial Bank Insolvency Risk Resolution Regulation
CBL	Commercial Bank Law
CBRC	China Banking Regulatory Commission
CCB	China Construction Bank
CCP	Central Counterparty
CET1 Capital	Common Equity Tier 1 Capital
CIL	Customary International Law
CIWUD	Directive on Reorganisation and Winding-up of Credit Institutions
CJEU	Court of Justice of the European Union
CMG	Crisis Management Group
CPL	Civil Procedural Law
CoAg	Cooperation Agreement
COMI	Centre of Main Interest
CRD	Capital Requirements Directive
CRR	Capital Requirements Regulation
CSRC	China Securities Regulatory Commission
DIF	Deposit Insurance Fund
DIFMI	Deposit Insurance Fund Management Institution
DIR	Deposit Insurance Regulation

Dodd-Frank Act	Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act
DSG	Deposit Guarantee Scheme
DSG Directive	Directive on Deposit Guarantee Schemes
EBA	European Banking Authority
EBL	Enterprise Bankruptcy Law
ECB	European Central Bank
EFTA	European Free Trade Association
EIR	European Insolvency Regulation
ESDS	European System of Financial Supervision
EIOPA	European Insurance and Occupational Pension Authority
ESCB	European System of Central Banks
ESM	European Stability Mechanism
ESMA	European Securities Markets Authority
ESRB	European Systemic Risk Board
EU	European Union
FBO	Foreign Banking Organization
FCRG	Financial Crisis Response Group
FDIA	Federal Deposit Insurance Act
FDIC	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
FDICIA	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act
Fed	Federal Reserve System
FFBR	Foreign Funded Banks Regulation
FFBRIR	Implementing Rules for the Foreign Funded Banks Regulation
FMI	Financial Market Infrastructure
FRA	Federal Reserve Act
FRB	Federal Reserve Board
FSAP	Financial Sector Assessment Program
FSB	Financial Stability Board
FSB Principles	Principles for Cross-border Effectiveness of Resolution Actions
FSDC	Financial Stability and Development Committee
FSF	Financial Stability Forum
FSI	Financial Stability Institute
FSOC	Financial Stability Oversight Council
GFC	Global Financial Crisis
G-SIB	Global Systemically Important Bank
G-SII	Global Systemically Important Institution
HCCH	Hague Conference on Private International Law
IBA	International Banking Act
IBRD	International Bank for Reconstruction and Development
ICBC	Industrial and Commercial Bank of China

ICSID	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes
ICJ	International Court of Justice
IDA	International Development Association
IFC	International Finance Corporation
IHC	Intermediate Holding Company
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IP	Insolvency Practitioner
IPU	Intermediate EU Parent Undertaking
ISDA	International Swaps and Derivatives Association
JMC	Joint Ministerial Committee
JV Bank	Joint Venture Bank
KAAM	Methodology for Assessing the Implementation of the Key Attributes of Effective Resolution for Financial Institutions in the Banking Sector
Key Attributes/KAs	Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions
LAL	Law on Application of Law for Foreign Related Civil Relationships
MIGA	Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency
MLCBI	Model Law on Cross-border Insolvency
MLCBI Guide	Guide to Enactment and Interpretation of the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-border Insolvency
MLJ	Model Law on Recognition and Enforcement of Insolvency-Related Judgments
MPE	Multiple Points of Entry
MOF	Ministry of Finance
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
NAFMII	National Association of Financial Market Institutional Investors
NBA	National Bank Act
NCA	National Currency Act
NCWO	No Creditor Worse Off Than In Liquidation
NPC	National People's Congress
NRA	National Resolution Authority
OBOR	One Belt One Road
OCC	Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
OFR	Office of Financial Research
OLA	Orderly Liquidation Authority
OTC	Office of Thrift Supervision
PBOC	People's Bank of China
PBOCL	People's Bank of China Law
QFC	Qualified Financial Contract
QFII	Qualified Foreign Institutional Investor
RRP	Recovery and Resolution Plan

RSBIL	Law on Regulation and Supervision over the Banking Industry
RWA	Risk-weighted Asset
SIFI	Systemically Important Financial Institution
SIFI Guiding Opinions	Guiding Opinions on Improving Supervision on Systemically Important Financial Institutions
SLHC	Savings and Loan Holding Company
SLHCA	Savings and Loan Holding Company Act
SPC	Supreme People's Court
SPE	Single Point of Entry
SPV	Special Purpose Vehicle
SRB	Single Resolution Board
SRF	Single Resolution Fund
SRM	Single Resolution Mechanism
SRMR	Single Resolution Mechanism Regulation
SSM	Single Supervisory Mechanism
T1 Capital	Tier 1 Capital
T2 Capital	Tier 2 Capital
TEU	Treaty on the European Union
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
TLAC	Total Loss-absorbing Capacity
UK	United Kingdom
UNCITRAL	United Nations Commission on International Trade Law
US	United States
WB	World Bank
WFO Bank	Wholly Foreign-owned Bank

Table of Cases

Austria

Austrian Constitutional Court, decision of 3 July 2015,
AT:VFGH:2015:G239.2014.

China

(2000) Fo Zhong Fa Jing Chu Zi No.663 Civil Decision.
(2005) Sui Zhong Fa Min San Chu Zi No.146 Civil Ruling.
(2012) E Wu Han Zhong Min Shang Wai Chu Zi No.00016 Civil Ruling.
(2012) Pu Min Er (Shang) Chu Zi No. 1119 Civil Judgment.
(2014) Min Si Zhong Zi No 20 Civil Ruling.
(2016) Su 01 Xie Wai Ren No.3.

CJEU

Judgment of 2 May 2006, Eurofood IFSC Ltd, C-341/04 EU:C:2006:281.
Judgment of 20 October 2011, Interedil Srl v. Fallimento Interedil Srl et al.,
C-396/ 09, EU:C:2011:671.
Judgement of 27 November 2012, Thomas Pringle v Government of Ireland
and others, C-370/12 EU:C:2012:765.
Judgment of 24 October 2013, LBI hf v Kepler Capital Markets SA and
Frédéric Giroux, C-85/12 EU:C:2013:697.
Judgment of 11 June 2015, Comité d’entreprise de Nortel Networks SA and
Others v Cosme Rogeau liquidator of Nortel Networks SA and Cosme
Rogeau liquidator of Nortel Networks SA v Alan Robert Bloom and
Others, C-649/13 EU:C:2015:384.
Judgement of 16 July 2015, Diageo Brands BV v Simiramida-04 EOOD,
C-681/13 EU:C:2015:471.
Judgment of 19 July 2016, Tadej Kotnik and Others v Državni zbor
Republike Slovenije, C-526/14 EU:C:2016:570.

EFTA

Judgment of EFTA Court, EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland, E-16/11,
28 January 2013.

Germany

Bayern LB v Hypo Alpe Adria (HETA), Regional Court Munich I, Judgment
of 8 May 2015, 32 O 26502/12.
Bayern LB v Hypo Alpe Adria (HETA), Higher Court of Munich, Judgment
of 25 June 2018, 17 U 2168/15.

Hong Kong

Chiyu Banking Corp. Ltd. v. Chan Tin Kwun, [1996] S H.K.L.R. 395, 399 (H.C.).

The Netherlands

ECLI:NL:HR: 2013: BZ5668.

ECLI:NL:HR:2019: 54.

Singapore

In the Matter of Zetta Jet Pet. Ltd. and Zetta Jet USA, Inc [2019] SGHC 53.

United Kingdom

Adams v National Bank of Greece S.A. [1960] 3 W.L.R. 8; [1961] A.C. 255 (HL).
Antony Gibbs & Sons v La Société Industrielle et Commerciale des Métaux
(1890) 25 Q.B.D. 399 (CA).

Bank of Credit and Commerce International S.A. (No.10), In re [1997] Ch. 213.
Cambridge Gas Transport Corp v Official Committee of Unsecured
Creditors of Navigator Holdings Plc [2006] UKPC 26; [2007] 1 AC 508
(PC, IoM).

Clarke v Fennoscandia Ltd [2007] UKHL 56.

Collins & Aikman Europe SA, Re [2006] EWCH 1343 (Ch); [2006] B.C.C.

Guardians of New Zealand Superannuation Fund & Ors v Novo Banco SA,
Goldman Sachs International v Novo Banco SA [2016] EWCA Civ 1092,
[2016] 2 CLC 690.

Goldman Sachs International v Novo Banco SA, Guardians of New
Zealand Superannuation Fund & Ors v Novo Banco SA [2015] EWHC
2371 (Comm), [2015] 2 CLC 475.

Goldman Sachs International v Novo Banco SA, Guardians of New Zealand
Superannuation Fund & Ors v Novo Banco SA [2018] UKSC 34, [2018]
1 WLR 3683.

HIH Casualty & General Insurance Ltd, Re [2008] UKHL 21.

Holman v Johnson (1775) 1 Cowper 341, 98 E.R. 1120.

McCartney, Re [1921] 1 Ch. 522.

National Bank of Greece and Athens S.A. v Metliss [1957] 3 W.L.R. 1056;
[1958] A.C. 509 (HL).

Nouvion v Freeman (1889) 15 App. Cas. 1.

OJSC International Bank of Azerbaijan, Re [2018] EWCA Civ 2802, [2018]
12 WLK 286 [29], on appeal from [2018] EWHC 59 (Ch).

Rubin v Eurofinance SA [2012] UKSC 46 [30]; [2013] 1 A.C. 235 (SC), on
appeal from [2010] EWCA Civ 895 and [2011] EWCA Civ 971.

Schibbsby v Westenholz [1870] L.R. 6 Q.B. 155.

Secretary of State for Trade and Industry v Frid [2004] 2 A.C. 506 (HL).

Singularis Holdings v PricewaterhouseCoopers [2014] UKPC 36; [2015]
A.C. 1675.

Stein v Blake [1996] A.C. 243 (HL).

Trendtex Trading Corp v Central Bank of Nigeria [1977] QB 529, [2977]
2 WLR 356, [1977] 1 ALL ER 881.

Videology Limited, Re [2018] EWHC 2186 (Ch).

Westfal-Larsen AS v Ikerigi Naviera SA [1983] 1 All E.R. 382.

United States

- ABC Learning Ctrs., In re, 728 F.3d 301 (3d Cir. 2013).
- Agrokor, In re, 591 B.R. 163 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2018).
- Allstate Insurance Co. v. Hughes, 174 B.R. 884 (S.D.N.Y. 1994).
- Arcapita Bank B.S.C. (c), In re, 575 B.R. 229 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2007).
- Aria Inc v Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank [2014] EWHC 872.
- Artimm S.r.L., In re, 335 B.R. 149 (Bankr.C.D.Cal. 2005).
- Ashapura Minechem Ltd., In re, 480 B.R. 129 (S.D. N.Y. 2012).
- Assoc. of St. Croix Condo. Owners v. St. Croix Hotel Corp., 682 F.2d 446, 448 (3d Cir.1982).
- Atlas Shipping A/S, In re, 404 B.R. 726 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009).
- Avanti Commc’n Grp. PLC, In re, 582 B.R. 603 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2018).
- AWAL Banking, In re, 455 B.R. 73 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2011).
- Bear Sterns High-Grade Structured Credit Strategies Master Fund, Ltd., In re, 375 B.R. 122 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2007).
- Bear Sterns High-Grade Structured Credit Strategies Master Fund, Ltd., In re, 389 B.R. 325 (S.D.N.Y. 2008).
- Betcorp. Ltd., In re, 400 B.R. 266, 290 (Bankr.D.Nev. 2009).
- Board of Directors of Hopewell International Insurance Ltd., In re, 238 B.R. 25 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 1999).
- Board of Directors of Hopewell International Insurance Ltd., In re, 375 B.R. 699 (S.D.N.Y. 2002).
- Board of Directors of Multicanal S.A., In re, 314 B.R. 486, 505 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2004).
- Board of Directors of Multicanal S.A., In re, 331 B.R. 537 (S.D.N.Y. 2005).
- British American Ins Co Ltd, In re, 425 B.R. 884 (Bankr.S.D.Fla. 2010).
- British American Insurance Co. Ltd, In re, 488 B.R. 205 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 2013).
- British American Isle of Venice (BVI), Ltd., In re, 441 B.R. 713 (Bankr.S.D.Fla. 2010).
- Brown, In re, 354 B.R. 591 (D.R.I. 2006).
- Canada Southern Ry. Co. v. Gebhard, 109 U.S. 527 (1883).
- Commodore International, Ltd, 242 B.R. 243 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1999).
- Cowans v Ticonderoga Pulp and Paper Co., 219 App. Div. 120, 219 N.Y. Supp. 284, aff’d, 246 N.Y. 603, 159 N.E. 669 (1927).
- Cozumel Caribe S.A. de C.V., In re, 482 B.R. 96, 114-115 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2012).
- Creative Finance Ltd., In re, 543 B.R. 498 (Bankr. S.D. N.Y. 2016).
- Elpida Memory Inc., In re, 2012 WL 6090194, 4 (Bankr. D. Del. 2012).
- ENNIA Caribe Holding N.V., In re, 594 B.R. 631 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2018).
- Ephedra Products Liability Litigation, In re, 349 B.R. 333 (S.D.N.Y. 2006).
- Erie Railroad v Tompkins, 304 U.S. 64 (1938).
- Fairfield Sentry, In re, 400 B.R. 60 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2010).
- Fairfield Sentry, In re, 714 F.3d 127 (2d Cir. 2013).
- Fairfield Sentry, In re, 768 F.3d 239 (2nd Cir. 2014).
- Hilton v Guyot, 159 U.S. 113 (1895).
- Gold & Honey, Ltd., In re, 410 B.R. 357 (Bankr.E.D.N.Y. 2009).

- International Banking Corp., In re, 439 B.R. 614 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2010).
Ionica PLC, In re, 241 B.R. 829 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1999).
Iridium Operating LLC, In re, 285 B.R. 822 (S.D.N.Y. 2002).
Irish Bank Resolution Corporation Ltd., In re, 538 B.R. 692 (D. Del. 2015).
Johnson v. Compagnie Generale Transatlantique, 242 N.Y. 381, 152 N.E. 121 (1926).
Kemsley, In re, 489 B.R. 436 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2013).
Lee, In re, 472 B.R. 156 (Bankr.D.Mass. 2012).
Lida, In re, 377 B.R. 243 (9th Cir. BAP 2007).
Loy, In re, 432 B.R. 551 (E.D. Va. 2010).
Manley Toys Limited, In re, 580 B.R. 632 (Bankr.D.N.J. 2018).
Metcalf & Mansfield Alternative Investments, In re, 421 B.R. 685 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2010).
Millennium Global Emerging Credit Master Fund Ltd., In re, 458 B.R. 63 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2011).
Millennium Global Emerging Credit Master Fund Ltd., In re, 474 B.R. 88 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).
Mujica v. AirScan Inc., 771 F.3d 580 (9th Cir. 2014).
OAS S.A., In re, 533 B.R. 83 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2015).
Oi Brasil Holdings Coöperatief U.A., In re, 578 B.R. 169 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2017).
Paine v Schenectady Ins. Co., 11 R.I. 411, 412 (R.I. Sup. Ct. 1876).
Parklane Hosiery Co. v. Shore, 439 U.S. 322 (1979).
Petroforte Brasileiro de Petroleo Ltda., In re, 542 B.R. 899 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 2015).
Platinum Partners Value Arbitrage Fund L.P., In re, 583 B.R. 308 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2018).
Qimonda AG, In re, 433 B.R. 547 (E.D.Va. 2010).
Qimonda AG, In re, 462 B.R. 165 (Bankr.E.D.Va. 2011).
Qui Financing LLC v Dellar, 2013 WL 5568732 (S.D.N.Y.2013).
Ran, In re, 607 F.3d 1017 (5th Cir. 2010).
Rede Energia S.A., In re, 515 B.R. 69 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2014).
Sivec SRL, In re, 2011 WL 3651250 (Bankr.E.D.Okla. 2011).
SPhinX Ltd., In re, 351 B.R. 103 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2006).
SPhinX, Ltd., In re, 371 B.R. 10 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2007).
SunEdison, Inc., In re, 577 B.R. 120 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2017).
Toft, In re, 453 B.R. 186 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y. 2011).
Tradex Swiss AG, In re, 384 B.R. 34 (Bankr.D. Mass. 2008).
Tri-Cont'l Exch. Ltd., In re, 349 B.R. 627 (Bankr.E.D.Cal. 2006).
Vitro, SAB de CV, In re, 473 B.R. 117, 132 (Bankr.N.D.Tex. 2012).
Vitro, SAB de CV, In re, 701 F.3d 1031 (5th Cir. 2012).
Zhejiang Topoint Photovoltaic Co., Ltd., In re, case 14-24549 (Bankr.D.N.J. Aug 12, 2014).