



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Generalized strictly periodic scheduling analysis, resource optimization, and implementation of adaptive streaming applications

Niknam, S.

Citation

Niknam, S. (2020, August 25). *Generalized strictly periodic scheduling analysis, resource optimization, and implementation of adaptive streaming applications*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/135946>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/135946>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/135946> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Niknam, S.

Title: Generalized strictly periodic scheduling analysis, resource optimization, and implementation of adaptive streaming applications

Issue Date: 2020-08-25

**Generalized Strictly Periodic Scheduling Analysis,
Resource Optimization, and Implementation of
Adaptive Streaming Applications**

Sobhan Niknam

Generalized Strictly Periodic Scheduling Analysis, Resource Optimization, and Implementation of Adaptive Streaming Applications

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus Prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 25 augustus 2020
klokke 15:00 uur

door

Sobhan Niknam
geboren te Tehran, Iran
in 1990

Promotor:	Dr. Todor Stefanov	Universiteit Leiden
Second-Promotor:	Prof. dr. Harry Wijshoff	Universiteit Leiden
Promotion Committee:	Prof. dr. Akash Kumar Prof. dr. Jeroen Voeten Prof. dr. Paul Havinga Prof. dr. Frank de Boer Prof. dr. Aske Plaat Prof. dr. Marcello Bonsangue	TU Dresden TU Eindhoven Universiteit Twente Universiteit Leiden Universiteit Leiden Universiteit Leiden

The research was supported by NWO under project number 12695 (CPS-3).

Generalized Strictly Periodic Scheduling Analysis, Resource Optimization,
and Implementation of Adaptive Streaming Applications

Sobhan Niknam. -

Dissertation Universiteit Leiden. - With ref. - With summary in Dutch.

Copyright © 2020 by Sobhan Niknam. All rights reserved.

This dissertation was typeset using L^AT_EX.

ISBN: 978-90-9033402-8

Printed by IJskamp Printing, Enschede.

To my family

Contents

Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xv
List of Abbreviations	xvii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Design Requirements for Embedded Streaming Systems	2
1.2 Trends in Embedded Streaming Systems Design	4
1.2.1 Multi-Processor System-on-Chip (MPSoC)	4
1.2.2 Model-based Design	6
1.3 Two Important Design Challenges	8
1.4 Research Questions	9
1.4.1 Phase 1: Analysis	10
1.4.2 Phase 2: Resource Optimization	11
1.4.3 Phase 3: Implementation	12
1.5 Research Contributions	13
1.5.1 Generalized Strictly Periodic Scheduling Framework .	13
1.5.2 Algorithm to Find an Alternative Application Task Graph for Efficient Utilization of Processors	13
1.5.3 Energy-Efficient Periodic Scheduling Approach	14
1.5.4 MADF Implementation and Execution Approach	14
1.6 Thesis Outline	15
2 Background	17
2.1 Dataflow Models of Computation	18
2.1.1 Cyclo-Static/Synchronous Data Flow (CSDF/SDF) .	18
2.1.2 Mode-Aware Data Flow (MADF)	20

2.2	Real-Time Scheduling Theory	23
2.2.1	System Model	23
2.2.2	Real-Time Periodic Task Model	23
2.2.3	Real-Time Scheduling Algorithms	24
2.3	HRT Scheduling of Acyclic CSDF Graphs	28
2.4	HRT Scheduling of MADF Graphs	30
3	Hard Real-Time Scheduling of Cyclic CSDF Graphs	35
3.1	Problem Statement	35
3.2	Contributions	36
3.3	Related Work	37
3.4	Motivational Example	38
3.5	Our Proposed Framework	40
3.5.1	Existence of a Strictly Periodic Schedule	41
3.5.2	Deriving Period, Earliest Start Time, and Deadline of Tasks	45
3.6	Experimental Evaluation	46
3.7	Conclusions	49
4	Exploiting Parallelism in Applications to Efficiently Utilize Processors	51
4.1	Problem Statement	52
4.2	Contributions	53
4.3	Related Work	54
4.4	Background	57
4.4.1	Unfolding Transformation of SDF Graphs	57
4.4.2	System Model	58
4.5	Motivational Example	59
4.6	Proposed Algorithm	63
4.7	Experimental Evaluation	67
4.7.1	Homogeneous platform	70
4.7.2	Heterogeneous platform	73
4.8	Conclusions	76
5	Energy-Efficient Scheduling of Streaming Applications	77
5.1	Problem Statement	77
5.2	Contributions	78
5.3	Related Work	79
5.4	Background	80
5.4.1	System Model	81
5.4.2	Power Model	81

5.5	Motivational Example	81
5.5.1	Applying VFS Similar to Related Works	82
5.5.2	Our Proposed Scheduling Approach	84
5.6	Proposed Scheduling Approach	87
5.6.1	Determining Operating Modes	91
5.6.2	Switching Costs $\sigma_{HL}, \sigma_{LH}, e_{HL}, e_{LH}$	92
5.6.3	Computing Q_H and Q_L	95
5.6.4	Memory Overhead	96
5.7	Experimental Evaluation	98
5.7.1	Experimental Setup	98
5.7.2	Experimental Results	99
5.8	Conclusions	102
6	Implementation and Execution of Adaptive Streaming Applications	103
6.1	Problem Statement	104
6.2	Contributions	104
6.3	Related Work	105
6.4	K-Periodic Schedules (K-PS)	106
6.5	Extension of the MOO Transition Protocol	107
6.6	Implementation and Execution Approach for MADF	110
6.6.1	Generic Parallel Implementation and Execution Approach	110
6.6.2	Demonstration of Our Approach on LITMUS ^{RT}	112
6.7	Case Studies	115
6.7.1	Case Study 1	116
6.7.2	Case Study 2	119
6.8	Conclusions	122
7	Summary and Conclusions	123
Bibliography		127
Summary		137
Samenvatting		139
List of Publications		141
Curriculum Vitae		143
Acknowledgments		145

List of Figures

1.1	Samsung Exynos 5422 MPSoC [70].	6
1.2	Overview of the research questions and contributions in this thesis using a design flow.	10
2.1	Example of an MADF graph (G_1).	20
2.2	Two modes of the MADF graph in Figure 2.1.	20
2.3	Execution of two iterations of both modes SI ¹ and SI ² . (a) Mode SI ¹ in Figure 2.2(a). (b) Mode SI ² in Figure 2.2(b).	22
2.4	Execution of graph G_1 with two mode transitions under the MOO protocol.	22
2.5	Execution of graph G_1 with a mode transition from mode SI ² to mode SI ¹ under the MOO protocol and the SPS framework.	31
2.6	Execution of graph G_1 with a mode transition from mode SI ² to mode SI ¹ under the MOO protocol and the SPS framework with task allocation on two processors.	33
3.1	A cyclic CSDF graph G . The backward edge E_5 in G has 2 initial tokens that are represented with black dots.	39
3.2	The <i>SPS</i> of the CSDF graph G in Figure 3.1 without considering the backward edge E_5 . Up arrows are job releases and down arrows job deadlines.	39
3.3	The <i>GSPS</i> of the CSDF graph G in Figure 3.1.	40
3.4	Production and consumption curves on edge $E_u = (A_i, A_j)$	41
4.1	An SDF graph G	58
4.2	Equivalent CSDF graphs of the SDF graph G in Figure 4.1 obtained by (a) replicating actor A_5 by factor 2 and (b) replicating actors A_3 and A_4 by factor 2.	58

4.3	A strictly periodic execution of tasks corresponding to the actors in: (a) the SDF graph G in Figure 4.1 and (b) the CSDF graph G' in Figure 4.2(a). The x-axis represents the time.	60
4.4	Memory and latency reduction of our algorithm compared to the related approach with the same number of processors.	71
4.5	Total number of task replications needed by FFD-EP and our proposed algorithm.	72
4.6	Memory and latency reduction of our algorithm compared to EDF-sh [92] for real-life applications on different heterogeneous platforms.	74
5.1	An SDF graph G	82
5.2	The (a) SPS and (b) scaled SPS of the (C)SDF graph G in Figure 5.1. Up arrows represent job releases, down arrows represent job deadlines. Dotted rectangles show the increase of the tasks execution time when using the VFS mechanism.	83
5.3	Our proposed periodic schedule of graph G in Figure 5.1. In this schedule, graph G periodically executes according to schedules of operating mode SI^1 and operating mode SI^2 in Figure 5.2(a) and Figure 5.2(b), respectively. Note that this schedule repeats periodically. $o_{12} = 5$ and $o_{21} = 0$	86
5.4	Normalized energy consumption of the scaled scheduling and our proposed scheduling of the graph G in Figure 5.1 for a wide range of throughput requirements.	87
5.5	(a) Switching scheme, (b) Associated energy consumption of the switching scheme and (c) Token production function $Z(t)$	88
5.6	Input and Output buffers.	90
5.7	Token consumption function $Z'(t)$. Note that, $o_{HL} + o_{LH} = o'_{HL} + o'_{LH} = \delta^{H \rightarrow L} + \delta^{L \rightarrow H}$	97
5.8	Normalized energy consumption vs. throughput requirements.	100
5.9	Total buffer sizes needed in our scheduling approach for different applications. Note that the y axis has a logarithmic scale.	101
6.1	(a) An MADF graph G_1 (taken from Section 2.1.2). (b) The allocation of actors in graph G_1 on four processors.	108
6.2	Two modes of graph G_1 in Figure 2.1 (taken from Section 2.1.2 with modified WCET of the actors).	108
6.3	Execution of both modes SI^1 and SI^2 under a K-PS.	109

6.4	Execution of G_1 with two mode transitions under (a) the MOO protocol, and (b) the extended MOO protocol with the allocation shown in Figure 6.1(b).	109
6.5	Mode transition of G_1 from mode SI^2 to mode SI^1 (from (a) to (f)). The control actor and the control edges are omitted in figures (b) to (f) to avoid cluttering.	111
6.6	MADF graph of the Vocoder application.	117
6.7	The execution time of control actor A_c for applications with different numbers of actors.	119
6.8	CSDF graph of MJPEG encoder.	120
6.9	(a) The video frame production of the MJPEG encoder application over time for the throughput requirement of 5.2 frames/second. (b) Normalized energy consumption of the application for different throughput requirements.	121

List of Tables

2.1	Summary of mathematical notations.	17
3.1	Benchmarks used for evaluation.	47
3.2	Comparison of different scheduling frameworks.	48
4.1	Throughput \mathcal{R} (1/time units), latency \mathcal{L} (time units), memory requirements \mathcal{M} (bytes), and number of processors m for G under different scheduling/allocation approaches.	63
4.2	Benchmarks used for evaluation taken from [23].	68
4.3	Comparison of different scheduling/allocation approaches. .	69
4.4	Runtime (in seconds) comparison of different scheduling/allocation approaches.	73
5.1	Operating modes for graph G	85
5.2	Benchmarks used for evaluation.	99
6.1	Performance results of each individual mode of Vocoder. . . .	116
6.2	Performance results for all mode transitions of Vocoder (in ms). .	118
6.3	The specification of modes SI ¹ and SI ² in MJPEG encoder application	121

List of Abbreviations

BFD	Best-Fit Decreasing
CDP	Constrained-Deadline Periodic
CSDF	Cyclo-Static Data Flow
DSE	Design Space Exploration
DVFS	Dynamic VFS
EDF	Earliest Deadline First
EE	Energy Efficient
FFD	First-Fit Decreasing
FFID-EDF	First-Fit Increasing Deadlines EDF
FIFO	First-In First-Out
GSPS	Generalized Strictly Periodic Scheduling
HRT	Hard Real-Time
IDP	Implicit-Deadline Periodic
MADF	Mode-Aware Data Flow
MCR	Mode Change Request
MoC	Model of Computation
MOO	Maximum-Overlap Offset
MPSoC	Multi-Processor System-on-Chip

PE	Performance Efficient
RM	Rate Monotonic
RTOS	Real-time Operating System
SDF	Synchronous Data Flow
SPS	Strictly Periodic Scheduling
SRT	Soft Real-Time
TDP	Thermal Design Power
VFS	Voltage-Frequency Scaling
WCET	Worst-Case Execution Time
WFD	Worst-Fit Decreasing