

A grammar of Nchane: A Bantoid (Beboid) language of Cameroon Boutwell, R.L.

#### Citation

Boutwell, R. L. (2020, June 30). *A grammar of Nchane: A Bantoid (Beboid) language of Cameroon. LOT dissertation series.* LOT, Amsterdam. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/123113

Version: Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/1887/123113">https://hdl.handle.net/1887/123113</a>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

### Cover Page



# Universiteit Leiden



The handle <a href="http://hdl.handle.net/1887/123113">http://hdl.handle.net/1887/123113</a> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Boutwell, R.L.

Title: A grammar of Nchane: A Bantoid (Beboid) language of Cameroon

**Issue Date**: 2020-06-30

## Chapter 15

## **Negation**

Negative constructions in Nchane display a fair amount of variety, which presents a challenge for description. This chapter attempts to capture the generalities that have been observed, as well as point out some of the finer details which appear to be related to motivating different negation construction strategies.

Negative clauses utilize one or more negation words, which are summarized in Table 15.1. The negative marker  $g\bar{\epsilon}$  'NEG2' appears in all negative clause constructions, usually in clause-final position. Note that the tense and aspect "contexts" for the negation markers  $b\acute{a}$  'still' and  $\acute{a}$  'NEG1' as provided in the table are approximations and not absolute, since the actual situation is more complicated. More details are provided in §15.2.

Marker	Gloss	Context
gē	NEG2	multiple
bá	still	preverbal (+PAST, -PROG)
á	NEG1	clause initial (-PAST)
kéf̄ε	VET	clause initial (+IMP)

Table 15.1 Summary of Nchane negation markers.

322 Negation

Negation constructions may be divided into two types, depending on the target or scope of the negation. Constituent negation is described in §15.1 and clausal negation in §15.2. Triply marked negative constructions are presented in §15.3. The final section (15.4) deals with negative commands, which are designated as "vetitive" constructions.

### **15.1** Constituent negation

Negative constructions, in which the target of the negation is a clausal constituent, utilize a discontinuous marker consisting of two instances of  $g\bar{\epsilon}$  'NEG2'. The first  $g\bar{\epsilon}$  immediately precedes the negated constituent; the second  $g\bar{\epsilon}$  occurs at the end of the clause. The NEG2 marker is usually realized in clause-final position with a L tone. Note that it has the alternative pronunciation of  $[k\bar{\epsilon}]$ , particularly in non-clause final position. The framing construction is summarized in Figure 15.1.

$$g\bar{\epsilon} x...g\grave{\epsilon}$$

Figure 15.1 Formula for Nchane constituent negation constructions.

The ellipsis in the formula represents any elements which canonically follow the negated constituent "x". This includes the verb complex, in the case of subject negation. Presumably, any clausal constituent may be negated utilizing this strategy. Examples (15.1)-(15.3) demonstrate subject, object and comitative oblique negation respectively.

- (15.1)  $\mathbf{g}\hat{\mathbf{\epsilon}}$  fy- $\bar{\mathbf{\epsilon}}$ : fī-mì nùmè yú NEG2 c19-thing c19-some COP(N) on.it
  - fí yàg-é bvù-gù fēnē fè-kū  $\mathbf{g}$ è c19rel surpass-prog c14-marriage here c16-down NEG2
  - '...no other thing exists that is greater than (lit. surpassing) marriage here on earth.' Marriage.3.1
- (15.2) mū-nı́ gè ná-á **gē** bà-nkè **gè** c18a-bird P3 give-PROG NEG2 c2-song NEG2

'Birds were not singing.' (lit. giving no songs) Lake.6.1

(15.3)'nδ wù gè bé 32 bέ bvù-ηgà gὲ, like.that 3s<sub>G</sub> Р3 PCOP NEG2 with c14-power NEG2

'Although he was very weak...' (lit. as he was not with power) Lake.6.5

The text data also provide examples of negation of complement clauses, as in (15.4).

```
(15.4)
           kī-fē
                                    gē
                     shégé,
                                                 wé,
                              wù
                                        jā
                                                       wù
                                                             рũ
                                                                      gē
           c7-time
                     small
                              3sg
                                    Р3
                                         stand
                                                       3sg
                                                            COP(N)
                                                                     NEG2
                                    gē
          nà
                    wú
                          vέnέ
          like.that
                    3sg
                          breathe
                                    NEG2
          'Sometime later, he awoke, unable to breathe.' (lit. he being not like
          that he breathe)
                                                                         Lake.4.3
```

### 15.2 Clausal negation

As with constituent negation, the negation of clauses also involves discontinuous negative marking. However, unlike with constituent negation, clausal negation is sensitive to TAM expression, resulting in two distinct negation strategies, which are summarized in Figure 15.2. For the sake of convenience, I refer to the first strategy as the "bá-strategy" and the second strategy as the "á-strategy".

Figure 15.2 Formulas for Nchane clausal negation constructions.

The  $b\acute{a}$ -strategy involves the auxiliary  $b\acute{a}$  or  $b\acute{a}$ : 'still', which immediately precedes the verb core and does not allow subject agreement to intervene between it and the main verb, although it is itself marked with subject agreement when the subject is 1sG. Tense markers, when present, precede the negative marker. For these reasons, I consider  $b\acute{a}$  as belonging to the verb complex. Data is lacking that would establish the ordering of  $b\acute{a}$  and preverbal TAM markers other than tense.

In the  $\acute{a}$ -strategy, the negative marker  $\acute{a}$  'NEG1' occurs in the clause-initial position. A suitable candidate for the source of NEG1 has not yet been identified. As Figure 15.2 indicates, the  $b\acute{a}$ -strategy is used only with past, non-progressive constructions and the  $\acute{a}$ -strategy elsewhere. The NEG2 marker  $g\bar{\epsilon}$  occurs in the clause-final position in both strategies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> The neighboring Beboid language Noni makes the same distinction, with +PAST, -PROG clauses utilizing the negative marker **bá** ~**bá**: in the preverbal position, and +PROG clauses utilizing the negative marker **k** $\acute{\epsilon}$  in clause initial position (Hyman 1981: 57, 60). The nearby Yemne-Kimbi language Mungbam also makes a distinction between two categories of clauses in negative clause formation. However, in this language, the distinction is Realis vs. Irrealis, and differentiates the two by utilizing different negative markers for each distinct type, with comparable syntax in each (Lovegren 2013: 417).

324 Negation

The association of 'still' in negative constructions aligns with the notion of "phasal polarity expressions", where the negative counterpart of the "still" expression is the "no longer" expression or the "not yet" expression. Löfgren (2019) shows that it is not uncommon for Bantu languages to utilize such phasal polarity expression systems, where at least one positive term appears with and without negation markers to establish such a contrastive set of expressions.

The two strategies for clausal negation constructions are illustrated through an elicited TAM paradigm presented in Table 15.2, with the phrase "Nji catches ( $\mathbf{k}\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ )" termites ( $\bar{\mathbf{\eta}}\mathbf{g}\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ )" serving as the base. It can be seen that the object in the  $\mathbf{b}\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ -strategy obligatorily occurs in the immediately-before-verb position, although not in triple negative constructions (see §15.3). Presumably, this allows NEG2 to occur in the immediately after verb position associated with canonical focus. Meanwhile, the object appears *in situ* in the  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ -strategy. <sup>102</sup>

Tense	Example	Gloss
РО	ὴjì <b>bá</b> g ŋgō kō <b>gὲ</b>	'Nji didn't catch termites.'
Р1	ὴjì bé <b>bá</b> ౖ ҧ̃gō kō <b>gὲ</b>	'Nji didn't catch termites.'
Р2	pìjì chí <b>bá</b> ŋgō kō gè	'Nji didn't catch termites.'
Р3	ὴjì gẽ <b>bá</b> ŋ̄gō kō <b>gὲ</b>	'Nji didn't catch termites.'
PROG	<b>á</b> ήjì kōd-è ŋ̃gō <b>gὲ</b>	'Nji isn't catching termites.'
FUT	á ŋjī kō ŋgō gè	'Nji will not catch termites.'
HAB	<b>á</b> ɲ̀jì tó wù kô ŋ̄gō <b>gὲ</b>	'Nji doesn't catch termites.'

Table 15.2 Clausal negation strategies illustrated through a TAM paradigm.

Note that the position of the object in negative clauses is slightly different from object defocalization observed in affirmative clauses. In negative constructions, the object occurs between the negative marker  $\mathbf{b}\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  and the main verb, while in affirmative clauses the defocalized object precedes the entire verb complex. See §16.3.1 for more details.

 $<sup>^{101}</sup>$  Nchane uses the same term "still" for both, "not yet" and "no longer" expressions, a phenomenon observed by Kramer (2017: 6) for some languages.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> It appears that future tense marking in the future example in Table 15.2 is neutralized. Regardless, this clause may not be confused with an immediate past reading, since the corresponding PO clause utilizes the negative marking associated with the **bá**-strategy.

Example (15.5) is a text example illustrating a preverbal object in a non-progressive negative construction.

(15.5) Ø-lá chí-mì, bā gè **bá**: fy- $\hat{\epsilon}$ : fó bús $\bar{\epsilon}$  **g** $\bar{\epsilon}$  c5-compound c5-some they P3 still c19-thing there remove NEG2 'In the other compound, they did not remove anything.'

As mentioned above, clauses with progressive verbs utilize the  $\acute{a}$ -strategy, as in (15.6).

(15.6) **á** ýjì chí kūŋ-è Ø-nà **g**ề

NEG1 N. P2 drive.away-PROG c1-cow NEG2

'Nji was not driving the cow away (yesterday).'

The Progressive suffix is realized with a low tone, just as in constructions with a preverbal grammatical high-toned element, such as Hortative and Durative, which was discussed in §§9.1.2 and 9.2.

Although it is typical for non-progressive past clauses to utilize  $\mathbf{b}\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  rather than  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ , there is at least one exception worth noting. Examples (15.7) and (15.8) both show the  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ -strategy being utilized with non-progressive past clauses. However, both clauses are focus constructions. Example (15.7) is a postverbal agent focus clause with the pronoun  $\mathbf{w}\hat{\mathbf{u}}$  in focus and example (15.8) shows focus on the applied object  $\mathbf{s}\mathbf{h}\bar{\mathbf{l}}\bar{\mathbf{e}}$  through the use of the focusing copula  $\mathbf{p}\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ .

- (15.7)(ŋgáŋ), á bvū-l̄ε: bé ná wù shī 1ē gὲ no NEG1 c14-fufu Р1 give 3s<sub>G</sub> c9.chicken NEG2 '(No), HE did not give the chicken fufu (someone else did).'
- (15.8)wù bé bvū-l̄ε: 1ē gὲ μá рũ shī NEG1 3s<sub>G</sub> give c14-fufu COP(N) c9.chicken NEG2 'He did not give THE CHICKEN fufu.' (he gave it to THE DOG, for example)

Therefore, to the elements characterizing clauses which utilize the **bá**-strategy, we should add that any kind of formal focus marking is absent. Note that no examples of a clause with a Progressive main verb utilizing the **bá**-strategy appear in the data.

326 Negation

Sometimes, the initial negation marker is omitted, as in (15.9) and (15.10). However, the high tone associated with the negation marker usually remains and is realized on the subject element preceding the verb.

```
(15.9) bá-mí gὲ jèm-é gὲ c2-person.NEG P3 talk-PROG NEG2

'No people were talking.' Lake.6.11
```

(15.10) ń-tēm-è  $\mathbf{g}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ 1SG.NEG-strong-PROG NEG2

This phenomenon suggests that the language may be in the early stages of losing the initial negative marker, as predicted by the Jespersen cycle (see Jespersen (1917), and Devos and van der Auwera (2013) more specifically for this phenomenon among African languages), which is also relevant to the following section on triple negation constructions.

### 15.3 Triple negation constructions

Clauses with three negative markers are neither common nor rare in the text data. They always consist of the non-progressive negative marker  $\mathbf{b}\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ , a NEG2 marker preceding a negated constituent and a clause-final NEG2. Examples (15.11) and (15.12) are given to illustrate.

```
(15.11)
          ñsá
                      y-é
                                                      bá:
                                                            yēyè
                                                                   gē
          c10.friend
                      c10-3sg.poss
                                     c10-ana1
                                                 Р3
                                                      still
                                                            learn
                                                                   NEG2
          fy-ε:
                      fī-mī
                                 gὲ
          c19-thing
                      c19-some
                                 NEG2
```

'Those his friends did not learn anything (from the man's death).'
Greedy Friends.1.7

```
(15.12)
                            yēη
                                          bī-bāgē
                                                      lé
                                                             gὲ,
          wù
                gὲ
                      bá:
                                  gē
                Р3
                      still
                                          c8-wound
                                                             NEG2
          3SG
                            see
                                  NEG2
                                                      APPL
           'He saw no injuries...'
                                                                           Lake.6.3
```

Note that the Object in these triple negation constructions remains in situ.

15.4 Vetitive 327

### 15.4 Vetitive

Prohibitions or negative commands utilize the clause-initial vetitive marker  $\mathbf{k} \acute{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{\bar{e}}$ , as in (15.13)-(15.15).<sup>103</sup> In addition to the clause-final NEG2 marker, a second-person pronoun is always present and realized with a high tone. As with the  $\acute{\mathbf{a}}$ -strategy clausal negation constructions, the progressive suffix in Vetitive constructions is realized as low.

```
(15.13)
          Ø-jwa:,
                                kéf̄ε
                                              fàn-è
                                                         fy-ε:
                                       wś
                                                                     gē,
          c1-husband.1sg.poss
                                       2sG
                                              fear-PROG
                                                        c19-thing
                                                                    NEG2
                           уú
          m-bà:
                     1é
          c6a-soup
                     COP
                           on.it
```

'My husband, don't fear anything, there is soup.' (lit. soup is with it (fufu).)

Jealous Husband.2.2

```
(15.14)
          wō
               wű
                       Ø-mwā
                                 wú
                                         Ø-kwēsé,
                                                    wō
                                                          nέ
                                                              1ë
         2sG
               c1REL
                       c1-child
                                 c1REL
                                        c1-woman
                                                    2sg
                                                          if
                                                               COP.COND
                          Ø-lá
          wō
               1è:
                      fē
                                         chī
                                                Ø-jwēηsέ
                                                            lē,
                          c5-compound
                                         с5АМ
                                                c1-man
          2sG
               enter
                      at
                                                            APPL
```

kéf $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$ wólās-èbā-mībā-ā $\mathbf{g}\bar{\mathbf{t}}$ ,VET2SG $lose^{104}$ -PROGc2-personc2-ANA1NEG2

**kéfē** wố wā:d-è bế Ø-chíjī Ø-jw $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ : VET 2SG quarrel-PROG with c1-father c1-husband.2SG.POSS

mò Ø-bwē Ø-jw $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ :  $\mathbf{g}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ RES c1-mother c1-husband.2SG.POSS NEG2

'You the girl child, if you enter into your husband's compound don't look down on those people, don't quarrel with your father-in-law or mother-in-law.'

Marriage.6.6

 $<sup>^{103}</sup>$  The term "vetitive" is derived from the word "veto", and follows its use in the nearby language Mungbam (Lovegren 2013).

<sup>104</sup> This verb can also mean "minimize" or "make small".

Negation Negation

```
(15.15)
          kéf̄ε
                bέŋ
                      įε̄n-ì
                                  bēη
                                        ság-è
                                                     wù
                                                          ā-lā
                      walk-PROG
          VET
                2PL
                                  2PL
                                        judge-PROG
                                                     3sg
                                                          c6-compound
          ā
                 bā-mī
                            1é
                                   gὲ
          сбам
                 c2-person
                            APPL
                                  NEG2
```

'Do not go around, gossiping about her (lit. judging her) in people's compounds, ...' Marriage.6.4

The vetitive marker is likely a grammaticalized contraction of some sort. The  $\mathbf{k}\acute{\mathbf{e}}$  portion of the word is possibly a form of  $\mathbf{g}\bar{\mathbf{e}}$  NEG2, which is sometimes pronounced as  $[\mathbf{k}\bar{\mathbf{e}}]$  as pointed out in §15.1. The high tone could represent a negative grammatical high tone associated with the other preverbal negative markers  $\mathbf{b}\acute{\mathbf{a}}$  and  $\acute{\mathbf{a}}$ ; or perhaps it is the high tone associated with Imperative or Hortative constructions.

Two possibilities are noted for the source of the  $f\bar{\epsilon}$  element. The first is that it comes from the verb  $f\bar{\epsilon}$  'make, do'. A more likely possibility is that it is derived from the locative class 16 prefix  $f\bar{\epsilon}$ -. Indeed, the same form is seen in some rare cases acting like a conditional introducer. Further, the development of the class 16 locative affix into a negative marker is observed in other African languages (see Devos & Van der Auwera 2013: 237).