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## Chapter 13

## Complex sentences

Sentences involving more than one verb are described in this chapter, which is divided into three main sections: Coordination and verb serialization (§13.1), Supporting clause constructions (§13.2), and Complement clause constructions (§13.3). The first section describes sentences with multiple clauses which can be understood as having equal status in terms of expressing events or states. The notion of verb serialization as a syntactic construction type is questionable for Nchane. Therefore, the section begins with a discussion of sentences with adjacent verbs and the problems associated with assigning a serial verb construction analysis. This is followed by descriptions of juxtaposed clauses and disjunctive clauses.

Section 13.2 looks at sentences with different types of supporting clauses, as conceived by Dixon (2009), who characterizes the majority of multiclause sentences as having a Focal clause and a Supporting clause. A Focal clause 'refers to the central activity or state of the biclausal linking', while a Supporting clause 'may set out the temporal milieu for the Focal clause, or specify a condition or presupposition for it or a preliminary statement of it, etc.' (Dixon 2009: 3). The notion of "supporting" is chosen rather than "subordinate" since, in most cases, the non-main (or non-Focal) clause is difficult to define according to typical criterion for identifying subordination, such as embeddedness, inflectional restrictions, etc.

Complement clauses, which are described in §13.3, function as clausal arguments. As such, they differ from the other types of complex sentences described in this chapter, belonging to the syntactic structure of the focal clause.

The various types of complex sentences are summarized in Table 13.1. Parentheses indicate that those items are optional. In the case of coordination, " $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ " suggests that more than two clauses may be juxtaposed. Complement clauses are represented by "-clause ${ }_{i}$ ", indicating that they are a part of the syntactic structure of the focal clause.

| Category | Subcategory | Syntactic structure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordination | juxtaposition disjunction | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl}_{1}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\ & \mathrm{Cl}_{1}-\text { ken } \varepsilon-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \\ & \mathrm{Cl}_{1}-\text { gen } \varepsilon-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| Supportive | temporal <br> purpose <br> circumstantial <br> reason <br> condition <br> time | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{l} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{Cl}_{1}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{Cl}_{1}-\mathbf{l} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\ & \text { ns }-\mathrm{Cl}_{1}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{Cl}_{1}-\mathbf{n j e}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\ & (\mathbf{n \varepsilon ́})-\mathrm{Cl}_{1}-(\mathbf{t u ́})-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\ & \text { sege }-\mathrm{Cl}_{1}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| Complementation | cognition/speech manner intent | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \text { - le-clause }{ }_{i} \\ & \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \text { - no-clause }{ }_{i} \\ & \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \text { - } \mathbf{k i} \text {-clause } \end{aligned}$ |

Table 13.1 Summary of complex sentence types.

Note that sentences involving relative clauses are not discussed here, but are described in Chapter 12. Commas are employed in the examples of this chapter to indicate perceived clause boundaries, which often coincide with breath pauses, except for $\S 13.3$, where they are more strictly representative of pauses. See the introduction of $\S 13.1$ for details regarding clause boundary identification. Words under consideration in a particular section are bolded for the reader's convenience.

### 13.1 Coordination and verb serialization

Sentences with multiple verbs are common in Nchane. Those which consist of clauses of equal status could possibly be described as serial verb constructions (henceforth SVCs), which are generally defined as "sequence[s] of verbs which act together as a single predicate[s]" (Aikhenvald 2006: 1).

Aikhenvald gives a number of definitional criteria for SVCs, such as expressing a single event, monoclausality (e.g., no markers of clausal dependency or conjunctions), verbs sharing arguments and TAM marking, and prosodies associated with a single clause. But these criteria are only slightly helpful for Nchane, since they do not clearly differentiate juxtaposed clauses from single clauses with serialized verbs. To illustrate this point, two comparable examples are given in (13.1).

The notion of 'single-event' expression through SVCs is difficult to obtain while remaining objective and without depending on cultural factors, as pointed out by Bisang (2009: 810). However, this example set is construed as contrasting 'multiple-event' and 'single-event' sentences. The context for (13.1)a is a man has gone to bed, but wakes up in the middle of the night unable to breathe. He gets out of bed and goes into the next room in an attempt to get better air. While it is possible to imagine this sentence as expressing one single event, it is more likely that rising up and exiting represent separate (although perhaps related) events. And significantly, the verb $\mathbf{j} \mathbf{a}$ 'rise' contributes its lexical meaning to the sentence.

Meanwhile, example (13.1)b occurs after a man has worked all day at his farm and has just returned home. The verb to' 'come' does not indicate the discrete action of the man arriving (as the previous clause has already established his arrival at home), and so, does not contribute its lexical meaning to the sentence.

| a. | wù | g $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | jā | wé, | wù | ndéndè | wù | bú | à-kfúu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG | P3 | rise | up | 3SG | stumble | 3 SG | exit | c18-outside |  |

'He got up and stumbled outside ...'
Lake.4.5
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { b. wù } & \text { tó } & \text { wù } & \text { shī } & \text { wù } & \text { fúf-è } & \text { sh } \bar{y} \eta \\ \text { 3SG } & \text { come } & \text { 3SG } & \text { sit } & \text { 3SG } & \text { rest-PROG } & \text { c9.liver }\end{array}$
'...he came and relaxed.' Jealous Husband. 16

In comparing the two expressions, it can be seen that they are structurally similar. There are no morphological or syntactic markers of clausal subordination or of support clause status, nor of clausal adjunction in either example. Subject marking is usually associated with each verb of a sequence. This is evidenced in both of the examples and therefore not diagnostic for SVCs. This is in contrast to the neighboring Yemne-Kimbi languages Mungbam and Mundabli, both of which are reported to have SVCs with single subject marking (Lovegren 2013: 215-254 and; Voll 2017: 215240 respectively). In addition, as stated in §11.1.1, objects are routinely omitted from clauses whenever they are inferable. Thus, the possibility of shared objects as a means of identifying SVCs is also unhelpful.

Tense, aspect and mood (TAM) marking also offers little or no help in differentiating the two possible multiverbal structure types. The example in (13.1)a has a tense marker preceding the first verb only. This illustrates the tense-marking strategy of the language, which usually establishes tense at discourse boundaries, with little or no tense marking for later sentences. One could interpret the single tense marker in (13.1)a as being shared by the following clauses. The same strategy is observed for expressions like (13.1)b. While this specific example has no tense marking, similar SVC-like expressions such as the relative clause in (13.2) show the
same pattern as that seen in (13.1)a, with the tense marker occurring only one time and preceding the first verb of the sequence.

'...they captured Pa Damume, whom they used in crossing the stream.' (lit. whom they crossed the stream with him) Land Dispute.2.3

Aspect marking may occur on adjacent verbs, but it is not apparent that two "serialized" verbs may share such marking. More study is required to know if mood marking could be used as a diagnostic for SVCs. However, Bisang (2009: 805) observes that complex single events tend to be characterized by a single set of TAM expression, whether the complex event is coded by adjacent clauses or SVCs. Thus, TAM marking is probably of little help.

Prosodies represent another possible indicator to differentiate SVCs. However, prosodic elements in Nchane sentences are somewhat inconsistently realized. Clause boundaries are often marked by pauses, but this is not always the case. Example (13.3) presents multiple verbal elements with no apparent pause, change in intensity or tonal phenomena to mark a clause boundary. However, the two verbs may not be interpreted as encoding a single complex event, but rather two separate events in sequence. Thus, I interpret this sentence as comprised of two juxtaposed, independent clauses.

| (13.3) | wó |  | à m | mày-kàlà | mā-nē | lē, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2SG.FUT |  | in c | c6a-cassava.puff | c6a-PROX | APPL |
|  | wó | ná | wù | lē |  |  |
|  | 2SG.fut | give | 3SG | APPL |  |  |

Conversely, some sentences with multiple verbs which seem to express a single event, and thus, representative of an SVC analysis, have clear pauses following the verbs. Such is the case in (13.4), which has a distinct pause following the verb 'go'.

| (13.4) | mé | ń-tú | ý-gè: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1SG.PRO | 1SG-then | 1SG- |  |  |  |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{n}}$-jí | bvū-lę: |  | nàn | yī | shì |
|  | 1SG-eat | c14-food | with | c9.meat | c9 9 m | c9.chicken |

'I then went and ate fufu with chicken ...
Jealous Husband. 20

Note that the verb $\mathbf{g} \bar{\Sigma}$ : in this example does not contribute its basic lexical meaning, since the eater does not actually change location. Note also that the verb tú is serving as an auxiliary and not as a so-called "coverb" (i.e., one of multiple lexical verbs constituting an SVC), although it illustrates how auxiliaries within the verb complex are syntactically indistinct from possible SVC constructions, with a subject appearing before each verb, "coverb" and auxiliary verb.

Nevertheless, there is a significant occurrence in the data of multiverb sentences, such as (13.4), where the apparent "primary" verb is preceded by some "secondary" verb, most often one of motion like "go" or "come". This ordering of verbs is in contrast to Mundabli and Mungbam, where the so-called "minor" coverb follows the "major" coverb. This alternative ordering does occur in Nchane, as illustrated in (13.5), although it is rare.

| (13.5) | lásálō | kwé, | wù | b $\overline{\underline{\sim}}$ | wù | $\mathrm{g} \overline{\mathrm{E}}$ : | f | Ø-nı̀ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L. | die | 3SG | ascend | 3sG | go | at | c1-god |  | PPL |

'Lazarus died and went to heaven ...'
Richman. 11

It is unknown what, if any, significance is represented by the alternative sequencing of the apparent primary and secondary verbs in (13.4) and (13.5).

To summarize, there is some evidence in support of SVCs in Nchane. However, it is likely that those instances of SVC-like structures are less typical than those of other languages reported to have SVCs, even languages nearby. Formal characteristics of sentences expressing complex events through multiple verbs are not observed to differentiate clause chaining structures from SVCs. Primarily, evidence for Nchane SVCs is the relatively common co-occurrence of primary verbs and closed class verbs such as 'go', 'come' and 'exit', particularly when the semantic expression provided by these latter verbs may be construed as more functional than lexical. ${ }^{90}$

Therefore, the current analysis pays little attention to the possible existence of SVCs in Nchane. Sentences with multiple verbs are treated as constructed with

[^0]chains of clauses, unless there are conjunctions present or formal markers of dependency.

### 13.1.1 Juxtaposition

Clauses which communicate different actions are often joined through simple juxtaposition (i.e., without a conjunction), as in (13.6). If any tense marking is present, it occurs only in the first clause and applies to the entire sentence.
(13.6) wù mō wù bús $\bar{\varepsilon}$ m-bà: fó, 3SG RES 3SG remove c6a-soup there
wù ná $\quad$-jwę̀: lē
3SG give c1-husband.3SG.Poss APPL
'She just removed soup from there (the leaf) and gave [it] to her husband.' Jealous Husband. 13

More than two clauses can be juxtaposed in this manner, forming clause chains.
(13.7) kī jō mày-kàlà mā-ā, ki gè: à-kè, kī já c7 take c6a-cassava.puff c6a-ANA1 c7 put c18-bag c7 leave kī gēn-è, kī nā-à c1-ỳkè l $\bar{\varepsilon} \quad$ wō gé c7 go-PROG c7 give-PROG c1-song COMP 2 SG do.COND

| bvū-jō $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | tū | w̄̄ | gé | yē |  | -ธ̄ | ē, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c14-good | then | 2 SG | do | on |  | -2 | PPL |


| wō | gé | bvū-bēfè | tū | w̄̄ | gé | yē | $y-\bar{o}$ | lē |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SG | do.COND | c14-bad | then | $2 S G$ | do | on | $c 9-2 S G . P O S S$ | APPL |

'He took that cassava puffs and put it in his bag and left and was going and singing his song, "You do good you do for yourself; you do bad you do for yourself.", What-goes-around.5.2
(13.8) bēy tỏ béy kó, bén jí,
2PL come.IMP 2PL catch 2PL.HORT eat
béy mú jò fó
2PL.HORT drink c9.water there
'"Come and take [the cassava puff], you should eat [it] and drink water." What-goes-around.7.7

The actions of these complex sentences are usually interpretable as sequential occurrences when the verbs are in non-progressive form. Simultaneous action can also be expressed through clause chains when the verbs are in progressive form, as illustrated in (13.9).

| (13.9) | bó | g $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | láy-é, | bó | yén-è | bà- $\eta k$ kë, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3pL | P3 | rejoice-PROG | 3pL | breathe-PROG | c2-song |


| bó | bín-è | $\emptyset$-bỉne̋ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL | dance-PROG | c5-dance |

'They were rejoicing, singing and dancing.' ${ }^{11}$
Lake.5.3

While clauses within the same sentence generally have the same subject referent, clauses with different subjects can also be juxtaposed, as in (13.10). This sentence is describing a single, complex event and is characterized by short pauses at clause boundaries and a gradual diminishing intensity pattern over the entire sentence.

| bā | k $\bar{c}:$ | bvù-lę̀:, | $\overline{\mathrm{y}}$-gū | $\overline{\mathrm{m}}$-bà:, | b̄̄ | jí | yú |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL | stir | c14-food | 1SG-buy | c6a-soup | 3PL | eat | on.it |

'They cooked fufu, I bought soup and they ate with it.'
Fire. 46

### 13.1.2 Disjunction

Two types of disjunctive constructions are observed-those which express simple alternatives and those which express counter expectation. The first type, which is not present in the text data, involves the conjunction kèn $\bar{\varepsilon}$ 'or' and expresses two alternative actions or events, as illustrated in (13.11).

| (13.11) | tādà | $\mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | lè, | k kèn $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | wù | ỳgū: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | T. | P3 | work | or | 3SG | play |

'Tada either worked or he played. '

Counter-expectational clauses are joined through the disjunctive conjunction gēné 'but', which might have a second function in expressing frustration. The counter-expectational clause occurs as the second clause, as illustrated in (13.12) and

[^1](13.13). The examples show that tense marking occurs in both of the conjoined clauses, contrasting with juxtaposed clauses described above.


> 'He rang the bell, but no one responded (lit. came).' Lake.6.7

| (13.13) | wù | gè | bá:y | y $\bar{\varepsilon} \eta$ | g $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | bī-bāg $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | lé | g̀̀, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3SG | P3 | still | see | NEG2 | c8-wound | APPL | NEG2 |

'He saw no injuries, but they were clearly dead.'
Lake.6.3

### 13.2 Support clauses

As suggested in the chapter's introduction, Support clauses typically provide necessary information for the proper interpretation of the focal clause. This can be background information, such as the temporal setting. Or it can provide the purpose or conditions for the focal clause predication. Support clauses are often introduced by a particle or word of some sort, with the type of support clause dictating the order of the main and support clauses. Support clauses in the examples of this section are placed in brackets.

### 13.2.1 Setting particle

The Setting particle $\overline{\mathbf{\varepsilon}} \overline{\text { }}$ 'SET' introduces support clauses that provide details surrounding the action or event that occurs in the focal clause, such as temporal or situational setting, as in (13.14) and (13.15). The Setting clause usually precedes the focal clause.

| (13.14) | $\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[\bar{\varepsilon}} & \text { bē } & \text { bíj} \bar{\varepsilon}],\end{array}\right.$ | bē | yén | nò | ỳgú | bèn-é |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | SET | 1 PL | look | 1 PL | see | like.that | c9.fire | ascend-PROG |

'As we looked, we saw that the fire was burning.'
Fire.2.1

| (13.15) | [ $\overline{\text { ® }}$ | bī-tādā | g ¢ | yòd-é |  | èssà3 $\overline{\text { ] }}$, | bē | $\mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | bé |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SET | c8-shout | P3 | shout-PROG | M |  | 1PL | P3 |  | P |
|  | bè <br> 1pL | bú fó exit ther |  | è sá:, <br> scatter | $\begin{aligned} & \text { be } \\ & \text { PL } \end{aligned}$ | bú <br> L exit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bè } \\ & \text { 1PL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gę: } \\ & \text { go } \end{aligned}$ |  | jèshí <br> 18-path |

'As they were shouting in Misaje, we then scattered and went out on the road.' Fire.1.16-17

The $\mathbf{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$ particle often is accompanied by a tonal change of the following subject pronoun, which is partially illustrated in (13.14). Low toned pronouns often are realized with a M tone and mid toned pronouns (such as bē 1PL) with a H. Note that the $l \bar{\varepsilon}$ particle functions as a complementizer in other syntactic contexts. See $\S 13.3$ for details.

The Setting clause can sometimes give a sequential reading as in (13.16), usually indicated by the word 'after' in the free translation. The event or action in the Setting clause occurs first, followed by that of the focal clause.

| (13.16) | [ $\overline{\bar{\varepsilon}}$ | b⿹̄龴: | bā-ā | jí], | bó | jä | b $\bar{\square}$ | kwè:d-è |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SET | c2.child | c2-ANA1 | eat | 3PL | leave | 3PL | return.home-PROG |

'After those children ate, they left and were returning home.'
What-goes-around.8.1

Other times, the Setting clause appears to be in a logical relationship with the focal clause that could be interpreted as cause-effect, although a sequential reading is often still appropriate. This is illustrated in (13.17) (as well as (13.15) above).

| (13.17) | $\begin{aligned} & {[l \bar{\varepsilon}} \\ & \text { SET } \end{aligned}$ | bā-mfúmè c2-N. | yú hear | Ø-ńtùn c1-news | wú-yú], <br> c1-ANA2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b $\bar{\square}$ | $\mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon} \quad \mathrm{j} \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ | bō |  | kì-jwī | lē |
|  | 3PL | P3 leave | 3PL | arrive at | c7-boundary | APPL |

'When the Nfume people heard the news, they left and arrived at the boundary.' Land Dispute.1.3

When $\overline{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}$ occurs with nù ' $\operatorname{COP}(\mathrm{N})$ ', there is no subject in the Setting clause. The Setting clause in (13.18) provides a temporal setting for the action of the focal clause. But it might also be interpreted as a causal clause as in the previous example. In other words, the woman cooked because it was evening, the normal time for cooking.

| (13.18) | [ $\overline{1}$ | nù | f $\overline{\text { - }}$ mfù ${ }^{\text {] }}$ | $\emptyset-\mathrm{kw}$ ¢̄sé | w'́- $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | $\mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SET | COP(N) | c16-evening | c1-woman | c1-ANA1 | P3 | ${ }^{\text {P }} \mathrm{COP}$ |
|  |  | k $\bar{\sim}: ~ b v u ̄-l \bar{q}: ~$ <br> stir c14-food |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3SG |  |  |  |  |  |  |

'As it was evening, that woman cooked fufu.' Jealous Husband.2.3

The initial Setting clause in (13.19) gives situational context, referring to the previous events of speaker rushing to his burning house and trying to go inside to rescue his belongings. (Note that the sequence $\overline{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}$ jù in this example and the previous one demonstrates that cleft constructions in Setting clauses use the $n$-copula rather lé.)

| (13.19) | $\begin{array}{ll} {[l \bar{\varepsilon}} & \text { nù } \\ \text { SET } & \text { COF } \end{array}$ |  | nò ], <br> like.that |  | $\overline{\mathrm{n}}$-tó <br> 1SG-come | ý-kásè 1SG-return | $\begin{array}{ll}  & \text { m̀-bé } \\ \text { rn } & 1 \mathrm{SG}-\mathrm{Pl} \end{array}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{j} \overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{\varepsilon}]$, 1sG-look |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{m} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{y}}$-g $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{y}}$-kā |  | j̀-yćn | bゝ̄: | Ø-bwễ: | lé, |
|  | 1sG.PRO | 1SG-P3 | 3 1sG- | eturn | 1SG-see | c2.child | c1-mother | APPL |
|  | nò | bō | jùd-é |  | $\varnothing$-ńgè, |  |  |  |
|  | like.that | 3PL | fight-PR |  | c1-trouble |  |  |  |
|  | kì | bó | jī̄s-è |  | ỳgú |  |  |  |
|  | COMP(K) |  | extingui | sh-PRO | OG c9.fire |  |  |  |

'As it was so, as I turned and looked, I saw my brothers, that they were suffering to put out the fire.'

Fire.8.1

The Setting particle may also introduce Purpose clauses, which usually express the reason for the action in the focal clause. In this case, the support clause follows the focal clause, as illustrated in (13.20) and (13.21). This second example also illustrates the Setting particle functioning both ways in the same sentence, first introducing a Setting clause, and second introducing a Purpose clause.

| (13.20) | $\mathrm{m} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{y}}$-gè | ỳ-wēnè | kì-mbōy | kí | shēgē, small |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1SG.PRO | 1SG-P3 | 1SG-open | c7-cover | c7REL |  |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}$ : | kī-bó | à-kfūŋ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\bar{\varepsilon} & \mathrm{fi}\end{array}\right.$ | fì: |  |
|  | 1SG-put | c7-arm | c18-outside | SET c9 | c9.air |  |
|  | yí | lēs-è | yì kònè | m $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | lē] |  |
|  | c9REL | enter-PROC | c9 touch | - 1sG.PR | RO APPL |  |

'..I opened the window and kept my hand outside so as to receive fresh air (lit. air that was entering touch me).'

Training.1.14

'As the mother was going to the farm, she put corn aside so that she (the daughter) would remain (near the house) and take [it] to the grinding mill.'

Disobedient Child.1.3

### 13.2.2 Circumstantial clauses

The adverbial pronoun nj 'like.that' may be used to introduce support clauses that usually can be interpreted as providing the circumstances under which the event in the focal clause occurs. The Circumstantial clause precedes the focal clause and appears to serve a discourse cohesion role, as it most often repeats events that occurred in earlier sentences. For example, in the text from which (13.22) is taken, the action of the husband sitting down occurs two sentences earlier.

| (13.22) | [ǹ | Ø-jw $\overline{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{y}$ | shìlé | fı̀-kū:], | wū | mó | wū |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | like.that | c1-husband | sit | c16-down | 3SG | RES | 3SG |


| l $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | w $\bar{\jmath}$ | jó | fàn $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{m}}$-bà |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COMP | 2 SG | take | where | c6a-soup |

'As her husband sat down, he then asked, "Where will you get soup?",
Jealous Husband. 10

Likewise, example (13.23) comes two sentences after the text says that the woman and her husband "left and were going (to the farm)".

'As the woman was going, she stood and her husband wondered what would happen.'

Jealous Husband.4.2

Example (13.24) illustrates a slightly different formulation of the Circumstantial clause as compared to the earlier examples. While the support clauses of those examples contained at least the same verb as an earlier sentence in linking the current and earlier events, the Circumstantial clause in (13.24) abstracts the state of "weakness" from the previous sentence, where the participant was "confused and
sick". However, the effect is the same, with the two sentences given greater cohesion through the support clause.

'As he was weak (lit. with no power), he left and went to the church to go and ring the bell, as they always did in times of trouble, Lake.6.5

The second nò in the above example does not precede the focal clause and does not have the same discourse cohesion role. In this case, the support clause expresses a manner relationship with the focal clause. The meaning realized here is reflective of the use of nò in complement clauses (see §13.3).

Note that in many cases, ǹ̀ and $\overline{\mathbf{l}}$ are interchangeable. Indeed, the introducing particles in (13.24) were "corrected" by language consultants from $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ (in the original version of the text) to ǹे. The interchangeability of these two particles in part reflects the discourse role of ǹे. Since $\bar{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$ has not been observed to have the same kind of function in producing discourse cohesion, it is not surprising that multiple readings of a text can result in these kinds of corrections. However, as will be seen in the section on complement clauses, these two particles can introduce subtle changes to the meaning of the expressions.

### 13.2.3 Reason clauses

Reason clauses are introduced by $\overline{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{e}$ 'reason' and usually follow the focal clause, as in (13.25) and (13.26).


```
(13.26) kóy bó, wó gvúy bó,
love.IMP 3PL 2SG obey 3PL
```

| [jıjē | lé | bó | bó | bフ̄y1 | Ø-jwゝे:] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reason | COP | 3PL | 3PL.REL | birth | c1-husband.2SG.POSS |

'Love them (your parents-in-law), respect (lit. obey) them, because it is they who delivered (gave birth to) your husband.' Marriage.6.7

### 13.2.4 Conditional

Conditional constructions are variable in form. However, they are likely based on the schema given in Figure 13.1. ${ }^{92}$

$$
[(\mathbf{n} \dot{\varepsilon})+\mathrm{H} \ldots]_{\text {Protasis }},[(\mathbf{t u ́}) \ldots]_{\text {APodosis }}
$$

Figure 13.1 Conditional construction schema.

The particle né introduces the protasis and is glossed in this context with 'if'. The same word appears in other contexts glossed 'like.that' and associated with gestural predications (see §8.5). The apodosis is introduced by the word tú 'then', which is also seen marking Durative and Sequential (see §9.3.4). Both of these polysemous particles are largely differentiated from the other use of their forms by syntactic considerations, with the conditional particles occurring clause-initially (see example (13.32) for a possible exception).

Example (13.27) illustrates the fully marked conditional construction, with high tone on the verb of the protasis (realized here as SH due to a grammatical H on top of a lexical $H$ when preceding a L ), which is also introduced by ń́, and the apodosis introduced by tú.


[^2]As the parentheses in Figure 11.1 indicate, the né and tú are not always present. However, the order of the construction is always protasis-apodosis, and there is nearly always a H tone element associated with the protasis. This H tone is observed in the minimal pair given in (13.28), where the mid-toned verb gvūŋ in the conditional clause in b . is realized with a H tone.
(13.28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a. wù gvūn-é m } \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { we } \\
\text { 3SG obey-Prog } \quad 1 \mathrm{SG} . \mathrm{PRO}
\end{array} \\
& \text { 'He is obeying me.' } \\
& \text { b. [Ø-mwā gvún-é] } \\
& \text { c1-child obey-PROG.COND } \\
& \text { 'If the child is obeying ...' }
\end{aligned}
$$

The factors motivating the behavior of this H tone are unknown at this time. It is usually observed marking the verb or auxiliary verb. Sometimes even the entire protasis clause may be realized at a higher than normal register, as illustrated in example (13.29). This higher tonal register is indicated by the up arrow, which applies to everything in the parentheses.

'If you mess with me (lit. play [me]), I will pierce you with this knife and you will die., Greedy Friends.1.14

It is possible that the H tone is the true marker of Conditional, with né and tú simply contributing their own meanings, which are consistent with if-then semantics. The verbs or auxiliary verbs of the protases in this section are analyzed with COND in their glosses in order to account for the H tone associated with conditionals. However, as just stated, it is often the case that the realization of the H tone extends beyond the predicate.

The text corpus contains no examples of a fully marked conditional construction. Instead, conditional constructions usually have only né and no tú as in (13.30) or the inverse as in (13.31). Note that (13.31) is another example where the entire protasis is realized on a higher tonal register than the apodosis.

'You the husband, if you have done wrong, ask that your wife forgive you.'

Marriage.4.5


| fy- $\bar{\varepsilon}:$ | fī | b $\bar{\varepsilon} y$ | yūg-é | à | $\emptyset$-nwà | wù | $\emptyset$-nò | lē |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c19-thing | c19REL | 2PL | hear-PROG | in | c1-book | c1AM | c1-god | APPL |

'If you do so you have done what is required in the book of God.'
Marriage.3.8

Example (13.32) is a rare case where n' does not precede the Subject. This example is comparable to (13.30), both of which have a topic-marked (left-detached) subject. It is not known what motivates this alternative ordering, nor if it has any effect on the meaning.
(13.32) w wű Ø-mwā wú Ø-kwēsé, wò né le̋
2SG c1REL c1-child c1REL c1-woman 2SG if COP.COND

| w $\bar{\jmath}$ | lè: | $\mathrm{f} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | $\emptyset$-lá | chī | $\emptyset$-jw $\bar{\varepsilon} \eta \mathrm{s} \dot{\varepsilon}$ | lē, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SG | enter | at | c5-compound | c5AM | c1-man | APPL |

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { kéf } \bar{\varepsilon} & \text { wó } & \text { lās-è } & \text { bā-mī } & \text { bā-ā } & \text { g } \bar{\varepsilon}, \\ \text { VET } & \text { 2SG } & \text { lose }^{93}{ }_{\text {-PROG }} & \text { c2-person } & \text { c2-ANA1 } & \text { NEG2 }\end{array}$
lose -PROG c2-person c2-ANA1 NEG2
kéf̄̄ wó wā:d-è bé Ø-chijī Ø-jwŋ̀:
VET 2SG quarrel-PROG with c1-father c1-husband.2SG.POSs
mò $\varnothing$-bwē $\quad$-jwŋ̀: gè
RES c1-mother c1-husband.2SG.POSS NEG2
'You the girl child, if you enter into your husband's compound don't look down on those people, don't quarrel with your father-in-law or mother-in-law.'

[^3]
### 13.2.5 Temporal clauses

Events may be described temporally through a support clause introduced by a time word. The support clause, which usually precedes the focal clause, establishes a temporal setting for the event (or future event) in the focal clause. The primary time word observed introducing this kind of support clause is ségé 'when', which is illustrated in (13.33) and (13.34). ${ }^{94}$
(13.33) [ségé wū gغ̀̀: wū jí], wú mō wū kwé,
when 3sG go 3SG eat 3sG.HORT RES 3sG die
wó fü-dé ā-ŋgē-wù-bō
2SG.FUT rest-COMPL c18-trouble-3SG-hand
'When he goes and eats, he should then die, and you will rest from the trouble from him.' What-goes-around.3.4
(13.34) [ségē bēy lé bēn gē wá nō:], tú bēy lé
when 2 PL COP 2 PL do already like.that then 2 PL COP


2PL become already c1-person COP c1-one
yē yē-né tū yī-mímyā
c9.body c9-2PL.Poss become c9-one
bvù-kūgè mò bvù nùmè à-bદ̀n-tēn $\bar{\varepsilon}$
c14-wealth RES c14 $\operatorname{COP}(\mathrm{N}) \quad$ c18-2PL-middle
'When you have done like that you have already become one, your body will become one, then riches will be in your midst.' Marriage.3.9

The word táy 'time' (from English) also functions in providing temporal background information similar to ségé. Although it usually occurs as the head nominal of a relative clause, as in (13.35), it appears to be in the process of grammaticalizing as an introducer of temporal support clauses. This is supported by example (13.36) where it appears without a relative clause.

[^4](13.35) [Ø-táy wū m $\bar{\varepsilon} \quad \mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ chīl̀ $\quad$ by $\bar{y}]$ ],
c1-time c1REL 1SG.PRO P3 pull c9.fish

'When I caught a fish, I was very happy.
Fishing.1.10

'When the oil is ready, they (remove it from the fireside and) put it down (on the ground).'

Temporal background information is commonly expressed in the text data through a relative clause with the time word kīfé as the head nominal, as demonstrated in (13.37).

'The time I was coming from that house, I was coming out with my clothes and shoes that I was wearing already burned.'

Fire.7.2

Less commonly, temporal support clauses may occur in sentence-final position, as demonstrated in (13.38) and (13.39). In both of these examples, the temporal clause does not apply to the initial main verb, a likely explanation for its occurrence in sentence-final position.

| (13.38) | wū | jénغ̀ | bé | bī-kā:, | wū | $\mathrm{g} \overline{\bar{z}}$ :, | wū | lă | Ø-lı̄mè, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3SG | walk | with | c8-footstep | 3SG | go | 3SG | work | c5-work |


| $[k \grave{\varepsilon} g-e ̀ ~$ | $\emptyset$-jú | chí | fwē | yē | kì-mà: | lē, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| begin-PROG | c5-day | c5REL | front | on | c7-week | APPL |


| gèn-è | ā-jú | lé | $\bar{a}-t a ̄ d e ̄] ~$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| go-PROG | c6-day | COP | c6-three |

'He traveled by foot (to the village) and held services (lit. went and worked work), starting the first day of the week (Monday) and going through the third day (Wednesday).'

Lake.1.2
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { (13.39) } & \mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{a}} & \mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon} & \mathrm{y} \bar{\varepsilon} \mathrm{y} \grave{\varepsilon} & \mathrm{ba}-\mathrm{mi} & \mathrm{l} \bar{\varepsilon} & \text { lé } & \text { nò } \\ \text { they } & \mathrm{P} 3 & \text { teach } & \text { c2-person } & \text { COMP } & \text { COP } & \text { like.that }\end{array}$

| bó | fī | bā-mī | bá-mù | bé | Ø-b̄̄nદ̀ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL | help | c2-person | c2-some | with | c5-prayer |

fè bà-ŋg $\bar{\varepsilon}$ bā-bó lē, [kī-fē
at c2-trouble c2-3PL.POSS APPL c7-time

| kì | bā | chūn-í | b̄̄̄-jīní | kī | Ø-mwā-nò] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c7REL | they | show-PROG | c8-picture | c7AM 95 | c1-child-god |

'They taught people how to help certain people with prayer for their problems, while they were showing the Jesus Film.' Training.1.4

See $\S 8.7$ for an account of temporal adverbs, which usually fulfill a similar function.

### 13.3 Complement Clauses

Complement clauses are those which serve as an argument of a verb and which contain a verbal or predicative element. There are several different kinds of complement clauses observed in Nchane, each of which are introduced by a complementizer. More often than not, there is no breath pause associated with these complementizers. (Note that breath pauses are indicated by a comma in this section.) But the examples below show that when a breath pause is present, it usually occurs after the complementizer. This is especially true for speech complements. The verbs in complement clauses are apparently fully finite, except for those in kī complements. The different kinds of

[^5]matrix verbs and their complements, which appear in brackets, are treated below in this section.

## $L \bar{\varepsilon}$

The particle $\mathbf{l} \overline{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}$, which functions as a support clause introducer (see §13.2.1), also serves as a complementizer, introducing complements of several different types of verbs, including those of cognition and sensation, and verbs of communication. Examples of each of these are given below, where the gloss for $\mathbf{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$ is 'COMP'.

Verbs of cognition and desire often take a complement clause which is introduced by $\overline{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}$ 'COMP', as illustrated in (13.40)-(13.42).

| bó | g $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | ké-é | $[l \bar{\varepsilon}$, | m $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | lé | ń-jó |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL | P3 | know-PROG | COMP | 1SG.PRO | COP | 1SG.FUT-take |
| Ø-kfù, | $\bar{y}$-g $\bar{\varepsilon}:$ | $\bar{n}$-shèn $]$ |  |  |  |  |
| c1-rope | 1SG-go | 1SG-hang |  |  |  |  |

'They were knowing that I will take a rope and go hang (myself).'
Fire. 28
(13.41) bē kwāj-í [l̄̄ kī-lūy kí tō lē,
1pl think-PROG COMP c7-year c7ReL come appl

| bē | nú | kì | bé | bús $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-j̄̄ | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 PL | $\operatorname{COP}(\mathrm{N}) . \mathrm{FUT}$ | $\operatorname{COMP}(\mathrm{K})$ | 1 PL | remove | c6-eye | c6AM |

Ø-ywà wù j̀̀chānē]
c1-book c1Am $N$.
'We are thinking that next year, we will be publishing the Nchane alphabet.' Speech.1.4
 reason 3PL want-PROG NEG2 COMP c19-thing c19-some
$\begin{array}{ll}1 \bar{\varepsilon} s \bar{\varepsilon} & g \grave{\varepsilon}]\end{array}$
end NEG2
'...because they don't want anything to be wasted (lit. end, disappear
or become extinct).'
Greedy Friends.1.4

Verbs of sensation like 'see' and 'hear' can also take a complement clause introduced by $\mathbf{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$ if the sensation results in realization, as seen in (13.43) and (13.44).

| (13.43) | $1 \bar{\varepsilon}$ <br> SET | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ø-mù } \\ & \text { c1-per } \end{aligned}$ |  | j̀ché c9.medicine | wé- <br> c1-ANA1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \bar{\varepsilon}: \\ & \text { go } \end{aligned}$ | wú 3SG | $\mathrm{j} \overline{1} \eta \bar{\varepsilon}$, look.at | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wù } \\ & 3 \mathrm{SG} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | yén <br> see | $[\mathbf{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$ COMP | lé <br> COP | Ø-nlò, c1-poison | wù c1REL | bゝ̄: <br> c2.child |  | ANA1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { jí] } \\ & \text { eat } \end{aligned}$ |

'When the doctor looked, he realized that it is POISON that those children ate. What-goes-around.9.7


| $[l \bar{\varepsilon}$ | $\bar{m} b y \grave{̀ v} y \grave{c}$ | kūd-é $],$ | bē | mò | bè | lēgè |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COMP | c9.bell | ring-PROG | 1 PL | RES | 1 PL | run |

'When he is coming, we will then hear that the bell is ringing and we will then run away.' Cat and Rats.1.4

Verbs expressing the various forms of communication have complements introduced by $\mathbf{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$ 'COMP'. This includes the verbs 'say', 'ask' and 'reply', as in (13.45)(13.47). Except for different pronominal reference, direct and indirect quotations are formally indistinguishable, as is seen in (13.45) and (13.46) respectively.

| (13.45) | Ø-kw $\varepsilon$ sé $\quad \omega \bar{\varepsilon}-\bar{\varepsilon}$ <br> c1-woman c1-ANA1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dú } \\ & \text { say } \end{aligned}$ | $[1 \bar{\varepsilon}$, COMP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ø-jwá:, <br> c1-husband.1sG.Poss | shīlé <br> sit.IMP | fè-kū, c16-down | bē $1 \mathrm{PL}$ |  | $m w-\bar{\varepsilon}:]$ <br> c18a-thing |

'That woman said "My husband, sit down and we will eat."'
Jealous Husband.8.1
(13.46) Ø-bā: w $\bar{\varepsilon}-\bar{\varepsilon} \quad$ bé wù dú $[1 \bar{\varepsilon}$,
c1-pa c1-ANA1 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ COP 3 SG say COMP

| wū | bé | léǵ $\varepsilon$ | lò | à | $\emptyset-k w \bar{s} s e ́$ | wú-mù | lē, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG | ${ }^{\text {P }} \mathrm{COP}$ | beg | FOC | in | c1-woman | c1-some | APPL |

wú tó wū kāy-è màn-kàlà]
3REL HAB 3SG fry-PROG c6a-cassava.puff
'That pa said that he begged (something to eat) from a certain woman who is always frying cassava puff.'

What-goes-around.9.10

| (13.47) | wù | chfú | $[\mathbf{l} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, | $\bar{\varepsilon}:$, | wù | tó | wù | jā-à | m $\bar{\varepsilon}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $3 S G$ | reply | COMP | yes | 3 SG | HAB | 3 SG | give-PROG | 1 SG.PRO |


| Ø- $\bar{\eta} g \bar{\varepsilon}$, | $\bar{\jmath} c h \underset{\sim}{c}:$ | ségé-ch $\overline{1}$ | ségé-ch $\overline{1}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c1-trouble | true | when-all | when-all |

'She answered, "Yes. He is always giving me trouble, truly all the time.", What-goes-around.2.3

Quotations of questions are usually introduced by the complementizer là:, as illustrated in example (13.48). ${ }^{96}$

'Her friend asked her, "(Does) this blind man also come here?"'
What-goes-around.2.2

Speech verbs more commonly associated with indirect quotations also take complements introduced by $\mathbf{l} \overline{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}$. These include verbs such as 'agree', 'suggest', 'beg' and 'promise'. Examples (13.49) and (13.50) are representative of these kinds of communication verbs.

| (13.49) | bó | b $\bar{q} y$ | $[l \bar{\varepsilon}$ | lé | kī | kī-kfūnè | kí | kùgē | kī |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL | agree | COMP | COP | c7 | c7-rat | c7REL | big | c7REL |  |

'They agreed that it is him, that fat, black rat, who will tie THAT bell (on the cat's tail).'

Cat and Rats.1.6

[^6]
'You the husband, if you have done wrong, ask that your wife forgive you.' Marriage.4.5

Rarely, the quotation occurs before the speech verb. In this case, there is no complementizer, as illustrated in example (13.51). Note that the SOV word order observed in this example is characteristic of one of the clausal negation strategies, which is described in $\S 15.2$.

'"Why don't you help me? " he asked., ${ }^{97}$
Lake.4.8-9

Examples (13.52)-(13.55) show other verbs that can take a complement introduced by $\overline{\mathbf{l}} \bar{\varepsilon}$ that do not fit neatly into the above categories, although all of them, with the exception of (13.55), may be construed as expressing different types of communication. (Example (13.55) might be better understood as a purpose clause, which is described in §13.2.1.)

'You should take an oath that there shall be nothing that will separate you, because that is how the book of God want us to stay.' Marriage.5.5

[^7]
'They taught people how to help certain people with prayer for their problems, while they were showing the Jesus Film.' Training.1.4
(13.54) fì-máyá fī-nē dūn-í $\quad[\bar{\varepsilon} \quad$ wō gé bvū-jōn̄ $\bar{\varepsilon}$,
c19-story c19-PROX show-PROG COMP 2SG do.COND c14-good

| tū | wò | gé | nù | yē | y- $\overline{0}$ | lē, | wò | gé |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | $2 S G$ | do | $\operatorname{COP}(\mathrm{N})$ | on | C9-2SG.POSS | APPL | 2SG | do.COND |

bvū-bēfè, tū wò gé nù yē y-̄̄ lē]
c14-bad then 2 sg do $\operatorname{COP}(\mathrm{N})$ on C9-2SG.POSS APPL
'This story is teaching (lit. showing) that, if you do good, then you do FOR YOURSELF; if you do bad, then you do FOR YOURSELF.'

What-goes-around.10.2

| (13.55) | bén | gè-è | $[l \bar{\varepsilon}$, | kì- $\eta$-kòy $\bar{e}$ | nùmè |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2PL.HORT | do-PROG | COMP | c7-NMZR-want | $\operatorname{COP}(\mathrm{N})$ |


| ā-bèn-ǹténć, | sēgē | chī:, | m̄̄ | b $\varepsilon$ | Ø-kfū | chī-nā] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c18-2PL-middle | when | all | RES | with | c5-family | c5-2PL-POSS |

'You should be showing love among yourselves and even YOUR FAMILY.

[^8]The copula nù is observed taking a complement clause introduced by $\mathbf{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$ in example (13.56). This is the only example of a $\overline{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}$ complement clause following a copula and it is difficult to know for certain if it is functioning similarly to those cases above. However, the example illustrates that it is possible.

| (13.56) | $\begin{array}{ll} \uparrow \text { (yè̀ } & \mathrm{n} \\ \text { on } & \text { lik } \end{array}$ | n̄̄: <br> like.that | lè), APPL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fí } \\ & \text { c19 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mó } \\ & \text { RES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fī } \\ & \text { c19 } \end{aligned}$ | nù $\operatorname{COP}(\mathrm{N}$ |  | [ $\mathbf{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$, COMP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bā-mì | bá- | ùdē | $1 \bar{\varepsilon} \mathrm{~g}$-è |  | Ø-пว̄ |  | bèn | lē] |
|  | c2-person |  |  | beg-PR |  | c1-god |  | 2PL | APPL |

'So, it is then that many people will be praying to God for you, '99
Marriage.4.1

Ǹ
The adverbial pronoun ǹे, as with the particle $\mathbf{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$ treated above, introduces certain complement clauses, in addition to its function in introducing support clauses (see $\S 13.2 .2$ ), although its use as a complementizer is less common. These complement clauses, illustrated in (13.57) and (13.58), usually may be described as manner complements, with the free translation often appropriately utilizing the word "how".


[^9]The complement clause may appear well after the main verb. In example (13.59) it appears as the second member of a coordinate complement, following a prepositional phrase.

| (13.59) | kī-mā c7-week | kí <br> c7REL | fwē <br> front | lē, bā <br> APPL they | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon} \\ & \mathrm{P} 3 \end{aligned}$ | yદ̄yè <br> teach | kònë, about |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bà-nchī | bā | kī-yō | kī | yūdē, | bā-n̄̄ | bé |
|  | c2-law | c2Am | c7-spirit | c7REL | clean | c2-four | with |
|  | [ $\mathbf{n}$, | $\emptyset$-mù | lé | nò | wú | bì: | bà-mbīlë] |
|  | like.that | c1-pers | O COP | like.that | 3sG.FU | T ask | c2-question |

'In the first week, they taught about the four spiritual laws and how to give a questionnaire.' (lit. like that a person will ask questions)

Training.1.7

As the examples in (13.60) show, ǹ̀ and $\mathbf{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$ as complementizers are interchangeable with a slight difference in meaning. The ǹ̀ complement has a manner semantic element which is lacking in the $\overline{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}$ complement.
a. l̄̄ bē bíj̄, bē yén [nù ỳgú bèn-é]
SET 1PL look 1PL see like.that c9.fire ascend-Prog
'As we looked, we saw how the fire was going up.'
Fire.2.1
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { b. } & l & \text { l } \bar{\varepsilon} & \text { bé } & \text { bíj } \bar{\varepsilon}, & \text { bē } & \text { y } \varepsilon ́ n & {[l \bar{\varepsilon}} \\ \text { ỳgǵ } & \text { bèn-é }] \\ \text { SET } & \text { 1PL } & \text { look } & \text { 1PL } & \text { see } & \text { COMP } & \text { c9.fire } & \text { ascend-PROG }\end{array}$
'As we looked, we saw that the fire was going up.'

## Ki

The particle kì/kī ' $\operatorname{COMP}(\mathrm{K})$ ' introduces clauses which often appear to be complements, although in certain usages they are more similar to Purpose support clauses. It is cognate with the Noni form kèé-, which is treated as an infinitive prefix (Hyman 1981: 52). However, the corresponding Nchane form is perhaps always unbound, although it shares the form of the c7 prefix kī̀, which is possibly its source.

This particle functions in a similar way to $\bar{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$ 'SET' in Purpose clauses (see §13.2.1), although kì complements always have the same subject as the focal clause, while $\overline{\bar{\varepsilon}}$ Purpose clauses can have the same subject or a different subject. The main verb of the complement clause may be described as less finite or "deranked" (following Cristofaro 2005), as it never appears with an auxiliary of any type. However, they can appear with verbal extensions. It appears that $\mathbf{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$ may often be substituted for kì, but kì generally may not be substituted for $\mathbf{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$. The difference in
meaning between the two types of clauses is nuanced, with kì complements often expressing something like Intention rather than Purpose. The complements introduced by kì may represent a focused type, but this hypothesis is yet to be substantiated.

Examples (13.61)-(13.63) illustrate kì introducing complements of cognition and communication verbs, similar to $\overline{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}$ complementation. As stated above, the complement clause always has the same subject as the focal clause.
 1SG.PRO 1SG-P3 want-PROG $\operatorname{COMP}(\mathrm{K})$ 1SG-join at c2-person
'I wanted to join the people...'
Lake.5.5

| bó | bé | $[\mathbf{k i ̀}$ | b̄̄ | k $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | b̄̄ | chè-è |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL | agree | $\operatorname{COMP}(\mathrm{K})$ | 3PL | begin | 3PL | stay-PROG |


| kì-n-chê | kī-bj̄, | j́jē | $\emptyset$-mù | bé | $\emptyset-\mathrm{kw} \bar{\varepsilon}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c7-NMZR-stay | c7-3pl.poss | reason | c1-person | with | c1-wife |

'They will agree to live together as husband and wife.' Marriage.3.4
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { (13.63) } & \text { bó } & \text { sís } \bar{\varepsilon} & {[\mathbf{k} \overline{1}} & \text { bó } & \text { gū } & \emptyset \text {-nà, } & \text { bó } & \text { sé: } & \text { bśl } & \text { gēs } \bar{\varepsilon}] \\ & \text { 3PL } & \text { decide } & \operatorname{COMP}(\mathrm{K}) & \text { 3PL } & \text { buy } & \text { c1-cow } & \text { 3PL } & \text { cut } & \text { 3PL } & \text { sell }\end{array}$
'They arranged to buy a cow to slaughter and sell.' Greedy Friends.1.3

Examples (13.64) and (13.65) show that other clausal constituents may intervene between the verb of the focal clause and the complement. These examples also better illustrate the "intention" sense often expressed through these complements. Example (13.65) further shows that the complement clause can consist of multiple clauses and have a complex syntactic structure.
(13.64) $\overline{\mathrm{n}}$-yén bā-mī bá mèsàz $\bar{\varepsilon}$ lē, nò bō 1sG-see c2-person c2AM M. APPL like.that 3PL

| jùd-é | $\emptyset$-ýg̀̀ | $[\mathbf{k i ̀}$ | bó | jīnss-è | ỳgú $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fight-PROG | c1-trouble | COMP(K) | 3PL | extinguish-PROG | c9.fire |

'I saw the people of Misaje, as they were suffering (lit. fighting trouble) to put out the fire.'

Fire.8.2
(13.65) nò wù gè bé g $\bar{\varepsilon}$ bé bvù-ทgà gè, like.that 3SG P3 ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{COP}$ NEG2 with c14-power NEG2

| wū | g $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | jā | wù | g $\bar{c}:$ | yē | yì | Ø-nò | lē, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SG | P3 | leave | 3SG | go | c9.house | c9AM | c1-god | APPL |


| [kì | wú | gę: | wú | kūy | m̄byènı̀, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMP(K) | 3sG | go | 3SG | ring | c9.bell |  |  |


| bó | tỏ | bś | gè-è, | kì-fè | kī | Ø-ìg $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | lē] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL | HAB | 3PL | do-PROG | c7-time | c7AM | c1-trouble | APPL |

'Although he was very weak, he set out for the church to ring the bell as they always did in times of trouble.'

Lake.6.5

Again, similar to $\mathbf{l} \bar{\varepsilon}$ complementation, kì can introduce a complement of a copula, as in (13.66) and (13.67).
(13.66) l $\bar{\varepsilon}$ wū kwé,

SET 3SG die

| ba | $\mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | bé | $[\mathbf{k i ̀}$ | ba | $\mathrm{t} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | kwè | yí-yú $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| they | P 3 | ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{COP}$ | $\operatorname{COMP}(\mathrm{K})$ | they | celebrate | $\mathrm{c} 9 . \mathrm{die}$ | c 9 -ANA2 |

'When she died, they were to celebrate THAT death.'
Disobedient Child.1.13

'We have been learning the Nchane language.'
Speech.1.3

Obligation may be expressed through a kì clause preceded by the verb $\mathbf{k} \overline{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{m} \bar{\varepsilon}$ 'have'. Note that the verb of the complement clause appears with the progressive suffix.

| (13.68) | gé <br> do.IMP | nò <br> like.that | Ø-jwŋ̀: <br> c1-husband.2sG.poss | k $\bar{\varepsilon} \mathbf{m}-\mathbf{e ́}$ <br> have-Prog |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & w \bar{u} \\ & 3 \text { SG } \end{aligned}$ | kòn-è <br> love-Prog | Ø-bwō: <br> c1-mother.2sG.Poss | bé Ø-ch <br> with c1-fat | er. 2 S | POSS |
|  | bé with | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ø-kfū } \\ & \text { c5-family } \end{aligned}$ | ch-è chī-chī <br> c5-3SG.POSS c5-all | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n̄̄, bì-mbè } \\ & \text { c8-side } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bī-chì] } \\ & \text { c8-all } \end{aligned}$ |

'Your husband has to love your mother and your father in all ways.' (lit. has to be loving your mother and father with all his family, all sides)

While not common, the kì complement clause can occur before the focal clause. Example (13.69) illustrates such a case, where the complement clause appears to be topicalized.

'My child, as for now, to stay well in a married house is that you have to know many things, because there nothing that is above marriage here on earth. '


[^0]:    ${ }^{90}$ These SVC-like constructions are likely involved in the grammaticalization of "minor" coverbs into grammatical markers of TAM categories. For example, the verb tú 'return' often expresses Sequentiality or Durative aspect when followed by a second verb, and where the core semantic expression is encoded by the second verb. See $\S 9.3 .4$ for more examples.

[^1]:    ${ }^{91}$ The clause bý bínè bïne̋ illustrates the occurrence of a cognate noun object, which has been argued as evidence in other languages that there are no true intransitive verbs. However, there are plenty of Nchane verbs which present as intransitive (e.g., 'cry', 'sleep' 'die' and 'breathe'). Meanwhile, some Nchane verbs which are often intransitive in English, like 'dance' and 'sing', are usually or even strictly transitive.

[^2]:    ${ }^{92}$ The Conditional construction is very similar to that reported for Noni, where the protasis is introduced by $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ (cf. n'́) and the apodosis is introduced by either $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ or tō (cf. ń and tú respectively) (Hyman 1981: 102).

[^3]:    ${ }^{93}$ This verb can also mean "minimize" or "make small".

[^4]:    ${ }^{94}$ In very rare cases, this word shows class 1 agreement, suggesting that it is a noun. However, it commonly appears phonologically bound with nominal modifiers such as chī 'all', and without any agreement. Thus, it is believed to have grammaticalized into an adverb.

[^5]:    ${ }^{95}$ The use of the c7 associative marker with a c8 noun reflects the singular nature of 'pictures' as used here, where it refers to a single 'film'.

[^6]:    ${ }^{96}$ There are counter examples, where a question quote is introduced by the standard $\mathbf{l} \overline{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$. The motivation for this variation, as well as the difference in meaning or function between the variants, is unknown at this time.

[^7]:    ${ }^{97}$ The high tone on the first two words of this sentence is the result of intonation associated with exasperation or some other strong emotion.

[^8]:    ${ }^{98}$ The use of the c 7 associative marker with a c8 noun reflects the singular nature of 'pictures' as used here, where it refers to a single 'film'.

[^9]:    ${ }^{99}$ The analysis of the prepositional phrase translated as 'so' presents some difficulties. The tone on nj 'like.that' appears with a SH tone. Furthermore, the tone on the entire prepositional phrase is higher than on a neutral prepositional phrase, with the high tone continuing onto the subject and auxiliary $\mathbf{m} \overline{\mathbf{y}}$ of the focal clause. A possible explanation is that this high tone represents Hortative mood, which is realized on, not only the subject, but also on the preceding prepositional phrase functioning as an adverbial. As for the prepositional phrase itself, it appears to have a conventional usage here and, therefore, lexicalized. Thus, the lexicalized form might present a different tonal pattern than expected for the ungrammaticalized form. Further research would be needed to substantiate these hypotheses.

