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## Chapter 6

## Noun phrase structure and nominal modifiers

This chapter is concerned with the structure of Nchane noun phrases. Sections 6.1 and 6.2 describe simple and complex noun phrases respectively. The distinction between "simple" and "complex" is based loosely on Dryer (2007), with simple noun phrases limited to those with non-complex heads and potentially single-word modifiers and complex noun phrases covering all other cases.

A summary of the agreement system is included in this chapter in $\S 6.3$ since the realization of agreement is an important feature of nominal modifiers, which are presented in §6.4. Some nominal modifiers can themselves serve as nominal constituents of a clause and are discussed in $\S 6.5$. The final section (§6.6) deals with noun phrase word order.

### 6.1 Simple noun phrases

Simple noun phrases are those involving a single noun, with or without a single-word modifier. The head of simple noun phrases is most often a lexical noun or a pronoun, both of which are illustrated in example (6.1). Noun phrases in this section are placed in square brackets while head nouns are bolded.

| (6.1) | [Ø-sจ̄ŋ̄] | ná-á | [bè] | bé | [ $\overline{\mathbf{m}}-\mathbf{m} \overline{\mathrm{c}}$ :] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | c5-oil.palm | give-PROG | 1PL | with | c6a-oil |

'The oil palm tree provides us with oil...'
King of Trees.1.1

Noun phrases may consist of just a head noun alone as in example (6.1), or they may include one or more nominal modifiers, which follow the head noun, as shown in (6.2) and (6.3).

'...it (the fly) left and sat on his (the man's) stomach.'
Greedy Friends.1.15

| (6.3) | ḿ-bú | $[b \overline{1}-m b i ̄ \eta \grave{\varepsilon}$ | bā-fédè $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1SG-pick | c2-smooth.stone | c2-two |

'...I will pick two smooth stones ...'
Inheritance. 11

While pronouns are very common in the corpus, noun phrases with a pronominal head are rare. The types of modifiers observed modifying pronominal head nouns are limited to relative clauses and numerals, the latter of which example (6.4) illustrates.


### 6.2 Complex noun phrases

Complex noun phrases are characterized by a head consisting of multiple noun phrases or by modifiers consisting of more than one word. These include conjoined noun phrases and associative noun phrases, both of which are treated in the sections below. Relative clauses are also complex modifiers. However, due to the higher degree of complexity of relative clauses, they are treated separately in chapter 11 rather than here. One could argue that noun phrases consisting of a head noun and a modifying possessive pronoun are complex as well and belong in this section, since the possessive pronoun is by definition a noun. However, possessive pronouns rarely
appear as nominal heads, functioning the majority of the time adjectively. Therefore, I include possessive pronouns with nominal modifiers described in §6.4.

### 6.2.1 Conjoined noun phrases

Two noun phrases may be joined together with an intervening conjunction as in (6.5) and (6.6).

| (6.5) | $b \bar{a}$ | $k \bar{\varepsilon}$ | $b \bar{a}$ | chùg-è | $b \bar{a}$ | bús-è |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | they | begin | $c 2$ | wash-PROG | $c 2$ | remove-PROG |


| $[$ chē | bé | Ø-gvúnē] | yéyē |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c10.palm.kernel | with | c5-chaff | separate |

'...they begin to wash and separate the kernels and the chaff...' Making Palm Oil.1.5
(6.6) Ø-sȳŋ̄̄ ná-á bè bé ... bī-tédè bī
c5-oil.palm give-PROG 1PL with ... c8-frond c8REL

cop like c4-bamboo.shaft or c4-firewood
'The oil palm tree provides us with...palm fronds that are used as (lit. like) bamboo rods or firewood...' King of Trees.1.3

Use of the disjunctive coordinator $\mathbf{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ in joining noun phrases as in (6.6) is considered undesirable by some native speakers. Nevertheless, this example illustrates identical syntactic structure as that observed for conjoined noun phrases with bé.

Examples (6.7) and (6.8) are taken from the same text and are rare cases of noun phrases with more than two conjoined noun phrases. These examples show that noun phrases consisting of more than two conjoined noun phrases can employ a serial strategy with a conjunction appearing only between the ultimate and penultimate noun phrases, as in (6.7), or a multiple conjunction strategy, with each set of adjacent noun phrases joined by a conjunction, as in (6.8).


| (6.8) | b̄̄ | g $\bar{\varepsilon}:$ | $[$ shí | bé | shóy | bé | bā-nā], |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3pL | put | c10.chicken | with | c10.sheep | with | c2-cow |

'...they put aside chickens and sheep and cows, ...' Inheritance. 2

The scope in which conjoined noun phrases behave as single, unified phrases is somewhat limited. For example, as (6.9) illustrates, conjoined noun phrases sharing a single determiner is considered ungrammatical; both of the noun phrases must have their own determiner, as in (6.10). This constraint ensures there is no agreement confusion.

| *[Ø-jwènss̀̀ | bé | Ø-kw | wú-mù] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c1-man | with | c1-woman.3SG.POSS | c1-some |

'... a certain man and his wife ...' (Jealous Husband.1.1)

| $[\boldsymbol{O}-\mathbf{j w} \mathbf{\varepsilon} n s \grave{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ | wū-mū | bé | $\boldsymbol{\emptyset}-\mathbf{k w} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}:$ | wú-mū] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c1-man | c1-some | with | c1-woman.3SG.POSS | c1-some |

'... a certain man and his wife...'
Jealous Husband.1.1

However, conjoined noun phrases can license a single pronoun as observed in (6.11) and (6.12). The "shared" pronoun in the examples below is underlined.

| (6.11) | [Ø-jwéns®̋ | bě | Ø-kwè] | jōd-è | kī-fê |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | c1-husband.hort | with | c1-wife.3sg.poss | take-Prog | c7-tim |


| k̄̄ | bó | yūg-è | $\varnothing$-ǹ-tēf $\bar{\varepsilon}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{COMP(K)~}$ | 3PL | hear-PROG | c1-NMZR-advise |

'The husband and wife should be taking time to be hearing advice...'
Marriage.6.1


| Ø-kwè: | wú-mū] | b̄̄ | kōn-ē | yé | bá:y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c1-woman.3SG.POSS | c1-some | 3PL.REL | love-PROG | c10.body | much |

'Some [time] ago there was a man and wife who loved each other very much.'

Jealous Husband.1.1

Examples of this phenomenon in the corpus occur only with class 1 human nouns, for which the 3PL pronoun is appropriate. Further research would be necessary to determine if conjoined non-human referents and/or non-class 1 nouns could also share pronoun licensing. Of particular interest would be how the agreement system would handle conjoined nouns of different noun classes.

### 6.2.2 Associative noun phrases

Associative constructions consist of two nouns with an intervening associative marker (AM), which agrees with the head noun it follows. The structure of this noun phrase is summarized by the schema below.

## $\mathrm{N}_{1} \quad \mathrm{AM} \quad \mathrm{N}_{2}$

The noun class prefix of the second noun is maintained. The tone on the associative marker varies depending on the class: low tone for class 1, high tone for classes 3, 4 and 10 , and mid tone for all other classes.

The associative construction is primarily used for expressing possession when the possessor is a full noun as in (6.13)-(6.15).
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { (6.13) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { kī-nchō: } \\ \text { c7-horn }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { kī } \\ \text { c7AM }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Ø-nā } \\ \text { c1-cow }\end{array} \\ \text { cow's horn' }\end{array}\right]$
'children's pa'

Examples (6.13) and (6.14) clearly show that inalienable as well as alienable possession may be expressed through associative constructions. Inalienable possession may also be expressed through the possessum alone when the possessor is cognitively accessible as in (6.16).

| $\emptyset-j w \bar{\varepsilon} y$ | m $\bar{\jmath}$ | wù | chūg̀̀ | chyà: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c1-husband | RES | 3SG | wash | c10.hand |

'The husband then washed (his) hands.

Associative noun constructions where the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is itself a possessed form lack the associative marker, as demonstrated in examples (6.17)-(6.19). Each of these has a class 1 noun as head, for which the associative marker is [wu], which was suggested in $\S 5.3$ as possibly prone to elision. It is possible that this phenomenon is limited to associative constructions with class 1 heads or even only those involving kinship terms, although the data are unavailable to confirm this hypothesis.

| (6.17) | Ø-kw $\bar{\varepsilon} \quad \emptyset-m w a \bar{a}$ wū-nà <br> c1-wife c1-child c1-2pL.pOSS |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 'wife [of] your child' |
| (6.18) | Ø-bwē $\quad$--jwへ̀: |
|  | c1-mother c1-husband.2SG.POSS |
|  | 'mother [of] your husband' |
| (6.19) | Ø-chíjī $\quad$ Ø-jwゝ̀: |
|  | c1-father c1-husband.2SG.POSS |
|  | 'father [of] your husband' |

In addition to possession, other semantic relationships may be expressed through associative constructions, including OBJECT-SOURCE, OBJECTPURPOSE and some attributives. Examples (6.20)-(6.26) illustrate the range of expressions possible.

| (6.20) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { bā-mī } & \text { bā } \\ \text { c2-person } & \text { c2AM } \end{array}$ | n̄chānē N . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'Nchane people' |  |
| (6.21) | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { á-j̄} \overline{1} & \bar{a} & \emptyset \\ \text { c6-eye } & \text { c6AM } & \text { c1 } \end{array}$ | Ø-ywà <br> c1-book |
|  | 'letters of the alph | phabet' |
| (6.22) | bī-ŋkāŋ bī | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-sจ̄ŋ |
|  | c8-beetle c8AM | M c6-palm.tree |
|  | 'palm beetles' |  |


| (6.23) | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { mw- } \bar{\varepsilon}: & \text { mū } & \emptyset \text {-l } \bar{\varepsilon} m e ̀ ~ \\ c 18 a-t h i n g ~ & c 18 a A M & c 5-\text { work }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 'tools' (lit. things of work) |
| (6.24) | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { bvū-j̄̄ŋ̄̄ } & \text { bvū } & \text { Ø-s } s \bar{y} \bar{\supset} \\ \text { c14-goodness } & \text { c14AM } & \text { c5-palm.tree }\end{array}$ |
|  | 'importance (or benefit) of palm trees' (lit. goodness of...) |
| (6.25) | Ø-ìg $\bar{\varepsilon} \quad$ wù j̀̀shyén <br> c1-trouble c1AM c9.property |
|  | 'land dispute' |
| (6.26) | $\emptyset$-mū wù j̀̀chè <br> c1-person c1AM c9.medicine |
|  | 'doctor' |

Associative noun phrases may be modified, with the modifier agreeing with the head noun, as in (6.27) and (6.28). The associative noun phrases are placed in brackets and the agreement elements have been bolded to facilitate the illustrations.

| (6.27) | [Ø-mū <br> c1-person | $\mathbf{w u ̄}$ <br> c1AM | nจ̄] <br> c9.god | wú-né <br> c1-PROX |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'This man | God |  |  |  |  | Marriage.3.7 |
| (6.28) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { bā } & \mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon} \\ \text { they } & \mathrm{P} 3 \end{array}$ | $y \bar{y} y \varepsilon ̀$ <br> teach | kòn <br> about |  |  |  |  |
|  | [bà-nchī c2-law | bā c2AM | kī-yō <br> c7-spirit | kī c7REL | yūdē] <br> clean | bā-n $\bar{\varepsilon}$ c2-four |  |
|  | '...they tau that is clea | $h t a b c$ | tt the fou | $r$ spiritu | l laws | ' (lit. fo | s of the spirit <br> Training.1.7 |

As seen in (6.28), the modifying noun $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ itself can have a modifier, with agreement controlled by $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$. This is further illustrated in (6.29).


What-goes-around.7.1

Note the different syntactic structures of these associative noun phrases. The demonstrative and quantifier in (6.27) and (6.28) respectively have the associative noun phrase itself as head, while the demonstrative in (6.29) has $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ as its head, and thus belongs to a lower level of syntactic structure. These alternative agreement realizations are summarized by the schemas in Figure 6.1.

| $(6.27) /(6.28)$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N}_{\alpha} & \mathrm{AM}_{\alpha} & \left.\mathrm{N}_{\beta}\right]_{\text {ASSOCP }} & \mathrm{DEM}_{\alpha}\end{array}\right]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(6.29)$ | $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}\right.$ | $\mathrm{AM}_{\alpha}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ | $\left.\left.\mathrm{DEM}_{\beta}\right]_{\mathrm{ASSOCP}}\right]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ |

Figure 6.1 Alternative agreement patterns of constructions with associative noun phrases.

Example (6.30) represents a rare case of apparent agreement confusion. It is clear from the textual context that the proper interpretation of this phrase is "this land problem", although the literal reading is "the trouble of this land".

| Ø-ŋ̀g $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | wù | j̀shyén | yē-nè | wù | g̀̀ | k $\bar{\varepsilon}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c1-trouble | c1AM | c9.property | c9-PROX | c1 | P3 | begin |

kī-fē kì
c7-time c7REL
'This land problem began when...'
Land Dispute.3.1

It is possible that agreement confusion of this kind happens more often when the noun falsely assigned as controller of agreement is $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ of the associative noun phrase, resulting in the closer of the two nouns being assigned control.

Associative noun phrases are observed to be recursive, at times nesting a second associative noun phrase inside the first. This phenomenon is illustrated in (6.31). Recursive associative noun phrases are not common in the data and might be limited to constructions that are in the process of lexicalization.

| (6.31) | $\mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{o}}$ k ¢ m |  | kì bō g ${ }_{\text {ç }}$ : |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3pl hav | $-\mathrm{PROG}$ | $\operatorname{COMP}(\mathrm{K}) \quad 3$ | 3PL go |  |  |
|  | [bvū-shí | bvū | [Ø-mù | wù | Ø-nı̀] ] | lē |
|  | c14-face | c14AM | c1-person | c1am | c1-god | APPL |
|  | ‘...they <br> person | ve to God) | go before the | he man | God.' | go |

### 6.3 Agreement

This section will present a summary of the various elements that show agreement. Agreement is defined as any kind of feature which shows a correspondence between a particular noun and some other word class.

The word classes which show agreement fall into two categories:
(1) Words taking an agreement prefix. These words can be loosely described as modifiers and include possessive pronouns, spatial demonstratives, anaphoric demonstratives, quantifiers, "some", and numbers. The agreement prefixes are summarized in Table 6.1. A minus sign represents an unattested form. The multiple agreement forms associated with possessive pronouns are mostly due to stem shape, with vowel initial stems taking a C prefix, and consonant initial stems taking a CV prefix. Note that tone for the proximal demonstratives is either H or M , while that for the distal demonstratives is either M or L. See §6.4.1 for precise details. Also note that the initial consonant of agreement prefixes for numbers are sometimes elided when they are semivowels (i.e., [w] and [j]).

| Class | Possessive pronoun | Dem spatial | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dem } \\ \text { ANA1 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dem } \\ & \text { ANA2 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Quantifier | Num |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | w-, wū- | wu- | w- | wú- | wù-/wū- | wù- |
| 2 | b-, bē-, bā- | ba- | b- | bá- | bā-, bá- | bā- |
| 3 | w-, wū- | kfu- | kw- | wú- | wū-/wú- | wū- |
| 4 | y -, yē- | che- | ch- | yí- | yī-, yí- | yī- |
| 5 | ch-, chè- | che- | chy- | chí- | chī-, chí- | chī- |
| 6 | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-, āw-, āwū- | ka- | k- | á- | ā-, á- | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ - |
| 7 | k-, kè- | ki- | k- | kí- | kī-, kí- | kī- |
| 8 | by-, bè- | bi- | by- | bí- | bī-, bí- | bī- |
| 9 | ỳ-, yè- | yi- | y- | yí- | yì, yí- | yì |
| 10 | ý-, yé- | che- | chy- | yí- | yī-, yí- | yī- |
| 14 | bw-, bù-, bwè-, bvù- | bvu- | bw- | bvú- | bvū-, bvú- | bvū- |
| 6a | m-, mw-, mù-, mòy- | ma- | m- | má- | māN-, máN- | māN- |
| 19 | fy-, fī- | fi- | fy ${ }^{-}$ | fí- | fī-, fí- | fi- |
| 18a | mw-, mū-, mwū- | mu- | mw- | mú- | mūN-, múN- | mū- |
| 13 | ch-, chè- | che- | chy- | chí- | chī, chí- | chī- |
| 16 | f -, f ¢ ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | f $\varepsilon$-, fo- | f- | f '́- | f $\bar{\varepsilon}-$, f $\chi^{\prime}$ - | - |
| 18 | ā-, āw-, āwē- | ya- | y - | á- | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-, á- | - |

Table 6.1 Agreement prefixes (for possessive pronouns, spatial demonstratives, anaphoric demonstratives, quantifiers and numerals).
(2) Non-complex words. Morphologically simple words showing agreement include preverbal and postverbal pronouns, relativizers and the associative marker of associative noun phrases. These are summarized in Table 6.2. Relative clauses modifying class 18 are unattested, which is indicated in the table by a minus sign. Words enclosed by parentheses have either doubtful attestations or have extensive restrictions on distribution. Detailed descriptions of pronouns and relative clauses is given in chapters 6 and 11 respectively, while a description of associative noun phrases appears in the section prior to this one (§6.2.2).

| Class | Preverbal Pro | Postverbal Pro | Relativizer ${ }^{45}$ | AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | wū | wù | wu | wù |
| 2 | bā | bó | ba | bā |
| 3 | wū | wú | wu | wú |
| 4 | yī | yí | yi | yí |
| 5 | chī | chí | chi | chī |
| 6 | wō | wó | a | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 7 | kī | kí | ki | kī |
| 8 | bī | bí | bi | bī |
| 9 | yī | yì | yi | yī |
| 10 | yī, yí | yí | yi | yí |
| 14 | bvū | bvú | bvu | bvū |
| 6a | m๊ | mó | ma | mā |
| 19 | fī | fí | fi | fī |
| 18a | mūy | mứ | muy | mū |
| 13 | chī | yí | chi | chī |
| 16 | (f $\bar{\varepsilon}$ ) | (f') | (f£) | f $\bar{\varepsilon}$ |
| 18 | (ā) | (wó) | - | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ |

Table 6.2 Words showing agreement (preverbal pronouns, postverbal pronouns, relativizers and associative markers).

### 6.4 Nominal modifiers

This section describes the following Nchane nominal modifiers: possessive pronouns, demonstratives, quantifiers, "some", numerals and adjectives. Each of these modifiers consists of a stem and an agreement prefix, which expresses agreement with the head noun. These modifiers are broadly classified as determiners, as they function in determining the reference or quantity characteristics associated with the head noun. Some of the modifying word classes are observed functioning as nominal heads themselves, independent of any overt, lexical noun (see §6.5). And I assume that any determiner category may do so. However, the overwhelming function of these words is modifying and as such, they are treated primarily as nominal modifiers.

### 6.4.1 Possessive Pronouns

Possessed nouns (other than those in associative noun phrases) are immediately followed by a possessive pronoun which consists of an agreement prefix and a stem. The agreement prefix expresses agreement with the head noun (or possessum), while

[^0]the stem encodes for person and number of the possessor. Examples (6.32) and (6.33) are given to illustrate.


Non-human possessors do not express possession through possessive pronouns, but rather through associative noun phrases (see for example (6.13)). A possible exception to this rule is when a non-human is personified. This can lead to a situation where pronominal reference associated with a human referent can be used for stylistic reasons.

Table 6.3 provides a summary of the possessive pronoun forms. Some variation of vowels is observed in the stems of all but the 1 SG and 3 PL series. This variation is especially pronounced in the 1PL and 2PL series, where the stem vowel is sometimes $\mathbf{e}$ and sometimes a. It is likely that this variation is at least in part free, with both forms fully accepted by speakers.

At times, there is also variation of the agreement in the paradigm resulting in less conservative reflexes than those found in the agreement paradigms of other word classes (cf. the quantifiers paradigm). The variation, particularly that of vowels, is even observed within certain series. For example, the class 2 agreement is generally ba-, but for 1PL it is bo-. Class 14 agreement for 2PL is another notable form, with the vowel appearing as $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ rather than $\mathbf{u}$.

The agreement for classes 6 and 18 in all but the 3pl series reveals a segmentally longer form than seen in other concordant word classes. The agreement for these classes is usually (C)a, or sometimes the phonetically similar (C)d. But in the possessive pronoun series the underlying agreement is awu. This represents the only case of a disyllabic agreement prefix and is reminiscent of augments in some Bantu languages (see Katamba 2003: 107-8). Regardless of its source, it is likely a strategy adopted by the language to overcome the problem of prefixation of a vowel initial stem with a prefix that has no fundamentally associated consonant. If this hypothesis is correct, then one would need to posit that the strategy is unnecessarily extended to the plural possessive pronoun series, which do not have vowel initial stems.

| Class | Person/Number |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1SG | 2SG | 3SG | 1PL | 2PL | 3PL |
| 1 | wàn | wò | wè | wūsè | wūnà | wūbō |
| 2 | bày | bòy | bè | bēsà | bānā | bābō |
| 3 | wāq | wò | wē | wūsē | wūnè | wūbう̄ |
| 4 | yāy | yò | yì | yēsē | yēnē | yēbō |
| 5 | chày | chùn | chè | chèsā | chènā | chìbō |
| 6 | āwāy | āwò | āwì | āwūsē | āwūnā | ābō |
| 7 | kàn | kùn | kè | kèsā | kènā | kēbō |
| 8 | byāy | byūn | byè | bèsā | bènã | bēbō |
| 9 | yày | yò | yè | yèsè | yènè | yēbō |
| 10 | yáy | yó | yé | yésé | yéné | yébō |
| 14 | bwày | bwōn | bwè | bvùsà | bwènà | bvùbō |
| 6a | m(w)āy | mōท | mwè | mùsà | mùnā | mò̀bō |
| 19 | fyàn | fyòn | fyè | fīsà | fīnà | fībō |
| 18a | mwày | mwōn | mwè | mūsà | mūnā | mwūbō |
| 13 | chày | chōn | chē | chèsã | chènã | chēbō |
| 16 | fāy | fōท | fē | fèsà | fènà | f $\overline{\text { ch }}$ ¢̄ |
| 18 | āwày | āwò | āwè | $\bar{a} w e ̄ s e ̄$ | āwēnē | ābō |

Table 6.3 Nchane possessive pronouns.

The singular possessives of certain kinship terms, all of which belong lexically to class 1 , display portmanteau forms, with the possessor fused with the possessum. For example, the form for 'my wife' is derived from $\mathbf{k w} \bar{\varepsilon} s e^{\prime}$ 'wife' + an '1SG.POSS', resulting in the form kwà:. Table 6.4 gives an account of some of these words, along with their plural counterparts. The portmanteau phenomenon does not extend to the plural possessive forms, although the 3PL form is reduced, lacking an agreement prefix.

| Base form 'gloss' | 1SG | 2SG | 3SG | 1PL | 2PL | 3PL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kwēsé 'wife' jwēysć 'husband' bwè: 'mother' chī: 'father' | kwã: <br> jwà: <br> bwà: <br> chíjàn | kwō: <br> jwò: <br> bwō: <br> chíjò | kwè: <br> jwę:: <br> bwè̀: <br> chíjè: | kw $\bar{\varepsilon}$ wèsè jōmā wèsè bwē wèsè chíjí wèsè | kw $\bar{\varepsilon}$ wènè jōmā wènè bwē wènè chíjí wènè | kwē bó jōmā bó bwè bó chíjì b |

Table 6.4 Nchane possessive series of illustrative kinship terms with portmanteau members.

Identifying a base form for these kinship terms is difficult, since the core semantics of each involve the notion of possession. This allows for these base forms to be used in contexts that express a possessive relationship for which it is not overtly marked. Additionally, the base forms for 'wife' and 'husband' are disyllabic, with the ultimate syllable apparently not present in the conjugated forms. Curiously, the
opposite is observed in the word for 'father', with the base form monosyllabic and most of the conjugated forms displaying all of, or a portion of, the second syllable ji.

The plurals of these kinship terms belong to class 2 and take the prefix bā-. No agreement is observed in the portmanteau forms, but agreement is present in the plural possessor forms (except in the case of 3PL). To illustrate, compare examples (6.34) and (6.35).
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lll}\text { (6.34) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { lé } \\
\text { cOP }\end{array}
$$ \& bā-chíjàn <br>

c2-father.1SG.POSS\end{array}\right]\)|  | 'They are my fathers.' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

'They are our fathers.'

### 6.4.2 Demonstratives

Two types of demonstratives are present in Nchane, both types being represented by two forms each. The exophoric set of demonstratives expresses the notion of spatial orientation. The endophoric set is used in anaphoric reference and is important to discourse organization. One of these anaphoric demonstratives, designated as ANA2, also has a unique function of encoding speaker attitude toward the participant. Both types occur immediately after the modified noun (or after the possessive pronoun if one is present). The spatial demonstratives are described first and then the anaphoric demonstratives.

## Spatial demonstratives

Nchane has a bipartite system of spatial demonstratives, which identify the referent in terms of relative distance from the deictic center, which is most commonly the speaker. The proximal demonstrative has the underlying form nē and marks referents located near the speaker, while the distal demonstrative has the underlying form gē and marks referents located far from the speaker. (The distal demonstrative is subject to vowel copying, resulting in the alternate form $\mathbf{g a ̄}$. See $\S 3.5$ for more details.) These two demonstratives combine with a set of noun class agreement prefixes as illustrated in (6.36)-(6.39).

| (6.36) | wù | dú, | ḱ | là | mày-kàlà | mā-nē, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3SG | say | catch.IMP | CE | c6a-cassava.puff | c6a-PROX |

'She said (with irritation), "Take this cassava puff...".'

| (6.37) | já | ȳ̄ | nàn | jí-nè | lē | chègē |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | leave.IMP | from | c9.meat | c9-PROX | APPL | quickly |

'Go away from this meat quickly!'
Greedy Friends.1.11

| (6.38) | jó | bvū-ḱ | bvù-gè |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | take.IMP | c14-ladder | c14-DIST |

'Take that ladder (over there).'

| (6.39) | jó | n $\overline{0}$ | yī-nē, | n $\bar{~}$ | yì-gè | kōd $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | g̀̀ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | take.IMP | c9.cutlass | c9-PROX | c9.cutlass | c9-DIST | sharp | NEG2 |

'Take this cutlass (near me), that cutlass (over there) is not sharp.'

While both of these demonstratives are used routinely in normal speech in exophoric reference, only the proximal demonstrative appears in the text corpus and a large majority of these occurrences are used in making anaphoric reference. For example, the phrase "this joining" in (6.40) is a reference to the act of marriage, which is mentioned earlier in the text. It cannot be construed as a reference encoding relative spatial position. Note that anaphoric reference through the proximal demonstrative may also encode special topic status (see $\S 16.2 .3$ for more details).

| (6.40) | Ø-ǹ-tāsè | wú-nē | lé | nò | wū | tó | bé | Ø-bwè |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | c1-NMZR-join | c7-PROX | COP | like.that | 3SG | come | with | c1-mother |


'This joining (marriage) will come through the mother, the father or THOSE children.'

Marriage.3.3

Other cases are ambiguous-the proximal demonstrative could be functioning exophorically or endophorically. This is illustrated in (6.41), where the phrase "this blind man" refers to a blind man that was seen earlier. It is possible that the blindman is still nearby at the moment of this utterance and the speaker is indicating his physical presence. Or the reference could be anaphoric, indicating the aforementioned blind man.

| (6.41) | kì-nfę̀: <br> c7-blind.man | kī-nē <br> c7-PROX | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lé } \\ & \text { COP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kī } \\ & \text { c7 } \end{aligned}$ | fūg-sè <br> worry-CAUS | wá already | bā-mī <br> c2-person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | wèsè bé very with | kī-lègè c7-begging |  |  |  |  |  |

'...this blindman has already worried people too much with begging.'
What-goes-around.3.5

As mentioned earlier, the distal demonstrative is not present in the text corpus at all, suggesting that it does not have a discourse function.

A summary of the spatial demonstratives is provided in Table 6.5.

|  | Proximal | Distal |  | Proximal | Distal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | wúnē | wùgè | 2 | bánē | bāgà |
| 3 | kfúnē | kfùgè | 4 | chénē | chēgè |
| 5 | chēnē | chègè | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | kánē <br> chénē | kàgà chègè |
| 7 | kínē | kìgè | 8 | bínē | bìgè |
| 9 | yínē | yìgè | 10 | chénē | chēgè |
| 14 | bvúnē | bvùgè | 6a | mánē | màgà |
| 19 | fīnē | figè | 18a | mūnē | mùngè |
| 16 | f $\bar{n}$ ē | fògè |  |  |  |
| 18 | yānē | yāgà |  |  |  |

Table 6.5 Nchane spatial demonstratives.

## Anaphoric demonstratives

The other type of demonstrative functions primarily in anaphoric reference. That is, the demonstratives are used to refer to a participant that has been previously mentioned. These referents are most often major participants in the story. Note that other pragmatic considerations can call for the use of the second anaphoric demonstrative (ANA2), as will be illustrated later in this section.

The functional difference between the two anaphoric demonstratives is pragmatic. The first anaphoric demonstrative (ANA1), with the underlying form $\grave{\varepsilon}$, is used to mark nouns in a story that are coreferential with important participants or
props. For example, "money" (lit. "teeth" ${ }^{46}$ ) in (6.42) is an important prop in a story about a house fire. The money burns up in the fire and the loss of the money was a tremendous hardship for the speaker and a source of sorrow.

| (6.42) | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{m} \bar{\varepsilon} & \mathrm{~g} \bar{\varepsilon} \\ \text { 1SG.PRO } & \mathrm{P} 3 \end{array}$ | $\bar{\varepsilon} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | $\bar{n}$-t <br> 1sG-come | bé with | bī-gè, c8-tooth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ǹ-tó, } \\ & \text { 1sG-come } \end{aligned}$ | ń-ché, 1SG-sleep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bvūchūyū, next.morning |  | $\overline{\mathrm{n}}$-jò <br> 1sG-take | bī-gè <br> c8-tooth | by- $\bar{\varepsilon}:$ <br> c8-ANA1 |  |  |
|  | ǹ-lē $\bar{y}$ |  | ¢̀ y ē |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1sG-enter 1 |  | -put c9.h | ouse |  |  |  |

'I brought some money (lit. teeth) and slept. The next morning, I took that money and put it in my house.'

Fire.1.4-5

Similarly, the "woman" and the "blindman" in (6.43) are both major participants in the story the example comes from. And the "cow" in (6.44) is an important prop in the Three greedy friends text; it is present throughout the entire story.

'That woman continued frying cassava puffs and that blindman was always coming and begging cassava puffs from her, day after day.'

What-goes-around.1.5

[^1]
'They arranged to buy a cow to slaughter and sell. After they bought that cow and came with it...'

Greedy Friends.1.3-1.4

The second anaphoric demonstrative (ANA2), with the root yú, also marks the modified noun as referring to an important participant or prop in the story. However, ANA2 most often has the additional function of marking the referent as one that has been or will be involved in some kind of climactic event and/or one that is viewed as somehow inherently faulty or otherwise negative. The use of ANA2 is largely subjective in nature and reveals the attitude of the speaker towards the referent. The examples given in the remainder of this section illustrate some of the semanticpragmatic uses of the demonstrative. For a fuller treatment of the anaphoric demonstratives, see Boutwell (2018). Also, see the interlinearized text provided in Chapter 17 in order to more easily observe both anaphoric demonstratives functioning within a larger discourse context.

Examples (6.45) and (6.46) illustrate ANA2 modifying a referent that was marked previously with ANA1. In both cases, the function of ANA2 is primarily pragmatic-to indicate to the hearer that a negative event connected to that referent is about to occur. For example, following the sentence in example (6.45), the cow is laid on the man, who is then killed by the cow's horn.

| (6.45) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y-é } \\ & \text { c10- } \end{aligned}$ | 3SG.POSS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chy- } \bar{\varepsilon} \\ & \text { c10-At } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y} \overline{1} \\ & \mathrm{c} 10 \end{aligned}$ | b $\bar{q} \eta$ agree | $1 \bar{\varepsilon}$ <br> COMP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | wū | gĩ |  | fè-kū | bó | k' |  | Ø-nà | wú-yú |
|  | 3SG | lie.do |  | c16-down | 3PL | catch |  | c1-cow | c1-ANA2 |
|  | bó | túg | wù | lé | w-è |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3PL |  |  | COP | c1-3sG. | Poss |  |  |  |

'The friends agreed that he should sleep on the ground so they could lay that cow on him.'

Greedy Friends.1.5

Example (6.46) is taken from a story in which rats plan to tie a bell on the tail of a cat who has been terrorizing them, in order to alert them of the cat's approach. In the very next sentence, the cat appears and begins to catch them. The association of the bell with the traumatic event is only peripheral, but it serves as a peak-marking device, increasing tension.

'They agreed that it would be the fat, black rat who would tie THAT bell (on the cat's tail).'

Cat and Rats.1.6

There is some evidence from the text corpus that referents that produce a sound are preferentially marked by ANA2. Thus, there might be multiple factors related to the use of ANA2 with the bell in the previous example. Similarly, ANA2 is used preferentially with referents that are deemed in some way as faulty, abnormal or dangerous. This would include entities such as mentally deranged people and storms. This is particularly the case when the "negative" condition of the referent is in contrast with the "normal" condition. This is illustrated in (6.47), which provides a contrast between a woman who has changed herself into an animal and her fellow-wife who is a normal woman.

| Ø-kw $\bar{\varepsilon} s e ́$ | $w \bar{\varepsilon}-\grave{\varepsilon}$ | jà | $w \overline{1}$ | mēsè, | wú | $g \bar{\varepsilon}:$ | wú |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c1-woman | c1-ANA1 | stand | up | complete | 3 SG | go | 3 SG |



| Ø-kwēsé | wú-yú | shè | j̀chùy | à | nà | lē |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c1-woman | c1-ANA2 | remain | c?.bush | in | c9.animal | APPL |

'The woman got up, went and took that food-mat and ran home with it. The (other) "woman" remained in the bush as an animal.'

Two Wives.4.1-3

Note that ANA2 is not always used with this referent; at times this referent appears with ANA1. This suggests that there are several factors related to its use in addition to adverse quality, such as speaker preference and storytelling style.

As a subjective discourse tool, the effect of ANA2 is sometimes subtle and the purpose of its use not readily apparent. Such is the case in (6.48), where it functions to express a speaker comment regarding the appropriate way to enter into marriage. The speaker is giving advice about marriage to a group of young people and is saying that the agreement to marry can be made by the parents or by the children (i.e., the boy and girl) themselves. His use of ANA2 with "children" indicates the speaker's displeasure at children arranging their own marriage, which is traditionally accomplished by the parents of the children.

| Ø-ǹ-tāà | wú-nē | lé | nò | wū | tó | bé | Ø-bwè |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c1-NMZR- join | c1-PROX | COP | like.that | c1 | come | with | c1-mother |
| k $\bar{n} n e ̄ ~ b \varepsilon ́ ~$ or with | Ø-chíjī <br> c1-father | $k \bar{\varepsilon} n e ̄$ <br> or | bé with | bゝ̄: <br> c2.child |  |  |  |

'This joining (marriage) will come through the mother, the father or THOSE children.'

Marriage.3.3

There is otherwise no negative or surprising event connected with this reference and no effect at the discourse level. The sole purpose for its use is the expression of a very subtle discouragement of this behavior and is an extreme case of its subjective use.

While ANA2 is most often associated with adverse reference, it can also be used to express the notion of intensity and/or complexity as in (6.49). This example comes from a text describing a training program for local pastors. The function of ANA2 in this example appears to indicate that the meeting consisted of multiple modules and/or that the training given through the meeting was deemed challenging by the speaker.

| Ø-j̀-shìlè | wú-yú | gè | bé | kōn̄ | bá-mí | bá |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c1-NMZR-sit | c1-ANA2 | P3 | ${ }^{\text {P }} \mathrm{COP}$ | about | c2-person | c2Am |
| Ø-ḿ-fíjē | j $\bar{\varepsilon}$ |  | yī | Ø-nı̀ |  |  |
| c1-NMZR-preach | h c9.wo |  | c9am | c1-god |  |  |

'This meeting was about (or for) preachers.'
Training.1.2

It is important to note that ANA1 or the distal demonstrative could be substituted for ANA2 in (6.49). However, it would be unacceptable for ANA2 to be used if the meeting was short, uninteresting and simple in content (with all other factors remaining the same).

Table 6.6 provides a summary of the anaphoric demonstratives. Note that the vowel of the ANA1 root is deleted when the prefix vowel is the low vowel/a/, followed by compensatory vowel lengthening. This is the case for classes $2,6,6 a$ and 18 . See $\S 3.4$ for more details. Also, a palatal glide is sometimes heard when prefixes have ch or $\mathbf{k}$ onsets. The glide realization is often stronger or weaker depending on who is speaking. In contrast, a glide is almost always heard with demonstratives marked with class 8 or 19 agreement.

|  | ANA1 | ANA2 |  | ANA1 | ANA2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | w $\bar{\varepsilon}^{\text {: }}$ | wúyú | 2 | bà: | báyú |
| 3 | kwè: | wúyú | 4 | $\operatorname{ch}(\mathrm{y}) \bar{\varepsilon}$ : | yíyú |
| 5 | $\operatorname{ch}(\mathrm{y}) \bar{\varepsilon}$ : | chíyú | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | kà: <br> $\operatorname{ch}(\mathrm{y}) \bar{\varepsilon}$ : | áyú <br> chíyú |
| 7 | $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{y}) \overline{\mathrm{c}}$ : | kíyú | 8 | by $\bar{\varepsilon}$ : | bíyú |
| 9 | y ¢ | yíyú | 10 | $\operatorname{ch}(\mathrm{y}) \bar{\varepsilon}$ : | yíyú |
| 14 | bwè: | bvúyú | 6a | mà: | máyú |
| 19 | fy $\overline{\text { : }}$ | fíyú | 18a | mwè: | múyú |
| 16 | fı̀: | féyú |  |  |  |
| 18 | yà: | áyú |  |  |  |

Table 6.6 Nchane anaphoric demonstratives.

## Cross-linguistic and historical observations of the demonstrative system

While Nchane presents a bipartite system of spatial demonstratives, several other Beboid languages are reported to have a tripartite system of spatial demonstratives, including Naami (Tabah 2018a), Chungmboko (Tabah 2018b), Noni (Hyman 1981) and Mungong (Boutwell 2014b). The tripartite systems include demonstratives which express a location far from the speaker and the hearer, in addition to the near-speaker and near-hearer varieties.

The near-hearer (or medial) demonstrative in some of these languages appear to be cognate with the Nchane ANA1 demonstrative. Hyman noted that the Noni nearhearer demonstrative has the dual purpose of expressing spatial orientation and definiteness, or referentiality (Hyman 1981:24). The fact that this spatial demonstrative is observed to have a discourse role further supports its cognate status with Nchane ANA1. Mungong also has clear cognates with Nchane in its demonstrative system. The distal demonstrative in Mungong is reported to be used in anaphoric reference as well (Boutwell 2014b: 10). The class 2 demonstratives of each of these language varieties is given in Table 6.7, in order to illustrate cognancy. Dedicated anaphoric demonstratives are given with a shaded background.

| (Nchane) | Proximal | Medial <br> (ANA1) | Distal | Anaphoric (ANA2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Noni | bā-n | b-ā | bò-bã | bó-dvú |
| Mungong | bō-nə̄ | bé- $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | b-òkō | bó-jùy |
| Nchane | bá-nē | bā-à | bā-gà | bá-yú |

Table 6.7 Cognate class 2 demonstrative forms (Noni, Mungong and Nchane).

The morphology of classes $3,4,6$ and 18 merit a few comments. When considering the complete agreement paradigms for these classes (see Table 6.1 and Table 6.2), each have agreement elements with either no consonant onset or a consonant onset with a high degree of sonorancy (i.e., a glide consonant). However, in the demonstrative paradigm, the agreement for these classes appear with consonant onsets with a lower degree of sonorancy relative to the onsets of their agreement in the remaining agreement system. For example, the agreement for class 6 most often has the form a. But the class 6 agreement for demonstratives is ka. Table 6.8 summarizes these observations.

| Class | Prevailing <br> agreement onset | Demonstrative <br> agreement onset |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | GV | kwV |
| 4 | GV | chV |
| 6 | V | kV |
| 18 | V | yV |

Table 6.8 Unique agreement onsets observed in the demonstrative paradigms of classes 3, 4, 6 and 18 .

The "strong" consonant onset appearing in Nchane demonstratives curiously does not extend to the ANA2 forms. This fact suggests that ANA1 is derived from the exophoric set, as supported by the cognancy of ANA1 with the distal demonstrative of nearby languages; but it seems likely that ANA2 derived from a different source. It is unknown at this time what that source might have been. That Nchane has co-opted a spatial demonstrative to serve as a counterpart for ANA2 brings its demonstrative system in line with Diessel's generalization of demonstrative typologies that demonstrative systems are "usually organized in paradigms of contrastive forms" (2012: 2420). With the distal (near-hearer) demonstrative taking up the task of anaphoric reference fulltime, the far distal demonstrative is generalized to mark all references that are away from the speaker. It also began to be used to make anaphoric references for non-major participants. This appears to be handled most often in the Beboid languages by the distal demonstrative.

A final observation is that the subjective and adverse nature of ANA2 has not been observed in any of the other Beboid languages, nor in other languages of the area. Although, the demonstratives of the nearby Grassfields language Bafut have been observed to be used in expressing various degrees of irritation (Mfonyam 2012). In-depth studies of the demonstrative systems of nearby languages are few in number, particularly those with attention to function beyond spatial deixis and basic anaphoric reference. I expect that similar expressions of what some have termed "emotional deixis" are present in the demonstrative systems of nearby languages, but have yet to be adequately studied. Still, the particulars of Nchane's demonstrative system may very well be unique.

### 6.4.3 Quantifiers

Two dedicated quantifiers have been identified in the text corpus: dùdē 'many' and chī 'all'. The form mù 'some' has multiple functions, quantification being but one. Since it has more than one use, it is treated independently in §6.4.4. Quantifiers generally immediately follow the modified noun and are always marked with noun class agreement. In this section, the noun phrase appears in brackets and the quantifier is bolded.

The quantifier dùdē 'many' is used to express that the number of the modified noun is large, but the number is not specified. When used with uncountable nouns, the meaning rendered is 'much'. The alternative form dùlē is likely a dialectal variant. Examples (6.50)-(6.52) show it modifying plural nouns, while (6.53) illustrates its use with a mass noun.

| (6.50) | [bā-mì bā-dùdē] <br> c2-person c2-many |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 'many people' |
| (6.51) | [mw- $\bar{\varepsilon}: \quad$ mūn-dùlē] <br> c18a-thing c18a-many |
|  | 'many things' |
| (6.52) | [bī-lòy bī-dùlē] |
|  | c8-year c8-many |
|  | 'many years' |
| (6.53) | [kwà wū-dùdē] |
|  | c3.valuable ${ }^{47}$ c3-many |
|  | 'much money' |

The entire number of a noun is expressed through the quantifier chī 'all'. It follows the noun it modifies, as well as a possessive pronoun, if present, as in (6.55).

| (6.54) | wū | t $\bar{\varepsilon} y$ | $[b \overline{1}-\mathrm{kfūnè}$ | bī-chī] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3sG | call | c8-rat | c8-all |

'he called all the rats'

[^2](6.55) | [mw- $\bar{\varepsilon}:$ | mw-ā | mūn-chī] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c18a-thing | c18a-1SG.Poss | c18a-all |
|  | all my things, |  |

While this quantifier is most often associated with plural nouns, it can also modify singular nouns, where it indicates the entirety of the modified noun as illustrated in (6.56) and (6.57).

'The palm is an important property tree in Nchane and to all the world.' King of Trees.1.1

It is common for the quantifier to appear stranded outside of the noun phrase as in (6.58) and (6.59). It appears that quantifier stranding always occurs when the modified noun is in subject position and there is no object (i.e., intransitive constructions).

| [mw- $\bar{\varepsilon}:$ | mū | l $\bar{m} \mathrm{\varepsilon}$ | mū | bēlíkīlà | mú |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c18a-thing | c18aAM | work | c18aAM | builder | c18areL |


| $\mathrm{m} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | $\mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | $\overline{\mathfrak{y}}$-ḱ́m $\dot{\varepsilon}]$ | $\mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | fyé | mūp-chī |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG.PRO | P 3 | 1SG-have | P 3 | burn | c18a-all |

'The builders tools that I had all burnt.'
Fire.5. 4

| l̄ | fí | dó | fí | nù | nȳ, | [bহ̄: | bā-ā] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SET | c19 | SIT | c19 | $\operatorname{cop}(\mathrm{N})$ | like.that | c2.child | c2-ANA1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| g $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | kwē-yè | bā-chī |  |  |  |  |  |
| P3 | die-DISTR | c2-all |  |  |  |  |  |

'As it was so, those children all died (one after the other).'
What-goes-around.10.1

| $[y \bar{e}]$ | $\mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | fyé | yì－chī |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c 9 | P 3 | burn | c 9 －all |

＇．．．it（my house）completely burned．＇
Fire．5．2

The example below is likely a grammaticalized construction，where the noun and quantifier are repeated to express a durative situation．

| （6．61） | Ø－kw $\mathrm{s} s$ é c1－woman | w － $\bar{\varepsilon}$ <br> c1－ANA1 | káy－è m <br> fry－PROG c6 | màn－kàlà， c6a－cassava．puff | kì－fę̀： <br> c7－blind．man |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ky- } \bar{\varepsilon}: \\ & \text { c7-ANA1 } \end{aligned}$ | gēn－è go－PROG | kī l $\bar{\varepsilon} g$－è <br> c7 beg－Prog | màn－kàlà <br> c6a－cassava．puff | $\begin{array}{cc}  & \text { à } \\ \text { ff } & \text { in } \end{array}$ |
|  | Ø－kw $\mathrm{s} s$ é c1－woman | $w \bar{\varepsilon}-\bar{\varepsilon}$ <br> cl－ANA1 | lē，［à－jū <br> APPL c6－day | $\begin{array}{lll} \overline{\mathrm{u}} & \overline{\mathbf{a}-c h \overline{1}]} & {[\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{j} \overline{\mathrm{u}}} \\ \text { day } & \text { c6-all } & \text { c6-day } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \overline{\mathrm{u}} & \overline{\mathbf{a}} \text {-chī] } \\ \text { lay } & \mathrm{c} 6 \text {-all } \end{array}$ |

＇That woman continued frying cassava puff and that blind man was always coming and begging cassava puff from her，day after day．＇

What－goes－around．1．5

While the quantifier routinely appears as a nominal modifier，it also appears in the possibly lexicalized time adverbial compound sēgè－chì＇always＇，combining with the time adverb ségè＇when＇．This is illustrated in example（6．62）．

| （6．62） | bぶ | g $\bar{\varepsilon} n-e ̀$ | f $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | bゝ̄ | lē | sēgè－chì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3PL．HORT | go－PROG | at | 3PL | APPL | when－all |

＇They（the husband and wife）should visit them（their parents）all the time．．．＇Marriage．6．2

## 6．4．4＂Some＂

The determiner $\mathbf{m u}$＇some＇is observed as having three functions．One function is as an indefinite quantifier．A second function is as a specific indefinite determiner．In this function，it is used with non－referential nouns，especially when introducing participants of a story，where it is translatable as＇a certain one＇．Finally，it is also used in expressing contrastive reference，where＇other＇is an appropriate translation．Each of these uses are described in this section．In all usages，the canonical position of the determiner is following the modified noun and the determiner takes agreement marking based on its nominal head．When the vowel of the agreement prefix is［i］，the vowel of the determiner variably harmonizes with it．

As a quantifier, mù is used with plural nouns to express an indefinite quantity. The quantity may be either unknown or unimportant. The quantifier function rarely appears in the text corpus. Examples (6.63) and (6.64) were both elicited for the purpose of illustration.
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { (6.63) } & \begin{array}{ll}{\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { bā-mī } \\ \text { c2-person }\end{array}\right.} & \text { bá-mū }] \\ \text { c2-some }\end{array} \\ & \text { some people' }\end{array}\right]$
'Give me some (a few) calabashes.'

Example (6.65) shows the quantifier combining with the modifier shēgē 'small', with the resultant meaning of 'small amount'.

| (6.65) | wū | já | $m \bar{\varepsilon}$ | [bī-gè | bī-mī | shēgē | shēgē] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $3 S G$ | give | 1 SG.PRO | c8-tooth | c8-some | small | small |

'...he (God) gave me a little money.'
Fire. 42

No quantifier with a dedicated meaning of 'small amount' or 'few in number' has been identified. Thus, this quantifier-adverb combination might be one of the primary means for making these kinds of expressions.

The most common use of mù in the text corpus is as a specific indefinite determiner, observed often in presentational constructions at the beginning of stories, and often with singular nouns. Examples (6.66) and (6.67) are both the first sentences of stories and are presenting a major or minor participant.


Time expressions sometimes include mù, as in (6.68)-(6.70).

'Sometime in 1997,'
Training.1.1

| (6.70) | l $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | kì-nfę̀: | k $\bar{\varepsilon}-\bar{\varepsilon}$ | dó | kí | t⿹̄-̀े | [Ø-jú | chí-mî] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | SET | c7-blind.man | c7-ANA1 | SIT | c7 | come-PROG | c5-day | c5-some |

'As that blind man was coming one day,...' What-goes-around.2.1

A third sense of this word form is "other" or "another" and usually establishes a contrast between the referent and the other members of the set to which it belongs. For example, in (6.71) the second táy wúmù is contrasting with the first one.

| (6.71) | [Ø-táq <br> c1-time | wú-mù] <br> c1-some | lé <br> COP | byèn <br> c9.fish | bú yú. exit on.it |  | chī, pull |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [Ø-táy | wú-mù] | lé | byèn | bú-dé | g ¢̀ | yú | g $\grave{1}$ |
|  | c1-time | c1-some | COP | c9.fish | exit-COMPL | NEG2 | on.it | NEG2 |

'Some times, (after pulling) a fish would come out on it (the fishing line).
We pulled other times and no fish came out on it. , Fishing.1.8-9

Similarly, the two occurrences of múmú in (6.72) constitute a contrast between the 'things' which were rescued from the burning house and those which were not. The determiners in this case are serving a pronominal function, referring to the topicalized noun phrase "things in the house".

| (6.72) | $[\mathrm{mw}-\bar{\varepsilon}:$ <br> c18a-thing | mú <br> c18aREL | yē | kwè], <br> home | mú-mú <br> c18a-some | bā they | būs remove |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mú-mú | fyē |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | c18a-some | burn |  |  |  |  |  |

'Some of the things in the house they removed, other things burned.'
Fire.5.1

The determiner in (6.73) is ambiguous without context. In an out-of-the-blue utterance, the interpretation would likely be as an indefinite quantifier-'I want a few calabashes'. However, if the speaker first states that the calabashes that he bought yesterday were damaged and unusable, then the interpretation would be contrastive'I want other calabashes' or 'different calabashes'.

| (6.73) | $\overline{\mathfrak{y}}$-gō:n-é | bī-bฐ̆̀: | bí-mî |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1SG-want-PROG | c8-calabash | c8-some |

'I want some (a few) calabashes.'
or
'I want other (or different) calabashes.'

The word mùmù 'some/another person' is a fused form of the noun phrase $\mathbf{m u} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{u}-\mathbf{m} \mathbf{u}$. The class 1 agreement marker $\mathbf{w} \overline{\mathbf{u}}$ is dropped and the noun $\mathbf{m u}$ ' person (c1)' fuses with the bare stem of the determiner mù, as illustrated in (6.74).

| (6.74) | Ø-táy | wū | bā | $\bar{m} b u ̄ n-e ́, ~$ | Ø-mù-mù |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | c1-time | c1REL | they | foam-PROG | c1-person-some |


| kól-è | à | kì-ntī | lē |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| catch-PROG | in | c7-bowl | APPL |

'As they are foaming, ${ }^{48}$ someone else is collecting [the foams] in a bowl.' Making Palm Oil.1.7

[^3]The syntactic behavior of this determiner is not completely understood beyond the fact that it follows the head noun. However, example (6.75) shows the determiner modifying nouns in a coordinate noun phrase, where each noun is modified by a separate determiner. In contrast, the coordinate noun phrase licenses a single relativizer, behavior consistent with its analysis as a single grammatical unit. It is not known if this behavior represents a common restriction against the determiner modifying coordinate noun phrases or if there are pragmatic reasons for the behavior in this particular case.

'Some years ago, there was a man and wife who loved each other very much.'

Jealous Husband.1.1

The fused form mùmù 'someone else' has been observed to co-occur with the anaphoric 1 demonstrative (see $\S 6.6$ for an example), and the indefinite specific determiner appears in one example with a number that is serving as a predicate. Otherwise, co-occurrences of the determiner and other demonstratives or quantifiers are unattested.

### 6.4.5 Numbers

The form of the numbers 1-10 are relatively unremarkable, with the exception of the number 7, which is morphologically complex, consisting of the number 6 plus shwē, whose meaning is unknown (see example (6.76)). I assume that the complex is additive in nature.

| (6.76) | bvū-s̄̄ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c14-six | 'six' |  |
|  | bvū-s̄̄-shwé <br> c14-six-?? | 'seven' |

It is likely that these two numbers have lexicalized class 14 agreement, since the neighboring Noni language has similar forms for these numbers, but without the bvu at the beginning (cf. s̄̄:chàn 'six' and s̄̄:shwî 'seven' (Hyman 1981: 28)). ${ }^{49}$ The number 9 also may have lexicalized class 14 agreement, with the assumed agreement element also present in the number 9 of Mungong, Noni and Chung.

The numbers 11-99 are phrasal, being formed through the connective j̀chì 'plus', which joins the number in the ten's position with the number in the one's position. While the numbers $1-5$ in single digit numbers appear without agreement marking, in these complex numbers they are marked with agreement, even in citation form. Thus, when appearing without a modified noun, they are marked with gender 19/18a agreement, as seen in (6.77).


As these examples illustrate, the number 1 has class 19 agreement marking, which usually denotes that the head noun is singular rather than plural in number, in spite of the fact that the complex number as a whole expresses plurality. Note that the number 1 is subject to vowel copying and other phonological processes described in §3.5.

Unlike in their citation forms, the numbers 1-5, as single digit numbers as well as in the one's position of complex numbers, are marked with agreement when modifying a noun, while numbers 6-10 are not marked with agreement in either case. Examples (6.78) and (6.79) show the numbers 1 and 4 agreeing with their head nouns, which precede the numbers.

[^4](6.78) ラ̄chānē gè jè-mé [j̄ $\bar{\varepsilon} \quad$ yí-mímyā $]$
N. P3 speak-PROG c9.language c9-one
'The Nchane (people) spoke one language.'
History.4.2

| $[\mathbf{b a ̀}-n c h \overline{1}$ | bā | kī-y | kī | yūdē | $\mathbf{b a ̄}-n \bar{\varepsilon}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c2-law | c2AM | c7-spirit | c7REL | clean | c2-four |

'four spiritual laws'
Training.1.7

Examples (6.80) and (6.81) illustrate the numbers 2 and 4 in the one's position of complex numbers agreeing with their head nouns.

| (6.80) | $\begin{array}{ll} {[\bar{a}-\text { gín }} & \text { yúfè } \\ \text { c6-egg } & \text { ten } \end{array}$ | j̀chò <br> plus | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{a}} \text {-fá: } \\ & \text { c6-tu } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'twelve eggs' |  |  |  |  |
| (6.81) | [bī-yò | $\overline{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{B} \bar{\square}$ | fíyé | j̀chò | bī-nर̂] |
|  | c8-elephant |  |  |  | c8-four |

As (6.82) shows, the number 1 in complex numbers agrees with the singular form of the head noun, rather than the head noun itself, which is plural in number. This follows the pattern observed in citation forms in (6.77)

| (6.82) | [bī-yò | $\overline{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{a}$ ¢ | fíy | j̀chò | kī-mīmyā] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | c8-elephant | tens | two | plus | c7-one |
|  | 'twenty-one elephants' |  |  |  |  |

As stated above, the numbers 6-10 are not marked with agreement, even when modifying a noun. This is illustrated in (6.83), and can also be seen in (6.81) and (6.80) above, where the numbers 2 and 10, appearing in complex numbers, have no agreement marking.

| (6.83) | bē | $\mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | bíd-e̋ | $[\mathrm{bā}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{b} \overline{1} 1 \grave{\varepsilon}$ | yúf $\grave{\varepsilon}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1PL | P3 | ask-PROG | c2-NMZR-ask | ten |


| bāmī | bá | g $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | t̄ | kī | bó | yén | bè | lē |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c2-person | c2REL | P3 | come | $\operatorname{COMP}(K)$ | 3PL | see | 1PL | APPL |

'...we were asking ten questions to the people who came to see us.'
Training.1.18

Beginning with the number twenty, the numbers representing multiples of ten are complex, consisting of the base word $\overline{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{b a ̄} \mathbf{y}$, the meaning of which is obscure, ${ }^{50}$ followed by a number reflecting the numbers 2-9. A palatal glide following the initial consonant of the second word is observed in numbers $20,30,40$ and 50. This is illustrated in (6.84), where the top line is the underlying representation and the second line is the surface representation. Note that the form for 2 in 'twenty' is often realized as fíyé in careful speech.

| (6.84) | m̄bāy <br> [ m bā | f $\varepsilon$ : <br> fjé:] | 'twenty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | m̄bāy | tēdē | 'thirty' |
|  | [ $\mathrm{m} b \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{y}$ | $\left.\int \bar{\varepsilon}\right]$ |  |
|  | mabāy | $\mathrm{n} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | 'forty' |
|  | [ $\mathrm{m} b \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{y}$ | $\mathrm{n} \bar{\varepsilon}]$ |  |
|  | m̄bāy | tèy | 'fifty' |
|  | [ $\overline{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ] | $\left.\left.\int \varepsilon\right)^{\square}\right]$ |  |

Numbers in the hundred's position are treated as nouns belonging to gender $3 / 4$. Multiples are formed by adding the numbers 2-9 to the right of the word for 'hundred'.

[^5]

As the example for the number 101 shows, the number in the hundred's position is joined to the smaller numbers with the conjunction bé. However, the conjunction j̀chゝ̀ is observed rather than bé when the number is modifying a noun. It is not known if this is a formal distinction between the citation and adjectival forms, or if it represents an artifact of data collection. However, it does reveal the similarity in function and meaning of these two connecting words.

An additional item of interest is that the citation form shows that a head nominal, the generic 'thing', appears to the left of the number 1, for which it licenses agreement. This agreement was pointed out for the examples in (6.77), although no generic noun appeared in those examples. Likewise, no generic noun appears to the left of the number 1 in complex numbers in adjectival form, as was pointed out for (6.82), yet the number 1 agrees with the singular form of the head noun. The presence of the generic noun "thing" in the citation form of 101 then provides a possible clue as to why the number 1 in complex numbers agrees with the singular counterpart of the head nominal. For example, perhaps the source phrase for 'one hundred and one cows' is bà-nà gwí: j̀̀chò Ø-nà wù-mùmwà ( $\mathrm{c} 2-\mathrm{cow} \mathrm{c} 3$.hundred plus $\mathrm{c} 1-\mathrm{cow} \mathrm{c} 1-$ one).

Numbers in the thousand's position are treated as nouns belonging to gender $1 / 2$. Smaller numbers follow the thousand numbers and are joined together with the connective bé 'with' as above with the hundred numbers.

‘1997’ (the year)

The numbers for hundreds and thousands never agree with the head noun, but maintain their fundamental markings for genders $3 / 4$ and $1 / 2$ respectively.

Numbers may function as a predicate adjective, following a copula as in (6.87).

| $\mathrm{m} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{m}}$-f $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | kwē | yī | $\emptyset-\mathrm{k} \overline{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1sG.PRO | 1SG-make | c9.death | c9ReL | c4-month |
| lé y | ¢̀ j̀ c chò | yī-fź: |  |  |
| COP te | plus | c4-two |  |  |

'I am having a death celebration in December.' (lit. death that month is twelve)

Finally, (6.88) shows that numbers may be reduplicated. The reduplicated number follows the head noun and functions to express repetition. In this example, it co-occurs with a verb marked with the Distributive suffix, and presumably clarifies that four people were chosen from each church, rather than only four people in total being chosen from all the churches.

| (6.88) | chī-chó | chí | wจ̄ท | wū | misà ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ¢ | lē $\quad \mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | c13-church | c13Am | c3.country | c3Am | M. | APPL | P3 |
|  | bā-yè | [bā-m | bā-n̄̄ | bā-n |  |  |  |
|  | choose-DISTR | c2-pe | on c2-four | c2-fo |  |  |  |

'The churches of the Misaje area chose four people each.' Training.1.5

Table 6.9 below presents a partial list of Nchane cardinal numerals in citation form, along with the numbers as they appear when modifying a noun.

|  | Number | Gender 1/2 ('cow__ ') |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | mēmà | nà wūmūmwã |
| 2 | f ¢́: | bànà bāfé: |
| 3 | tēdé | bànà bātēdé |
| 4 | n $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | bànà bān |
| 5 | tèy | bànà bātè |
| 6 | bvūsō | bànà bvúsō |
| 7 | bvūsōshwê | bànà bvúsōshwī |
| 8 | nā | bànà nā |
| 9 | bvùgê | bānā bvùgê |
| 10 | yúfè | bànà yúfè |
| 11 | yúfè jòchò fimímyà | bànà yúfē $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{ch} \overline{\text { ¢ }}$ wūmūmwã |
| 12 |  | bànà yúfì j̀ j̀hò bāfé: |
| 13 | yúfè j̀̀chò mūntédé | bànà yúfé j̀chò bàt̄̄dé |
| 14 | yúfè j̀̀chò mūnê | bànà yúfí j̀chò bānर̂ |
| 15 | yúfè j̀̀chò mūntên | bànà yúfè jǹchò bātên |
| 16 | yúfé j̀̀chò bvùsō | bànà yúfé j̀̀chò bvūsó |
| 17 | yúfè j̀̀chò bvùsōshwê | bànà yúfè j̀chò bvùsōhwê |
| 18 | yúfì j̀ ǹchò nà | bànà yúfì ̇̀ n chò jnã |
| 19 | yúfè j̀chò bvūgê | bànà yúfè j̀chò bvūĝ̂ |
| 20 | mbāy fíyć | bànà mbāy fíyé |
| 21 | mbā̃ fíyć j̀̀chò fīmímyà | bànà m̄bāy fíyć ỳchò wùmùmwà |
| 22 | m̄bāy fíyé j̀chò mùmfę̃: | bànà m̄báy fíyć j̀chò bāfé: |
| 100 | gwí: | bànà gwí: |
| 101 | gwí: bé fīy $\bar{\varepsilon}$ fīmímyà | bànà gwí: j̀chò wūmūmwã |
| 200 | gí:f̌́: | bànà gî:fè: |
| 1000 | j̀chfūgè | bànà ǹchfūgè |
| 2000 | bànchfùgè bāfé: | bànà bànchfùgè bāfź: |

Table 6.9 Partial list of Nchane numbers.

Ordinal numbers are very limited and are not number based. They involve the use of the words fwee 'front', bī 'follow' and jin 'back' appearing in relative clauses. (6.89)-(6.91) illustrate fwē and bī in ordinal expression.

| mày-kàlà | mā | fwē | mā-à |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c6a-cassava.puff | c6aREL | front | c6a-ANA1 |

'that first cassava puff'
What-goes-around.4.1
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (6.90) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { kì-mā } \\ \text { c7-week }\end{array} & \text { kí } & \text { c7REL } & \text { frō }\end{array} \quad$ lē $\begin{aligned} & \text { APPL }\end{aligned}$
'the first week'

| (6.91) | kì-mā <br> c7-week | kí | c7REL | follow | f̄ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| there | lē |  |  |  |  |
| APPL |  |  |  |  |  |

The word 'follow' is usually understood in context as indicating that the modified noun is following some other like entity in a sequence. Therefore, it has a generalized meaning of "the follower", and only expresses the notion of "second" when following the "first".

When two like-entities are presented as a contrastive pair, the word jín can be used to refer to the non-first noun, sometimes interpreted as "the second", as in (6.92). It is probably more correct, however, to consider this expression as "the last one". In fact, it is likely that the words for 'front' and 'back' are iconic representations of 'first' and 'last' respectively. Unfortunately, the data corpus contains no examples of jím with a clear "last" reading.

| (6.92) | $\emptyset$-kw $\bar{\varepsilon} s e ́$ <br> c1-woman | wū | f1REL | fwō | bé | wū |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| front | with | c1REL | c18-back |  |  |  |

'the first wife and the second one' Two Wives.1.2

While not common, these words functioning as ordinal numbers may co-occur with numbers, as illustrated in (6.93).
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (6.93) } & \begin{array}{lll}\text { bā-mī } \\ \text { c2-person }\end{array} & \text { bá } & \text { c2REL } & \text { bā-n } \bar{\varepsilon} & \text { c2-four }\end{array}$ bá $\begin{array}{l}\text { c2REL }\end{array}$ fwē $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { front }\end{array}\right]$ Training.1. 9

### 6.4.6 Adjectives

The two words $\mathbf{f} \bar{\varepsilon} \mathbf{y}$ 'new' and kēgē 'ancient' form a very small class of adjectives identified through elicitation methods, since they do not appear in the text corpus. Example (6.94) shows that adjectives follow the modified noun and are marked with noun class agreement.

| (6.94) | kw $\bar{\varepsilon} y$ <br> c3.firewood | wú-kwēgē |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c3-ancient |  |  | | t $\bar{\varepsilon}:$ m-é |
| :--- |
| strong-PROG |$\quad$| kwà |
| :--- |
| c3.value |

'Very old firewood is expensive.'

Adjectives are distinguished from attributive verbs (described in §9.6) by several formal characteristics. First, unlike attributive verbs, adjectives require a
copula in simple property type expressions like " X is Y ". This is illustrated with the adjectives in examples (6.95) and (6.96).

| $(6.95)$ | kī-bę̨: | lé | $[k \overline{1}-f \bar{\imath} \eta]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | c7-calabash | COP | c7-new |

'The calabash is new.'

'The tree is ancient (or very old).'

A second formal distinction is that attributive verbs have the capacity for verbal affixation such as the Progressive suffix. Adjectives may not take any verbal suffixes. Furthermore, they are not candidates for class 14 abstract noun derivation, which is a productive process for attributive verbs.

Finally, adjectives are affected by high back vowel copying similar to that seen in numbers when "modifying" class 1 and class 3 nouns, as illustrated in (6.97), as well as (6.94) above. Note that the adjective appears as a predicate adjective in (6.97), but a nominal modifier in (6.94).

| (6.97) | Ø-ywā | $\mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | c1-book | P3 |  | COP |  |  | 1-new |

'The book was new.'

The occurrence of high back vowel copying suggests phonological incorporation of the agreement element and supports the analysis that these words are nominal modifiers similar to numbers rather than verb-like words like the attributive verbs.

### 6.5 Nominal modifiers as pronouns

As pointed out in the introduction to $\S 6.4$, several of the nominal modifier word classes are observed in the data functioning as pronouns. They appear in the subject and object positions, although, because of the small number of examples, it is impossible to be certain that there are no syntactic restrictions on any given nominal modifier word class. The examples below demonstrate their pronominal use, including possessive pronouns (6.98)-(6.99), the proximal demonstrative (6.100), "some" (6.101)-(6.102), and numbers (6.103).

| (6.98) | $\uparrow(y \bar{~}$ c9.house | yì <br> c9REL |  | gè j̀-ché-é |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yì } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | lē), |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1SG.PRO | P3 1sG | stay-PROG |  | APPL |
|  | yì | nù |  | jì | $\mathrm{m} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | g ¢ |  |
|  | c9REL | $\operatorname{COP}(\mathrm{N})$ | c9-1sG.poss | c9ReL | 1SG.PRO | P3 |  |
|  | j̀-ché-é |  | yè lé | kībè, | $\mathrm{j}_{1} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{g} \bar{\varepsilon}$ | fyé | jì-chī |
|  | 1sG-stay- | -Prog | on APPL | actually | c9 P3 | burn | c9-a |

'The house in which I was staying, which was mine and in which I was actually staying, completely burned.'

Fire.5.2
(6.99) ȳgú kfú-nē wū yīdī lé w-ày
c3.gun c3-prox c3REL black COP c3-1sG.Poss
'This black gun is mine.
(6.100) bহ̄: bā-ā gè bé bō tó, wū já bő
c2.child c2-ANA1 P3 ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{COP}$ 3pl come 3SG give 3PL
mày-kàlà mā-ā mān-t $\bar{\varepsilon} d e ́, \quad w \bar{u}$ ná wú-nē,
c6a-cassava.puff c6a-ANA1 c6a-three 3sG give c1-PRox
wū ná wú-nē
3SG give c1-Prox
'Those children came and he gave them those three cassava puffs; he gave to each one.' (lit. he gave to this one and he gave to this one)

What-goes-around.7.8
(6.101) mw- $\bar{\varepsilon}: ~ m u ́ ~ y e ̄ ~ k w e ̀, ~ m u ́-m u ́ ~$
c18a-thing c18arel on home c18a-some
bā būsè, mú-mú fyē
they remove c18a-some burn
'The things in the house, some were removed, others burned.' Fire.5.1


### 6.6 Noun phrase word order

The modifiers described above never occur all together, modifying the same noun. But there are several examples in the text corpus in which two modifiers occur together. From these examples, the word order given in Figure 6.2 is extrapolated.

```
NOUN + POSSESSIVE + "some" + DEMONSTRATIVE + QUANTIFIER
```

Figure 6.2 Canonical word order of the noun phrase

One departure from this word order is rarely observed, where a demonstrative precedes the noun in what appears to be a topic-marking strategy, as described in §16.2.3.

The position of relative clauses is somewhat variable. Usually, the position is sensitive to the type of relative clause in question, whether it is restrictive or nonrestrictive. Restrictive relative clauses usually precede demonstratives and nonrestrictive relative clauses follow demonstratives (and probably quantifiers as well). However, other factors such as information load, and topic and focus concerns, are believed to impact position as well. See $\S 12.1$ for more details.

The phrases in (6.104)-(6.106) present various modifier combinations allowing for the canonical word order of noun phrases to be postulated.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (6.104) } & \text { jó: } & \text { [mw- } \bar{\varepsilon}: & \text { mw-̀̀ } & \text { mūj-chī] } \\ & \text { take.IMP } & \text { c18a-thing } & \text { c18a-2SG.POSS } & \text { c18a-all }\end{array}$
'Take all your things...'
Two Wives.7.2

| (6.105) | [Ø-mū-mū | W ${ }^{\text {c }}$ - $\left.\bar{\varepsilon}\right]$ | k $\bar{\varepsilon}$ | wù | bēd-è |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | c1-person-some | c1-ANA1 | begin | 3sG | cry-PROG |

'That other man started crying...'
Greedy Friends.1.16
(6.106) bD̄̃: $\quad$ bā-ā $\quad$ g̀̀ $\begin{array}{llllllll} & \text { bé } & b \bar{~} & \text { tó, } & \text { wū } & \text { ná } & \text { bő }\end{array}$ c2-child c2-ANA1 P3 ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{COP}$ 3PL come 3SG give 3PL
[mày-kàlà mā-ā mān-t̄̄dé], wū ná wú-nē, c6a-cassava.puff c6a-ANA1 c6a-three 3sG give c1-PROX
wū ná wú-nē
3SG give c1-PROX
'Those children came and he gave them those three cassava puffs; he gave to each one.' (lit. he gave to this one and he gave to this one)

What-goes-around.7.8


[^0]:    ${ }^{45}$ The tone of relativizers differs depending on which clause constituent is relativized. See § 12.1 for more details.

[^1]:    ${ }^{46}$ The word for "teeth" is used here to refer to money. The source of this usage is unclear. It is possible that historically the Nchane people literally used teeth as a form of currency. Indeed, many of the "notables" in the area, when in ceremonial dress, wear necklaces strung with teeth from some large animal, an indication of the precious nature of teeth. A second hypothesis is that its use is metaphorical, standing in for something like cowrie shells, which were used for currency. Eggon (West-Plateau), spoken in Nigeria, is documented as having such a polysemy (Roger Blench pers. comm.). However, of the nearby languages, Noni is the only other language known to use "teeth" in reference to money.

[^2]:    ${ }^{47}$ The word kwà 'valuable' is a generic term used for things of value. It can sometimes be translated as 'cost' or 'money'. It contrasts with the specific term bī̀gè 'teeth', which is also used as a term for 'money'.

[^3]:    ${ }^{48}$ This example is from a text explaining how to make palm oil, which is used for cooking, as a lubricant, and for skin care. The palm nuts are put into a stone trough and pounded with large tree limbs, which releases the oil from the nuts. Water is then added to "wash" the pounded nuts, followed by vigorously agitating the oil-water mixture with a small stick. This "foaming" action creates a layer of floating foam containing most of the oil, which is collected in a bowl and cooked to drive out the water.

[^4]:    49 The Mungong forms for "six" and "seven" are similar to those of Nchane and Noni, while the Beboid languages Kemedzung, Naki, Sari and Naami all show no formal connection between these numbers. Rather, a subtractive strategy is observed for the number "seven", which is derived from "eight" (i.e., $8-1$ ), and the form for "six" is completely unique. Meanwhile, Chungmboko (also Beboid) numbers 6-10 all appear to be formally independent from each other.

[^5]:    ${ }^{50}$ Several languages in the area have cognates for the word $\overline{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{b a ̄} \mathbf{y}$. In nearly all these languages, there appears to be no formal relationship between this number and the number 10 , resulting in a difficult analysis for the word. However, Fransen, with direction from van Reenen, postulates that the Limbum word for twenty $\overline{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{b a} \overline{\mathbf{a}}$ is derived from the plural form of 10 ( $\mathbf{m}-\mathrm{v} \overline{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{\mathbf { u }}$ 'c6-ten') plus the number 2 (báā) (Fransen 1995: 164-6).

