



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

A grammar of Nchane: A Bantoid (Beboid) language of Cameroon
Boutwell, R.L.

Citation

Boutwell, R. L. (2020, June 30). *A grammar of Nchane: A Bantoid (Beboid) language of Cameroon*. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Amsterdam. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/123113>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/123113>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/123113> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Boutwell, R.L.

Title: A grammar of Nchane: A Bantoid (Beboid) language of Cameroon

Issue Date: 2020-06-30

Chapter 2

Phonology

The phonological system of Nchane represents a language undergoing syllable reduction, with resulting vowel nasalization and lengthening processes. While the phonology of verbs is relatively simple, the analysis of noun phonology is challenging, in part due to the presence of nasals, characteristic of other languages in the area, and documented to be associated with lowering of tones (see Good and Lovegren (2017) and Hyman (1980)).

This chapter is divided into three main sections. Consonants and vowels are described in §§2.1 and 2.2 respectively. A description of syllable structure and observations regarding the distribution of consonants and vowels appears in §2.3. Note that example transcriptions in this chapter are usually placed in brackets, indicating that they represent phonetic, rather than phonemic, realizations. Furthermore, the transcriptions are generally given utilizing IPA characters rather than the description orthography which is used for most of the chapters.

2.1 Consonants

Nchane has 18 consonant phonemes, a somewhat modest inventory when compared to neighboring languages. The Beboid languages Kemedzung, Chungmboko and Sari

have 19, 21 and 21 consonants respectively (Cox 2005; Tabah 2010; Langhout 2015)⁵, while the Yemne-Kimbi language Mungbam is observed to have 24 (Lovegren 2013).

The Nchane consonant phonemes are displayed in Table 2.1, each of which can occur in root-initial position. Note that all unvoiced plosives are aspirated when followed by a vowel. While all consonants occur word initially, only nasals are found in the coda position and only the velar nasal is found word finally. See §2.3.2 for more on distributional restrictions.

	Labial	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar
Plosives		t	ch	k
	b	d	j	g
Fricatives	f	s	sh	(gh)
Nasals	m	n	ɲ	ŋ
Approximants		l	y	w

Table 2.1 Nchane consonant phoneme inventory.

As with many languages in the area, there is no voiceless counterpart to the voiced labial plosive **b**, leaving a gap in the inventory where **p** is usually found. Speakers are able to pronounce [p] with little difficulty, as evidenced by the occurrence of the Cameroon Pidgin English loanword [pjá] ‘pear’, referring to ‘avocado’, which is prevalent in the area. However, other loanwords like [bā] ‘pa’, which is often used as an honorific in place of the Nchane word [tʃi:] ‘father’, as well as western names like [bɔ̃l] ‘Paul’ and [bitá] ‘Peter’ show that [b] is preferable as a substitute for [p].

2.1.1 Consonants with difficult analyses

Most of the Nchane consonants are analyzed with little difficulty. However, the alveopalatal affricates, the velar fricative and the palatal nasal each present challenges to a proper assignment in the consonant system. These are discussed in turn in this section.

The consonants ch and j The alveopalatal affricates have been analyzed as palatal plosives ([c] and [j] respectively), but this is not without questions. The primary complication comes from the fact that the neighboring Beoid languages Sari, Chungmboko, Kemedzung and Mungong, each have an alveolar affricate set (i.e., /ts/ and /dz/) in addition to the alveopalatal affricate set. Richards (1991) includes the Sari alveopalatal affricates with the palatalized consonant inventory and treats the alveolar affricates as palatal plosives, thus dispensing with the affricate class altogether. A

⁵ Determining the number of consonants for these neighboring languages is not completely straightforward, as the analyses offered sometimes include questionable phonemes such as /p/ and /h/, both of which are rare in the data and are often limited to borrowed words or exclamations and ideophones.

similar approach is used by Cox (2005) for Kemedzung, where he suggests that they are palatalized allophones of their alveolar affricate counterparts, although he also argues for the maintenance of the affricate class.

However, this analysis is untenable for Mungong, where the occurrences of the alveopalatal affricates outnumber their alveolar counterparts by almost 2:1. Meanwhile, the number of /bʝ/ and /kʝ/ tokens is extremely small compared to the /b/ and /k/ varieties, suggesting that Cy as a sequence type is not very productive and so, not supportive of viewing the alveopalatal affricates as being palatalized versions of some other consonants.

A similar problem exists for Nchane, since Cy sequences are somewhat rare, but the occurrences of /tʃ/ and /dʒ/ are significantly high, slightly higher than those of /t/ and /d/. Furthermore, there are no significant differences in distribution between the alveopalatal affricates and the plosives. Meanwhile, the palatal series includes fricative, nasal and approximant members, with an available opening in the plosive series. Therefore, it makes sense to treat the alveopalatal affricates phonologically as palatal plosives,⁶ although the affricate transcriptions are maintained to acknowledge their comparability to consonants of other Beboid language varieties.

The consonant gh The velar fricative **gh** appears in parentheses in Table 2.1 to indicate that its status as a phoneme is in question, since it occurs only a few times in the data. In addition to its paucity, it never precedes back vowels when root-initial and when it is root-internal an argument could be made that [ɣ] is simply a product of spirantization of /g/. An additional complication is that it never occurs in Cj, Cw or NC sequences, although this could simply be due to the small numbers in the data.

Richards (1991) treated this phoneme as an oral sonorant, in the same series as **w**, **l** and **y**, and without giving reasons for analyzing it as belonging to the set of approximants rather than to the set of fricatives.⁷ It appears to have been rare in his data set as well, and he mentions the complete lack of the prenasalized form [ɲy]. Neighboring Noni lists 39 occurrences [ɣ] out of 4544 entries in its online dictionary (Lux 2016). All of these occurrences are root-initial, hinting at neutralization of contrast in other environments. When Nchane cognates are identified for these Noni words, there is usually a [ɣ]:[j] or [ɣ]:[dʒ] correspondence.

Consultants were asked to provide more words with this consonant, which resulted in nine additional words. Each of these words are **gh**-initial with a distinct preference for a following **a** and never with a following back vowel. The synchronic

⁶ Additional evidence countering an analysis of the alveopalatal affricates representing palatalized versions of the alveolar plosives is observed with the spirantization of the number ‘three’ when prefixed with class 10 agreement presented in §3.6. When followed by a palatal glide, the underlying /t/ is realized as [ʃ] rather than [tʃ] (/jɪ-tɛdɛ/ → jɪ-tʃɛdɪ → [jɪ-ʃɛdɪ]).

⁷ It is possible that Richards was moved in this direction because he observed that Noni had a minor phoneme [h], which he treated as a velar fricative; thus, that slot was filled and could not also take [ɣ]. The treatment for Noni was then presumably extended to the Nchane case.

evidence for this phoneme is therefore tenuous and its support as a phoneme is flagging. All words with this consonant are given in Table 2.2.⁸ Note that the examples provided by the consultants appear without tone indicated. Note also that the word for ‘jeer at’ **ghay** violates the coda restrictions adopted in the current analysis, namely that the velar nasal **ŋ** is the only consonant observed as a coda.

Via wordlist elicitation		Via <i>y</i> elicitation session	
[mjæ̀yó]	‘blink’	[ya:]	‘enthroned’
[fiŋkʰóyó]	‘wrist’ ⁹	[yali]	‘name’
[yá]	‘surpass’	[yanɛ]	‘be insane’
		[yalɛ]	‘improvise’
		[yɪŋ]	‘attach with glue’
		[yaj]	‘jeer at’
		[yɛ]	‘be jealous’
		[yasi]	‘mistreat’
		[yami]	‘braid a calabash’

Table 2.2 Exhaustive list of words with the consonant **gh**.

The consonant *ɲ* The problem faced in analyzing the palatal nasal **ɲ** has less to do with its phonemic status and series assignment, than with the interpretation of [ɲ] in any given occurrence. The phone [ɲ] has four possible underlying representations: /ɲ/, /ɲj/, /ɲʰ/, /^hɲ/. The first option, a palatal nasal, is an expected member of the consonant system, since it completes the series of nasal consonants. I assume that a large number of [ɲ] occurrences are in fact realizations of /ɲ/.

The third and fourth options, a palatalized alveolar nasal and a prenasalized palatal approximant respectively, are discounted based on analytical interpretation. The analysis of palatalization as a consonant feature is rejected in favor of consonant-glide sequences (see §2.1.4). And possible instances of prenasalization are interpreted as nasal-consonant sequences (see §2.1.5).

Evidence for the second option, a **ɲy** sequence, is not easy to find, since there is a general constraint requiring NC sequences to have the same place features. Therefore, determining the underlying form of the nasal in NC sequences at morpheme boundaries is speculative at best, particularly as vowel initial stems do not exist. Positive evidence might be found in looking at class 19 nouns, where more than

⁸ Without more data it is difficult to draw well supported conclusions. However, as mentioned earlier, it is possible that the **gh** in the word for ‘wrist’ is a phonological artifact resulting from a velar plosive in a [ɔCɔ] sequence. Careful speech results in [fiŋkʰóyó], which corresponds more closely with the Noni cognate **fikókó**. The word for ‘blink’ is unusual as it surfaces with the phone [æ] for which a clear analysis is lacking. Careful speech also results in [g] rather than [y]. Therefore, neither of these two words provide unquestionable evidence for stem-internal **gh**, but rather supports an analysis of phonological variation of /g/.

⁹ Likely, more specifically the knobby bone of the wrist and ankle (*pisiform*).

half of the nouns are marked unambiguously with a **fiN-** prefix, while the remaining nouns have a **fi-** prefix. Yet, the number of class 19 nouns with a [ɲ] at the prefix-root boundary is relatively high, suggesting that at least some of these are underlyingly **ɲ-**. This is illustrated in Table 2.3, where it can be seen that 13% of the nouns have a **fiɲ...** shape versus, for example, 10% having **fiŋk...** and only 3% having **fiṃ...**

fiN-C...		fi-C...		fiN-C.../fi-C...
m-b	2	b	2	ɲ-y/ɲ 4
n-t	4	k	2	
n-d	1	l	1	
ɲ-ch	4	m	1	
ŋ-k	3	s	2	
ŋ-g	1	j	1	
n-s	1			
ɲ-sh	1			

Table 2.3 Summary of (N)C sequences at morpheme boundaries of c19 nouns, illustrating likelihood of underlying **fiɲ-y...** forms (n=30).

Perhaps the strongest evidence can be found in words like **ɲū** ‘light’, which appears to be a nominalization of the verb **yū** ‘clean’. The underlying form of this noun therefore is **N-yu** (NMZR- clean). In light of the above evidence, it is justifiable to assume that at least some non-morpheme boundary occurrences of [ɲ] are in fact underlyingly /ɲj/.

2.1.2 Phonetic descriptions of consonants

Descriptions of the phonetic characteristics of the various consonants are given in this section. The descriptions are organized around the different types of articulation and include representative examples.

2.1.2.1 Plosives

Nchane has seven plosive consonants consisting of voiceless and voiced varieties of the alveolar, palatal and velar series, and the voiced labial **b**. As mentioned above, all voiceless plosives are slightly aspirated when followed by a vowel.

The consonant t The voiceless alveolar plosive **t** is realized as [t]. When followed by a vowel, it is slightly aspirated. Examples are [fiŋt^hinɛ́] ‘middle’, [t^hā] ‘kick’ and [t^hɛ́dī] ‘stone’. The aspiration is greater in words like [t^hi:] ‘abdomen’ and [t^hó] ‘return’, where the following vowel is high, but not significantly so.

The consonant ch The voiceless alveopalatal affricate **ch** is realized as [tʃ], and fits into the consonant system as a voiceless palatal plosive. Because it is realized as an affricate, it is not prone to aspiration as observed in the voiceless plosives. Examples are [tʃiŋ] ‘dig’, [tʃébɛ̀] ‘termite hill’, [fiŋtʃā] ‘ring’ and [k^hiŋtʃónɛ́] ‘bush’.

The consonant k The voiceless velar plosive **k** is realized as [k]. Again, as with **t**, this consonant is slightly aspirated when followed by a vowel, with the aspiration being somewhat greater when the following vowel is high. In fast speech, it can be slightly spirantized, particularly in VCV sequences, where the vowels are identical. Examples are [k^hɪ̯ɛ̯] ‘cough’, [bɪ̯ŋk^hɛ̯sɪ̯] ‘fingernail (pl)’, [bɪ̯lɪ̯k^háŋ] ‘papaya’ and [báŋk^hò] ‘box (pl)’.

The consonant b The voiced bilabial plosive **b** is realized as [b]. Examples are [bɪ̯] ‘goat’, [lábɛ̯] ‘spider web’, [báŋ] ‘shut’, [bɔ̯nɛ̯] ‘prayer’ and [m̩bòʃá] ‘cat’.

The consonant d The voiced alveolar plosive **d** is realized as [d]. While it is observed to occur before high vowels, these sequences are rare, particularly the sequence **di**. An example is [n̩dɪ̯ŋɛ̯] ‘omen’. It is possible that this sequence more often triggers spirantization of the **d**, resulting in the sequence **ji**. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that the word for ‘omen’ in Mungong and Noni is [j̩dʒɛ̯] and [ndʒɪ̯ŋsɛ̯n] respectively, both showing a [d]:[dʒ] correspondence. See §3.6 for more details of the spirantization process.

This consonant has an allophone realized as the alveolar flap [ɾ], which is relatively rare and occurs only when the consonant intervenes between identical high vowels. It usually does not occur in careful speech. Examples are [fɪ̯nt^hí̯rɪ̯] ‘story’ and [fɪ̯zɛ̯rɛ̯] ‘red’. Other examples of **d** are [t^hɛ̯dɪ̯] ‘stone’, [n̩dɛ̯ŋú] ‘cricket’, [k^hɪ̯dáádɛ̯] ‘palm branch’, [fɪ̯ndɔ̯ŋ] ‘throat’ and [fɪ̯nt^hódó] ‘ant’.

The consonant j The voiced alveopalatal affricate **j** is realized as [dʒ], and functions as a voiced palatal plosive in the consonant system. Examples are [dʒɪ̯sɛ̯] ‘eye’, [dʒɪ̯] ‘path’, [kwádʒɛ̯] ‘think’, [k^hɪ̯dʒāŋ] ‘weaver bird’, [dʒɔ̯ŋ] ‘star’ and [j̩dʒò] ‘cloth’.

The consonant g The voiced velar plosive **g** is realized as [g]. As with **k**, it can be slightly spirantized in fast speech in VCV sequences where the vowels are identical. Examples are [gɪ̯:] ‘hundred (pl)’, [k^hɪ̯ŋgɛ̯ŋ] ‘island’, [m̩wángá] ‘hare’, [gɔ̯ŋ] ‘spear’ and [gó] ‘buy’.

2.1.2.2 Fricatives

The Nchane fricative series includes three voiceless fricatives and one voiced fricative. The voiced velar fricative is a minor phoneme, with limited distribution and low occurrence. Phonetic descriptions are given below.

The consonant f The voiceless labiodental fricative **f** is realized as [f]. Examples of **f** are [fɪ̯] ‘kidney’, [fɛ̯:] ‘two’, [k^hɪ̯fá:] ‘mahogany tree’, [fɔ̯] ‘sharpen’ and [k^hɪ̯fòfó] ‘lung’.

The consonant s The voiceless alveolar fricative **s** is realized as [s]. It never precedes **i**, hinting at [ʃ] as a palatalized allophonic variant. However, the number of [ʃ] occurrences is too high for it to fit the profile of a palatalized allophone of **s**. In addition, multiple minimal pairs between the two phones exist. Therefore, I do not

adopt the view that they are in allophonic relationship. Examples of **s** are [kʰɪŋkʰɛsi] ‘fingernail’, [sɛ:] ‘slaughter’, [sã] ‘mask’, [kʰɪmsɔŋ] ‘fish trap’ and [sódɛ].

The consonant sh The voiceless postalveolar fricative **sh** is realized as [ʃ]. Its place of articulation is comparable to the place of release for the two alveopalatal affricates. Examples are [bvūʃi] ‘face’, [mōŋʃɛ] ‘sand’, [m̄bōʃá] ‘cat’, [fɪŋʃɔ:] ‘mud wasp’ and [ʃōŋʃɛ] ‘hum’.

The consonant gh The voiced velar fricative **gh** is realized as [ɣ]. Its status as a phoneme is questionable and discussed at length in §2.1.1 above.

2.1.2.3 Nasals

Nchane has a complete series of nasal consonants, including **m**, **n**, **ɲ** and **ŋ**. Nasal consonants occurring in word final position often are elided, accompanied by the preceding vowel taking on a nasal quality. This elision is less likely when the preceding vowel is short. In both cases, with preceding short and long vowels, there is a degree of free variation between **V~Vŋ**. Note that only the velar nasal **ŋ** is observed in word final position.

For the most part, NC sequences are restricted to consonants with the same place of articulation. Each of these nasals occur in NC sequences where they are observed to often carry tone, although the duration of the nasal’s realization is generally shorter than one would expect with a typical syllabic nasal. The reader is referred to §2.1.5 for an in-depth discussion of the nature of these sequences.

The consonant m The bilabial nasal **m** is usually realized as [m]. Before the labiodental fricative **f**, it is usually realized as a labiodental nasal [ɱ].¹⁰ Examples are [mí] ‘swallow’, [tʃɪnāmɛ:] ‘weed (pl)’, [tʃómā] ‘locust’, [mɔ] ‘taste’, [kʰɪmógɛ] ‘maggot’, [bāŋfā] ‘eagle (pl)’ and [ŋfō].

The consonant n The alveolar nasal **n** is realized as [n]. Examples are [biní] ‘dance (n)’, [fɪntʃnɛ] ‘groundnut’, [nã] ‘cow’ and [nɔŋ] ‘throw’.

The consonant ɲ The palatal nasal **ɲ** is realized as [ɲ]. See §2.1.1 above for a discussion of its interpretation. Examples are [ɲí] ‘bee’, [kʰɪnfɛɲɛ] ‘cockroach’, [ɲāŋ] ‘animal’ and [ɲɔ] ‘cutlass’.

The consonant ŋ The velar nasal **ŋ** is realized as [ŋ]. Examples are [m̄bjɛŋɛ] ‘bell’, [ŋāné] ‘crawl’, [sɔŋɔ] ‘oil palm’ and [n̄dɛŋó] ‘cricket’.

2.1.2.4 Approximants

Nchane has three approximants: one lateral approximant and two glides. Each is described in this section.

¹⁰ Assigning [ɱ] as an allophone of /m/ is somewhat arbitrary, since it is a product of place assimilation and its underlying form is not clearly identifiable from its source.

The consonant l The alveolar lateral approximant **l** is realized as [l]. In most environments, it is pronounced with a certain amount of closure by the dorsum, similar to the so-called “dark l” in many English dialects, but with much less closure. This is particularly the case when it is adjacent to high back vowels and when it is word initial. The dorsum involvement is much less when it is adjacent to front vowels. Examples are [lɪfɪ] ‘dragonfly’, [kʰɪlɛ:] ‘bat’, [lábɛ] ‘spiderweb’, [lɔmɛ] ‘hasten’ and [kʰɪlɔŋ] ‘agama lizard’.¹¹

The consonant y The palatal approximant **y** is usually realized as [j]. Other times it is realized with a light fricative quality best represented by the voiced palatal fricative [j̥]. This variant appears to be in free variation with [j] in all environments and is often corrected to the approximant variant in careful speech. Examples are [kʰɪjɪ] ‘ghost’, [jɪfɛ] ‘transplant’, [jɛlɛ] ‘sweep’, [jɛŋ] ‘thigh’, [kʰɪjájá] ‘flying ant’, [kʰɪjɔ] ‘soul’, [jɔ] ‘snake’ and [kʰɪjɔŋ] ‘fool’.

The consonant w The labiovelar approximant **w** is realized as [w]. Examples are [gwɪ]¹² ‘net’, [wɛŋɛ] ‘tickle’, [wá:ŋ] ‘market’, [wɔŋ] ‘village’ and [kʰɪwɔŋɛ] ‘ditch’.

2.1.3 Consonant minimal pairs

Contrasts for all consonants are given in Table 2.4-Table 2.7 (established by near-minimal pairs when strict-minimal pairs are not available). In most cases, contrasts are given for consonants that share all but one feature. When a consonant has multiple possible analyses, then contrasts are given for that consonant to account for alternative analyses. For example, **w** could be analyzed as a labial or a velar approximant. Therefore, minimal pairs involving **w** are given with consonants from the labial and velar series. All examples in the minimal pairs show the contrasting consonants in root-initial position, with the exception of those involving **ŋ**, for which root-initial examples are relatively rare. Also, both members of a minimal pair belong to the same word class whenever possible. Finally, verb forms in the examples appear in the unmarked P0 form unless otherwise noted.

¹¹ It is possible that **l** has **r** as an allophone, occurring with words that have an **l** preceding the Progressive suffix **-ɪ**, the **lr** sequence sometimes surfacing as **rɪ** and also sometimes with apparent vowel harmony within the word. Speakers sometimes are not certain themselves if these words should be pronounced with an **l** or a **r**, with limited free variation observed.

¹² The vowel [w] only precedes [ɪ] when **w** is part of a CG sequence. See §2.3.2 for an account of distribution restrictions.

Consonants	Example	Gloss	Example	Gloss	
t	/d	[tʰāŋ]	‘count’	[dáj]	‘jump’
	/ch	[tʰō]	‘hit’	[tʃō:]	‘feces’
	/s	[tʰōŋ]	‘shoot’	[sōŋ]	‘beat’
ch	/t	[tʃō:]	‘feces’	[tʰō]	‘hit’
	/k	[tʃá]	‘chew’	[kʰā]	‘swear’
	/j	[tʃō:]	‘feces’	[dʒò]	‘penis’
	/sh	[tʃō]	‘carve’	[ʃō]	‘wipe off’
k	/ch	[kʰā]	‘swear’	[tʃá]	‘chew’
	/g	[kʰō]	‘play’ (e.g., a drum)	[gō]	‘play’ (intr.)
b	/d	[bāŋ]	‘shine’	[dáj]	‘jump’
	/g	[bá]	‘choose’	[gā]	‘divide’
	/m	[bī]	‘follow’	[mí]	‘swallow’
	/w	[bō]	‘escape’	[wó]	‘whistle’ (v)
d	/t	[dáj]	‘jump’	[tʰāŋ]	‘count’
	/j	[dáj]	‘jump’	[dʒāŋ]	‘announce’
	/n	[kʰīdāŋ]	‘table’	[kʰīmáŋ]	‘chin’
	/l	[dē:]	‘cook’	[lé:]	‘deceive’
j	/ch	[dʒò]	‘penis’	[tʃō:]	‘feces’
	/d	[dʒāŋ]	‘announce’	[dáj]	‘jump’
	/p	[dʒī]	‘hoe’	[pī]	‘bee’
	/y	[dʒēnē]	‘swing’	[jéné]	‘breathe’
g	/b	[gā]	‘divide’	[bá]	‘choose’
	/ŋ	[gānè]	‘greet’	[ŋānè]	‘crawl’
	/gh	[gā]	‘divide’	[yá]	‘overpower’
	/w	[gā]	‘divide’	[wā]	‘argue’

Table 2.4 Minimal pairs for plosives.

Consonants	Example	Gloss	Example	Gloss
m				
/b	[mí]	‘swallow’	[bī]	‘follow’
/n	[mā]	‘be alone’	[nā]	‘cow’
/w	[mā]	‘be alone’	[wā:]	‘argue’
n				
/d	[k ^h ínáŋ]	‘chin’	[k ^h ídāŋ]	‘table’
/m	[nā]	‘cow’	[mā:]	‘decorative scar’
/ɲ	[nā]	‘cow’	[ɲā]	‘gift’
/l	[k ^h ínáŋ]	‘chin’	[fíláŋ]	‘clay pipe’
ɲ				
/j	[ɲī]	‘bee’	[dʒi]	‘hoe’
/n	[ɲā]	‘gift’	[nā]	‘cow’
/ŋ	[ɲāŋɛ̃]	‘feed’	[ŋāné]	‘crawl’
/y	[ɲō:]	‘knee’	[jōŋ]	‘thatch’ (n)
ŋ				
/g	[ŋāné]	‘crawl’	[gāné]	‘greet’
/ɲ	[ŋāné]	‘crawl’	[ɲāŋɛ̃]	‘feed’
/gh	[ŋāné]	‘crawl’	[yá]	‘overpower’
/w	[t ^h ōwɛ̃]	‘crow’ (v)	[t ^h ōwɛ̃]	‘latrine’

Table 2.5 Minimal pairs for nasals.

Consonants	Example	Gloss	Example	Gloss
f				
/b	[bī]	‘cry’	[fí]	‘blow’
/m	[mɔ̃]	‘taste’	[fɔ̃]	‘sharpen’
/s	[fāŋ]	‘deny’	[sāŋ]	‘draw’
s				
/t	[sōŋ]	‘beat’	[t ^h ōŋ]	‘fry’
/n	[sōŋ]	‘beat’	[nōŋ]	‘throw’
/f	[sāŋ]	‘draw’	[fāŋ]	‘deny’
/sh	[sā]	‘mask’	[jā]	‘pot’
/l	[sāŋɛ̃]	‘scatter’	[lāŋɛ̃]	‘pay’
sh				
/ch	[jɔ̃]	‘wipe off’	[tjɔ̃]	‘carve’
/ɲ	[jā]	‘pot’	[ɲāŋ]	‘animal’
/s	[jā]	‘pot’	[sā]	‘mask’
/gh	[jā]	‘pot’	[yá]	‘overpower’
/y	[jā]	‘pot’	[jā]	‘suck’
gh				
/g	[yá]	‘overpower’	[gā]	‘divide’
/ŋ	[yá]	‘overpower’	[ŋāné]	‘crawl’
/sh	[yá]	‘overpower’	[jā]	‘pot’
/w	[yá]	‘overpower’	[wā:]	‘argue’

Table 2.6 Minimal pairs for fricatives.

Consonants	Example	Gloss	Example	Gloss
l				
/d	[lɛ:]	‘deceive’	[dɛ:]	‘cook’
/n	[fɪláj]	‘clay pipe’	[kʰɪmáj]	‘chin’
/s	[lájɛ]	‘pay’	[sájɛ]	‘scatter’
/y	[lɛsɛ]	‘disappear’	[jɛsɛ]	‘be empty’
/w	[lɔ]	‘stop’	[wɔ]	‘whistle’ (v)
y				
/j	[jɛnɛ]	‘breathe’	[dʒɛnɛ]	‘swing’
/ɲ	[jɔɲ]	‘thatch’ (n)	[ɲɔ:]	‘knee’
/sh	[jáj]	‘suck’	[jáj]	‘pot’
/l	[jɛsɛ]	‘be empty’	[lɛsɛ]	‘disappear’
/w	[jáj]	‘suck’	[wáj:]	‘argue’
w				
/b	[wɔ]	‘whistle’ (v)	[bɔ]	‘escape’
/g	[wáj:]	‘argue’	[gáj]	‘divide’
/m	[wáj:]	‘argue’	[máj]	‘be alone’
/ŋ	[kʰɪwádɛ]	‘corn husk’	[kɪŋájɛ]	‘ringworm’
/f	[wáj:]	‘argue’	[fáj]	‘peel’ (v)
/gh	[wáj:]	‘argue’	[ɣáj]	‘overpower’
/l	[wɔ]	‘whistle’ (v)	[lɔ]	‘stop’
/y	[wáj:]	‘argue’	[jáj]	‘suck’

Table 2.7 Minimal pairs for approximants.

2.1.4 Consonant-glide sequences

Occurrences of some consonant followed by either the labial-velar approximant **w** or the palatal approximant **y** are described in this section. Consonant-glide clusters are analyzed as sequences rather than as labialization and palatalization, but with some reservation. As pointed out by Parker (2012: 121–122), proper analysis of CG sequences is notoriously problematic for a number of reasons. In the case of Nchane, one difficulty is the variety of sources for such sequences. For example, while there are numerous examples of apparently monomorphemic Cw sequences, there are also many examples of Cw sequences resulting from noun class marking (e.g., [bwɛŋ]/[bɛŋ] ‘mosquito (sg/pl)’ and [tʃwɛ]/[bátʃɛ] ‘witch (sg/pl), genders 3/4 and 1/2 respectively). The **w** found in such noun class marking comes historically from a CV-prefix. Therefore, the **w** in Cw sequences representing noun class marking might not be comparable to the **w** in Cw sequences occurring in monomorphemic words. It is clear, for example, that in such cases, the **w** segment should be considered unbound to the preceding consonant, at least lexically, making a labialization analysis less tenable.

However, support for a labialization analysis comes from looking at neighboring languages and how gender 3/4 noun marking is handled. While class 3 Nchane nouns are marked with a **w** following the initial consonant of the root, several neighboring languages show this same marking through a labial-velar alternation. For

example, the singular and plural forms of the Nchane word ‘root’ are [gwēŋ] and [gēŋ], while the Mungong forms are [gbā] and [gā]. Instances of Cw sequences in Mungong are attested elsewhere, as are instances of gb as a unique phoneme. The simplest way to capture the essence of class 3 noun marking across the Beboid language group is to analyze it as labialization.

Ultimately, with a clear interpretation difficult or impossible, a Cw sequence analysis is preferable to C^w due to the desirability of decreasing the consonant phoneme inventory. This interpretation is then extended to Cy sequences recognizing both clusters as categorically similar, capturing the generalization that both clusters are consonant-glide sequences.

2.1.4.1 Cw sequences

Consonant clusters C₁C₂, where C₂ is a labial-velar approximant /w/ are attested when C₁ is a plosive, fricative or nasal. The consonant cluster is observed at morphological boundaries as well as within basic words in root-initial position. The majority of occurrences are observed in nouns, although they occur in verbs as well. The Cw sequence occurs in about 11% of nouns, which is slightly more than double the rate of the sequence in verbs. This difference in proportion is largely erased when nouns from classes 1 and 3 are removed from the count to control for the -w- infix, which represents class marking.

The phonetic realization is [Cw]. When the preceding consonant is a plosive, the w often has a slight fricative quality as a result of the release of the preceding plosive. In all cases, there is a degree of lip rounding at or near the onset of the initial consonant.

Table 2.8 shows which consonants are observed in Cw clusters. The sequence dw appears in parentheses to indicate that it occurs only in the class 3 nouns [dʒwē] ‘mouth’, [dʒwē] ‘calabash used in tapping palm wine’ and [dʒwēlē] ‘edge’. The phonetic realization of the initial consonant is therefore usually spirantized such that it sounds more like [dʒw] than [dw]. The underlying onset consonant for these words is clearly /d/ (as evidenced by their plural forms) and in careful speech the spirantization can be lessened, but never completely absent. It is likely that /dw/ is always realized as [dʒw], although the small number of tokens and lack of contexts other than class 3 does not allow for certainty. Similarly, the sequence sw occurs only in the class 3 word [ɲfwē] ‘palm needle’ ([nswē] representing the plural form). Again, the alveolar consonant is spirantized when followed by w. (See §3.6 for a description of spirantization.)

		Labial	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar
Plosives	vl			chw	kw
	vd	bw	(dw)	jw	gw
Fricatives		fw	(sw)	shw	
Nasals		mw			ɲw

Table 2.8 Cw sequence types.

The number of occurrences of each Cw sequence is given in Table 2.9, which clearly shows that velar consonants are the most numerous in the C₁ position, with the labial consonants the next most common.

Sequences	Examples (in phonetic form)	# of tokens
chw	[tʃwɛ̃] ‘witch’, [tʃwɔ̃] ‘shrew’	2
kw	[kwī:] ‘moon’, [kwādzɛ̃] ‘think’, [kwɛ̃sɛ̃] ‘woman’	13
bw	[bwī] ‘dew’, [bwɛ̃dɛ̃] ‘break’	8
(dw)	[dzwɛ̃] ‘mouth’, [dzwɛ̃lɛ̃] ‘edge’	3
jw	[dzwī] ‘husband’, [kīdzwī] ‘boundary’	2
gw	[gwī] ‘net’, [gwī] ‘fall’, [gwɔ̃ɲ] ‘bamboo’	17
fw	[fwī] ‘forward’, [mfwā] ‘slave’	6
(sw)	[ɲfwɛ̃] ‘palm needle’	1
shw	[bvūsɔ̃fwī] ‘seven’, [fwā] ‘shuck corn’	3
mw	[mwɛ̃] ‘farm’, [mwā] ‘child’, [mwāɲgā] ‘hare’	3
ɲw ¹³	[ɲwāɲ] ‘granary’, [ɲwāɲwā] ‘sugarcane’	4

Table 2.9 Examples of Cw sequences and their prevalence (n=62).

The sequence **ɲw** is ambiguous as it could represent either an **Nw** cluster belonging to the same syllable (similar in nature to **mw**, **bw** or **gw**), or it could represent an **Ń.w** sequence, where the two segments belong to different syllables. The word for ‘granary’, for example, clearly has tone on the initial nasal, while both instances of **ɲw** in the reduplicated form for ‘sugarcane’ are pronounced with the segments acting as a unit, the nasal being of shorter duration and minimal observable tonal presence. The reader is directed to §2.1.5, where some of the challenges related to analyzing nasal-consonant sequences are discussed.

An additional question is prompted by the fact that **NC** sequences usually are observed to share place of articulation, so the occurrence of **ɲw** and **mw** suggests that the two sequences are not comparable. It is possible that these **ɲw** tokens are derived

¹³ All tokens of **ɲw** in the data have the sequence preceding the vowel **a**, but I suspect that this apparent restriction is an artifact of the limited database. This suspicion is supported by the greater variety of vowels observed following the sequence in Noni words, although there is a clear preference for **a** in this environment. Also note that the Cw sequence in the word [ɲwā] ‘granary’ (c5) is one in which the two consonants belong to different syllables.

from verbs, which take a homorganic nasal prefix **N-**, with the nasal assimilating to the velar place of articulation. Both of the words [ŋwāŋ] ‘granary’ and [ŋwā] ‘book’ are class 1 nouns, which is the class to which most nominalized verbs belong and are credible as nominalized forms in terms of semantics, although their nominalized status cannot be confirmed. The fourth token, [ŋwŋwá] ‘smell (v)’ is likely an ideophone, to which normal rules of phonology do not necessarily apply.

Meanwhile, two of the **mw** tokens are class 1 nouns and the third token is a class 3 noun. Two of these tokens clearly derive the **w** from noun class affixation. (The word for ‘hare’ has the variant wāŋgā and so the nature of the **mw** sequence is not clear.) Thus, an argument can be made that nasal place assimilation does not apply in cases of NC sequences resulting from infixation. On the one hand, the nasal of an **N-** prefix undergoes place assimilation when prefixed to words with a **w** onset, with an [ŋw] realization. On the other hand, a word initial nasal maintains its place features when taking a **-w-** infix. (See §3.1 for a description of nasal place assimilation.)

Leaving aside the likelihood that the sequences **mw** and **ŋw** have different sources, I nevertheless present both of these sequences as Cw clusters and leave the question regarding their possible differing phonological character for future study.

2.1.4.2 Cy sequences

Consonant clusters C₁C₂, where C₂ is a palatal approximant /j/, are attested when the initial consonant is a plosive, fricative or nasal, and are nearly restricted to the labial series. As with Cw sequences, Cy sequences are observed at morphological boundaries, as well as in root-initial position of basic words. The sequence is rare, occurring uniquely only 19 times in the data and is pronounced as [Cj].

The different consonants observed in Cy clusters are given in Table 2.10. The consonants **t**, **d**, **ch** and **sh** are placed in parentheses, since they are attested only rarely in the data. Richards (1991: 338) also observed **chy** and **jy** sequences (and no **dy** sequence). However, no mention of frequency of these sequences is offered. Given the similar acoustic properties of a palatal consonant alone and a palatal consonant followed by the palatal approximant **y**, it is possible that some tokens of **shy**, **chy** and **jy** have been mistakenly transcribed without the glide. But it is just as likely that Cy sequences with a palatal initial consonant are in decline. The consonant **n** is omitted from the Cy sequence inventory due to the unclear interpretation of the phone [ɲ] as a realization of /ɲj/. See §2.1.1 above for details.¹⁴

¹⁴ The vowel /i/ of agreement prefixes for the anaphoric 1 demonstrative is always realized as a palatal glide for classes 8 and 19 (i.e., [bjē-è] and [fjē-è] respectively). Meanwhile, a palatal glide is sometimes also observed in the agreement prefixes for classes 4, 5, 10 and 13 (i.e., [tjē-è]) and class 7 (i.e., [kjē-è]), particularly in careful speech.

		Labial	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar
Plosives	vl		(ty)	(tʃy)	
	vd	by	(dy)		
Fricatives		fy		(ʃy)	
Nasals		my			

Table 2.10 Cy sequence types.

A complete list of root-initial Cy tokens is given in Table 2.11.

Sequences	Examples (in phonetic form)	# of tokens
(ty)	[kʰɪntʃɛŋ] ‘diarrhea’	1
by	[bjɔ̃ŋ] ‘gall bladder’, [bjɔ̃ŋ] ‘fish’, [bjɛː] ‘nail’, [bjā] ‘banana’, [bjāŋ] ‘palm nut’, [bjɔ] ‘raffia palm’, [mbjɛŋɛ] ‘bell’, [fɪmbjɔ̃ŋ] ‘hunting bow’	8
dy	[dʒā] ‘testicle’, [bvūdʒɛ] ‘bridge’	2
fy	[fjɛ] ‘mouse’, [fjɔ̃ŋsɛ] ‘resemble’, [fjɔ̃] ‘debt’, [fjɪ] ‘burn’	4
shy	[āʃjɔ̃ŋ] ‘stomach (internal)’	1
chy	[tʃjā:] ‘hand’	1
my	[mjæyɔ̃] ‘blink’, [mjɔ̃] ‘squeeze’	2

Table 2.11 Examples of root-initial Cy sequences and their prevalence (exhaustive) (n=19).

2.1.5 Nasal-consonant sequences

A proper interpretation of nasal-consonant sequences is difficult for a number of languages in the area. The same is true for Nchane, where the nasal of NC sequences is observed to always be pronounced with a certain degree of pitch, due to the nasal’s sonorance quality. But the characteristics of the nasal in one word versus a second word are not always the same, and are sometimes different in separate utterances of the same word. While interpretation of such sequences is debatable, I consider them as involving a syllabic nasal rather than prenasalization. However, there is much variation, with the NC onsets of verbs particularly showing more prenasalization-like characteristics.

In §2.1.5.1 I present the general phonetic properties and distribution patterning of NC sequences. I attempt to limit my discussion here to NC sequences in monomorphemic environments. I do this, however, with a recognition that it is likely impossible to rule out all instances of nominalization (which involves a homorganic nasal prefix) and that the question regarding a correct synchronic analysis of the nasal of NC sequences at the morpheme boundary in at least some of the noun classes is not yet answered with certainty. In §2.1.5.2 I present the various sources of NC sequences and discuss briefly how this complicates the analysis. Recognizing the potential sources of NC sequences is critical to understanding their behavior, since the

realization of many of them is variable, suggesting that processes resulting in NC sequences, such as syllable reduction and root incorporation of prefix nasals, have not yet fully regularized.

2.1.5.1 General phonetic properties and distribution of nasal-consonant sequences

Nasals followed by non-nasal consonants are very common in the data, with the nasal almost always matching the place of articulation of the following consonant. This sequence type is particularly prevalent among nouns, which account for more than 75% of tokens. Slightly more than 50% of these sequences occur in word-initial position and another 35% are stem-initial, with both contexts accounting for more than 85% of total occurrences.

Some of these nasal-consonant sequences show characteristics consistent with both syllabic nasal-consonant sequences and prenasalized consonants. In word-initial position, the duration of the nasal is usually comparable to that of a vowel in a word-initial vowel-consonant sequence and carries tone. However, the duration can be significantly shorter, almost to the point of being unheard by the inattentive listener, particularly in commonly used words like proper nouns.¹⁵ The tone realized on the nasal often matches the preceding tone. When there is no preceding tone, or when the NC sequence occurs at a phrasal boundary, the nasal is almost always realized with a mid tone. (Note that observations regarding the phonetic characteristics of NC sequences in this section are substantiated through the use of Speech Analyzer, an acoustic analysis application.)

The nasal is usually resyllabified, forming a syllable coda, when preceded by a vowel, and usually with no apparent tone implications. In other words, there appears to be no lexical tone associated with most of these nasals. The duration of the resyllabified nasal in the coda position is relatively shorter compared to the word-initial variety and there is a clear reduction in intensity (i.e., a hiatus) marking the nasal-consonant boundary when the consonant is a voiced plosive like **b** or **g**. When the consonant is a voiceless plosive or fricative there is a smaller reduction in intensity at the nasal-consonant boundary and a large reduction between the consonant and the following vowel.

Rarely, reduced intensity has been observed instead occurring at the vowel-nasal boundary suggesting that the nasal has not been completely resyllabified and the nasal-consonant sequence showing characteristics more in line with a prenasalization analysis. Multiple utterances of the same word sometimes yield varying degrees of apparent resyllabification, possibly related to differences in careful and natural speech. These rare cases might be limited to nominalizations. However, examples of known nominalized forms are observed with the nasal being characteristically resyllabified.

¹⁵ Note for example the form **Cane** as one of the observed varieties of the language name.

The inventory of NC(G) sequences occurring in the data appears in Table 2.12. The glides are given in parentheses when they are attested with a particular NC sequence. The table shows that any consonant can be preceded by a nasal, with the exception of **gh**. There is no evidence to suggest that a **ngh** sequence is impossible; its absence is likely due to the scarcity in the data of **gh** itself.

	Labial	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar
Plosives		nt(y)	ɲch	ŋk(w)
	mb(w/y)	nd	ɲj	g(w)
Fricatives	ɲfw	ns	ɲsh(w)	-
Approximants		ɲl	ɲy	ɲw

Table 2.12 NC(G) sequence types.

Examples of NC sequences in nouns are given in Table 2.13, along with the prevalence of the different NC sequence types. Note that the distribution of glides following the various NC sequence varieties is largely consistent with that of the glides without the preceding nasal.

Some important observations regarding this data set can be made. First, nasal-consonant sequences occurring inside the root and not at a morpheme boundary are rare. In most cases, these appear to be nominalized forms with the NC sequence a result of a verb suffix. Two apparent exceptions are [**mwāŋgá**] ‘hare’ (c1) and [**sēŋli**] ‘okra’ (c1). Second, the voiced velar nasal-plosive sequence **ng** rarely occurs before front vowels, with [**k^hŋgèŋ**] ‘island’ being the only occurrence in the data. Lastly, while the distribution of NCG sequences is modestly wide, their prevalence is very low.

NC	Examples (in phonetic form)	# of tokens
nt	[k ^h ĩntí] ‘bowl’ (c7), [k ^h ĩntá] ‘stool’ (c7), [n̄t ^h ɔ̄ŋ] ‘cane rat’ (c1)	15
ɲch	[ɲtʃi] ‘law’ (c1), [fĩptʃā] ‘ring’ (c19), [k ^h ĩptʃɔ:] ‘horn’ (c7)	24
ŋk	[ŋk ^h i:] ‘song’ (c1), [ŋk ^h ā] ‘jigger’ (c9), [k ^h ĩŋk ^h ɔ̄fɛ̄] ‘bone’ (c7), [ŋkfúsà] ‘ancestor’ (c1), [k ^h ĩŋkfúnɛ̄] ‘stump’ (c7)	22
mb	[m̄bĩ:] ‘grasshopper’ (c9), [m̄bà:] ‘bait’ (c9), [m̄bɔ̄nà] ‘sweet potato’ (c1)	23
nd	[n̄dĩŋɛ̄] ‘omen’ (c1), [n̄dág] ‘thread’ (c1), [n̄dɔ̄ŋ] ‘hawk’ (c1)	7
ɲj	[ɲdʒĩ] ‘venom’ (c1), [ɲdʒà] ‘balafon’ (c1), [ɲdʒó:] ‘mortar’ (c5)	13
ŋg	[k ^h ĩŋgɛ̄ŋ] ‘island’ (c7), [ŋgáŋ] ‘tarantula’ (c1), [ŋgɔ̄lɔ̄] ‘vulture’ (c1), [k ^h ĩŋgvù] ‘duck’ (c7)	27
ŋf	[k ^h ĩŋfɛ̄:] ‘blind man’ (c7), [k ^h ĩŋfàŋ] ‘molar tooth’ (c7), [ŋfɔ̄] ‘ladle’ (c3)	11
ns	[fĩnsɛ̄sɛ̄] ‘louse’ (c19), [n̄sà] ‘friend’ (c9), [n̄sɔ̄:] ‘pepper’ (c1)	10
ɲsh	[fɛ̄ɲʃi] ‘daytime’ (c16), [ɲʃáŋ] ‘ground’ (c9), [fĩŋʃɔ:] ‘mud wasp’ (c19)	4
ŋgh	-	
ŋl~nl	[sɛ̄ŋli] ‘okra’ (c1), [k ^h ĩŋlâ:] ‘kingfisher’ (c7), [n̄lɔ̄] ‘poison’ (c1)	3
ɲy ¹⁶	[fĩɲjɛ̄lɛ̄] ‘earring’ (c19), [fĩɲjɔ̄ŋà] ‘pig’ (c19)	2
ŋw	[ŋwāŋ] ‘granary’ (c1)	1
mbw	[m̄bwɛ̄k ^h ɔ̄lɔ̄] ‘chameleon’ (c1) ¹⁷	1
ŋgw	[ŋgwāŋ] ‘whip’ (c1) ¹⁸	1
ŋfw	[ŋfwà] ‘slave’ (c1)	1
ɲshw	[ɲʃwɛ̄] ‘palm needle’ (c3) ¹⁹ , [ɲʃwɛ̄] ‘broom’ (c9)	2
nty	[k ^h ĩntjɔ̄ŋ] ‘diarrhea’	1
mby	[m̄bjɛ̄ŋɛ̄] ‘bell’, [fĩmbjɛ̄ŋ] ‘hunting bow’	2

Table 2.13 Examples of NC sequences in nouns and their prevalence (n=170).

The few examples of NC onsets occurring in verbs show that the duration of the nasal is closer to that of coda nasals. In addition, there is very little or no decrease in intensity at the nasal-consonant boundary. This NC variety shows characteristics of a prenasalized consonant. However, only seven examples of NC word-initial verbs

¹⁶ The inclusion of **ɲy** as a NC sequence type is supported by the presence of a hiatus occurring between the nasal and the consonant. Furthermore, the palatal consonant in both of these words is sometimes realized as the allophone [j].

¹⁷ This is obviously a compound word of some sort. However, the NCw sequence is our focus here, and there is no reason to doubt it as a valid example of this sequence.

¹⁸ This word refers to any instrument used to beat a person, e.g., a cane, stick or strap.

¹⁹ The **w** in this word comes from c3 affixation. The word is likely the source from which the word ‘broom’ is derived, which is fashioned by tying palm needles together. The class 3 affix is apparently maintained in the stem of the new class 9 word.

are found in the data, which are given in Table 2.14. Furthermore, some verbs which were originally transcribed with an NC onset showed that the nasal was not present in recordings, suggesting that the status of this variety of NC sequence is tenuous.

Sequences	Examples (in phonetic form)	# of tokens
nt	-	
ɲch	-	
ŋk	[[̃] k ^h ò] ‘clap’	1
mb	[[̃] bɛ̃] ‘imitate’, [[̃] bæfɔ̃] ‘subtract’, [[̃] bɔ̃] ‘melt’, [[̃] bū:] ‘foam’, [[̃] bɔ̃ŋɛ̃] ‘perspire’	5
ŋg	[[̃] gōmā] ‘kneel’	1

Table 2.14 Examples of NC sequences in verbs (exhaustive) and their prevalence (n=7).

Considering the phonetic characteristics of NC sequences observed above, an argument can be made for syllabic nasals as well as prenasalized consonants, with a range of realization patterns consistent with either interpretation. This variability is consistent with diachronic change, the end result of which is difficult to predict, and is explained to a degree by looking at the different sources of NC sequences in the language, which are presented in the following section.

The realization of NC onsets of verbs is particularly more consistent with a prenasalization analysis than NC sequences in other contexts. But even this NC variety is prone to speaker/utterance variability. A more accurate analysis is one which views all NC sequences of the language as a single variety, but with realization tendencies associated with specific contexts (e.g., word class, consonant type, etc.). Most occurrences of NC sequences show characteristics inconsistent with a prenasalization analysis. Therefore, I consider a syllabic nasal analysis preferable.

2.1.5.2 Sources of nasal-consonant sequences

Analysis of nasal-consonant sequences is complicated due in part to their multiple sources, with some NC sequences difficult to categorize in terms their morphological status. Five primary contexts are observed:

1. The first-person singular subject agreement prefix **N-**, which affixes to the left side of the verb and often various elements of the verb core (e.g., tense and aspect markers). For example, mē **ŋ-gē n-dú** [1SG.PRO 1SG-P3 1SG-say] ‘I said...’.
2. Consonant initial suffixes added to verb roots with nasal consonant codas. For example, bī**ŋ-sé** [dance-CAUS] ‘roll’.
3. Nominalization is usually accomplished through adding a homorganic nasal prefix **N-** to a verb. All verb roots have consonant onsets. The result then is a NC sequence. For example, ki-n-tē**ŋɛ̃** [c7-NMZR-argue] ‘argument’.

4. Noun class prefixes added to nominal roots and nominal modifiers. For example, *māŋ-kó* [c6a-ladder] ‘ladders’ and *mw-ē: m̀n-d̀d̀ē* [c18a-thing c18a-many] ‘many things’.
5. Monomorphemic, root internal NC sequences. For example, *mb̀énè* [c5.breast] ‘breast’, *mwāŋgá* [c1.hare] ‘hare’ and *mb̀ū:* [foam] ‘foam (v)’²⁰.

The first two contexts are easily dealt with, since it is usually obvious when a verb has been marked with subject agreement or a causative suffix, although many verbs are observed which have an apparent historical causative suffix lexicalized as part of the verb root (see §9.1.4 for more details). Contexts 3 and 4 represent environments which are not always easily analyzed. For instance, it is almost certainly the case that some nouns in the data are nominalizations, but without a corresponding source verb identified. This difficulty is particularly troublesome for class 1 nouns, since many of these have root-initial NC sequences, where the homorganic nasal represents either a nominalization prefix or a lexicalized class prefix. Therefore, it is not always apparent if the nasal present at the morpheme boundary in nouns is a part of the root or has been affixed to the root.

The morpheme boundary presented by class agreement marking on nominal modifiers is usually easily identifiable and brings no questions to the status of the resulting NC clusters. But certain genders (particularly 1/2 and 19/18a) are observed to have subgroups involving a homorganic nasal at the morpheme boundary. The question then is whether the nasal belongs to the root or to the prefix. See §5.2.1 for more discussion on noun classes with a prevalence of such NC sequences.

The various sources of NC sequences in the language and the accompanying ambiguity as to their morphological status should be kept in mind when considering the phonetic description offered in the above section. The variability of the phonetic realization of some NC sequences reflects an indistinct morpheme boundary, where a historical nasal prefix element is in the process of being lexicalized onto the root.

2.2 Vowels

Nchane has a seven-vowel system, which is in contrast to the neighboring Grassfields languages, which most often have nine vowel systems. However, within the Beboid group, Sari and Noni also have seven vowel systems (Richards 1991). The basic vowel phonemes of Nchane are displayed in Figure 2.1.

²⁰ To agitate a liquid with a paddle or stick, resulting in the formation of foam. This is an action used in the production of palm oil to separate the oil from the water.




Figure 2.1 Inventory of Nchane vowels (short, oral varieties).

The figure above shows a symmetrical vowel system, with three height distinctions represented in front and back series. However, the vowel system is phonetically asymmetrical, as the high back fricative vowel (represented as **Fu**) is realized as a near-high central vowel [ɸ] with associated frication. Issues related to the fricative vowel analysis and this apparent discrepancy are discussed in §2.2.1, followed by sections describing the phonetic characteristics of vowels and support for their phonemic status. These sections are then followed by presentations of nasal vowels and long vowels. (While these vowels are represented in this chapter in their IPA form, see §1.3.3 for an explanation as to how they are represented in the description's orthography.)

2.2.1 Fricative vowel

The vowel **Fu**, appearing in the high back position in Figure 2.1 above, has a severely restricted distribution, following a limited number of consonants and always accompanied phonetically by a fricative. The fricative, either [f] or [v], matches the voicing of the preceding consonant. For example, it is **f** following **k** and **ch**, but **v** following **b**, **g** and **j**. Table 2.15 presents the CFu sequence types with illustrative examples and their prevalence in the data. Note that long and nasal varieties of the vowel in question are also observed. Due to the overall small number of tokens, all varieties of this vowel are treated together.

Preceding consonant	Examples (in phonetic form)	# of tokens
b	[bvǔ] 'dog', [bvúli] 'lion', [bvúgá] 'nine'	5
k	[kfú:] 'rope', [k'íkfunà] 'rat', [ŋkfúsà] 'ancestor'	12
g	[gvū] 'chest', [gvū:nì] 'obey', [ŋgvū] 'tail'	12
ch	[tʃfū] 'reply', [ɲtʃfugè] 'thousand'	3
j	[dʒvū] 'cobra'	1

Table 2.15 Examples of CFu sequences and their prevalence (n=33).

Previous analyses of the Nchane sound system (see Boutwell 2014a; and Richards 1991)²¹ have treated these phonetic CF sequences as allophonic variants of Cw sequences when preceding /u/. However, recent attention to similar phenomena in other languages suggests a better analysis is to view this frication as being associated, if only as a trigger, with the vowel itself (see for example Connell 2007; and Faytak 2014).

Figure 2.2 presents the various vowels according to their average formant values and shows that the high, back position normally filled by [u] is empty. The phonetic vowel representing the phoneme /u/, which at one time filled this position, is now realized as a near-high central vowel associated with labiodental frication of the preceding consonant (i.e., the fricative vowel **Fu**).




Figure 2.2 General zones of Nchane vowel realization reflecting F1 and F2 measurements.²²

²¹ The CFu sequence is actually not attested by Richards for Nchane, even though at least one instance occurs in his data. However, in the same work, he treats CFu as an allophone of Cwu for Noni. The fact that CFu is not observed by Richards for Nchane may indicate that it is a relatively new development. The current Noni orthography observes 12 consonants in the initial position of CFu sequences (Andrus & Lux 2009: 8), whereas Hyman observed only seven (Hyman 1981: 1). While this might be a result of a relatively small sample size in the case of Hyman's study, it might also support the notion that this phenomenon is relatively novel in the area. Meanwhile, Mungong is the only other Beboid language reported as having this phenomenon of frication associated with the high back vowel, with preliminary work revealing only three consonants (**b**, **k** and **g**) allowed to precede the vowel in question (Boutwell 2011: 10).

²² These zones reflect the F1 and F2 measurements of the various vowels plotted on a chart, with F1 serving as the horizontal axis and F2 as the vertical axis. The actual number of vowels measured are as follows: i=38, e=53, ɛ=52, a=19, ɤ=21, o=12, u=8.

Faytak (2014: 56) suggests that such fricative vowels are associated with vowel systems with high vowels being encroached upon by lower vowels. This appears to be consistent with the Nchane vowel system. While no such encroachment is observed for the back vowels, the high front vowel is being crowded by the near-high front vowel and there is no high back vowel in opposition to the high front vowel.

In the past, /o/ is assumed to have placed upward pressure on /u/ resulting in a growing degree of closure and frication. The frication was also accompanied by centralization of the vowel. Speculation is that /u/ is being reanalyzed as a near-high central vowel, relieving the pressure on the high back position and allowing /o/ to move to a slightly higher position. Indeed, the /o/ often sounds like [u] to me, as a native American English speaker. In addition, both of these vowels (/u/ and /o/) exhibit behavior commonly associated with a high back vowel, as is shown in §§3.3 and 3.4.

2.2.2 Phonetic descriptions of short oral vowels

As stated in the introduction to the section on vowels, the vowel system is phonetically asymmetrical. This is demonstrated in Figure 2.2 above which shows that there is no high back vowel counterpart to the high front vowel. Further descriptions of each vowel are given below. Note that these descriptions can for the most part be extended to the long and nasal vowel varieties, only differing in duration and nasal quality respectively. Therefore, no phonetic descriptions will be given for the individual long and nasal vowels.

The vowel i The high front unrounded vowel **i** is realized as [i], as in [bī] ‘goat’ and [bā-ptfī] ‘laws’. It is normally lax when followed by a nasal, resulting in the realization of [ɪ], as seen in [k^hi-mbā] ‘walking stick’. In this environment, contrast between **i** and **e** is therefore neutralized, and it is highly probable that some instances of transcribed **e** should be considered as **i**. Following a palatal consonant such as **ch** or **j**, laxing usually does not occur, as in [tʃɪndzú:] ‘mortar’. See §3.2 for a treatment of high front vowel laxing.

The vowel e The realization of the near-high front unrounded vowel **e** may best be characterized as [ɪ]. Some instances are a bit lower, possibly represented as [e̞]. Some examples are [fī-sī] ‘larynx’, [bīlī] ‘hail’ and [lēgō] ‘run’. There is also a degree of free variation between the realizations of [ɪ] and [i], particularly in word final position. Thus, alternative pronunciations are observed for certain words like /ā-gé/→[ā-gí]~[ā-gi] ‘sole of foot’ and /dʒé/→[dʒí]~[dʒi] ‘sandal’.

The vowel ε The vowel **ε** is a mid front unrounded vowel [e̞]. In normal speech, this vowel often laxes when adjacent to a velar consonant, being realized as the mid central vowel [ə̞]. Sometimes, this laxing also occurs when the vowel is utterance final. The laxing is less likely to occur if the vowel is in a position associated with stress (as indicated by an apparent increase in intensity), such as root initial, and is often “corrected” in careful speech. Note that stress as a feature of the sound system has not been studied beyond the observances made here. These allophones are

illustrated in the examples [kʰɪ-bɛ̃] ‘wing’, [kʰɪ-kwə] ‘head’, [bɔŋ] ‘mosquitos’ and [lɛ̃mɔ̃] ‘tongue’.

The vowel a The low unrounded vowel **a** is realized as [a], although it is not as low as a standard low vowel and might be more accurately described as the near-high central vowel [ɐ]. This vowel is illustrated in words like [lábɛ̃] ‘spider web’, [ŋkʰã] ‘basket’ and [bã-ndáŋ] ‘thread’. Note that rarely this vowel is pronounced as [æ]. No specific environment for this alternative pronunciation has been identified, and it is analyzed as being in free variation with [a].²³

The vowel ɔ The mid back rounded vowel **ɔ** has the most widely scattered formant plotting area, with a height spanning from 450-650 Hz. However, most instances are realized as [ɔ]. There is a tendency for higher realizations to follow palatal consonants such as /tʃ/ and /ɲ/. But the incidence is inconsistent, with some lower realizations observed after palatal consonants and some higher realizations after non-palatal consonants. Some examples are [kʰɪ-bɔ̃] ‘arm’, [kʰɪ-nsɔ̃mɛ̃] ‘pestle’, [lɔ̃] ‘bite’, [fɪŋ-tʃɔ̃nɛ̃] ‘groundnut’ and [ʒɔ̃] ‘snake’.

The vowel u The vowel **u** is realized as a near-high back rounded vowel [ʊ], which is slightly higher than the American English [ʊ]. Its higher realization often makes it sound like [u]. This vowel is illustrated by the words [kʰɪ-ŋkʰɔ̃fɛ̃] ‘bone’ and [kʰɪ-jú] ‘fool’.

The vowel Fu The vowel **Fu** is realized as a near-high central rounded vowel [ɸ], which is associated with labiodental frication. Some examples are [kʰɪ-ŋgvɸ] ‘duck’, [bvɸlɪ] ‘lion’, [bɪ-kfɸnɛ̃] ‘rats’ and [tʃfɸ] ‘reply (v)’.

2.2.3 Contrastive sets for short oral vowels

The phonemic status of the seven Nchane vowels is illustrated in Table 2.16, which provides two contrastive sets. The first set establishes contrasts for all the vowels but the back series, with each vowel following the palatal plosive [dʒ]. Contrast for back vowels is observed in the set of words appearing below the dashed line, with the three back vowels following the velar plosive [g].

²³ The vowel [æ] was not observed as a vowel for Nchane by Richards (1991). However, he did note the long version [æ:], but as the phonetic realization of /a:/ (Richards 1991: 355–6). This analysis is not supported by the data corpus associated with this current work, in which /a:/ is realized as [a:], as described in §2.2.5.

Underlying form	Surface form	Gloss
/dʒi/	[dʒi]	‘hoe’ (c9)
/dʒẽ/	[dʒi]	‘animal skin’ (c9)
/dʒè/	[dʒè]	‘word’ (c9)
/dʒá/	[dʒá]	‘stand’ (v)
/dʒũ/	[dʒvũ]	‘cobra’ (c9)
/dʒó/	[dʒó]	‘sun’ (c5)
<hr/>		
/gù/	[gvù]	‘chest’ (c9)
/gò/	[gò]	‘buy’ (v)
/gõ/	[gõ]	‘spear’ (c5)

Table 2.16 Contrastive sets for short oral vowels.

2.2.4 Nasal vowels

Nasal vowels, which are summarized in Figure 2.3, occur in Nchane and are analyzed as the product of syllable reduction processes. Therefore, the issue of their synchronic phonemic status is somewhat complicated. While minimal pairs which contrast oral and nasal vowels are readily available, the practical orthography represents nasal vowels as VN sequences, reflecting the lack of contrast between nasal vowels and their corresponding VN sequences. Accordingly, it is perhaps more accurate to consider these nasal vowels as the phonetic realization of an oral vowel followed by a nasal consonant in coda position.




Figure 2.3 Nchane nasal vowel inventory.

Occurrences of nasal vowels are restricted to coda position and a moderate amount of free variation between $\underset{\sim}{V}$ and VN is observed.²⁴ This free variation appears to be less frequent for long nasal vowels than for short nasal vowels. Language

²⁴ Occurrences of $\underset{\sim}{V}$ in the data are usually represented as $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\eta}$ in this work. Although, since free variation between $\underset{\sim}{V}$ and $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\eta}$ is observed, both representations are used and are considered as accurate.

internal evidence for the treatment of these vowels as underlying VN sequences comes from verbs like **gɛ:** ‘go’, which has the progressive form of **gɛ́nɛ́**. Considering cognates with neighboring languages in which a nasal consonant is present also supports the hypothesis that these vowels are a result of syllable reduction. Table 2.17 provides a partial list of cognate evidence of syllable reduction.

Nchane	Noni	Sari	Kemedzung	Gloss
kī-ŋgû	kə-ŋgû:n	fi-ŋgomu	ki-gombɔ	‘bedbug’
ŋgvú	wvún	wu:ŋ	wɔŋshi	‘tail’
tā:	tā:n	taŋɛ	-	‘ashes’
chā	chān	tʃa:ŋ	tsandi	‘monkey’
kī-ŋkâ	kè-ŋkâ:ŋ	ki-ta:ŋ	ki-ŋkɔŋkɔ	‘insect, beetle’
kī-lɛ́:	kē-lé:m	ki-lɛmɛ	ki-liŋgbə	‘bat’
byɛ́:	byɛ́n	byɛni	byandi	‘nail’

Table 2.17 Selected cognates of Nchane and three other Beboid languages supporting syllable reduction as source of Nchane nasal vowels.

Near-minimal pairs contrasting nasal and oral vowels are given in Table 2.18.

Vowels	Underlying form	Surface form	Gloss
i/i	/fīŋ/	[fī]	‘be silent’
	/fĩ/	[fĩ]	‘sit’
e/e	/kī-fēŋ/	[k ^h ī-fī]	‘palm tree flower’
	/kī-ptfê/	[k ^h ī-ptfĩ]	‘sleeping room’
ɛ/ɛ	/dʒɛŋ/	[dʒɛ]	‘grave’
	/dʒɛ́/	[dʒɛ́]	‘word’
a/a	/ŋgàŋ/	[ŋgà]	‘proverb’
	/ŋgá/	[ŋgá]	‘rust’
ɔ/ɔ	/kī-mbɔŋ/	[k ^h ī-mbɔ]	‘door’
	/kī-bɔ́/	[k ^h ī-bɔ́]	‘arm’
o/o	/kī-kóŋ/	[k ^h ī-kó]	‘shirt’
	/kī-kô/	[k ^h ī-kô]	‘yam’
Fu/Fu	/ŋgúŋ/	[ŋgvú]	‘tail’
	/ŋgù/	[gvù]	‘chest’

Table 2.18 Contrastive pairs for nasal vowels.

2.2.5 Vowel length

Contrastive vowel length is observed in a small number of words, most of which have monosyllabic roots, with long varieties of all seven short vowels. The long vowels inventory is provided in Figure 2.4.²⁵




Figure 2.4 Nchane long vowel inventory.

The analysis is somewhat complicated by the occurrence of two types of long vowels, one being the so-called “half-long” variety. Short vowels usually have a duration of about 100 ms and long vowels are about 220-250 ms. Half-long vowels measure about 180-190 ms in duration. Some examples are **kĩntĩ** ‘bowl’ (c7) and **fɛtā** ‘fireside’ (c16). The actual duration of a vowel can be affected by a number of factors. Contour tones and nasalization are particularly associated with longer vowels in Nchane.

Although difficult to substantiate, it is likely that these half-long vowels are a product of syllable reduction, similar to what has been posited for nasal vowels (see §2.2.4). The same has been argued for as the source of long vowels in nearby Mungbam (Lovegren 2013: 96). Because of the relative difficulty in differentiating three length values by the researcher as well as by many native speakers, the current analysis considers half-long vowels as short varieties.

²⁵ Note that Richards (1991) postulates that [æ] is the surface realization of the long low vowel /aa/. However, there is no evidence in the current data to support this analysis.

Examples of contrast for long vowels are given below.

Vowels	Example	Gloss	Example	Gloss
i:/i	[fī:]	‘wind’	[fɪ]	‘kidney’
e:/e	[tʃī:]	‘mole’	[tʃɪ]	‘palm kernel’
ɛ:/ɛ	[fē:]	‘two’	[fɛ]	‘make’
a:/a	[bī-ɲdzā:]	‘clouds’	[bā-ɲdzà]	‘balafon’
ɔ:/ɔ	[kʰī-jō:]	‘elephant’	[kʰɪ-jɔ]	‘spirit’
o:/o	[ŋgò:]	‘canoe’	[ŋgó]	‘fire’
Fu:/Fu	[bvù:gō]	‘nine’	[bvúli]	‘lion’

Table 2.19 Contrastive pairs for long vowels.

2.3 Phonotactics

2.3.1 Syllable structure

Six types of syllables are observed in Nchane words: V, N, CV, CGV, CVN, and CGVN. The V syllable type is rare, with most occurrences accounted for by class marking for classes 6 and 18 and the preposition à ‘in’. The CV syllable type is by far the most prevalent and is observed involving all consonants and vowels.

The N syllable type is listed here in spite of the fact that the characteristics of the N in NC sequences do not always support its analysis as syllabic. The strongest candidates for such an analysis are the first-person singular subject agreement prefix and the nasal prefix of some class 6a nouns. See §2.1.5 for further discussion.

Examples of each of the syllable types are given in Table 2.20.

Syllable type	Example	Gloss
V	[ā]	‘in’
	[ā.dā]	‘testicles’
N	[ŋ.k ^h ō:]	‘palm kernel oil’
	[m.bvū:]	‘palm wine’
CV	[dā]	‘testicle’
	[t ^h i]	‘abdomen’
	[fi]	‘kidney’
	[jō]	‘hear’
CGV	[bwī]	‘dew’
	[gwō]	‘cut down’
	[kwī]	‘moon’
	[ŋgwī]	‘fishing pole’
	[fjē]	‘mouse’
	[fjó]	‘debt’
	[bjō]	‘raffia palm’
CVN	[jéŋ]	‘thigh’
	[dájŋ]	‘jump’
	[bēŋ]	‘frighten’
CGVN	[kwēŋ]	‘firewood’
	[ŋwāŋ]	‘granary’
	[bjéŋ]	‘fish’
	[ā.jēŋ]	‘stomach’

Table 2.20 Examples of different syllable types.

Nouns

Nchane shows a slight preference for monosyllabic noun roots. The phonological structure of roots is dominated by CV and CV.CV structures, although other combinations are not uncommon. Noun roots with more than two syllables are relatively rare. The different syllable patterns of noun roots are summarized in Table 2.21, along with representative examples.

Pattern	Example	Gloss
σ	[bī]	‘goat’
	[fjē]	‘mouse’
	[tʃōŋ]	‘thief’
$\sigma.\sigma$	[gá.nē]	‘grass’
	[sō.ŋó]	‘oil palm’
	[kwē.sí]	‘woman’
	[sēŋ.li]	‘okra’
	[mwàŋ.gá]	‘rabbit’
$\sigma.\sigma.\sigma$	[bì.li.k ^b áŋ]	‘papaya’
	[bó.ŋā.lī]	‘tortoise’
$\sigma.\sigma.\sigma.\sigma$	[tʃā.lā.gó.gō]	‘spider’

Table 2.21 Syllable patterns of noun roots.

Verbs

The majority of Nchane verbs have monosyllabic roots with the shape CV. Disyllabic roots most often have the shape CV.CV. Other syllable types, such as CVN and CGV, are relatively uncommon in verb root structures. Table 2.22 summarizes the different patterns observed and gives some examples.

Pattern	Example	Gloss
σ	[wā]	‘argue’
	[jǎ]	‘vomit’
	[bíŋ]	‘dance’
	[fji]	‘burn’
	[gwī]	‘fall’
$\sigma.\sigma$	[pī.lè]	‘hide’
	[lī.gè]	‘run’
	[kwā.dzè]	‘think’
	[mjá.gé]	‘blink’
	[íŋó.mé]	‘bow down’

Table 2.22 Syllable patterns of verb roots.

2.3.2 Distributional Restrictions

This section discusses observed restrictions on the distribution of consonants and vowels. Table 2.23 shows which CV sequences are attested, considering simple onsets only. Unattested sequences are represented by a minus sign and shaded.

	i	e	ɛ	a	ɔ	ʊ	F _u
b	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
t	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
d	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
ch	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
j	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
k	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
g	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
f	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
s	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
sh	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
gh	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
m	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
n	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
ɲ	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
ŋ	-	-	+	+	+	+	-
l	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
y	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
w	-	+	+	+	+	+	-

Table 2.23 Attested consonant-vowel sequences in Nchane (simple onsets only).

Restrictions on consonants

All consonants in the inventory are attested as onsets, although **ŋ** is rare in this position. Conversely, **ŋ** is the only consonant allowed in word final position. Additionally, only nasals are allowed in coda position. As was stated in §2.1.2.3, nasals in word final position are often elided and a nasal quality realized on the preceding vowel. This provides evidence of a preference for CV syllable types, with CVN the only type of closed syllable allowed, and that type of syllable often realized as the open syllable CV̄.

Table 2.24 summarizes the consonants which can occur stem-internally. While most consonants are observed in this position, the voiceless palatal plosive is notably unattested. Furthermore, the voiceless alveolar plosive appears only once in the data in a stem-internal environment as indicated by the brackets, in the word **tūtū** ‘potato’, which is possibly a loanword from English and/or perhaps a reduplicated stem. The voiceless velar plosive is also significantly rare in this environment, in spite of it being the most frequent of all but nasal consonants. This suggests that the language prefers voiced and sonorant consonants in stem-internal position. The velar fricative **gh** also appears in brackets, indicating that the total number of tokens of this consonant is small, and the two occurrences of stem-internal **gh** possibly represent an allophonic variety of /g/ rather than the phoneme /ɣ/.

		Labial	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar
Plosives	vl		[t]		k
	vd	b	d	j	g
Fricatives		f	s	sh	[gh]
Nasals		m	n	ɲ	ŋ
Approximants			l	y	w

Table 2.24 Stem-internal consonants in Nchane.

Restrictions on vowels

While all vowels may occur in word final position, only **a**, **ɛ** and **ɔ** occur in word initial position, with **ɛ** and **ɔ** only attested in this position in interjections. The low occurrence of the fricative vowel has already been discussed in §2.2.1. Because of the small number of tokens, it is difficult to establish any firm conclusions regarding its distribution patterning, beyond the fact that it occurs most often following **b**, **k** and **g**.

The front high vowel **i** often follows the palatal plosives **ch** and **j**, but rarely follows the alveolar plosives **t** and **d**. It is also rarely observed following **n**, but only in word final position, and never follows the alveolar consonants **s** or **l**. These apparent restrictions are suggestive of a spirantization process, although it does not appear to be applied strictly.