



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Establishing state responsibility in the absence of effective government**

Varga, A.

### **Citation**

Varga, A. (2020, June 16). *Establishing state responsibility in the absence of effective government*. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/121972>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/121972>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/121972> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Varga, A.

**Title:** Establishing state responsibility in the absence of effective government

**Issue Date:** 2020-06-16

Establishing State Responsibility  
in the Absence of Effective Government



# Establishing State Responsibility in the Absence of Effective Government

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op dinsdag 16 juni 2020  
klokke 10.00 uur

*door*

Andrea Varga

geboren te Székesfehérvár, Hongarije

in 1985

Promotoren:           prof. dr. N.J. Schrijver  
                          prof. dr. F. Baetens (University of Oslo, Norway, and  
                          Universiteit Leiden)

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. R.A. Lawson  
                          prof. dr. H. Duffy  
                          prof. dr. P.A. Nollkaemper (Universiteit van Amsterdam)  
                          prof. dr. C.J. Tams (University of Glasgow, UK)

Lay-out: AlphaZet prepress, Bodegraven  
Printwerk: Ipskamp Printing

© 2020 A. Varga

*Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet van 1912 gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets in deze uitgave worden veeelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.*

*Het reprorecht wordt niet uitgeoefend.*

*No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, made available or communicated to the public, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the publisher, unless this is expressly permitted by law.*

## Acknowledgements

Writing this dissertation has been a fascinating journey, but also a long and often difficult one – and I am thankful for the support of many people along the way.

I would like to thank my supervisors, Freya Baetens and Nico Schrijver, for their guidance and especially their patience with me, as the years went by and at some point it must have seemed to them that I might never finish. (At least that is certainly how *I* felt at times.)

Early on in Budapest, Tamás Molnár's teaching helped me realize just how interesting international law could be in the first place. Later, at Leiden and Cambridge, countless chats with colleagues – and sometimes visitors – about whatever legal problem was weighing on my mind have been invaluable. Thanks in particular to Gábor Kajtár for challenging my thinking on issues I took for granted. I also found my time as a visiting scholar at Columbia University, supported partly by the Leiden University Fund, enriching in many ways.

I would like to thank my colleagues not only for thought-provoking discussions and professional advice, but also for their kindness and support. At Leiden, they made the transition from student to colleague as easy as possible, welcoming me with open arms and giving me a sense of belonging. Thank you all for the many great Friday drinks, a chance to switch off and talk about non-work-related things. At Cambridge, I felt like a member of the Lauterpacht community even after my work there officially ended. I owe special thanks to the wonderful group of PhD candidates at Leiden, as well as the Red Cross team at Cambridge, who welcomed me as if I was one of their own. My *paranimfen*, Teresa Cabrita and Vid Prislan: I do not even know where to begin, you have been amazing in so many ways.

I am incredibly grateful to my friends, both within and outside academia. Throughout the years and across different countries, you have been there for the good times and the bad, with a much-needed talk, hug or laughter whenever I was frustrated, stressed or doubting myself. I am very lucky to have you all in my life.

Finally, I would like to thank my family, and especially my parents, who have always encouraged me to follow my dreams and who have never for one second stopped believing in me. Without you, I would never have gotten this far. Köszönöm.





## Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XI
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Objective and Delimitation of Subject	1
1.2 Basic Concepts	6
1.2.1 Private Actors, Affected States and Accountability: Some Clarifications on Terminology	6
1.2.2 'State Failure' and the Absence of Effective Government: A Working Definition	7
1.2.2.1 The (Overly) Narrow Definitions Used in the Existing Literature	10
1.2.2.2 Putting Forward an Alternative Definition	12
1.2.3 The Interplay between Effectiveness, Legitimacy and Legality	16
1.2.3.1 Effectiveness and Legality in Statehood	18
1.2.3.2 Effectiveness and Legitimacy in Governments	20
1.2.3.3 Effectiveness and Legality/Legitimacy in State Responsibility	22
1.3 Structure and Methodology	25
1.3.1 Structure	25
1.3.2 Methodology	26
2 THE STARTING POINT: THE CURRENT STATE RESPONSIBILITY REGIME AND THE EXISTING LITERATURE	37
2.1 The Process and Result of the ILC's Codification	37
2.2 State Responsibility and the Absence of Effective Government: Views from the Literature	41
3 THE STATE'S DUTY TO PROTECT IN THE ABSENCE OF EFFECTIVE GOVERNMENT	47
3.1 Introduction	47
3.2 Sovereignty as a General Duty to Maintain Order	47
3.3 Concrete Obligations to Prevent / Redress (Private) Conduct	50
3.3.1 Inter-State Obligations	53
3.3.2 Obligations <i>vis-à-vis</i> International Organizations	54

3.3.3	Obligations <i>vis-à-vis</i> Individuals and Domestic Legal Persons	55
3.3.3.1	Human Rights Law	55
3.3.3.2	Investment Law	59
3.3.4	The Shared Standard of Due Diligence	60
3.4	What Exactly is Required of the State? The Role of Knowledge, Due Diligence, and Fault	61
3.4.1	The State Knew or Should Have Known...	63
3.4.2	...and It Should Have Acted with Due Diligence	69
3.4.2.1	The Institutional Requirement	71
3.4.2.2	The Effectiveness of Control in General	76
3.4.2.2.1	<i>The ICJ's Non-examination in Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo</i>	76
3.4.2.2.2	<i>The Intra-territorial Presumption of Jurisdiction at the ECtHR</i>	81
3.4.2.3	The Role of Causation in Particular	92
3.4.2.4	In the Absence of Control: A Question of Means, Not Intent	102
3.5	Possible Counterarguments to a Sovereignty-based Approach	108
3.5.1	Applicability Based on Effective Control, Rather Than Sovereignty	109
3.5.2	An Excessive Burden on the State?	113
3.5.2.1	Decisions of the African Commission of Human and Peoples' Rights	113
3.5.2.1.1	<i>Commission Nationale des Droits de l'Homme et des Libertés / Chad</i>	114
3.5.2.1.2	<i>Association of Victims of Post Electoral Violence &amp; INTERIGHTS / Cameroon</i>	115
3.5.2.2	Concluding Remarks	118
3.6	Concluding Remarks	118
4	ATTRIBUTING THE CONDUCT OF PRIVATE ACTORS I: THE FACTUAL RATIONALE AND THE STANDARDS OF CONTROL UNDER ARTICLES 4 AND 8 ARSIWA	121
4.1	Introduction	121
4.2	Setting the Scene: The <i>Nicaragua</i> and <i>Tadić</i> tests	124
4.2.1	Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua	125
4.2.2	<i>Prosecutor v. Duško Tadić</i>	126
4.2.3	The ILC Articles of State Responsibility	130
4.2.4	Bosnian Genocide	132
4.2.5	Concluding Remarks	135
4.3	Lowering the Threshold for Attribution to the Affected State: The Jurisprudence of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights	137
4.3.1	<i>Blake v. Guatemala</i>	138

4.3.2	The Colombian Cases: <i>19 Merchants, Rochela, Pueblo Bello, Ituango, Mapiripán, Operation Genesis, Yarce, Vereda La Esperanza</i> and <i>Omeara Carrascal</i>	139
4.3.3	The Venezuelan Cases: <i>Ríos, Perozo, and Castillo González</i>	157
4.3.4	Concluding Remarks	158
4.3.4.1	The Threshold for Attribution	158
4.3.4.1.1	<i>Attribution Through Omission as a Minimum Threshold?</i>	161
4.3.4.1.2	<i>Comparing the IACtHR's Approach to Other Tests</i>	166
4.3.4.2	Blurring the Line between Attribution and the Duty to Protect under the ACHR	168
4.3.4.3	An Alternative Construction? The Court's Approach in <i>Omeara Carrascal</i>	168
4.3.4.4	Summarizing the IACtHR's Approach	171
4.4	Lowering the Threshold for Attribution to Third States: The Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights	172
4.4.1	The Northern Cyprus Cases: <i>Loizidou</i> and <i>Cyprus v. Turkey</i>	172
4.4.2	The Transdniestria Cases: <i>Ilașcu</i> and <i>Catan</i>	179
4.4.3	Nagorno-Karabakh: <i>Chiragov</i> and Subsequent Cases	192
4.4.4	Concluding Remarks	199
4.5	Concluding Remarks	206
4.5.1	(A Lack of) Judicial Dialogue	206
4.5.2	A Uniform (Set of) Test(s)?	208
4.5.3	<i>Lex Specialis</i> in Human Rights Law?	212
4.5.4	Different Conceptions of What It Means to Act on the State's Behalf	223
5	ATTRIBUTING THE CONDUCT OF PRIVATE ACTORS II: THE FUNCTIONAL, LEGAL, CONTINUITY- AND DISCRETION-BASED RATIONALES	229
5.1	Introduction	229
5.2	The Functional: Is Article 9 ARSIWA 'Tailored for Situations of State Failure'?	231
5.2.1	The Origin of Article 9: Codification or Progressive Development?	233
5.2.2	The Conditions of Article 9 ARSIWA	240
5.2.3	What the ILC Did Not (Sufficiently) Address: Local <i>De Facto</i> Governments	251
5.2.4	Concluding Remarks	262
5.3	The Legal: 'State Collapse', Co-optation, and Articles 4 and 5 ARSIWA	265
5.3.1	Remaining Low-Level Organs in the Event of 'State Collapse'	265

5.3.2 The Involvement of Other Actors: Integration, Co-Optation, and the Continued Payment of Civil Servants	271
5.3.3 Concluding Remarks	275
5.4 Retroactive Effect: Some Remarks on the Operation of Articles 10 and 11 ARSIWA	275
5.4.1 Article 10 ARSIWA and the Rationale of Continuity	275
5.4.2 Article 11 ARSIWA and the Question of Retroactivity	277
5.5 Concluding Remarks	283
6 STATE COMPLICITY IN ACTS PERPETRATED BY PRIVATE ACTORS	287
6.1 Introduction: The Need for a Complicity Rule	287
6.2 Between the Duty to Protect and Attribution: A Brief History	293
6.3 Article 16 ARSIWA and Its (Possible) Non-State Analogy	296
6.3.1 Distinguishing Complicity from Duties to Protect: The Problem of Complicity by Omission	299
6.3.2 Distinguishing Complicity from Attribution and the Possibility of Complicity-based Attribution	307
6.4 Concluding Remarks	317
7 CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK: NARROWING THE ACCOUNTABILITY GAP	321
SUMMARY	335
SAMENVATTING (SUMMARY IN DUTCH)	341
APPENDIX: ARTICLES 4-5, 7-11 AND 16 ARSIWA	347
LIST OF TREATIES AND OTHER AGREEMENTS	349
LIST OF CASES	351
BIBLIOGRAPHY	363
CURRICULUM VITAE	389

## List of Abbreviations

AC	Appeals Chamber
ACHR	American Convention on Human Rights
ACommHPR	African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights
ARSIWA	Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts
AUC	Autodefensas Unidas de Colombia (United Self-Defense Forces of Colombia)
CIA	Central Intelligence Agency
CoE CDDH	Council of Europe, Steering Committee for Human Rights
DMZ	demilitarized zone
DRC	Democratic Republic of the Congo
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECommHR	European Commission of Human Rights
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
FARC	Fuerzas Armadas Revolucionarias de Colombia (Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia)
FRY	Federal Republic of Yugoslavia
FYROM	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia
HRC	Human Rights Committee
HRW	Human Rights Watch
HV	Hrvatska Vojska (Croatian Army)
HVO	Hrvatsko Vijeće Obrane (Croatian Defence Council)
IACHR	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights
IACtHR	Inter-American Court of Human Rights
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICG	International Crisis Group
ICJ	International Court of Justice
ICRC	International Committee of the Red Cross
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
ICU	Islamic Courts Union
IHL	international humanitarian law
ILC	International Law Commission
ISIS	Islamic State in Iraq and Syria
IUSCT	Iran-US Claims Tribunal
LTTE	Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam
MRT	Moldavian Republic of Transdniestria
NGO	non-governmental organization
NKR	Nagorno-Karabakh Republic

---

OSCE	Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe
PCIJ	Permanent Court of International Justice
TC	Trial Chamber
TRNC	Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus
UK	United Kingdom
UN	United Nations
UNMIK	United Nations Mission in Kosovo
US	United States
VJ	Vojska Jugoslavije (Yugoslav Army)
VRS	Vojska Republike Srpske (Army of the Republika Srpska)